#### PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/21244

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

# Asthma and chronic bronchitis

Can family physicians predict rates of progression?

C.P. VAN SCHAYCK, PHD E. DOMPELING, PHD, MD R. PUTTERS, MD J. MOLEMA, PHD, MD C. VAN WEEL, PHD, MD

**OBJECTIVE** To investigate whether the progression rate of asthma or chronic bronchitis can be predicted from a cross-sectional assessment of features that can be measured by family physicians.

**DESIGN** Secondary analysis of data from a 2-year randomized, controlled bronchodilator intervention study in family practice.

**SETTING** Twenty-nine general practices in the eastern part of The Netherlands.

**PATIENTS** One hundred sixty patients (101 with chronic bronchitis, 59 with asthma) from the 29 general practices.

**INTERVENTIONS** Predictors were related to the annual decline in lung function (the forced expiratory) volume in one second) by means of multiple analysis of variance, controlling for age, sex, smoking habits, initial FEV<sub>1</sub> level, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, reversibility of obstruction, and medication during the study.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES Predictors of the annual decline in lung function (FEV<sub>1</sub>), which is believed to be the most important measure for progression.

**RESULTS** Only three variables predicted the decline in lung function: having a barrel-shaped chest, experiencing wheezing, and an unusual diurnal peak-flow rate index. Wheezing was the best predictor of the annual decline in lung function. In chronic bronchitis, the decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> of wheezing patients was 133 mL/y compared with 62 mL/y for non-wheezing patients (P < 0.05). In asthma with more severe symptoms, wheezing patients had a tendency to decline 156 mL/y compared with 57 mL/y among non-wheezing patients (P = 0.08).

**CONCLUSIONS** It is nearly impossible to predict the progression rate of asthma or chronic bronchitis from symptoms, physical signs of the chest, and the PEFR. Therefore, patients with a rapid progression rate can probably be detected only by monitoring progression of the disease through repeated lung function testing.

Dr van Schayck is a Research Coordinator, variance, de correction en fonction de l'âge, du sexe, des habitudes tabagiques, du VEMS initial, de l'hyperréactivité bronchique, de la réversibilité de l'obstruction et de la médication utilisée Dr Dompeling pendant l'étude. is a Research Fellow, PRINCIPALES MESURES DES RÉSULTATS Les facteurs de prédiction du taux annuel de détérioration de la **Dr Putters** is a Research fonction pulmonaire (VEMS) que l'on considère être la mesure la plus importante pour évaluer la Fellow, and Dr van Weel progression de la maladie. is Department Head in the **RÉSULTATS** Seulement trois variables ont pu prédire la détérioration de la fonction pulmonaire: Department of General thorax en tonneau, présence de sibilances (wheezing) et indice inhabituel du débit de pointe Practice, and **Dr Molema** diurne. Parmi ces variables, le wheezing s'est avéré le meilleur facteur pour prédire le taux annuel de détérioration de la fonction pulmonaire. Dans la bronchite chronique, la détérioration is a Chest Physician in the du VEMS des patients présentant des sibilances fut de 133 mL/année comparativement à Department of Pulmonary  $62 \text{ mL/année pour les patients dépourvus de sibilances ($p < 0,05)$. Chez les asthmatiques$ Diseases, at the University of présentant des symptômes plus sévères, la détérioration des patients sibilants fut de Nijmegen in The Netherlands.  $156 \,\mathrm{mL}/\mathrm{ann}$ ée comparativement à 57 mL/année chez les non-sibilants (p = 0,08).

**OBJECTIF** Analyser si l'évaluation transversale des caractéristiques mesurables par les médecins de famille peuvent prédire le rythme de progression de l'asthme ou de la bronchite chronique.

**CONCEPTION** Analyse secondaire des données tirées d'une étude randomisée et contrôlée effectuée aux Pays-Bas pendant deux ans et utilisant la bronchodilatation comme intervention.

**CONTEXTE** Vingt-neuf pratiques générales situées dans la partie orientale des Pays-Bas.

**PARTICIPANTS** Cent soixante patients (101 bronchitiques chroniques, 59 asthmatiques) suivis par 29 praticiens généraux.

