

RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the peer-reviewed, manuscript version of an article published in *Veterinary Record*. The version of record is available on the journal site. The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE Signalment risk factors for cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (Alabama rot) in dogs in the UK

AUTHORS: Stevens, K B; O'Neill, D; Jepson, R E; Holm, L P; Walker, D J; Cardwell, J M

JOURNAL TITLE: *Veterinary Record*

PUBLISHER: BMJ Publishing Group

PUBLICATION DATE: 27 August 2018 (online)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.104891>

1 **Signalment risk factors for cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (Alabama Rot) in**
2 **dogs in the UK**

3

4 **Kim B Stevens^{a*}, Dan O'Neill^a, Rosanne Jepson^b, Laura Holm^c, David Walker^c, Jacqueline M Cardwell^a**

5

6

7 *Corresponding author: Kim Stevens (Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield,
8 Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA; kstevens@rvc.ac.uk

9

10

11

12 ^a Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North
13 Mymms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, UK

14 ^b Department of Clinical Science and Services, Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms,
15 Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, UK

16 ^c Anderson Moores Veterinary Specialists, Bunstead Barns, Poles Lane, Hursley, Winchester SO21 2LL, UK

17

18

19

20 **Abstract**

21 Seasonal outbreaks of cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (CRGV) have been reported
22 annually in UK dogs since 2012 yet aetiology of the disease remains unknown. The objectives of this
23 study were to explore whether any breeds had an increased or decreased risk of being diagnosed with
24 CRGV, and to report on age and sex distributions of CRGV cases occurring in the UK. Multivariable
25 logistic regression was used to compare 101 dogs diagnosed with CRGV between November 2012
26 and May 2017 with a denominator population of 446 453 dogs from the VetCompass™ database.
27 Two Kennel Club breed-groups – hounds (OR 10.68) and gundogs (OR 9.69) – had the highest risk of
28 being diagnosed with CRGV compared with terriers, while toy dogs were absent from among CRGV
29 cases. Females were more likely to be diagnosed with CRGV (OR 1.51) as were neutered dogs (OR
30 3.36). As well as helping veterinarians develop an index of suspicion for the disease, better
31 understanding of the signalment risk factors may assist in the development of causal models for
32 CRGV and help identify the aetiology of the disease.

33

34

35 **Keywords:** *Acute kidney injury; Alabama Rot; CRGV; cutaneous and renal glomerular*
36 *vasculopathy; epidemiology; Kennel Club breed-groups; signalment; risk factors; VetCompass*

37

38

39

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (CRGV) is a disease of unknown aetiology variably
43 associated with clinically relevant acute kidney injury (AKI). Also sometimes referred to as ‘Alabama
44 Rot’, CRGV cases typically present with ulcerated skin lesions, most often affecting the distal limbs,
45 although lesions have also been reported to affect the face, nasal planum, oral cavity, tongue, ventrum
46 and flanks. Common biochemical and haematological features have included mild to moderate
47 hyperbilirubinaemia, anaemia and moderate to severe thrombocytopenia (Holm and others 2015).

48 A previous case series (Holm and others 2015) indicated that cases presenting with skin ulceration
49 typically progress within a range of 1-9 days (median 4 days) to develop AKI, azotaemia and in many
50 confirmed cases, acute renal failure with oligo-anuria. Mortality rate in those cases that progress to
51 oligo-anuria is high with a confirmatory diagnosis of CRGV only being made at post-mortem
52 examination. However, suspected cases have been identified that appear less severely affected and
53 where renal recovery may occur, although lack of a viable ante-mortem diagnostic test precludes
54 definitive diagnosis in these cases.

55 The histopathological lesions identified in the renal parenchyma of CRGV patients are supportive of a
56 thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) (Holm and others 2015). In human medicine, TMAs are
57 considered a complex group of diseases which can involve both hereditary and acquired contributing
58 factors to the development of clinical disease (George and Nester 2014). Hereditary factors that have
59 been identified include genetic mutations in ADAMTS13, which results in the condition known as
60 thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), complement factors, metabolic factors (MMACHC;
61 methyl-malonic aciduria and homocystinuria type C protein) and diacylglycerol kinase- ϵ (DKGE), an
62 abnormality of which results in a prothrombotic state. Acquired forms of TMA may be associated
63 with autoantibody inhibition of ADAMTS13, shiga toxin exposure (shiga toxin-haemolytic uraemic
64 syndrome (STEC-HUS), drug-mediated immune or toxic reactions, or complement mediated (George
65 and Nester 2014). To date however, preliminary investigations, including evaluation for shiga-toxin
66 (Holm and others 2015) and other infectious aetiologies, have not been able to elucidate an underlying
67 aetiology for CRGV and therefore epidemiological studies are required to better understand risk
68 factors that may indicate pathogenesis in this condition.

