

1 **Motivational interviewing for low mood and adjustment early after stroke: a feasibility**  
2 **randomised trial**

3 Authors:

4 Kulsum Patel, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK.

5 [kpatel@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:kpatel@uclan.ac.uk) [corresponding author]

6 Prof Dame Caroline L Watkins, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire,  
7 Preston, UK; Faculty of Health Sciences, Australian Catholic University, Australia.

8 [clwatkins@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:clwatkins@uclan.ac.uk)

9 Dr Chris J Sutton, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester,  
10 UK. [chris.j.sutton@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:chris.j.sutton@manchester.ac.uk)

11 Dr Emma-Joy Holland, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK.

12 [eholland1@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:eholland1@uclan.ac.uk)

13 Dr Valerio Benedetto, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK.

14 [vbenedetto@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:vbenedetto@uclan.ac.uk)

15 Dr Malcolm F Auton, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK.

16 [mfauton@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:mfauton@uclan.ac.uk)

17 Prof David Barer, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, UK.

18 [david.barer@newcastle.ac.uk](mailto:david.barer@newcastle.ac.uk)

19 Dr Kausik Chatterjee, Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, UK.

20 [kausikchatterjee@nhs.net](mailto:kausikchatterjee@nhs.net)

21 Dr Catherine E Lightbody, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston,

22 UK. [celightbody@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:celightbody@uclan.ac.uk)

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 Background

29 Management of psychological adjustment and low mood after stroke can result in positive health  
30 outcomes. We have adapted a talk-based therapy, motivational interviewing (MI), and shown it to  
31 be potentially effective for managing low mood and supporting psychological adjustment post-  
32 stroke in a single-centre trial. In the current study, we aimed to explore the feasibility of delivering  
33 MI using clinical stroke team members, and using an attention control (AC), to inform the protocol  
34 for a future definitive trial.

35

36 Methods

37 This parallel two-arm feasibility trial took place in north-west England. Recruitment occurred  
38 between December 2012 and November 2013. Participants were stroke patients aged 18 years or  
39 over, who were medically stable, had no severe communication problems and were residents of the  
40 hospital catchment. Randomisation was to MI or AC, and was conducted by a researcher not  
41 involved in recruitment using opaque sealed envelopes. The main outcome measures were  
42 descriptions of study feasibility (recruitment/retention rates, MI delivery by clinical staff, use of AC)  
43 and acceptability (through qualitative interviews and completion of study measures), and fidelity to  
44 MI and AC (through review of session audio-recordings). Information was also collected on  
45 participants' mood, quality of life, adjustment, and resource-use.

46

47 Results

48 Over twelve months, 461 patients were screened, 124 were screened eligible, and 49 were  
49 randomised: 23 to MI, 26 to AC. At three months, 13 MI and 18 AC participants completed the  
50 follow-up assessment (63% retention). This was less than expected based on our original trial. An AC  
51 was successfully implemented. Alternative approaches would be required to ensure the feasibility of  
52 clinical staff delivering MI. The study measures, MI and AC interventions were considered

53 acceptable, and there was good fidelity to the interventions. There were no adverse events related  
54 to study participation.

55

#### 56 Conclusions

57 It was possible to recruit and retain participants, train clinical staff to deliver MI, and implement an  
58 appropriate AC. Changes would be necessary to conduct a future multi-centre trial, including:  
59 assuming a recruitment rate lower than that in the current study; implementing more strategies to  
60 increase participant retention; and considering alternative clinical staff groups to undertake the  
61 delivery of MI and AC.

62

#### 63 Trial registration

64 ISRCTN study ID: ISRCTN55624892

65

#### 66 Trial funding

67 Northern Stroke Research Fund.

68

#### 69 **Keywords**

70 feasibility; motivational interviewing; stroke; psychological adjustment;

71

#### 72 **Background**

73 Stroke is a leading cause of adult disability and occurs in over 150,000 people each year in the UK  
74 [1]. Psychological and mood issues are common after stroke, with one in every three stroke survivors  
75 experiencing depression [2]. Depression following stroke is an independent predictor of poor  
76 recovery, including a lower quality of life and more severe disability [3]. Depressed stroke survivors  
77 lack motivation to participate in rehabilitation, engage less in leisure and social activities, and are  
78 more likely to die than non-depressed stroke survivors [4]. Preventing and treating depression after

79 stroke could reduce the burden to individuals and improve outcome. However, psychological  
80 support following stroke is lacking, with stroke survivors reporting this as an unmet need [5]. There  
81 is also little conclusive evidence on the management of psychological issues following stroke, in  
82 terms of preventing or treating depression [6,7].

83  
84 The findings of pooled analyses of previous studies exploring the effect of psychotherapy on the  
85 prevention of depression indicated a small but significant benefit [6]. This effect became non-  
86 significant with the removal of the results of our previous trial [8]. In our study, we investigated  
87 motivational interviewing (MI) for supporting adjustment after stroke. MI is an established talking  
88 therapy, traditionally used in the context of changing problematic behaviour. It is a person-centred,  
89 directive but constructive talking therapy. Using specific MI person-centred techniques, the MI  
90 therapist increases awareness and the importance of change through sensitively amplifying the  
91 discrepancy between current issues and the person's goals or personal values. Confidence is then  
92 built through supporting self-efficacy, enabling the person to develop motivation and readiness to  
93 change. For our study, MI was adapted specifically so that it could be delivered to stroke survivors  
94 early after their stroke to develop motivation to engage in the rehabilitation process, to facilitate  
95 adjustment to having had a stroke and to promote a sense of self-efficacy in managing life after  
96 stroke. At three months after stroke, those who received up to four sessions of MI in addition to  
97 usual care (n=204) were less likely to have low mood than those who received only usual care  
98 (n=207) [8]: this effect was maintained at 12 months after stroke [9].

99  
100 Although our findings suggested that MI has the potential to be used to effectively prevent or treat  
101 depression following stroke, there were some limitations. The comparator group consisted of usual  
102 care and it may be that the effect was due, at least partially, to the additional attention received by  
103 the intervention group rather than MI itself. By providing participants in a control group with social  
104 attention of similar duration and intensity to the MI therapy, any difference between the two groups

105 should be attributable to the specific nature of the input. Therefore, there is a need to identify and  
106 choose an attention control which will be a more appropriate comparator to MI to account for the  
107 additional attention received by those in the intervention arm. Another limitation of our previous  
108 study is that the intervention was delivered by MI therapists who were members of the research  
109 team and who were trained and supervised externally to the clinical setting. Consequently, there is  
110 no indication of how the intervention might be delivered and how training and supervision of MI  
111 therapists might occur as part of practice.

112

113 Our aim was to explore the feasibility of: delivering MI using members of the clinical team, and using  
114 an attention control (AC), to inform the protocol for a future definitive trial.

