Radboud University Nijmegen

#### PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/25039

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

GENOMICS 40, 48-54 (1997) ARTICLE NO. GE964541

# The Gene for Pendred Syndrome Is Located between D7S501 and D7S692 in a 1.7-cM Region on Chromosome 7q

PAUL COUCKE,\* GUY VAN CAMP,\*<sup>,1</sup> OSMAN DEMIRHAN,<sup>†</sup> YILMAZ KABAKKAYA,<sup>‡</sup> WENDY BALEMANS,\* PETER VAN HAUWE,\* TOM VAN AGTMAEL,\* RICHARD J. H. SMITH,§ AGNETE PARVING,<sup>¶</sup> CUNY H. H. M. BOLDER, COR W. R. J. CREMERS, AND PATRICK J. WILLEMS\*

\*Department of Medical Genetics, University of Antwerp-UIA, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium; †Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cukurova, 01330 Balcali-Adana, Turkey; ‡Department of Otolaryngology, Orta Dogu Private Hospital, Adana, Turkey; SDepartment of Otolaryngology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 52242; "Department of Audiology, Bispebjerg Hospital, 2400 Copenhagen, Denmark; and Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Received July 24, 1996; accepted November 20, 1996

**4**8

Pendred syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by goiter and congenital deafness. The primary defect is not yet known, although the gene causing Pendred syndrome has been localized very recently on chromosome 7q, a region that also contains a gene responsible for nonsyndromal hearing loss (DFNB4). We confirmed linkage to this chromosome 7 region in five Pendred families originating from different ethnic groups, with a highest cumulative lod score of 8.26 for marker D7S501. In combination with previous reports, our results define a candidate region for the Pendred gene of 1.7 cM flanked by markers D7S501 and D7S692. © 1997 Academic Press

with autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance (Illum et al., 1972).

The primary defect responsible for Pendred syndrome is not yet known, although it probably involves the partial failure to convert free iodide into organic iodine in the thyroid gland in Pendred patients (Frazer, 1965; Morgans and Trotter, 1958). The latter process is a complex sequence of metabolic events. During the formation of thyroid hormones, iodide is first oxidized and then covalently bound to thyroglobulin (TG) to form iodotyrosyls by thyroperoxidase (TPO). The iodotyrosyls are subsequently coupled oxidatively into iodothyronines  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  within the matrix of TG (Dumont et al., 1994). The iodine organification defect in Pendred patients is demonstrated with the perchlorate test, which releases free iodine from the thyroid gland and is very common in patients with Pendred syndrome (Illum et al., 1972). TG and TPO are both major components of the iodine organification system. However, biochemical studies failed to find abnormalities in these proteins in Pendred patients (Burrow et al., 1973; Mangklabruks et al., 1991; Ljunggren et al., 1973; Niepomniszeze et al., 1978; Cave and Dunn, 1975), and genetic linkage analysis excluded both genes (Coucke et al., 1995; Coyle et al., 1996). Recently, two groups reported linkage of Pendred syndrome to chromosome 7q31 in an interval of 5.5 and 9.2 cM, respectively (Coyle et al., 1996; Sheffield et al., 1996). In the present study, we confirm the localization of the Pendred syndrome gene to 7q31, provide more evidence for genetic homogeneity, and reduce the candidate region to 1.7 cM.

#### INTRODUCTION

Pendred syndrome (MIM No. 274600) is characterized by the presence of goiter and profound congenital sensorineural hearing loss (Pendred, 1896). Most affected persons are euthyroid, although hypothyroidism is occasionally reported (Batsakis *et al.*, 1962). Hearing impairment is usually congenital and, in nearly all cases, is accompanied by a type of cochlear hypoplasia known as a Mondini defect (Gorlin, 1995). The disease prevalence of Pendred syndrome is estimated at 4–10% among congenitally deaf children, and the frequency varies from 1/15,000 in the British Isles to 1/100,000in Scandinavia (Gorlin, 1995).

