Sedentary behavior in dementia

Dementia patients are more sedentary and less physically active than age- and sex-matched cognitively healthy older adults

Y.A.W. (Yvonne) Hartman*, MSc ^a

E.G.A. (Esther) Karssemeijer*, MD b,c

E.A.M. (Lisanne) van Diepen^a

M.G.M. (Marcel) Olde Rikkert, MD PhD b,c

D.H.J. (Dick) Thijssen, PhD a,d

* Shared first authorship: these authors contributed equally to this manuscript

^a Radboud university medical center, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Department of Physiology, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

^b Radboud university medical center, Donders Institute for Brain Cognitive function and Behavior, Department of Geriatric Medicine, Behavior, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

^c Radboudumc Alzheimer Centre, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^d Research institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

Corresponding author

Prof. Dr. Dick H.J. Thijssen Department of Physiology Radboud University Medical Center Philips van Leijdenlaan 15 dick.thijssen@radboudumc.nl Tel: (+31)24 36 14 209, Fax: (+31)24 366 8340

Key words: sedentary behavior, dementia, physical activity, actigraphy

Declarations

Conflict-of-interest statement: None declared.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

2 ABSTRACT

Aims: To examine physical activity and sedentary behavior characteristics of ambulatory and
community dwelling patients with dementia compared to cognitively healthy age-, sex- and weightmatched controls.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study we included community dwelling dementia patients (N=45, age=79.6±5.9 years, MMSE=22.8±3.2) and matched controls (N=49, age=80.0±7.7 years, MMSE=29.0±1.2). Participants wore a wrist accelerometer for seven days to assess sedentary time, sedentary bout duration and time spent in very light, light-to-moderate and moderate-to-vigorous physical activities.

Results: Relative sedentary time and sedentary bout duration was significantly higher in dementia patients compared to controls (median and interquartile range: 57% (49 - 68) vs 55% (47 - 59) and $13 \quad 18.3 (16.4-21.1) \text{ minutes } vs 16.6 (15.3-18.4) \text{ minutes}$, P=0.042 and P=0.008 respectively). In addition, dementia patients spent a lower percentage of their waking time in light-to-moderate and moderate-tovigorous intensity physical activities (20% (15-23) vs 22% (18-25) and 5% (2-10) vs 10% (5-13), P=0.017 and P=0.001 respectively).

17 Conclusion: We revealed that dementia patients are more sedentary and perform less physical activity 18 compared to cognitively healthy controls. This may have clinically important consequences, given the 19 observation that sedentary behavior and little physical activity independently predicts all-cause 20 mortality and morbidity.

21

22

23

2

24 INTRODUCTION

The incidence and prevalence of dementia is rising [1]. Pharmacological treatment to slow disease progression shows limited benefits on cognitive functioning [2]. Therefore, non-pharmaceutical therapies are needed to attenuate or slow cognitive decline. Engagement in moderate to vigorous physical activity (i.e. exercise) is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for dementia [3].

Moreover, exercise interventions have beneficial effects on cognitive function in older adults with 29 dementia [4]. Interestingly, recent research showed that sedentary behavior (activities requiring low 30 levels of energy expenditure, e.g. sitting and lying), independent of performance of physical activity, 31 is strongly related to negative health outcomes and mortality [5,6]. Moreover, sedentary behavior is 32 associated with lower cognitive performance [7], which stresses the relevance to understand the 33 prevalence and characteristics of sedentary behavior in the context of dementia. Therefore, we aim to 34 objectively determine physical activity and sedentary behavior characteristics of community-dwelling 35 dementia patients compared to cognitive healthy age-, sex- and weight-matched controls. Secondary, 36 we will explore whether increasing age attenuates physical activity and sedentary behavior in 37 38 dementia.

