

ACTA UNIV. SAPIENTIAE, ALIMENTARIA, 1 (2008) 109–118

Age determination based on amino acid racemization: a new possibility

J. Csapó^{1,2} email: csapo.janos@ke.hu Cs. Albert² email: albertcsilla@sapientia.siculorum.ro

K. Lóki¹ email: loki.katalin@ke.hu

G. Pohn¹ email: pohn.gabriella@ke.hu

¹University of Kaposvár, Faculty of Animal Science, Guba S. u. 40, 7400 Kaposvár, Hungary;

²Sapientia–Hungarian University of Transylvania, Csíkszereda Campus, RO-530104, Libertatii 1., Miercurea-Ciuc

Abstract. A method has been developed to determine the age of fossil bone samples based on amino acid racemization (AAR). Approximately one hundred fossil bone samples of known age from Hungary were collected and analysed for D- and L-amino acids. As the racemization of amino acids is affected by temperature, pH, metal content of the soil, and time passed since death, these factors were eliminated by comparing the estimated age to age determined by the radiocarbon method. Determining the D- and L-amino acid contents in samples of known age, determining the half life of racemization and plotting the D/L ratio as a function of time, calibration curves were obtained. These curves can be used for the age estimation of samples after determining their D- and L-amino acid content. The D/L ratio for 2 to 3 amino acids was determined for each sample and the mean value of estimated ages based on calibration curves was considered to estimate age of the fossil samples.

Key words and phrases: Amino acid racemization, D-amino acids, age determination, D-Ala, D-Asp, D-allo-Ile, D-Glu, D-Phe, D-Val

1 Intoduction

Amino acid contents in fossil shell, bone and tooth samples from early ages were reported first by Abelson [1]. Hare and Abelson [6] reported that Damino acids in fossils resulted from conversion of L- amino acids of protein. It was found that the older the fossil the higher the D/L ratio and, after a certain age, amino acids occurred in racemic form. The ratio of D-allo isoleucine and L-isoleucine content in a fossilised shell sample was found to be 0.32 and the fossil was estimated to be 70 000 years old, as reported by Hare and Mitterer [7]. It is considered as the first application of amino acid racemization – or rather epimerization – in geochronology.

Subsequently, racemization of amino acids was used for age determination of various materials containing protein. Isoleucine and aspartic acid were given special attention because L-isoleucine can be easily separated from D-allo isoleucine by an amino acid analyser and aspartic acid, being the most acidic of amino acids, is the first to come off of the ion exchange column. However, some errors of age determination based on AAR were reported by Williams and Smith [9]. Temperature, pH, soil composition and various contaminants should also be considered when estimating the age of fossil bone samples. Recently Marshall [8] established that the bones are not reliable materials for AAR testing, particularly if they come from a warm environment. The statement was based on differences observed between the age of the California bones determined by C^{14} accelerator mass spectrometry (5000–6000 years) and by AAR (50000–60000 years). Milford Wolpoff, paleoanthropologist, expressed the opinion [8] that many people currently regard AAR as "some kind of joke".

Since various changes in temperature during the past and other conditions influencing dead biological organisms are not well known, the reaction temperature of racemization can only be estimated and not accurately determined. This is the reason that – in this study – contents of D- and L- amino acids and their ratio were determined in samples of known age (as determined by the radiocarbon method). These data were then compared with data obtained from the analysis of amino acids in samples of unknown age. To make the comparison more accurate, the antecedents of samples of known age when analysed were the same as or similar to those of unknown age. Therefore, 100 fossil bone samples previously analysed by the radiocarbon method were collected from various Hungarian museums, and their D- and L-amino acid contents were determined. The D/L ratio was calculated and plotted against time which produced a calibration curve. This curve can be used for age estimation of samples of unknown age after their D- and L-amino acid contents have been determined. The D/L ratio for 2 to 3 various amino acids was determined for each sample and the mean value of ages estimated from calibration curves was considered the true age of the fossil sample.

2 Material and methods

Sample preparation The samples were washed in running- and distilled water, dried in a vacuum drying oven and ground to produce powder material as fine as flour. Apolar contaminants were removed with petroleum ether in a Soxhlet extractor for 3 hours at 40 °C. The free amino acids were extracted by 0.1 M HCl solution for 16 hours. The nitrogen content of the residue was determined by Kjel-Foss nitrogen analyser. Sample size $(200-2\,000\,\text{mg}\text{ residual})$ material containing app. 10–20 mg protein) was dependent on nitrogen content. Samples were weighed and hydrolysed with 6 M HCl at 110 °C for 24 h. HCl was removed by lyophilization, the residue was dissolved in water, and the precipitated silicate compounds were separated from the liquid containing free amino acids using a centrifuge. The solution was alkalised to pH = 9 for a moment and precipitated metal hydroxides were filtered. The hydrolysed solution was neutralised and evaporated to dryness by lyophilization.

