### PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/25747

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

# Effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on glutathione S-transferases of the rat digestive tract

## Esther M.M.van Lieshout, Dorien M.Tiemessen, Wilbert H.M.Peters<sup>1</sup> and Jan B.M.J.Jansen

Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital St Radboud, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>1</sup>To whom correpondence should be addressed

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been demonstrated to reduce cancer rates in oesophagus, stomuse of aspirin-based NSAIDs may reduce the risk of development and mortality of oesophageal, gastric, colonic, or rectal cancer (6–9), although in one prospective study no support for such an association was found (10). Several NSAIDs are currently evaluated in clinical trials. Effects of NSAIDs on neoplastic growth in the colon of animals and humans, including possible mechanisms involved, were recently reviewed (11,12).

ach and colon of humans and animals. Earlier, we showed that high human gastrointestinal tissue levels of glutathione S-transferase (GST), a family of detoxification enzymes consisting of class  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  isoforms, were inversely correlated with cancer risk. We investigated whether the NSAIDs indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, acetyl salicylic acid (ASA), and sulindac, supplemented in the diet for 2 weeks at 25, 400, 400, 400, and 320 ppm, respectively, influenced gastrointestinal GSTs in male Wistar rats. In cytosolic fractions of oesophagus, stomach, intestine and liver, GST activity towards 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene was measured, GST isozyme levels were determined by densitometrical analysis of Western blots after immunodetection with monoclonal antibodies, and glutathione levels were determined by HPLC. GST activity and GST µ levels were increased  $(1.2-1.8 \times)$  in oesophagus and small intestine by indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam and sulindac. GST  $\alpha$ levels were induced  $(1.2-2.8 \times)$  in stomach by piroxicam, in small intestine by indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam and sulindac, and in liver by piroxicam. GST  $\pi$  levels were raised  $(1.9-3.6 \times)$  in stomach by ibuprofen, ASA, and sulindac, and in small intestine by indomethacin, piroxicam, ASA, and sulindac. Glutathione levels were raised (1.2–  $2.3 \times$ ) by indomethacin and ASA in small intestine and by piroxicam in oesophagus. Enhancement of GSTs in the upper part of the digestive tract, resulting in a more efficient detoxification, may explain in part the anticarcinogenic properties of NSAIDs.

Many animal studies have revealed significant protection against development of chemically induced cancers by treatment with NSAIDs. Ibuprofen inhibited carcinogenesis in rat colon (13), mouse forestomach and lung (14). Indomethacin inhibited tumorigenesis in rat colon (15–19), stomach (16,20), mammary gland (21), urinary bladder (22,23), and liver (24,25), as well as in mouse oesophagus (26,27). Piroxicam reduced tumour incidence in the colon (13,15,17–19,28–31), small intestine (18), and liver (25) of the rat. Dietary acetyl salicylic acid inhibited carcinogenesis in rat colon (32–34) and bladder (35), whereas sulindac reduced tumour multiplicity in the rat colon (36) and mouse forestomach (14).

A generally accepted mechanism of action of NSAIDs is the inhibition of cyclooxygenases, the rate-limiting enzymes that catalyse the formation of prostaglandin precursors from arachidonic acid (12,37). Prostaglandins play a role in the control of cell proliferation and regulation of immune functions (38-41). However, doses of NSAIDs required to suppress inflammation may exceed substantially the doses necessary to inhibit prostaglandin synthesis, suggesting that the anticarcinogenic properties of these drugs may be achieved through additional unidentified mechanisms (42). Inhibitors of carcinogenesis often have an enhancing effect on carcinogen detoxification systems such as glutathione S-transferases (GSTs; EC 2.5.1.18) (43–45). The soluble glutathione S-transferases are a gene family of dimeric enzymes comprised of four classes:  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  (43,44). They catalyse the binding of a large variety of electrophiles to the sulphydryl group of glutathione (GSH). Since most reactive ultimate carcinogenic forms of chemical carcinogens are electrophiles, GSTs take considerable importance as a mechanism for carcinogen detoxification (43,44). Enhancement of the activity of this system may result in a more efficient elimination of carcinogens and may ultimately lead to the prevention of cancer. The present study was designed to investigate the effects of dietary administration of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, acetyl salicylic acid and sulindac on glutathione and glutathione S-transferases in the rat oesophagus, intestine, stomach and liver.

### Introduction

© Oxford University Press

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs\*) are among the most prescribed drugs worldwide. They have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic activities. They are used clinically for the treatment of patients with (e.g.) acute and chronic rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, gouty arthritis, bursitis, tendositis, and inflammatory arthritis (1).

In addition to their therapeutic use, there is strong epidemiological evidence that NSAIDs may have anticarcinogenic effects in humans. Sulindac caused regression of adenomatous

polyps in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) (2-4), whereas no effect on sporadic colonic polyps was found (5). Epidemiological studies suggest that regular, prolonged

\*Abbreviations: NSAIDS, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; GST, glutathione S-transferase; ASA, acetyl salicylic acid; FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis.

### Materials and methods

#### Animal treatment

Forty-eight male Wistar rats  $(183\pm2 \text{ g}; \text{Central Laboratory Animal Centre,} University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands) were housed in pairs on wooden shavings in macrolon cages, maintained at 20-25°C and 30-60% relative humidity. A ventilation rate of seven air cycles/h and a 12 h light/dark cycle$ 

485

were used. The rats were randomly assigned to one of the dietary treatment groups. All groups were fed powdered RMH-TM lab chow (Hope Farms, Woerden, The Netherlands) from the same batch. After acclimatization for 7 days the animals were fed either the basal diet (control group) or one of the five experimental diets. Food and water were available ad libitum. Food cups were replenished every 2–3 days. Food consumption and gain in body weight were recorded daily.