69 CRGV has been reported in kennelled and racing greyhounds in the USA (n = 168 (Carpenter and
70 others 1988); n = 18 (Cowan and others 1997)), a single greyhound in the UK (Hendricks 2000) and
71 in a Great Dane in Germany (Rotermund and others 2002). In contrast to these few isolated incidents
72 the UK outbreaks have involved multiple breeds including the English Springer Spaniel, Flat-Coated
73 Retriever, Whippet, Border Collie, Jack Russell Terrier, Doberman, Labrador Retriever, Cocker
74 Spaniel, Staffordshire Bull Terrier, Hungarian Vizsla, Weimaraner, Dalmatian, Tibetan Terrier and
75 crossbreeds (Holm and others 2015). The objectives of this study were therefore to explore whether

76 any breeds had an increased or decreased risk of diagnosis with CRGV, and to report on the age and
77 sex distributions of CRGV cases occurring in the UK. These results may assist with validation of
78 current and future proposed pathogenic mechanisms and also assist clinicians to develop their index
79 of suspicion achieve earlier diagnosis of this serious condition.

80 **Materials and methods**

81 *Study area, period and design*

82 This research was based on a retrospective case-control study involving dogs with a confirmed
83 diagnosis of CRGV in the UK between November 2012 and May 2017 (103 cases). The denominator
84 population comprised all dogs under veterinary care in the UK during 2013 that were participating in
85 the VetCompass™ programme and that are taken to represent the demography of the wider
86 population of UK dogs that are registered for veterinary care from which the cases were derived.
87 Because the cases were not extracted directly from the denominator population, this case-control
88 study design cannot reliably report the incidence of CRGV but can usefully explore risk factor
89 analysis (Dohoo and others 2009).

90 *Identification of cases*

91 Cases were compiled by two investigators (DW & LH) with 70 (68 %) from first-opinion practice and
92 33 (32 %) from referral centres. A confirmed diagnosis of CRGV was based on the presence of
93 compatible clinical signs (including skin lesions), laboratory diagnostics (including progression to
94 azotaemia, AKI +/- oligo-anuria, hyperbilirubinaemia, anaemia and thrombocytopenia) and renal
95 histopathology documenting findings compatible with thrombotic microangiopathy. Renal
96 histopathology was available either in isolation or as part of a full post-mortem examination, and in
97 most cases dermal pathology was also available. The need for renal histopathology to confirm
98 diagnosis precluded the inclusion of any dogs surviving suspected CRGV.

99 *Identification of dog denominator data*

100 The 'VetCompass™ Denominator of Dogs under Veterinary Care in the UK during 2013' [aka dog
101 denominator] population included all dogs under primary veterinary care at clinics participating in the
102 VetCompass™ Programme during 2013. Dogs under veterinary care were defined as those with either
103 a) at least one electronic patient record [EPR] (VeNom diagnosis term, free-text clinical note, treatment
104 or bodyweight) recorded during 2013 or b) at least one EPR recorded both before and after 2013. The
105 VetCompass™ Programme collates de-identified EPR data from primary-care veterinary practices in
106 the UK for epidemiological research (VetCompass 2017). Collaborating practices can record summary
107 diagnosis terms during episodes of care from an embedded VeNom Code list (The VeNom Coding
108 Group 2017).

109 Data fields extracted from the VetCompass™ dataset for the purpose of this study included a unique
110 animal identifier together with (where available) breed, date of birth, sex, neuter status and partial
111 postcode. The breed data recorded in the EPR were mapped to a standardized listing of breed terms.
112 These breed lists were further mapped to classify breeds by purebred status, Kennel Club (KC)
113 recognition of the breed and KC breed-group. Neuter status described the status of the dog at the final
114 EPR while age was calculated from date of birth and described age at the final date under veterinary
115 care during 2013 (December 31st, 2013). Signalment and partial postcode of all cases were compared
116 to the denominator dogs to ensure that none of the cases were duplicated as controls.

117 *Statistical analyses*

118 The CRGV case and dog denominator control datasets were combined to form the final dataset which
119 was checked for unlikely values and missing data. Observations with missing data for three variables
120 were removed from the dataset as follows: breed (0.4 % of controls (n = 2009); no cases), sex (0.5 %
121 of controls (n = 2310); no cases) and age (1.4% of controls (n = 6117); 1.9 % of cases (n = 2)).
122 However, of the 72 344 observations that lacked data on neutered status, 15 (15 %) were CRGV dogs.
123 Rather than lose a quarter of the case data, the missing observations were labelled as ‘not recorded’
124 thus creating a neutering status variable comprising three levels: male, female and not recorded. Three
125 variables were derived from breed and included (1) common breed name, (2) purebred versus
126 crossbred versus designer dog (i.e. a planned hybrid with a specific hybrid name e.g. Cockapoo
127 (Oliver and Gould 2012)) and (3) the UK KC breed-groups: hounds, terriers, gundogs, working,
128 utility, pastoral, toy and not KC-recognised.