39

40 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and design. In this cross-sectional study persons with a dementia diagnosis, aged >60 41 years, that were ambulatory and community dwelling were included. Dementia diagnosis was based 42 on comprehensive clinical assessment by a physician, typically including neuropsychological 43 assessment and imaging. We used the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) to indicate severity of 44 cognitive impairment [8]. Baseline measurements of a longitudinal trial examining the effects of 45 exercise on cognitive functioning in dementia were used for the current study [9]. Cognitively healthy 46 controls were age-, sex- and weight-matched to dementia patients and had no history of cognitive 47 impairment (MMSE >24 [8]). All participants were ambulatory and community-dwelling. The study 48 protocol was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee in accordance with the latest revision of 49 the declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. 50

Physical activity monitoring. Directly after screening, physical activity and sedentary behavior were 51 assessed by the Philips Actiwatch 2, a wrist-worn accelerometer validated in middle-aged females 52 [10]. The Philips Actiwatch 2 contains an acceleration-responsive piezoelectric sensor which measures 53 wrist accelerations in three directions every 30 seconds. These wrist accelerations were translated into 54 55 a number of counts that were used to estimate physical activity and sedentary behavior The accelerometer was worn for seven days on the non-dominant wrist, to provide a reliable estimate of 56 physical activity and sedentary behavior. the [11,12]. All participants wore the actiwatch 24 hours per 57 day. The accelerometer was waterproof and participants did not take if off during swimming or taking 58 a shower. Therefore, non-wear time was not expected. Sleep intervals, including daytime naps, were 59 60 filled in by the participants or their caregivers in a sleep diary.

61

62 Data analysis. Data was uploaded using the Philips Actiware 6 software. Data from the first day of testing were excluded from analysis to give participants the opportunity to familiarize with the device. 63 64 Sleep intervals were manually set by the researcher using the Philips Actiware 6 software [13] and 65 excluded by custom software written in MATLAB R2014b (MathWorks, USA). Hereby only the data 66 of the waking hours remained. Participants were included if they provided at least six valid days (>10 67 h of waking data). Data was conferred from counts per epoch to counts per minute (CPM). Cut-off 68 points of 145 counts per minute (CPM), 145-274 CPM, 274-597 CPM and >597 CPM were used for 69 sedentary behavior, very light, light-to-moderate and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity respectively [10]. To account for individual differences in waking time, our primary analysis 70 expressed activity levels as a percentage of total (awake) measuring time. Interruptions in sedentary 71 behavior were defined as spending one minute \geq 145 CPM after 5 minutes <145 CPM. Prolonged 72sedentary behavior was defined as spending 30 minutes <145 CPM without one minute above 145 73 CPM. Duration of average sedentary bout was defined by total time spent sedentary divided by 74 number of interruptions in sedentary behavior. 75

76

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM SPSS; IBM
Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). We performed a complete case analysis, including only those

participants that wore the actiwatch for a minimum of 7 days. Chi^2 -tests for categorical variables and independent samples t-tests for continuous variables were used to compare dementia patients and controls. Non-parametric tests were used for not normally distributed data (including physical activity and sedentary behavior). To evaluate the impact of age, the same analyses were performed between participants aged <80 years and \geq 80 years. All data are presented as median (interquartile range) unless stated otherwise. Level of significance was set at P<0.05.

85

86 **RESULTS**

45 dementia patients (mean±SD age=79.6±5.9 years, MMSE=22.8±3.2) and 49 controls (mean±SD age=80.0±7.7 years, MMSE=29.0±1.2) were included (Figure 1). Sex, BMI, walking aid use and number of comorbidities did not differ between groups (Table 1). MMSE was significantly lower in dementia patients (P<0.001), and dementia patients received significantly more home care (P<0.001).
The majority of dementia patients and controls lived independently (93% and 98% respectively).