#### Diets

Selection of NSAIDs as well as feeding period and dose levels were based on studies by others, showing reduction of tumour incidence in humans and inhibition of chemically induced carcinogenesis in animal models, where NSAIDs were adjusted 2 weeks prior to carcinogen treatment (13,18,21,32,36). The following six diet groups (eight animals per group) were studied: (a) RMH-TM lab chow only or supplemented with (b) 25 ppm indomethacin, (c) 400 ppm ibuprofen, (d) 400 ppm piroxicam, (e) 400 ppm acetyl salicylic acid, or (f) 320 ppm sulindac. The NSAIDs were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA. A food processor was used to obtain a homogenous mixture of test compound and powdered lab chow. After receiving the diets for 2 weeks the rats were killed by decapitation. The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee for animal experiments of the University of Nijmegen.

groups food consumption was significantly reduced as compared to the control group, whereas in the ibuprofen group the food consumption was induced. In the piroxicam group the lower food consumption was parallelled by a reduced gain in body weight. During the course of the experiment no changes in behavioral pattern of the animals were observed. In addition, none of the organs studied showed any macroscopical sign of toxicity of the dietary additive at the end of the experiment.

Table II shows the effects of the NSAIDs on GST activity in the organs investigated. No change in activity was found with acetyl salicylic acid (ASA). In the oesophagus and proximal small intestine (PSI), GST activity was induced by indomethacin (both  $1.3 \times$ ), ibuprofen ( $1.3 \times$  and  $1.2 \times$ , respectively), piroxicam (1.8× and 1.2×) and sulindac (both  $1.3\times$ ). In addition, ibuprofen elevated GST activity in the  $colon (1.3 \times).$ 

In Tables III, IV, and V the effects of the NSAIDs on GST

#### Tissue preparation

All handlings were performed on ice. After decapitation, oesophagus, stomach, intestine (proximal, middle, and distal small intestine and colon) and liver were excised immediately. Intestine and stomach were slit longitudinally and the contents were removed by washing with cold buffer A (0.25 M saccharose, 20 mM Tris, 1 mM dithiothreitiol, pH 7.4). The organs were directly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C until use. For preparation of the cytosolic fraction the tissue was thawed quickly using cold running water. The mucosal surface of stomach and intestine was collected by scraping with a scalpel and was homogenized in buffer A (4 ml/g tissue) in a glass/glass Potter-Elvehjem tube. The liver was homogenized in buffer A (4 ml/g tissue) with 10 strokes at 1000 rpm of a motor-driven glass/Teflon homogenizer (Braun, Germany). The homogenate was centrifuged at 9000 g (4°C) for 30 min. The resulting supernatant fraction was transferred to an ultracentrifuge tube and spun at 150000 g (4°C) for 60 min. The oesophagus was homogenized in 5 ml buffer A per gram tissue in a glass/glass Potter-Elvehjem tube. These homogenates were centrifuged at 150 000 g for 60 min (4°C). Aliquots of the 150 000 g supernatant, representing the cytosolic fraction, were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

#### Assays

Protein concentration was assayed in quadruplicate by the method of Lowry et al. (46) using bovine serum albumin as the standard. GST activity was determined in triplicate according to Habig et al. (47), using 1-chloro-2,4dinitrobenzene (CDNB) as substrate. GST isoenzyme levels were determined as described before (45). In short, cytosolic fractions were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (11% acrylamide, w/v), and subsequently to Western blotting, using a semi-dry blotting system (Novablot II, Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Western blots were incubated with monoclonal antibodies against human GST class  $\alpha$  (48),  $\mu$  (49), and  $\pi$ (50). Class  $\alpha$  antibodies react with rat GST subunit 1, class  $\mu$  antibodies recognize rat GST subunits 3 and 4, and class  $\pi$  antibodies react with rat GST subunit 7 (45). The specific binding of the monoclonal antibodies to the isoenzymes was demonstrated by incubation with the secondary antibody peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dakopatts, Glostrup, Denmark) and subsequent development of the peroxidase label with 4-chloro-1-naphthol and hydrogen peroxide. Staining intensity on the immunoblots was quantified using a laser densitometer (Ultroscan XL, LKB, Bromma, Sweden). Known amounts of purified GSTs were run in parallel with the experimental samples and served as standards for the calculation of the absolute amounts of the isoenzymes in the cytosolic fractions. Total glutathione was quantified by high performance liquid chromatography after reaction with monobromobimane, as described before (45). In this assay, oxidized glutathione present is reduced by adding sodium borohydride to the reaction mixture.

