

¹MTA–DE ‘Lendület’ Behavioural Ecology Research Group, Department of Evolutionary Zoology and Human Biology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary; ²Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary; ³Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ⁴Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic; ⁵Department of Zoology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Taxonomic composition and ploidy level among European water frogs (Anura: Ranidae: *Pelophylax*) in eastern Hungary

DÁVID HERCZEG¹, JUDIT VÖRÖS², DITTE G. CHRISTIANSEN³, MICHAL BENOVIČS⁴ and PÉTER MIKULÍČEK⁵

Abstract

The western Palaearctic water frogs in the genus *Pelophylax* comprise several distinct species and three hybridogenetic hybrid forms. In this study, we focus on the *Pelophylax esculentus* complex, which consists of two sexual species, *Pelophylax ridibundus* and *Pelophylax lessonae*, and their hybridogenetic hybrid, *Pelophylax esculentus*. Specifically, we investigated taxonomic composition and ploidy level of water frogs sampled in three different types of wetland habitats in the Hortobágy National Park (HNP), eastern Hungary. Using variation in serum albumin intron 1 (SAI-1) and 15 microsatellite loci, we detected the presence of all members of the *P. esculentus* complex in the studied localities. In one locality, all three taxa occurred syntopically, while in others water frog populations consisted of *P. ridibundus* and *P. esculentus* exclusively. The genomic composition of the 63 examined hybrid specimens analysed with microsatellites showed the occurrence of diploid genotypes only. We used a population genetics approach (allelic richness, gene diversity, multilocus genotypes and multilocus disequilibrium) to infer the breeding system of water frogs at HNP. Our data indicate that at least in two populations, hybrids form gametes with clonally transmitted *P. ridibundus* genome and produce a new hybrid generation by mating with *P. lessonae*.

Key words: Water frogs – *Pelophylax* – hybridogenesis – microsatellites – breeding system

Introduction


Western Palaearctic water frogs of the genus *Pelophylax* include fourteen distinct species and three hybrid forms reproducing by hybridogenesis (Graf and Polls-Pelaz 1989; Günther 1990; Plötner 2005; Lymberakis et al. 2007; Plötner et al. 2012). This special mode of reproduction resembles parthenogenesis in many aspects. While parthenogenetic females clonally produce diploid eggs, which give rise to a new generation of daughters, hybridogenetic individuals (hybrids) transmit clonally only one chromosome set; the other set of chromosomes is eliminated during gametogenesis and is restored in each generation by mating. Sexual and hybridogenetic taxa of water frogs form three different complexes in Europe. In central Europe, the *Pelophylax esculentus* complex is formed by two sexual species, the Marsh frog, *Pelophylax ridibundus* (Pallas, 1771; genotype RR) and the Pool frog, *Pelophylax lessonae* (Camerano, 1882; genotype LL). The interspecific mating of the two species produces the hybridogenetic Edible frog, *Pelophylax esculentus* (Linnaeus, 1758; genotype LR). The mode of hybridogenesis in *P. esculentus* includes clonal transfer of either the genome of *P. ridibundus* (R genome) or the genome of *P. lessonae* (L genome), rarely simultaneous transfer of both genomes to gametes (Uzzell and Berger 1975; Berger et al. 1978; Polls-Pelaz 1994). Most *P. esculentus* in central Europe eliminate the L genome during gametogenesis, clonally transmit the R genome and backcross with syntopically living *P. lessonae* (LE system). Hybrid forms that eliminate the R genome form *lessonae* gametes and backcross with *P. ridibundus* (RE system) are less common and have been found only in eastern Germany, Poland and eastern Ukraine (Uzzell and Berger

1975; Berger 1977; Uzzell et al. 1980; Borkin et al. 2004; Biriuk et al. 2016). Besides hybrid forms living and mating with one or the other parental species, there are *P. esculentus* populations that are reproductively independent of the sexual species. Such all-hybrid populations (EE system) are found mostly in north-western, less in central and eastern Europe (Günther 1973; Ebendal 1979; Berger 1988; Fog 1994; Hoffmann et al. 2015). Persistence of all-hybrid populations is achieved by mating of individuals, which produce different types of gametes (e.g. Christiansen et al. 2005; Christiansen and Reyer 2009). In addition to diploid hybrids, these populations typically comprise a fraction of triploid individuals possessing either two L and one R genome (LLR) or two R and one L genome (LRR). Finally, syntopic occurrence of both parental species and hybrids (LER population) is recorded from central and eastern Europe (Günther et al. 1991; Gubányi 1992; Kotlík and Šulová 1994; Mikulíček et al. 2015). However, these populations are rare and were not studied in details. In Slovak LER populations, hybrids form R gametes (as in the LE system) and *P. ridibundus* individuals likely do not contribute to perpetuation of the hybridogenetic lineages (Mikulíček et al. 2015).

