Radboud University Nijmegen

#### PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. <http://hdl.handle.net/2066/23121>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.



# **The Effect of Blood Flow on Oxygen Extraction Pressures Calculated in a Model of Pointlike Erythrocyte Sources for Rat Heart**

### **LOUIS HOOFD AND CEES BOS**

Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Nijmegen, Geert *Grooteplein Noord 21***,** *6525 EZ Nijmegen***,** *The Netherlands*

### **THOM OOSTENDORP**

*Department of Medical Physics and Biophysics***,** *University o f Nijmegen, Geert Grooteplein Noord 21, 6525 E Z Nijmegen***,** *The Netherlands*

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Once oxygen is released from the capillary, modeling of its further transport into tissue is based on diffusion. The first model of Krogh [**2**] used the simplified geometry of a tissue cylinder around a centrally located capillary. Since then, several extensions have been made to this model to establish the basis of calculation of partial pressure of oxygen**  $(pO<sub>2</sub>)$  in muscle tissue [3].

**A mathematical description of pericapillary oxygen gradients that takes into account the particulate nature of blood is possible in terms of erythrocytes as pointlike sources. The formulation in terms of quasi-stationary sources [1] is extended to account for moving erythrocytes. The extended model is semianalytical and can be used to estimate the extraction pressure (EP), which quantifies the effect** on partial pressure of oxygen  $(pO_2)$  in the tissue far from the erythrocytes. **Simulations have been done for rat heart muscle tissue around a capillary. For low hematocrit (Hct; 20%) and low blood velocity EP is highest, higher than the**  $pO_2$ drop in a surrounding typical tissue cylinder. This means that the impediment to  $O_2$ **release close to the capillary can be larger than that to transport further into the** tissue. Increasing the hematocrit decreases  $EP$ , that is, it facilitates  $O_2$  release. **Increasing the blood velocity decreases EP at low Hct values but has the opposite effect at high Hct values ( > 35%). For zero velocity, results are the same as with the quasi-stationary model.**

While modeling of O<sub>2</sub> diffusion in the tissue is quite straightforward, **the capillary release still poses considerable problems. In the literature,**

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

*MATHEMATICAL BIOSCIENCES* **131:23-49 (1996) © Elsevier Science Inc., 1996 0025-5564/96/\$ 15.00 655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010 SSDI 0025-5564(95)00009-3**

**24**

**it was mainly investigated by numerical methods for a limited number of capillaries and in simplified tissue situations. Since such numerical results cannot be easily incorporated into tissue models, we developed** analytical methods  $[1, 3]$  that allow an estimation of the effect on  $pO<sub>2</sub>$ **in various circumstances. The effect was quantified as an extraction pressure (EP; for a complete list of symbols used in this article, see** Table 1), defined as follows. First, the O<sub>2</sub> driving pressure was calcu**lated in the tissue at a point distant from the capillary via the homogeneous blood model. Then, the same was done at the same tissue** location for the model with erythrocytes as distant  $O<sub>2</sub>$  sources. **EP** was the difference in predicted  $O_2$  driving pressure between both models. When no myoglobin is active in the tissue, this  $O_2$  driving pressure was equal to  $pO_2$ .

### LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

**The model presented here is an extension of the two previous models [1, 3], that accounts for erythrocyte movement in the capillary. The model only considers equidistant erythrocytes all moving with the same speed. Results were again calculated in terms of EP, where it is most interesting now to look at the differences from former models.**

## **2. THEORY**

### **2.1.** *ASSUMPTIONS AND BASIC EQUATIONS*

Diffusional transport of  $O_2$  is considered in a cylindrical layout with coordinates  $(r, \phi, z)$ . The angle coordinate  $\phi$  will be dropped because we assume cylindrical symmetry, so  $\vec{r} = (r, z)$ . Along the *z* axis, equidistant pointlike  $O_2$  sources are located at distances  $\Delta z$  moving with velocity  $\nu$  in the direction of the axis (Figure 1). The point sources represent erythrocytes moving in a capillary of radius  $r_c$ . The conse**quences for tissue oxygenation will be primarily worked out in a concentric tissue cylinder of radius** *R* **so that each point source supplies** a tissue volume  $V = \pi R^2 \Delta z$ . In Figure 1, such volumes are shown **separated by circular cross-sections with the point sources as black dots in their centers. The origin of the** *z* **axis is chosen at one of these source** locations so that the *i*th source is located at  $\vec{r}_i = (0, z_i)$  where  $z_i = i\Delta z$ . **The 0** 2 **transport equations in the tissue are for diffusion and mass** balance. For diffusion of O<sub>2</sub>:

$$
\vec{J} = -\mathscr{P}\vec{\nabla}p\tag{1}
$$

where  $J$  is oxygen flux,  $\mathcal{P}$  is oxygen permeability of the tissue (product of oxygen diffusion coefficient *D* and oxygen solubility  $\alpha$ ) and *p* is  $O_2$ 

### **MOVING POINTLIKE PO<sub>2</sub> SOURCES**

### **TABLE 1**

**Symbols**

 $exp(x)$  e<sup>x</sup>



**EP extraction pressure**

 $F_{00}$ ,  $F_{10}$ ,  $F_{11}$  constants in source contour description (Appendix C) **F,( ) contour function for one source** *Fc (* **) source(s) term in continuous mode!** *Fep* **dimensionless extraction pressure**  $F_R$  dimensionless  $pO_2$  drop across tissue cylinder  $F_{S,N}$ ( ) recalibrated source sum term in stroboscope solution  $F_{S,\infty}(\ )$  limit of  $F_{S,N}(\ )$  for  $N\to\infty$ *Fr ( )* **moving-sources term in time-dependent solution**  $\Delta F_T$  dimensionless difference between continuous and time-dependent **solution**  $F_g()$  difference between  $F_f()$  and  $F_{S_g}()$  $f_{cn}(\ )$ ,  $f_{sn}(\ )$  Fourier coefficient functions in  $F_s(\ )$ *Gz* **constant in contour integral g yi limit constant in contour integral**

- $g_n($  ),  $g_n^*($  ) Fourier coefficient functions in  $F_{S,\omega}($  ) **Hct capillary hematocrit**
- I<sub>0</sub>( ) modified Bessel function of the first kind
- **3 m( ) imaginary part of a complex expression**
	- $\sqrt{-1}$
	-



### **LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.**

## **TABLE 1** *(Continued)*





**Fig. 1.** Cylindrical coordinate system  $\vec{r} = (r, z)$  with capillary of radius  $r_c$  containing pointlike  $O_2$  sources (black dots) equidistant with spacing  $\Delta z$  all moving along the z-axis with constant velocity  $\nu$ . In a surrounding tissue cylinder of radius  $R$ , each source distributes its  $O_2$  into an equal amount of tissue of volume *V*.

