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#### Abstract

We construct Hermitian representations of Lie algebroids and associated unitary representations of Lie groupoids by a geometric quantization procedure. For this purpose we introduce a new notion of Hamiltonian Lie algebroid actions. The first step of our procedure consists of the construction of a prequantization line bundle. Next, we discuss a version of Kähler quantization suitable for this setting. We proceed by defining a Marsden-Weinstein quotient for our setting and prove a "quantization commutes with reduction" theorem. We explain how our geometric quantization procedure relates to a possible orbit method for Lie groupoids. Our theory encompasses the geometric quantization of symplectic manifolds, Hamiltonian Lie algebra actions, actions of bundles of Lie groups, and foliations, as well as some general constructions from differential geometry.
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## Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give a method to construct Hermitian representations of Lie algebroids and associated unitary representations of Lie groupoids. An important way of constructing representations of Lie algebras and Lie groups is by geometric quantization (cf. e.g. [39], [12], [14]). In this paper this procedure will be generalized to Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids.

A groupoid is a small category in which all arrows are invertible. In particular, it consists of a base set $M$ and a set of arrows $G$, with a number of structure maps: the source and target map $s, t: G \rightarrow M$, composition $m: G_{s} \times{ }_{t} G \rightarrow G$, an inverse map $i: G \rightarrow G$ and a unit map $u: M \rightarrow G$, satisfying certain properties. If there exist smooth structures on the sets $M$ and $G$ such that the structure maps behave well, then $G$ is called a Lie groupoid. A general reference for Lie groupoids is the book by K. Mackenzie [19]. Lie groupoids are useful models for orbifolds (cf. [29]), orbit spaces of Lie group actions, and foliations (cf. [25]). They are also used in the study of manifolds with boundaries or corners (cf. [26]) and play an important rôle in Poisson geometry (cf. [19], [35]).

In the previous examples the groupoid is seen as a model for a singular space. This paper takes a different perspective: groupoids model a generalized notion of symmetry. One usually studies the symmetry of an object $X$, by studying its set of automorphisms Aut $(X)$, which has the structure of a group. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is often very large and one instead studies morphisms $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ for smaller groups $G$, called actions of $G$. One can go one step further and study the symmetry of a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. An automorphism of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ consists of automorphisms $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ such that $\psi \circ f=f \circ \phi$. Note that if $f$ is surjective, the automorphism $\phi$ of $X$ fixes the automorphism $\psi$ of $Y$, hence the automorphisms of $f$ form a subgroup of the automorphisms of $X$. If $Y$ is a set, then such an automorphism of a map actually consists of a family of isomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{y}: f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow f^{-1}(\psi(y))\right\}$. If $\psi(y)=y$, then $\phi_{y}$ is called an internal symmetry of the map, else it is called an external symmetry (cf. [36]). The union of all internal and external symmetries has the structure of a groupoid $\operatorname{Aut}(f) \rightrightarrows Y$. For example, the symmetry of a principal $H$-bundle $f: P \rightarrow M$ (for a Lie group $H$ and a manifold $M$ ) is described by the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$. In this paper we shall study morphisms of groupoids
$G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(f)$, called actions of groupoid. In particular, we shall construct linear actions (representations) from Hamiltonian actions.

The infinitesimal structure associated to a Lie groupoid is a Lie algebroid. A Lie algebroid is a smooth vector bundle $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$ with a Lie bracket on the space of smooth sections $\Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and a bundle morphism $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow T M$, called the anchor, satisfying a Leibniz identity for $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\tau, \sigma \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$, viz.

$$
[\tau, f \sigma]=f[\tau, \sigma]+(\rho(\tau) \cdot f) \sigma
$$

We shall often assume that Lie algebroids $\mathcal{A}$ are regular, i.e. $\operatorname{im}(\rho)) \subset T M$ has locally constant rank. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the Lie algebroid associated to a Lie groupoid $G$, then this condition implies that the orbit foliation on $M$ of the groupoid $G$ is regular. A Lie groupoid with regular orbit foliation is called regular. For example, transitive Lie groupoids, étale Lie groupoids and smooth bundles of Lie groups are regular. The regularity assumption is necessary to give proofs of some of the statements, but many constructions are possible to some extent in singular cases too.

We now give an outline of the paper, including some more details on the content. In the first section we recall the notion of a Lie groupoid or Lie algebroid action on a map $J: S \rightarrow M$. The introduction of Hamiltonian actions of Lie algebroids (and Lie groupoids) proceeds in two steps. First, for the case that $J: S \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion endowed with a family of symplectic forms $\omega$ we introduce the notion of an internally Hamiltonian action of a Lie algebroid. The word "internal" refers to the fact that we only consider the symmetry of the fibers $J^{-1}(m)$ for $m \in M$, which is represented by the action of the isotropy Lie algebra's $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ for $m \in M$. This action is internally Hamiltonian if there exists an internal momentum map, which is a map $\mu: S \rightarrow J^{*} \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$, satisfying certain natural conditions.

The second step considers the case that $\omega$ extends to a closed form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $S$ (which we shall call a $J$-presymplectic form). Then, one can proceed by defining the notion of a Hamiltonian action, as is done Section 1.4. An action will be called Hamiltonian if there exists a momentum map

$$
\tilde{\mu}: S \rightarrow J^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}
$$

satisfying natural conditions. We shall give many examples to motivate this definition. Some of the examples will return throughout the paper.

Section two is devoted to the construction of prequantization line bundles with a representation of the Lie algebroid, based on the data of a Hamiltonian Lie algebroid action. We introduce longitudinal Cech cohomology to study such line bundles endowed with a connection. The main result of Section 2 is, summarizing Theorems 2.10 and 2.12,

Theorem. If a Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$ and $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H^{J, d R}(S)$ is integral, then there exists a prequantization line bundle carrying a Hermitian representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

In Section 2.4 we briefly discuss the possible integrability of such a representation to a representation of an integrating Lie groupoid for the Lie algebroid.

In the third section we obtain a representation of the Lie algebroid through generalized Kähler quantization. To this effect we need $J: S \rightarrow M$ to be a bundle of compact Kähler manifolds. The main result is (cf. Theorem 3.3)

Theorem. If a Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$, $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H^{J, d R}(S)$ is integral and $J: S \rightarrow M$ is a bundle of Kähler manifolds, then there exists a geometric quantization $Q(S, \tilde{\omega})$ carrying a Hermitian representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Next, we study the symplectic reduction of Hamiltonian groupoid actions (a generalized Marsden-Weinstein quotient). We introduce an internal quotient ( $\left.I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \omega_{0}\right)$ and a
'full' quotient $\left(G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \tilde{\omega}_{0}\right)$. We also introduce internal quantum reduction $Q(S, \tilde{\omega})^{I_{G}}$ and full quantum reduction $Q(S, \tilde{\omega})^{G}$.

Finally we prove a "quantization commutes with reduction theorem" for regular proper Lie groupoids,

Theorem. (cf. Theorem 3.22 and Corollary 3.28) If $G$ is a proper groupoid acting in a proper, free and Hamiltonian fashion on a bundle of Kähler manifolds $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$, and $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H^{J, d R}(S)$ is integral, then there exist isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$
Q\left(I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \omega^{0}\right) \cong(Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{I_{G}}
$$

and

$$
Q\left(G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \tilde{\omega}^{0}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows}(Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{G}
$$

The proof strongly relies on the 'quantization commutes with reduction theorem" for compact Lie groups.

The orbit method as developed by Kirillov (cf. [14]) is based on the idea that there should be a certain correspondence between the irreducible unitary representations of a Lie group and the coadjoint orbits in the dual of its Lie algebra. This method works very well for nilpotent Lie groups (cf. [4]) and compact Lie groups (the Borel-Weil theorem). There are also nice results for reductive Lie groups (cf. [34]) and even for quantum groups (cf. [15]). One might wonder if such a principle is also useful for Lie groupoids. In this paper we shall see that the answer is affirmative, although a smooth family of coadjoint orbits is not the only ingredient to construct a representation. One needs some more structure to take care of the global topology. Moreover, one should realize that the coadjoint orbits are submanifolds of the dual of the Lie algebroid of the isotropy groupoid (which equals the dual of the kernel of the anchor). Although the isotropy groupoid is in general not smooth, it plays an essential rôle in understanding the representation theory of $G$.

The theory presented in this paper should be distinguished from the theory of symplectic groupoids and their prequantization (cf. [37]). Symplectic groupoids were introduced by Alan Weinstein and others in a program to geometrically quantize Poisson manifolds. This is not the purpose of this paper. We neither assume any (quasi-)(pre-) symplectic structure on the Lie groupoid, nor do we construct the geometric quantization of a Poisson manifold. Also, our notion of momentum map differs from the notion in [23].

The author would like to thank Eli Hawkins, Peter Hochs, Klaas Landsman, Alan Weinstein, Marius Crainic, Gert Heckman, Ieke Moerdijk, Michael Mueger and Hessel Posthuma for discussions, suggestions, interest and/or support at various stages of the research.

## 1 Hamiltonian Lie algebroid actions

### 1.1 Actions of groupoids and Lie algebroids.

The material in this section is standard (see [19]), except for the introduction of internally symplectic and $J$-presymplectic actions of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid, with source map $s: G \rightarrow M$, target $t: G \rightarrow$ $M$, unit map $u: M \rightarrow G$ inversion $i: G \rightarrow G$ and composition (or multiplication) $m: G^{(2)}:=G_{s} \times_{t} G \rightarrow G$. We shall use the notation $i(g)=g^{-1}, m\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=g g^{\prime}$ and $u(m)=1_{m}$. We shall assume throughout that $G \rightrightarrows M$ is source-connected, which means that all the fibers of $s$ are connected. Suppose $N$ is a smooth manifold and $J: N \rightarrow M$ a smooth map.

Definition 1.1. A smooth left action of $G$ on $J: N \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map

$$
\alpha: G_{s} \times_{J} N \rightarrow N
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
J(g \cdot n) & =t(g) \text { for all }(g, n) \in G_{s} \times{ }_{J} N  \tag{1.1}\\
1_{J(n)} \cdot n & =n \text { for all } n \in N,  \tag{1.2}\\
g \cdot\left(g^{\prime} \cdot n\right) & =\left(g g^{\prime}\right) \cdot n \text { for all }\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in G^{(2)} \text { and } n \in J^{-1}\left(s\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

using the notation $g \cdot n:=\alpha(g, n)$.
We shall also use the notation $\alpha(g)(n):=\alpha(g, n)$ There is an analogous notion of a right smooth action. One can show that the $G$-orbits in $N$ are smooth submanifolds of $N$. Note that these orbits are equal to the fibers of the map

$$
\tilde{J}:=p \circ J: N \rightarrow M / G
$$

where $p: M \rightarrow M / G$ is the quotient map from $M$ to the orbit space $M / G$. These orbits form a regular foliation of $N$, if $G$ is a regular Lie groupoid.

Example 1.2. We mention three basic examples here, all arising from a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ itself. Firstly, one has the action of $G$ on the identity map $M \rightarrow M$ by $g \cdot s(g)=t(g)$. Secondly, one has the action of $G$ on $t: G \rightarrow M$ by multiplication $g \cdot g^{\prime}:=g g^{\prime}$. Thirdly, one has a (in general non-smooth) action of $G$ on the associated isotropy groupoid (which is in general not a smooth manifold, unless $G$ is regular)

$$
I_{G}:=\left\{s^{-1}(m) \cap t^{-1}(m)\right\}_{m \in M} \rightarrow M
$$

by conjugation $c(g) g^{\prime}:=g g^{\prime} g^{-1}$.
Associated to a smooth groupoid action of $G$ on $J: N \rightarrow M$ is an action Lie groupoid $G \ltimes J$ over $N$. Its space of arrows is given by $G_{s} \times_{J} N$, the source map by $s(g, n):=n$, target map by $t(g, n)=g \cdot n$, multiplication by $(h, g \cdot n)(g, n):=(h g, n)$ and inversion by $i(g, n):=\left(g^{-1}, g \cdot n\right)$.

Suppose $J: S \rightarrow M$ is a smooth surjective submersion. The vector bundle $\operatorname{ker}(T J) \subset T S$ is the integrable distribution underlying the foliation $\mathcal{F}:=\left\{J^{-1}(m)\right\}_{m \in M}$ of $S$. We shall use the notation $T^{J} S:=\operatorname{ker}(T J), T^{*, J} S=: \operatorname{ker}(T J)^{*}, \mathfrak{X}_{J}^{\infty}(S):=\Gamma^{\infty}(\operatorname{ker}(T J))$ and $\Omega_{J}^{n}(S):=$ $\left.\Gamma^{\infty}\left(\bigwedge^{n} \operatorname{ker}(T J)^{*}\right)\right)$. Moreover, there is an obvious differential $d^{J}: \Omega_{J}^{n}(S) \rightarrow \Omega_{J}^{n+1}(S)$, which gives rise to a generalized de Rham cohomology denoted by $H_{J, d R}^{n}(S)$.

Suppose $\alpha$ is an action of $G$ on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds ( $J: S \rightarrow M, \omega$ ), where $\omega \in \Omega_{J}^{2}(S)$ is a smooth family of symplectic forms. The action is internally symplectic if it preserves the symplectic forms in the sense that

$$
\alpha(g)^{*} \omega_{\sigma}=\omega_{g^{-1}} \sigma
$$

for all $\sigma \in S$ and $g \in G_{J(\sigma)}^{J(\sigma)}$. This is just a "family version" of symplectic actions in the usual sense.

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega_{J}^{2}(S)$ extends to a closed 2 -form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$. We call a closed form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$ that restricts to a smooth family of symplectic forms $\omega \in \Omega_{J}^{2}(S)$ a $J$-presymplectic form. Note that

$$
\left.\left(\alpha(\gamma)^{*} \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma(m) \cdot \sigma}\right)\right|_{T^{J} S}=\alpha(\gamma)^{*} \omega_{\gamma(m) \cdot \sigma},
$$

for all open sets $U \subset M$, local bisections $\gamma: U \rightarrow G, m \in U$ and $\sigma \in S_{m}:=J^{-1}(m)$, since the local diffeomorphism $\alpha(\gamma)$ maps $J$-fibers to $J$-fibers. A local bisection is a map $\gamma: U \rightarrow G$ such that $s \circ \gamma=\left.i d\right|_{U}$ and $t \circ \gamma$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. The action is said to be $J$-presymplectic if

$$
\alpha(\gamma)^{*} \omega_{\gamma(m) \cdot \sigma}-\omega_{\sigma}=0
$$

for all open sets $U \subset M$, local bisections $\gamma: U \rightarrow G, m \in U$ and $\sigma \in S_{m}:=J^{-1}(m)$. This is equivalent to

$$
\alpha(g)^{*} \omega_{g \cdot \sigma}=\omega_{\sigma}
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $\sigma \in J^{-1}(s(g))$.
Definition 1.3. An action of a Lie algebroid $(\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$ on a map $J: N \rightarrow M$ is a map

$$
\alpha: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(N)
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(X+Y) & =\alpha(X)+\alpha(Y)  \tag{1.4}\\
\alpha(f X) & =J^{*} f \alpha(X)  \tag{1.5}\\
{[\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)] } & =\alpha([X, Y])  \tag{1.6}\\
T J(\alpha(X)) & =\rho(X) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.
Example 1.4. Any action of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on a manifold $N$ is a Lie algebroid action of $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow *$ on $N \rightarrow *$.
Example 1.5. Every Lie algebroid $(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M,[\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ acts on $J:=i d: M \rightarrow M$ via the anchor $\rho: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(M)$.

Example 1.6. A Lie groupoid action $\alpha$ on a smooth map $J: N \rightarrow M$ gives rise to an action $\alpha^{\prime}$ of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G)$ on $J: N \rightarrow M$ by

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(X)(n):=\left.\frac{d}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=0} \exp (\tau X)_{J(n)} n .
$$

Suppose $(\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$ is a regular Lie algebroid. An action of $\mathcal{A}$ on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds $(J: S \rightarrow M, \omega)$ is internally symplectic if $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \omega=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\operatorname{ker}(\rho))$. We shall see some examples of internally symplectic actions in Section 1.3.