Inheritance is generally considered to be autosonial recessive, and in many families, consanguinity between nonaffected parents can be documented. However, there are some families in which the disease apparently skips generations, and the phenotype varies in affected persons. These intrafamilial differences are consistent

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of Medical Genetics, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp. Telephone: 323-8202570. Fax: 323-8202566.

Families. The diagnosis of Pendred syndrome was established when individuals presented congenital hearing loss and a palpable goiter. In all five families an abnormal perchlorate test was present in at least one family member. Family 1 originates from Belgium

0888-7543/97 \$25.00 Copyright © 1997 by Academic Press All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

# LOCALIZATION OF PENDRED GENE IN A 1.7-cM REGION ON 7q

and is not consanguineous (Fig 1). Family 2 originates from an isolated small village, Tasobasi, in the South of Turkey. This family is highly consanguineous and includes more then 13 affected individuals (Fig. 1). The family has been subject of a previous clinical study (Kabakkaya et al., 1993). Families 3 and 4 originate from the Netherlands, and the parents of the patients are consanguineous. The two patients from Family 4 have been described extensively (Cremers, 1976). A fifth family lives in Denmark but originates from Lebanon. Patient IV-2 from this family showed no goiter and a normal perchlorate test, although congenital hearing loss was present, and a cochlear defect of the Mondini type was shown by CT scan. Patient IV-3 has congenital hearing loss, a Mondini malformation of the cochlea, an abnormal perchlorate test, but no goiter.

*Linkage analysis.* Genomic DNA was purified from peripheral blood samples by standard techniques. Microsatellite polymorphisms were taken from the recent genetic map from Généthon (Dib et al., 1996), Polymerase chain reaction amplification and electrophoresis on polyacrylamide gels were performed as described (Hughes, 1993). Two-point linkage analysis was performed using the MLINK program of the LINKAGE 5.1 package (Lathrop and Laouel, 1984). An estimated frequency of 1/100 was used for the disease gene, and penetrance was set at 100%. Allele frequencies were set equal to each other. Lod score calculations in Family 2 were performed without the consanguinity of the parents of sibship B and of the paternal grandparents of sibship D taken into account.

markers tested. The parents for sibship B were reported to be consanguineous, but the exact nature of their blood relationship could not be indicated by the family members. The three patients from sibship B are homozygous for four adjacent markers, D7S2453, D7S501, D7S496, and D7S2459. In contradiction to the consanguinity of the parents of sibship C that was reported in a previous study (Kabakkaya et al., 1993), extensive interviews of the family on several occasions in the course of this study revealed no reports of consanguinity between the parents of sibship C. As the father of this sibship has a completely different disease haplotype, he is most likely unrelated to the family and carries an independent Pendred mutation. The parents of sibship D are first cousins, and the paternal grandparents were also reported to be related by family members, although they could not indicate the exact nature of this consanguinity. The patient from sibship D is homozygous for five adjacent markers, D7S2453, D7S501, D7S496, D7S2459, and D7S692. When the disease-carrying chromosome from the unrelated father of sibship C is not taken into account, all the haplotypes of the eight patients in Family 2 are identical for the four adjacent markers D7S2453, D7S501, D7S496, and D7S2459. Under the assumption that the parents of sibship B are consanguineous and have inherited the same mutation from a common ancestor, a historical recombination must have occurred between the Pendred gene and D7S692. This assumption is likely for two reasons. First, several family members confirmed the consanguinity of the parents of sibship B. Second, the three patients of sibship B are homozygous for four adjacent markers, D7S2453, D7S501, D7S496, and D7S2459, that also show several other alleles in the rest of the family. For these reasons, it is more likely that those four adjacent markers are homozygous by descent than that they are homozygous by chance. Under the more likely hypothesis of a single common ancestral disease chromosome, this key recombinant defines the candidate region for the Pendred gene as an interval of 6.9 cM flanked by D7S2446 and D7S692 (Fig. 2). Haplotype analysis of Families 1, 3, and 4 confirms the candidate region determined in Family 2. A recombination between D7S2446 and the Pendred gene in patient II-1 from Family 1 confirms the exclusion of the region centromeric to D7S2446, whereas recombinations with D7S523 in Families 3 and 4 confirm that the Pendred gene is located centromeric to D7S523 (Figs. 1 and 2).