115

## 116 Objectives

- 117 • Estimate the recruitment and three-month retention rates of participants
- 118 • Estimate the completeness of data capture in study measures
- 119 • Explore acceptability of the MI, AC and study processes and materials to staff and patients
- 120 • Explore the implementation of each intervention and associated challenges, and understand the  
121 contextual factors influencing implementation
- 122 • Describe the recruitment, training and retention of staff delivering the intervention
- 123 • Estimate fidelity to MI and AC interventions

124

## 125 **Methods**

### 126 Study design

127 This was a mixed-methods single-centre feasibility study, incorporating a non-blinded parallel-group  
128 randomised controlled feasibility trial (MI vs. AC, allocation ratio 1:1), and interviews with staff and  
129 participants. Ethical approval was obtained from the local research ethics committee.

130

131 Setting

132 The study was conducted in one acute stroke unit within a hospital serving a predominantly urban  
133 population in the North West of England.

134

135 Study staff

136 Six therapy assistants were identified from the multidisciplinary stroke team to deliver the MI or AC  
137 intervention, and backfill was provided. There were no specific criteria for the selection of the study  
138 staff: the therapy team manager identified the therapy assistants based on their own judgement of  
139 who would be most appropriate for the roles. All six staff were given basic training by members of  
140 the research team. Basic training comprised two full-day sessions delivered in person and covered  
141 background information on stroke, and practical information for conducting research (research  
142 governance guidelines, confidentiality, ward procedures, home visiting procedures, safety  
143 guidelines, and reporting adverse events or incidents). After basic training, staff were randomised to  
144 deliver either MI or AC (three therapy assistants to each).

145

146 Training – MI

147 MI-specific training was delivered by MI therapists from our previous study. Training comprised an  
148 introductory one-day workshop incorporating the theory behind MI, psychological mechanisms that  
149 effect change, and familiarisation with our MI manual developed by the research team prior to this  
150 study. This was followed by practice MI sessions among the three MI therapists, which were video-  
151 recorded for therapists to reflect on their skills and for trainers to provide feedback. The MI  
152 therapists then undertook at least ten practice sessions with volunteer patients until confidence and  
153 threshold competency (assessed with the Motivational Interviewing Treatment Integrity Code (MITI)  
154 [10]) were achieved. The initial sessions with volunteer patients were observed by the trainers in  
155 person and later practice sessions were carried out by the therapist alone. Ongoing supervision was  
156 provided by the trainers, both face-to-face and remotely via telephone and email, and was

157 scheduled to occur once a month, but therapists could contact the trainers at any point in between  
158 scheduled supervision meetings for support. Competency was monitored by the trainers through  
159 review of audio-recordings of sessions throughout the study period.

160

#### 161 Training – AC

162 AC-specific training was based on the AC intervention used in the Accessing Communication Therapy  
163 in the North West (ACTNoW) study [11] and was delivered by the AC monitor in the ACTNoW study.  
164 Training comprised an introductory one-day workshop, followed by practice AC sessions among the  
165 three AC visitors and then with at least ten volunteer patients until competence and confidence in  
166 delivering the AC was achieved, as determined by the AC monitor through review of audio-  
167 recordings of practice sessions. Ongoing supervision was provided by the AC monitor and the study's  
168 research team and competency was monitored through audio-recordings throughout the study  
169 period.

170

#### 171 Intervention – MI

172 The MI intervention comprised four one-hour sessions, structured so that the first was an  
173 introductory session for building rapport, where the therapist set the agenda and the patient talked  
174 about their adjustment to stroke and current concerns. The second and third sessions involved  
175 working through patients' concerns. The final session was for winding down and was used to explore  
176 unresolved issues from previous sessions, review the sessions as a whole and terminate the  
177 intervention in a mutually safe and satisfactory manner. The application of MI principles for this  
178 intervention has been described previously [8]. MI therapists also completed the Working Alliance  
179 Inventory (WAI) [12], a measure of therapeutic alliance, after each session.

180

181 Intervention – AC

182 The format of the AC was designed to reflect the format of the MI intervention such that the only  
183 real difference between the two was the active component of MI. The content of the AC was multi-  
184 faceted and tailored to individual needs, interests, state of health and abilities. The AC was  
185 structured to incorporate three stages over four one-hour sessions. The first session was an  
186 introductory session for building rapport. The second and third sessions were for regular contact.  
187 Sessions aimed to be participant-led through general conversation but AC visitors had access to basic  
188 materials (e.g. playing cards, newspapers) to suggest appropriate activities (i.e. activities not focused  
189 on mood). The final session was for winding down and bringing the AC sessions to an end.

190

191 Participants

192 Consecutive patients admitted to the acute stroke unit with suspected stroke between December  
193 2012 and November 2013 were screened for eligibility within five days of stroke onset. Patients  
194 were eligible if they were aged 18 years or over, had a diagnosis of stroke, were medically stable,  
195 had no severe communication problems or lack of capacity to consent (based on an observational  
196 communication checklist devised specifically for this study and clinical staff judgement), and lived  
197 within the hospital catchment. For patients who were initially ineligible (not medically stable within  
198 five days of stroke onset), screening was repeated weekly within hospital for up to four weeks post-  
199 stroke onset. All participants provided written informed consent. Screening and consent procedures  
200 were undertaken by a research nurse or therapy assistant.

201

202 Sample size

203 Based on the 400 patients with stroke presenting to the acute stroke unit annually, we estimated  
204 that we would recruit approximately 118 participants over a one year recruitment period, assuming  
205 the 50% eligibility rate and 59% consent rate amongst those eligible from our previous trial [6].

206 Based on this consent rate (and 200 eligible patients), this would enable estimation of the true rate

207 to within +/- 6.8% and estimation of the retention rate to within +/- 7.2%, assuming the true rate to  
208 be 80% (or greater), each with 95% confidence.

209

#### 210 Baseline measures

211 A research nurse or therapy assistant carried out baseline assessments once the participant had  
212 consented. The following participant characteristics were collected: age, sex, stroke side, past  
213 medical history of psychological problems, mental health services input and antidepressant use  
214 (from medical notes). The following baseline measures were collected: cognition (Addenbrooke's  
215 Cognitive Examination Revised (ACE-R) [13]), mood (General Health Questionnaire 12 item (GHQ-12)  
216 [14]; Yale single item ("Do you often feel sad or depressed?") [15]; Depression Intensity Scale Circles  
217 (DISCs) [16]), communication (Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test (FAST) [17]), functional dependence  
218 (Barthel) [18], and locus of control (Recovery Locus of Control scale) [19].

219

#### 220 Randomisation

221 Randomisation, stratified by the participants' response to the Yale single-item question, was to MI or  
222 AC in a 1:1 ratio. Randomisation was conducted using opaque sealed envelopes. The envelopes were  
223 set up in shuffled blocks of four, with each block containing two allocations each to the MI or the AC  
224 arms. Therapist allocation (one of three therapists for each group) was carried out using opaque  
225 sealed envelopes. For each of the MI and AC groups the envelopes were set up in blocks of nine,  
226 which contained three allocations for each therapist. These allocations were structured in a  
227 pseudorandom fashion so that no therapist's workload would exceed six cases per week. Once a  
228 participant had consented and had their baseline data collected, the research nurse telephoned the  
229 research team administrator, informing them of the participant's response to the Yale. The  
230 administrator then carried out the allocation process, firstly selecting an envelope to allocate the  
231 patient to a group, then based on group an envelope was selected for allocation to a therapist. The  
232 administrator then informed the research nurse of group and therapist allocation.