Determination of amino acids An aliquot of hydrolysed material was dissolved in a citrate buffer solution of pH = 2.2 and isoleucine and D-allo isoleucine were determined by LKB 4101 type amino acid analyser as described by Csapo et al. [2]. The other D- and L-amino acids were separated in the form of alanyl- [4] and 2-sulphonylic acid alanyl diastereomerisomer dipeptides [3] by ion exchange column chromatography and by the method of Einarsson et al. [5] with reversed-phase HPLC using precolumn derivatization with the chiral reagent *o*-phthalaldehyde/2,3,4,6,-tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio- β -glucopyranoside.

Prior to conducting analyses of all samples by HPLC, the D- and L-amino acids of three samples were determined by both HPLC and ion exchange column chromatography (IEC). The results are in *Table 1*, and the D/L ratios determined by the two methods were in excellent agreement.

| Age of | Analytical | The D/L ratios for various AA | | | |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| samples | \mathbf{method} | Phe | \mathbf{Asp} | Ala | Ile |
| 15600 | | | | | |
| | IEC | 0.568 | 0.367 | 0.153 | - |
| | HPLC | 0.553 | 0.389 | 0.163 | - |
| 38450 | | | | | |
| | IEC | - | - | 0.395 | 0.123 |
| | HPLC | - | - | 0.401 | 0.121 |
| 46 900 | | | | | |
| | IEC | - | - | 0.487 | 0.146 |
| | HPLC | - | - | 0.492 | 0.149 |

Table 1: D/L ratios for various amino acids determined by ion exchange column chromatography (IEC) and by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

3 Results

The analyses data on 24 fossil bone samples from various Hungarian museums of known age are summarised in *Table 2*. Seven amino acids (His = histidine, Phe = phenylalanine, Asp = aspartic acid, Glu = glutamic acid, Ala = alanine, Ile = isoleucine,

Val = valine) are presented. These may be considered as being the most suitable for age determination because some of them show very fast racemization (His, Phe, Asp), while others show very slow racemization (Ile, Val). Analytical data for other analysed amino acids are not presented in *Table 2* in order to make it more synoptic. None of the ratios lower than 0.1 or higher than 0.7 are presented in *Table 2* because, in these cases, the accuracy of age determination was doubtful. Half lives of AAR were also calculated from the data of *Table 2* and are presented in *Table 3*.

From the data of Table 2, His, Phe, Asp, Glu and Ala contents can be used for the age determination of samples which are $2-12\,000$, $3-20\,000$, $5-35\,000$ and $10-80\,000$ years old, respectively. Age of samples older than $30\,000$ and $50\,000$ years can be determined on the basis of Ile and Val content, respectively. Data in Table 2 were corrected (reduced) with the D-amino acid content of a fresh pig bone to eliminate the errors of analysis. When fresh pig bone

| Age of | of The D/L ratios for various amino acids | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-------|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| $samples^*$ | \mathbf{His} | Phe | \mathbf{Asp} | Glu | Ala | Ile | Val |
| 2 200 | 0.138 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2800 | 0.162 | 0.101 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3110 | 0.181 | 0.109 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3240 | 0.199 | 0.128 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4630 | 0.253 | 0.179 | 0.109 | - | - | - | - |
| 5460 | 0.312 | 0.225 | 0.128 | - | - | - | - |
| 6850 | 0.419 | 0.252 | 0.171 | 0,091 | - | - | - |
| 11200 | 0.618 | 0.442 | 0.271 | $0,\!126$ | 0.112 | - | - |
| 12400 | 0.682 | 0.473 | 0.289 | $0,\!143$ | 0.131 | - | - |
| 15600 | - | 0.561 | 0.378 | $0,\!178$ | 0.158 | - | - |
| 18600 | - | 0.654 | 0.432 | 0,209 | 0.192 | - | - |
| 20200 | - | 0.689 | 0.491 | 0,233 | 0.209 | - | - |
| 22600 | - | - | 0.543 | 0,256 | 0.228 | - | - |
| 25400 | - | - | 0.580 | 0,275 | 0.246 | - | - |
| 28600 | - | - | 0.621 | 0,311 | 0.289 | - | - |
| 30400 | - | - | 0.643 | 0,325 | 0.321 | - | - |
| 32500 | - | - | 0.702 | 0,355 | 0.343 | 0.099 | - |
| 36900 | - | - | - | 0,395 | 0.381 | 0.118 | - |
| 44600 | - | - | - | $0,\!481$ | 0.465 | 0.134 | - |
| 46800 | - | - | - | 0,500 | 0.483 | 0.142 | - |
| 54300 | - | - | - | 0,527 | 0.510 | 0.169 | 0.100 |
| 62200 | - | - | - | $0,\!606$ | 0.586 | 0.188 | 0.115 |
| 65000 | - | - | - | $0,\!634$ | 0.613 | 0.199 | 0.119 |
| 72400 | - | - | - | - | 0.652 | 0.221 | 0.136 |