#### RESULTS

## Linkage Analysis

Five families segregating with Pendred syndrome were studied (Fig. 1). We typed these five pedigrees with 10 polymorphic markers from 7q31. All these markers were obtained from the most recent Généthon genetic map (Fig. 2) (Dib et al., 1996). Table 1 summarizes the two-point lod scores for the 10 markers. Cumulative lod scores higher than 3 were obtained for all markers except D7S523. The highest combined lod score of 8.26 was obtained for marker D7S501 at recombination fraction 0. When the lod scores for each of the families were analyzed separately, lod scores higher than 3 were obtained only for Family 2 with a maximum of 4.91 for marker D7S496 at recombination fraction 0. The other four families are too small to yield statistically significant lod scores, but the theoretical maximum lod score was approached for each of the families when the lod scores were calculated with 100% informative markers. Therefore, it is likely that all five families are linked to chromosome 7q. Statistical analysis of the data using HOMOG (Ott, 1991) gave a maximum likelihood under the hypothesis of linkage homogeneity, confirming this assumption.

# Haplotype Analysis

To narrow down the candidate region of the Pendred gene, haplotypes were constructed for the 10 markers that were analyzed. As Family 2 is highly inbred, homozygosity mapping was used to delineate the interval containing the Pendred gene. In this family, eight Pendred patients from four related sibships (A-D) were analyzed (Fig. 1). In sibship A, the parents are first cousins, and both patients are homozygous for all 10

#### DISCUSSION

In this study we confirm the localization of the Pendred gene to chromosome 7q31 by linkage analysis and homozygosity mapping in five families with Pendred syndrome. The highly consanguineous Family 2, originating from Turkey, contains at least 13 Pendred pa-



FAMILY 1

FAMILY 4

FAMILY 5







1 4 1 1 3 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 4 1 4 3	13 11 32 12 13 11 42 42	13 11 32 12 13 11 42 42	1 4 1 1 3 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 4 1 4 3	1 1 2 4 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 4 1 1 3	D7S2453 D7S501 D7S496 D7S2459 D7S692 D7S2425 D7S525 D7S523 D7S523 D7S486	2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 4 2 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 1 2	1 1 6 6 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 1 1	1 1 6 6 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4	1 1 6 6 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4	
ΥJ	74	Υ #	43	د١	D7S486	24	21 12	12	11	44 11	44	

FIG. 1. Pedigrees of the five Pendred families. Only family members included in the linkage study were numbered. In Family 2, individuals IV-2, IV-3, V-3, V-6, and V-11 correspond to patients 5, 4, 1, 2, and 6, respectively, in the original paper (Kabakkaya et al., 1993).

# LOCALIZATION OF PENDRED GENE IN A 1.7-cM REGION ON 7q

Lebanon. Coyle *et al.* (1996) reported linkage in 11 families of British origin and 1 from Asia, and Sheffield *et al.* (1996) proved linkage in 2 families of Arab origin. There is no indication for genetic heterogeneity in any of these 19 families, although most of these families are too small to prove linkage independently. These results suggest that the locus on chromosome 7q may be the only locus for Pendred syndrome. Because only a limited number of families have been analyzed so far, additional linkage studies should be performed to prove genetic homogeneity. This is important if genetic diagnosis is requested by small families affected with the



Cen

Tel

FIG. 2. Linkage map of the markers used in the analysis. The genetic distances between the markers are indicated in centimorgans. The candidate region for Pendred syndrome is indicated