Due to the high rate of hybridization and overlapping morphological characters, there is no easy way to distinguish between hybrids of different ploidy levels and parental species. Various methods give reasonable results including erythrocyte size measurements (Günther 1977; Polls Pelaz and Graf 1988; Ogielska et al. 2001; Mezhzherin et al. 2010) combined with morphometry (Hotz and Uzzell 1982; Gubányi and Korsós 1992; Günther and Plötner 1994; Tognarelli et al. 2014), electrophoresis of allozymes (Plötner and Klinkhardt 1992; Hotz et al. 2001; Mikulíček and Kotlík 2001; Mezhzherin et al. 2010) or albumin (Lów et al. 1989), DNA flow cytometry (Vinogradov et al. 1990; Borkin et al. 2004; Arioli et al. 2010; Mikulíček et al. 2015) and microsatellites (Christiansen 2005; Arioli 2007; Christiansen and Reyer 2009; Pruvost et al. 2013).

Corresponding author: Dávid Herczeg (herczegdavid88@gmail.com)

Contributing authors: Judit Vörös (jvoros@nhmus.hu), Ditte G. Christiansen (dittegc@gmail.com), Michal Benovičs (michal.benovičs@gmail.com), Peter Mikulíček (pmikulicek@fns.uniba.sk)

	J Z S	12158	WILEY	Dispatch: 24.11.16	CE: Hemalatha P
	Journal Code	Manuscript No.		No. of pages: 9	PE: Mohanapriya L.

Our understanding of the taxonomic and genotypic composition in Hungarian water frog populations is limited: few studies have been published and many of them are not based on genetic data. Several publications from the early and mid-20th century did not distinguish between *P. lessonae* and *P. esculentus* individuals (Bolkay 1909; Fejérváry 1921; Fejérváry-Lángh 1943; Dely 1953). In Hungary, studies based on both morphology and genetic data include those conducted in western (Gubányi 1988, 1990; Lów et al. 1989; Gubányi and Pekli 1991; Tunner and Heppich-Tunner 1992), north-eastern (Berger et al. 1988) and eastern parts of the country (Mészáros 1973; Mészáros and Bartos 1978; Gubányi and Korsós 1992). Eastern Hungarian populations have not been well represented, and only the papers published by Mészáros and Bartos (1978) and Gubányi and Korsós (1992) examined the genetic structure of water frogs collected in Hortobágy National Park (hereafter HNP). Except from one population in western Hungary (Tunner and Heppich-Tunner 1992), we have no information about the type of breeding system of water frogs. Furthermore, our study is the first which attempt to assess breeding system of water frogs without the implementation of crossing experiments. Our intention was to apply population genetic approach to determine the type of gametes produced by hybrids and to infer the breeding system of water frogs.

The aims of the present study were to (1) determine the taxonomic composition of the *P. esculentus* complex in HNP using molecular markers, (2) specify the ploidy levels of hybrid individuals and (3) examine the effect of population structure on genetic diversity and the rate of clonal inheritance in water frogs.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This study was conducted in HNP, the largest continuous alkaline steppe in Europe covering 80 000 hectares. Founded in 1973, the area is the largest and oldest National Park in Hungary, abundant in wetland habitats like alkaline marshes, fishponds, wet grasslands and wet meadows. The expanded protected area also includes 75 fishponds covering a total of 6000 ha and ranging between 1 and 790 ha each (Ecsedi 2004).