Table 2: D/L ratios for various amino acids concerning ages of fossil samples determined by the radiocarbon method

Age deterined by the ¹⁴C corrected method (year)

was hydrolysed with 6 M HCl for 24 h at $110 \,^{\circ}$ C, the forms of glutamic and aspartic acids, respectively, represented 1.9 and 1.3% of the totals due to racemization during processing. Concentrations of the D-form for the other amino acids were negligible. However, all analyses were corrected for the small concentrations present in fresh pig bone.

| Amino | Half life |
|----------------------|-----------|
| acids | (year) |
| His | 500 |
| Phe | 8500 |
| Tyr | 8600 |
| Asp | 13500 |
| \mathbf{Ser} | 16500 |
| Thr | 17000 |
| Glu | 28500 |
| Ala | 32000 |
| Ile | 110000 |
| Leu | 140000 |
| Val | 180000 |

Table 3: Half lives of racemization and epimerization of variousamino acids found in Hungarian fossil bone samples

Studying the calibration curves, it can be concluded that, in the case of D/L ratio being lower than 0.1, the D-amino acid content is too low and age determination is uncertain. Both curves may be considered to be linear in the D/L range of 0.1–0.5. It is obvious that the calibration curves can be used for age determination most satisfactorily in the linear range, (D/L between 0.1 and 0.5) where D-amino acids are present in well detectable amounts. The optimum D/L ratio can be found for each sample by analysing the amino acids best suited for age determination. E. g., for fossil bone samples of 11 200 years the D/L ratio for His, Phe, Asp and Ala is 0.682, 0.473, 0.271 and 0.112, respectively. In this case the D/L ratios of Phe and Asp are recommended for determining the age of samples, however the D/L ratios of His and Ala can be used to confirm the estimate based on the ratios of Phe and Asp.

Known age (Y) was regressed on D/L ratio (X₁) and $\ln[(1+D/L)/(1-D/L)]$ (X₂) for each of four amino acids (Phe, Asp, Ala and Ile) to produce prediction equations of the form $\hat{Y} = a + bX$. All eight regression equations produced r^2 values greater than 0.99. In each amino acid, was greater than 0.99 which means that X₂ was simply a coded value of X₁. The standard deviation of deviations from regression (standard error of estimate= $s_{Y,X}$) can be used to calculate the standard error of an individual estimate as

$$s_{\hat{Y}}^2 = s_{Y.X.}^2 [\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X - X)^2}{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2}]$$

with n = number samples used in estimating regression and $\sum (X - \bar{X})^2$ being the sum of squares of deviations from the mean X. The value, \hat{Y} was calculated for each regression for two situations (X= \bar{X} and X=an extreme value). For Phe, Asp and Ala, mean values for D/L were 0.35 to 0.41 and extremes were approximately ± 0.30 . Corresponding means for ln(X₂) were 0.75 to 0.90 and extremes were ± 0.75 . For Ile, means were 0.16 and 0.32 with corresponding extremes at ± 0.06 and ± 0.12 . The two \hat{Y} values for each amino acid mean and extreme were averaged to produce the following values:

| Amino acid | Mean | Extreme |
|----------------------|------|---------|
| Phe | 189 | 329 |
| Asp | 226 | 458 |
| Ala | 382 | 988 |
| Ile | 311 | 514 |

A mean of estimates based on two amino acids would have a standard error of S.E. = $\sqrt{(s_{\hat{Y}_1}^2 + s_{\hat{Y}_2}^2)/4}$ and 95% confidence limits can be established as C.I. = Mean of two \hat{Y} values \pm S.E. $(t_{0.05})$.