92

Total waking time tended to be lower in dementia patients compared to controls (mean \pm SD 14.9 \pm 1.3 93 h/day vs 15.4 ± 1.0 h/day, P=0.053, Table 2). Dementia patients had significantly lower activity counts 94 95 and spent more hours in categories reflecting lower-intensity activity (Table 2). Relative sedentary 96 time was significantly higher in dementia patients compared to controls (57% (49 - 68) vs 55% (47 -59), P=0.042, Table 2). In addition, dementia patients spent a lower percentage of their waking time in 97 98 light-to-moderate and moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity (20% (15-23) vs 22% (18-25) and 5% (2-10) vs 10% (5-13), P=0.017 and P=0.001, respectively). Number of interruptions in 99 sedentary behavior and prolonged sedentary bouts did not differ. Duration of sedentary bouts was 100 significantly longer in dementia patients compared to controls (18.3 (16.4-21.1) minutes vs 16.6 (15.3-101 18.4) minutes, P=0.008, Table 2). 102

103

When comparing younger *versus* older subgroups, older participants showed more walking aid users. Whilst older controls showed significantly less physical activity and more sedentary behavior compared to their younger peers, no such changes were present between dementia patients aged ≥ 80 years and aged <80 years (Figure 2). More specifically, younger controls had a significantly higher activity counts, lower relative sedentary time, and spent more hours in categories reflecting light-tomoderate and moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity compared to older controls. Since no such differences were present between young and older dementia patients, differences in physical activity and sedentary behavior characteristics between populations was most prominent in the younger groups (see Figure 2).

113

114 **DISCUSSION**

The aim of our study was to objectively investigate physical activity and sedentary behavior 115 characteristics of dementia patients compared to controls, and assess whether age affects this 116 comparison. First, in our relatively large sample we found that dementia patients spent significantly 117 118 more of their waking hours in a sedentary state and significantly less time in light-to-moderate and moderate-to-vigorous intensity activities. This may have clinically important consequences, given the 119 observation of previous prospective studies that sedentary behavior independently predicts all-cause 120 mortality and morbidity [5,14]. Secondly, we found that older age was associated with a decline in 121 122 physical activity and increase in sedentary behavior in controls, whilst no such age-related changes were found in dementia patients. Consequently, negligible differences in physical activity and 123 sedentary behavior characteristics were present when comparing older dementia patients and controls. 124

125

126 In line with observations from previous work [15,16], our data confirm that community-dwelling dementia patients spend a large amount of time in sedentary behavior and have low levels of physical 127 128 activity. We add the novel finding that differences between dementia patients and controls remain when corrected for sleep time. Even though we used an accelerometer validated to measure physical 129 activity and sedentary behavior [10], time spent in moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity seems 130 unusually high in both groups [17]. We have compared our findings to other studies that assessed 131 physical activity and sedentary behavior in older adults with and without dementia, and we note that 132 sedentary behavior ranged from 6.7 to 10.7 hours between studies [15,18,19]. Moreover, percentage of 133 elderly meeting physical activity guidelines (150min/week of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity) 134

ranged from 27-69% [17,20]. Differences in sedentary behavior and physical activity duration between studies might relate to the use of different types of accelerometers, given that the reported studies used hip-worn accelerometers, wrist-worn accelerometers or questionnaires to estimate physical activity and sedentary behavior [21]. However, this does not invalidate our primary comparison between subjects with dementia and healthy peers. Moreover, this highlights the importance of including a control group to provide valid interpretation of the results.

141

142 Our observation raises the question if differences in physical activity and sedentary behavior are 143 simply a consequence of dementia. A decline in executive functioning (i.e. necessary for goal directed 144 behavior such as physical activity) could lead to apathy [22], which is known to lower activity levels in Alzheimer's' patients [23]. However, it is important to realize that lower physical activity and 145 146 higher sedentary behavior have already been reported in the preclinical stages of dementia [24] and in 147 subjects with mild cognitive impairment) [25]. This might suggest that differences in physical activity 148 and sedentary behavior are causally linked to progression from mild cognitive impairment to later stages of dementia. Future research is necessary to answer this question on cause of effect. 149 Nevertheless, since higher physical activity and lower sedentary behavior are associated with better 150 cognitive performance [7,25], benefits of interventions promoting physical activity and reducing 151 sedentary behavior should be investigated. 152