**partial pressure. For mass balance of O<sub>2</sub>:** 

**These equations have to be extended when there is myoglobin (Mb) present in the tissue since this species can bind and release**  $O_2$  **at a** 

certain rate and also transport it through diffusion of the  $O_2Mb$ **complex. As these aspects make the description much more complicated, we assume here that either there is no Mb or that its contribu**tion to  $O_2$  transport is negligible, which will be discussed later.

$$
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = -\dot{Q} \tag{2}
$$

\* where *c* is  $O_2$  concentration,  $Q$  is  $O_2$  consumption per tissue volume, **and the bold dot (\*) denotes vector inner product. The concentration is proportional to pressure according to Henry's law:**

$$
c = \alpha p \tag{3}
$$

In a previous article [1], we used a stroboscope technique to construct an analytical solution for  $O<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion into the tissue. With this **technique, the erythrocytes were considered only at specific time intervals when each erythrocyte had moved to the exact position where its predecessor was formerly. Also with this technique, Mb can be incorpo**

### **2.2.** *SOLUTIONS*

## <sup>28</sup> LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

**rated, and a solution was found in terms of an equivalent** *p\** **driving the** O<sub>2</sub> diffusion:

$$
p^* = \frac{\dot{Q}}{4\mathscr{P}} \left\{ \Phi(\vec{r}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{V}{\pi |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i|} \right\} \tag{4}
$$

where  $\Phi(\vec{r})$  was a function called the *field term* of dimension  $r^2$ , and **the sources were numbered from** 1 **to** *N* **in a cylinder of limited length.** The O<sub>2</sub> driving pressure  $p^*$  is equal to  $p + p_F S_{Mb}$ , where  $s_{Mb}$  is Mb saturation and  $p_F$  is the so-called facilitation pressure, quantifying the **maximum effect of Mb on**  $O_2$  **transport [3]. Here, without Mb,**  $p^*$  **is** equal to the  $O_2$  pressure p. The field term  $\Phi(\vec{r})$  was a smooth solution of  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4$ , depending on tissue geometry but not on the individual **sources whose contribution was accounted for in each of the sum terms. In the geometry here, the sources extend in both directions and are** counted as  $i: -N \rightarrow N$ . For a moving-sources solution, we have to consider the case where  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . However, since the individual sum terms in (4) are of order  $i^{-1}$ , they do not approach zero fast enough for **the summation to converge. This can be corrected by the following** redefinition of (4), also using  $V = \pi R^2 \Delta z$ :

$$
p_S = \frac{\dot{Q}}{4\mathcal{D}} \left\{ \Psi_N(\vec{r}) + R^2 F_{S,N}(\vec{r}) \right\} \tag{5}
$$

**where**

$$
\Psi_N(\vec{r}) = \Phi(\vec{r}) + \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \frac{\Delta z}{\sqrt{r_c^2 + z_i^2}}
$$

**(** 6**)**

$$
F_{S,N}(\vec{r}) = F_{S,N}(r,z) = \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \left( \frac{\Delta z}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i|} - \frac{\Delta z}{\sqrt{r_c^2 + z_i^2}} \right) \tag{7}
$$

**and the subscript** *S* **now denotes that this solution comes from the** stroboscope approach;  $\Psi_N(\vec{r})$  is the corresponding new field term for *2N + 1* **sources. Note that it is still smooth since only constant terms are** added to  $\Phi(\vec{r})$ . Furthermore,  $F_{S,N}(r_c, 0) = 0$  and the function is dimen**sionless. This formulation can be extended to an infinite number of** sources since the terms in the summation now approach order  $i^{-2}$ . For  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the erythrocyte contribution can be written in terms of a Fourier **series, an approach that has also been tried earlier [**3**]; it can be derived**

$$
F_{S,x}(r,z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4\{K_0(n\omega r)\cos(n\omega z) - K_0(n\omega r_c)\} - \ln\left(\frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)
$$
 (8)

where  $\omega = 2\pi / \Delta z$ . This sum is finite since K<sub>0</sub>( ) quickly approaches **zero when its argument grows large.**

**The above equations can be used as a basis for deriving the full time-dependent solution:**

$$
p = \frac{\dot{Q}}{4\mathcal{P}} \left\{ \Psi_x(\vec{r}) + R^2 F_T(r, z, t) \right\} \tag{9}
$$

where  $F_T(r, z, t)$  is the assembled moving-sources term. As a basis for solving  $F_T()$  we can use  $F_{S,\infty}(r, z - vt)$ , which is also a moving-sources **function but does not obey (2). The difference between the two func**tions is called  $F_e(r, z, t)$ :

As for  $\Phi($ ),  $\nabla^2 \Psi_{\infty} = 4$  and we can substitute (1), (3), (9), and (10) into **the time-dependent differential equation (**2**) to derive**

### **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P 0 2* **SOURCES 29**

**that a representation is possible in terms of the modified Bessel** function  $K_0()$  (see Appendix A):

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(r, z, t) = F_{T}(r, z, t) - F_{S, \omega}(r, z - vt)
$$
 (10)

Since  $F<sub>r</sub>$  (*i*) describes the infinite number of moving sources, it is stationary and periodic in a moving frame  $z' = z - vt$ . Therefore we can express  $F_T()$  and  $F_s()$  in terms of a Fourier series:

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(r, z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ f_{cn}(r) \cos(n \omega [z - vt]) + f_{sn}(r) \sin(n \omega [z - vt]) \right\}
$$
(11)

$$
\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left\{ F_{S,\infty}(r, z - \nu t) + F_{\varepsilon}(r, z, t) \right\} = 0 \tag{12}
$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \alpha D$  is also substituted. Then, inserting (8) and (11) leads to

$$
-\frac{\nu}{D} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4n \omega \mathbf{K}_0(n \omega r) \sin(n \omega z')
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left\{ \left( \nabla_r^2 - n^2 \omega^2 \right) f_{cn}(r) + \frac{n \omega \nu}{D} f_{sn}(r) \right\} \cos(n \omega z')
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left\{ \left( \nabla_r^2 - n^2 \omega^2 \right) f_{sn}(r) - \frac{n \omega \nu}{D} f_{cn}(r) \right\} \sin(n \omega z') \right] = 0 \quad (13)
$$

Note that  $1/2\{K_0(\lambda_n r) + K_0(\lambda_n r)\} = \Re e \langle K_0(\lambda_n r) \rangle$  is the real part and  $-(1/2)i{K_0(\lambda_n r) - K_0(\overline{\lambda_n}r)} = \Im m{K_0(\lambda_n r)}$  is the imaginary part of the Bessel function. The value of the constant  $a_0$  must follow from the **boundary conditions, which is considered below. The above treatment** can be gathered into a single expression for  $F_T$ ( $\cdot$ ):

#### **LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.**

where  $\nabla^2$  is the *r*-dependent part of the Laplace operator and  $z' = z$  $vt$ . The solutions for the coefficient functions  $f()$  are (see Appendix B):

$$
f_{c0}(r) = a_0 \tag{14}
$$

$$
f_{cn}(r) = 2K_0(\lambda_n r) + 2K_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) - 4K_0(n \omega r) \qquad n \ge 1 \qquad (15)
$$

$$
f_{sn}(r) = 2iK_0(\lambda_n r) + 2iK_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) \qquad n \ge 1 \qquad (16)
$$

with an integration constant  $a_0$  and complex values (including  $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$ ) for  $\lambda_n$  and its complex conjugate  $\lambda_n$ :

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\lambda_n = \sqrt{(1/2)n \omega \left\{\sqrt{n^2 \omega^2 + (v/D)^2 + n \omega}\right\}}
$$
  
+ 
$$
i \sqrt{(1/2)n \omega \left\{\sqrt{n^2 \omega^2 + (v/D)^2 - n \omega}\right\}}
$$
 (17)

where again  $\Re$ e $\langle$   $\rangle$  means the real part of the respective complex equation. It is easily seen that for  $\nu/D \rightarrow 0: \lambda_n \rightarrow n \omega$  (no imaginary part) and consequently  $F_T(r, z, t) \rightarrow F_{S,x}(r, z - vt)$ . So the stroboscope **method emerges here as the limit for zero velocity as should be the case, which is discussed below.**

$$
F_T(r, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \{ \mathbf{K}_0(\lambda_n r) + \mathbf{K}_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) \} \cos(n\omega[z - vt])
$$
  