#### disease.

Three other hereditary diseases have been mapped to the Pendred chromosomal region: nonsyndromal autosomal recessive deafness DFNB4 (Baldwin et al., 1995), cystic fibrosis (CF) (Riordan et al., 1989), and congenital chloride diarrhea (CLD) (Kere *et al.*, 1993). The candidate regions for DFNB4 and CLD are identical and are bounded by flanking markers D7S501 and D7S523. Both markers were mapped a few centimorgans proximal to the CF gene (Kere et al., 1993). This means that the Pendred candidate region determined in this study is entirely located inside the candidate region of DFNB4 and CLD. To our knowledge, no genes with a known function in hearing or thyroid metabolism have been mapped to this region, which would make them obvious candidate genes for Pendred syndrome. Although CLD and Pendred syndrome probably map to the same region by chance, the colocalization of DFNB4 and Pendred syndrome might not be coincidental. It has been shown that a single gene can be responsible for both syndromic and nonsyndromic deafness, as mutations in the myosin VIIA gene give rise to Usher syndrome (Weil *et al.*, 1995) as well as nonsyndromal deafness (DFNB2) (Ayadi et al., 1996). As no perchlorate test was performed in the DFNB4 family, and the size of the goiter is variable in Pendred syndrome, thyroid problems might have remained undetected in the DFNB4 family. Alternatively, it is possible that certain mutations in the same gene lead to a combined defect of iodine organification and deafness, while other mutations lead only to deafness. On the other hand only one of the three patients from Family 5 had all typical abnormalities of Pendred syndrome (deafness, Mondini malformation, goiter, abnormal perchlorate test), whereas one sibling had no goiter and two siblings had a normal perchlorate test. This intrafamilial clinical variability shows that the diagnosis of Pendred syndrome can be missed and that cases

by the bold line.

tients and is therefore very useful for linkage analysis, yielding lod scores higher than 3 with chromosome 7 markers. The other four families, of which three are consanguineous, are much smaller. However, they are probably also linked to this region based upon the homozygosity in the patients of the consanguineous Families 3, 4 and 5 and the positive lod score of 0.98 for Family 1.

Combining previously reported data (Coyle et al., 1996; Sheffield et al., 1996) with our own data, we could narrow the candidate region to 1.7 cM between markers D7S501 and D7S692. However, it should be noted that both flanking markers are based on a single recombinant. The proximal flanking marker D7S501 recombines with the Pendred gene in a patient from a small pedigree, published in a previous study (Coyle et al., 1996). The distal flanking marker D7S692, which comes from the analysis of Family 2 (sibship B) in this study, is based on the assumption that the parents of sibship B are consanguineous and have inherited the same mutation from a common ancestor. Linkage of Pendred syndrome to chromosome 7q31 has been found now in 19 families from different ethnic origins. Our study includes 5 families originating from Belgium, The Netherlands (2 families), Turkey, and

might be classified as nonsyndromic deafness.

The relatively small region of 1.7 cM containing the Pendred gene will make it possible to use positional cloning techniques to isolate the Pendred gene. This will be an important step in the elucidation of the molecular pathology of the syndrome. The gene identification will also clarify whether Pendred syndrome and DFNB4 are allelic or not and lead to a better under-

#### COUCKE ET AL.

### TABLE 1

# Two-Point Lod Scores between Pendred Syndrome and Markers Located on Chromosome 7q

		Recombination fraction								
Marker		0.00	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40		
D7S2446	Family 1		-3.02	-1.60	-0.99	-0.44	-0.17	-0.04		
	Family 2	2.91	3.01	2.96	2.61	1.74	0.90	0.28		
	Family 3	0.82	0.78	0.64	0.47	0.21	0.07	0.02		
	Family 4	1.28	1.24	1.09	0.90	0.56	0.27	0.09		
	Family 5	1.20	1.17	1.06	0.91	0.64	0.39	0.17		
	Total		3.18	4.15	3.90	2.71	1.46	0.52		