class  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\pi$  isoenzyme levels are given. In control animals GST  $\alpha$  (Table III) was undetectable in oesophagus and colon, low in stomach  $(222\pm20 \text{ ng/mg protein})$  and high in liver  $(12282 \pm 1227 \text{ ng/mg protein})$  and small intestine. In middle small intestine (MSI), none of the diets significantly influenced GST  $\alpha$  expression. ASA did not influence GST  $\alpha$  levels. Indomethacin increased levels of GST  $\alpha$  in PSI and DSI (1.6× and  $1.9\times$ , respectively) as compared to controls. Ibuprofen increased GST  $\alpha$  levels in PSI (1.4×), piroxicam increased levels in stomach, DSI and liver  $(1.8 \times, 2.8 \times, and 1.2 \times)$  and sulindac had an inducing effect on GST  $\alpha$  levels in DSI  $(2.1\times)$ . GST  $\mu$  (Table IV) was expressed at high levels in all tissues examined. Parallel to GST activity, GST  $\mu$  levels in oesophagus and DSI were modulated by the same NSAIDs: indomethacin (1.4× and 1.6×, respectively), ibuprofen (1.4× and 1.3×), piroxicam (1.6× and 1.4×) and sulindac (1.5× and 1.4×), whereas ASA did not change GST  $\mu$  levels. GST  $\pi$  (Table V) was undetectable in oesophagus and liver, and low in all other organs studied, ranging from  $114\pm21$  ng/mg protein in MSI to 568±84 ng/mg protein in PSI in control animals. All NSAIDs tested increased GST  $\pi$  levels at one or more sites: indomethacin increased GST  $\pi$  levels in MSI  $(2.1\times)$ , ibuprofen in stomach  $(1.9\times)$ , piroxicam in both MSI and DSI (2.4 $\times$  and 2.8 $\times$ , respectively), ASA in stomach, MSI and DSI (1.9 $\times$ , 3.2 $\times$  and 1.9 $\times$ , respectively) and sulindac in stomach and MSI ( $2.6 \times$  and  $3.6 \times$ ). Table VI shows the effect of the NSAIDs on the GSH content in the organs studied. Gastric, colonic and hepatic GSH contents were not influenced by any of the NSAIDs tested. Elevation of the GSH content was seen by indomethacin in PSI, MSI and DSI (1.2×, 2.3× and 2.3×, respectively), by piroxicam in oesophagus (1.6×), and by ASA in MSI (1.6×).

#### Statistical analyses

### Discussion

In the present study we have demonstrated that NSAIDs are able to induce glutathione S-transferases, especially in the upper part of the rat digestive tract.

During the last decade, many studies have shown significant

The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to assess statistical significance of differences between experimental and control groups:  $^{a}P < 0.05$ ,  $^{b}P < 0.01$ and  $^{c}P < 0.005$ .

### Results

Daily food consumption, intake of NSAIDs and gain in body weight are given in Table I. In the sulindac and piroxicam

protection against the development of cancer by NSAIDs. Compelling evidence is presented in several epidemiological studies, suggesting that NSAIDs have significant protective activity against human oesophageal, gastric, and colonic cancer (11,12). Regression of colon adenomas during treatment with NSAIDs, particularly sulindac, occurred in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis coli who are at high risk for



Table I. Daily food consumption, NSAID-intake and gain in body weight of male Wistar rats receiving diets supplemented with indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, ASA or sulindac

Treatment group $(n = 8)$	Dose (ppm)	Food consumption (g/day)	Total NSAID-intake (mg/day/kg b.	Gain in body weight (g/day)	
Control		$16.1 \pm 0.3$		2.4±0.2	
Indomethacin	25	$15.9 \pm 0.5$	$2.0\pm0.1$	$2.5 \pm 0.3$	
Ibuprofen	400	$17.0 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$34.0\pm0.5$	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	
Piroxicam	400	14.7±0.3ª	$29.4 \pm 0.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.2^{b}$	
ASA	400	$16.3 \pm 0.5$	$32.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	
Sulindac	320	$14.8 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$29.6 \pm 0.7$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	

Values given are means  $\pm$ SEM. The one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to assess statistical significance of differences between control and treated groups. <sup>a</sup>P<0.05, and <sup>b</sup>P<0.01.

Table II. Effects of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, ASA or sulindac on rat alimentary tract glutathione S-transferase activity

(n = 8)	oesophagus	stomach	PSI	MSI	DSI	colon	liver
Control	49±5	184±25	363±29	$134 \pm 12$	59±7	41±3	$1817 \pm 152$
Indomethacin	$66\pm5^{b}$	$146 \pm 15$	$473 \pm 33^{a}$	$173 \pm 11$	$54\pm 5$	$45 \pm 4$	$1708 \pm 155$
Ibuprofen	$63 \pm 6^{a}$	$164 \pm 2$	$446 \pm 34^{a}$	$134 \pm 7$	$49 \pm 4$	$52\pm4^{a}$	$1593 \pm 269$
Piroxicam	$88 \pm 7^{c}$	$157 \pm 16$	$452 \pm 33^{a}$	$152 \pm 13$	59±4	$47\pm4$	$2160 \pm 151$
ASA	45±5	$166 \pm 13$	$406 \pm 28$	$138 \pm 11$	$50 \pm 3$	43±6	$1593 \pm 87$
Sulindac	$63 \pm 4^{a}$	$152 \pm 17$	$472 \pm 35^{a}$	$147 \pm 12$	$50 \pm 4$	$43\pm4$	$1598 \pm 156$

Table III. Effects of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, ASA or sulindac on rat alimentary tract glutathione S-transferase  $\alpha$  levels

Treatment group $(n = 8)$	Glutathione S-transferase & level (ng/mg protein)							
	oesophagus	stomach	PSI	MSI	DSI	colon	liver	
Control	ND	$222 \pm 20$	$4705 \pm 753$	2780±453	$734 \pm 175$	ND	$12282 \pm 1227$	
Indomethacin	ND	308±79	$7378 \pm 874^{a}$	$3322 \pm 509$	$1389 \pm 331^{a}$	ND	$11774 \pm 567$	
Ibuprofen	ND	$252 \pm 15$	6547±499 <sup>a</sup>	$2849 \pm 643$	$974 \pm 131$	ND	$13614 \pm 2399$	
Piroxicam	ND	$400 \pm 54^{a}$	$6413 \pm 662$	$2783 \pm 346$	2028±447 <sup>b</sup>	ND	$14817 \pm 1158^{a}$	
ASA	ND	$288 \pm 36$	$5741 \pm 659$	$2682 \pm 386$	$951 \pm 165$	ND	$10267 \pm 676$	
Sulindac	ND	$241 \pm 29$	$5694 \pm 300$	$3459 \pm 1043$	$1526 \pm 153^{b}$	ND	$11306 \pm 897$	

ND, Not detectable;  $^{a}P < 0.05$ , and  $^{b}P < 0.01$ .