129 Descriptive statistics were derived for all variables for both the study population as a whole, and
130 separately for CRGV dogs and the dog denominator population. Univariable logistic regression
131 modelling was used to evaluate associations between each variable and being a CRGV case, together
132 with unadjusted odds ratios and 95 % confidence intervals. The ‘common breed’ variable included
133 only those breed types that appeared among the CRGV cases. Crossbred and terrier were chosen as
134 the reference values for common breed and breed-group respectively as both were large categories.
135 Age was categorised into four groups based on quartiles to create the variable age-group and a test for
136 linear trend was used to determine whether the variable age should be included in the model in
137 continuous (age) or categorical (age-group) format. Those variables achieving a univariable p-value <
138 0.2 were taken forward for multivariable logistic regression modelling. Retention of variables in the
139 final model was determined using a backward stepwise approach based on the likelihood ratio test
140 (LRT). Model fit was assessed using Akaike’s information criteria (AIC). All statistical analyses were
141 performed in STATA SE 14 and a p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

142 **Results**

143 *Description of study population*

144 The 446 554 dogs comprising the study population had a median age of 4.4 (interquartile range (IQR):
145 5.90 years; range 0.1 to 24.7 years) and 51.8 % were male (n = 231 450). Neutered dogs comprised
146 45.5 % (n = 203 313) of the study population, 38.4 % (n = 171 493) were entire and the status of 16.1
147 % (n = 71 748) was not recorded. Three-quarters of the study population were purebreds (75.2 %; n =
148 335 807) while 3.0 % were designer dogs (n = 13 602). The most common KC breed-groups were
149 gundogs (16.1 %; n = 72 105), terriers (13.1 %; n = 58 362) and toy dogs (12.6 %; n = 56 431), while
150 working dogs (4.9 %; n = 22 001) and hounds (3.5%; n = 15 646) were the least represented.

151 Crossbreds were the most common breed-type comprising 37.7 % (n = 97 146) of the study
152 population, with Labrador Retrievers (12.8 %, n = 32 938), Staffordshire Bull Terriers (12.5 %, n = 32
153 134) and Jack Russell Terriers (10.6 %, n = 27 356) the most common specified breeds. Other
154 relatively common breeds in the study population included Cocker Spaniels (6.1 %, n = 15 671),
155 German Shepherd Dogs (4.8 %, n = 12 321) and Border Collies (4.7 %, n = 12 165). Of those breeds
156 represented among the cases, the least common were Hungarian Vizslas (0.3 %; n = 775), Flat-Coated
157 Retrievers (0.2 %, n = 452), Bearded Collies (0.2 %, n = 538), Salukis (0.1 %, n = 201) and
158 Manchester Terriers (0.05 %, n = 126).

159 *Distributions of breed, age, sex and neuter status of CRGV and denominator dogs*

160 Following removal of missing data, the study population included 101 CRGV case dogs and 446 453
161 VetCompass™ denominator control dogs. The median age for CRGV dogs (4.0 years (IQR: 4.8 years;
162 range 0.5-12 years) did not differ significantly from the denominator dog population (4.12 years range
163 0.1-24.7 years; p = 0.874). Compared to the denominator dogs which were evenly distributed between
164 the four age-groups, 34.7 % (n = 35) of the CRGV dogs were aged between 1.73 and 4.11 years old.
165 The smallest group of CRGV dogs comprised those aged less than 1.72 years old (15.8 %; n = 16; p =
166 0.010). CRGV dogs were more likely to be female (58.4 %; n = 59) compared to denominator dogs
167 (48.2 %; n = 215 045; p = 0.010). Similarly, CRGV dogs were more likely to be neutered (69.3 %; n =
168 70) compared to denominator dogs (45.5 %; n = 203 243; p < 0.001). Proportions of purebred,
169 designer and crossbred dogs were generally comparable between CRGV and denominator dogs (p =
170 0.587) (Table 1).

171 Two KC breed-groups – gundogs and hounds – comprised 60.4 % (n = 61) of the CRGV cases.
172 However, while gundogs were the largest KC breed-group for both CRGV and denominator dogs,
173 proportions differed considerably (48.5 versus 16.1 % respectively; p < 0.001). Likewise, hounds
174 made up a far greater proportion of CRGV dogs than denominator dogs (11.9 versus 3.5 %
175 respectively; p < 0.001). Conversely, terriers were under-represented among CRGV dogs (CRGV: 4.0

176 %; denominator: 13.1 %) and, despite comprising 12.6 % of denominator dogs (n = 56 431) there
177 were no toy dogs among those diagnosed with CRGV (Table 1).