233

234 Intervention delivery

235 The allocated intervention (MI or AC) was delivered face-to-face by the same therapist/visitor, in  
236 hospital or in the participant's home. All sessions were audio recorded to allow therapists/visitors to  
237 reflect on and prepare for sessions, and to check consistency of technique. At the end of each  
238 session, therapists/visitors recorded the location, duration and overall content of sessions on session  
239 forms developed for the study.

240

241 Outcome measures

242 Outcome measures were collected via postal questionnaire at three months post-stroke, as the  
243 primary outcome in an effectiveness trial would be at this timepoint. Outcome measures included:  
244 mood (GHQ12 [14]; Yale single item [15]; DISCs [16]), function (Barthel [18]; Nottingham Extended  
245 Activities of Daily Living Index [20]), quality of life (EQ-5D [21]), adjustment (Cognitive and  
246 Instrumental Readjustment [22]), and community integration (Community Integration Questionnaire  
247 [23]); a resource-use (health and social care input) questionnaire was sent out two weeks after the  
248 outcome measures questionnaire was sent out. If no outcome-measures questionnaire was returned  
249 within four weeks, and/or if no resource-use questionnaire was returned within two weeks, a  
250 researcher (who was potentially non-blinded as they were involved in the randomisation process)  
251 contacted the participant by telephone as a prompt to complete the questionnaire. An unreturned  
252 questionnaire resulted in at least one prompting telephone call; a judgement as to whether further  
253 calls were made was based on a case-by-case basis, depending on the response to the first answered  
254 call.

255

256 Study measures

257 Recruitment and reasons for exclusion or declining (if offered by the patient) were documented  
258 using screening logs. Randomisation and allocation to arm and therapist was documented on a

259 randomisation log. Acceptability of the study measures was assessed by summarising the level of  
260 item missing data on returned questionnaires and through interviews with participants.  
261 Acceptability of therapist study measures was assessed by summarising the completion of the WAI  
262 by the MI therapists. Acceptability of the MI and AC was assessed through semi-structured  
263 interviews with staff and participants. Fidelity to the MI intervention (and MI manual) was  
264 monitored through review of audio-recordings of sessions using the MITI global ratings [10]. Fidelity  
265 to the AC intervention was monitored through review of audio-recordings and visitor session notes.  
266

#### 267 Staff interviews

268 The MI therapists, AC visitors, therapy manager, and the research nurse involved in the screening  
269 and recruitment of patients were invited to be interviewed and gave their consent. A member of the  
270 research team conducted the interviews which explored staff perceptions of the study including  
271 their views on the acceptability and suitability of the MI, AC, study materials and training, the use of  
272 clinical staff as therapists/visitors, and the factors influencing the implementation of study  
273 processes. Staff were approached to participate in the interviews at the end of the study apart from  
274 two MI/AC staff who left their post during the study period. These staff were interviewed during the  
275 study while their involvement in the study was ongoing. The interviews with the intervention staff  
276 were conducted over the telephone; the interviews with the therapy manager and research nurse  
277 were conducted face-to-face. All interviews were digitally audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.  
278

#### 279 Participant interviews

280 Once follow-up was completed, two participants from the AC arm and two participants from the MI  
281 arm were randomly selected and invited to take part in semi-structured interviews with a member  
282 of the research team. Participants consented to the interviews which explored the acceptability of  
283 the interventions and study processes. Four key aspects were explored: i) recruitment to the study,

284 ii) acceptability of the intervention received, iii) suggested future improvements to the intervention,  
285 and iv) study paperwork.

286

## 287 Analysis

288 Descriptive statistics were used for patient eligibility, recruitment, allocation and retention,  
289 demographic and clinical characteristics, level of missing data for the study measures, and staff  
290 recruitment and retention. The analyses were conducted in SPSS, v21 and v22.

291

292 Content analysis was undertaken on the staff interviews by two researchers, using qualitative data  
293 analysis software (NVivo 10). To ensure reliability, a sample of the interviews was coded by both  
294 researchers independently. There was a good level of agreement between the two researchers; any  
295 differences in coding were discussed until a consensus was reached. Interpretation of the codes was  
296 carried out using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) [24] which is a  
297 taxonomy of factors that influence implementation. The CFIR framework consists of five key  
298 domains (intervention characteristics, inner setting, outer setting, characteristics of individuals, and  
299 process), with each domain containing sub-constructs. The framework attempts to explain the  
300 complex and often interacting factors which may influence implementation. The framework  
301 combines key concepts of implementation proposed across a number of previous models of  
302 implementation, seeking to integrate and consolidate the varying concepts into one framework. We  
303 used the CFIR for the interpretation of interview data to understand factors that influenced the  
304 implementation of the study.

305

306 One-fifth (n=12) of the voice files for MI sessions were purposively selected to maximise a range of  
307 MI therapists, session number, time point during the study, participant sex, age and baseline Yale,  
308 for assessment of fidelity to MI. Two researchers independently listened to each voice-file and rated  
309 it on the five global dimensions of the MITI: evocation, collaboration, autonomy/support, direction,

310 and empathy, on a five-point scale. The average of the evocation, collaboration and  
311 autonomy/support ratings creates an overall MI spirit rating. A higher rating indicates greater fidelity  
312 to MI. Any large discrepancies (difference of 2 or more points on the scale) in ratings were discussed  
313 until consensus reached or adjudicated by a third researcher.

314

315 Voice-files of (n=12) AC sessions were purposively selected to maximise a range of AC visitors,  
316 participant sex, age and baseline Yale. Two researchers independently listened to each voice-file to  
317 ensure there was no therapeutic content within the conversation.

318

## 319 **Results**

### 320 Recruitment and retention of staff

321 Six therapy assistants were recruited to undertake the study role of either MI therapist (n=3) or AC  
322 visitor (n=3) before participant recruitment commenced. Two MI therapists and two AC visitors left  
323 their clinical post, and therefore their study role, during the study and were not replaced. One AC  
324 visitor changed their clinical role moving to another clinical department, but continued in their study  
325 role.

326

### 327 Participant Recruitment and Characteristics

328 Participants were recruited during a twelve-month period (December 2012 to November 2013). The  
329 flow of patients through the study can be seen in Figure 1. Following screening, a low proportion  
330 (27%) of patients admitted to the acute stroke unit were screened as eligible for the trial. Of the 124  
331 who were screened eligible, 57 (46%) consented to participate and 67 (54%) (95% CI 45% to 63%)  
332 declined to participate or became subsequently ineligible (reasons included: relative's advice, not  
333 stroke, became medically unstable). Of the 57, 8 (14%) were not randomised: 2 became medically  
334 unstable, 2 withdrew, and 4 died.