Panily 2         4.29         4.18         3.73         3.18         2.06         1.11         0.39           Panily 4         1.18         1.14         1.00         0.32         0.50         0.24         0.07           Panily 5         1.18         1.15         1.04         0.39         0.62         0.37         0.16           Total         8.03         7.79         6.86         5.70         3.58         1.85         0.65           D7S501         Panily 1         0.96         0.95         0.82         0.67         0.36         0.16         0.03           Panily 3         0.73         0.69         0.56         0.40         0.17         0.46           Panily 4         1.18         1.14         1.00         0.62         0.50         0.24         0.07           Panily 5         1.18         1.15         1.04         0.69         0.62         0.37         0.16           Panily 1         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00	D7S2453	Family 1	0.68	0.65	0.53	0.38	0.15	0.03	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Family 2	4.29	4.18	3.73	3.18	2.08	1.11	0.39
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Family 3	0.70	0.67	0.56	0.43	0.23	0.10	0.03
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 4	1.18	1.14	1.00	0.82	0.50	0.24	0.07
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Family 5	1.18	1.15	1.04	0.89	0.62	0.37	0.16
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Total	8.03	7.79	6.86	5.70	3.58	1.85	0.65
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D7S501	Family 1	0.98	0.95	0.82	0.67	0.38	0.16	0.03
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 2	1 19	4.09	3.67	3 14	2 10	1 17	0.05
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 2	073	4.00 0.69	0.56	0.40	017	0.06	0. <del>1</del> 0 0.1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 4	1 18	1 1/	1.00	0.40	0.17	0.00	0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Family 5	1.18	1.14 1.15	1.00	0.89	0.62	0.24	0.16
D7S496         Family 1 Family 2 Family 3 Family 3 Family 4 1.10         0.00 4.91 1.00         0.00 4.78 4.25 0.61         0.00 0.49 0.34         0.00 0.34         0.00 0.34         0.00 0.34         0.00 0.44         0.00 0.00         0.00 0.44           Family 3 Family 4 Total         0.65 0.78         0.77         0.75 0.75         0.45 0.53         0.31 0.35         0.14 0.05         0.01 0.07           D7S2459         Family 1 Family 2 Family 3 Family 4 Family 3 0.67         0.67 0.69         0.05 0.03         0.01 0.01         0.00 0.06           D7S2459         Family 1 Family 3 Family 3 0.67         0.67 0.63         0.60 0.50         0.03 0.01         0.00 0.05           D7S2459         Family 1 Family 3 0.67         0.67 0.63         0.60 0.36         0.36 0.15         0.05 0.01         0.00 0.75           Total         7.51         7.29         6.48         5.46         3.52         1.88         0.68           D7S692         Family 1 Family 2 Family 3 0.48         0.07         0.07         0.06 0.05         0.03 0.01         0.00 0.33           Total         7.51         7.29         6.48         5.46         3.52         1.88         0.68           D7S692         Family 1 Family 3 0.66         0.07         0.06 0.07         0.06 0.05         0.03 0.01		Total	8.26	8.02	7.09	5.92	3.77	2.00	0.72
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D7S496	Family 1	0.00	0 00	0.00	0 00	0.00	n 00	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 1	1 Q1	0.00 1 7 Q	4.95	0.00 9.cn	0.00 9.94	1.04	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 2 Family 3	4.01 0.65	4.10	9.20 0.40	0.00 0.97	ム.34 0 1 4	1.24	0.44