Sampling

We collected 164 water frogs during the years 2012–2014 from three different types of wetland habitats. Fieldwork was carried out between April and October each year, respectively. Each site was visited at least three times during the years (in total, thirteen times). Habitats comprise slowly flowing water at the Nádudvar-Kösély canal (hereafter NKC), a fish pond system at Hortobágy fish ponds (HFP) and a marshland system at Egyek-Pusztakócs (EPMS). There are no direct connections between these wetland habitats which can provide easy passage for water frog migration. The straight geographic distance between the localities EPMS and NKC is more than 27 km, 15 km between EPMS and HFP and 21 km between HFP and NKC (Fig. 1). Sampling sites were visualized with Quantum GIS 2.8 (QGIS Development Team, 2015). A brief description of localities, sample sizes and GPS coordinates is given in Table 1. Frogs were captured at night manually and with dip nets. Additional individuals were collected from fresh road kills. DNA from water frogs was extracted from buccal swabs (Tubed Sterile Dryswab™, MWE) using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit spin columns (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) and from toe clips of road-killed individuals. Voucher specimens of water




Fig. 1. Sampling sites of water frogs in Hortobágy National Park, eastern Hungary. ○: Nádudvar-Kösély canal (NKC); □: Hortobágy fish pond (HFP); Δ: Egyek-Pusztakócs marsh system (EPMS).

Table 1. Geographic coordinates, sample sizes and brief description of sample localities at HNP.

Locality	Abbreviation	Longitude	Latitude	Sample size	Habitat
Nádudvar-Kösély canal	NKC	47°26'43	21°10'11	30	Irrigation canal with moderate reed belt
		47°26'38	21°8'25	32	Irrigation canal with moderate reed belt
Hortobágy fish ponds	HFP	47°37'15	21°4'36	13	Small 'pool' near bigger fishpond
		47°37'43	21°4'46	12	Bank of bigger fishpond with moderate reed belt
		47°37'42	21°4'51	12	Bank of bigger fishpond with no reed belt
		47°38'9	21°5'3	20	Bank of bigger fishpond with moderate reed belt
Egyek-Pusztakócs marsh system	EPMS	47°37'5	21°4'31	24	Canal alongside the railway with poor vegetation
		47°36'3	20°52'53	21	Marshland with temporary water level

frogs were deposited in the Herpetological Collection of the Hungarian Natural History Museum (Table S1).

Species and ploidy level identification

We used molecular markers to distinguish, first, among the taxa *P. ridibundus*, *P. lessonae* and *P. esculentus*, and second, between the diploid and triploid individuals of hybrids. Taxon identification was determined using the technique described by Hauswaldt et al. (2012) and is based on allele size polymorphism in intron 1 of the serum albumin gene (SAI-1; Plötner et al. 2009), with a slight modification in PCR protocol. Specifically, we used a PCR mix of a total volume of 10 µl containing 1 µl 10X Taq buffer with (NH₄)₂SO₄ (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 0.48 µl of both forward (5'-TCCATACAAATGTGCTAAGTAGGTT-3') and reverse (5'-GACGGTAAGGGGACATAAATCA-3') primers (10 µM), 1 µl dNTPs (10 µM), 0.6 µl MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.08 µl Taq DNA polymerase (5 U µl⁻¹) (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 5.56 µl nuclease free water and 0.8 µl of DNA. The PCR profile comprised an initial denaturation at 94°C for 90 s, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (30 s at 94°C), annealing (40 s at 59°C) and elongation (100 s at 72°C), and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. Three µl of PCR product was run on 1.8% of agarose gels. To verify SAI-1 fragments, we sequenced representative alleles on a Hitachi 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, UK). Consensus sequences were compiled using BioEdit version 7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999) and aligned manually. The sequences were then compared with those deposited in GenBank.

Ploidy determination and genotype composition of hybrids (diploid RL, triploid LLR and LRR) was based on analysis of species-specific polymorphism in selected microsatellite loci (Table 2). Besides Hungarian samples, we added three triploid LLR frogs from Kozí Chrbát, Slovakia, whose ploidy and genotypic composition was confirmed in the study of Pruvost et al. (2015). Two multiplex PCR amplification sets with forward primers fluorescently labelled with FAM, VIC, NED and

PET were performed as follows. Reactions for Multiplex 1 were 6 µl total volume and contained 1 µl template DNA, 3 µl 2× QIAGEN Multiplex PCR kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), 1.58 µl nuclease free water and 0.06 µl (10 µM) of both forward and reverse primers. Reactions for Multiplex 2 were the same except that we used 0.12 µl from Res14 and 0.18 µl from Re2Caga3 forward and reverse primers. In order to achieve equivalent allele intensity (i.e. those concentration of primers to all alleles amplify in similar amount), different primer volume was used. Multiplex PCR was performed using the following conditions: 15 min at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 90 s at 60°C and 60 s at 72°C, followed by the final step 30 min at 60°C. PCR products were run on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, UK) with a LIZ-500 size standard. The alleles (relative peak heights) were scored with GeneMarker software (Softgenetics, State College, PA, USA) manually by a single observer.