The image $\rho(\mathcal{A}) \subset T M$ of the anchor is an integrable distribution, which induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}$ on $M$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ acts on a smooth fiber bundle $J: S \rightarrow M$. Denote the projection of $S$ on the leaf space $M / \mathcal{F}_{\rho}$ by $\tilde{J}: S \rightarrow M / \mathcal{F}_{\rho}$. Suppose $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$ is a $J$ presymplectic form. Note that $\left.\left(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \tilde{\omega}\right)\right|_{T^{J} S}=\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \omega$ for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ Then the action is said to be $J$-presymplectic if $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \omega=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that the action being $J$-presymplectic implies it being internally symplectic. We shall see many examples of $J$-presymplectic actions in Section 1.4, since a Hamiltonian action as defined in this section is automatically $J$-presymplectic.

Associated to a Lie algebroid action of $(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho,[\cdot, \cdot])$ on $J: N \rightarrow M$ there is an action Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} \ltimes J$. Denote the pullback of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$ along $J: N \rightarrow M$ by $J^{*} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow N$. The space of sections $\Gamma^{\infty}\left(J^{*} \mathcal{A}\right)$ is generated as a $C^{\infty}(N)$-module by sections of the form $J^{*} X$ for $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$. A Lie bracket on the smooth sections is defined by

$$
\left[f J^{*} X, g J^{*} Y\right]:=f g J^{*}[X, Y]+f(\alpha(X) \cdot g) J^{*} Y-g(\alpha(Y) \cdot f) J^{*} X
$$

where $f, g \in C^{\infty}(N)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and the anchor

$$
\rho^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(N)
$$

is given by

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(f J^{*} X\right):=f \alpha(X)
$$

Suppose a Lie groupoid acts on a map $J: N \rightarrow M$. It induces an action of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G)$ on $J: N \rightarrow M$ and the action Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G) \ltimes J$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G \ltimes J)$ associated to the action Lie groupoid.

### 1.2 Internally Hamiltonian actions and internal momentum maps.

In this section we introduce the notion of internally weakly Hamiltonian Lie algebroid action. This notion and the notion of internally strongly Hamiltonian Lie algebroid action, introduced in the next section, should be seen as an intermediate stage towards defining Hamiltonian actions. They are separately treated for clarity and for their role in the orbit method. Examples of internal Hamiltonian actions are postponed to the next section.

Note that the isotropy groupoid $I_{G}$ of a (non-regular) Lie groupoid is not a smooth manifold. But for any $G$-orbit $G m \subset M$ the restriction $\left.I_{G}\right|_{G m}$ is a smooth manifold. Hence $I_{G} \rightarrow M$ is a continuous family of smooth manifolds in the subspace topology, i.e. a surjective continuous map of topological spaces such that each fiber is a smooth manifold with the subspace topology.
Example 1.7. Consider the action of the circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ on the real plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by rotation. Consider the action groupoid $G=\mathbb{S}^{1} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The isotropy groupoid is a continuous family of Lie groups with fiber $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ at $(0,0)$ and zero fiber elsewhere.

If $G$ is a regular Lie groupoid, then $I_{G}$ is a smooth family of Lie groups, i.e. $I_{G} \rightarrow M$ is a smooth family of manifolds and each fiber has a Lie group structure smoothly depending on $m \in M$. Let $\pi: \mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right) \rightarrow M$ be the smooth family of Lie algebras associated to $I_{G}$. It is naturally isomorphic to the kernel $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ of the anchor $\rho: \mathcal{A}(G) \rightarrow T M$ of the Lie algebroid of $G$.

Suppose that $(\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$ is a regular Lie algebroid that acts on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds $(J: S \rightarrow M, \omega)$. Denote the action by $\alpha: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)$. Suppose that the action of $\mathcal{A}$ is internally symplectic. Then $\alpha(X)\lrcorner \omega$ is closed, i.e.

$$
\left.d^{J}(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \omega\right)=0
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\operatorname{ker}(\rho))$. Indeed, this follows from the Cartan homotopy formula

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(\mathcal{X})} \omega=d^{J}(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \omega\right)+\alpha(X)\right\lrcorner d^{J} \omega,
$$

in which the last term is zero, since $\omega$ is symplectic on $S$.
Definition 1.8. An internally symplectic action of a regular Lie algebroid ( $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho$ ) on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds ( $J: S \rightarrow M, \omega$ ) is called internally weakly Hamiltonian if there exists a smooth map $\mu: S \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$, such that

commutes and

$$
\left.d^{J}\left\langle\mu, J^{*} X\right\rangle=-\alpha(X)\right\lrcorner \omega
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\operatorname{ker}(\rho))$. The map $\mu$ is called an internal momentum map for the $\mathcal{A}$-action.
Remark 1.9. One might view $\mu$ as a section in $\Gamma^{\infty}\left(J^{*} \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}\right)$.
Remark 1.10. One should think of $[\alpha(X)\lrcorner \omega]$ as a cohomological obstruction to the existence of a momentum map. One has the following diagram

where $\alpha\lrcorner \omega(X):=\alpha(X)\lrcorner \omega$ and the right diagonal arrow denotes the induced map on the quotient space. The vanishing of this map is a necessary condition for $\alpha\lrcorner \omega$ to lift to a map $\mu$.

Definition 1.11. A symplectic Lie groupoid action is internally weakly Hamiltonian if the associated Lie algebroid action is internally weakly Hamiltonian.

Before we give examples of such actions we shall introduce the notion of internally strongly Hamiltonian actions in the next section.

### 1.3 The coadjoint action and internal momentum maps.

In this section we introduce the notion of internally strongly Hamiltonian actions and treat several examples.

Let $G$ be a regular Lie groupoid and $I_{G}$ the associated isotropy Lie groupoid. Recall that $G$ acts (from the left) on $I_{G} \rightarrow M$ by conjugation $G_{s} \times{ }_{p} I_{G} \rightarrow I_{G}$,

$$
c(g) g^{\prime}:=g g^{\prime} g^{-1}
$$

The action by conjugation induces an action of $G$ on the smooth family of Lie algebras $\operatorname{ker}(\rho) \simeq \mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right)$ by

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(g) X=\left.\frac{d}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=0} c(g) \exp (\tau X)
$$

where $X \in \mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right)_{m}$ and $g \in G_{m}$ for any $m \in M$. This action is called the adjoint action of $G$ and is the generalization of the adjoint action for Lie groups.

In turn, this induces the adjoint action of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G)$ on $\mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right) \rightarrow M$.

$$
\operatorname{ad}(X) Y=\left.\frac{d}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=0} \operatorname{Ad}(\exp (\tau X)) Y
$$

where $X \in \mathcal{A}(G)_{m}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right)_{m}$. Note that for $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}(G))$ and $Y \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(I_{G}\right)\right)$

$$
\operatorname{ad}(X) Y=[X, Y]
$$

Example 1.12. A simple example is the pair groupoid $G=M \times M \rightrightarrows M$. Conjugation is given by $c((m, n),(n, n))=(m, m)$. The kernel of the anchor is the zero bundle hence Ad is trivial on the fibers and ad: $T M \rightarrow M \times\{0\}$ is the zero map.

Example 1.13. If $G$ is a Lie group, then $A d$ and ad coincide with the usual notions.
Remark 1.14. There is also the notion of an action up to homotopy (cf. [9]). It turns out that the $\operatorname{map} \operatorname{ad}(X) Y:=[X, Y]$ defines an action up to homotopy of $\mathcal{A}$ on itself. We shall not use this structure in our paper.

One defines the coadjoint action of $G$ on the dual bundle $\mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ by

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Ad}^{*}(g) \xi, X\right\rangle:=\left\langle\xi, \operatorname{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right) X\right\rangle
$$

where $\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)_{m}$ and $g \in G_{m}$. Analogously, one defines the coadjoint action of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ on $\mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ by

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{ad}^{*}(X) \xi, Y\right\rangle:=\langle\xi, \operatorname{ad}(-X) Y\rangle
$$

which is obtained as the tangent map of $\mathrm{Ad}^{*}$.

Definition 1.15. An internally weakly Hamiltonian action of a regular Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds ( $J: S \rightarrow M, \omega$ ) is internally (strongly) Hamiltonian if the momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivariant with respect to the coadjoint action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$, i.e.

$$
\alpha(X) \cdot\langle\mu, Y\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{ad}^{*}(X) \mu, Y\right\rangle
$$

Definition 1.16. An internally weakly Hamiltonian Lie groupoid action is internally (strongly) Hamiltonian if the momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$ is $G$-equivariant with respect to the coadjoint action of $G$ on $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$, i.e.

$$
\mu(g \cdot \sigma)=\operatorname{Ad}^{*}(g) \cdot \mu(\sigma)
$$

Example 1.17. One can consider for example smooth families of Lie algebras $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$; in particular, a bundle of Lie algebras $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}$, where $H$ is a Lie group and $P \rightarrow M$ a principal $H$-bundle and the action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ is the adjoint action. More about internally Hamiltonian actions of such bundles can be derived from Example 1.19.

In general one can remark the following. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds $S:=\bigcup_{m \in M} S_{m} \rightarrow M$. Then a momentum map is a smooth map $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ that restricts to a momentum map in the classical sense on each fiber. For example, a smooth family of coadjoint orbits $S:=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m \in M}$ carries a Hamiltonian action (namely the coadjoint action). The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is an internal momentum map.

Example 1.18. Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a regular Lie groupoid with associated Lie algebroid $(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$. Consider a family of coadjoint orbits

$$
\left\{\mathcal{O}_{m G}\right\}_{m G \in M / G}
$$

in the dual of the kernel of the anchor $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$. Suppose they form a smooth family

$$
S:=\bigcup_{m G \in M / G} \mathcal{O}_{m G} \rightarrow M
$$

Then it has a symplectic structure at $m \in M$ given by the standard symplectic form on a coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{m G} \cap \operatorname{ker}(\rho)_{m}^{*}$ in the dual of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)_{m}$. The inclusion

$$
S:=\bigcup_{m G \in M / G} \mathcal{O}_{m G} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}
$$

is an internal momentum map for the coadjoint action on $S$ which is therefore internally Hamiltonian. This is an important observation concerning the orbit method for Lie groupoids. We shall come back to it later.

Example 1.19. Suppose $H$ is a Lie group and $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ a principal $H$-bundle. Denote the action of $H$ on $P$ by $\alpha$. Suppose $H$ acts on a symplectic manifold ( $S, \omega^{S}$ ) in a Hamiltonian fashion with momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. Denote the action of $H$ on $S$ by $\beta$.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ denote the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$. Define a smooth bundle of smooth manifolds by

$$
S^{\prime}:=P \times_{H} S
$$

The map $\pi:[p, \sigma] \mapsto \pi(p)$ is well defined from $S^{\prime}$ to $M$ and gives the bundle structure. The following observations and lemma will be necessary to endow $S$ with the structure of a bundle of symplectic manifolds.

Note that, since $P$ is a principal $H$-bundle, the infinitesimal action

$$
\alpha: P \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow T^{\pi} P
$$

is an isomorphism of smooth vector bundles. Moreover, it is equivariant with respect to the adjoint action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{h}$, hence it induces a diffeomorphism

$$
\bar{\alpha}: P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow T^{\pi} P / H
$$

Lemma 1.20. Suppose a Lie group $H$ acts properly and freely on a manifold $N$. Denote the action by $\gamma$. Then

$$
T(N / H) \simeq(T N) / \sim
$$

where the equivalence relation is generated by

$$
h v \sim v
$$

for all $v \in T N$ and $h \in H$ and

$$
\gamma(X)_{n} \sim 0
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in N$, and where $\gamma: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(N)$ denotes the infinitesimal action.
Proof. Consider the tangent map

$$
T N \rightarrow T(N / H)
$$

of the quotient map $N \rightarrow N / H$. It is surjective and the kernel is spanned by the elements mentioned above as one easily checks.

Remark 1.21. One should compare this lemma to the fact that $H$ acts on $T^{*} N$ in Hamiltonian fashion with "classical" momentum map $\mu: T^{*} N \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, and that the MarsdenWeinstein quotient satisfies

$$
T^{*}(N / H) \simeq \mu^{-1}(0) / H
$$

(cf. e.g., [12]).
Applying this lemma to $T S^{\prime}=T\left(P \times_{H} S\right)$ one obtains

$$
T\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq(T P \times T S) / \sim
$$

and restricting to the vertical tangent space one has

$$
T^{\bar{\pi}}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq\left(T^{\pi} P \times T S\right) / \sim
$$

The map $\alpha$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\left(T^{\pi} P \times T S\right) / \sim \longrightarrow(P \times \mathfrak{h} \times T S) / \sim_{1}
$$

with the equivalence relation generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[p, X, \beta^{\prime}(X)\right] \sim_{1}[p, 0,0]} \\
(h \cdot p, h \cdot X, h \cdot v) \sim_{1}(p, X, v)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $p \in P, h \in H, X \in \mathfrak{h}$. The map

$$
(P \times \mathfrak{h} \times T S) / \sim_{1} \longrightarrow P \times_{H} T S
$$

given by $[p, X, v] \rightarrow\left[p, v-\beta^{\prime}(X)\right]$ is again an isomorphism of smooth vector bundles. So we conclude that

$$
T^{\bar{\pi}}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq P \times_{H} T S
$$

We can define a structure of a smooth bundle of symplectic manifolds on $S^{\prime}$ via this isomorphism by

$$
\omega_{[p, \sigma]}\left(\left[p, v_{1}\right],\left[p, v_{2}\right]\right):=\omega_{\sigma}^{S}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)
$$

for $p \in P, \sigma \in S$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in T_{\sigma} S$. This well defined, since $\omega$ is $H$-invariant by assumption. One easily sees that this indeed gives a non-degenerate $\bar{\pi}$ - 2 -form on $P \times_{H} S$ and that

$$
d^{\bar{\pi}} \omega=0
$$

Consider the action of the gauge groupoid $G=P \times_{H} P$ on $\bar{\pi}: P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M$ given by

$$
[p, q][q, \sigma]:=[p, \sigma]
$$

where we remark that if $t[p, q]=\pi\left(\left[q^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right]\right)$, then one can always find a representative of the class $\left[q^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right]$ as above. Denote this action by $\gamma$.

Proposition 1.22. The action of the gauge groupoid $G=P \times_{H} P$ on $S^{\prime}=P \times_{H} S$ is internally Hamiltonian.

Proof. Note that the Lie algebroid associated to $G$ is isomorphic to (TP)/H (cf. [16], [19]). Hence the dual of the kernel of the anchor is isomorphic to $T^{*}, \pi / H$, which in turn is isomorphic to $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ using the map

$$
\left(\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{*}: T^{*, \pi} P / H \rightarrow P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}
$$

induced by the infinitesimal action $\alpha^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $T^{*} P$.
We give the momentum map via this isomorphism as a map

$$
P \times_{H} S \rightarrow P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}
$$

defined by

$$
\bar{\mu}[p, \sigma]:=[p, \mu(\sigma)] .
$$

This is indeed well-defined, since $\mu$ is by assumption $H$-equivariant, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}[h p, h \sigma] & :=[h p, \mu(h \sigma)] \\
& =\left[h p, \operatorname{Ad}^{*}(h) \mu(\sigma)\right] \\
& =[p, \mu(\sigma)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dually to $T^{\bar{\pi}}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq P \times_{H} T S$ we have an isomorphism

$$
k: T^{*, \bar{\pi}}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \rightarrow P \times_{H} T^{*} S
$$

Finally, we check that for all $X \in \Gamma\left(P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{J}\langle\bar{\mu}, X\rangle & =d^{J}[p,\langle\mu, X\rangle] \\
& \mapsto\left[p, d^{S}\langle\mu, X\rangle\right] \\
& \left.=[p,-\beta(X)\lrcorner \omega^{S}\right] \\
& \mapsto-\gamma(X)\lrcorner \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where the arrow on the second line refers to the isomorphism $k$ and in the last line we again identify the action of $T^{\pi} P / H$ on $P \times_{H} S$ with the action of $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}$ on $P \times_{H} S$ through the isomorphism $T^{\bar{\pi}} P / H \rightarrow P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}$.