-2i $\{ \mathbf{K}_0(\lambda_n r) - \mathbf{K}_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) \} \sin(n\omega[z - vt]) \mathbb{I} + a_T - \ln\left(\frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)$  (18)

where  $a_T$  is a constant again, or, simplified:

$$
F_T(r, z, t) = 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Re e \langle K_0(\lambda_n r) \exp(-in\omega[z - vt]) \rangle + a_T - \ln\left(\frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)
$$
(19)

### MOVING POINTLIKE PO, SOURCES 31

#### **2.3.** *REPRESENTATION*

**The important effect of the particulate nature of blood is that the** calculated  $pO_2$  with this model is lower than with the homogeneous **blood model. The resulting difference can be quantified as EP [1, 3], which is the extra pressure drop resulting "far away" from the capillary.** Let us denote the function equivalent to  $F_{\tau}$ ( ) for continuous nonparticular blood as  $F_c$  ( ); an expression for this function can be derived as the limit of  $F_T(\ )$  for  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ , that is, approaching zero spacing between the sources while maintaining  $O_2$  delivery the same. This means  $\omega$ so  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  and thus all  $K_0( ) \to 0$  in (18) or (19) so that  $\rightarrow \infty$ 

$$
F_C(r, z, t) = a_C - \ln\left(\frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)
$$
 (20)

**Obviously, this function no longer depends on** *z* **and** *t* **as it makes no difference whether a continuous line of sources is moving or nonmoving. Note that the tissue z-dependency has been accounted for** in the field term  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  ). Now it can be seen easily that all three functions  $F_{S,z}$  ( ),  $F_r$  ( ), and  $F_c$  ( ) approach the same functional behavior for **large** *r* **(i.e., far away from the capillary) except for a difference in level** resulting from the values of  $a_T$  and  $a_C$ . It is this difference in level that determines EP; the absolute level of tissue  $O_2$  pressure is irrelevant **when there is no Mb present or active. For the continuous phase, EP by** definition is zero. So, relevant here is the functional difference  $F_T($ )  $F_c$ ( ). Instead of evaluating the value of this difference for large *r*, **we subtract the limit value defining**

$$
\Delta F_T(\ ) = F_T(\ ) - F_C(\ ) - \lim_{r \to \infty} \{F_T(\ ) - F_C(\ )\} \tag{21}
$$

**which can be evaluated by use of (18) and (**20**):**

$$
\Delta F_T(r, z') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ 2 \{ \mathbf{K}_0(\lambda_n r) + \mathbf{K}_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) \} \cos(n \omega z') - 2\mathbf{i} \{ \mathbf{K}_0(\lambda_n r) - \mathbf{K}_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r) \} \sin(n \omega z') \right]
$$
(22)

Note that  $z' = z - vt$ . This dimensionless function is plotted and used to evaluate EP. The evaluation is done for  $t = 0$  for the erythrocyte at  $z = 0$ . By definition, EP is the limiting difference between  $p$  of (9) and

#### **LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.**

the equivalent equation with  $F_c$ ( ) instead of  $F<sub>T</sub>$ ( ) evaluated at large **distance:**

$$
EP = \frac{\dot{Q}R^2}{4\mathscr{P}} \lim_{r \to \infty} \{ F_C(r, 0, 0) - F_T(r, 0, 0) \} = \frac{\dot{Q}R^2}{4\mathscr{P}} \{ a_C - a_T \} \quad (23)
$$

**where for the righthand part (18) and (**2**) have been substituted. The boundary condition is that erythrocyte pressure**  $p_F$  **must be reached at** the erythrocyte border, which was an equivalent sphere radius  $r_{sE}$  for **the stroboscope model [1]. For the present model, this location is** denoted by  $(r_E, z_E)$ ; for the continuous model, it is the capillary border **(rr,0). These locations have to be inserted into the respective equations,**  $(r_E, z_E)$  in (9) and  $(r_c, 0)$  into its limit for  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ , which is the same equation (9) in which  $F_T()$  has been replaced by  $F_C()$  from (20). This **leads to**

where  $(r_E, z_E)$  can be obtained from the implicit set of equations (see **Appendix C):**

where  $V_E$  is the erythrocyte volume. We neglect the small differences between  $\Psi_{\alpha}(r_E, z_E)$  and  $\Psi_{\alpha}(r_c, 0)$ ; note that  $\Psi_{\alpha}( \cdot )$  was a smooth function **and that the respective distances are small. Then, approximately, according to (24):**

$$
\frac{4\mathscr{P}p_E}{\dot{Q}} = \Psi_x(r_E, z_E) + R^2 F_T(r_E, z_E, 0) = \Psi_x(r_c, 0) + R^2 F_C(r_c, 0, 0)
$$
\n(24)

$$
V_E = \frac{4}{3} \pi r_{sE}^3 = \pi z_1^2 \left( \frac{\exp\{2(\nu/D) z_1\} - 1}{\nu/D} - \frac{2}{3} z_1 \right) \tag{25}
$$

$$
\ln(-z_0) = \ln(z_1) + (\nu/D) z_1 = \ln(s_E) + \frac{(\nu/D)s_E}{2 + (\nu/D)s_E}
$$
 (26)

$$
(r_E, z_E) = \frac{s_E}{2 + (\nu/D) s_E} (2\sqrt{1 + (\nu/D) s_E}, -(\nu/D) s_E) \qquad (27)
$$

$$
F_T(r_E, z_E, 0) = F_C(r_c, 0, 0)
$$
 (28)

## **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P O ,* **SOURCES** 33

**For the lefthand term, we combine (18) and (22), and for the righthand term we use (**20**) to obtain**

$$
\Delta F_T(r_E, z_E) + a_T + \ln\left(\frac{r_E^2}{r_c^2}\right) = a_C, \qquad (29)
$$

**and substitution into (23) leads to**

$$
\mathbf{EP} = \frac{\dot{Q}R^2}{4\mathcal{P}} F_{\rm EP}
$$
 (30)  

$$
F_{\rm EP} = \Delta F_T (r_E, z_E) - \ln \left( \frac{r_E^2}{r_c^2} \right)
$$
 (31)

where (30) defines the dimensionless EP,  $F_{\text{FP}}$ .

## **3. RESULTS FOR RAT HEART**

For calculation of results in terms of  $\Delta F_T$ , values have to be known for  $\Delta z$ ,  $r_c$ ,  $v$  / D, and  $V_E$ . When EP is also involved, the value of  $QR^2/(4\mathcal{P})$  has to be known. For rat heart, we use  $r_c = 2.4 \mu m$  [4] and  $\dot{Q}$ /(4 $\mathcal{P}$ ) = 0.00633 kPa/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> [5]. From the latter publication, the value  $\alpha F/\mathscr{P} = 10.56$   $\mu$ m is also used, where *F* is blood flow, to obtain  $\nu/D = (\alpha F / \mathcal{P})/(\pi r_c^2) = 0.583 \mu m^{-1}$  for normal flow. Since the effect **of flow is investigated here, values of** *v /D* **of twice and five times this basic value (1.167 and 2.917**  $\mu$ **m<sup>-1</sup>) were also used, as well as**  $\nu/D = 0$ **,** since this value yields the results of the stroboscope approach. For  $V_F$ , a value of 61 ( $\mu$ m)<sup>3</sup> was taken from Altman et al. [6] that is equivalent to a sphere radius  $r_{sE} = 2.44 \mu m$ . Source spacing  $\Delta z$  can be calculated from hematocrit (Hct) since Hct =  $V_E / (\pi r_c^2 \Delta z)$ , which for Hct values

between 20% and 50% leads to spacings ranging from 16.9  $\mu$ m to  $6.7 \mu m$ .