335

336 Forty-nine participants were randomised, 26 to the AC group and 23 to the MI group. The median  
 337 (interquartile range [IQR]) age of the 49 participants was 71.0 (60.5-78.0) years and 27 (55%) were  
 338 male. Twenty-three (47%) and 18 (37%) participants had left- and right-sided strokes respectively,  
 339 with the remainder having bilateral (2, 4%) or no-sided (6, 12%) weakness.

340

341 At baseline, 47 (96%) of GHQ-12 questionnaires were completed indicating that 27 (57%)  
 342 participants had abnormal values ( $\geq 2$ ). The ACE-R was completed by 46 (94%) participants, with 21  
 343 (46%) showing abnormal cognition ( $< 82$ )<sup>a</sup>. The FAST was completed by 45 (92%) participants, with 16  
 344 (36%) having abnormal communication ( $\leq 27$  for age 20 to 60,  $\leq 25$  for age 61+). Table 1 shows the  
 345 baseline characteristics of participants by group.

346

347 Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group. Values are n (%) unless  
 348 otherwise stated

	Attention Control (n= 26) <sup>1</sup>	Motivational Interviewing (n= 23) <sup>1</sup>
Male	15 (58)	12 (52)
Age in years (median (range))	72.0 (43-91)	70.0 (28-88)
Depression:		
Yale: Yes	8 (31)	8 (35)
GHQ-12:	n=25	n=22
Total score <sup>2</sup> (mean (SD))	3.16 (3.80)	4.00 (3.77)
Abnormal mood ( $\geq 2$ )	13 (52)	14 (64)
Stroke side:		
Left	10 (39)	13 (57)
Right	9 (35)	9 (39)
Bilateral	2 (8)	0 (0)
Neither	5 (19)	1 (4)
Abnormal cognition (cut-off: $< 82$ )	n=24	n=22
	11 (46)	10 (45)
Abnormal cognition (cut-off: $< 88$ )	n=24	n=22

	15 (63)	12 (55)
Abnormal communication	n=24	n=21
	6 (25)	10 (48)
Physical function:	n=25	n=22
Good	18 (72)	14 (64)
Moderate	4 (16)	2 (9)
Poor	3 (12)	6 (27)

349 <sup>1</sup>Total sample size applicable, unless otherwise stated

350 <sup>2</sup> Scores range from 0-12; higher scores indicate higher levels of depression

351

352 Baseline measures

353 Of the data collected at the time of screening, the past/current psychological input and  
354 antidepressant use questions were completed for only 44 (90%) and 46 (94%) participants  
355 respectively.

356 Of the baseline assessments, there was 94% completion of most of the ACE-R items, and 92%  
357 completion of almost all FAST items. Items with lower completion rates across participants are  
358 shown in Table 2.

359

360 Table 2. Completeness of baseline items investigated through notes and performance-based tests  
361 for the total group

Measure/Question	Baseline
	Total (n=49)
Screening variables	
Age	49 (100%)
Sex	49 (100%)
Stroke side	49 (100%)
Past/current psychological input	44 (90%)

Measure/Question	Baseline
	Total (n=49)
Antidepressant use	46 (94%)
<b>Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE-R)</b>	
Language writing: Sentence	44 (90%)
Visuospatial abilities: Clock	45 (92%)
Recognition	43 (88%)
All other 23 items	46 (94%)
<b>Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test (FAST)</b>	
Write score	44 (90%)
All other 13 items	45 (92%)

362

363 Intervention delivery

364 Of the 49 participants randomised, 41 (84%) received their allocated intervention (at least 1 session  
365 completed); 23 (88%) of those allocated AC; 18 (78%) of those allocated MI. Thirty-one (76%) of the  
366 41 had the maximum four sessions: 12 (67%) in the MI group, and 19 (83%) in the AC group.

367 A comparison between the first half and second half of participants recruited was conducted to  
368 investigate whether staff attrition impacted on the number of sessions delivered in each group.

369 Participants randomised to AC who were among the first half recruited to the study all received at  
370 least one session, whereas three participants among the second half recruited received no AC

371 sessions. There were also more participants receiving no MI sessions among the second half of  
372 participants recruited to the study compared to the first half. Fewer participants received four

373 sessions of AC and MI among the second half recruited to the study compared to the first half; for  
374 the MI group, this was 50% fewer. The mean number of sessions received were 3.54 (AC) and 2.92

375 (MI) for those among the first half recruited, and 2.85 (AC) and 2.00 (MI) for those among the  
376 second half recruited.

377 The delivery of MI or AC tended to start between 2 and 7 weeks post-stroke for most participants;  
378 the median (IQR) time was 20 (15-47) days. MI and AC sessions were delivered over a mean of 3.6  
379 weeks, and a maximum of 10 weeks.  
380 MI therapists and AC visitors completed documentation for 66% (MI) and 65% (AC) of the total  
381 sessions held. The WAI was completed for 10 (43%) of the MI participants. Only two of the three MI  
382 therapists completed the WAI on some occasions; one MI therapist did not complete the WAI on any  
383 occasion.

384

### 385 Retention

386 At three months, 3/49 (6%) participants had died. Of those 46 participants recruited and not known  
387 to have died, 5 (11%) did not respond to contact, 5 (11%) had withdrawn, 5 (11%) could not respond  
388 due to being unwell, and 31 (67%) returned the questionnaire (Figure 1).

389

390 Figure 1: Eligibility, recruitment and retention of participants

391

392 We received 3-month follow-up data for 31/49 (63%) participants. Twenty-three participants  
393 returned their questionnaire by post without prompting. A further 8 returned their questionnaire  
394 following one (n=5), two (n=1) or three (n=2) telephone calls.

395

### 396 Outcome measures – three months

397 At three months, the completion of items within questionnaires was generally high. A large majority  
398 of the questionnaires were completed by the participant 27/31 (87%), 2/31 (6%) were completed by  
399 a relative and 2/31 (6%) did not respond to the question about who was completing the  
400 questionnaire. All outcome measures other than the Yale single-item had less than 100% completion  
401 (minimum 84%), although this was generally due to three respondents consistently not answering  
402 several items.

403 Descriptive statistics for the main outcome measures are shown in Table 3.

404

405 Table 3. Descriptive statistics for the main outcome measures at three months for each group.

406 Values are n (%) unless otherwise stated

	Attention Control (n= 18)	Motivational Interviewing (n= 13)
Depression		
Yale: Yes	5 (28)	5 (39)
GHQ-12:		
Total score <sup>1</sup> (mean (SD))	2.06 (3.69)	2.92 (4.13)
Abnormal mood (>=2)	7 (39)	5 (39)

407 <sup>1</sup> Scores range from 0-12; higher scores indicate higher levels of depression

408

#### 409 Fidelity to MI

410 Due to study staff attrition, it was not possible to purposively sample based on the time point during  
411 the study; however, the voice files selected did cover a range of time points over the course of the  
412 study. In the 12 sessions reviewed, global ratings ranged between 3 and 5, indicating proficient to  
413 competent delivery of MI. Raters agreed on 42 out of all 60 ratings (70%), and where there were  
414 discrepancies, there was a difference of only one point on the rating scale.