153

In response to our second research question, we found that older age was associated with a decline in 154 physical activity and increase in sedentary behavior in controls. This observation can partly be 155 explained by deterioration of walking and mobility and increased disability with older age [26]. 156 Interestingly, no further decline in physical activity and increase in sedentary behavior was found in 157 dementia patients, despite the age-related increased number of walking aid users. This striking result 158 suggests that cognitive impairment in dementia has a great impact on physical activity and sedentary 159 behavior, and may be more important than the impact of other factors such as deterioration of walking 160 and mobility. An alternative explanation is that a (near) minimum level is achieved in the decline in 161 162 levels of physical activity and sedentary behavior in community-dwelling subjects [17].

163

In addition to the duration of sedentary behavior, previous work revealed that the frequency of breaking up sitting (and therefore duration of each sedentary bout) may have clinical relevance. Breaks in sedentary behavior can prevent cardiovascular impairments [5] and plays a role in maintaining glycemic control, which may positively influence brain health [27]. Our study found that the average duration of a sedentary bout was higher in dementia patients compared to controls. This observation suggests that not only reducing sedentary time, but also preventing prolonged sedentary bouts by regularly breaking up sedentary behavior, can be targeted as a lifestyle intervention [28].

171

Limitations Since we only included community-dwelling patients, our results cannot be generalized to 172 institutionalized dementia patients. Furthermore, dementia patients in our study were enrolled in an 173 exercise trial [9]. Therefore, this group may be more motivated to be physically active. Nonetheless, 174 significant differences in physical activity characteristics were observed. Furthermore, physical 175 activity characteristics were measured by wrist-worn accelerometry, which is associated with limited 176 discriminative capacity between sedentary and very light intensity activities [29]. Consequently, 177 178 differences between dementia patients and controls might even be more pronounced. Another limitation relates to our accelerometer, which has only been validated in a group of middle-aged 179 180 females [10] and was unable to correct for potential presence of short (~1-min) periods of non-wear 181 time. Nonetheless, we do not expect this will invalidate our findings of between-group differences in 182 physical activity and sedentary behavior. In addition, using wrist-worn accelerometry may explain the 183 two outliers in the younger dementia patients (Figure 2), which could relate to restless arm 184 movements. Whilst this may affect exploring individual differences in physical activity versus 185 sedentary behavior characteristics, significant differences remained present at group level. 186 Furthermore, we did not discriminate between types of sedentary activities. Cognitively challenging 187 sedentary activities, such as reading, might have a protective effect on cognition and are therefore less 188 harmful than passive sedentary activities (e.g. television-viewing) [30]. The final limitation is use of the MMSE as a cognitive screening instrument since this measure, especially in healthy highly 189 190 educated older adults, has limited discriminative power to detect mild cognitive deficits [31,32]. It is

important to indicate that diagnosis of dementia was not made using the MMSE, but included standardclinical procedures (including imaging if required).

193

Clinical relevance. Knowledge of physical activity characteristics across the entire activity spectrum 194 195 in dementia is highly relevant given that physical activity is an important factor accelerating 196 development and progression of dementia [3,7]. In addition, a high amount of sedentary behavior and low amount of physical activity are associated with higher mortality and morbidity [5,6]. Even though 197 we found relatively small differences in physical activity characteristics between dementia patients 198 and controls, these may be highly relevant. For example, even very short breaks of light intensity 199 activity (i.e. 2 minutes walking) can already prevent acute metabolic [33] and cardiovascular [5] 200 impairments. This underlines the importance to develop interventions suitable for this vulnerable 201 202 patient group to safely engage in light intensity activities. However, future research should first explore the role of physical activity and sedentary behavior in the progression and prevention of 203 204 dementia.