**Figure 2 shows profiles of**  $\Delta F_T$  **for**  $\Delta z = 16.9 \ \mu \text{m}$  **(Hct = 20%) and** for  $v/D = 0$ , 0.583, 1.167, and 2.917  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup>. The profiles of  $\Delta F_T()$ **show the differences from the continuous-line-source model. These differences fade out quite quickly away from the capillary (increasing** *r***).** The profiles are restricted to  $r > r_c$ , and so are outside the capillary. While the gradients in  $\Delta F_T$  are alternately positive and negative, the logarithmic terms of  $(20)$  have to be added for the actual  $O_2$  pressure profiles. The resulting  $O_2$  gradient is always away from the capillary **(outward flux). The oscillatory profiles in Figure 2 then imply that flux to the surrounding tissue is higher close to the sources and lower in**

**34**

#### LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.



F<sub>1G</sub>. 2. Profiles of dimensionless difference function  $\Delta F_T$  (solid lines) against coordinates  $(r, z)$  for source spacing  $\Delta z = 16.9 \mu m$  ( $-8.45 \mu m < z < 8.45 \mu m$ ) outside the capillary  $(r > r_c = 2.4 \mu m)$  up to  $r = 10 \mu m$  as indicated by the dotted rectangle at level  $\Delta F_T = 0$ . Top left, stroboscope model  $(v/D = 0)$ ; for the other **panels, erythrocyte velocity as indicated in terms of** *v/D.*

between. The profile for  $\nu/D = 0$  is symmetric around the top value at  $z = 0$ . The other profiles are not symmetrical and are shifted toward **negative z-values, while the source is still located at**  $(r, z) = (0, 0)$ **. Mathematically, this is due to the nonzero function coefficients of the**  $\sin(n\omega[z - vt])$  terms in (18). So, the  $O_2$  field is "dragged behind" the **moving source.** This is even clearer in Figure 3, where  $\Delta F_T$  is plotted at  $r = r_c$  for the full range of  $v/D$  values at low hematocrit (spacing  $\Delta z = 16.9 \ \mu \text{m}$ ). **For increasing velocity, the profile is shifted further toward negative values of** *z.* **Also, its size and shape change. The solid line is for high** hematocrit (spacing  $\Delta z = 6.7 \mu \text{m}$ ) at normal velocity and is added for **comparison; it encompasses three sources instead of one. The symbols indicate the resulting EP in kPa (rightmost axis) for a cylinder with** radius  $R = 10 \mu m$   $(QR^2/(4\mathcal{P}) = 0.633$  kPa), which is close to the average value for rat heart [4]. For a somewhat larger radius of  $R = 12.6$  **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P* **0** 2 **SOURCES**

35





FIG. 3. Dimensionless difference function  $\Delta F_T$  (left axis) along the capillary border ( $r = r_c = 2.4 \mu m$ ; lines) against axial distance z for different values of spacing  $\Delta z$  and velocity *v* as indicated in the figure;  $\Delta z$  is in micrometers and  $v/D$  in micrometers<sup>-1</sup>. The solid line encompasses three sources (at  $-6.7$ , 0, and 6.7  $\mu$ m). **Symbols represent resulting EP** for a cylinder of radius  $R = 10 \mu m$  (right axis).

 $\mu$ m, the value of  $\dot{Q}R^2/(4\mathcal{P})$  is 1.0 kPa, and the values of EP equal  $F_{EP}$ and can be read in kPa on the leftmost axis. A radius of 12.6  $\mu$ m is **quite possible, being (3 /2 ) log SD above the median value [4]. The EP is** calculated from (30) and (31) on location  $(r_E, z_E)$  instead of  $r = r_c$ .

The locations  $(r_E, z_E)$  where EP is found are obtained from (25)-(27). **The values calculated from these equations are listed in Table 2. Note** that  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  are the tail and head of the equivalent erythrocyte **contour (see Appendix C), and that the contour trails behind the source** more and more, as its "center"  $(1/2)(z_0 + z_1) < 0$ . The "thickness"  $r_E$ , **the most distance location from the source-line axis, decreases, which implies that the contour becomes more and more elongated. The EP calculated for all the cases covered here are shown in Figure 4 as dimensionless EP,** *FEP,* **calculated from (30), against source spacing Az where the corresponding Hct values are shown on the upper horizontal axis. The values of** *v /D* **are listed in the figure. For normal** blood velocity,  $v/D = 0.583 \mu m^{-1}$ , the results are not very different from those of the stroboscope model  $(v/D = 0)$ . The differences in**crease for increasing velocity, and what is remarkable is that this implies**

a decrease at low and an increase at high Hct. Recollect that  $F_{\text{EP}}$ equals EP in kPa for cylinder radius  $R = 12.6 \mu m$  and that  $EP =$ 0.633 $F_{\text{FP}}$  for  $R = 10 \mu \text{m}$ .

#### **LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.**

#### **TABLE 2**

**Equivalent Erythrocyte Boundary Values [see (25)—(27)] for an Erythrocyte** Located at  $(r, z) = (0, 0)$  with Volume  $V_F = 61$  ( $\mu$ m)<sup>3</sup> and for **Different Values of** *v / D*

v/D $(\mu m)^{-1}$	$S_{E}$	$r_E$	$Z_E$	$z_0$	$Z_1$	$(1/2)(z_0 + z_1)$
			$\mu$ <sub>In</sub>			
	2.44	2.44	$\theta$	$-2.44$	2.44	$\theta$
0.583	2.55	2.31	$-1.09$	$-3.91$	1.57	$-1.17$
1.167	2.73	2.16	$-1.68$	$-5.06$	1.22	$-1.92$
2.917	3.33	1.86	$-2.76$	$-7.63$	0.78	$-3.42$

The values of  $F_{EP}$  can be compared with the  $pO_2$  drop farther into **the tissue in a cylinder model as laid out in Figure 1. For the classical**



FIG. 4. Dimensionless extraction pressure  $(F_{EP})$  against source spacing  $\Delta z$  for different source velocities as indicated in the figure;  $v/D$  is in micrometers<sup>-1</sup>. On **the upper horizontal axis the corresponding Hct values are shown. Values on the left** axis equal EP in kilopascals for a cylinder radius of  $R = 12.6 \mu m$ .

## **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P 0 2* **SOURCES 37**

**Krogh model, a solution of this case for homogeneous blood [2], we have in (9):**

$$
\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{r}) \Rightarrow \frac{4\mathcal{P}p_{E}}{\dot{Q}} - R^{2}a_{C} + r^{2} - r_{c}^{2}
$$
 (32)

and  $F_T($  ) replaced by the  $F_C($  ) of (20). From this, we calculate an equivalent dimensionless value for the  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  drop from capillary to **cylinder border as**

$$
F_R = \left\{ R^{-2} \Psi_{\infty}(r_c) + F_C(r_c) \right\} - \left\{ R^{-2} \Psi_{\infty}(R) + F_C(R) \right\}
$$
  
=  $\ln \left( \frac{R^2}{r_c^2} \right) - 1 + \frac{r_c^2}{R^2}$  (33)

This dimensionless tissue  $pO_2$  drop  $F_R = 1.91$  for the typical cylinder radius  $R = 10 \mu m$ . For low Hct,  $F_{EP}$  is of comparable size or even larger. So, in those cases  $pO_2$  drop around the erythrocyte is more important than the  $pO_2$  drop further on into the tissue. For the larger  $R = 12.6 \mu$ m, (33) yields  $F_R = 2.35$ , so that the tissue  $pO_2$  drop is more important. However, the low-velocity low-Hct  $F_{EP}$  is still larger.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