415

#### 416 Fidelity to AC

417 In the 12 sessions reviewed, there were no instances of conversation that were considered  
418 therapeutic or similar to MI. Occasions of discussing mood and wellbeing were minimal, with three  
419 instances identified. AC visitors used strategies to avoid such discussions from becoming  
420 therapeutic, such as diverting the conversation to a neutral topic. This is described in more detail in  
421 a separate article (in preparation).

422

#### 423 Staff interviews

424 Seven staff interviews were conducted. These staff comprised the five therapy assistants who  
425 delivered the MI intervention or AC comparator to patients (three MI therapists; two AC visitors, one  
426 of whom went on to screen patients), the therapy team leader who manages the therapy assistants,  
427 and the research nurse who was involved in the screening and recruitment of patients.

428

429 Findings are presented grouped into the four domains of the CFIR that were represented in the  
430 interview data: (1) intervention characteristics, (2) characteristics of individuals, (3) inner setting,  
431 and (4) process. The 'outer setting' domain of the CFIR was not represented in the interview data. A  
432 summary of key facilitators and barriers to conducting the study is presented in Tables 4 and 5.

433

#### 434 *Intervention characteristics*

435 The design quality and packaging of the AC and MI intervention was deemed an important factor for  
436 recruitment to the study. The possibility of patients receiving the AC rather than MI was perceived  
437 by screening staff as a potential barrier to recruitment. Screening staff also cited the onerous (as  
438 perceived by patients) nature of the AC and MI as a barrier to recruitment. However, the format of  
439 the MI intervention and the AC were generally viewed positively. Therapy assistants felt that weekly  
440 sessions were appropriate for building and maintain rapport with patients. Going to patients' homes  
441 to conduct sessions was time-consuming, but therapists felt comfortable doing this, and AC visitors  
442 in particular found sessions in patients' homes easier as there were more cues available to facilitate  
443 a more natural conversation. Some therapists felt that an hour per session was too long, particularly  
444 in the first two sessions, where patients might experience fatigue.

445

#### 446 *Characteristics of individuals (therapy assistants)*

447 Self-efficacy was an important factor in recruiting, and in delivering MI and AC. Patients declining to  
448 participate impacted on recruiting staff's confidence. Therapy assistants found some of the specific  
449 skills involved in delivering MI and AC difficult as these were not consistent with their natural style of

450 conversation. Therapy assistants were generally comfortable dealing with patients' emotional  
451 responses due to their previous experiences with patients, but felt daunted about managing the  
452 emotional responses they perceived they were not trained to manage. MI therapists were not  
453 confident about their MI skills. More generally, the therapy assistants reported difficulty with  
454 keeping to the topic and style of conversation relevant to their MI/AC session. Overall, therapy  
455 assistants felt that their skills and techniques improved through the course of the study as they  
456 gained more experience with more patients. However, they felt that their skills decreased during  
457 periods when they were not delivering sessions due to irregular patient recruitment.

458

#### 459 *Inner setting*

460 The main barriers to conducting the study were related to the inner setting factors of 'structural  
461 characteristics' and 'available resources' and were difficulties associated with therapy assistants  
462 being in a dual role (as therapy assistant and their study role as either MI therapist or AC visitor). The  
463 therapy assistants found it difficult to balance both roles in terms of time and workload. Backfill was  
464 available, but as the therapy team was organised such that therapy assistants were specialised and  
465 not generic, the available backfill was not always appropriate. There was also staff attrition, with  
466 therapy assistants leaving their role and not being immediately replaced, resulting in increased  
467 workloads for the remaining therapy assistants, impacting on the time available to fulfil their study  
468 role. The therapy team leader suggested that it would be more efficient to have staff from higher  
469 bands undertaking the study roles of MI therapists and AC visitors as they are a less transient  
470 workforce.

471

#### 472 *Process*

473 Therapy assistants found the training useful, and highlighted the opportunity to practice with  
474 patients as the most beneficial aspect. MI therapists felt that it would have been useful to have had  
475 more, and continued, feedback from study supervisors once they started delivering MI to patients in

476 the study. They also felt they would have benefitted from refresher training sessions throughout the  
477 study period to keep skills updated.

478

479 [TABLE 4 HERE]

480

481 [TABLE 5 HERE]

482

### 483 Participant interviews

484 Four participants were interviewed, two from the MI group and two from the AC group. Key findings  
485 for each aspect explored are described in turn.

486

### 487 *Recruitment to the study*

488 A common factor which influenced participants' decision to participate was the thought that they  
489 may help others in the future. One participant explained:

490 "They explained to me exactly what would be required and ... I said straight away yes ... if

491 what I'm doing is helping other people then that's great, that's what it's all about"

492 (Participant 1)

493

### 494 *Acceptability of MI sessions*

495 Those receiving MI had both positive and negative experiences of the MI intervention. Taking part in  
496 MI sessions was felt to have been beneficial:

497 "[The MI therapist] used to sort of bolster you up a bit and make you feel, you know,

498 enthusiastic" (Participant 2)

499

500 Participants felt the MI sessions had been positive and had met their expectations:

501 “An awareness of what to expect really and why ... I’ve got these troubles and how you can  
502 overcome it so it was very informative. It’s what I expected, what they said to be honest”  
503 (Participant 3)

504

505 While one participant felt the MI sessions had been positive, they also explained that it was draining  
506 to talk about such an emotional subject, and described their relief on ending the study:

507 “Interviewer: How did you feel when the process was over?”

508 Participant: Well sort of a sigh of relief really, I think, that it was over with” (Participant 2)

509

510 *Suggested future improvements to the MI intervention*

511 One participant felt more MI sessions would have been beneficial and highlighted their desire for  
512 group support:

513 “I thought they should have done more of it to be honest to have that extra initial bit it’d be  
514 good to keep going on that. I think the interviews were good but I thought that they’re not  
515 really long ... I would like instead of one every so many weeks maybe one a week and a  
516 group discussion would have been good” (Participant 3)

517

518 In addition, one participant felt that ongoing support following the MI sessions would have been  
519 useful in order to provide reassurance:

520 “When the process is over it’s as if ... you’re left alone. There’s no one there to fall back ...