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family J			0.49	0.34	0.14	0.00	0.01
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 4	1.10		0.94	0.70	0.40	0.21	0.07
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ranny o	0.70	0.77	0.49	0.69	0.53	0.35	0,16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Total	7.44	7.22	6.41	5.38	3.46	1.85	0.68
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D7S2459	Family 1	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 2	4.89	4.76	4.25	3.61	2.36	1.26	0.44
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 3	0.67	0.63	0.50	0.36	0.15	0.05	0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 4	1.10	1.06	0.92	0.75	0.45	0.00	0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Family 5	0.78	0.77	0.75	0.69	0.53	0.35	0.16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Total	7.51	7.29	6.48	5.46	3.52	1.88	0.68
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D7S692	Family 1	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 2	3.96	3.87	3 48	0.00 2.07	1 62	1.00	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 3	0.48	0.46	0.38	2.97 A 90	1.00 0.15		0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Family 4	1.10	1.06	0.00	0.25	0.10	0.07	0.04
Total         6.66         6.48         5.74         4.82         3.05         1.55         0.50           D7S2425         Family 1         0.98         0.95         0.82         0.67         0.38         0.16         0.03           Family 2         1.13         1.29         1.44         1.33         0.90         0.47         0.14           Family 3         0.65         0.61         0.49         0.34         0.14         0.05         0.01           Family 4         1.01         0.98         0.84         0.68         0.40         0.19         0.06           Family 5         1.14         1.11         1.00         0.86         0.59         0.35         0.15		Family 5	1.05	1.02	0.90	0.76	0.49	0.21 0.26	0.07
D7S2425       Family 1       0.98       0.95       0.82       0.67       0.38       0.16       0.03         Family 2       1.13       1.29       1.44       1.33       0.90       0.47       0.14         Family 3       0.65       0.61       0.49       0.34       0.14       0.05       0.01         Family 4       1.01       0.98       0.84       0.68       0.40       0.19       0.06         Family 5       1.14       1.11       1.00       0.86       0.59       0.35       0.15		Total	6.66	6.48	5 74	1.89	2.05	1 55	0.00
D7S2425         Family 1         0.98         0.95         0.82         0.67         0.38         0.16         0.03           Family 2         1.13         1.29         1.44         1.33         0.90         0.47         0.14           Family 3         0.65         0.61         0.49         0.34         0.14         0.05         0.01           Family 4         1.01         0.98         0.84         0.68         0.40         0.19         0.06           Family 5         1.14         1.11         1.00         0.86         0.59         0.35         0.15					U. F.T	4.04	5.00	1.99	0.90
Family 21.131.291.441.330.900.470.14Family 30.650.610.490.340.140.050.01Family 41.010.980.840.680.400.190.06Family 51.141.111.000.860.590.350.15	D7S2425	Family 1	0.98	0.95	0.82	0.67	0.38	0.16	0.03
Family 30.650.610.490.340.140.050.01Family 41.010.980.840.680.400.190.06Family 51.141.111.000.860.590.350.15		Family 2	1.13	1.29	1.44	1.33	0.90	0 47	0.00 0 1 <i>1</i>
Family 41.010.980.840.680.400.190.01Family 51.141.111.000.860.590.350.15		Family 3	0.65	0.61	0.49	0.34	0.14	0.05	0.1 <del>4</del> 0.01
Family 5 1.14 1.11 1.00 0.86 0.59 0.35 0.15		Family 4	1.01	0.98	0.84	0.68	0.40	0.00	0.01 0 0R
		Family 5	1.14	1.11	1.00	0.86	0.59	0.35	0.00 0.15