178 Of the five most common specified breeds in the study population (Labrador Retriever, Staffordshire
179 Bull Terrier, Jack Russell Terrier, Cocker Spaniel and German Shepherd Dog) three were under-
180 represented among CRGV dogs: Staffordshire Bull Terriers (3.0 %, n = 3 versus 12.5 %, n = 32 131,
181 p = 0.201), Jack Russell Terriers (2.0 %, n = 2 versus 10.6 %, n = 27 354; p = 0.163) and German
182 Shepherd Dogs (1.0 %, n = 1 versus 4.8 %, n = 12 320; p = 0.364). Conversely, breeds that were over-
183 represented among CRGV dogs were generally the less common breeds such as English Springer
184 Spaniels (10.9 %, n = 11 versus 2.1 %, n = 5337; p < 0.001), Whippets (8.9 %, n = 9 versus 0.8 %, n =
185 2126; p < 0.001), Flat-Coated Retrievers (6.9 %, n = 7 versus 0.2 %, n = 445; p < 0.001) and
186 Hungarian Vizslas (5.9 %, n = 7 versus 0.3 %, n = 769; p < 0.001) (Table 1).

187 Common breed (p < 0.001), KC breed-group (p < 0.001), neutered status (p < 0.001), age-group (p =
188 0.017) and sex (p = 0.010) were significantly associated with being a CRGV case in the univariable
189 modelling. Owing to collinearity between the derived breed variables, two multivariable models were
190 built, one including common breed and the other including KC breed-group, while keeping the
191 remaining variables constant. Although the use of KC breed-groups resulted in more robust ORs and
192 95% confidence intervals than when common breeds were used - because there were fewer categories
193 and therefore more dogs in each - the use of specific breeds was considered more useful for
194 veterinarians and therefore the results of both models were presented. In addition, age-group was not a
195 significant risk factor in the multivariable models (LRT p = 0.06).

196 The odds of gundogs (OR 9.69; 3.50 – 28.86; p < 0.001) and hounds (OR 10.68; 95 % CI 3.44 –
197 33.13; p < 0.001) being a CRGV case was between 9 and 11 times that of terriers. Pastoral dogs were
198 also significantly more likely to be a CRGV case than terriers (OR 3.50; 95 % CI 1.01 – 11.96; p =
199 0.046). As there were no toy dogs among CRGV cases, this breed-group was dropped from the model.
200 Specific breeds with increased odds of being a CRGV case compared with crossbreds included the
201 Flat-Coated Retriever (OR 84.48; 95 % CI 35.19 – 202.80; p < 0.001), Hungarian Vizsla (OR 40.98;
202 95 % CI 16.34 – 102.75; p < 0.001), Manchester Terrier (OR 41.41; 95 % CI 5.49 – 312.22; p <
203 0.001), Saluki (OR 27.46; 95 % CI; 3.65 – 206.32; p = 0.001), Whippet (OR 22.43; 95 % CI 10.18 –
204 49.42; p < 0.001), English Springer Spaniel (OR 11.41; 95 % CI 5.44 – 23.94; p < 0.001) and Bearded
205 Collie (OR 10.85; 95 % CI 1.45 – 81.34; p = 0.020). Breeds with decreased odds of being a CRGV
206 case compared with crossbreds were the Staffordshire Bull Terrier (OR 0.50; 95 % CI 0.15 – 1.70; p =
207 0.268), German Shepherd Dog (OR 0.45; 95 % CI 0.06 – 3.38; p = 0.440) and Jack Russell Terrier (OR
208 0.37; 95 % CI 0.09 – 1.58; p = 0.179) (Table 2).

209 Female dogs were significantly more likely to be a case than male dogs (OR 1.51, 95 % CI 1.02 –
210 2.24; $p = 0.042$), while the odds of neutered dogs being diagnosed with CRGV was 3.36 times that of
211 entire dogs (95 % CI 1.93 – 5.85; $p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

212

213 **Discussion**

214 This study is the first to investigate signalment risk factors for CRGV in UK dogs. Breed ($p < 0.001$),
215 KC breed-group ($p < 0.001$), neuter status ($p = 0.001$) and sex ($p = 0.011$) were shown to be
216 significantly associated with confirmed diagnosis of the disease. Age-group was not a significant risk
217 factor. Two KC breed-groups – gundogs and hounds were between 9 and 10 times more likely to be
218 diagnosed with CRGV than terriers, while no toy dogs were diagnosed with the disease. Specific
219 breeds showing increased odds of CRGV compared with crossbreeds included Hungarian Vizslas, Flat-
220 Coated Retrievers, Whippets and English Springer Spaniels. Breeds with decreased odds included
221 German Shepherd Dogs, Jack Russell Terriers and Staffordshire Bull Terriers. Females and neutered
222 dogs were also more likely to be diagnosed with CRGV.