Finally we have to check equivariance of the momentum map $\bar{\mu}$. This is immediate if we again identify $T^{\bar{\pi}} P / H$ with $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}$ and $T^{*, \bar{\pi}} P / H$ with $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}$.

Example 1.23. Suppose $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth complex vector bundle endowed with a Hermitian metric $h: E_{\pi} \times_{\pi} E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $U(E)$ be the groupoid of unitary maps on the fibers $\left\{E_{m} \rightarrow E_{n}\right\}_{m, n \in M}$. It has a smooth structure induced from the smooth structure on $E$ and the smooth structure on $U(n)$ (cf. [19]). There exists a smooth family of symplectic structures $\omega \in \Omega_{\pi}^{2}(E)$, given by the imaginary part of $h$, after identifying $T^{\pi} E$ with $\pi^{*} E$.

Proposition 1.24. The natural action of $U(E)$ on $(E, \omega)$ is internally Hamiltonian.
Proof. Let $F_{U}(E) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{M}\left(M \times \mathbb{C}^{n}, E\right)$ be the unitary frame bundle of $E$, i.e. the principal bundle of unitary maps of the trivial bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to $E$, where $n$ is the rank of $E$. It is well-known that

$$
F_{U}(E) \times_{U(n)} \mathbb{C}^{n} \simeq E,
$$

given by the map $(\Psi, z) \mapsto \Psi(z)$. Moreover, one easily checks that the map

$$
F_{U}(E) \times_{U(n)} F_{U}(E) \rightarrow U(E)
$$

given by $[p, q] \mapsto([q, z] \mapsto[p, z])$ is an isomorphism of the gauge groupoid of $F_{U}(E)$ with $U(E)$. Hence,

$$
I(U(E)) \simeq\left(F_{U}(E)_{\pi} \times_{\pi} F_{U}(E)\right) / U(n)
$$

Suppose $\omega^{\prime}$ is the imaginary part of a Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The natural action of $U(n)$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}(n), \omega^{\prime}\right)$ is known to be Hamiltonian (cf. for example [12]). So the proposition follows from Proposition 1.22, where the silent assumption was that $\omega$ is induced from $\omega^{\prime}$, as in the previous example.

Example 1.25. Suppose a regular Lie groupoid $G$ acts on a surjective submersion $J: N \rightarrow$ $M$. Denote the action by $\alpha: G_{s} \times{ }_{J} N \rightarrow N$. Denote the composition of $J: N \rightarrow M$ and the quotient $\operatorname{map} M \rightarrow M / G$ by $\tilde{J}: N \rightarrow M / G$. Let $p: T^{*, J} N \rightarrow N$ denote the projection and $J:=J \circ p$. Note that one has a commuting diagram


There exists an induced action of the groupoid on the map $\bar{J}$ given by

$$
\tilde{\alpha}(g) \eta=T^{*, J} \alpha(g)^{-1} \eta
$$

where $\eta \in\left(T^{*, J} N\right)_{s(g)}:=\bar{J}^{-1}(s(g))$. Moreover, there exists a canonical 1-form on $T^{*, J} N$ defined by

$$
\tau:=T^{*, J} p: T^{*, J} N \rightarrow T^{*, \bar{J}}\left(T^{*, J} N\right)
$$

by abuse of notation ( $T^{*}$ is not a functor in general.) This gives rise to a family of symplectic forms

$$
\omega:=d^{\bar{J}} \tau \in \Omega^{\bar{J}}\left(T^{*, J} N\right)
$$

Proposition 1.26. The action of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on $\left(\bar{J}: T^{*, J} N \rightarrow M, \omega\right)$ is internally Hamiltonian.

Proof. We define an internal momentum map $\mu: T^{*, J} N \rightarrow \bar{J}^{*} \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ by

$$
\mu:=-\tilde{\alpha}^{*} \tau
$$

where we use the same notation $\tilde{\alpha}$ for the induced action of the Lie algebroid of $G \rightrightarrows M$. The fact that the action is weakly internally Hamiltonian follows from

$$
\left.\left.d^{\bar{J}}\left\langle\mu, \bar{J}^{*} X\right\rangle=-d^{\bar{J}}\left\langle\tau, \tilde{\alpha}\left(\bar{J}^{*} X\right)\right\rangle=-\tilde{\alpha}(X)\right\lrcorner d^{\bar{J}} \tau=-\tilde{\alpha}(X)\right\lrcorner \omega
$$

for all $X \in \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. Equivariance of the momentum map follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mu, \bar{J}^{*} X\right\rangle(\tilde{\alpha}(g) \eta) & =-\left\langle T^{*, J} \alpha(g)^{-1} \eta, \alpha(X)_{\alpha(g)^{-1} p(\eta)}\right\rangle \\
& =-\langle\eta, \alpha(\operatorname{Ad}(g) X)\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mu, \bar{J}^{*}(\operatorname{Ad}(g) X)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g \in G, \eta \in T^{*, J} N_{s(g)}$ and $X \in \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$.
Example 1.27. As a corollary of the previous example, every Lie groupoid $G$ over $M$ has three canonical internally Hamiltonian actions associated to it. Firstly, one has the action $G$ on the base space $M$ given by $g \cdot s(g)=t(g)$, with zero symplectic structure at each point $m \in M$. Secondly, consider the the action on $T^{*, t} G \rightarrow M$, induced from the left action of $G$ on $t: G \rightarrow M$ by left multiplication. Thirdly, one has the action on $T^{*, p} I_{G}$ induced from the conjugation action on $p: I_{G} \rightarrow M$. This last one is, of course, related the coadjoint action of $G$ on $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*} \subset T^{*, p} I_{G}$, which is internally Hamiltonian.

### 1.4 Hamiltonian actions and momentum maps.

In this section we introduce Hamiltonian actions of Lie algebroids. A large part of the section will be devoted to examples justifying our terminology.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Lie algebroid over $M$ with anchor $\rho$. A smooth $n$-cochain on $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{\infty}(M)$-multilinear antisymmetric map

$$
\mu: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \times \ldots \times \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)
$$

The space of smooth $n$-cochains is denoted $C^{n}(\mathcal{A})$. It is turned into a cochain complex by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{A}} \mu\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n+1}\right)= & \sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1} \mu\left(\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right], X_{1}, \ldots, \dot{X}_{i}, \ldots, \dot{X}_{j}, \ldots, X_{n+1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i} \rho\left(X_{i}\right) \cdot \mu\left(X_{1}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{i}, \ldots, X_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The cohomology of the cochain complex is denoted $H^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ and is called the Lie algebroid cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 1.28. This not the only type of cohomology one could associate to Lie algebroids, see e.g., [8]. The cohomology groups discussed here are also called the (generalized) de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$. One could also define de Rham cohomology with coefficients in a Lie algebroid representation, but this is not needed in this paper.

A map of Lie algebroids $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ induces a cochain map $\Phi^{*}: C^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ and hence a map $\Phi^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ on cohomology.

Example 1.29. Suppose $\mathcal{A}=T M$, the Lie algebroid of the pair groupoid $M \times M$. Then $H^{*}(A)$ is the de Rham cohomology $H_{d R}^{*}(M)$ of $M$. If $\mathcal{A}=\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, then $H^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology $H^{*}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Suppose a regular Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ acts on smooth surjective submersion $J: S \rightarrow M$. Suppose a $J$-presymplectic 2-form

$$
\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)
$$

is given. Since the action $\alpha$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids $\mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow T^{\bar{J}} S$, one has

$$
d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J} \alpha^{*} \tilde{\omega}=\alpha^{*} d^{\tilde{J}} \tilde{\omega}=0
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \ltimes J$ is the action algebroid associated to the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$. Suppose the action is $J$-presymplectic. Using Cartan's homotopy formula this implies that

$$
\left.\left.d^{J}(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \tilde{\omega}\right)\left.\right|_{T^{J} S}=(\alpha(X)\lrcorner d^{\tilde{J}} \tilde{\omega}\right)\left.\right|_{T^{J} S}=0
$$

Definition 1.30. An action $\alpha$ of a Lie algebroid $(\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$ on a map $J: S \rightarrow M$ is Hamiltonian if there exists a smooth section $\tilde{\mu} \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left((\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)^{*}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu} & =-\alpha^{*} \tilde{\omega}  \tag{1.8}\\
d^{J}\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle & =-(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \tilde{\omega})\left.\right|_{T^{J} S} \text { for all } X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mu$ is called a momentum map for the action.
Remark 1.31. Condition (1.8) is called the prequantization condition and has to be satisfied for an action to be prequantizable. Condition (1.9) is called the quantization condition and has to be satisfied for the prequantization to be quantizable. This terminology will be justified in section 2 and 3 below.

Remark 1.32. The prequantization and quantization conditions state that $\tilde{\mu} \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)$ should be the simultaneous solution of an integration problem (1.8) for which $\alpha^{*} \tilde{\omega} \in H^{2}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)$ is the obstruction and a lifting problem (1.9) (which is an integration problem for each $X$ ) for which the map $X \mapsto(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \tilde{\omega})\left.\right|_{T^{J} S} \in H_{J}^{2}(S)$ forms the obstruction. In particular, if these cohomology groups are zero, then all $J$-presymplectic actions of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$ are Hamiltonian. There exist some vanishing results for Lie algebroid cohomology (cf. [5]).

Lemma 1.33. If an action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$ is Hamiltonian, then it is internally strongly Hamiltonian.

Proof. Note that condition (1.9) implies that that the action is internally weakly Hamiltonian, with internal momentum map $\mu:=i^{*} \circ \tilde{\mu}$, where $i: \operatorname{ker}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the inclusion.

We compute the left hand side of the prequantization condition (1.8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathcal{A}(G) \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}(X, Y)=\langle\tilde{\mu},[X, Y]\rangle-\alpha(X)\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} Y\right\rangle+\alpha(Y)\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and $Y \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\operatorname{ker}(\rho))$, then inserting (1.9) in (1.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\tilde{\mu},[X, Y]\rangle-\alpha(X)\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} Y\right\rangle=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\langle\tilde{\mu},[X, Y]\rangle=\langle\tilde{\mu}, \operatorname{ad}(X) Y\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{ad}^{*}(X) \tilde{\mu}, Y\right\rangle
$$

hence (1.11) expresses $\mathcal{A}$-equivariance of $\tilde{\mu}$. Hence the momentum map is a lift of an internal momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow J^{*} \operatorname{ker}(\rho)^{*}$, i.e. the diagram

commutes.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ acts on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$ in a Hamiltonian fashion. Then we can form a perturbation of $\tilde{\omega}$ by certain exact forms without changing the fact that the action is Hamiltonian.
Lemma 1.34. For any $\beta \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{1}(S)$. the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\left(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega}^{\prime}\right)$, with

$$
\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\omega}+d^{\tilde{J}} \beta,
$$

is Hamiltonian iff $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \beta$ annihilates $T^{J} S$ for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof. Define a momentum map by

$$
\tilde{\mu}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\mu}+\alpha^{*} \beta .
$$

One computes that Condition (1.8) is satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}^{\prime} & =d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}+d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J} \alpha^{*} \beta \\
& =-\alpha^{*} \tilde{\omega}-\alpha^{*} d^{\tilde{J}} \beta \\
& =-\alpha^{*} \tilde{\omega}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Idem dito for Condition (1.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{J}\left\langle\tilde{\mu}^{\prime}, X\right\rangle & =d^{J}\langle\tilde{\mu}, X\rangle+d^{J}\left\langle\alpha^{*} \beta, X\right\rangle \\
& \left.=-(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \tilde{\omega})\left.\right|_{T^{J} S}-(\alpha(X)\lrcorner d^{\tilde{J}} \beta\right)\left.\right|_{T^{J} S}+\left.\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \beta\right|_{T^{J} S} \\
& \left.=-(\alpha(X)\lrcorner \tilde{\omega}^{\prime}\right)\left.\right|_{T^{J} S}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, given a Hamiltonian action $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$ one can add certain closed forms to the momentum map and it is still a momentum map. Hence, the chosen momentum map is not unique.
Lemma 1.35. Suppose $\tilde{\mu}$ is a momentum map and $\beta \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{1}(S)$, then

$$
\tilde{\mu}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\mu}+\alpha^{*} \beta
$$

is a momentum map for the action too iff $d^{J} \beta=0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(X)} \beta$ annihilates $T_{J} S$ for all $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof. The proof is a calculation similar to the proof of the previous lemma.
Definition 1.36. An action of a regular Lie groupoid $G$ on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds $J: S \rightarrow M$ is Hamiltonian if the induced action of the associated Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is Hamiltonian.

Example 1.37. For the case of an action of a smooth family of Lie algebras on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds, every internal momentum map is a momentum map. In particular, strongly Hamiltonian Lie algebra actions are Hamiltonian in our terminology too.

Example 1.38. Suppose $M$ is a smooth manifold and consider an integrable distribution $T \mathcal{F} \subset T M$ as a Lie algebroid over $M$. The differential in the Lie algebroid de Rham complex is the $\mathcal{F}$-de Rham partial differential $d^{\mathcal{F}}$. Consider the action of $T \mathcal{F}$ on $M \rightarrow M$. Suppose that there exists a $\mathcal{F}$-partially closed 2 -form $\tilde{\omega}$. This is trivially a $J$-presymplectic form. A smooth section $\tilde{\mu}: S=M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}(G)=T^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a momentum map iff $d^{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mu}=-\tilde{\omega}$ on $M$.

Example 1.39. Suppose a regular Lie algebroid $\left(\mathcal{A}_{i} \rightarrow M, \rho_{i}\right)$ acts on $\left(J_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow M_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right)$ in a Hamiltonian fashion, with momentum map $\tilde{\mu}_{i}: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(G_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Then the Cartesian product of algebroids $\mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ acts Hamiltonianly on

$$
\left(J_{1} \times J_{2}: S_{1} \times S_{2} \rightarrow M_{1} \times M_{2}, \tilde{\omega}_{1} \times \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)
$$

with momentum map

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{1} \times \tilde{\mu}_{2}: S_{1} \times S_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1}^{*} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}
$$

As a particular example of this, suppose $M$ is a manifold endowed with a closed 2-form $\omega$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra. Suppose $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a coadjoint orbit. Consider the trivial Lie algebroid over $M$ with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$. It is the Cartesian product $T M \times \mathfrak{g}$ of $T M$ as a Lie algebroid over $M$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ as a Lie algebroid over a point. The inclusion of the coadjoint orbit $i: \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a momentum map for the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{O}$. If $\beta \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ satisfies $d \beta=-\omega$, then $\beta: M \rightarrow T^{*} M$ is a momentum map for the action of $T M$ on $(M \rightarrow M, \omega)$. Hence

$$
\beta \times i: M \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow T^{*} M \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}
$$

is a momentum map for the action of $T M \times \mathfrak{g}$ on $p r_{1}: M \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow M$.
Example 1.40. Consider the situation of Example 1.19, in which a Lie group $H$ acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on a symplectic manifold $\left(S, \omega^{S}\right)$, with momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. Suppose $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is a principal $H$-bundle. Proposition 1.22 states that the action of the gauge groupoid $G=P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $J: S^{\prime}:=P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M$ is internally Hamiltonian. Given a connection on $P$ we shall extend the symplectic form $\omega \in \Omega_{J}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ on $S^{\prime}$ to a $J$ presymplectic form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$. Then we shall see that the action is Hamiltonian with respect to a well-chosen momentum map.