521 like oh well I’ve just got to go ahead now, back to normal now is it, ... but it’s adaptation

522 after that, which is difficult. So a bit of support on that would have been great, just ongoing”

523 (Participant 3)

524

525 *Acceptability of AC sessions*

526 Participants who engaged in the AC sessions had mixed responses about their experiences. One  
527 participant found engaging in sessions was a positive experience:

528            “[AC visitor name] was absolutely brilliant ... very caring and ... it’s like a daily diary really  
529            what I’ve been doing” (Participant 1)

530

531 One participant described feeling sad when AC sessions had finished because they had enjoyed  
532 them. However, another AC participant found the sessions were unhelpful and added to their  
533 feelings of stress. They stated:

534            “I just found it rather stressful, I mean I dreaded her coming the second time” (Participant 4)

535

536 This participant was unsure of what to expect from the AC sessions, and a lack of familiarity with the  
537 AC visitor seems to have amplified their difficulty directing the conversation. The participant also felt  
538 that the AC visitor lacked initiation with conversation:

539            “I didn’t really see the point in the [AC sessions] at the time ... I’m a very self-contained  
540            person and I don’t really need company. [AC visitor] just came in and sat there and I felt well  
541            ‘what sort of a conversation does she want?’ ... I find it difficult to make conversation with  
542            someone that is totally alien to me ... She found it difficult to make conversation”  
543            (Participant 4)

544

#### 545 *Suggested future improvements to the AC intervention*

546 One suggestion was that AC visitors should be in a position to initiate conversation and that the  
547 emphasis for this should not be left to the participant:

548            “I think they’ve got to be interested enough to bring up a conversation to draw people out”  
549            (Participant 4)

550

#### 551 *Study paperwork*

552 Some participants found the baseline and follow-up questionnaires acceptable:

553 "I do admin work anyway so I'm used to all the admin stuff ... that doesn't bother me at all"

554 (Participant 3)

555

556 However, one participant found completing the questionnaires a negative experience, stating:

557 "I found it repetitive and rather a lot of it" (Participant 4)

558

559 Overall, some participants enjoyed the MI and AC sessions and would have liked to have received

560 more, while others found the sessions challenging. Some participants found MI sessions beneficial

561 but tiring, and some found AC sessions difficult; this may have been due to their own personality or

562 the characteristics of the AC visitor.

563

## 564 **Discussion**

565 In this feasibility study of MI post-stroke, the intervention was successfully delivered using members

566 of the clinical team, and we achieved delivery of an attention control. We learned about changes

567 that would be necessary to conduct a future trial. Here, we make recommendations for a future trial

568 based on our results, rather than using prespecified criteria.

569

570 The recruitment rate in the original trial of MI post-stroke (59%) [8] was used to calculate the

571 recruitment target for the current feasibility study. However, the target was not met, and the

572 recruitment rate in the current study was 46%. Participant interviews suggest those who took part

573 were motivated by the desire to help future patients. Barriers to achieving the recruitment target

574 included a lower than expected number of eligible patients consenting to participate, and staff

575 undertaking the screening and consent process lacking confidence. We had also assumed that the

576 randomisation rate (% of those eligible who consented and were randomised) would be the same as

577 the consent rate (% of those eligible who consented) as it had been in the original trial, but this was

578 not the case: the randomisation rate in this study was 40%. This was possibly due to the  
579 randomisation being performed less quickly than in the original trial so there was more potential for  
580 participants to be lost prior to randomisation. There was also a smaller than expected number of  
581 patients being eligible for the study (27% of all patients screened), whereas the original trial had a  
582 higher eligibility rate (50%). However, these figures may not be directly comparable as the original  
583 trial included all patients with suspected stroke without a confirmed diagnosis due to the diagnostic  
584 pathway at the time, whereas in this study a confirmed stroke diagnosis was possible relatively soon  
585 after admission and was necessary for recruitment. Furthermore, despite our study protocol stating  
586 that all patients admitted to the acute stroke unit with suspected stroke should be screened, the  
587 screening staff screened all patients admitted to the acute stroke unit, not limited to those with  
588 suspected stroke and it is unclear exactly how many patients were admitted with suspected stroke.  
589 Therefore, the eligibility rate of 27% might not truly reflect the numbers eligible based on our  
590 criteria. Despite this, even if we were to exclude those patients without suspected stroke from the  
591 number assessed for eligibility, the eligibility rate in this study would be 37%. For a future trial, a  
592 more conservative estimate of eligibility (37%), and a 40% recruitment rate (% of those eligible that  
593 were randomised) will be used. Additionally, appropriate training and ongoing support will be  
594 provided to staff conducting screening and obtaining consent.

595

596 Overall retention of participants to three months was only 63%. Even including those who died  
597 amongst those with primary outcome data, as they were known to have a 'poor outcome',  
598 'retention' was only 69%, which is still lower than in the original trial of MI post-stroke (86%) [8].  
599 There were also several participants who were unable to be contacted, or became unwell. In a  
600 future trial, further strategies will be implemented to increase the retention rate and completeness  
601 of follow-up data, based on the current evidence [25], including obtaining alternative contact details  
602 (e.g. participant's relative) in addition to participant details to increase the likelihood of maintaining  
603 contact with participants. Key questions around the primary outcome measure that could be

604 answered by the alternative contact might also increase the availability of outcome data. Incentives  
605 for completing postal questionnaires (e.g. pen included with postal questionnaire), as previously  
606 shown to be successful, might also increase response rate [26]. However, completion of individual  
607 questions by those participants who returned the questionnaire was good. The study paperwork was  
608 acceptable to most participants, although one participant felt there was too much paperwork to  
609 complete, with too much repetition. In a future study there will be careful consideration of which  
610 measures are included in follow-up questionnaires to ensure minimal burden to participants whilst  
611 collecting adequate study data. Patient and public involvement during the design of a future trial will  
612 play an important part in informing the potential burden and acceptability of follow-up  
613 questionnaires.

614

615 MI therapists and AC visitors had been expected to complete documentation relating to the sessions  
616 they conducted. However, study staff documentation was not well completed, which could have  
617 implications for the quality of the delivery of the MI or AC. The MI intervention involved the  
618 therapist reflecting on sessions using session notes in order to prepare for subsequent sessions and  
619 maintain continuity. This was also incorporated into the AC, to enable AC visitors to recall the  
620 content of previous sessions and maintain rapport with participants. Without session notes, the  
621 quality and content of the MI and AC may be compromised. It was also intended that MI therapists  
622 completed a measure of therapeutic alliance after each session which were to be collected once all  
623 sessions were completed. It was not feasible to perform the planned evaluation of therapeutic  
624 alliance as this documentation was only completed occasionally by two of the three MI therapists. In  
625 a future trial, training for study staff will emphasise the importance of completing study  
626 documentation and there should be closer and ongoing monitoring of documentation completion  
627 throughout the study by the research team. In a future multi-centre trial, study staff will be asked to  
628 complete and submit documentation electronically to the research team following each session they  
629 complete with participants.