223 Previous studies have suggested CRGV to be associated primarily with greyhounds (Carpenter and
224 others 1988; Cowan and others 1997; Hendricks 2000; Hertzke and others 1995) with a single
225 instance reported of a Great Dane in Germany (Rotermund and others 2002). While Greyhounds did
226 not have a significantly higher odds of CRGV diagnosis in this study (OR 1.65, $p = 0.629$) the disease
227 (as it is currently occurring in the UK) was instead associated with multiple breeds. Compared with
228 crossbreeds, specific breeds with increased odds of being a CRGV case included the Flat-Coated
229 Retriever (OR 84.48), Hungarian Vizsla (OR 40.98), Manchester Terrier (OR 41.41), Saluki (OR
230 27.46), Whippet (OR 22.43), English Springer Spaniel (OR 11.41) and Bearded Collie (OR 10.85)
231 (Table 2). Breeds with decreased odds of being a CRGV case, when compared with crossbreeds, were
232 the Staffordshire Bull Terrier (OR 0.50), German Shepherd Dog (OR 0.45) and Jack Russell Terrier
233 (OR 0.37). The UK KC classifies Spaniels and Retrievers as gundogs and Salukis, Whippets and
234 Hungarian Vizslas as hounds which explains why these breed-groups were much more likely to be
235 diagnosed with CRGV than terriers. It is possible that these breed associations result from an inherent
236 susceptibility among these breeds as a result of genetic or behavioural patterns but it is also possible
237 that the predisposition results from geographic confounding whereby these breeds may occur more
238 commonly in areas with a high risk of CRGV occurrence. While CRGV has been reported from
239 multiple locations across the UK, breed popularity varies throughout the country. A recent study by
240 the UK KC, which analysed the breakdown of dog registrations by breed in 10 UK regions in 2016,
241 suggested that different regions each have their own favourite top-ten breeds
242 (<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/pets/essentials/top-dog-breeds-across-the-uk/>). In fact, English springer

243 spaniels (second most likely breed diagnosed with CRGV) were among the top-ten favourite breeds in
244 both South-East and North-West England - the two regions containing a high percentage of cases.

245 Breed preferences can be driven by multiple factors including body-size: large dogs are more
246 common in rural areas while smaller dogs are generally preferred in urban areas
247 (<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/pets/essentials/top-dog-breeds-across-the-uk/>). Similarly, it is logical that
248 gundogs and hounds may predominate in rural areas where owners may participate in countryside
249 sports such as shooting and hunting. Further studies investigating the geographic distribution of
250 breeds and breed-groups in the UK would help to decompose the breed associations identified in this
251 study and explore whether these breeds or breed-groups are inherently more susceptible to developing
252 CRGV or whether areas with a higher risk of CRGV occurrence coincide with higher proportions of
253 these breeds.

254 The potential reasons for associations between CRGV and being female or neutered are less clear. It
255 has previously been reported that being female is a risk factor for certain TMAs in humans including
256 thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) (Reese and others 2013), although for other TMA
257 conditions this is not necessarily the case. There is no evidence that females in the CRGV cohort were
258 pregnant or post-partum and indeed, although there was an association with female dogs there was
259 also an association with neuter status.

260 *Limitations*

261 The denominator used in this study represented a totally primary-care population whereas the cases
262 included some referral cases (30 %) and therefore some referral bias may have been created during
263 selection (Bartlett and others 2010). In addition, the denominator population represented the spread of
264 dogs under primary veterinary care during 2013 whereas the cases were recorded from 2012-2017.
265 Breed popularity can wax and wane quite rapidly so the 2013 denominator may not exactly represent
266 the breed spreads for each year from 2010-2017. In addition, this study classified Jack Russell
267 Terriers as 'not-KC recognised' but since 2016 the KC has officially recognised this breed as
268 belonging to the breed-group 'terriers'. As this study identified the breed to have a decreased odds of
269 diagnosis (OR 0.37), future studies may find the terrier breed-group to have an even lower risk of
270 being diagnosed with CRGV than the current study depending on how Jack Russell Terriers are
271 classified (based on period of interest and denominator population). Confidence intervals for the
272 variables common breed and KC breed-group were comparatively wide, most likely due to the small
273 number of CRGV cases, and suggests that these results are less robust than those variables with
274 narrower confidence intervals. However, confidence intervals for breeds with a decreased odds of
275 being diagnosed with CRGV were considerably narrower and more robust suggesting that greater
276 confidence can be placed in the identification of breeds with a lower risk of being a CRGV case than
277 those with an increased risk. A larger sample of CRGV dogs would allow for a more robust analysis.

278 CRGV was initially reported largely in the New Forest area of England resulting in an increased
279 interest and awareness of the disease in this area. If certain breeds are more popular in that area then
280 the results of this study may be biased towards those breeds. However, since seasonal outbreaks began
281 in 2012 CRGV has been reported in other parts of the UK, and the disease has been widely publicised
282 in national and local media, so that increased awareness is likely no longer confined to the New Forest
283 area and therefore any potential bias arising from the New Forest focus is likely to have been
284 mitigated over time.