**The moving-sources model presented here is an extension of the stroboscope model [1], First, the stroboscope model is extended to an infinite number of sources, leading to the alternative mathematical formulation of (5) and (**8**). Then, the effect of movement** *v /D* **is added, resulting in (18) or (19). The improvements are that effects of erythrocyte movement are incorporated, and also that the solution is largely independent of the tissue model since most of the calculations, up to** and including the dimensionless  $EP F_{EP}$ , can be done without using the **cylinder radius** *R***. The remaining prerequisite is that all sources are** equal, each distributing an equal amount of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  to supply a volume *V*. **So, results are likely to be of more general validity than for a tissue cylinder only. The disadvantages are that no effects of Mb are incorporated, and calculations are more complicated, involving complex modified Bessel functions. Note that the stroboscope model was capable of incorporating effects of Mb.**

**This model defaults to the stroboscope model in the limit for**  $\nu/D \rightarrow 0$ , or, more correctly, to the stroboscope model extended to an **infinite number of sources. This can be best judged from (12), where for**  $v = 0$   $F_{S,\infty}(r, z)$  already obeys the equation, without any  $F_c(r, z, t)$  needed. **The stroboscope model predicted results for EP virtually independent of Mb concentration [1]. So, from analogy with this former model,**

**The importance of EP as predicted by earlier models [1, 3] is confirmed also for moving erythrocytes, as seen best in Figure 4. The influence of moving sources on the mathematical model shows itself as** an enhancement of transport in the z-direction; the Laplacian  $\nabla^2$  of the diffusion equation is replaced by an effective term  $\nabla^2$  +  $(v/D)$  $\partial$  / $\partial z$ , as **can be deduced from (12). Compared with the stroboscope model, this means that profiles in the z-direction are smoothed. The symbols in** Figure 3 represent the erythrocyte value  $p_F$ , and the higher values **within the equivalent erythrocyte contour decrease, whereas the lower** values in between the contours increase. For large source distances  $\Delta z$ , **the increased portion is larger than the decreased portion, and the** average level is increased, leading to a lower value of EP. For small  $\Delta z$ **the opposite is true, and EP is increased. In Figure 4, a crossover point** can be seen located around 35% Hct, that is,  $4r_{sE}$  (9.77  $\mu$ m), where for **the sphere contour the gap spacing is equal to the contour length.** The  $pO_2$  smoothing effect shows itself in the elongated equivalent **erythrocyte contour. The z-transport is increased at the expense of** *r*-transport. The thickness  $r_F$  decreases from 2.44  $\mu$ m to 1.86  $\mu$ m, as seen from Table 2.  $O_2$  release starts from the location ( $r_E$ ,  $z_E$ ) where  $pO_2$  equals  $p_E$ . For decreasing  $r_E$ , this location is more remote from **the tissue, which has a marked effect on EP as can be seen from the difference between the symbols and their corresponding lines in Figure 3. Due to this increased delivery distance, EP would always be increased were it not that this is counteracted by the increased z-transport, most** effectively for large  $\Delta z$ . **On the other hand, the increase of EP with** *v/D* **at high Hct (source** spacing  $\Delta z < 10 \mu$ m) would possibly not be as pronounced as calculated **here. The present model is an assembled point-sources model, and** consequently the  $(r_E, z_E)$  are calculated for isolated sources. When the **sources are close together this is no longer valid; from Table** 2 **it can be seen that the most elongated contours even overlap for small spacings**  $\Delta z$ . In fact, it is no longer possible to find a contour where  $O_2$  pressure has a fixed value  $p_E$ . This means that  $r_E$  effectively is larger (less **elongated contour) and consequently EP is lower, so that the crossover** point shifts toward lower  $\Delta z$ . The finite dimensions of the erythrocyte

#### LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

**it is to be expected that these results will be valid for Mb-containing tissue as long as the myoglobin is not functional near the capillary. This might often be a valid assumption [3]. When Mb functions close to the** capillary, the oscillations in  $pO_2$  would generate oscillations in oxymyo**globin. In such oscillations, diffusion of Mb itself (with a much lower** diffusion coefficient than  $O_2$ ), as well as its  $O_2$  reaction rates  $k$  and  $k'$ , **plays a role. This makes a full theoretical treatment substantially more complicated.**

**also might contribute to an effectively lower EP here. However, EP is less important for high Hct; the most significant values for EP are not for small but for large spacing.**

**Akmal et al. [7] probably were the first to show the difference** between erythrocyte and plasma  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  in a moving-erythrocytes model **(Figure 3 of their publication). They numerically calculated a regular multicapillary model of skeletal muscle. They did not quantify the effect** of erythrocyte spacing or erythrocyte movement on tissue  $pO<sub>2</sub>$ . Groebe **and Thews [**8**] numerically solved a moving-erythrocytes model in and closely around the capillary for maximally working skeletal muscle and** for boundary conditions of either constant  $pO_2$  or constant  $O_2$  flux. **When considering these as limiting cases, they concluded that erythro**cyte movement increased tissue  $pO_2$ . Their explanation was that each

erythrocyte coming by causes an O<sub>2</sub> burst into the tissue, thus raising local  $pO_2$  impeding the flux out of the following plasma. Effectively, this would mean more  $O_2$  flux in the z-direction. So this argument **agrees with our reasoning. These "bursts" and "impediments" of radial 0** 2 **flux can be seen in our Figure 2 as the waves of negative and** positive radial slopes of the  $\Delta F_T$  profile.

**The results for rat heart show that EP can be very important.** Whereas Hct values in larger blood vessels are  $\sim 40\%$ , the values **detected in capillaries can be much lower [9]. At Hct = 20%, the** pericapillary  $pO_2$  drop—within a few micrometers—is larger than for the rest of the tissue—over 10  $\mu$ m—thus leading to steep pericapillary  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  gradients. The amount of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  delivered to the capillary is deter**mined by the product of Hct and flow, so the low-Hct capillary has** normal O<sub>2</sub> supply for a doubled flow. But the extraction pressures for the respective situations Hct =  $40\%$ ,  $v/D = 0.583 \mu m^{-1}$  and Hct = 20%,  $v/D = 1.167 \,\mu m^{-1}$  are quite different; see Figure 4. This leads to the conclusion that the  $O<sub>2</sub>$  delivery, defined as the product of blood oxyhemoglobin concentration and blood flow (mol/sec), cannot be the

**single primary determinant of tissue oxygenation. It is sometimes ar**gued that high blood velocity might hamper  $O<sub>2</sub>$  distribution into the tissue, since there would be no time for the  $O<sub>2</sub>$  to be released. It is **shown here, however, that the opposite is true, especially at low Hct.**

**Tsai and Intaglietta [10, 11] numerically solved a moving-erythrocytes** model in a Krogh cylinder with  $pO_2$ -dependent  $O_2$  consumption. They **did not make quite clear how they numerically handled eiythrocyte** velocity and did not analyze its effect on tissue  $pO_2$ , but they demon**strated a clear effect of velocity on oxygenation of the whole tissue** cylinder under conditions of equal O<sub>2</sub> supply to the capillary. Also their Figure 5 [10] nicely shows the elongation of the  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  profiles around the **sources.**