630

631 It was possible to implement an attention control in our study. The AC intervention was acceptable  
632 to some but not all participants. Barriers included a lack of familiarity with the AC visitor and the AC  
633 visitor lacking initiation in conversation. This occurred despite the AC visitors being trained to use  
634 various strategies to maintain non-emotive conversations including completing crosswords, playing  
635 cards or discussing current affairs with participants. It is unclear if difficulties were due to the  
636 personality of the participant or the characteristics of the AC visitor. In a future trial, the training for  
637 AC visitors will have more emphasis on using strategies to initiate conversation. Furthermore, AC  
638 visitors will be selected based on characteristics likely to be more conducive to carrying out the AC  
639 following the development of a person specification for an AC visitor.

640

641 Sufficient numbers of staff were recruited and trained to MI proficiency, so it is possible to have  
642 members of the clinical team deliver the intervention. MI therapists felt they would have benefitted  
643 from ongoing and refresher training which could be incorporated for a future trial. However, the  
644 feasibility of using clinical staff to undertake the study roles of MI therapist and AC visitor remains  
645 uncertain. The attrition rate of study staff was very high, mainly due to the nature of their clinical  
646 role as therapy assistants who are a very transient workforce. This is not an issue specific to our  
647 study site, so in a future multi-centre trial it might be more efficient to recruit and train staff at a  
648 higher band (e.g. therapists), who are likely to be less transient than therapy assistants, to undertake  
649 the study roles.

650

651 Study staff experienced difficulties fulfilling both their clinical and study roles during the study  
652 period, due to the increased workload. MI and AC sessions were intended to be delivered once every  
653 week, however, due to study staff capacity, there were some instances where there were longer  
654 periods in between sessions. Difficulties were compounded by inadequate backfill and study staff  
655 attrition. There were fewer participants completing the maximum four sessions among the second

656 half of participants recruited, and this was more pronounced in the MI group, suggesting that staff  
657 attrition may have impacted on the dose received by participants. In a future trial, recruiting and  
658 training more staff per site might alleviate the resource issues of staff being in a dual role. However,  
659 this might have implications for the preservation of MI skills, as more therapists would mean lighter  
660 caseloads and therefore less opportunity to practice MI, highlighting the importance of replacing  
661 study staff swiftly after any departures.

662

663 Those receiving the MI intervention found it to be acceptable, with some patients suggesting more  
664 sessions would be desirable. The need for ongoing support following MI sessions, including group  
665 support, was highlighted as something which may alleviate feelings of isolation and may support  
666 patients to cope in the longer term. In a future study, contact details for local groups will be  
667 provided to participants after follow-up.

668

669 The MI and AC interventions were also generally deemed acceptable by the MI therapists and AC  
670 visitors. However, the interviews with the staff undertaking these study roles were conducted by  
671 members of the research team and so the staff might have been inhibited in their responses.

672 Additionally, due to resource issues, only four participant interviews were conducted limiting their  
673 generalisability.

674

## 675 **Conclusions**

676 Our feasibility study showed that it is possible to train clinical staff to deliver MI, and an appropriate  
677 AC can be implemented. Although this feasibility study was conducted in only one centre, and some  
678 issues may be specific to the study site, we were able to identify changes to the study design and its  
679 implementation that would be necessary for a future multi-centre trial. We recommend the  
680 following changes for a research team to consider for conducting a future trial: Using a more  
681 conservative recruitment rate estimate than that used for the current study, implementing more

682 strategies to increase participant retention, having therapists undertake study staff roles, and  
683 monitoring them on an ongoing basis. These changes would make it more feasible to conduct a  
684 multi-centre effectiveness trial of MI post-stroke, although some, such as the impact of the revised  
685 recruitment rate on achieving target sample size, may merit including an internal pilot in the design  
686 of the trial.

687

688 **List of abbreviations**

689	AC	attention control
690	ACE-R	Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination Revised
691	ACTNoW	Assessing Communication Therapy in the North West study
692	CFIR	Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research
693	DISCs	Depression Intensity Scale Circles
694	FAST	Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test
695	GHQ-12	General Health Questionnaire 12-item
696	IQR	inter-quartile range
697	MI	motivational interviewing
698	MITI	Motivational Interviewing Treatment Integrity code

699

700 **Declarations**

701 Ethics approval and consent to participate

702 Ethical approval for this study was granted by the NRES North West Committee – Preston (REC  
703 reference 12/NW/0633). All participants gave written informed consent.

704

705 Consent for publication

706 Not applicable.

707

708 Availability of data and materials

709 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding  
710 author on reasonable request.

711

712 Competing interests

713 DB is Chair of the Northern Stroke Research Fund, which funded this study. All other authors declare  
714 that they have no competing interests.

715

716 Funding

717 This study was funded by the Northern Stroke Research Fund. KP, CW, EJH and CEL were part funded  
718 by the National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health  
719 Research and Care North West Coast (NIHR CLAHRC NWC). The views expressed are those of the  
720 author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social  
721 Care.

722

723 Authors' contributions

724 KP, CW, CJS, EJH, MA, DB, KC, and CEL made substantial contributions to the conception and design  
725 of the study. KP, VB and EJH analysed data. KP, CW, EJH, CJS and CEL were involved in interpretation  
726 of data. All authors were involved in drafting and critically revising the manuscript, and gave final  
727 approval of the version to be published.

728

729 Acknowledgements

730 Not applicable.

731

732 **Endnotes**

733 <sup>a</sup> Thirty participants (65% of patients who completed the ACE-R questionnaire) had cognition based  
734 on scores <88.

735

736 **References**

737 [1] Adamson J, Beswick A, Ebrahim S. Is stroke the most common cause of disability? J Stroke  
738 Cerebrovasc Dis. 2004;13:171-7.

739

740 [2] Hackett M, Pickles K. Part I: frequency of depression after stroke: an updated systematic review  
741 and meta-analysis of observational studies. Int J Stroke. 2014;9(8):1017-25.

742

743 [3] Willey JZ, Disla N, Moon YP, Paik MC, Sacco RL, Boden-Albala B, et al. Early depressed mood after  
744 stroke predicts long-term disability: the Northern Manhattan Stroke Study (NOMASS). Stroke.  
745 2010;41(9):1896-1900.

746

747 [4] Bartoli F, Lillia N, Lax A, Crocamo C, Mantero V, Carra G, et al. Depression after stroke and risk of  
748 mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke Res Treat. 2013; doi:  
749 10.1155/2013/862978.

750

751 [5] Feeling overwhelmed. The Stroke Association, Summer 2013

752

753 [6] Hackett ML, Anderson CS, House AO, Halteh C. Interventions for preventing depression after  
754 stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2008; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003689.pub3.

755

756 [7] Hackett ML, Anderson CS, House A, Xia J. Interventions for treating depression after stroke.  
757 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2008; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003437.pub3.

