

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/28941>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

Sulfoxide induced sigmatropic rearrangement (SISR) of methyl 1-methylsulfanylvinyl sulfoxides

Bianca F. Bonini,^a Mauro Comes Franchini,^a Germana Mazzanti,^{*a} Jan-Willem Slief,^b Margreth A. Wegman^b and Binne Zwanenburg^{*b}

^a Dipartimento di Chimica Organica 'A. Mangini', Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

^b Department of Organic Chemistry, NSR Centre for Molecular Structure, Design and Synthesis, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Treatment of methyl 1-methylsulfanylvinyl sulfoxides with sodium thiophenolate in MeOH gives 1-methylsulfanylalk-1-en-3-ols.

The sigmatropic rearrangement of allylic sulfoxides in the presence of a thiophilic reagent leads to allylic alcohols.¹ A special sequence which includes this reaction is the rearrangement of α -arylsulfinylacrylates to 4-hydroxyalk-2-enoate esters.² In this sequence a thiophilic base first gives an *in situ* isomerization of the vinyl sulfoxide moiety into an allylic sulfoxide, which then undergoes a 2,3-sigmatropic rearrangement and a subsequent thiophilic cleavage of the thus-formed intermediate sulfenic esters by the same base. A practical variant of this synthesis of γ -hydroxyalkenoic esters is the so-called SPAC (sulfoxide piperidine and carbonyl) reaction^{3,4} in which the sulfinylacrylates are prepared by a Knoevenagel-type condensation of an appropriate aldehyde and arylsulfinyl acetates, and subsequently rearranged under the same conditions in a one-pot operation.

Here we report on a new related sulfoxide induced sigmatropic rearrangement (SISR) of methyl 1-methylsulfanylvinyl sulfoxides. The required starting materials were conveniently prepared from the corresponding dithiocarboxylic esters by deprotonation with LDA and subsequent *S*-methylation. The ketene dithioacetals thus prepared were oxidized to the *S*-monoxides with 1 equiv. of MCPBA. In all cases the *E*-isomers were formed preferentially. The dithioesters were prepared from Grignard reagents and carbon disulfide, followed by methylation with methyl iodide⁵ (Scheme 1). The products 1–3 were characterized by IR, mass and NMR spectroscopy. The various compounds 3 prepared in this manner are listed in the Table 1.

It is of interest to note that an alternative preparation of sulfoxides 3 from the *S*-oxides of dithioesters 1, by deprotonation and subsequent *S*-alkylation of the intermediate vinylsulfenate anion,⁶ was unsuccessful due to the limited stability⁷ of the dithioesters of *S*-oxides and the formation of complex mixtures of products.


For the SISR reaction, first the recommended conditions for the SPAC reactions were tried for substrate 3a. Prolonged

treatment of 3a with a large excess of piperidine in MeCN at room temperature did not give any reaction. Reaction at 85 °C for five days, adding 10 equiv. of piperidine every day, gave a 70% yield of 1-methylsulfanylpent-1-en-3-ol 6a which had almost exclusively the *E* configuration (*E*:*Z* ratio greater than 95:5). More convenient conditions involved treatment with 5 equiv. of sodium thiophenolate in MeOH at room temperature for four days. In this manner 6a was obtained in 75% yield almost exclusively in the *E* form. The formation of 6a from 3a can readily be explained by invoking an initial base-induced prototropic shift to give allylic sulfoxide 4a, which then undergoes a 2,3-sigmatropic rearrangement to produce sulfenate ester 5a. Subsequent thiophilic reaction of 5a with thiophenolate then leads to product 6a. It is quite surprising that thiophenoxide serves as thiophilic reagent¹ as well as base. These newly established conditions were also successful for the sequential prototropic shifts and sigmatropic rearrangement reactions of the substrates 3b–e. The corresponding 1-methylsulfanylalk-1-en-3-ols 6b–e were all obtained in yields ranging from 75–98%. The products 6a–e have been characterized by IR, mass and NMR spectroscopic data.† The spectral characteristics revealed that all products 6 predominantly have the *E* configuration with *E*:*Z* ratio exceeding 90:10 (Scheme 2, Table 2).


Table 1 Synthesis of compounds 3

	Substituents		Product yields (%) ^a			
	R	R'	1	2	3	<i>E</i> : <i>Z</i> ratio ^b
a	Et	H	95	95	72	95:5
b	Pr	H	98	98	82	92:8
c	Bn	H	85	83	78	92:8
d	PhO(CH ₂) ₂	H	30	98	60	91:9
e	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	—	75	98	80	—

^a Yields of pure isolated products. ^b Determined by NMR spectroscopy.