**INTERVENTIONS** Les facteurs de prédiction furent associés au taux annuel de détérioration de la fonction pulmonaire (le volume expiratoire maximum/seconde) au moyen d'analyses multiples de

#### Canadian Family Physician VOL41: November 1995 1868

**CONCLUSIONS** Il est presque impossible de prédire le rythme de progression de l'asthme ou de la bronchite chronique à partir des symptômes, des signes physiques pulmonaires et de la mesure du débit expiratoire de pointe. Le seul moyen probablement capable de détecter une détérioration rapide de la maladie consiste à en surveiller la progression par des tests répétés de la fonction respiratoire.

Can Fam Physician 1995;41:1868-1876.



STHMA AND CHRONIC BRONCHITIS are chronic and progressive diseases of the airways.<sup>1-3</sup> The annual decline in lung function is much higher among patients<sup>1-3</sup> than in random samples of the population.<sup>4</sup> At an advanced stage of the disease, daily life becomes severely limited as a consequence of poor lung function.<sup>5</sup> Patients with a rapid decline in lung function are particularly at risk of early disability or death from chronic airway obstruction.<sup>6</sup> Morbidity and mortality due to asthma and chronic bronchitis seem to be rising in several countries.<sup>7,8</sup> Because most patients with asthma and chronic bronchitis are treated in family practice,<sup>9</sup> it is possible that underdiagnosis and undertreatment contributes to this worldwide trend. Effective treatment of asthma and chronic bronchitis in the form of inhaled corticosteroids is now available.<sup>10-12</sup> Therefore, early detection (and early treatment) of patients at risk (with a fast progression) rate) is important.<sup>13</sup> It is unclear how this can be carried out easily and effectively by family physicians. Family physicians are limited in their ability to diagnose the severity of asthma and chronic bronchitis objectively. In general they are able to assess bronchial symptoms, physical signs of the chest, and the peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR). This study aimed to assess whether the progression rate of asthma or chronic bronchitis can be predicted from a cross-sectional assessment of bronchial symptoms, physical signs of the chest, PEFR, and diurnal

101 patients with chronic bronchitis. These patients had participated in a 2-year bronchodilator trial.<sup>14</sup> Data from this study were used for this secondary analysis.

## METHODS

RESEARCH

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

## Patients

One hundred sixty patients (101 with chronic bronchitis, 59 with asthma) participated in a 2-year randomized, controlled bronchodilator intervention study in family practice.<sup>14</sup> At the start of the study, 29 family physicians selected all their patients 30 years and older with symptoms of asthma or chronic bronchitis. Only patients who showed moderate airflow obstruction (FEV, or FEV, divided by the expiratory vital capacity had to be 2 SD below their predicted value, but more than 50% of predicted value)<sup>15</sup> or bronchial hyperresponsiveness to histamine (provocative concentration of histamine producing a 20% fall in  $FEV_1$ ) were included (PC<sub>20</sub>  $\leq 8$  mg/mL). Exclusion criteria were dependence on corticosteroids, chronic heart failure, malignant disorders, or other severe life-threatening diseases. The criteria for diagnosis of asthma or chronic bronchitis were based on the standards of the American Thoracic Society.<sup>5,14</sup> All patients gave informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Nijmegen. During the 2-year study period, patients received only monotherapy with inhaled bronchodilators (salbutamol or ipratropium bromide). No cor-

ticosteroids were permitted, except (DI-PEFR) or week-to-week (CV-PEFR) variation in the PEFR. These indices during exacerbations. All exacerbations were treated in a standard way by were related to the rate of progression (annual decline in lung function) family physicians: a broad-spectrum for 59 patients with asthma and antibiotic and a 10-day tapering course

Canadian Family Physician VOL 41: November 1995 1869

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

with 5-mg tablets of oral prednisolone (5-5-4-4-3-3-2-2-1-1).