Table IV. Effects of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, ASA or sulindac on rat alimentary tract glutathione S-transferase µ levels

Treatment group $(n=8)$	Glutathione S-tra	ansferase μ level (ng/m	g protein)							
	oesophagus	stomach	PSI	MSI	DSI	colon	liver			
Control	$3469 \pm 326$	$32771 \pm 1768$	8112±1037	6839±774	$4891 \pm 684$	$4567 \pm 291$	31662 _ 5467			
Indomethacin	4738±343 <sup>b</sup>	$30755 \pm 2787$	$12918 \pm 1334^{a}$	$7581 \pm 873$	$5644 \pm 639$	$5178 \pm 744$	37877 _ 3352			
Ibuprofen	$4792 \pm 268^{a}$	$39165 \pm 2641$	$10582 \pm 870^{a}$	6313±993	$5907 \pm 676$	4598±637	33416 _ 5832			
Piroxicam	5432±356°	$34470 \pm 1926$	$11740 \pm 1065^{a}$	$6859 \pm 789$	$6526 \pm 420$	$4691 \pm 240$	32393 _ 2267			
ASA	4008±399	$37763 \pm 1268$	$9227 \pm 1338$	$6153 \pm 804$	$5432 \pm 676$	$5650 \pm 751$	28760 _ 4384			
Sulindac	5223±461°	34591±813	11263±1027 <sup>a</sup>	6975±897	6289±785	5500±791	25555 _ 4719			

 $^{a}P < 0.05$ ,  $^{b}P < 0.01$  and  $^{c}P < 0.005$ .

development of colonic cancer (2–4). NSAIDs such as aspirin, indomethacin, piroxicam, and sulindac were repeatedly shown to inhibit chemically induced tumours of the colon (15,17– 19,29–31,51–53), oesophagus (26,27), bladder (54), breast (21), and liver (24) in laboratory animals. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the mechanism of chemoprevention by NSAIDs: (a) NSAIDs reduce the gastrointestinal permeability of carcinogens and their metabolites (55), (b) NSAIDs are scavengers of reactive oxygen species involved in initiation and promotion of cancer (56), (c) NSAIDs can bind to cytochrome P450-monooxygenases, thereby inhibiting P450-mediated activation of procarcinogens to reactive electrophilic intermediates (57,58). On the other hand, ibuprofen and indomethacin are able to induce prokaryotic cytochrome P450<sub>BM-3</sub> (CYP102) (59). (d) In parallel with the inhibition of tumour growth, aspirin, indomethacin,

487

Table V. Effects of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam,	ASA or sulindac on rat alignmentary tract glutathione S-transferase $\pi$ levels
---	--

Treatment group $(n=8)$	Glutathione S-transferase $\pi$ level (ng/mg protein)								
	oesophagus	stomach	PSI	MSI	DSI	colon	liver		
Control	ND	240±39	568±84	114±21	125±50	238±51	ND		
Indomethacin	ND	$306 \pm 87$	$542 \pm 44$	234±39 <sup>b</sup>	262±98	$328 \pm 48$	ND		
Ibuprofen	ND	$464 \pm 51^{c}$	$642 \pm 46$	$188 \pm 55$	156±32	$257 \pm 23$	ND		
Piroxicam	ND	397±89	590±59	278±67 <sup>b</sup>	$356 \pm 89^{b}$	$247 \pm 24$	ND		
ASA	ND	$447 \pm 95^{a}$	$599 \pm 59$	$368 \pm 105^{b}$	$236 \pm 54^{a}$	$318 \pm 30$	ND		
Sulindac	ND	$616 \pm 27^{c}$	$554 \pm 73$	$415 \pm 72^{c}$	$249 \pm 58$	$268 \pm 47$	ND		

ND, Not detectable;  ${}^{a}P < 0.05$ ,  ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ , and  ${}^{c}P < 0.005$ .

Table VI. Effects of indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, ASA or sulindac on rat alimentary tract glutathione levels

Treatment group $(n=8)$	Glutathione (ng/mg protein)								
	oesophagus	stomach	PSI	MSI	DSI	colon	liver		
Control	$18.1 \pm 1.6$	24.8±2.1	$12.2 \pm 1.9$	$3.8 \pm 0.8$	2.4±0.5	8.8±2.9	39.2±2.9		
Indomethacin	$24.5 \pm 3.4$	$26.5 \pm 2.5$	$15.1 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$8.6 \pm 1.1^{c}$	$5.6 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$7.5 \pm 2.8$	$40.8 \pm 6.9$		
Ibuprofen	$20.1 \pm 1.6$	$27.3 \pm 3.3$	$13.9 \pm 0.8$	$4.4 \pm 0.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	$7.6 \pm 2.6$	45.9±6.9		
Piroxicam	28.2±3.1 <sup>b</sup>	$27.0 \pm 2.9$	$15.2 \pm 1.8$	$5.4 \pm 1.4$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	$6.5 \pm 1.7$	$51.2 \pm 6.0$		
ASA	$16.6 \pm 1.4$	$25.3 \pm 2.0$	$12.3 \pm 1.5$	$6.0 \pm 0.9^{a}$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$6.7 \pm 2.4$	$37.0 \pm 3.5$		
Sulindac	17.8±1.2	19.0±1.9	13.9±1.7	5.9±1.1	1.3±0.1	4.8±1.6	46.1±3.7		