Since the average based on the smallest number of samples would have 15 degrees of freedom, the value of $t_{0.05}$ used in the following estimates was 2.13. The \pm deviations were calculated for each pair of amino acids and are shown below. The confidence intervals at mean values are shown above the diagonal and confidence intervals at extreme values are below the diagonal:

| | Phe | Asp | Ala | Ile |
|------------|------|----------------------|------|-----|
| Phe | - | 313 | 454 | 388 |
| Asp Ala | 601 | - | 473 | 409 |
| Ala | 1109 | 1160 | - | 524 |
| Ile | 650 | 733 | 1186 | - |

If both D/L values were near the mean, we would be 95% confident that our estimate was in the range of mean $\hat{Y}\pm 313$ to 524 years. If both estimates were based on extreme values of D/L, we would be 95% confident that our estimate

was in the range of mean $\hat{Y}\pm 601$ to 1186 years. The confidence interval for each estimate of age of an unknown sample would be calculated individually.

Finally, the applicability of calibration curves is presented. As an example, one bone sample of unknown age was analysed for L- and D-amino acids and the following results were obtained:

| L-His: | 0.0697 mg, D-His: 0.0289 mg, D/L-His = 0.428 |
|--------|--|
| | Age calculated from calibration curve: 7100 year; S.E. = 337 |
| L-Phe: | 0.0543 mg, D-Phe: 0.0138 mg, D/L-Phe=0.254 |
| | Age calculated from calibration curve: 6950 year; S.E. = 191 |
| L-Asp: | 0.1346 mg, D-Asp: 0.0245 mg, D/L-Asp=0.182 |
| | Age calculated from calibration curve: 6900 year; S.E. = 465 |

The estimated age of the sample is the mean value of the above estimates or $6\,980$ years. This mean value has a standard error of 202 years and the 95% confidence interval would be $6\,554$ to $7\,406$ years.

Conclusion The D- and L-amino acid composition was determined in fossil bone samples of known age. Ages were determined by the radiocarbon method. The D/L ratio was plotted as a function of time which resulted in a calibration curve which can be used for age estimation after the D- and L-amino acid contents in samples of unknown age have been determined. However, this method includes the analytical error of age estimation by the ¹⁴C method, but the effects of temperature, pH and the composition of soil on AAR can be eliminated. The D/L ratio for 2 to 4 amino acids should be determined for each sample, and the mean value of estimated ages based on calibration curves is considered the best estimate of age of the fossil sample.

We have utilised this method very successfully for dating fossil bone samples from Hungary. The difference between the data from the calibration curve and those from 14 C dating was generally negligible. We were very cautious with both sample selection and preparation; the unknown samples were mainly of origin similar to those from which the calibration curves were formulated and sample preparation was carried out exactly the same for samples of known and unknown ages.

We are aware of the weak points of this method and the possible errors associated with ¹⁴C dating. However, the results support the reliability of this method. Our calibration curves should not be used in other environments because of different conditions (e.g. temperature, pH, soil composition). How-

ever, based on these results, other calibration curves can be formulated for each environment based on methods described here.

4 Acknowledgement

The financial support of National Fund for Scientific Research (OTKA T 6653) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- P.H. Abelson, Amino acids in fossils, Carnegie Inst. Washington Yearb, 53 (1954) 97–108.
- [2] J. Csapó, I. Tóth-Pósfai, Zs. Csapó-Kiss, Optimization of hydrolysis at determination of amino acid content in food and feed products, Acta Alimentaria, 15 (1986) 3–21.
- [3] J. Csapó, I. Tóth-Pósfai, Zs. Csapó-Kiss, Separation of D- and L-amino acids by ion exchange chromatography in the form of 2-sulfonic acid alanyl dipeptides, In: G. Lubec, G.A. Rosenthal, (Eds): Amino Acids, Chemistry, Biology and Medicine ESCOM Sci. Publ. BV 1990. 96–101.
- [4] J. Csapó, I. Tóth-Pósfai, Zs. Csapó-Kiss, Separation of D- and L-amino acids by ion exchange column chromatography in the form of alanyl dipeptides, *Amino Acids* 1 (1991) 331–337.
- [5] S. Einarsson, S. Folestad, B. Josefsson, Separation of amino acid enantiomers using with *o*-phthalaldehyde and 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio-βglucopyranoside, J. Liquid Chrom. 10. (1987) 1589–1601.
- [6] P.E. Hare, P.H. Abelson, Racemization of amino acids in fossil shells, Carnegie Inst. Washington Yearb, 66 (1967) 526–536.
- [7] P.E. Hare, R.M. Mitterer, Laboratory simulations of amino acid diagenezis in fossils, *Carnegie Inst. Washington Yearb*, 67 (1968) 205–212.
- [8] E. Marshall, Racemization dating: Great expectations, Science 248 (1990) 799.

[9] K.M. Williams, G.G. Smith, A critical evaluation of the application of amino acid racemization to geochronology and geothermometry, Orig. Life, 8 (1977) 91–144.

Received: August, 2008