205

206 Conclusion. In the current study we objectively demonstrated that dementia patients spend 207 significantly more of their waking hours in sedentary behavior and spend less time in light-to-208 moderate and moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity. Moreover, we found that older age 209 attenuated sedentary behavior and physical activity in controls, whilst this age-related decline is absent 210 in dementia patients. This means that patients with dementia (independent of age) lead a physically 211 inactive lifestyle characterized with significant time spent sedentary.

Taken together, these data improve our understanding of physical activity and sedentary behavior characteristics in this highly relevant patient group and implies that targeting sedentary behavior and physical activity may be relevant in dementia patients, especially at a younger age.

9

215 FIGURE CAPTIONS

216 Fig. 1: Flowchart of participants



217 218







221Activity levels of younger and older age groups. Mean activity score (counts per minute) grouped by age.222Controls Age<80 (n=23), Controls Age \geq 80 (n=26), Dementia patients Age <80 (n=22), Dementia patients Age</td>223 \geq 80 (n=23). Values represent median ± interquartile range. * Significant difference between: Controls Age <80</td>224and Controls Age \geq 80 P=0.044, Controls Age <80 and Dementia patients Age <80 P=0.004, Controls Age <80</td>225and Dementia patients Age \geq 80 P=0.002.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

	Control (n=49)	Dementia (n=45)	P-value
Age (years), $mean \pm SD$	80.0 ± 7.7	79.6 ± 5.9	0.744^{*}
Females, n (%)	25 (51.0%)	22 (48.9%)	0.836†
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD	25.5 (4.0)	26.3 (5.0)	0.411^{*}
Mini Mental State Examination [‡] , <i>mean</i> ± SD	29.0 ± 1.2	22.8 ± 3.2	<0.001*
Number of walking aid users, n (%)	10 (20.4%)	16 (35.6%)	0.101†
Number of home-care receivers, n (%)	6 (12.2%)	24 (53.3%)	<0.001 †
Number of comorbidities $mean \pm SD$	2.7 ± 1.8	3.3 ± 1.9	0.150^{*}
Residence, n (%)			0.629†
- Independent, alone	18 (36.7%)	14 (31.1%)	
- Independent, together	30 (61.2%)	28 (62.2%)	
- Care home	1 (2.0%)	3 (6.7%)	
- Nursing home	0	0	
Dementia type, n (%)			
- Alzheimer's disease	n/a	25 (55.6%)	
- Vascular dementia	n/a	2 (4.4%)	
- Alzheimer's Disease/Vascular Dementia (%)	n/a	12 (26.7%)	
- Dementia type not specified (%)	n/a	6 (13.3%)	

*Differences between groups were tested with independent samples t-test; †Differences between groups were tested with Chi-Square Test; ‡ Scores on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) range from 0 (severe impairment) to 30 (no impairment); §Comorbidites are scored using the Older Persons and Informal Caregivers Survey-Minimum Dataset (TOPICS-MDS) with a theoretical range of 0-17 and a higher score indicates more comorbidities [34]

	Control (n=49)	Dementia (n=45)	P-value
Total waking time (h/day)	$15.4 \pm 1.0^{*}$	$14.9 \pm 1.3^{*}$	0.053†
Counts per minute (day ⁻¹)	$226\pm61^*$	$186\pm76^*$	0.005 †
Absolute values (h/day)			
Sedentary time	8.1 (7.2 – 9.2)	8.5 (7.2 – 10.0)	0.216
Very light intensity activity	2.2 (1.9 - 2.6)	2.3 (1.7 – 2.9)	0.748
Light-to-moderate intensity activity	3.5 (2.7 – 4.0)	2.7 (2.0 - 3.7)	0.006
Moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity	1.5 (0.8 – 2.0)	0.8 (0.4 - 1.5)	0.001
Relative values (% of total measuring time)			
% sedentary time	55 (47 – 59)	57 (49 - 68)	0.042
% very light intensity activity	15 (12 – 16)	16 (12 – 19)	0.284
% light-to-moderate intensity activity	22 (18 - 25)	20 (15 - 23)	0.017
% moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity	10 (5 – 13)	5 (2 – 10)	0.001
Sedentary behavior characteristics			
Number of interruptions in sedentary behavior (day ⁻¹)	28.2 (26.2 - 32.5)	27.2 (24.5 - 31.0)	0.195