Scheme 1



Scheme 2

Table 2 Synthesis of **6** using thiophenoxide as thiophile

	Substituents		Yield of 6 (%) ^a	<i>E:Z</i> ratio ^b
	R	R'		
a	Et	H	75	95:5
b	Pr	H	75	92:8
c	Bn	H	89	95:5
d	PhO(CH ₂) ₂	H	90	97:3
e	-(CH ₂) ₃ -		90	—

^a Yields of pure isolated products. ^b Determined by NMR spectroscopy.

It is worth noting that the yield is also high for the formation of **6e**, where the initial isomerization is accompanied by a considerable increase of torsional strain.

The SISR reaction of sulfoxides **3**, of which no precedent is known in the literature, leads to γ -hydroxyvinyl sulfides, which can serve as a synthetic equivalent for β -hydroxy aldehydes^{8,9}. The synthetic potential of this new SISR reaction is currently under investigation, including chirality transfer from sulfur to carbon when optically active sulfoxides are used as the substrates. Furthermore, the SISR reaction will be investigated for vinyl sulfoxides with other heteroatoms at the geminal position of the sulfoxide group.

Financial support of this work by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST), Italy, is gratefully acknowledged. J. S. and M. A. W. are grateful for the support from the Erasmus programme (ICP-95-NL-1007/13).

Footnote

† Selected data for *E-6a*: yellow oil; $\nu_{\max}(\text{CCl}_4)/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3620 (OH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.90 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0 Hz), 1.50–1.65 (2 H, m), 1.70 (1 H, br s), 2.25 (3 H, s), 4.05 (1 H, q, *J* 7.0 Hz), 5.36 and 5.45 (1 H, dd, *J* 15.0 and 7.5 Hz), 6.30 (1 H, d, *J* 15.0 Hz); *m/z* 132 (M⁺), 114 (M⁺–H₂O).

For *E-6b*: yellow oil; $\nu_{\max}(\text{CCl}_4)/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3620 (OH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5 Hz), 1.20–1.75 (5 H, m), 2.20 (3 H, s), 4.10 (1 H, br q, *J* 6.5 Hz), 5.33 and 5.40 (1 H, dd, *J* 14.5 and 7.3 Hz), 6.25 (1 H, d, *J* 14.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.78, 14.31, 18.53, 39.38, 72.64, 126.25, 128.00; *m/z* 146 (M⁺), 129 (M⁺–H₂O).

For *E-6c*: yellow oil; $\nu_{\max}(\text{CCl}_4)/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3610 (OH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.00 (1 H, br s), 2.26 (3 H, s), 2.80 and 2.90 (2 H, ABq, *J* 13.6, 7.8 and 5.8 Hz), 4.40 (1 H, br q, *J* 4.4 Hz), 5.45 and 5.52 (1 H, dd, *J* 15.6 and 7.0 Hz), 6.33 (1 H, d, *J* 15.6 Hz), 7.40–7.60 (5 H, m); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.30, 44.02, 73.35, 126.32, 126.64, 128.25, 129.39, 137.40; *m/z* 194 (M⁺), 176 (M⁺–H₂O).

For *E-6d*: yellow oil; $\nu_{\max}(\text{CCl}_4)/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3620 (OH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.99 (2 H, q, *J* 6.0 Hz), 2.15 (1 H, br s), 2.22 (3 H, s), 3.95–4.20 (2 H, m), 4.35–4.50 (1 H, m), 5.42 and 5.47 (1 H, dd, *J* 15.7 and 6.7 Hz), 6.35 (1 H, d, *J* 15.7 Hz), 6.85–6.95 (3 H, m), 7.20–7.35 (2 H, m); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.98, 36.33, 64.24, 69.62, 114.12, 120.37, 126.37, 126.82, 129.05, 158.31; *m/z* 224 (M⁺).

For **6e**: yellow oil; $\nu_{\max}(\text{CCl}_4)/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3615 (OH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.30–1.50 (2 H, m), 1.50–1.70 (2 H, m), 1.85–1.95 (1 H, br s), 2.05 (3 H, s), 3.70–3.95 (2 H, m), 4.10–4.20 (1 H, m), 5.70–5.80 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.27, 21.25, 28.06, 35.14, 74.45, 119.78, 142.73; *m/z* 144 (M⁺).

References

- D. A. Evans and G. C. Andrews, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1974, **7**, 147.
- S. Yamagiwa, H. Sato, N. Hoshi, H. Kosugi and H. Uda, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1979, 570.
- R. Tanikaga, Y. Nozaki, T. Tamura and A. Kaji, *Synthesis*, 1983, 134.
- K. Burgess, I. Cassidy and I. Henderson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 2050.
- I. Meiser, P. Vermeer and L. Brandsma, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1973, **92**, 601.
- G. E. Veenstra and B. Zwanenburg, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1976, **95**, 37.
- P. Metzner and T. N. Pham, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 390.
- B. M. Trost and A. C. Lavoie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 5075 and references cited therein.
- B. T. Grobel and D. Seebach, *Synthesis*, 1977, 357.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 19th March 1997; Com. 7/01942D