	Total	4.91	4.94	4.59	3.88	2.41	1.22	0.39
D7S525	Family 1 Family 2 Family 3 Family 4 Family 5	0.07 1.65 0.73 1.18 0.40	0.07 1.78 0.69 1.14 0.39	0.06 1.86 0.56 1.00 0.33	$0.05 \\ 1.68 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.82 \\ 0.25$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ 1.14 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.61 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	0.00 0.22 0.01 0.07 0.01
	Total	4.03	4.07	3.81	3.20	1.98	0.97	0.31

# LOCALIZATION OF PENDRED GENE IN A 1.7-cM REGION ON 7q

**TABLE 1**—Continued

**Recombination fraction** 

Marker		0.00	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	
D7S523	Family 1	0.37	0.37	0.33	0.28	0.19	0.09	0.03	
	Family 2	1.15	1.30	1.45	1.36	0.98	0.55	0.20	
	Family 3	-0.12	-0.11	-0.05	-0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	
	Family 4	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.07	0.02	
	Family 5	1.05	1.02	0.91	0.77	0.50	0.26	0.08	
	Total	2.51	2.67	2.79	2.57	1.82	0.97	0.33	
D7S486	Family 1	0.98	0.95	0.82	0.67	0.38	0.16	0.03	
	Family 2	1.82	1.94	2.06	1.93	1.37	0.73	0.24	
	Family 3	-0.12	-0.11	-0.07	-0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	
	Family 4	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.08	0.02	
	Family 5	1.21	1.18	1.06	0.92	0.64	0.39	0.17	
	Total	3.98	4.07	4.05	3.67	2.55	1.37	0.46	

standing of inner ear development and thyroid functioning.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Peter Raeymakers for helpful discussions. This study was supported by a grant from the University of Antwerp to P.J.W. and G.V.C. and a grant from the Belgian Nationaal Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NFWO) to G.V.C. G.V.C. holds a research position with the NFWO.

#### REFERENCES

Ayadi, M., Belkahia, A., BenArab, S., Chaib, H., Chardenoux, S., Dodé, C., Drira, M., El Zir, E., Levilliers, J., Loiselet, J., Place, C., Salem, N., and Petit, C. (1996). The chromosomal localisation and identification of human genes responsible for sensorineural, non-syndromic autosomal recessive deafness. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 4(Suppl. 1): 6.

Dib, C., Faure, S., Fizames, C., Samson, D., Drouot, N., Vignal, A., Millasseau, P., Marc, S., Hazan, J., Seboun, E., Latl rop, M., Gyapay, G., Morissette, J., and Weissenbach, J. (1996). A comprehensive genetic map of the human genome based on 52:54 microsatellites. *Nature* **380**: 152-154.

53

Dumont, J. E., Vassart, G., and Refetoff, S. (1994). The metabolic basis of inherited disease. In "Thyroid Disorders," Vol. 73, pp. 1843-1879, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Frazer, G. R. (1965). Association of congenital deafness with goitre (Pendred's syndrome). A study of 207 families. *Hum. Genet.* **28**: 201–249.

Gorlin, R. J. (1995). Hereditary hearing loss and its syndromes. In "Genetic Hearing Loss Associated with Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders," Vol. 11. pp. 337–339, Oxford Univ. Press, New York/

- Baldwin, C. T., Farrer, L. A., Weiss, S., De Stefano, A. L., Adair, R., Franklyn, B., Kidd, K. K., Korostishevsky, M., and Bonn-Tamir, B. (1995). Linkage of congenital, recessive deafness (DFNB4) to chromosome 7q31 and evidence for genetic heterogeneity in the Middle Eastern Druze population. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 4: 1637-1642.
- Batsakis, J. G., Nishiyama, R. H., and Arbor, A. (1962). Deafness with sporadic goiter. Arch. Otolaryngol. 76: 25-30.
- Burrow, G. N., Spaulding, S. W., Alexander, N. M., and Bower, B. F. (1973). Normal peroxidase activity in Pendred's syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 36: 522-530.
- Cave, W. T., Jr., and Dunn, J. T. (1975). Studies on the thyroidal defect in an atypical form of Pendred syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 41: 590-599.
- Coucke, P., Van Camp, G., Balemans, W., Demirhan, O., Kabbakkaya, Y., and Willems, P. J. (1995). Exclusion of linkage between Pendred syndrome and two candidate genes: Thyroglobulin and