Table 2: Physical activity and sedentary behavior characteristics

Sedentary behavior in dementia

Number of 30 minutes prolonged sedentary bouts (day ⁻¹)	2.0 (0.9 - 3.3)	2.3 (1.0 – 4.1)	0.227
Duration of average sedentary bout (minutes)	16.6 (15.3 – 18.4)	18.3 (16.4 –21.1)	0.008

Median and Interquartile range are presented unless reported otherwise. P-values represent Mann-Whitney U test; *Mean ± SD; †Differences between groups were tested with independent samples t-test

REFERENCES

1 Perera G, Pedersen L, Ansel D, Alexander M, Arrighi HM, Avillach P, et al: Dementia prevalence and incidence in a federation of European Electronic Health Record databases-The European Medical Informatics Framework resource. Alzheimers Dement 2018;14:130-139.

2 Kavirajan H, Schneider LS: Efficacy and adverse effects of cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine in vascular dementia: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:782-792.

3 Ashby-Mitchell K, Burns R, Shaw J, Anstey KJ: Proportion of dementia in Australia explained by common modifiable risk factors. Alzheimers Res Ther 2017;9:11.

4 Groot C, Hooghiemstra A, Raijmakers P, Van Berckel B, Scheltens P, Scherder E, et al: The effect of physical activity on cognitive function in patients with dementia: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. Ageing Res Rev 2016;25:13-23.

5 Carter S, Hartman Y, Holder S, Thijssen DH, Hopkins ND: Sedentary Behavior and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Mediating Mechanisms. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2017;45:80-86.

6 Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, Fagerland MW, Owen N, Powell KE, et al: Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. Lancet 2016;388:1302-1310.

7 Falck RS, Davis JC, Liu-Ambrose T: What is the association between sedentary behaviour and cognitive function? A systematic review. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:800-811.

8 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12:189-198.

9 Karssemeijer EGA, Bossers WJR, Aaronson JA, Kessels RPC, Olde Rikkert MGM: The effect of an interactive cycling training on cognitive functioning in older adults with mild dementia: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. BMC Geriatr 2017;17:73.

10 Neil-Sztramko SE, Rafn BS, Gotay CC, Campbell KL: Determining activity count cut-points for measurement of physical activity using the Actiwatch2 accelerometer. Physiol Behav 2017;173:95-100.

11 Hart TL, Swartz AM, Cashin SE, Strath SJ: How many days of monitoring predict physical activity and sedentary behaviour in older adults? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011;8:62.

12 Sasaki JE, Junior JH, Meneguci J, Tribess S, Marocolo Junior M, Stabelini Neto A, et al: Number of days required for reliably estimating physical activity and sedentary behaviour from accelerometer data in older adults. J Sports Sci 2018;36:1572-1577.

13 Ancoli-Israel S, Cole R, Alessi C, Chambers M, Moorcroft W, Pollak CP: The role of actigraphy in the study of sleep and circadian rhythms. Sleep 2003;26:342-392.

14 van der Ploeg HP, Chey T, Korda RJ, Banks E, Bauman A: Sitting time and all-cause mortality risk in 222 497 Australian adults. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:494-500.

15 van Alphen HJ, Volkers KM, Blankevoort CG, Scherder EJ, Hortobagyi T, van Heuvelen MJ: Older Adults with Dementia Are Sedentary for Most of the Day. PLoS One 2016;11:e0152457.

16 James BD, Boyle PA, Bennett DA, Buchman AS: Total daily activity measured with actigraphy and motor function in community-dwelling older persons with and without dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2012;26:238-245.