285 *Conclusion*

286 In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that gundogs and hounds, have an increased risk of
287 developing CRGV in the UK, while toy dogs and terriers appear to be the breed-groups least at risk.
288 Specific breeds with increased odds of CRGV included Hungarian Vizslas, Flat-Coated Retrievers,
289 Whippets and English Springer Spaniels. As well as helping veterinarians develop an index of
290 suspicion for the disease, an understating of the breeds at risk may help to develop causal models for
291 CRGV, and potentially play a role in identifying the aetiology of the disease. However, further studies
292 investigating the distribution of specific breeds and breed-groups in the UK, and the factors driving
293 these distributions, would help to determine whether the high-risk breeds and breed-groups identified
294 in this study are indeed inherently more disposed to being diagnosed with CRGV or whether the
295 results result from an increased proportion of those breeds in areas of greater risk.

296

297 **Acknowledgements**

298 This research was generously funded by the Alabama Rot Research Fund (ARRF) and New Forest
299 Dog Owners Group (NFDog). We acknowledge the Medivet Veterinary Partnership,
300 Vets4Pets/Companion Care, Blythwood Vets, Vets Now and the other UK practices who collaborate
301 in VetCompass™.

302

303 **Conflict of interest**

304 The authors are not aware of any conflicts of interest.

305

306 **Ethics approval**

307 Ethics approval was granted by the RVC Ethics and Welfare Committee (reference number URN 2015
308 1369).

309

310

311 **Author contributions**

312 KS performed all analyses and wrote the first draft of the paper; LH & DW compiled the case dataset;
313 DON compiled the VETCOMPASS™ dog denominator dataset; all authors contributed substantially
314 to the interpretation of data, drafting of the final manuscript, and critical revision for
315 important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for
316 submission.

317

318

319

320 **References**

- 321 BARTLETT, P. C., VAN BUREN, J. W., NETERER, M. & ZHOU, C. (2010) Disease surveillance
322 and referral bias in the veterinary medical database. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 94, 264-271
- 323 CARPENTER, J. L., ANDELMAN, N. C., MOORE, F. M. & N. W. KING, J. (1988) Idiopathic
324 Cutaneous and Renal Glomerular Vasculopathy of Greyhounds. *Veterinary Pathology* 25, 401-407
- 325 COWAN, L. A., HERTZKE, D. M., FENWICK, B. W. & ANDREASEN, C. B. (1997) Clinical and
326 clinicopathologic abnormalities in greyhounds with cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy: 18
327 cases (1992-1994). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 210, 789-793
- 328 DOHOO, I., MARTIN, W. & STRYHN, H. (2009) *Veterinary Epidemiologic Research*.
329 Charlottetown, Canada, VER Inc
- 330 GEORGE, J. N. & NESTER, C. M. (2014) Syndromes of thrombotic microangiopathy. *New*
331 *England Journal of Medicine* 371, 654-666
- 332 HENDRICKS, A. (2000) Akute Ulzerative Dermatitis Bei Einem Greyhound. In *Proceedings of the*
333 *46th Annual Congress of the Small Animal Veterinary Association*. Dusseldorf, Germany. pp 62–63
- 334 HERTZKE, D. M., COWAN, L. A., SCHONING, P. & FENWICK, B. W. (1995) Glomerular
335 Ultrastructural Lesions of Idiopathic Cutaneous and Renal Glomerular Vasculopathy of Greyhounds
336 *Veterinary Pathology* 32, 451 - 459
- 337 HOLM, L. P., HAWKINS, I., ROBIN, C., NEWTON, R. J., JEPSON, R., STANZANI, G.,
338 MCMAHON, L. A., PESAVENTO, P., CARR, T., COGAN, T., COUTO, C. G., CIANCIOLO, R. &
339 WALKER, D. J. (2015) Cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy as a cause of acute kidney
340 injury in dogs in the UK. *The Veterinary Record* 176, 384-384
- 341 OLIVER, J. A. C. & GOULD, D. J. (2012) Survey of ophthalmic abnormalities in the labradoodle in
342 the UK. *Veterinary Record* 170, 390-390
- 343 REESE, J. A., MUTHURAJAH, D. S., HOVINGA, J. A. K., VESELY, S. K., TERRELL, D. R. &
344 GEORGE, J. N. (2013) Children and adults with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura associated
345 with severe, acquired Adamts13 deficiency: Comparison of incidence, demographic and clinical
346 features. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer* 60, 1676-1682
- 347 ROTERMUND, A., PETERS, M., HEWICKER-TRAUTWEIN, M. & NOLTE, I. (2002) Cutaneous
348 and renal glomerular vasculopathy in a great dane resembling 'Alabama rot' of greyhounds. *The*
349 *Veterinary Record* 151, 510-512
- 350 THE VENOM CODING GROUP (2017) *VeNom Veterinary Nomenclature*.
351 <http://www.venomcoding.org>. Accessed February 5th Access, 2017