Suppose $\tau \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\bigwedge^{1}(P) \otimes \mathfrak{h}\right)$ is a Lie algebra-valued connection 1-form on $P$. After identifying $T\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq\left(T P \times_{H} T S\right) / \sim$ as in Example 1.19, define

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{[p, \sigma]}\left(\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right):=\omega_{\sigma}^{S}\left(\left(v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right), v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right)-\left\langle\mu_{\sigma}, F_{p}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right.
$$

where $F$ is the $\mathfrak{h}$-valued curvature 2-form on $P$.
Lemma 1.41. $\tilde{\omega}$ is a well-defined 2-form in $\Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Note that for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$
\tilde{\omega}([\alpha(X), \beta(X)],[\alpha(Y), \beta(Y)])=0 .
$$

Moreover, for all $h \in H$

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{[h p, h \sigma]}\left(\left[h w_{1}, h v_{1}\right],\left[h w_{2}, h v_{2}\right]\right)=\tilde{\omega}_{[p, \sigma]}\left(\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right)
$$

since $\omega^{S}$ is $H$-invariant and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mu_{h \sigma}, F_{h p}\left(h w_{1}, h w_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\operatorname{Ad}^{*}(h) \mu_{\sigma}, \operatorname{Ad}(h) F_{p}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mu_{\sigma}, F_{p}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

by $H$-equivariance of $\tau$ and $\mu$.
We shall omit here the proof that $\tilde{\omega}$ is closed since we shall later see that it is the curvature 2-form of a connection on a line bundle over $P \times_{H} S$. Obviously, $\tilde{\omega}$ restricts to $\omega$ on the vertical tangent space.

Proposition 1.42. The action of the gauge groupoid $G=P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $\left(J: S^{\prime} \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega}\right)$ is Hamiltonian.

Proof. Define a momentum map $\tilde{\mu}: P \times_{H} S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*} \simeq T^{*} P / H$ by

$$
\tilde{\mu}:=\langle\mu, \tau\rangle,
$$

where $\mu$ is the momentum map for the action of $H$ on $\left(S, \omega^{S}\right)$. This is well-defined, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mu, \tau\rangle(h p, h \sigma) & =\left\langle\operatorname{Ad}^{*}(h) \mu(\sigma), \operatorname{Ad}(h) \tau(p)\right\rangle \\
& =\langle\mu, \tau\rangle(p, \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

One easily sees that $\tilde{\mu}$ restricts to $\bar{\mu}$ (cf. Example 1.19) on the vertical tangent space. For $H$-equivariant vector fields $w_{1}, w_{2}$ on $P$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{P} \tilde{\mu}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) & =\left\langle\mu, d_{P} \tau\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mu, F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mu,\left[\tau\left(w_{1}\right), \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{h}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mu, F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle+\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left\langle\mu, \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mu, F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle-\omega\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right), \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from the curvature formula

$$
F=d \tau+[\tau, \tau]_{\mathfrak{h}}
$$

the third equality follows from $H$-equivariance of $\mu$ and the last equality follows from the fact that $\mu$ is a momentum map. Hence one has $d_{A \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}=-\gamma^{*} \tilde{\omega}$, where $\gamma$ denotes the action of $P \times_{H} P$ on $P \times_{H} S$.

We check the quantization condition (1.9) for $\tilde{\mu}$. Identify

$$
T^{J}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq P \times_{H} T S
$$

as in Example 1.19. For $w \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{S}\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle & =d^{S}\langle\mu, \tau(w)\rangle \\
& =-\beta(\tau(w))\lrcorner \omega^{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we conclude that

$$
\left.d^{J}\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle=-\gamma(w)\right\lrcorner \tilde{\omega}
$$

Example 1.43. Suppose $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle with Hermitian structure $h$. Consider the action of $U(E)$ on $E$ as in Example 1.23. Let $F_{U}(E) \rightarrow M$ be the frame bundle of $E$. Suppose $F_{U}(E)$ is endowed with a connection $\tau$. Then we can extend $\omega$ (cf. Example 1.23) to a closed form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $E$ as in the above Example 1.40. As a consequence of Proposition 1.42 we have the following

Corollary 1.44. The action of $U(E)$ on $(E, \tilde{\omega})$ is Hamiltonian.
Remark 1.45. Note that the input for a Hamiltonian Lie groupoid action is not just a smooth bundle of coadjoint orbits. One can start with a smooth bundle of coadjoint orbits $J: S=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{m G}\right\}_{m G \in M / G} \rightarrow M$ on which one has an internal Hamiltonian action (cf. Example 1.18), but the difficulty is to find a suitable $J$-presymplectic form on it, such that the coadjoint action is Hamiltonian. For this reason, in the context of Lie groupoids there is not a perfect orbit method or philosophy à la Kirillov. We shall further discuss this in Section 3.4.

## 2 Prequantization of Hamiltonian Lie algebroid actions

### 2.1 Representations of Lie algebroids on vector bundles.

In this section we introduce the notion of a representation of a Lie algebroid with a base manifold possibly different from the base manifold of $\mathcal{A}$. Finally we introduce a certain Picard group associated to Lie algebroid representations on line bundles and show that there exists an exact sequence involving this Picard group.

Suppose $(p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid and $\alpha: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{j}^{\infty}(S)$ is an action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$. Suppose $E \rightarrow S$ is a smooth vector bundle over $S$. Let $\mathcal{D}(E)$ be the Lie algebroid whose sections are first-order differential operators $D$ on $E \rightarrow S$ that for all $f \in C_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma^{\infty}(E)$ satisfy

$$
D(f \sigma)=f D \sigma+\Theta(D) f \sigma
$$

for a map $\Theta: \mathcal{D}(E) \rightarrow T^{\bar{J}} S$, which is the anchor (see [19]).
Definition 2.1. A $\mathcal{A}$-connection on a complex vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow S$, is a map of vector bundles $\pi: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$, such that $\alpha=\Theta \circ \pi$. If $\pi$ preserves the Lie bracket, then it is called a representation or flat $\mathcal{A}$-connection.
Remark 2.2. This is a more general notion of a representation than usual, in the sense that we allow a base manifold which is not $M$. We shall see that prequantization defines a representation in this way. Quantization gives a representation in the narrow sense, i.e. on a smooth vector bundle over $M$.

Definition 2.3. A representation $\pi: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$ is Hermitian with respect to a Hermitian metric $g$ on $E \rightarrow M$ if

$$
g(\pi(X) \sigma, \tau)+g(\sigma, \pi(X) \tau)=\alpha(X) g(\sigma, \tau)
$$

Proposition 2.4. Any Hermitian representation of a Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ on a line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ is of the form

$$
\pi\left(J^{*} X\right):=\nabla_{\alpha(X)}-2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle
$$

where $\alpha$ is the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\mu} \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left((\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)^{*}\right)$ and $\nabla$ a Hermitian $T^{\bar{J}} S$ connection on $S$.

This proposition follows from the following lemma.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\left(p: \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow M, \rho\right)$ be a Lie algebroid and let $\nabla$ be a Hermitian $\mathcal{A}$-connection on a smooth complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Then there exist a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{E}$ on $E \rightarrow M$ and a section $\mu$ of $\mathcal{A}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{End}(E)$ such that $\nabla$ is of the form

$$
\nabla_{X}=\nabla_{X}^{E}-2 \pi i\langle\mu, X\rangle
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof. Let $\nabla^{E}$ be any Hermitian connection on $E$. It is well known that such a connection always exists. Consider the associated $\mathcal{A}$-connection defined by $\nabla: X \mapsto \nabla_{\rho(X)}^{E}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{X}-\tilde{\nabla}_{X}\right)(f s) & =f \nabla_{X} s+\rho(X) f s-f \nabla_{\rho(X)}^{E}-\rho(X) f s \\
& =f\left(\nabla_{X}-\tilde{\nabla}_{X}\right) s
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\nabla_{X}-\vec{\nabla}_{X}$ is a zeroth order differential operator on $E$, i.e. $\nabla_{X}-\vec{\nabla}_{X} \in \operatorname{End}(E)$. Moreover, $\nabla-\dot{\nabla}$ is $C^{\infty}(M)$-linear, in the sense that

$$
\nabla_{f X}-\tilde{\nabla}_{f X}=f\left(\nabla_{X}-\tilde{\nabla}_{X}\right)
$$

Thus $\nabla-\tilde{\nabla} \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{A}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{End}(E)\right)$ by the Serre-Swan theorem.

Isomorphism classes of smooth complex line bundles on a manifold $S$ form a group $\operatorname{Pic}_{\tilde{J}}(S)$ under the tensor product, with the trivial rank one line bundle as a unit, and inverse $[L]^{-1}=\left[L^{*}\right]:=\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(L, \mathbb{C})\right]$. If $\mathcal{A}$ acts on a map $J: S \rightarrow M$, then one can extend this structure to the set of isomorphism classes of Hermitian $\mathcal{A}$-representations on smooth complex line bundles over $S$. The product of $\pi: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $\pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\pi \otimes 1+1 \otimes \pi^{\prime}: A \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(L \otimes L^{\prime}\right)
$$

and the inverse is given by

$$
\left\langle\pi^{-1}(X) s^{*}, s\right\rangle=-\left\langle s^{*}, \pi(X)(s)\right\rangle+d_{\mathcal{A}}\left\langle s^{*}, s\right\rangle .
$$

The Hermitian structure on the tensor product $L_{1} \otimes L_{2}$ of two line bundles $\left(L_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(L_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is given by the formula

$$
g\left(v_{1} \otimes w_{1}, v_{2} \otimes w_{2}\right)=g_{1}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) g_{2}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)
$$

Definition 2.6. The Hermitian Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ of the Lie algebroid action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$ is the group of isomorphism classes of Hermitian representations of $\mathcal{A}$ on line bundles over $S$, with product and inverse as described above.

Proposition 2.7. There is an exact sequence of groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{\mathcal{A}}(J) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{c_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J}} H^{2}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The second arrow sends a closed section $\mu \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left((\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)^{*}\right)$ to the representation $X \mapsto \alpha(X)-2 \pi i\langle\mu, X\rangle$ on the trivial line bundle. This is well-defined and injective: suppose $\mu, \mu^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left((\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)^{*}\right)$ give rise to isomorphic representations, i.e. there is a $f \in C^{\infty}(S)$ such that

$$
\left(\alpha(X)-2 \pi i\left\langle\mu^{\prime}, J^{*} X\right\rangle\right)(f \sigma)=f\left(\alpha(X)-2 \pi i\left\langle\mu, J^{*} X\right\rangle\right) \sigma
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $\sigma \in C_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)=\Gamma^{\infty}(L)$. Using the Leibniz rule and $\left\langle d_{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J}(f), X\right\rangle=$ $\alpha(X) f$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle d_{\mathcal{A}} f, J^{*} X\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu-\mu^{\prime}, J^{*} X\right\rangle
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus the two representations are isomorphic iff there exists an $f \in$ $C_{\tilde{J}}^{c \infty}(S)$ such that $d_{A} f=\mu-\mu^{\prime}$. The third arrow forgets the representation, so the sequence is exact at $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$. The last arrow is the first $\mathcal{A}$-Chern class map $c_{1}^{\mathcal{A} \ltimes J}([L]):=\left[\alpha^{*} K\right]$, where $K$ denotes the curvature 2-form of any connection $\nabla$ on $L \rightarrow M$. If it is zero in $H^{2}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)$, then $L$ carries a Hermitian $\mathcal{A}$-representation, cf. Theorem 2.12.

Remark 2.8. One can generalize the notion of (higher) Chern classes of complex vector bundles to characteristic classes for complex representations of Lie algebroids (cf. [5]).

### 2.2 Prequantization line bundles and longitudinal Čech cohomology.

In this section we shall discuss how the class of a $J$-presymplectic form $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\tilde{J}, d R}^{2}(S)$ determines a class in the longitudinal Cech cohomology. This cohomology is defined analogously to the usual Cech cohomology (cf. [2]). Then we give a criterion for $\tilde{\omega}$ to be the curvature of a Hermitian connection on a complex line bundle.

Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a regular foliation of $S$. Consider the projection map on the orbit space $\tilde{J}: S \rightarrow S / \mathcal{F}$. Suppose $\mathcal{U}$ is a countable good foliation covering for $S$ (i.e. for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ the foliation restricted to $U$ is diffeomorphic to a contractible open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{q} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$, where $n=\operatorname{dim}(M)$ and $q$ the dimension of the foliation. Let $I$ be a countable ordered index set for
$\mathcal{U}$. Denote the intersection of $k$ sets $U_{i_{1}}, \ldots, U_{i_{k}}$ by $U_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ for $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \in I$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ let $C_{\tilde{J}}^{k}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of smooth functions on $(k+1)$-fold intersections $U_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{k+1}}$ (where $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k+1}$ ) which are locally constant along the leaves of the foliation by $\tilde{J}$. Define a map

$$
\delta_{k}: C_{\tilde{J}}^{k}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{\tilde{J}}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})
$$

by the usual formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta_{k}(f)\right|_{U_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k+1}}}:=\left.\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}(-1)^{j} f\right|_{U_{i_{1} \ldots \hat{i}_{j} \ldots i_{k+1}}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

One checks that $\delta^{2}=0$. The cohomology of the complex is independent of the chosen good foliation cover and we call it the longitudinal Cech cohomology and denote it by $\bar{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{*}(S, \mathbb{R})$

Consider the foliation Cech-de Rham double complex defined by

$$
C^{k, l}:=\prod_{i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k+1}} \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{l}\left(U_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k+1}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\delta_{k, l}: C^{k, l} \rightarrow C^{k+1, l}
$$

the straightforward generalization of (2.13) and

$$
d_{k, l}^{\tilde{J}}: C^{k, l} \rightarrow C^{k, l+1}
$$

the restriction of $d^{\tilde{J}}$ to the $(k+1)$-fold intersections.
The augmented double complex (ignore the fact that some arrows are dotted), partly shown here,

can be used to prove
Proposition 2.9. There exists an isomorphism $H_{\tilde{j}, d R}^{*}(S) \simeq \dot{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{*}(S, \mathbb{R})$ between the foliation de Rham cohomology and the longitudinal Cech cohomology.

The proof is analogous to the proof with the usual Cech-de Rham complex (cf. [2]).
Let $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\tilde{J}, d R}^{2}(S)$ be the class of a $J$-presymplectic form. We shall concretely realize the above isomorphism to associate a degree 2 longitudinal Cech cohomology class to [ $\tilde{\omega}]$. We
shall follow the dotted arrows in the above diagram. Suppose $\mathcal{U}$ is a good foliation covering for $S$. Since $d^{\tilde{J}} \tilde{\omega}=0$, for each $U_{j} \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists an $\eta_{j} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{1}\left(U_{j}\right)$ such that $d^{\tilde{J}} \eta_{j}=\left.\tilde{\omega}\right|_{U_{j}}$. Since for all $U_{j}, U_{k} \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $d^{\tilde{J}}\left(\eta_{j}-\eta_{k}\right)=0$ on the intersection $U_{j k}$, there exists an $f_{j k} \in C^{\infty}\left(U_{j k}\right)$ such that $d^{\bar{J}} f_{j k}=\eta_{j}-\eta_{k}$. One easily checks that $d^{\bar{J}} f_{j k}+d^{\bar{J}} f_{k l}-d^{\bar{J}} f_{j l}=0$ on $U_{j k l}$ and $\delta\left(a_{j k l}\right)=0$. Hence

$$
a:=\left\{a_{j k l}:=f_{j k}+f_{k l}-f_{j l}\right\}_{j, k, l \in I}
$$

defines a class $[a]$ in $\dot{H}_{\bar{J}}^{2}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$.
There is an obvious definition of longitudinal Cech cohomology $\dot{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}$. But, one easily sees that $\dot{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{*}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \dot{H}^{*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, since a cocycle which is integral valued and continuous is locally constant. We call a class $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\tilde{J}, d R}^{2}(S)$ integer if the associated class in $\check{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S, \mathbb{R})$ is in the image of the canonical map

$$
\breve{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \breve{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S, \mathbb{R})
$$