 $^{a}P < 0.05$ ,  $^{b}P < 0.01$ , and  $^{c}P < 0.005$ .

and piroxicam, reduce the prostaglandin levels in the colon of rodents treated with carcinogens (15,17,60), by inhibition of cyclooxygenases, the rate-limiting enzymes in the synthesis of prostaglandins (61,62). On the other hand, GSTs are involved in the synthesis of prostaglandin  $D_2$ ,  $E_2$  and  $F_{2rr}$  (63). (e) NSAIDs can inhibit the induction of ornithine decarboxylase activity and tissue levels of putrescine, two markers of tumour promotion (64,65). (f) In addition, NSAIDs may inhibit the activity of enzymes such as phosphodiesterases or cyclic GMP-AMP protein kinases (66), which may be central to cancer initiation and promotion. Much of the research on NSAIDs and cancer prevention at this moment is focused on the hypothesis that prostaglandins may play a key role in the regulation of neoplasia. However, there is no direct evidence that NSAIDs prevent tumour development solely through inhibition of cyclooxygenases (67), and prevention of cancer could be due to multiple mechanisms. Another way of action of NSAIDs, in addition to the possibilities cited above, may be the enhancement of carcinogen detoxification by GSTs, as shown in this study. A more efficient detoxification could lead to a reduction of biologically active compounds and thus prevent carcinogenesis. No information about the possible effects of NSAIDs on oesophageal, gastric, intestinal, and hepatic GST enzyme activity has been reported before. In our study, GST activity in the oesophagus and proximal small intestine was increased by indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, and sulindac. This may be of direct significance in the protection against cancer in these organs. However, organs such as the colon could also benefit from a more efficient detoxification in the upper part of the digestive tract, since lower levels of carcinogens may now reach the colon. In human organs at high risk for cancer development low GST levels were measured, and vice versa (68). Recent data, mostly obtained from animal studies, have indicated that many naturally occurring dietary anticarcinogens are able to elevate

the levels of GSTs (44,45, and references therein). Enhancement of GSTs in humans was found after consumption of cruciferous vegetables with cancer preventing properties such as broccoli and Brussels sprouts (69,70) and a reduction of oxidative DNA damage in humans was measured after consumption of brussels sprouts (71). In this respect the chemopreventive properties of NSAIDs could very well be mediated in a similar way by the enhancement of GSTs. In addition to the measurement of GST activity, it may be important to register changes in the levels of GST isoenzymes, which have different though partly overlapping substrate specificities. The isoenzymes showed a tissue specific distribution. Class  $\alpha$  GSTs are abundant in liver and small intestine, whereas class Pi GSTs are present in stomach, small- and large intestine (43,45). In contrast, class  $\mu$  enzymes seem to be less organ specific since they were detected at high levels in all tissues examined. GST  $\alpha$  was not detectable in the oesophagus and colon, and GST  $\pi$  was undetectable in both oesophagus and liver and none of the NSAIDs had any effect on this. The increase in GST activity in oesophagus and PSI by indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam and sulindac was parallelled by a rise in GST  $\mu$  level in the same order of magnitude. This was expected, since GST  $\mu$  is the most prominent of all GSTs in the rat (Tables III–V). In two of the sites in which both GST activity and GST  $\mu$  levels were induced, GST  $\alpha$  level was increased as well. The molecular basis for these inductions is not clear yet. Indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, and sulindac each induced the GST enzyme activity as well as GST  $\alpha$ , GST  $\mu$ or GST  $\pi$  levels in at least one organ. Indomethacin, ibuprofen and sulindac were equally efficient in inducing glutathione S-transferases, in seven out of 28 possibilities (25%). Piroxicam appeared to be the most active, with inductions seen in 32% of all possibilities. Acetyl salicylic acid showed an increase of glutathione S-transferase enzyme activity or isoenzyme levels in only 11% of all possibilities, which makes it the least



active form of the NSAIDs tested. The amount of NSAIDs consumed by the rats in our study (2-35 mg/day/kg b.w.) matches very well with doses prescribed to patients suffering from rheumatic diseases (3–100 mg/day/kg b.w.; see Table I), suggesting that the effects of NSAIDs on GSTs as found in rats may be achieved in humans as well. NSAIDs have sideeffects in both humans as well as animals. We observed a decreased food consumption in the piroxicam and sulindac groups. In these animals, however, none of the organs showed any macroscopical sign of toxicity at the end of the experiment, suggesting that the induction of GSTs are not the consequence of toxic effects of these NSAIDs. This is further supported by the observation that ibuprofen induced food consumption as well as GST activity and isoenzymes.

No data on the effects of the NSAIDs tested on GSH levels have been reported before. GSH is an important physiological nucleophile which is coupled with reactive electrophiles such as carcinogenic nitrosamines (45), catalysed by the glutathione S-transferases. Significantly increased levels of GSH, however, were found in only five out of 35 possibilities: in small intestine by indomethacin, in oesophagus by piroxicam and in MSI by ASA. In PSI and oesophagus, this increase in GSH level parallelled an induction of GST activity. In conclusion, our data demonstrate that NSAIDs are able to elevate the detoxification potential of tissues from the gastrointestinal tract, by increasing the expression of GSTs. This seems to be a common working mechanism in the prevention of carcinogenesis.

cyclooxygenase inhibitors: potential for cancer chemoprevention. J. Cell. Biochem., Suppl. 16I, 136–166.