### Measurements

**Respiratory symptoms.** Respiratory symptoms were assessed at the start of the study with the Medical Research Council's questionnaire and added for a score of 0 to 8.<sup>16</sup>

**Physical examination.** Physical examination of the chest by standardized procedure took place during an exacerbation-free period. All medication was discontinued for at least 8 hours before examination. The

examination consisted of inspection and auscultation during unforced deep breathing with open mouth<sup>17</sup> and was performed while patients were upright. Presence or absence of the following items was noted:

- barrel-shaped chest,
- decreased expiratory breath sounds,
- prolonged expiratory phase,
- wheezing,
- inspiratory sounds audible without using a stethoscope,
- fine crackles, or
- coarse crackles.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients with chronic bronchitis and asthma

VARIABLE	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS OR ASTHMA (N = 160) %	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS (N = 101) %	PATIENTS WITH ASTHMA (N = 59) %
Sex (male)	55	61	47
Allergy	28	19*	44
Smoking	55	6 <b>2</b> †	42
*P < 0.005. †P < 0.05.			

Patients measured their PEFR weekly (on the same day and at the same time of the day) during 6 weeks, using an Assess Peak Flow Meter (Health Products Inc, USA).<sup>18</sup> The highest values of a triple measurement in the morning and in the evening were used for three parameters: the mean of the morning PEFR during 6 weeks; the DI-PEFR (the difference between the morning and evening PEFR, expressed as a percentage of the maximum value of that day); and the CV-PEFR (the standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean morning PEFR of 6 weeks). The  $FEV_1$  was measured at

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of patients with chronic bronchitis and asthma

VARIABLE	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS OR ASTHMA N (SD)	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS N (SD)	PATIENTS WITH ASTHMA N (SD)
Age (y)	51 (12)	52 (12)	49 (12)
Number of packs smoked daily per year	16 (16)	18 (16)*	12 (15)
Symptoms score	4 (1)	4 (1)	4 (1)
FEV <sub>1</sub> (%)	76 (19)	78 (17)*	72 (21)
CV-PEFR	7.4 (4.8)	6.5 (4.0) <sup>†</sup>	9.2 (5.0)

6-month intervals and after 1 and 13 months of study by means of the Microspiro HI-298 (seven assessments).<sup>19</sup> The best of three FEV<sub>1</sub> measurements, with the highest sum of the forced vital capacity (FVC) and the FEV<sub>1</sub>, was used for data analysis.

## Analysis

For each patient, the annual decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> was estimated by linear regression of seven assessments of FEV<sub>1</sub> over time.<sup>14</sup> The independent variables (bronchial symptoms, mean morning PEFR, DI-PEFR, CV-PEFR, and physical signs of the chest) were related to the annual decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> by means of multiple analysis of vari-



1870 Canadian Family Physician VOL 41: November 1995

year, bronchodilator therapy during the study,  $FEV_1$  as a percentage of the predicted value,  $PC_{20}$ , and reversibility of airflow obstruction) was investigated and, if necessary, controlled for. The separate and combined effects of bronchial symptoms and the variation in PEFR on the annual decline in lung function were further quantified by dichotomizing the symptom score and the peak flow variability on the basis of rounded mean values ( $\leq \text{mean} \div > \text{mean}$ ).

RESULTS

no correlation coefficient could be calculated. Correlation coefficients were low, both in asthma (r < 0.15) and in chronic bronchitis (r < 0.21). Only wheezing sounds in chronic bronchitis correlated significantly with lung function decline (r = 0.21, P < 0.05).

Table 4 shows estimates of the annual decline in lung function in the multivariate linear regression model. Only three significant relationships were found. In chronic bronchitis, a barrel-shaped chest and a high DI-PEFR were related to greater decline in lung function (estimate -166 and -8 mL/y, respectively). In the group as a whole, wheezing was accompanied by greater decline in lung function (estimate -70 mL/y). The percentage of the variance explained by the model was 43% in asthma, 27% in chronic bronchitis, and 22% in the group as a whole. Figure 1 shows the separate and combined effects of bronchial symptoms with the week-to-week or diurnal variation of the PEFR on the annual rate of decline. All figures in Figure 1 were adjusted for confounding variables. Wheezing sounds were present

## RESEARCH

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

Patient characteristics are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Patients with chronic bronchitis more often were smokers, had smoked more in the past, were less often allergic, and had a lower DI-PEFR and CV-PEFR than patients with asthma.