Theorem 2.10. A $\tilde{J}$-closed form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$ is the curvature 2 -form of a $\tilde{J}$-partial Hermitian connection on a complex line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ iff $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\tilde{J}, d R}^{2}(S)$ is integral.
Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose a line bundle $L \rightarrow S$, Hermitian metric $h$ and a Hermitian connection $\nabla$ are given, such that $K^{\nabla}=\tilde{\omega}$. Suppose $\left\{\left(U_{j}, s_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$ form a normalized trivialization of $L \rightarrow S$, in the sense that $s_{j}:\left.U_{j} \rightarrow L\right|_{U_{j}}$ is a section for all $j \in I$ such that $h\left(s_{j}, s_{j}\right)=1$. This gives rise to a cocycle $\left\{c_{j k}: U_{j k} \rightarrow U(1)\right\}_{j, k \in I}$ defined by

$$
s_{k}=c_{j k} s_{j}
$$

for all $j, k \in I$.
To the curvature form $\tilde{\omega}$ of the connection $\nabla$ is associated a Cech class as above. The local $\tilde{J}$-forms $\eta_{j}(j \in I)$ give the partial connection with curvature $\tilde{\omega}$ by the formula

$$
\nabla s_{j}=2 \pi i \eta_{j} \cdot s_{j} .
$$

From this formula one computes, using the Leibniz rule for connections, that

$$
d^{\tilde{J}} f_{j k}=\eta_{k}-\eta_{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{d^{\tilde{J}} c_{j k}}{c_{j k}} .
$$

One can easily show that the fact that $\nabla$ is Hermitian implies that the function $f_{j k}$ must be real-valued. Hence for all $j, k \in I$

$$
c_{j k}=e^{2 \pi i\left(\left(f_{j k}+d_{j k}\right)\right.},
$$

for a function $d_{j k}: U_{j k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ locally constant along the leaves. The $d_{j k}$ constitute a Cech 1-cocycle in $b \in C_{\tilde{J}}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$. From the fact that $c_{j k} c_{k l} c_{j l}^{-1}=1$ we deduce that

$$
\left(f_{j k}+d_{j k}\right)+\left(f_{j k}+d_{j k}\right)-\left(f_{j k}+d_{j k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

hence $a-\delta(b) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $[a]$ is integer.
$(\Leftrightarrow) \quad$ Suppose an integer class in $H_{J, d R}^{2}(S)$ is given. There exist an associated class in $\check{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$. Choose a representative of this class such that the functions $a_{j k l}:=f_{j k}+f_{k l}-f_{j l}$ (as above) have integer value. For all $j, k \in I$ define

$$
c_{j k}:=e^{2 \pi i f_{j k}}
$$

This defines is a cocycle, since $a_{j k l}$ is integral, which gives a smooth complex line bundle

$$
L=\left(\bigcup_{j \in I}\left(U_{j} \times \mathbb{C}\right)\right) / \sim
$$

where the equivalence relation is given by $U_{j} \times \mathbb{C} \ni(m, z) \sim\left(m, c_{j k} z\right) \in U_{k} \times \mathbb{C}$ whenever $m \in U_{j k}$. The connection is given by

$$
\nabla s_{j}=2 \pi i \eta_{j} \cdot s_{j}
$$

where $s_{j}$ denote section $U_{j} \times \mathbb{C}$ which is constantly equal to 1 . The Hermitian structure is given by

$$
h\left(\left(m, z_{1}\right),\left(m, z_{2}\right)\right)=\bar{z}_{1} z_{2} .
$$

A computation proves that $\nabla$ is Hermitian with respect to $h$.
Remark 2.11. One should relate this to the well-known fact that

$$
\left.c_{1}: \operatorname{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \check{H}^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

where $c_{1}([L]):=[\tilde{\omega}]$ is the first Chern class, which equals the class of the curvature 2-form of any connection on $L$. Moreover, as we remarked before, $\breve{H}^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \dot{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, hence the above proof is very similar to the proof of the fact that $c_{1}$ is an isomorphism. It is repeated here since $\tilde{\omega}$ gives rise to an element in $\dot{H}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S, \mathbb{R})$ and not in $\dot{H}^{2}(S, \mathbb{R})$ and for expositionary purposes. Summarizing one has the following commuting diagram


### 2.3 Prequantization representations.

In this section we prove that under suitable assumptions there exists a prequantization representation associated to a Hamiltonian Lie algebroid action. Next, we discuss some examples and some properties of the prequantization representation.

Suppose a regular Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ over $M$ acts on a smooth map $J: S \rightarrow M$. Let $\alpha: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)$ denote the action. Suppose that $S$ is endowed with a $J$-presymplectic $\tilde{J}$-2-form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$. Suppose that the action is Hamiltonian with momentum map

$$
\tilde{\mu}: S \rightarrow J^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}
$$

Suppose, furthermore, that there exists a smooth complex line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ with a Hermitian metric $h$ and a $\tilde{J}$-partial Hermitian connection $\nabla^{L}$, such that the curvature $J-2$ form $K^{\nabla_{L}}$ equals $\tilde{\omega}$. The triple $\left(L \rightarrow S, \nabla^{L}, h\right)$ is called a prequantization of the Hamiltonian action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$. We have seen in Theorem 2.10 that a prequantization exists if and only if the cohomology class of $\tilde{\omega}$ is integral.

Theorem 2.12. There exists a Hermitian representation of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ on $(L \rightarrow M, h)$ given by

$$
\pi(X):=\nabla_{\alpha(X)}-2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle
$$

Remark 2.13. Note that this formula is a generalization of the well-known Kostant formula in classical prequantization theory. The fact that it also applies to Lie algebroids was also used in [38]. Actually one only needs the prequantization condition (1.8), which is equivalent to $c_{1}^{\mathcal{A}}(L)=0 \in H^{2}(\mathcal{A} \ltimes J)$. From exactness of the sequence 2.12 the theorem follows at once.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ one computes

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\pi(X), \pi(Y)]_{\mathcal{D}(L)}-\pi\left([X, Y]_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=} & {\left[\nabla_{\alpha(X)}, \nabla_{\alpha(Y)}\right] } \\
& +2 \pi i \alpha(Y)\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle-2 \pi i \alpha(X)\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} Y\right\rangle \\
& -\nabla_{\alpha[X, Y]}+2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*}[X, Y]\right\rangle \\
= & 2 \pi i K(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y))+2 \pi i d_{A \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}(X, Y) \\
= & 2 \pi i \omega(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y))+2 \pi i d_{A \ltimes J} \tilde{\mu}(X, Y) \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\pi$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebroids.
The representation being Hermitian is proven by computation. For $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ and $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\pi(X) \sigma, \tau)+h(\sigma, \pi(X) \tau)= & h\left(\nabla_{\alpha(X)} \sigma, \tau\right)-h\left(2 \pi i\left\langle\mu, J^{*} X\right\rangle \sigma, \tau\right) \\
& +h\left(\sigma, \nabla_{\alpha(X)} \tau\right)-h\left(\sigma, 2 \pi i\left\langle\mu, J^{*} X\right\rangle \tau\right) \\
= & \alpha(X) h(\sigma, \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

since the connection is Hermitian and the metric $h$ is sesquilinear.
Definition 2.14. The above representation $(L \rightarrow M, h, \pi) \in \operatorname{Pic}_{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ is the prequantization representation of the Hamiltonian action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$.

Example 2.15. The tangent bundle $T M$ of a smooth manifold $M$ is a Lie algebroid over $M$. It trivially acts on $J=i d: M \rightarrow M$. The prequantization procedure boils down to a standard situation in differential geometry. Suppose $M$ is endowed with an integral closed 2-form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^{2}(M)$ (the $J$-presymplectic form). As we have seen, a momentum map for this action is a 1 -form $\mu \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ satisfying $d \mu=-\tilde{\omega}$. A prequantum line bundle is a complex line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ endowed with a Hermitian connection $\nabla$ whose curvature equals $\omega$. The prequantization representation of $\mathcal{A}=T M$ is the flat connection $\nabla-2 \pi i \mu$.

For a regular integrable distribution as a Lie algebroid and its associated foliation $\mathcal{F}$ (cf. Example 1.38) a similar reasoning holds with the differential $d$ replaced by a partial differential along the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Example 2.16. Suppose $p: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ is a smooth family of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{m}(m \in M)$ as in Example 1.17. Suppose it acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on a smooth bundle $J: S \rightarrow M$ of coadjoint orbits $S_{m}:=\mathcal{O}_{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$. Then the inclusion $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a momentum map. We have a prequantization line bundle if we can paste prequantization line bundles $L_{m} \rightarrow S_{m}$ for each $\mathfrak{g}_{m}$ into a smooth bundle $L \rightarrow M$. A Hermitian representation on $L \rightarrow M$ is then given by $X \mapsto-2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle$, where $\tilde{\mu}: S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is the inclusion.

Example 2.17. Let a Lie group $H$ act on a symplectic manifold ( $S, \omega^{S}$ ) in Hamiltonian fashion, with momentum $\operatorname{map} \mu: S \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, and let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal bundle endowed with an $\mathfrak{h}$-valued connection 1-form $\tau$. The connection induces a decomposition of $T P$ into a direct sum $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ of a horizontal bundle $\mathcal{H}:=\operatorname{ker}(\tau)$ and a vertical bundle $\mathcal{V}:=\operatorname{ker}(T \pi)$. In Example 1.40 we defined a $J$-presymplectic 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $S^{\prime}:=P \times_{H} S$ and proved that the action of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $\left(S^{\prime}, \tilde{\omega}\right)$ is Hamiltonian.

Suppose $\left(\pi_{L}: L \rightarrow S, \nabla^{L}, h\right)$ is a prequantization for the action of $H$ on $S$. Consider the line bundle $P \times_{H} L \rightarrow P \times_{H} S$. We shall show that this forms a prequantization line bundle for the action of $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $S^{\prime} \rightarrow M$.

Firstly, we shall explain the line bundle structure on $\pi: P \times_{H} L \rightarrow P \times_{H} S$. The map

$$
\pi([p, z]):=\left[p, \pi_{L}(z)\right],
$$

is well-defined, since $\pi_{L}$ is $H$-equivariant. Addition is defined by finding representatives with equal first entry (this is always possible) and then adding the second entry, i.e.

$$
\left[p, z_{1}\right]+\left[p, z_{2}\right]=\left[p, z_{1}+z_{2}\right]
$$

Scalar multiplication is defined by scalar multiplication on the second entry

$$
\lambda[p, z]=[p, \lambda z] .
$$

A section $\theta \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$ is represented by a pair $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ of $H$-equivariant maps $\theta_{1}: P \times S \rightarrow P$ and $\theta_{2}: P \times S \rightarrow L$, such that $\theta_{1}(p, \sigma)=h^{\prime}(\sigma) p$ for some map $h^{\prime}: S \rightarrow H$, and $\pi_{L} \theta_{2}$ equals the projection $P \times S \rightarrow S$. Indeed, this is the case iff $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right): P \times S \rightarrow P \times L$ induces a section $P \times_{H} S \rightarrow P \times_{H} L$.

Since ( $\pi_{L}: L \rightarrow S, \nabla^{L}, h$ ) is a prequantization for the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\left(S, \omega^{S}\right)$, the curvature of $\nabla^{L}$ equals $\omega^{S}$ and the representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ is given by Kostant's formula

$$
X \mapsto \nabla_{\beta(X)}^{L}-2 \pi i\langle\mu, X\rangle
$$

We identify $T\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq\left(T P \times_{H} T S\right) / \sim$, cf. Example 1.19. For each $H$-equivariant vector field $v$ on $S$ and each $H$-equivariant vector field $w$ on $P$, let $[v, w]$ denote the vector field induced on $P \times_{H} S$. For each $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$ and $[w, v] \in \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} S\right)$, define

$$
\nabla_{[w, v]} \theta:=\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \theta_{2}-\tau_{h}(w) \cdot \theta_{2}\right)
$$

where $\left(\nabla^{L} \theta_{2}\right)(p, \sigma):=\nabla^{L}\left(\theta_{2}(p, \cdot)\right)(\sigma)$ and $\tau_{h}(w) \in \mathcal{H}$ is the horizontal projection $w-$ $\alpha(\tau(w))$ of $w$. Suppose that $H$ is connected (we need this for equivariance of the connection $\nabla^{L}$, cf. Corollary 2.22).

Lemma 2.18. $\nabla$ is a connection on $P \times_{H} L$ with curvature $\tilde{w}$.
Proof. First we check that $\nabla$ is well-defined. Indeed, $\nabla_{[\alpha(X), \beta(X)]}=0$, since $\tau(\alpha(X))=X$ and $\tau_{h}(\alpha(X))=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \cdot \nabla_{[w, v]} \theta & =\left(h \cdot \theta_{1}, h \nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \theta_{2}-h \cdot\left(\tau_{h}(w)\right) \cdot \theta_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{h(v-\beta(\tau(w)))}^{L} h \cdot \theta_{2}-\tau_{h}(w) \cdot \theta_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \cdot \theta_{2}-\tau_{h}(w) \cdot \theta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by $H$-equivariance of $\theta, \nabla, w, v, \beta, \alpha$ and $\tau$.
It is easy to check that $\nabla$ is a connection. For example, for an $H$-invariant function $f \in C^{\infty}(P \times S)^{H}$ one computes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{[w, v]} f \theta & \left.=\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} f \theta_{2}\right)+\tau_{h}(w) f \theta_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\theta_{1},\left(f \nabla_{[w, v]}+(v-\beta(\tau(w))) \cdot f+(w-\alpha(\tau(w))) \cdot f\right) \theta_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(f \nabla_{[w, v]} \theta+(w+v) f\right) \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

since $(\alpha(\tau(w))+\beta(\tau(w))) \cdot f=0$ by invariance of $f$.
Now we shall compute the curvature of $\nabla$. Note the two ways in which the brackets [,] are used, namely as a commutator bracket and as a way to denote equivalence classes. Let $\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]$ be vector fields on $P \times_{H} S$ and $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$. We compute

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\nabla_{\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right]}, \nabla_{\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]}\right] \theta=} & \left(\theta_{1},\left(\left[\nabla_{v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right),}^{L}, \nabla_{v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)}^{L}\right]+\left[\tau_{h}\left(w_{1}\right), \nabla_{\left.v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right)}^{L}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left[\nabla_{\left.v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right)\right)}^{L}, \tau_{h}\left(w_{2}\right)\right]+\left[\tau_{h}\left(w_{1}\right), \tau_{h}\left(w_{2}\right)\right]\right) \theta_{2}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\tau_{h}\left(w_{1}\right), \nabla_{\left.v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right]}^{L}\right] } & =\nabla_{\tau_{h}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdot \beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)}^{L} \\
& =\nabla_{\tau\left(w_{1}\right) \cdot \beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)}^{L},
\end{aligned}
$$

and in the same way we obtain

$$
\left[\nabla_{v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right)}^{L}, \tau_{h}\left(w_{2}\right)\right]=-\nabla_{\tau\left(w_{2}\right) \cdot \beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right)}^{L} .
$$

We shall also need that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\alpha\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right), w_{2}\right] } & =-\alpha\left(w_{2} \cdot \tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right) ; \\
{\left[w_{1}, \alpha\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right] } & =\alpha\left(w_{1} \cdot \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right) ; \\
{\left[v_{1}, \beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right] } & =0 ; \\
{\left[\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right), v_{2}\right] } & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

as follows from $H$-equivariance of $w_{1}, w_{2}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\left[\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right]_{T P \times P S}} \theta & =\nabla_{\left[\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]_{T P},\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]_{T S}\right]} \theta \\
& =\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]_{T S}-\beta\left(\tau\left(\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]_{T P}\right)\right)}^{L} \theta_{2}-\tau_{h}\left(\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]_{T P}\right) \theta_{2}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the well-known formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) & =d \tau\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)+[\tau, \tau]_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \\
& =\tau\left(\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]\right)-w_{1} \cdot\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)+w_{2} \cdot\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right)+\left[\tau\left(w_{1}, \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{h}},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

we continue the calculation of (2.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\left[\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right]_{T P \times P S}} \theta= & \left(\theta_{1},\left(\nabla_{\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]_{T S}-\beta\left(F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)+w_{1} \cdot \tau\left(w_{2}\right)-w_{2}\right.}^{L} \tau\left(w_{1}\right)-\left[\tau\left(w_{1}, \tau\left(w_{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& +\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]-\alpha\left(F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)+w_{1} \cdot \tau\left(w_{2}\right)-w_{2} \cdot \tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left[\tau\left(w_{1}, \tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)\right) \theta_{2}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\theta_{2}$ is equivariant, hence for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ one has

$$
X \cdot \theta_{2}=\left(\nabla_{\beta(X)}^{L}-2 \pi i\langle\mu, X\rangle+\alpha(X)\right) \theta_{2}=0
$$

In particular, this is true for $X=F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$.
Subtracting the identity (2.16) from (2.14) one obtains the curvature, using all the given equalities, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\left[\nabla_{\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right]}, \nabla_{\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]}\right]-\nabla_{\left[\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right]_{T P \times T S}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\left[\nabla_{\left.v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right)\right)}^{L}, \nabla_{\left.\left.v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right]}^{L}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\nabla_{\left\{v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right), v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{T S}}^{L}-2 \pi i\left\langle\mu, F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \omega\left(v_{1}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{1}\right)\right), v_{2}-\beta\left(\tau\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right)-\left\langle\mu, F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \tilde{\omega}\left(\left[w_{1}, v_{1}\right],\left[w_{2}, v_{2}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A Hermitian metric $h^{\prime}$ on $P \times_{H} L$ is given by

$$
h^{\prime}\left(\left[p, z_{1}\right],\left[p, z_{2}\right]\right):=h\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)
$$

This is well-defined since the representation of $H$ on $L$ is unitary.
Lemma 2.19. The connection $\nabla$ on $P \times_{H} L \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is Hermitian with respect to Hermitian metric $h^{\prime}$.