17 Keadle SK, McKinnon R, Graubard BI, Troiano RP: Prevalence and trends in physical activity among older adults in the United States: A comparison across three national surveys. Prev Med 2016;89:37-43.

18 Harvey JA, Chastin SF, Skelton DA: How Sedentary are Older People? A Systematic Review of the Amount of Sedentary Behavior. J Aging Phys Act 2015;23:471-487.

19 Manns P, Ezeugwu V, Armijo-Olivo S, Vallance J, Healy GN: Accelerometer-Derived Pattern of Sedentary and Physical Activity Time in Persons with Mobility Disability: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003 to 2006. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015;63:1314-1323. Visser M, Wijnhoven HAH, Comijs HC, Thomese F, Twisk JWR, Deeg DJH: A Healthy Lifestyle in Old Age and Prospective Change in Four Domains of Functioning. J Aging Health: 2018:898264318774430.

Falck RS, McDonald SM, Beets MW, Brazendale K, Liu-Ambrose T: Measurement of physical activity in older adult interventions: a systematic review. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:464-470.

22 McPherson S, Fairbanks L, Tiken S, Cummings JL, Back-Madruga C: Apathy and executive function in Alzheimer's disease. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2002;8:373-381.

23 David R, Mulin E, Friedman L, Le Duff F, Cygankiewicz E, Deschaux O, et al: Decreased daytime motor activity associated with apathy in Alzheimer disease: an actigraphic study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2012;20:806-814.

Sabia S, Dugravot A, Dartigues JF, Abell J, Elbaz A, Kivimaki M, et al: Physical activity, cognitive decline, and risk of dementia: 28 year follow-up of Whitehall II cohort study. BMJ 2017;357:j2709.

Falck RS, Landry GJ, Best JR, Davis JC, Chiu BK, Liu-Ambrose T: Cross-Sectional Relationships of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior With Cognitive Function in Older Adults With Probable Mild Cognitive Impairment. Phys Ther 2017;97:975-984.

26 Koolhaas CM, van Rooij FJA, Schoufour JD, Cepeda M, Tiemeier H, Brage S, et al: Objective Measures of Activity in the Elderly: Distribution and Associations With Demographic and Health Factors. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2017;18:838-847.

27 Wheeler MJ, Dempsey PC, Grace MS, Ellis KA, Gardiner PA, Green DJ, et al: Sedentary behavior as a risk factor for cognitive decline? A focus on the influence of glycemic control in brain health. Alzheimer's & dementia (New York, N Y) 2017;3:291-300.

28 Dempsey PC, Owen N, Biddle SJH, Dunstan DW: Managing Sedentary Behavior to Reduce the Risk of Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease. Current Diabetes Reports 2014;14:522.

²⁹ Tudor-Locke C, Barreira TV, Schuna JM: Comparison of step outputs for waist and wrist accelerometer attachment sites. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015;47:839-842.

30 Kikuchi H, Inoue S, Sugiyama T, Owen N, Oka K, Nakaya T, et al: Distinct associations of different sedentary behaviors with health-related attributes among older adults. Prev Med 2014;67:335-339.

Tangalos EG, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Petersen RC, Kokmen E, Kurland LT, et al: The Mini-Mental State Examination in general medical practice: clinical utility and acceptance: Mayo Clin Proc, Elsevier, 1996, pp 829-837.

32 O'Bryant SE, Humphreys JD, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, et al: Detecting dementia with the mini-mental state examination in highly educated individuals. Arch Neurol 2008;65:963-967.

33 Dunstan DW, Kingwell BA, Larsen R, Healy GN, Cerin E, Hamilton MT, et al: Breaking up prolonged sitting reduces postprandial glucose and insulin responses. Diabetes Care 2012;35:976-983.

Lutomski JE, Baars MA, Schalk BW, Boter H, Buurman BM, den Elzen WP, et al: The development of the Older Persons and Informal Caregivers Survey Minimum DataSet (TOPICS-MDS): a large-scale data sharing initiative. PLoS One 2013;8:e81673.