352 VETCOMPASS (2017) VetCompass: Health surveillance for UK companion animals.

353 <http://www.rvc.ac.uk/VetCOMPASS/>. Accessed January 24th Access, 2017

354

Table 1: Descriptive statistics and univariable logistic regression models showing associations between signalment variables and diagnosis with cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (CRGV) in dogs in the United Kingdom (n = 446 554)

Variable	Study population (% (n))	CRGV dogs (n=101) (% (n))	Denominator dogs (n = 446 453) (% (n))	OR (95% CI)	P-value	Wald P-value
Age-group (years)						0.038
< 1.72	24.9 (111 118)	15.8 (16)	24.9 (111 102)	Reference		
1.73 – 4.11	25.0 (111 795)	34.7 (35)	25.0 (111 760)	2.18 (1.20 – 3.92)	0.010	
4.12 – 7.61	25.0 (111 839)	28.7 (29)	25.0 (111 810)	1.80 (0.98 – 3.32)	0.059	
> 7.61	25.0 (111 802)	20.8 (21)	25.0 (111 781)	1.31 (0.68 – 2.50)	0.423	
Sex						0.010
Female	48.2 (215 104)	58.4 (59)	48.2 (215 045)	1.51 (1.02-2.25)		
Male	51.8 (231 450)	41.6 (42)	51.8 (231 408)	Reference	0.041	
Neuter status						< 0.001
Entire	38.4 (171 493)	15.8 (16)	38.4 (171 477)	Reference		
Neutered	45.5 (203 313)	69.3 (70)	45.5 (203 243)	3.69 (2.14 – 6.35)	< 0.001	
Not recorded	16.1 (71 748)	14.9 (15)	16.1 (71 733)	2.24 (1.11 – 4.53)	0.025	
Breed (pure vs cross vs designer)						0.587
Crossbred	21.8 (97 145)	18.8 (19)	21.8 (97 126)	Reference		
Purebred	75.2 (335 807)	79.2 (80)	75.2 (335 727)	1.22 (0.74 – 2.01)	0.440	
Designer	3.0 (13 602)	2.0 (2)	3.0 (13 600)	0.75 (0.18 – 3.23)	0.701	
UK Kennel Club breed-group						<0.001
Gundog	16.1 (72 105)	48.5 (49)	16.1 (72 056)	9.92 (3.58 – 27.49)	< 0.001	
Terrier	13.1 (58 362)	4.0 (4)	13.1 (58 358)	Reference		
Toy	12.6 (56 431)	0 (0)	12.6 (56 431)	Omitted	-	
Utility	9.9 (44 397)	4.0 (4)	9.9 (44 393)	1.32 (0.33 – 5.26)	0.699	
Pastoral	6.6 (29 317)	6.9 (7)	6.6 (29 310)	3.48 (1.02 – 11.90)	0.046	
Working	4.9 (22 001)	2.0 (2)	4.9 (21 999)	1.33 (0.24 – 7.24)	0.744	
Hound	3.5 (15 646)	11.9 (12)	3.5 (15 634)	11.20 (3.61 – 34.73)	< 0.001	
Not KC-recognised	33.2 (148 295)	22.8 (23)	33.2 (148 272)	2.26 (0.78 – 6.54)	0.132	
Common breed (only included if present among cases n = 258 021)						< 0.001
Crossbred	37.7 (97 146)	19.8 (20)	37.7 (97 126)	Reference		
Labrador Retriever	12.8 (32 938)	14.9 (15)	12.8 (32 923)	2.21 (1.13 – 4.32)	0.020	
Staffordshire Bull Terrier	12.5 (32 134)	3.0 (3)	12.5 (32 131)	0.45 (0.13 – 0.53)	0.201	
Jack Russell Terrier	10.6 (27 356)	2.0 (2)	10.6 (27 354)	0.36 (0.08 – 1.52)	0.163	