- 13. Reddy, B.S., Tokuno, K., Kulkarni, N., Aligia, C. and Kelloff, G. (1992) Inhibition of colon carcinogenesis by prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors and related compounds. Carcinogenesis, 13, 1019–1023.
- 14. Jalbert, G. and Castonguay, A. (1992) Effects of NSAID's on NNK-induced pulmonary and gastric tumorigenesis in A/J mice. *Cancer Lett.*, 66, 21–28.
- 15. Narisawa, T., Sato, M., Tani, M., Kudo, T. and Guo, A. (1981) Inhibition of development of methylnitrosurea-induced rat colon tumours by indomethacin treatment. Cancer Res., 41, 1954–1957.
- 16. Tanaka, T., Kojima, T., Yoshimi, N., Sugie, S. and Mori, H. (1991) Inhibitory effect of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug indomethacin on the naturally occurring carcinogen, 1-hydroxyanthraquinone in male ACI/N rats. Carcinogenesis, 12, 1949-1952.
- 17. Pollard, M. and Luckert, P.H. (1984) Effect of piroxicam in primary intestinal tumours induced in rats by N-methylnitrosurea. Cancer Lett., 25, 117–121. 18. Rao, C.V., Tokuno, K., Rigotti, J., Zang, E., Kelloff, G. and Reddy, B.S. (1991) Chemoprevention of colon carcinogenesis by dietary administration of piroxicam,  $\alpha$ -difluoromethylornithine, 16 $\alpha$ -fluoro-5-androsten-17-one, and ellagic acid individually and in combination. *Cancer Res.*, 51, 4528–4534.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant KUN 94-715 (EMMvL) from the Dutch Cancer Society. The authors would like to thank H.M.J.Roelofs for her excellent technical assistance.

# References

1. Brogden, R.N., Heel, R.C., Speight, T.M. and Avery, G. (1978) Sulindac: a review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic efficacy in rheumatic diseases. Drugs, 16, 97–114. 2. Giardiello, F.M. (1994) Sulindac and polyp regression. Cancer Metastas. *Rev.*, **13**, 279–283. 3. Giardiello, F.M., Hamilton, S.R., Krush, A.J., Piantadosi, S., Hylind, L.M., Celano, P., Booker, S.V., Robinson, C.R. and Offerhaus, G.J.A. (1993) Treatment of colonic and rectal adenomas with sulindac in familial adenomatous polyposis. N. Engl. J. Med., 328, 1313–1316. 4. Labayle, D., Fischer, D., Vielh, P., Drouhin, F., Pariente, A., Bories, C., Duhamel,O., Trousset,M. and Attali,P. (1991) Sulindac causes regression of rectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gastroenterology*, 101, 635-639. 5. Ladenheim, J., Garcia, G., Titzer, D., Herzenberg, H., Lavori, P., Edson, R. and Omary, M.B. (1995) Effect of sulindac on sporadic colonic polyps. Gastroenterology, 108, 1083–1087. 6. Rosenberg, L., Palmer, J.R., Zauber, A.G., Warshauer, M.E., Stolley, J.D. and Shapiro, S.A. (1991) A hypothesis: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs reduce the incidence of large-bowel cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 83, 355-358.

- 19. Reddy, B.S., Nayini, J., Tokuno, K., Rigotti, J., Zang, E. and Kelloff, G. (1990) Chemoprevention of colon carcinogenesis by concurrent administration of piroxicam, a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug with D,l,- $\alpha$ difluoromethylornithine, an ornithine decarboxylase inhibitor, in diet. Cancer Res., 50, 2562–2568.
- 20. Takahashi, M., Hasegawa, T., Furukawa, F., Okamiya, H., Shinoda, K., Imaida, K., Toyoda, K. and Hayashi, Y. (1991) Enhanced lipid peroxidation in rat gastric mucosa caused by NaCl. *Carcinogenesis*, **12**, 2201–2204.
- 21. McCormick, D.L., Madigan, M.J. and Moon, R.C. (1985) Modulation of rat mammary carcinogenesis by indomethacin. *Cancer Res.*, 45, 1803–1808. 22. Grubbs, C.J., Juliana, M.M., Eto, I., Casebolt, T., Whitaker, L.M., Canfield,G.J., Manczak,M., Steele,V.E. and Kelloff,G.J. (1993) Chemoprevention by indomethacin in N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine-induced urinary bladder tumours. Anticancer Res., 13, 33–36. 23. Shibata, M.A., Hasegawa, R., Shirai, T., Takesada, Y. and Fukushima, S. (1993) Chemoprevention by indomethacin of tumour promotion in a rat urinary bladder carcinogenesis model. Int. J. Cancer, 55, 1011–1017.
- 24. Taton, G., Servais, P. and Galand, P. (1990) Modulation by indomethacin or prostaglandin E2 of the incidence of diethylnitrosamine-induced glutamyltranspeptidase positive foci in rat liver. Jpn. J. Cancer. Res., 81, 747-753.
- 25. Tanaka, T., Kojima, T., Okomura, A., Sugie, S. and Mori, H. (1993) Inhibitory effect of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, indomethacin and piroxicam on 2-acetaminofluorene-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in male

- 7. Thun, M.J., Namboodiri, M.M. and Heath, C.W. (1991) Aspirin use and reduced risk of fatal colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med., 325, 1593–1596.
- 8. Thun, J.M., Namboodiri, M.M., Calle, E.E., Flanders, J.D. and Heath, C.W. (1993) Aspirin use and risk of fatal cancer. Cancer Lett., 53, 1322-1327.