Correlation coefficients between symptoms, peak flow variability, and physical signs on the one hand and the annual rate of decline in  $FEV_1$  on the other are shown in Table 3. Crackles were not present in asthma; therefore,

Table 3. Correlation coefficients between symptoms, physical signs of the chest, (variability in) PEFR, and annual decline in lung function in asthma and chronic bronchitis (univariate analysis):

## Pearson's correlation coefficient is used for continuous variables, Spearman's correlation coefficient for other variables.

VARIABLE	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS OR ASTHMA	PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC BRONCHITIS	PATIENTS WITH ASTHMA
Symptom score	0.027	-0.012	0.123
PEFR	-0.085	-0.137	0.029
DI-PEFR	0.034	-0.054	0.131
CV-PEFR	0.134	0.179	0.042
Barrel-shaped chest (+/–)	0.073	0.182	-0.123
Decreased expiratory sounds (+/-)	0.058	0.084	0.047
Prolonged expiration (+/)	0.037	0.013	0.114
Wheezing sounds (+/-)	0.151	0.214*	0.084
Noisy inspiration $(+/-)$	-0.017	-0.011	-0.001



Canadian Family Physician VOL 41: November 1995 1871

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

in 18 patients with asthma and 22 patients with chronic bronchitis. In chronic bronchitis patients with wheezing, the annual decline in  $FEV_1$  was -133 mL/y versus -62 mL/y in nonwheezing patients (P < 0.05). This difference was caused by patients who had more severe symptoms (-142 mL/y versus -58 mL/y). In asthma, wheezing also tended to predict decline in lung function, but only among patients with more severe symptoms (-156 versus -57 mL/y, P = 0.08).

We also investigated whether the

## DISCUSSION

Family physicians managing asthma and chronic bronchitis are often confronted with patients whose disease has already worsened too much. Evidence suggests that asthma and chronic bronchitis are diagnosed too late.<sup>13,20,21</sup> Morbidity and mortality due to asthma and chronic bronchitis are still rising.<sup>7,8</sup> Therefore, early detection and early treatment of patients at risk (with rapidly progressing disease) is important.<sup>13</sup> Our study showed that it is nearly impossible to predict the progression rate of asthma or chronic bronchitis from a cross-sectional assessment of symptoms, separate physical signs of the chest, the morning PEFR, and the DI-PEFR or CV-PEFR. In the multivariate model, only 22% to 43% of the variance in lung function decline could be explained by all variables investigated. This means that our ability to

mean morning peak flow rate as percentage of predicted values was related to lung function decline as a separate factor or in combination with symptoms. Patients who had a peak flow of more than 70% had no greater decline in lung function than patients with a peak flow below 70%. The same holds for 50% or 60%. There was no difference between chronic bronchitis and asthma in this respect.

Table 4. Multivariate linear regression of the relationship between symptoms, physical signs of the chest, (variability in) PEFR, and annual decline in lung function: All figures were corrected for possibly confounding variables.

#### PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC

**PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC** 

**PATIENTS WITH** 

VARIABLE	BRONCHITIS OR ASTHMA ESTIMATED mL/y (SE)	BRONCHITIS ESTIMATED mL/y (SE)	ASTHMA ESTIMATED mL/y (SE)
Symptom score	8 (9)	2 (9)	7 (12)
PEFR	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
DI-PEFR	-2 (0)	-8 (4)*	3 (4)
CV-PEFR	0 (3)	3 (5)	l (5)
Barrel-shaped chest (+/–)	-108 (79)	-166 (81)*	346 (184)
Decreased expiratory sounds	-28 (35)	-46 (43)	22 (65)
Prolonged expiration (+/-)	4 (40)	32 (46)	-27 (68)
Wheezing sounds (+/–)	-70 (33)*	-78 (40)	-102 (60)
Noisy inspiration $(+/-)$	33 (48)	68 (57)	29 (94)
Fine crackles $(+/-)$	-57 (45)	-58 (4.3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Coarse crackles (+/-)110 (116) 23(107)Percentage explained variance 2243\*P < 0.05.1872 Canadian Family Physician VOL41: November 1995

predict lung function decline is very limited and that patients with a fast progression cannot be detected from an assessment of symptoms, physical signs of the chest, and PEFR. Therefore, probably the only way to detect these patients is to monitor the course of disease by measuring lung function.