### **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P* **0** 2 **SOURCES 39**

**40**

### **LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.**

The dimensionless EP  $F_{EP}$  as defined in (30) is independent of actual O<sub>2</sub> delivery and therefore seems more adequate for incorporation into **tissue models. Revising the definition, the relationship between EP and** *FUP* **could be generalized as**

where *A* is the  $O_2$  supply area of the source, equal to  $\pi R^2$  in the tissue **cylinder model. Incorporation into tissue models is quite possible [3], the more so since individual calculations generally take less than a** second (on an IBM PS2, 16 MHz), and the resulting  $F_{EP}$  is valid for an **entire capillary, given the assumption of equally spaced erythrocytes. With the presentation of the stroboscope model [1], it was argued that the model would be approximately valid for two regimes, low and** high  $\Delta z$ . The low- $\Delta z$  regime was expected for low Peclet numbers  $v\Delta z/D$ , but this is unrealistic because  $\Delta z$  would have to be lower than even for packed erythrocytes (Hct =  $100\%$ ). The high- $\Delta z$  regime was expected for  $\Delta z^2 \gg 14.7(v/D)r_c^3$ . This suggests a better validity for low *v/D.* **It is true indeed that the results of both models diverge for** increasing *v/D* (see Figure 4) and that the models match for  $v/D = 0$ . For  $v/D = 0.583 \mu m^{-1}$  we calculate  $\Delta z^2 \gg (10.8 \mu m)^2$  for the inequality. The largest value of  $\Delta z$  here is 16.9  $\mu$ m, which is of compara**ble size and so would not be expected to fulfill the inequality. Indeed, from the figure no approach can be seen toward the stroboscope** calculation for increasing  $\Delta z$ . However, for normal velocity the devia**tions are not large, and the stroboscope model might serve as a sufficient first approximation. Its results can be calculated much more easily than those of the model discussed here.**

Since  $F_{S,N}(r, z)$  is periodic with spacing  $\Delta z$  and also is symmetric in z, the Fourier series for  $F_{S,\infty}(\cdot)$  can be expressed in terms of cosine **functions only:**

**In conclusion, the moving-sources model presented here seems valuable, predicting quite meaningful results for the rat heart. Even in that tissue, with its relatively small capillary distances, EP of several kilopas**cals can be present, adding a significant extra  $pO_2$  drop in the  $O_2$ **transport cascade.**

## **APPENDIX A: FOURIER EXPANSION OF SOURCE SERIES**

$$
EP = \frac{\dot{Q}A}{4\pi \mathcal{P}} F_{EP}
$$
 (34)

$$
F_{S,x}(r,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(r) \cos(n \omega z)
$$
 (A1)

### **MOVING POINTLIKE** *P* **0 2 SOURCES 41**

where  $\omega = 2\pi/\Delta z$  and the Fourier coefficients  $g_n(r)$  follow from integration over  $-(1/2)\Delta z \rightarrow +(1/2)\Delta z$ . These are calculated separately for  $n = 0$  and for  $n > 0$ ; for the latter terms, so for  $n \ge 1$ :

$$
g_n(r) = \frac{2}{\Delta z} \int_{-1/2\Delta z}^{1/2\Delta z} dz'' F_{S,\infty}(r,z'') \cos(n\omega z'')
$$
 (A2)

where  $z''$  is the integration variable. When substituting the limit  $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ of (7) for  $F_{S,x}(r, z)$  and  $\vec{r}_i = (0, z_i) = (0, i\Delta z)$  we derive

$$
g_n(r) = \int_{-1/2\Delta z}^{1/2\Delta z} dz'' \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=-N}^{N}
$$

$$
\times \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + \left( z'' - i\Delta z \right)^2}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{r_c^2 + \left( i\Delta z \right)^2}} \right] \cos(n\,\omega z'') \quad (A3)
$$

leftmost integral we define a new integration variable  $\zeta = z'' - i\Delta z$  so **that**

**The next step is to interchange the limit and summation with the integration, which is allowed because the sum terms decrease fast enough:**

$$
g_n(r) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \left[ \int_{-1/2\Delta z}^{1/2\Delta z} dz'' \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + (z'' - i\Delta z)^2}} \cos(n\omega z'') - \int_{-1/2\Delta z}^{1/2\Delta z} dz'' \frac{2}{\sqrt{r_c^2 + (i\Delta z)^2}} \cos(n\omega z'') \right] (A4)
$$

**Then for each term of the summation the rightmost integral yields zero because it is a constant integrated over a cosine, whereas for the**

$$
g_n(r) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \left[ \int_{(-i-(1/2)\Delta z)}^{(-i+(1/2)\Delta z)} d\zeta \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + \zeta^2}} \cos(n\,\omega\zeta) \right] (A5)
$$

which, through the substitution  $Z = (N + 1/2)\Delta z$ , is easily rewritten as

$$
g_n(r) = \lim_{Z \to \infty} \int_{-Z}^{Z} d\zeta \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + \zeta^2}} \cos(n\,\omega\zeta)
$$
 (A6)

## <sup>42</sup> LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

This integral is the real part of a complex integral  $g_n^*(r)$ :

$$
g_n^*(r) = \lim_{Z \to \infty} \int_{-Z}^{Z} d\zeta \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + \zeta^2}} \exp(in\omega\zeta)
$$
 (A7)

(where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ) which is part of a contour integral in the complex plane, CI<sub>1</sub>, of which the other terms are (see figure A1)

$$
CI_2: \zeta = Ze^{i\gamma} \qquad \gamma: \quad 0 \to 1/2\pi - \varepsilon
$$
  
\n
$$
CI_3: \zeta = \delta + i\pi u \qquad u: \quad (Z/r)\cos(\varepsilon) \to 1
$$
  
\n
$$
CI_4: \zeta = i\tau + \delta e^{-i\gamma} \qquad \gamma: \quad 0 \to \pi
$$
  
\n
$$
CI_5: \zeta = -\delta + i\pi u \qquad u: \quad 1 \to (Z/r)\cos(\varepsilon)
$$
  
\n
$$
CI_6: \zeta = Ze^{i\gamma} \qquad \gamma: \quad 1/2\pi + \varepsilon \to \pi
$$

where  $\delta = Z \sin(\varepsilon)$  and  $\varepsilon$  is defined such that  $\delta$  approaches zero when *Z* goes to infinity (e.g.,  $\varepsilon = r^2/Z^2$ ). It is easily verified that the second, fourth, and sixth contours yield zero in the limit for  $Z \rightarrow \infty$ . For the **second and sixth contour integrals the value close to the real axis is of** order  $Z^{-1}$ , and it decreases exponentially for increasing imaginary values in the complex plane. The fourth contour integral is an integra-



FIG. A1. Contour of integration  $(Cl_1 - Cl_6)$  used for calculating  $g_n^*(r)$  in the complex plane; real and imaginary axes denotes by  $\mathfrak{Re}$  and  $\mathfrak{Im}$ , respectively. Other symbols are defined in the text.