ACI/N rats. Cancer Lett., 68, 111–118.

- 26. Rubio, C.A. (1984) Antitumoral activity of indomethacin on experimental oesophageal tumours. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 72, 705–707.
- 27. Rubio, C.A. (1986) Further studies on the therapeutic effect of indomethacin on oesophageal tumours. Cancer, 58, 1029–1031.
- 28. Pollard, M. and Luckert, P.H. (1983) Prolonged antitumor effect of indomethacin on autochthonous intestinal tumours in rats. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 70, 1103–1105.
- 29. Reddy, B.S., Maruyama, H. and Kelloff, G. (1987) Dose-related inhibition of colon carcinogenesis by dietary piroxicam, a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug, during different stages of rat colon tumour development. Cancer Res., 47, 5340-5346.
- 30. Pollard, M., Luckert, P.H. and Schmidt, M.A. (1983) The suppressive effect of piroxicam in autochthonous intestinal tumours in the rat. Cancer Lett., 21, 57-6.
- 31. Kudo, T., Narisawa, T. and Abo, S. (1980) Antitumour activity of indomethacin on methylazoxymethanol-induced large bowel tumours in rats. Jpn J. Cancer Res., 71, 260-264.
- 32. Reddy, B.S., Rao, C.V., Rivenson, A. and Kelloff, G. (1993) Inhibitory effect of aspirin on azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in F344 rats. Carcinogenesis, 14, 1493–1497.
- 33. Davis, A.E. and Patterson, F. (1994) Aspirin reduces the incidence of colonic carcinoma in the dimethylhydrazine rat animal model. Aust. N. Z. J. Med., 24, 301–303.

9. Kune, G.A., Kune, S. and Watson, L.F. (1988) Colorectal cancer risk, chronic illnesses, operations, and medications: case control results from the Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study. Cancer Res., 48, 4399-4404. 10. Paganini-Hill, A., Hsu, G., Ross, R.K. and Henderson, B.E. (1991) Aspirin use and incidence of large-bowel cancer in a California retirement community. J. Natl Cancer. Inst., 83, 1182–1183. 11. Turner, D. and Berkel, H.J. (1993) Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the prevention of colon cancer. Can. Med. Assoc. J., 149, 595-602. 12. Earnest, D.L., Hixson, L.J. and Alberts, D.S. (1992) Piroxicam and other 34. Mereto, E., Frencia, L. and Gjia, M. (1994) Effect of aspirin on incidence and growth of aberrant crypt foci induced in the rat colon by 1,2dimethylhydrazine. Cancer Lett., 76, 5–9. 35. Klän, R., Knispel, H.H. and Meier, T. (1993) Acetylsalicylic acid inhibition of N-butyl-(4-hydroxyl)nitrosamine-induced bladder carcinogenesis in rats. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol., 119, 482–485. 36.Rao, C.V., Rivenson, A., Simi, B., Zang, E., Kelloff, G., Steele, V. and Reddy, B.S. (1995) Chemoprevention of colon carcinogenesis by sulindac, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent. Cancer Res., 55, 1464–1472.



- 37. Smith, W.L. and Marnett, L.J. (1991) Prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase: structure and catalysis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1083, 1–17.
- 38. Webb, D.R. and Osheroff, P.L. (1976) Antigen stimulation of prostaglandin synthesis and control of immune response. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 73, 1300–1304.
- 39. Craven, P.A., Saito, R. and DeRubertis, F.R. (1983) Role of local prostaglandin synthesis in the modulation of proliferative activity of rat colonic epithelium. J. Clin. Invest., 72, 1365–1375.
- 40. Lupescu, A. (1978) Enhancement of carcinogenesis by prostaglandins. Nature, 272, 634-636.
- 41. Lynch, N.R., Castes, M., Astoin, M. and Salomon, J.C. (1978) Mechanism of inhibition of tumour growth by aspirin and indomethacin. Br. J. Cancer, 38, 503-512.
- 42. Brooks, P.M. and Wood, J.J. (1991) Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugsdifferences and similarities. N. Engl. J. Med., 324, 1716.
- 43. Beckett, G.J. and Hayes, J.D. (1993) Glutathione S-transferases: Biomedical applications. Adv. Clin. Chem., 30, 281–380.
- 44. Hayes, J.D. and Pulford, D.J. (1995) The glutathione S-transferase supergene family: regulation of GST and contribution of the isoenzymes to cancer chemoprevention and drug resistance. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 30, 445–600

63. Jihara, M., Tsuchida, S., Satoh, K., Sato, K. and Urade, Y. (1988) Biochemical and immunological demonstration of prostaglandin D<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> formation from prostaglandin H<sub>2</sub> by various rat glutathione S-transferases isoenzymes. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, **264**, 428–437.

- 64. Scalabrino, G. and Ferioli, M.E. (1981) Polyamines in mammalian tumours, part I. Adv. Cancer. Res., 35, 151–268.
- 65. Verma, A.J., Ashendel, C.L. and Boutwell, R.K. (1980) Inhibition by prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors of the induction of epidermal ornithine decarboxylase activity, the accumulation of prostaglandins, and tumour promotion caused by 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate. *Cancer Res.*, 40, 308-315.
- 66. Kantor, H.S. and Hampton, M. (1978) Indomethacin in submicromolar inhibits cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. *Nature*, **276**, 841–842.