Introducing spirometry in family practice could be an important step forward in the long-term management of asthma and chronic bronchitis.<sup>22</sup> Reliable and portable spirometers are available, although still rather expensive.<sup>19</sup>

Early detection is useful only when

obstruction.<sup>17</sup> In this study, the presence of wheezing was mainly related to an increased annual decline in lung function among patients with chronic bronchitis.

The presence of a barrel-shaped chest is supposed to be typical for hyperinflation and could indicate some degree of emphysematous changes.<sup>5</sup> Burrows and co-workers<sup>32</sup> demonstrated that patients with a diagnosis of emphysema had a substantial annual decline in lung function exceeding that of patients with other types of chronic obstructive airways disease. Emphysema was not excluded in our study, and it is therefore possible that some bronchitis subjects in this study already had some emphysematous changes. This probably explains the correlation between a barrel-shaped chest and a rapid decline in lung function. These findings together suggest that physical signs of the chest, at least the signs of barrel-shaped chest and wheezing, to some extent reflect the presence of airflow obstruction<sup>17,31</sup> and also have some predictive value for the course of airflow obstruction. It was interesting that a prolonged expiratory phase, which is widely accepted as the most direct sign of airflow obstrucRESEARCH

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

early intervention is available and effective. Evidence shows the efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids for asthma during several months<sup>23-25</sup> and during 1 or more years.<sup>11,12,26-28</sup> Several guidelines on the treatment of asthma have advised introducing inhaled corticosteroids at an early stage of the disease and avoiding regular use of bronchodilators.<sup>10,29,30</sup> There is also some evidence for the efficacy of inhaled steroids among patients with chronic bronchitis.<sup>11,12</sup> After our study, we performed a 2-year trial with inhaled beclomethasone (800  $\mu g$  daily) for the 56 patients with a rapid progression rate.<sup>12</sup> We observed that rapid progres-

sion among these patients was decelerated by the use of the inhaled steroid for both asthma and chronic bronchitis. Therefore, early intervention with inhaled steroids appeared to be effective for these patients at risk.

The only parameters that could to some extent predict a rapid decline in lung function were a barrel-shaped chest, the presence of wheezing, and the DI-PEFR. The correlation between wheezing and the decline in lung function is interesting. Although wheezing is assumed to be a typical feature of asthma, it is also a sign of chronic bronchitis.<sup>5</sup> Cross-sectional assessments have shown that wheezing tion,<sup>31</sup> was in no way related to a pronounced decline in lung function.

The DI-PEFR showed a significant correlation with the annual rate of decline among patients with chronic bronchitis. Although the variability of the peak flow (both diurnal and weekto-week) was much higher in asthma than in chronic bronchitis, this variability did not predict lung function decline better in asthma. The variability in peak flow (and airway obstruction) is related to the airway responsiveness to bronchoconstrictive and bronchodilating stimuli, as they all probably express instability of the airways.<sup>33</sup> This particularly is the case in



Canadian Family Physician VOL41: November 1995 1873

Figure 1. Separate and combined effects of the bronchial symptom score with CV-PEFR, DI-PEFR, and wheezing on annual rate of decline measured in FEV<sub>1</sub> (mL/y): Differences were tested with Student's t test. Figures were adjusted for possibly confounding variables.