Proof. This follows by computation: (in the notation introduced previously and $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$, $\left.\theta^{\prime}=\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{[w, v]} \theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)+h^{\prime}\left(\theta, \nabla_{[w, v]} \theta^{\prime}\right)= & h\left(\nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \theta_{2}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)+h\left(\tau_{h}(w) \cdot \theta_{2}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +h\left(\theta_{2}, \nabla_{v-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)+h\left(\theta_{2}, \tau_{h}(w) \cdot \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (v-\beta(\tau(w))) \cdot h\left(\theta_{2}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{h}(w) \cdot h\left(\theta_{2}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & {[w, v] \cdot h^{\prime}\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right), }
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the third line we used the fact that $\nabla^{L}$ is Hermitian and in the last that $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{2}^{\prime}$ are $H$-equivariant.

Corollary 2.20. The triple $\left(P \times_{H} L \rightarrow P \times_{H} S, \nabla, h^{\prime}\right)$ is a prequantization for the action of $P \times_{H} P$ on $\left(P \times_{H} S, \tilde{\omega}\right)$, with prequantization representation

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(P \times_{H} P\right) \simeq T P / H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)
$$

given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
w \mapsto & \nabla_{\gamma(w)}-2 \pi i\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle \\
& =\nabla_{[w, 0]}-2 \pi i\langle\mu, \tau(w)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in Lemma 2.18 we have used the $H$-equivariance of the connection $\nabla^{L}$. We shall now prove a more general result for source-connected Lie groupoids.
Lemma 2.21. Consider the situation of Theorem 2.12. For any prequantization representation of a $\mathcal{A}$ on a line bundle $L \rightarrow S$, the given connection $\nabla$ on $L$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivariant.

Proof. One computes for any $v \in \mathfrak{X}_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\pi(X), \nabla_{v}\right] } & =\left[\nabla_{\alpha(X)}, \nabla_{v}\right]+2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle \\
& =2 \pi i \omega(\alpha(X), v)+\nabla_{[\alpha(X), v]}+2 \pi i v \cdot\left\langle\mu, J^{*} X\right\rangle \\
& =\nabla_{[\alpha(X), v]},
\end{aligned}
$$

which means exactly that $\nabla$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivariant.
The corollary that we tacitly used in the proof of Lemma 2.18 (in the particular case that $G$ is a Lie group) is

Corollary 2.22. If $G$ is a source-connected integrating Lie groupoid of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\nabla$ is equivariant, in the sense that for $v \in T_{\sigma}^{J} S$ and each $g \in G_{J(\sigma)}$

$$
\nabla_{g v}=g \nabla_{v} g^{-1}
$$

Proof. Choose a connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exists an exponential map $\exp _{\nabla^{\prime}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow G$ (cf. [16]) Differentiating the expression

$$
\nabla_{\exp _{\nabla^{\prime}}(\tau X) v}=\exp _{\nabla^{\prime}}(\tau X) \nabla_{v} \exp _{\nabla^{\prime}}(-\tau X)
$$

at $\tau=0$ gives the equality in the proof above.

### 2.4 Integrating a prequantization representation of a Lie algebroid.

In this section we discuss the integrability of Lie algebroid representations. In particular, we consider the examples from the previous section.

Not every Lie algebroid integrates to a Lie groupoid. Precise conditions for the existence of an integrating Lie groupoid for a given Lie algebroid are given in [7]. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a Lie algebroid and $\alpha^{\prime}: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{U}(L)$ a Hermitian representation (e.g., obtained by prequantization). One would like to integrate such a representation to a representation of a Lie groupoid which has associated Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.23. A representation of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on a smooth complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth action of $G$ on $\pi: E \rightarrow M$

$$
\pi: G_{s} \times_{\pi} E \rightarrow E
$$

that is linear, i.e.

$$
\pi(g, \lambda \cdot e)=\lambda \cdot \pi(g, e)
$$

and

$$
\pi(g, e+f):=\pi(g, e)+\pi(g, f)
$$

for all $g \in G, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e, f \in E_{s(g)}$.
Remark 2.24. This notion generalizes the notion of $H$-equivariant vector bundle for a Lie group $H$.

The representation $\pi$ is unitary with respect to a Hermitian metric $h$ on $E$ if it preserves $h$, i.e.

$$
h(\pi(g, e), \pi(g, f))=h(e, f)
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $e, f \in E_{s(g)}$. A unitary representation $\pi$ can equivalently be given by a morphism of groupoids $G \rightarrow U(E)$, where $U(E)$ is the Lie groupoid of linear unitary maps $E_{m} \rightarrow E_{n}$ for all $m, n \in M$ (it has a natural smooth structure, cf. [19]).

Remark 2.25. More generally one could consider continuous unitary representations of groupoids on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. These are studied in [1].

Suppose $G$ acts on a map $J: N \rightarrow M$. Suppose $E \rightarrow N$ a complex smooth vector bundle endowed with Hermitian structure $h$.

Definition 2.26. A (unitary)representation of $G$ on a smooth complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$ is a (unitary) representation of the action groupoid $G \ltimes J$ on $\pi: E \rightarrow N$.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is integrable and $J: S \rightarrow M$ is proper, then by Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 5.3 in [24] the representation $\pi: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$ of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow S$ integrates to a unitary representation $G \ltimes J \rightarrow U(L)$ of the source-simply connected integrating Lie groupoid $G$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $E \rightarrow S$. The condition that $J$ is proper will also arise in the next section about the quantization procedure. Note that one can prove that a proper smooth family of manifolds is a fiber bundle.

Example 2.27. A flat connection $\nabla-2 \pi i \mu$ on a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ is a prequantization representation of $T M$ as a Lie algebroid acting Hamiltonianly on ( $M, \omega$ ) as in Example 2.15. It integrates to a representation of a source-simply connected Lie groupoid integrating $T M$, for example the fundamental groupoid $\pi_{1}(M)$ of $M$. The representation is exactly the parallel transport associated to the connection $\nabla-2 \pi i \mu$.

The only prequantization that lifts to a representation of the pair groupoid $M \times M$ (which also integrates $T M$ ) is the representation $d-2 \pi i \mu$ on the trivial line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$.

Example 2.28. Recall the situation of Example 2.17. There exists a canonical unitary representation $\bar{\pi}$ of $P \times_{H} P$ on $P \times_{H} L$, defined by

$$
\bar{\pi}([p, q])[q, z]:=[p, z]
$$

for suitable representatives.
Proposition 2.29. The representation $\bar{\pi}$ integrates the prequantization representation given by

$$
w \mapsto \nabla_{\gamma(w)}-2 \pi i\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle
$$

(cf. Theorem 2.12), where $\nabla$ is the connection we have defined in Lemma 2.18 and $\tilde{\mu}: P \times_{H} S \rightarrow T^{*} P / H$ is the momentum map for the Hamiltonian action of $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M$ (cf. Proposition 1.42).

Note therefore, that $\bar{\pi}$ does not depend on the chosen connection $\tau$.
Proof. Suppose that $w \in \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(P)$ is $H$-equivariant. It represents a smooth section in $\Gamma^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(P \times_{H} P\right)\right) \simeq \Gamma^{\infty}(T P / H)$. Note that the infinitesimal representation $\bar{\pi}^{\prime}: \mathcal{A}\left(P \times_{H} P\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{D}(L)$ associated to the representation $\bar{\pi}$ is given by

$$
\bar{\pi}^{\prime}(w) \theta=\left(\theta_{1}, w \theta_{2}\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(w) \theta & =\nabla_{\gamma(w)} \theta-2 \pi i\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle \theta \\
& =\nabla_{[w, 0]} \theta-2 \pi i\langle\tilde{\mu}, w\rangle \theta \\
& =\left(\theta_{1}, \nabla_{-\beta(\tau(w))}^{L} \theta_{2}+(w-\alpha(\tau(w))) \theta_{2}-2 \pi i\langle\mu, \tau(w)\rangle \theta_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\theta_{1}, w \cdot \theta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the lemma follows.

## 3 Quantization and symplectic reduction

### 3.1 Quantization through Kähler polarization.

In this section we introduce Kähler quantization for Hamiltonian Lie algebroid actions. Next, we discuss the examples which we have been considering throughout the paper.

Suppose a regular Lie algebroid ( $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M, \rho$ ) acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on a smooth surjective submersion $J: S \rightarrow M$, with a $J$-presymplectic 2-form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{J}}^{2}(S)$, where $\tilde{J}: S \rightarrow M / \rho$. Denote the action by $\alpha: \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\tilde{J}}^{\infty}(S)$. Let $\tilde{\mu}: S \rightarrow J^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}$ be a momentum map.

In this section we shall make the additional assumption that $J: S \rightarrow M$ is a smooth bundle of compact connected Kähler manifolds. Denote the almost complex structure by

$$
j: T^{J} S \rightarrow T^{J} S
$$

The following conditions are satisfied: $\omega(j \cdot \cdot \cdot)>0$ and $\omega(j \cdot, j \cdot)=\omega$, where $\omega:=\left.\tilde{\omega}\right|_{T^{J} S}$.
Our final assumption is that the almost complex structure $j$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivariant, in the sense that

$$
[\alpha(X), j(v)]_{T^{J} S}=j[\alpha(X), v]_{T^{J} S}
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ and $v \in \mathfrak{X}_{I}^{\infty}(S)$.
Let $T^{J, \mathbb{C}} S$ denote the complexification $T^{J} S \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of $T^{J} S$. The complex extension of $j$ is denoted by $j_{\mathbb{C}}: T^{J, \mathbb{C}} S \rightarrow T^{J, \mathbb{C}} S$.

Definition 3.1. The Kähler polarization $\mathcal{P}(S, j)$ of $(J: S \rightarrow M, \omega)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}(S, j):=\left\{v \in T^{J, \mathbb{C}} S \mid j \mathbb{C}(v)=-i v \in T^{J, \mathbb{C}} S\right\}
$$

Smooth sections of $\mathcal{P}(S, j)$ are called polarized.
Assume $\left(L \rightarrow S, \nabla^{L}, h\right)$ is a prequantization line bundle for the action of $\mathcal{A}$. Denote the associated representation (see $\S 2.3$ ) by $\pi: \mathcal{A} \ltimes J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(L)$.

Definition 3.2. The geometric quantization of a prequantization line bundle ( $L \rightarrow S, \nabla, h$ ) for the Hamiltonian action $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega})$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{Q}:=\left\{\sigma \in \Gamma^{\infty}(L) \mid \nabla_{v} \sigma=0 \text { for all } v \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}(S, j))\right\}
$$

We call the sections of $L \rightarrow S$ in $\Delta_{Q}$ holomorphic. The space $\Delta_{Q}$ has the structure of a Hilbert $C_{0}(M)$-module (cf. [1]), with $C_{0}(M)$-valued inner product

$$
\left\langle\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle(m):=\int_{S_{m}} h\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Omega_{m}
$$

where $\left\{\Omega_{m}\right\}_{m \in M}$ is a smooth family of densities on $J: S \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$
\Omega_{m}:=\omega_{m}^{d_{m}} /\left(d_{m}!\right)
$$

$\left(d_{m}:=\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{m}\right) / 2\right)$. Hence, it corresponds to a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over $M$. We shall assume that it actually is a smooth vector bundle, which we denote by $Q \rightarrow M$.

Theorem 3.3. The geometric quantization vector bundle $Q \rightarrow M$ carries a Hermitian representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The fiber of the vector bundle $Q \rightarrow M$ at $m$ is given by

$$
\left\{\sigma \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(S_{m}, L_{m}\right) \mid \nabla_{v} \sigma=0 \text { for all } v \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\left.\mathcal{P}(S, j)\right|_{S_{m}}\right)\right\}
$$

which is finite-dimensional, since $S_{m}$ is compact.
We check that the representation $\pi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $L \rightarrow M$ restricts to $\Delta_{Q}$. From this the theorem follows. Suppose $\nabla_{v} \sigma=0$ for all $v \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}(S, j))$. Note that $\mathcal{A}$-equivariance of $j$ implies that $\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X), v\right] \in \mathcal{P}(S, j)$ whenever $v \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}(S, j))$. Indeed, suppose $v \in$ $\Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}(S, j))$ and $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{\mathbb{C}}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X), v\right] & =\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X), j_{\mathbb{C}} v\right] \\
& =\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X),-i v\right] \\
& =-i\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X), v\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the $\Delta_{Q}(S, \tilde{\omega})$ is $\mathcal{A}$-invariant: for $\sigma \in \Delta_{Q}(S, \tilde{\omega})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{v}(\pi(X) \sigma)= & \nabla_{v}\left(\nabla_{\alpha^{\prime}(X)} \sigma-2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle \sigma\right) \\
= & \nabla_{\alpha^{\prime}(X)} \nabla_{v} \sigma-\nabla_{\left[\alpha^{\prime}(X), v\right]} \sigma-2 \pi i \tilde{\omega}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(X), v\right) \sigma \\
& +2 \pi i\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle \nabla_{v} \sigma-2 \pi i\left(v \cdot\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle\right) \sigma \\
= & \left.-2 \pi i v\lrcorner\left(d^{J}\left\langle\tilde{\mu}, J^{*} X\right\rangle+\alpha^{\prime}(X)\right\lrcorner \tilde{\omega}\right) \sigma \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by the quantization condition (1.9).

One easily sees that the representation is Hermitian since the prequantization representation is Hermitian and $\omega$ is invariant. Indeed, for all $m \in M, \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ and $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\langle\pi(X) \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\sigma, \pi(X) \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)(m) & =\int_{S_{m}} h\left(\pi(X) \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)+h\left(\sigma, \pi(X) \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Omega_{m} \\
& =\int_{S_{m}} \alpha(X) \cdot h\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Omega_{m} \\
& =\left((T J \circ \alpha(X)) \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \mapsto \int_{S_{m^{\prime}}} h\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Omega_{m^{\prime}}\right)\right)(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof.
Remark 3.4. Of course, one might wonder whether one can integrate this representation to a representation of an integrating Lie groupoid. This issue is somewhat simpler than for prequantization line bundles (cf. section 2.4), since $Q \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle over $M$. Hence, if $G$ is a source-connected integrating Lie groupoid for $\mathcal{A}$, then every quantization representation of $\mathcal{A}$ integrates to a representation of $G$.
Example 3.5. In the case of the Hamiltonian action of an integrable distribution $T \mathcal{F} \subset T M \rightarrow M$ on $(J: M \rightarrow M, \omega)$, with momentum map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{*}$, a prequantization representation on a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ with metric $h$ and Hermitian connection $\nabla$ is given by $\nabla-2 \pi i \mu$, where $d^{\mathcal{F}} \mu=-\omega$. Obviously, the quantization procedure is empty in this situation, since the fibers of $J$ are points.