758

759 [8] Watkins CL, Auton MF, Deans CF, Dickinson HA, Jack CI, Lightbody CE, et al. Motivational  
760 interviewing early after acute stroke: a randomized, controlled trial. *Stroke*. 2007;38:1004-9.  
761

762 [9] Watkins CL, Wathan JV, Leathley MJ, Auton MF, Deans CF, Dickinson HA, et al. The 12-month  
763 effects of early motivational interviewing after acute stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Stroke*.  
764 2011;42(7):1956-61.  
765

766 [10] Moyers TB, Martin T, Manuel JK, Miller WR, Ernst D. Revised Global Scales: Motivational  
767 Interviewing Treatment Integrity 3.1.1. Center on Alcoholism, Substance Abuse and Addictions  
768 (CASAA).  
769

770 [11] Bowen A, Hesketh A, Patchick E, Young A, Davies L, Vail A, et al. Clinical effectiveness, cost-  
771 effectiveness and service users' perceptions of early, well-resourced communication therapy  
772 following a stroke: A randomised controlled trial (the ACT noW study). *Health Technology*  
773 *Assessment*. 2012;16(26):1-159.  
774

775 [12] Horvath AO, Greenberg LS. Development and validation of the Working Alliance Inventory.  
776 *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1989;36:223-33  
777

778 [13] Mioshi E, Dawson K, Mitchell J, Arnold R, Hodges JR. The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination  
779 Revised (ACE-R): a brief cognitive test battery for dementia screening. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*.  
780 2006;21(11):1078-85.  
781

782 [14] Goldberg D. General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12). Windsor, UK: NFER-Nelson; 1992.  
783

784 [15] Watkins CL, Lightbody CE, Sutton CJ, Holcroft L, Jack CI, Dickinson HA, et al. Evaluation of a  
785 single-item screening tool for depression after stroke: a cohort study. *Clin Rehabil.* 2007;21(9):846-  
786 52.

787

788 [16] Turner-Stokes L, Kalmus M, Hirani D, Clegg F. The Depression Intensity Scale Circles (DISCs):  
789 Initial evaluation of a simple assessment tool for depression in the context of brain injury. *J Neurol*  
790 *Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2005;76:1273-8.

791

792 [17] Enderby PM, Wood VA, Wade DT, Hewer RL. The Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test: a short,  
793 simple test for aphasia appropriate for non-specialists. *Disabil Rehabil.* 1986;8(4):166-70.

794

795 [18] Wade DT, Collin C. The Barthel ADL Index: a standard measure of physical disability?  
796 *International Disability Studies.* 1988;10(2):64-7.

797

798 [19] Partridge C, Johnston M. Perceived control of recovery from physical disability: Measurement  
799 and prediction. *Br J Clin Psychol.* 1989;28(1):53-9.

800

801 [20] Nouri FM, Lincoln NB. An extended ADL scale for use with stroke patients. *Clin Rehabil.*  
802 1987;1:301-5.

803

804 [21] EuroQol Group. EuroQol: a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life.  
805 *Health Policy.* 1990;16(3):199-208.

806

807 [22] Ben Sira Z, Eliezer R. The structure of readjustment after heart attack. *Soc Sci Med.*  
808 1990;30:523-36.

809

810 [23] Willer B, Rosenthal M, Kreutzer S, Gordon A, Rempel R. Assessment of community integration  
 811 following rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 1993;8:75-87.

812  
 813 [24] Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation  
 814 of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing  
 815 implementation science. Implement Sci. 2009;4:50.

816  
 817 [25] Abshire M, Dinglas VD, Cajita MIA, Eakin MN, Needham DM, Himmelfarb CD. Participant  
 818 retention practices in longitudinal clinical research studies with high retention rates. BMC Med Res  
 819 Methodol. 2017;17:30.

820  
 821 [26] Bell K, Clark L, Fairhurst C, Mitchell N, Lenaghan E, Blacklock J, Cushnaghan J, et al. Enclosing a  
 822 pen reduced time to response to questionnaire mailings. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;74:144-50.

823

824

825 Table 4: Barriers to conducting the study described using the CFIR

CFIR domain	Element	Barrier	Quote
Intervention characteristics	Design quality and packaging	Possibility of being allocated to attention control	<i>Patients did not cite AC as a reason for not participating in the study but personally feel it was an issue</i>
		Patients viewed intervention as burdensome	<i>One of the main reasons for people declining was essentially people were saying they've got enough on their plate</i>

		Baseline assessments were considered lengthy	<i>I don't sometimes feel like I can do a session after the baseline, sometimes they're tired</i>
Characteristics of individuals	Self-efficacy	Patients declining to participate reduced confidence to recruit	<i>This trial got the most negative responses...it sort of knocked my confidence a bit</i>
		MI therapists lacked confidence in their ability to deliver MI	<i>Don't feel a hundred per cent confident in my skills in MI, it's difficult to know whether I'm doing it right</i>
		MI skills weakened due to irregular recruitment	<i>There were periods with no patients so not doing MI, so felt I was losing skills a little bit</i>
	Other personal attributes	High turnover among therapy assistants	<i>Therapy assistants are looking for other jobs and there is high turnover among them</i>
Inner setting	Networks and communication	Lack of co-ordination for the trial on-site	<i>Would be better to have someone identified as the co-ordinator within the hospital, it was difficult knowing who was doing what</i>
	Structural characteristics	Backfill for therapy assistants was not always appropriate	<i>Backfill doesn't really cover my time... because of the way our team is made up... therapy assistants are not generic, we're specialised, so backfill was not appropriate</i>

	Available resources	Therapy assistants left their role	<i>Going from three therapists in each arm to one has been difficult</i>
	Leadership engagement	Supervisors lacked knowledge of the study	<i>Supervisors in [new department] didn't know anything about the study so it has been a bit tricky to do the study role</i>
	Relative priority	Therapy assistant role prioritised over study role	<i>It's difficult to say, 'Oh no I can't do that because I've got the motivational interviewing'....In some ways you feel like that should take priority over the MI</i>
Process	Executing	Not enough training and feedback	<i>Training was quite intensive to start with but then fizzled out when recruitment started... we didn't get a lot of feedback... Would be useful to be able to refresh skills</i>

826

827

828 Table 5: Facilitators for conducting the study described using the CFIR

<b>CFIR domain</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Quote</b>
Intervention characteristics	Relative advantage	Intervention seen as beneficial to patients	<i>The patients have got somebody to talk to who's neutral, they're not going to talk to their family because they don't want to worry them</i>
	Design quality and packaging	Delivering sessions weekly	<i>Sessions being once a week works well to help maintain rapport</i>

		Holding AC sessions in patients' home	<i>Sessions at home were easier because there's lots of pictures and postcards, you can be more natural asking questions</i>
Characteristics of individuals	Self-efficacy	Existing skills and previous experience	<i>Used to emotional aspects and sensitive issues from working with patients on the wards so able to deal with these</i>
		Confidence increased with experience during the study	<i>More comfortable with patients now than I was when we started with the practice patients</i>
Inner setting	Relative priority	Value of study	<i>Psychological services within stroke is very important and is often overlooked and I think that any form of research which looks into that and raises the awareness of that is good</i>
Process	Executing	Supervision from study team	<i>Supervisors have been very good... have found it useful to be able to email and ask what to do if unsure about things</i>