**1874** Canadian Family Physician VOL 41: November 1995

to histamine, predicts a rapid annual decline in lung function.<sup>3</sup>

The mean morning PEFR was not a good predictor for the annual rate of decline in lung function in this study, though it is an effective and essential tool for managing chronic persistent asthma<sup>29</sup> and acute severe asthma,<sup>34</sup> and for self-management plans of asthma.<sup>35</sup> Burrows et al<sup>36</sup> investigated the predictive value of screening spirometry for the annual decline in  $FEV_1$  in a sample from the general population. They also observed that one assessment of spirometry was not reliable enough to detect the patients at risk, particularly among women. More measurements of lung function over time will probably make detecting patients with asthma and chronic bronchitis more reliable. A disadvantage of a reanalysis is that results are used from a study not originally set up for this purpose and, therefore, can serve only as an observational study. No natural course of the disease was studied, as the patients used in this study were treated with bronchodilators. However, all figures were controlled for bronchodilator use. We saw no significant influence of medication. With this limitation in mind, we observed that it is nearly impossible to predict the progression rate of asthma or chronic bronchitis from an assessment of symptoms, physical signs of the chest, and variability in PEFR. Patients at risk for rapid progression of their asthma or chronic bronchitis can probably be detected only by monitoring the course of disease by assessing lung function.

2. Postma DS, de Vries K, Koëter GH, Sluiter HJ. Independent influence of reversibility of airflow obstruction and nonspecific hyperreactivity on the long-term course of lung function in chronic airflow obstruction. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986;134:276-80. 3. Peat JK, Woolcock AJ, Cullen K. Rate of decline in lung function in subjects with asthma. Eur J Respir Dis 1987;70:171-9. 4. Rijcken B, Schouten JP, Weiss ST, Segal M, Speizer FE, van der Lende R. Longitudinal analysis of the relationship between bronchial hyperreactivity and pulmonary function. Assen, The Netherlands: Van Gorcum, 1989:94-103. 5. American Thoracic Society. Standards for the diagnoses and care of patient with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;136:225-43. 6. Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction. BM7 1977;1:1645-8. 7. Thom TJ. International comparisons in COPD mortality. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989; 140(Suppl):S27-S34. 8. Burney PGJ. Asthma mortality in England and Wales: evidence for a further increase, 1974-84. Lancet 1986;2:323-6. 9. Royal College of General Practitioners, Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. Department of Health and Social Security. Morbidity statistics from general practice 1981-82. Third national study. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1983; series MB5 no. 1. 10. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. International consensus report on diagnosis and treatment of asthma. Eur Respir 7 1992;5:601-41. 11. Kerstjens HAM, Brand PLP, Hughes MD, Robinson NJ, Postma DS, Sluiter HJ, et al. A comparison of bronchodilator therapy with or without inhaled corticosteroid therapy for obstructive airways disease. N Engl 7 Med 1992;327:1413-9. 12. Dompeling E, van Schayck CP,

#### RESEARCH

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

Correspondence to: C.P. van Schayck, Department of General Practice, Nijmegen University, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands; phone: 0031-80-615313, fax: 0031-80-541862 van Grunsven PM, van Herwaarden CLA, Akkersmans R, Molema J, et al. Slowing the deterioration of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease observed during bronchodilator therapy by adding inhaled corticos-

#### References

1. Fletcher C, Peto R, Tinker C, Speizer FE. The natural history of chronic bronchitis and emphy-

sema. London: Oxford University Press, 1976.

teroids. Ann Intern Med 1993;119:1051 3.

13. Petty TL. Chronic obstructive pulmonary

disease - can we do better? Chest 1990;

97(Suppl 2):2S-5S.

Continued on page 1876

Canadian Family Physician VOL 41; November 1995 1875

Asthma and chronic bronchitis

14. Van Schayck CP, Dompeling E, van Herwaarden CLA, Folgering H, Verbeek ALM, van den Hoogen HJM, et al. Bronchodilator treatment in moderate asthma or chronic bronchitis: continuous or on demand? *BM*7 1991;303:1426-31.
15. Quanjer PH. Standardized lung function testing. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1983; 19(Suppl 5):7-10.
16. Van Schayck CP, van Weel C, Folgering H, Verbeek ALM, van Herwaarden CLA. Treatment of patients with airflow obstruction by general prostitioners and chast physicians

by general practitioners and chest physicians. Scand J Prim Health Care 1989;7:137-42. budenoside and terbutaline. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1985;76:628-36.
26. Haahtela T, Järvinen M, Kava T, Kivizanla I, Koskinen S, Gehbonen K, et al. Comparison of a beta2-agonist, terbutaline, with an inhaled corticosteroid, budesonide, in newly detected asthma. N Engl J Med 1991; 325:388-92.