Example 3.6. If $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ is a smooth family of Lie algebras that acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on a bundle of symplectic manifolds $S \rightarrow M$ and there is a prequantization $(L, \nabla, h)$, then Kähler quantization is family Kähler quantization.
Example 3.7. Suppose $H$ is a Lie group that acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on a symplectic manifold ( $S, \omega_{S}$ ) with momentum map $\mu$. Suppose ( $L, \nabla^{L}, h$ ) is a prequantization of this action. Furthermore, suppose that $P$ is a principal $H$-bundle, endowed with an $\mathfrak{h}$-valued connection 1-form $\tau$. In Example 1.40 it was shown that there exists a closed form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $S^{\prime}:=P \times_{H} S$, such that the action of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P$ on $\left(S^{\prime}, \tilde{\omega}\right)$ is Hamiltonian. In Example 2.17 it was shown that there exists a prequantization $\left(P \times_{H} L \rightarrow S^{\prime}, \nabla, h^{\prime}\right.$ ) of this action.

Suppose that $S$ is a compact Kähler manifold with $H$-equivariant almost complex structure $j: T S \rightarrow T S$.
Lemma 3.8. The almost complex structure $j$ induces a $P \times{ }_{H} P$-equivariant family of almost complex structures

$$
j^{\prime}: T^{J} S^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{J} S^{\prime}
$$

on $J: S^{\prime} \rightarrow M$.
Proof. We shall use the isomorphism $T^{J}\left(P \times_{H} S\right) \simeq P \times_{H} T S$ from Proposition 1.22. Define the almost complex structure as a map $j^{\prime}: P \times_{H} T S \rightarrow P \times_{H} T S$ by

$$
j^{\prime}([p, v])=[p, j(v)] .
$$

This is obviously a complex structure:

$$
j^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}([p, v])\right)=[p, j(j(v))]=[p,-v]=-[p, v],
$$

which is $P \times_{H} P$-equivariant by the computation

$$
j^{\prime}([p, q] \cdot[q, v])=j^{\prime}([p, v])=[p, j(v)]=[p, q] \cdot[q, j(v)]=[p, q] \cdot j^{\prime}([q, v]) .
$$

From the lemma we conclude that $J: S^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ is a bundle of Kähler manifolds. So there exists a Kähler quantization vector bundle $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ of the prequantization

$$
\left(P \times_{H} L \rightarrow S^{\prime}, \nabla, h^{\prime}\right)
$$

of the action of $P \times_{H} P$ on $\left(S^{\prime} \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega}\right)$.
Let $Q$ denote the representation space obtained by quantization of the action of $H$ on $S$ with prequantization $\left(L, \nabla^{L}, h\right)$. The associated vector bundle $P \times_{H} Q \rightarrow M$ carries a canonical representation of $P \times{ }_{H} P$.

Proposition 3.9. The vector bundle $P \times_{H} Q \rightarrow M$ is $P \times_{H} P$-equivariantly isomorphic to the quantization bundle $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow M$.
Proof. Note that by definition there is a bijection between sections of $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ and holomorphic sections of $P \times_{H} L \rightarrow S^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.10. There exists a canonical fiberwise linear bijection

$$
P \times_{H} \Gamma^{\infty}(L) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)
$$

where $\Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$ is thought of as a vector bundle with fiber at $m$ given by

$$
\Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times\left._{H} L\right|_{P_{m} \times_{H} S}\right)
$$

Proof. A bundle morphism $\Psi: P \times_{H} \Gamma^{\infty}(L) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$ is defined by

$$
(m,[p, \eta]) \mapsto\left(m,\left(\left[p^{\prime}, \sigma\right] \mapsto\left[p, \eta\left(\left(p\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \sigma\right)\right]\right)\right)
$$

where $m \in M, p \in \pi^{-1}(m), \eta \in \Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ and $p^{\prime} p^{-1}$ is the unique element in $H$ such that $\left(p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right) \cdot p=p^{\prime}$. This is well-defined. Indeed, for fixed $p \in P$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[h p^{\prime}, h \sigma\right] } & \mapsto\left[p, \eta\left(\left(\left(p\left(h p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot h \sigma\right)\right]\right. \\
& =\left[p, \eta\left(\left(\left(p\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \sigma\right)\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[h p, h \cdot \eta] } & \mapsto\left(\left[p^{\prime}, \sigma\right] \mapsto\left[h p, h \eta\left(h^{-1}\left((h p)\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \sigma\right)\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\left[p^{\prime}, \sigma\right] \mapsto\left[p, \eta\left(\left(p\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \sigma\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\Psi$ is obviously linear. A two-sided inverse is as follows. Suppose ( $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ ) is a section of $P_{m} \times_{H} L \rightarrow P_{m} \times_{H} S$ (cf. 2.17). Define a map $\Phi: \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right) \rightarrow P \times_{H} \Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ by

$$
\left[m,\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right] \mapsto\left(\sigma \mapsto\left(m,\left[p,\left(p\left(\theta_{1}(p, \sigma)\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \theta_{2}(p, \sigma)\right]\right)\right)
$$

for a chosen $p \in P$. Straightforward calculations using the equivariance of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ show that this is independent of the choice of $p \in P_{m}$ and that $\Psi \circ \Phi=1$ and $\Phi \circ \Psi=1$.

On sections one obtains, for a smooth $\operatorname{map}(m, \sigma) \mapsto \eta_{m}(\sigma)$ from $M \times S$ to $Q$ and a smooth section $\xi \in \Gamma^{\infty}(P)$, a smooth section $\Psi(\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(P \times_{H} L\right)$ given by

$$
[p, \sigma] \mapsto\left[\xi(\pi(p)), \eta_{\pi(p)}\left(\left(\xi(\pi(p)) p^{-1}\right) \cdot \sigma\right)\right]
$$

One easily checks that the sections $\eta_{m} \in \Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ are holomorphic for all $m \in M$ iff the image $\Psi([\xi, \eta])$ is holomorphic. Indeed, for $\left[\xi^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{P}\left(S^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$, with $\xi^{\prime}: P \times S \rightarrow P$ and $v^{\prime}: P \times S \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{C}} S$ such that $v^{\prime}(p)$ is polarized for each $p \in P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\left[\xi^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right]} \Psi(\xi, \eta)(p, \sigma) & =\left[\xi(p, \sigma), \nabla_{\left(\xi \xi^{\prime-1}\right) v^{\prime}(p,)}\left(\eta \circ \beta\left(\xi(\pi(p)) p^{-1}\right)(\sigma)\right]\right. \\
& =[\xi(\pi(p)), 0] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

by equivariance of $\nabla^{L}$. The reverse statement is proven by the same formula.

Remark 3.11. We shall sketch a more general view of geometric quantization based on [18] and [11] and references in these papers. If the line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ is positive enough, then the quantization $Q \rightarrow M$ vector bundle equals the index of a continuous $G$-equivariant family of Dolbeault-Dirac operators

$$
\left\{\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}+\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}^{*}: \Omega^{0, \text { even }}\left(S_{m} ; L_{m}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{0, o d d}\left(S_{m} ; L_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \in M}
$$

constructed from the connection $\nabla^{L}$ on the line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ and the family of almost complex structures on $S$.

For now, suppose that $G$ is locally compact, $\sigma$-compact, endowed with a Haar system and that the action of $G$ on $S$ is proper. Then this family of Dolbeault-Dirac operators gives rise to a cycle in Kasparov's $G$-equivariant bivariant K-theory

$$
\left[\left\{\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}+\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}^{*}\right\}_{m \in M}\right] \in K K_{G}\left(C_{0}(S), C_{0}(M)\right)
$$

The Baum-Connes analytical assembly map for groupoids (cf. e.g., [3], [31] or [28])

$$
\mu: K K_{G}\left(C_{0}(S), C_{0}(M)\right) \rightarrow K K\left(\mathbb{C}, C_{r}^{*}(G)\right) \simeq K_{0}\left(C_{r}^{*}(G)\right)
$$

maps the class $[D]:=\left[\left\{\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}+\bar{\partial}_{L_{m}}^{*}\right\}_{m \in M}\right]$ to a class in the K-theory of the $C^{*}$-algebra $C_{r}^{*}(G)$ of the groupoid $G$.

A different way to look at geometric quantization is to define $\mu([D])$ to be the geometric quantization of the Hamiltonian action of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on $(J: S \rightarrow M, \widetilde{\omega})$. Under certain conditions, including $G$ being a proper groupoid, $K_{0}\left(C_{r}^{*}(G)\right)$ is isomorphic to the representation ring of $G$ (cf. [32]). Hence, geometric quantization in this sense will then still yield (a formal difference of) representations of $G$. This approach gives new possibilities to generalize geometric quantization. Instead of requiring $J: S \rightarrow M$ to be a bundle of compact Kähler manifolds, one requires $J: S \rightarrow M$ to be endowed with a $G$-equivariant family of Spin ${ }^{{ }^{\text {- }}}$ structures. One then proceeds by defining the geometric quantization as the image under the analytical assembly map of KK-cycle defined by the associated family of Spin ${ }^{c}$-Dirac operators coupled to the prequantization line bundle. This generalizes the notion of family quantization (cf. [40]).

### 3.2 Symplectic reduction.

In this section we discuss a generalization of symplectic reduction to our setting. We reduce in stages, first internal symplectic reduction, then the entire symplectic reduction.

Suppose $G$ is a source-connected regular Lie groupoid. Suppose $\alpha$ is an internally strongly Hamiltonian left action of $G$ on a smooth bundle of connected symplectic manifolds $\left(J: S \rightarrow M, \omega \in \Omega_{J}^{2}(S)\right)$ with internal momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ (see Definition 1.15).

Denote the image of the zero section $0: M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ by $0_{M}$. Suppose $0_{M} \subset \operatorname{im}(\mu)$ and $\mu$ and 0 are transversal, i.e. $T 0(T M)$ and $T \mu(T S)$ are transversal in $T \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$. Then $\mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)$ is a manifold. Suppose, furthermore, that $G_{m}^{m}$ acts freely and properly on $\mu^{-1}(0(m))$ for each $m \in M$. Then for each $m \in M$ the quotient manifold

$$
S_{m}^{(0)}:=G_{m}^{m} \backslash \mu^{-1}(0)
$$

is a smooth manifold with a symplectic 2-form $\omega_{m}^{0} \in \Omega^{2}\left(S_{m}\right)$ uniquely determined by the equation

$$
p_{m}^{*} \omega_{m}^{0}=\left.i_{m}^{*} \omega\right|_{S_{m}}
$$

(cf. [20]).

Lemma 3.12. The map

$$
\bigcup_{m \in M} S_{m}^{(0)}=I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow M
$$

is a smooth family of symplectic manifolds.
Definition 3.13. The smooth family of symplectic manifolds

$$
I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow M
$$

is called the internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient of the internally Hamiltonian groupoid action.

Example 3.14. In the case of a smooth family of Lie groups acting on a smooth family of symplectic manifolds the internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient is simply the family bundle of Marsden-Weinstein quotients of the actions of the groups on the fibers (cf. [40]).
Example 3.15. Suppose $H$ is a Lie group acting on a symplectic manifold $\left(S, \omega^{S}\right)$ in a Hamiltonian fashion, with momentum map $\mu$. Suppose $P$ is a principal $H$-bundle. Then $G:=P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ acts on $\left(P \times_{H} S, \omega\right)$ in a internally Hamiltonian fashion (cf. Example 1.22), with momentum map $\bar{\mu}[p, s]:=[p, \mu(s)] \in P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. The internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient $I_{G} \backslash \bar{\mu}^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)$ is symplectomorphic to $M \times \mu^{-1}(0) / H$ as a smooth bundle of symplectic manifolds, using the map

$$
I_{G} \backslash \bar{\mu}^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \longrightarrow M \times \mu^{-1}(0) / H,[p, \sigma] \mapsto(\pi(p),[\mu(\sigma)])
$$

We now turn our attention to the entire quotient.
Lemma 3.16. The map

$$
G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow G \backslash M
$$

can be given the structure of a continuous family of symplectic manifolds.
Remark 3.17. Note that the space $G \backslash M$ is in general non-Hausdorff, unless $G \rightrightarrows M$ is proper. In the case it is Hausdorff, there is a smooth structure on $G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)$ and $G \backslash M$ if the action of $I_{G} \rightrightarrows M$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$ is proper and free, as mentioned above, $\mu$ and 0 are transversal and the groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is effective.

Proof. Since the momentum map $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is equivariant and since for every $g \in G_{m}^{n}$ one has $\mathrm{Ad}^{*}(g)(0(m))=0(n)$, the smooth isomorphism $\alpha(g): S_{m} \rightarrow S_{n}$ restricts to a smooth isomorphism

$$
\alpha(g): \mu^{-1}(0(m)) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0(n))
$$

This induces a well-defined action $\bar{\alpha}$ of $G$ on the internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient $I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow M$ given by

$$
\bar{\alpha}(g)\left(G_{m}^{m} \sigma\right):=\left(G_{n}^{n} \alpha(g) \sigma\right)
$$

Indeed, suppose $\sigma_{1}=\alpha\left(g^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{2}$, for $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1} \in \mu^{-1}(0(m))$ and $g^{\prime} \in G_{m}^{m}$. Then $\alpha(g) \sigma_{1}=$ $\alpha\left(g g^{\prime} g^{-1}\right) \alpha(g) \sigma_{2}$. Actually, $\bar{\alpha}(g)=\bar{\alpha}(h)$ for all $g, h \in G_{m}^{n}$, as one checks by a similar computation.

Of course the action $\bar{\alpha}$ induces an equivalence relation on $I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)$ and the quotient equals $G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)$. For every $g \in G$, the map $\bar{\alpha}(g)$ is a symplectomorphism, since $\alpha(g)$ is a symplectomorphism. Hence there exists a canonical family of symplectic forms $\omega^{00}$ on $G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow G \backslash M$.
Definition 3.18. The continuous bundle of symplectic manifolds $\left(G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow G \backslash M, \omega^{00}\right)$ is called the Marsden-Weinstein quotient of the internally Hamiltonian action of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on ( $J: S \rightarrow M, \omega$ ).

Example 3.19. Consider a group $H$ that acts on a manifold $M$. Denote the action by $\alpha$. The action groupoid $H \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$ acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on (id:M $\rightarrow M, 0$ ), with momentum map given by any $\mu: M \rightarrow(\mathfrak{h} \ltimes M)^{*}$ such that $d^{\mathfrak{h} \ltimes M} \mu=d\left(\alpha^{*} \mu\right)=0$. The Marsden-Weinstein quotient is defined iff $\mu=0$ and then, obviously, is given by $M / H \rightarrow$ $M / H, 0)$. Note that $M / H$ only is smooth if the action of $H$ on $M$ is proper and free.

Example 3.20. We continue Example 3.15. One easily sees that the Marsden-Weinstein quotient $\left(\left(P \times_{H} P\right) \backslash \tilde{\mu}^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(P \times_{H} P\right) \backslash M=*, \tilde{\omega}^{00}\right)$ is symplectomorphic to the MarsdenWeinstein quotient $\left(H \backslash \mu^{-1}(0),\left(\omega^{S}\right)^{0}\right)$.

### 3.3 Quantization commutes with reduction.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a regular Lie groupoid. Suppose $\pi: G \rightarrow U(E)$ is a unitary representation of $G$ on a vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow M$ with hermitian structure $h$. Define the continuous family of vector spaces $E^{I_{G}} \rightarrow M$ of $I_{G}$-fixed vectors by

$$
E^{I_{G}}:=\left\{e \in E \mid \pi(g) e=e \text { for all } g \in G_{p(e)}^{p(e)}\right\}
$$

We shall assume in this paper that it is actually a smooth vector bundle. One easily checks that $E^{I_{G}}$ is closed under $G$. Indeed, for $g \in G_{n}^{n}, h \in G_{m}^{n}$ and $e \in E_{m}^{I_{G}}$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(g) \pi(h) e & =\pi(g h) e \\
& =\pi(h) \pi\left(\left(h^{-1} g h\right)\right) e \\
& =\pi(h) e
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.21. The smooth vector bundle $E^{I_{G}} \rightarrow M$ is called the internal quantum reduction of $\pi: G \rightarrow U(E)$.