- Oxford.
- Hughes, A. E. (1993). Optimization of microsatellite analysis for genetic mapping. *Genomics* 15: 433-434.
- Illum, P., Kiaer, H. W., Hansen, J. H., and Sondergaard, G. (1972). Fifteen cases of Pendred's syndrome, congenital deafness and sporadic goiter. Arch. Otolaryngol. 96: 297–304.
- Kabakkaya, Y., Bakan, E., Yigitoglu, M. R., Gökçe, G., and Dogan, M. (1993). Pendred's syndrome. Ann. Otol. Rhinolaryngol. 102: 285-288.
- Kere, J., Sistonen, P., Holmberg, C., and de la Chapelle, A. (1993). The gene for congenital chloride diarrhea maps close to but is distinct from the gene for cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 10686-10689.
- Lathrop, G. M., and Laouel, J. M. (1984). Easy calculations of lod scores and genetic risks on small computers. Am. J. Hum. Genet. **36:** 460-465.
- Ljunggren, J. G., Lindstrom, H., and Hjern, B. (1973). The concentration of peroxidase in normal and adenomatous human thyroid tissue with special reference to patients with Pendred syndrome. Acta Endocrinol. 72: 171–178.
- Mangklabruks, A., Billerbeck, A. E., Wajchenberg, B., Knobel, M., Cox, N. J., De Groot, L. J., and Medeiros-Neto, G. (1991). Genetic

thyroid peroxidase. Med. Genetik. 7: 249.

- Coyle, B., Coffey, R., Armour, J. A. L., Gausden, E., Hochberg, Z., Grossman, A., Britton, K., Pembrey, M., Reardon, W., and Trembath, R. (1996). Pendred syndrome (goitre and sensorineural hearing loss) maps to chromosome 7 in the region containing the nonsyndromic deafness gene DFNB4. *Nature Genet.* **12**: 421-423.
- Cremers, C. W. R. J. (1976). Het syndroom van Pendred. Een autosomaal recessief syndroom met doofheid en een synthesestoornis in de schildklier. *T. Kindergeneesk.* **44:** 89–99.

linkage studies of thyroid peroxidase (TPO) gene in families with TPO deficiency. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 72: 471-476.

- Morgans, M. E., and Trotter, W. R. (1958). Association of congenital deafness with goiter (Pendred's syndrome). A study of 207 families. *Lancet* I: 607-609.
- Niepomniszeze, H., Coleoni, A. H., Degrossi, O. J., Scavani, L. M., and Curutchet, H. P. (1978). Biochemical studies on the iodine organification defect in Pendred syndrome. *Acta. Endocrinol.* **89**: 70-79.

#### COUCKE ET AL.

- Ott, J. (1991). "Analysis of Human Genetic Linkage," revised edition,the Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore.
- Pendred, V. (1896). Deaf mutism and goitre. Lancet II: 532.
- Riordan, J. R., Rommens, J. M., Kerem, B., Alon, N., Rozmahel, R., Grzelczak, Z., Zielenski, J., Lok, S., Plavsic, N., Chou, J. L., Drumm, M. L., Iannuzzi, M. C., Collins, F. S., and Tsui, L. C. (1989). Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: Cloning and characterization of complementary DNA. Science 245: 1066-1073.

Sheffield, V., Kraiem, Z., Beck, J., Nishimura, D., Stone, E. M., Sala-

meh, M., Sadeh, O., and Glaser, B. (1996). Pendred syndrome maps to chromosome 7q21-34 and is caused by an intrinsic defect in thyroid iodine organification. *Nature Genet.* **12:** 424-426.

Weil, D., Blanchard, S., Kaplan, J., Guilford, P., Gibson, F., Walsh, J., Mburu, P., Varela, A., Levilliers, J., Weston, M. D., Kelley, P. M., Kimberling, W. J., Wagenaar, M., Levi-Acobas, F., Larget-Piet, D., Munnich, A., Steel, K. P., Brown, S. D. M., and Petit, C. (1995).
Defective myosin VIIA gene responsible for Usher syndrome type 1B. Nature 374: 60-61.

54