## MOVING POINTLIKE  $PO_2$  SOURCES 43

tion over path length  $\delta$  of a function of order  $\delta^{-1/2}$ . For the third **contour,**

$$
CI_3 = \lim_{\delta \to 0 \atop Z \to \infty} \int_{(Z/r)\cos(\varepsilon)}^{1} i r du \frac{2 \exp(in\omega[\delta + iru])}{\sqrt{r^2 + \delta^2 + 2i\delta ru - r^2u^2}}
$$
(A9)

**In the limits:**

$$
CI_3 = -2 \int_1^\infty du \frac{\exp(-n \omega ru)}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}} \tag{A10}
$$

which is equal to  $-2K_0(n \omega r)$  according to the integral representation

Now  $K_0(n \omega r)$  is a real function, with imaginary part zero, and realizing **that the full contour integral must be zero, it immediately follows that**

**definition of this modified Bessel function [12]. The same procedure can be followed for the fifth contour, yielding the same result, so that for the sum of contours,**

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} (\mathbf{CI}_1 + \mathbf{CI}_2 + \mathbf{CI}_3 + \mathbf{CI}_4 + \mathbf{CI}_5 + \mathbf{CI}_6) = g_n^*(r) + 4\mathbf{K}_0(n \omega r) \quad \text{(A11)}
$$

The lefthand terms can be treated as above, substituting  $\zeta = z'' - i\Delta z$ and  $Z = (N + 1/2)\Delta z$ , transforming into a limit for  $Z \rightarrow \infty$ . The right**hand terms are not worked out here, but each individual term is a**

$$
g_n(r) = g_n^*(r) = 4\mathcal{K}_0(n\,\omega r) \tag{A12}
$$

For calculation of the zero order function term  $g_0(r)$  we have

$$
g_0(r) = \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_{-(1/2)\Delta z}^{(1/2)\Delta z} dz'' F_{S,\infty}(r,z'')
$$
 (A13)

**where again** *z"* **is the integration variable. Following the same procedure as for (A2) we derive**

$$
g_0(r) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \int_{-(1/2)\Delta z}^{(1/2)\Delta z} dz'' \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + (z'' - i\Delta z)^2}} - \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \int_{-(1/2)\Delta z}^{(1/2)\Delta z} dz'' \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_c^2 + (i\Delta z)^2}} \right] (A14)
$$

#### LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

**constant, so the summation can be expressed as a constant** *Gz* **and we derive**

**The integral term is symmetric, so it is equal to twice the integral over**  $0 \rightarrow Z$ , which is easily solved:

$$
g_0(r) = \lim_{Z \to \infty} \left[ \int_{-Z}^{Z} d\zeta \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + \zeta^2}} - G_Z \right]
$$
 (A15)

$$
g_0(r) = \lim_{Z \to \infty} \left[ 2 \left[ \ln \left( \zeta + \sqrt{r^2 + \zeta^2} \right) \right]_0^Z - G_Z \right] \tag{A16}
$$

**yielding**

$$
g_0(r) = \lim_{Z \to \infty} \left[ 2\ln(Z + \sqrt{r^2 + Z^2}) - 2\ln(r) - G_Z \right] \tag{A17}
$$

**Since the limit value must be finite, this can be expressed as**

$$
g_0(r) = g_{01} - \ln(r^2)
$$
 (A18)

where  $g_{01}$  is the limit constant yet to be found. Substituting these  $g_n(\cdot)$ into equation (A1),  $F_{S, \infty}(r, z)$  can be written as

The differential equation (13) is for any value of  $z'$ , so the individual **terms in this series can be isolated:**

$$
F_{S,\infty}(r,z) = g_{01} - \ln(r^2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4K_0(n\omega r)\cos(n\omega z) \qquad (A19)
$$

and finally the constant  $g_{01}$  can be found from the boundary condition  $F_{S,\infty}(r_c,0) = 0$ , which leads to (8).

## **APPENDIX B: SOLUTION FOR THE CORRECTION FUNCTION TERM**

$$
(\nabla_r^2 - n^2 \omega^2) f_{cn}(r) + \frac{n \omega \nu}{D} f_{sn}(r) = 0 \qquad n \ge 0 \qquad (A20)
$$

$$
-\frac{4n\omega v}{D}K_0(n\omega r) + (\nabla_r^2 - n^2\omega^2)f_{sn}(r) - \frac{n\omega v}{D}f_{cn}(r) = 0 \qquad n \ge 0
$$
\n(A21)

## MOVING POINTLIKE  $PO_2$  SOURCES 45

for  $n = 0$ , the  $f_{0}($  is redundant, and the  $f_{c0}($  ) can easily be solved as

$$
f_{c0}(r) = a_0 + a_1 \ln\left(\frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)
$$
 (A22)

with integration constants  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , the solutions for  $f_{cn}$  () and  $f_{\rm sn}$   $\left($   $\right)$  can be straightforwardly obtained as combinations of the modified Bessel functions  $I_0()$  and  $K_0()$ :

**Concerning the boundary conditions, for (11) it can be stated that the** solution  $F_e(r, z, t)$  has to remain finite for both small and large *r*. For **large** *r* **(i.e., "from far away"), the individual sources no longer can be discerned. Consequently, neither can their movement, and the resulting** local  $O_2$  flux field is independent of the particular model used,  $F_{S,\infty}$  ) or  $F<sub>r</sub>$  ( ). This is mathematically expressed as

Applied to (A22)–(A24), this implies that  $a_1 = b_{0n} = b_{1n} = 0$ . For  $r \rightarrow 0$ ,  $F_e(r, z, t)$  must remain finite at least in between the sources. Since all  $K_0(x)$  approach  $-\ln(r) + Constant$  [12], the sum of **the respective coefficients in (A23) and (A24) has to be zero:**

$$
f_{cn}(r) = b_{0n}I_0(\lambda_n r) + b_{1n}I_0(\bar{\lambda}_n r) + c_{0n}K_0(\lambda_n r)
$$

$$
+ c_{1n} \mathbf{K}_0(\lambda_n r) - 4 \mathbf{K}_0(n \omega r) \tag{A23}
$$

$$
f_{sn}(r) = -i b_{0n} I_0(\lambda_n r) + i b_{1n} I_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r)
$$

$$
-i c_{0n} K_0(\lambda_n r) + i c_{1n} K_0(\overline{\lambda}_n r)
$$
(A24)

where the coefficients are complex values (including  $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$ ) and  $\lambda_n$  is the complex conjugate of  $\lambda_n$ , which follows from

$$
\left(\lambda_n^2 - n^2 \omega^2\right) - \frac{\mathbf{i} n \omega \nu}{D} = 0 \tag{A25}
$$

The solution for  $\lambda_n$  is presented in (17).

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \vec{\nabla} F_{\varepsilon}(r, z, t) = \vec{0}
$$
 (A26)

$$
c_{0n} + c_{1n} - 4 = 0; -ic_{0n} + ic_{1n} = 0 \qquad (A27)
$$

## yielding

$$
c_{0n} = c_{1n} = 2 \tag{A28}
$$

**Inserting these values for the coefficients in (A22)~(A24) leads to (14)—(16).**

## **APPENDIX C: EQUIVALENT ERYTHROCYTE DIMENSIONS**

**The point sources are to represent erythrocytes, but these have finite dimensions, whereas a point source does not. Oxygen is assumed to be** released from the erythrocyte at a certain pressure  $p_F$ , and the equiva**lent boundary condition for the point source is that it represents this pressure** value at a certain location  $(r, z') = (r_E, z_E)$  yet to be determined. With the stroboscope method [1], a single point source at  $\vec{r_i} = 0$ adds a term  $V/|\vec{r}|$  to the expression for p that is spherically symmetric. **Exactly the same term arises for a spherical source, that is, a homogeneous distribution of sources over a sphere. Thus, the equivalent location for the respective boundary condition was taken to be the radius of a sphere with the same volume as the erythrocyte:**

where  $V_F$  is the erythrocyte volume and  $r_{sE}$  is the radius of the sphere. Notably, the boundary condition was taken at  $(r_{sE},0)$ , which was close to **the capillary border.**

as can be verified by substitution in the equations using  $p =$  $\frac{Q}{4\mathcal{P}}$   $(4\mathcal{P})\{ \Psi_{\infty} + R^2F_1 \}$  similar to (9) and following;  $F_{00}$  and  $F_{10}$  are **arbitrary constants. This solution is pointlike (infinite value when ap**proaching the source location  $r \rightarrow 0$ ,  $z' \rightarrow 0$ ) and in the limit for  $\nu/D \rightarrow 0$  changes into the nonmoving point-source equation. More importantly, in the cases covered here it seems to resemble  $F_T($  ) quite well for small values of *r* and *z'* around a single source. Therefore, we **assume that the moving-source boundary conditions can be found from this function, in the same way as for the nonmoving sources [1]. This**