By similar reasoning as above, the restriction of $\pi$ to a map $G \rightarrow U\left(E^{I_{G}}\right)$ is $I_{G}$-invariant, i.e.

$$
\pi(g) e=\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) e
$$

for all $g, g^{\prime} \in G_{m}^{n}, n, m \in M$ and $e \in E_{m}^{I_{G}}$.
Suppose $G$ acts in Hamiltonian fashion on a smooth bundle of compact connected Kähler manifolds $J: S \rightarrow M$ endowed with a $J$-presymplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$, such that the complex structure $j$ and Hermitian metric $h$ are $G$-equivariant. Denote the momentum map by $\tilde{\mu}$ : $S \rightarrow J^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}$. Consider the internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient $\left(\mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) / I_{G}, \omega^{0}\right)$. Suppose ( $L, \nabla^{L}, h$ ) is a prequantization of the $G$-action. Suppose $L^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) / I_{G}$ is a line bundle such that

$$
p^{*} L^{0}=\left.L\right|_{\mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)}
$$

This is a strong condition, which is satisfied if the action of $I_{G}$ on $S$ is free. The line bundle $L^{0}$ has an induced prequantization connection $\nabla^{0}$, since $\nabla^{L}$ is $G$-equivariant (cf. Corollary 2.22) and it has an induced Hermitian metric $h^{0}$. The triple ( $L^{0}, \nabla^{0}, h^{0}$ ) is a prequantization of the Hamiltonian action of $R_{G}$ on the smooth bundle of symplectic manifolds ( $I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow$ $M, \tilde{\omega}^{0}$.

Moreover, the Kähler structure on $S \rightarrow M$ induces a Kähler structure on $I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow$ $M$.

Theorem 3.22. If $G$ is a proper regular Lie groupoid, then quantization commutes with internal reduction, i.e. there exists an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$
Q\left(I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \omega^{0}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows}(Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{I_{G}} .
$$

Remark 3.23. Note that for each $m \in M$ one can restrict the action of $G$ on $J: S \rightarrow M$ to a Hamiltonian action of the isotropy Lie group $G_{m}^{m}$ on $\left(S_{m},\left.\omega\right|_{S_{m}}\right)$. Likewise, the momentum map, prequantization data, Kähler structure all restrict to $S_{m}$, and hence give rise to a quantization commutes with reduction statement as in the theorem for $G_{m}^{m}$, which is compact since $G$ is proper. This theorem was first formulated and proven for compact Lie group action by Guillemin and Sternberg (cf. [10]) and also goes under the name of "Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture". It is proven, in a more general form using Spin ${ }^{c}$-Dirac operators, for compact Lie groups by Meinrenken (cf. [21]), Meinrenken and Sjamaar (cf. [22]), Tian and Zhang (cf. [30]) and Paradan (cf. [27]). For certain non-compact groups it is proved, in a somewhat different form using K-theory and K-homology (cf. Remark 3.11), by Hochs and Landsman (cf. [13]). For families the theorem was proven in [40], also within the setting of Spin ${ }^{c}$-Dirac operators and K-theory.

Remark 3.24. If the theorem holds, then the following diagram "commutes":

where $R$ denotes symplectic and quantum reduction and $Q$ denotes quantization. One sometimes abbreviates the theorem by writing $[Q, R]=0$.

Proof. We shall construct a morphism

$$
(Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{I_{G}} \rightarrow Q\left(l_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \omega^{0}\right)
$$

The inclusion $i: \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \hookrightarrow S$ induces a map

$$
i^{*}: \Gamma^{\infty}(L)^{I_{G}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\left.L\right|_{\mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)}\right)^{I_{G}}
$$

where the superscript $I^{G}$ means that we restrict to equivariant sections. These are the sections fixed under the action of $I_{G}$ on $\Gamma^{\infty}(L)$ as a bundle over $M$. Moreover, the quotient $\operatorname{map} p: \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right) / I_{G}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
p^{*}: \Gamma^{\infty}\left(L^{0}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\left.L\right|_{\mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right)}\right)^{I_{G}}
$$

Because of the equivariance of the Kähler structure and the connection the composition $\left(p^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ i^{*}$ induces a map

$$
\left.Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{I_{G}} \rightarrow Q\left(I_{G} \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \omega^{0}\right)\right)
$$

which is the one we wanted to construct. This map is an isomorphism on each fiber, since the isotropy groups of a proper groupoid are compact groups for which the theorem is well established. Hence $\Psi$ is a continuous isomorphism of vector bundles.

Example 3.25. Suppose $H$ is a Lie group acting in Hamiltonian fashion on a Kähler manifold $\left(S, h, j, \omega^{S}\right)$. Suppose $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is a principal $H$-bundle and $\tau \in \Gamma^{\infty}\left(\bigwedge^{1}(P) \otimes \mathfrak{h}\right.$ a connection 1-form. As discussed in previous examples there exist a $J$-presymplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M$ and the action of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $\left(P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M, \tilde{\omega}\right)$ is Hamiltonian. Suppose $\left(L \rightarrow S, \nabla^{L}, g\right)$ is prequantization of the action of $H$ on $S$. Let $Q_{S}$ denote the associated quantization. In Example 3.7 we have seen that the prequantization and quantization of the $H$-action on $S$ give rise to a prequantization $\left(P \times_{H} L, \nabla, g^{\prime}\right)$ and a quantization $Q \rightarrow M$ of the action of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P \rightrightarrows M$ on $\left(P \times_{H} S \rightarrow M, \widetilde{\omega}\right)$.

In Example 3.15 we saw that the internal Marsden-Weinstein quotient is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\left(M \times \mu^{-1}(0) / H \rightarrow M, \omega^{0}\right)$. The quantization of this bundle obviously equals the vector bundle $M \times Q\left(\mu^{-1}(0) / H, j^{0}\right) \rightarrow M$. The statement of Theorem 3.22 follows from $[Q, R]=0$ for $H$ plus the following observation
Lemma 3.26. The internal quantum reduction of $Q\left(P \times_{H} S, j^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to

$$
M \times Q_{S}^{H} .
$$

Proof. In Example 3.7 we proved that $Q\left(P \times_{H} S, j^{\prime}\right) \simeq P \times_{H} Q(S, j)$. An element $[p, \xi] \in$ $P \times_{H} Q(S, j)$ is fixed under all $\left[p^{\prime}, p\right] \in I_{P \times_{H} P}$ whenever $\xi$ is fixed under all $h \in H$. Hence the statement follows.

Suppose $\pi: G \rightarrow U(E)$ is a unitary representation of $G$ on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with hermitian structure.

Definition 3.27. The quantum reduction of $\pi: G \rightarrow U(E)$ is the quotient vector bundle $E^{G}:=G \backslash E^{I_{G}} \rightarrow G \backslash M$ (these spaces are in general non-Hausdorff).

Corollary 3.28. If all conditions for Theorem 3.22 are satisfied, then quantization commutes with symplectic reduction, i.e. there exists an isomorphism of continuous vector bundles

$$
Q\left(G \backslash \mu^{-1}\left(0_{M}\right), \tilde{\omega}^{0}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightrightarrows}(Q(S, \tilde{\omega}))^{G}
$$

Example 3.29. As a (very) basic example we consider the pair groupoid $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$ for a manifold $M$, acting on ( $i d: M \rightarrow M, 0$ ). A momentum map is any map $\mu \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ such that $d \mu=0$. Since we assume $0_{M} \subset \operatorname{im}(\mu), \mu$ has to be zero. Hence the Marsden-Weinstein quotient equals $(*, 0)$. Recall that quantization and prequantization line bundles coincide in this case. The only quantization representation of $T M$ that integrates to a representation of $M \times M$ is the trivial representation on the trivial complex line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$. Obviously, the quantum reduction of such a bundle is $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow *$. The Marsden-Weinstein quotient $(*, 0)$ is indeed quantized by $\mathbb{C}$.

Example 3.30. The previous example is a special case of gauge groupoid considered in the previous Examples $1.19,1.22,1.40,2.17,2.29,3.7,3.25$, where $P \rightarrow M$ is a principal $H$-bundle and $H$ a Lie group. One easily sees that the full quantum reduction of

$$
Q\left(P \times_{H} S, j^{\prime}\right) \simeq P \times_{H} Q(S, j)
$$

is isomorphic to $Q(S, j)^{H}$. Moreover, the Marsden-Weinstein quotient equals $Q\left(\mu^{-1}(0) / H, j^{0}\right)$. Hence, in this example, it is particularly clear how $[Q, R]=0$ for gauge groupoids reduces to $[Q, R]=0$ for Lie groups.

### 3.4 The orbit method

To investigate and illustrate a possible orbit method we treat some examples in this section. We have not yet arrived at a full formulation.

A representation of Lie groupoid $G$ or Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be irreducible if is has no proper $G$-(or $\mathcal{A}$-)invariant subvector bundle.

Example 3.31. Suppose $H$ is a Lie group and $P \rightarrow M$ an $H$-principal bundle. The isotropy groupoid of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P$ is isomorphic to $P \times_{H} H$, where the action of $H$ on $H$ is by conjugation. Indeed, define a map

$$
I_{P \times_{H} P} \rightarrow P \times_{H} H
$$

by

$$
[p, q] \mapsto\left[p, q p^{-1}\right]
$$

where $q p^{-1}$ is the unique element in $H$ such that $\left(q p^{-1}\right) p=q$. This is well-defined, since $[h p, h q] \mapsto\left[h p, h q p^{-1} h^{-1}\right]=\left[p, q p^{-1}\right]$. Hence the bundle of Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}\left(l_{P \times_{H} P}\right)$ is isomorphic to $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}$, where the action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ is the adjoint action. Moreover, the dual bundle $\mathcal{A}\left(l_{P \times_{H} P}\right)^{*}$ is isomorphic to $P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, where the action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ is the coadjoint action. From a coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ one can construct a bundle $P \times_{H} \mathcal{O} \subset P \times_{H} \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, which is easily seen to correspond to a coadjoint orbit in $\mathcal{A}\left(I_{P \times_{H} P}\right)^{*}$.

Lemma 3.32. All coadjoint orbits of the gauge groupoid $P \times_{H} P$ are isomorphic to $P \times_{H} \mathcal{O}$ for a coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{*}$.

If we choose a connection on $P$, then we can extend the symplectic structure on $\mathcal{O}$ to a $J$-presymplectic form, such that the action of the gauge groupoid is Hamiltonian. In Proposition 2.29 we proved that the quantization of this action does not depend on the choice of connection. Actually, the representation of $P \times_{H} P$ is obtained from quantization of the action of $H$ on $\mathcal{O}$ by Morita equivalence. In general, since $H$ and $P \times_{H} P$ are Morita equivalent, there exists a bijection between irreducible unitary representations of $H$ and irreducible unitary representations of $P \times_{H} P$. From the above lemma we see that there is also a bijection between the coadjoint orbits of $H$ and $P \times_{H} P$. From this we conclude that for as far as the orbit method (using geometric quantization) works for $H$, it works for $P \times_{H} P$ too.

Remark 3.33. A natural guess would be that, in general, there exists a correspondence between (isomorphism classes of) irreducible Hermitian Lie algebroid representations and smooth families of coadjoint orbits. However, such a correspondence almost always fails. The integration of Lie algebroid representations to Lie groupoid representations plays an important rôle, as it does in the Lie algebra/group case. For example consider the pair groupoid $M \times M$, with Lie algebroid $T M$. It has only one (trivial) smooth bundle of coadjoint orbits, namely $\mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)=M \times\{0\}$. Quantization gives, in general, many irreducible unitary representations of $T M$, namely flat connections on complex Hermitian line bundle over $M$. But, the only one that integrates to a representation of $M \times M$ is the trivial line bundle.

Example 3.34. The previous example gives rise to an example where the orbit method for Lie groupoids fails. Consider the fundamental groupoid $\pi_{1}(M)$ of $M$. Its Lie algebroid is $T M$, hence there is only one coadjoint orbit, namely the zero orbit. Quantization can in general give rise to many non-isomorphic irreducible unitary representations of $T M$. This time the representations all integrate to unitary representations of $\pi_{1}(M)$ given by parallel transport. Hence, in this case, there is no bijection between smooth families of coadjoint orbits and irreducible unitary representations of $\pi_{1}(M)$. This is reflected by the fact that one can choose different presymplectic forms on the zero coadjoint orbit. Indeed, one has, in general, just one coadjoint orbit, but $\operatorname{Pic}_{T M}(i d)=\operatorname{Pic}(M)=\check{H}^{2}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$.

Remark 3.35. Instead, one might hope for a correspondence between symplectic leaves the Poisson structure on $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ and isomorphism classes of unitary representations of the groupoid. The same Example 3.34 shows this will not work. We think that a good formulation of an orbit method for groupoids should incorporate the K-theory of $M$, but we have to leave this as an open problem. The next two examples show that the orbit method at least works to some extend, when $M$ is contractible and hence its K -theory is zero, i.e. if there are no non-trivial vector bundles.

Example 3.36. Now we shall consider a non-regular groupoid. A simple example is given by the action groupoid $G:=S^{1} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2}$ of the action of the circle $S^{1}$ on the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by
rotation around the origin. The dual of the bundle of Lie algebras associated to the isotropy groupoid is given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)\right)_{(x, y)} \simeq \begin{cases}\mathbb{R} & \text { if }(x, y)=(0,0) \\ 0 & \text { if }(x, y) \neq(0,0)\end{cases}
$$

The only smooth bundle of coadjoint orbits is the trivial one $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. This suggests that all smooth representations of $G$ are trivial at the origin. Close inspection shows that this is indeed true. The only irreducible representation of $G$ up to isomorphism is obtained by geometric quantization. Indeed, a $J$-presymplectic form is necessarily 0 . Hence a smooth momentum map $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}(G)$ lifting the zero inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is given by $(r, \alpha) \mapsto$ $(f(r, \alpha),(r, \alpha))$, where $f$ is smooth and $f(r, \alpha)=0$ if $r=0$ (using polar coordinates $(r, \alpha)$ ). The prequantum line bundle $L$ is necessarily the trivial one (since $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is contractible) and the prequantization( $=$ quantization) representation

$$
\mathcal{A}(G) \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{u}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \oplus T \mathbb{R}^{2} \simeq \mathcal{D}(L)
$$

is given by

$$
(X,(r, \alpha)) \mapsto\left(-2 \pi i f(r, \alpha), X \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right)
$$

which integrates to a representation

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{1} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow U(L) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2} \times U(1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
(\beta,(r, \alpha)) \mapsto\left((r, \alpha+\beta), e^{2 \pi i(f(r, \alpha+\beta)-f(r, \alpha))},(r, \alpha)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 3.37. Even for continuous families of Lie groups geometric quantization and the orbit method work, although one should proceed with caution. For example, consider the 2-sphere $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. It can be seen as a continuous family of Lie groups under the projection $S^{2} \rightarrow[-1,1]$ given by $(x, y, z) \mapsto x$. The dual of the associated bundle of Lie algebras is given by

$$
\left(\mathcal { A } ^ { * } ( S ^ { 2 } ) _ { x } \simeq \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{R} & \text { if } x \in]-1,1[ \\
0 & \text { if } x= \pm 1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The image of any continuous section $\theta:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(S^{2}\right)$ is a continuous family of coadjoint orbits (which are points). A momentum map is given by inclusion $\mu: \theta([-1,1]) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(S^{2}\right)$. A prequantum line bundle is again necessarily trivial $L=[-1,1] \times \mathbb{C}$. The prequantum representation is given by

$$
(x, X) \mapsto 2 \pi i\langle\mu, X\rangle .
$$

The remarkable feature of this example is that one can allow a $\theta$, and hence $\mu$, which is not continuous at $x= \pm 1$, namely a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $] 0,1[$ and 0 in $\pm 1$, and still find a continuous representation after integration:

$$
(x, \alpha) \mapsto e^{2 \pi i \mu \alpha}
$$

This is a particular instance of the fact there exist non-continuous vector fields which still induce homeomorphisms. Realizing this fact, an orbit method should allow families of coadjoint orbits that are non-continuous at the points $x= \pm 1$.
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