27. Juniper EF, Kline PA, Vanzieleghem MA, Ramsdale EH, O'Bryne PM, Hargreave FE. Effect of long-term treatment with an inhaled corticosteroid (budesonide) on airway hyperresponsiveness and clinical asthma in nonsteroid-dependent asthmatics. Am Rev Respir Dis 1990;142:832-6. 28. Juniper EF, Kline PA, Vanzieleghem MA, Ramsdale EH, O'Bryne PM, Hargreave FE. Long-term effects of budesonide on airway responsiveness and clinical asthma severity in inhaled steroid-dependent asthmatics. Eur Respir 7 1990;3:1122-7. 29. British Thoracic Society. Guidelines for management of asthma in adults: I – chronic persistent asthma. BMJ 1990;301:651-3. 30. Barnes PJ. Drug therapy. A new approach to the treatment of asthma. N Engl 7 Med 1989;321:1517-27. 31. Forgacs P. The functional basis of pulmonary sounds. Chest 1978;73:399-405. 32. Burrows B, Bloom JW, Traver GA, Cline MG. The course and prognosis of different forms of chronic airways obstruction in a sample from the general population. N Engl J Med 1987;317:1309-14. 33. Ryan G, Latimer KM, Dolovich J, Hargreave FE. Bronchial responsiveness to histamine: relationship to diurnal variation of peak flow rate, improvement after bronchodilator, and airway calibre. Thorax 1982;37:423-9. 34. British Thoracic Society. Guidelines for management of asthma in adults: II – acute severe asthma. BM7 1990;301:797-800. 35. Beasley R, Cushley M, Holgate ST. A self management plan in the treatment of adult asthma. Thorax 1989;44:200-4. 36. Burrows B, Knudson RJ, Camilli AE, Lyle SK, Lebowitz MD. The horse-racing effect and predicting decline in forced

17. Van Schayck CP, van Weel C, Harbers HBM, van Herwaarden CLA. Do physical signs reflect the degree of airflow obstruction in patients with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? Scand J Prim Health Care 1991;9:232-8.

18. Van Schayck CP, Dompeling E, van Weel C, Folgering H, van den Hoogen HJM. Accuracy and reproducibility of the Assess peakflow meter. Eur Respir J 1990;3:338-41. 19. Dompeling E, van Schayck CP, Folgering H, van den Hoogen HJM, van Weel C. Accuracy, precision and linearity of the portable flow-volume meter Microspiro HI-298. Eur Respir J 1991;4:612-5. 20. Levy M. Delay in diagnosing asthma – is the nature of general practice to blame? J R Coll Gen Pract 1986;36:52-3. 21. Jones K. Asthma – still a challenge for gencral practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1989;39:254-6. 22. Gregg I. The quality of care of asthma in general practice – a challenge for the future. Fam Pract 1985;2(2):94-100. 23. Bel EH, Timmers MC, Hermans J, Dijkman JH, Sterk PJ. The long-term effects of nedocromil sodium and beclomethasone dipropionate on bronchial responsiveness to methacholine in nonatopic asthmatic subjects. Am Rev Respir Dis 1990;141:21-8. 24. Kerrebijn KF, Essen-Zandvliet EEM, Neijens HJ. Effect of long-term treatment with inhaled corticosteroids and beta-agonists on the bronchial responsiveness in children with asthma. 7 Allergy Clin Immunol 1987; 79:653-9. 25. Kraan J, Koëter GH, van de Mark TW, Sluiter HJ, de Vries K. Changes in bronchial hyperreactivity induced by 4 weeks of treatment with antiasthmatic drugs in patients

with allergic asthma: a comparison between

expiratory volume in one second from screening spirometry. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987; 135:788-93.

. .

**1876** Canadian Family Physician VOL 41: November 1995