67. Vane, J. (1994) Towards a better aspirin. Nature, 367, 215-216.

- 68. Peters, W.H.M., Roelofs, H.M.J., Hectors, M.P.C., Nagengast, F.M. and Jansen, J.B.M.J. (1993) Glutathione and glutathione S-transferases in Barrett's epithelium. *Br. J. Cancer*, 67, 1413–1417.
- 69. Nijhoff, W.A., Grubben, M.J.A.L., Nagengast, F.M., Jansen, J.B.M.J., Verhagen, H., van Poppel, G. and Peters, W.H.M. (1995) Effects of consumption of Brussels sprouts on intestinal and lymfocytic glutathione

445–600,

- 45. Nijhoff, W.A., Groen, G.M. and Peters, W.H.M. (1993) Induction of rat hepatic and intestinal glutathione S-transferases and glutathione by dietary naturally occurring anticarcinogens. *Int. J. Oncol.*, 3, 1131–1139.
- 46. Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951) Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193, 265-275.
- 47. Habig, W.H., Pabst, M.J. and Jakoby, W.B. (1974) Glutathione S-transferases, the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 249, 7130–7139.
- 48. Peters, W.H.M., Boon, C.E.W., Roelofs, H.M.J., Wobbes, Th., Nagengast, F.M. and Kremers, P.G. (1992) Expression of drug metabolizing enzymes and P-170 glycoprotein in colorectal carcinoma and normal mucosa. *Gastroenterol.*, **103**, 448–455.
- 49. Peters, W.H.M., Kock, L., Nagengast, F.M. and Roelofs, H.M.J. (1990) Immunodetection with a monoclonal antibody of glutathione S-transferase μ in patients with and without carcinomas. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 39, 591–597.
- 50. Peters, W.H.M., Nagengast, F.M. and Wobbes, Th. (1989) Glutathione S-transferases in normal and cancerous tissue. *Carcinogenesis*, 10, 2371–2374.
- 51. Narisawa, T., Satoh, M., Sano, M. and Takahashi, T. (1983) Inhibition of initiation and promotion by N-methylnitrosurea-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent indomethacin. *Carcinogenesis*, 4, 1225–1227.
- 52. Pollard, M. and Luckert, P.H. (1989) Prevention and treatment of primary intestinal tumours in rats by piroxicam. Cancer Res., 49, 6471-6473. 53. Craven, P.A. and DeRubertis, F.R. (1992) Effects of aspirin on 1,dimethylhydrazine-induced colonic carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis, 13, 541-546. 54. Hagesawa, R., St. John, M., Murasaki, G., Fukushhima, S. and Cohen, S. (1984) Effect of aspirin on N-[4-(5 nitro-2-furyl)-2-thiazolyl]formamideinduced epithelial proliferation in the urinary bladder and forestomach of the rat. Cancer Lett., 21, 269-275. 55. Pepin, P., Bouchard, L., Nicole, P. and Castonguay, A. (1992) Effects of sulindac and oltipraz on the tumorigenicity of 4-(methylnitrosamino)1-(3pyridyl)-1-butanone in A/J mouse lung. *Carcinogenesis*, **13**, 341–348. 56. Aruoma, O.I. and Haliwell, B. (1988) The iron-binding and hydroxyl radical scavenging action of anti-inflammatory drugs. Xenobiotica, 18, 459-470. 57. Belanger, P.M. and Atsite-Gbeassor, A. (1985) Effect of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs on the microsomal monooxygenase system of rat liver. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol., 63, 798-803. 58. Vukoson, M.B., Greiner, J.W., Kramer, R.E. and Colby, H.D. (1978) Effects of indomethacin administration on hepatic steroid and drug metabolism in male and female rats. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 27, 1977–1979. 59. English, N., Hughes, V. and Wolf, C.R. (1996) Induction of cytochrome P-450<sub>BM-3</sub> (CYP 102) by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in *Bacillus* megaterium. Biochem. J., 316, 279–283.

S-transferases in humans. Carcinogenesis, 16, 2125–2128.

- 70. Sreerama, L., Hedge, M.W. and Sladek, N.E. (1995) Identification of a class 3 aldehyde dehydrogenase in human saliva and increased levels of this enzyme, glutathione S-transferases, and DT-diaphorase in the saliva of subjects who continually ingest large quantities of coffee of broccoli. Crit. Cancer. Res., 1, 1153–1163.
- 71. Verhagen, H., Poulsen, H.E., Loft, S., van Poppel, G., Willems, M.I. and van Bladeren, P.J. (1995) Reduction of oxidative DNA damage in humans by brussels sprouts. *Carcinogenesis*, 16, 969–970.

Received on September 16, 1996; revised and accepted on November 21, 1996

60. Ekmekci, A., Sayl, A., Donmez, H. and Bal, F. (1995) In vitro effects of prostaglandin E1 and indomethacin on mitomycin C-induced sister-

chromatid exchanges in mitogen-stimulated human lymphocytes. Mutat. Res., 328, 49-53.

Smith,W.L., Meade,E.A. and DeWitt,D.L. (1994) Interactions of PGH synthase isozymes-1 and -2 with NSAIDs. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 744, 50-57.
Meade,E.A., Smith,W.L. and DeWitt,D.L. (1993) Differential inhibition of prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase (cyclooxygenase) isozymes by aspirin and other non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs. J. Biol. Chem., 268, 6610-6614.

