Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online
--

Chapter Title	German and Tagalog Happiness Scales		
Copyright Year	2013		
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht		
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Swami	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Viren	
	Suffix		
	Division/Department	Department of Psychology	
	Organization/University	University of Westminster	
	Street	309 Regent Street	
	Postcode	W1B 2UW	
	City	London	
	Country	UK	
	Phone	+442079115000 ext. 2106	
	Fax	+442079115106	
	Email	virenswami@hotmail.com	
	Email	v.swami@westminster.ac.uk	

Comp. by: MohamedSameer Stage: Galleys Chapter No.: 3712 Title Name: EQLR Date:16/1/13 Time:22:07:38 Page Number: 1

G

² German and Tagalog Happiness³ Scales

- 4 Viren Swami
- 5 Department of Psychology, University of
- 6 Westminster, London, UK

7 Synonyms

8 Subjective happiness scale (SHS)

9 Definition

Subjective happiness refers to the measurement
of happiness from the point of view of participants themselves. Subjective happiness has been
found to be associated with self-perceptions of
well-being, satisfaction with life, and improved
interpersonal relationships.

16 **Description**

The Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS) was 17 developed as "a global, subjective assessment of 18 whether one is a happy or unhappy person" as 19 measured through self-reports (Lyubormirsky & 20 Lepper, 1999, p. 139). The scale consists of four 21 items, two of which assess self-perceptions based 22 23 on absolute ratings of well-being and ratings relative to peers. In two further items, participants 24 are presented with descriptions happy and 25

unhappy individuals and are asked to rate the 26 extent to which the descriptions are accurate 27 descriptions of participants themselves. 28 According to Lyubomirsky and Lepper (1999), 29 the SHS is superior to other scales of subjective 30 well-being because it measures global subjective 31 assessments, rather than focusing on multiple 32 aspects of happiness. 33

Several studies have examined the psycho- 34 metric properties of the SHS and have reported 35 that it has good internal consistency and test- 36 retest reliability, as well as good discriminant 37 and convergent validity (Lyubormirsky & 38 Lepper, 1999; Mattei & Schaefer, 2004). The 39 SHS has also been translated into a number of 40 different languages, including Russian 41 (Lyubormirsky & Lepper, 1999), Japanese 42 (Shimai, Otake, Utsui, Ikemi and Lyubormirsky 43 2004), and Malay (Swami, 2008). Each of these 44 translated versions of the SHS has been shown to 45 have a one-dimensional factor structure as well as 46 good psychometric properties, including high 47 internal consistency, good test-retest reliability, 48 and patterns of divergent and convergent validity. 49

Swami et al. (2009) further presented trans- ⁵⁰ lations and validations of German and Tagalog ⁵¹ versions of the SHS. They argued this was impor- ⁵² tant in order to confirm the extent to which the ⁵³ SHS is suitable for use in different linguistic and ⁵⁴ cultural groups and in order to facilitate cross- ⁵⁵ cultural research on happiness. In two studies, ⁵⁶ therefore, they translated the SHS into German ⁵⁷ and Tagalog and examined its psychometric ⁵⁸ properties in Austria and the Philippines, ⁵⁹

A.C. Michalos (ed.), Encyclopedia of Quality of Life Research,

DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5, © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

G 2

respectively. In addition, they also conducted
a cross-cultural comparison of SHS scores
among participants from Austria, the Philippines,
Malaysia (these data being obtained from Swami,
2008), and Britain (a novel dataset).

Results of the study showed that the German 65 version of the SHS, when tested with 960 indi-66 viduals from the community in Vienna, had 67 a one-dimensional factor structure and good 68 internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .82). 69 In addition, the German SHS was significantly 70 associated with other measures of subjective hap-71 piness (including single- and multi-item mea-72 sures of well-being), suggesting a good pattern 73 of convergent validity. Swami et al. (2009) also 74 argued that, to the extent that the strengths of 75 these correlations were small to medium, the 76 SHS can be conceptually distinguished from 77 other similar scales. 78

Similarly, the Tagalog version of the SHS, 79 when tested with 182 members of the community 80 in Manila, was found to have a one-dimensional 81 factor structure and good internal consistency 82 (Cronbach's alpha = .78). Furthermore, the Taga-83 log SHS was found to correlate positively with 84 other measures of subjective well-being. Based 85 on these results, Swami et al. (2009) reported 86 that both the German and Tagalog versions of the 87 SHS had good psychometric properties, although 88 they also noted that their examination did not 89 specifically examine test-retest reliability. In addi-90 tion, they also noted that they did not conduct 91 validation checks that overcome the general limi-92 93 tations of using self-reported data.

In terms of the cross-cultural comparisons of 94 British, Filipino, German, and Malaysian partici-95 pants, Swami et al. (2009) initially predicted that 96 members of individualist cultures (Austria and 97 Britain in their study) would have higher SHS 98 than participants from collectivist cultures 99 (Malaysia and the Philippines). This was based 100 on the suggestion that happiness is constructed as 101 a personal achievement in individualist cultures, 102 whereas it is predicated upon the realization of 103 positive social relationships in collective cultures 104 105 (Uchida, Kitayama, Mesquita and Rayes 2001). The results of Swami et al.'s (2009) cross-cultural 106 comparison generally supported this hypothesis. 107

German and Tagalog Happiness Scales

Specifically, Swami et al. (2009) found that, 108 controlling for participant age, British and Aus- 109 trian participants had significantly higher scores 110 than Filipino and Malaysian participants. They 111 argued that this supports the hypothesis that par- 112 ticipants from individualist cultures are more 113 likely to report positive emotions and well- 114 being than their counterparts in collectivist cul- 115 tures. However, their results also showed that 116 Filipino participants had significantly higher 117 SHS scores than Malaysian participants. Swami 118 et al. (2009) considered a number of explanations 119 for this finding, including the possibility on 120 national differences in conceptions of health and 121 well-being as well as limitations of their collec- 122 tivism-individualism dichotomy. 123

Cross-References

- ► Cross-Cultural 125
- Happiness
 Subjective Well-Being
 127

References

128

124

- Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. S. (1999). A measure of 129 subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and con-130 struct validation. *Social Indicators Research*, 46, 131 37–155.
- Mattei, D., & Schaefer, C. E. (2004). An investigation of 133 the validity of the subjective happiness scale. *Psycho-* 134 *logical Reports*, 94, 288–290.
 135
- Shimai, S., Otake, K., Utsui, N., Ikemi, A., & 136
 Lyubormirsky, S. (2004). Development of a Japanese 137
 version of the subjective happiness scale (SHS), and 138
 examination of its validity and reliability. *Japanese 139 Journal of Public Health*, 51, 1–9. 140
- Swami, V. (2008). Translation and validation of the Malay 141 subjective happiness scale. *Social Indicators* 142 *Research*, 88, 347–353. 143
- Swami, V., Stieger, S., Voracek, M., Dressler, S. G., 144
 Eisma, L., & Furnham, A. (2009). Psychometric evaluation of the Tagalog and German subjective happiness scales and a cross-cultural comparison. *Social* 147
 Indicators Research, 93, 393–406. 148
- Uchida, Y., Kitayama, S., Mesquita, B., & Rayes, J. A. 149 (2001). *Interpersonal sources of happiness: The relative significance in three cultures*. Paper presented at 151 the 13th annual convention of the American Psychological Society, Toronto, Canada. 153