**A single moving source has to obey (1) and (2), where the directions z and** *r* **are not equivalent, and consequently its contribution to the solution for** *p* **is no longer spherically symmetric. A possible solution to the describing equations for a moving source is**

$$
F_1(r, z') = F_{00} + \frac{F_{10}}{\sqrt{r^2 + z'^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{v}{2D} \left(z' + \sqrt{r^2 + z'^2}\right)\right\}
$$
 (A30)

$$
r_{sE} = \left(\frac{3V_E}{4\pi}\right)^{-1/3} \tag{A29}
$$

### MOVING POINTLIKE PO, SOURCES 47

means that we try to find a volume measuring  $V_F$  with a shape, not be **confused with the erythrocyte shape, that is defined such that over its** whole boundary the function has a fixed value  $F_{11}$ , that is,

where  $(\rho, z')$  is a point on the surface and  $\rho$  depends on  $z'$  as stated in **the above equation. The latter can be rewritten as**

$$
F_{11} = F_{00} + \frac{F_{10}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z'^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{v}{2D} \left(z' + \sqrt{\rho^2 + z'^2}\right)\right\} \quad (A31)
$$



Fig. A2. Outlines of the equivalent source shapes calculated from (A32) for the values of  $v/D$  as indicated; the shape is circularly symmetric around the  $z'$ -axis, and the figure shows the cross-section along this axis. The symbols indicate the locations  $(r_E, z_E)$ .

$$
\ln\left(\frac{F_{10}}{F_{11}-F_{00}}\right) = 1/2\ln\left(\rho^2 + z'^2\right) + \frac{v}{2D}\left(z' + \sqrt{\rho^2 + z'^2}\right) \quad \text{(A32)}
$$

Figure A2 shows the functional dependence of  $\rho$  and  $z'$  for the **situations covered here, a contour that is a cross-section to the surface** of the shape. For  $\rho = 0$ , there are two values of z', a minimum ( $z_0 < 0$ )



**48**

### LOUIS HOOFD ET AL.

and a maximum  $(z_1 > 0)$  distance on the z'-axis ('tail' and 'head' of the **contour):**

**and consequently the volume of the shape can be calculated as**

**integration in two parts, and in the left part replace the integration over** *dz'* **by** *ds* **as solved from (A35):** Now in (A34) we substitute  $s^2 - z'^2$  for  $\rho^2$ , accordingly split the

**With the stroboscope model, the boundary condition used was for** the location that extended most towards the tissue  $-(r_{sE}, 0)$ . Here, the

maximum extension from the z' axis is found for  $d\rho/dz' = 0$ , and denoting this location by ( $\rho$ ,  $z'$ ) = ( $r_E$ ,  $z_E$ ), we derive from (A32)

**ing to (A32),**

**Also using (A29) and (A33), this yields (25).**

$$
\ln\left(\frac{F_{10}}{F_{11}-F_{00}}\right) = \ln(-z_0) = \ln(z_1) + (\nu/D)z_1 \quad (A33)
$$

$$
V_E = \int_{z_0}^{z_1} dz' \pi \rho^2
$$
 (A34)

To solve this equation, we use an integration variable  $s = \sqrt{(p^2 + z'^2)}$ , which ranges from  $s = -z_0$  (for  $z' = z_0$ ) to  $s = z_1$  (for  $z' = z_1$ ). Accord-

$$
0 = \frac{ds}{s} + \frac{v}{2D} \left( dz' + ds \right) \tag{A35}
$$

$$
V_E = -\int_{-z_0}^{z_1} \left(1 + \frac{2D}{\nu s}\right) ds \pi s^2 - \int_{z_0}^{z_1} dz' \pi z'^2 \tag{A36}
$$

**This can be solved straightforwardly:**

$$
V_E = \pi \left( \frac{D}{U} \left( z_0^2 - z_1^2 \right) - \frac{2}{3} z_1^3 \right) \tag{A37}
$$

$$
0 = \frac{z_E}{r_E^2 + z_E^2} + \frac{v}{2D} \left( 1 + \frac{z_E}{\sqrt{r_E^2 + z_E^2}} \right) \tag{A38}
$$

Note that  $(r_E, z_E)$  also has to obey (A32) itself. This set of equations is more easily solved for  $s_F = \sqrt{r_F^2 + z_F^2}$ , leading to

$$
\ln\left(\frac{F_{10}}{F_{11}-F_{00}}\right) = \ln(s_E) + \frac{(\nu/D)s_E}{2+(\nu/D)s_E}
$$
 (A39)

### MOVING POINTLIKE  $PO_2$  SOURCES 49

**This equation, combined with (A33), leads to (26). Equation (27) is easily verified for**  $z_E$  from (A38) and for  $r_E$  from  $r_E = \sqrt{s_E^2 - z_E^2}$ . In Figure A2, also, the locations  $(r_E, z_E)$  are indicated;  $s_E$  is the distance of **this location from the origin.**

#### REFERENCES

- 1 C. Bos, L. Hoofd, and T. Oostendorp, Mathematical model of erythrocytes as point-like sources, *Math*. *Biosci* 125:165-189 (1995).
- 2 A. Krogh, The number and distribution of capillaries in muscles with calculations of the oxygen pressure head necessary for supplying the tissue, *J. Physiol.* 52:409-415 (1919).
- 3 L. Hoofd, Updating the Krogh model: Assumptions and extensions, in *Oxygen Transport in Biological Systems*, S. Egginton and H. F. Ross, eds,, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992, pp. 197-229.

- 4 Z. Turek, L. Hoofd, and K. Rakusan, Myocardial capillaries and tissue oxygenation, *Can. J. Cardiol* 2:98-103 (1986).
- 5 L. Hoofd, J. Olders, and Z. Turek, Oxygen pressures calculated in a tissue volume with parallel capillaries, in *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XII*, J. Piiper, T. K. Goldstick, and M. Meyer, eds., Plenum Press, New York, 1990, pp. 21-29.
- 6 P. L. Altman, J. F. Gibson, and C. C. Wang, in *Handbook of Respiration*, D. S. Dittmer and R. M. Grebe, eds., W, B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1958, p. 102.
- 7 K. Akmal, D. F. Bruley, N. Banchero, R. Artigue, and W. Maloney, Multicapillary model for oxygen transport to skeletal muscle, in *Oxygen Transport to Tissue III*, A. Silver, M. Erecinska, and H. I. Bicher, eds., Plenum Press, New York, 1978, pp. 139-147.
- 8 K. Groebe and G. Thews, Effects of red cell spacing and red cell movement upon oxygen release under conditions of maximally working skeletal muscle, in *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XI,* K. Rakusan, G. P. Biro, T. K. Goldstick, and Z. Turek, eds., Plenum Press, New York, 1989, pp. 175-185.
- 9 K. Rakusan and J. Rajhathy, Distribution of cardiac output and organ blood content in anemic and polycythemic rats, *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 50:703-710 (1972).
- 10 A. G. Tsai and M. Intaglietta, Local tissue oxygenation during constant red blood cell flux: A discrete source analysis of velocity and hematocrit changes,

*Microvas. Res*. 37:308-322 (1989).

- 11 A. G. Tsai and M. Intaglietta, Evidence of flowmotion induced changes in local tissue oxygenation, *Int. J. Microcirc: Clin. Exp*. 12:75-88 (1993).
- 12 M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York, 1965.