Cocker Spaniel	6.1 (15 671)	8.9 (9)	6.1 (15 662)	2.79 (1.27 – 6.13)	0.011
German Shepherd Dog	4.8 (12 321)	1.0 (1)	4.8 (12 320)	0.39 (0.05 – 2.94)	0.364
Border Collie	4.7 (12 165)	5.0 (5)	4.7 (12 160)	2.0 (0.75 – 5.32)	0.167
English Springer Spaniel	2.1 (5348)	10.9 (11)	2.1 (5337)	10.01 (4.79 – 20.90)	< 0.001
Beagle	1.3 (3476)	1.0 (1)	1.3 (3475)	1.40 (0.19 – 10.42)	0.744
British Bulldog	1.3 (3277)	1.0 (1)	1.3 (3276)	1.48 (0.20 – 11.05)	0.701
Greyhound	1.2 (2983)	1.0 (1)	1.2 (2982)	1.62 (0.22 – 12.14)	0.634
Lurcher	1.2 (3133)	1.0 (1)	1.2 (3132)	1.55 (0.21 – 11.56)	0.669
Whippet	0.8 (2135)	8.9 (9)	0.8 (2126)	20.56 (9.35 – 45.20)	< 0.001
Dalmatian	0.7 (1736)	2.0 (2)	0.7 (1734)	5.60 (1.31 – 23.98)	0.020
Doberman Pinscher	0.6 (1568)	2.0 (2)	0.6 (1566)	6.20 (1.45 – 26.56)	0.014
Weimaraner	0.6 (1539)	1.0 (1)	0.6 (1538)	3.16 (0.42 – 23.54)	0.262
Tibetan Terrier	0.4 (1003)	1.0 (1)	0.4 (1002)	4.85 (0.65 – 36.15)	0.124
Hungarian Vizsla	0.3 (775)	5.9 (6)	0.3 (769)	37.89 (15.17 – 94.61)	< 0.001
Flat-Coated Retriever	0.2 (452)	6.9 (7)	0.2 (445)	76.39 (32.14 – 181.57)	< 0.001
Bearded Collie	0.2 (538)	1.0 (1)	0.2 (537)	9.04 (1.21 – 67.50)	0.032
Saluki	0.1 (201)	1.0 (1)	0.1 (200)	24.28 (3.23 – 181.79)	0.002
Manchester Terrier	0.05 (126)	1.0 (1)	0.05 (125)	38.85 (5.17 – 291.70)	< 0.001

Table 2: Multivariable logistic regression results for variables significantly associated with diagnosis with cutaneous and renal glomerular vasculopathy (CRGV) in dogs in the United Kingdom. The variable breed was included as common breed (Model 1) and as the derived variable Kennel Club breed-group (Model 2)

MODEL 1 (BREED INCLUDED AS COMMON BREED)			MODEL 2 (BREED INCLUDED AS KC BREED-GROUP)		
Variable	OR (95% CI)	P-value	Variable	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex			Sex		
Female	1.49 (1.00 – 2.21)	0.049	Female	1.51 (1.02 – 2.24)	0.042
Male	Reference		Male	Reference	
Neuter status			Neuter status		
Entire	Reference		Entire	Reference	
Neutered	3.35 (1.92 – 5.85)	< 0.001	Neutered	3.36 (1.93 – 5.85)	< 0.001
Not recorded	1.62 (0.79 – 3.32)	0.187	Not recorded	1.95 (0.96 – 3.98)	0.065
Breed (included in model as common breed)			Breed (included in model as common KC breed-group)		
Crossbred	Reference		Not KC-recognised	2.12 (0.73 – 6.12)	0.167
Lurcher	1.63 (0.22 – 12.15)	0.634			
Jack Russell Terrier	0.37 (0.09 – 1.58)	0.179			
Manchester Terrier	41.41 (5.49 – 312.22)	< 0.001	Terrier	Reference	
Staffordshire Bull Terrier	0.50 (0.15 – 1.70)	0.268			
Saluki	27.46 (3.65 – 206.32)	0.001	Hound	10.68 (3.44 – 33.13)	< 0.001
Whippet	22.43 (10.18 – 49.42)	< 0.001			
Greyhound	1.64 (0.22 – 12.30)	0.629			
Beagle	1.33 (0.18 – 9.94)	0.780			
Flat-Coated Retriever	84.48 (35.19 – 202.80)	<0.001	Gundog	9.69 (3.50 – 28.86)	< 0.001
Hungarian Vizsla	40.98 (16.34 – 102.75)	<0.001			
English Springer Spaniel	11.41 (5.44 – 23.94)	< 0.001			
Weimaraner	3.20 (0.43 – 23.90)	0.257			
Cocker Spaniel	2.91 (1.32 – 6.39)	0.008			
Labrador Retriever	2.35 (1.20 – 4.61)	0.012			
Bearded Collie	10.85 (1.45 – 81.34)	0.020	Pastoral	3.50 (1.01 – 11.96)	0.046
Border Collie	2.06 (0.77 – 5.50)	0.148			
German Shepherd Dog	0.45 (0.06 – 3.38)	0.440			
Doberman Pinscher	6.87 (1.60 – 29.47)	0.009	Working	1.37 (0.25 – 7.49)	0.716
Dalmatian	5.79 (1.35 – 24.80)	0.018	Utility	1.32 (0.33 – 5.28)	0.695
Tibetan Terrier	5.24 (0.70 – 39.12)	0.107			
British Bulldog	1.94 (0.23– 14.55)	0.518			