Estimation of null alleles, genetic diversity and clonality

Null alleles in microsatellite loci refer to alleles present in an individual that are not amplified because of mutation(s) in priming sites. An individual heterozygous in a specific locus, but having a null allele, is thus scored as a homozygote, because the null allele is not amplified during PCR. In hybridogenetic water frogs, which behave genetically as F1 hybrids, all individuals should be heterozygous in loci that amplify in both parental genomes. A homozygous genotype must be due to either a null allele or an allele, which is not species specific, but occurs in both genomes. For loci that amplify only in one parental genome, all null alleles are 'visible' as non-amplification. We estimated frequency of null alleles in microsatellite loci as follows: first, we assigned all alleles to specific parental genomes (R or L) according to microsatellite data from the nearest genetically investigated populations in Slovakia (Mikulčíček and Pišút 2012; Pruvost et al. 2013, 2015; Hoffmann et al. 2015). In loci amplifying in both genomes, alleles were either genome specific (e.g.

Table 2. Microsatellite loci used in this study to determine the ploidy level, the genetic diversity and clonality of *Pelophylax esculentus*. Loci were amplified either in one of the parental genomes (R or L) or in both parental genomes. Alleles found in Hungarian samples are given in parenthesis.

Locus	Genome specificity (alleles)	References	Tag
Multiplex 1			
RICA1b6	L (73, 79, 83, 85) R (73, 85, 90, 97, 99)	Arioli (2007)	PET
RICA1b5	L (120, 122) R (135)	Garner et al. (2000)	NED
Gala19	L (99) R (105, 108, 118, 120, 134, 148)	Arioli (2007)	FAM
Rrid064A	R (223, 225, 227, 228, 230, 232, 236)	Christiansen and Reyer (2009)	NED
RICA5	L (248, 258, 260, 264)	Garner et al. (2000)	VIC
RICA2a34	L (110, 131, 137, 142, 144)	Christiansen and Reyer (2009)	VIC
Rrid013A	L (288) R (289, 292, 295, 298, 301, 304)	Hotz et al. (2001)	PET
Multiplex 2			
Res14	L (139) R (139, 145, 150)	Zeisset et al. (2000)	FAM
RICA18	L (178, 180, 182, 184, 206)	Garner et al. (2000)	NED
Res22	R (84, 86, 110, 112)	Zeisset et al. (2000)	NED
Rrid059A	L (101) R (113, 115, 133, 136, 138)	Hotz et al. (2001)	VIC
Rrid169A	R (184, 188, 192, 194, 196, 210, 212, 215)	Christiansen and Reyer (2009)	VIC
Re1Caga10	L (96, 98) R (102, 106, 108, 110, 114, 116, 118)	Arioli (2007)	FAM
Res20	*	Zeisset et al. (2000)	PET
Re2Caga3	R (173, 189, 204, 209, 213, 218, 231, 239, 244, 248)	Arioli (2007)	PET

*Neither L nor R genome was amplified by the primer.

allele 135 was present in R genome and alleles 120 and 122 in L genome in the locus RICA1b5; Table 2) or revealed significant differences in frequency between the genomes (e.g. allele 139 in the locus Res14 was fixed in L genome, but occurred in low frequency also in R genome). When an allele was not species specific, it was assigned to the R or L genome according to its frequency observed in parental gene pools. After assigning the alleles to specific parental genomes, we counted the number of missing alleles and expected they were not amplified because they were null alleles. Finally, we calculated the frequency of null alleles locus by locus.

Because R and L genomes rarely or never recombine, all population genetic parameters were calculated for each genome separately. To estimate genetic diversity within the *P. esculentus* populations, we used allelic richness (AR) and gene diversity (*He*). AR and *He* were calculated in the program SPAGeDi 1.5, allowing simultaneous analysis of haploid and diploid data (Hardy and Vekemans 2002). The level of genome clonality was determined, based on the number of multilocus genotypes (MLG) and multilocus disequilibrium (\bar{r}_d). The number of MLGs was calculated using the program GenA1Ex 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). MLG is the number of identical combinations of alleles found in microsatellite data sets; few combinations and high frequencies of these combinations may indicate clonal reproduction. In the absence of recombination, frequent MLGs here defined as four or more encounters (in agreement with Pruvost et al. 2015) would represent hemiclones (i.e. clonally transmitted haploid genomes *sensu* Vrijenhoek 1979). Multilocus disequilibrium is a score of non-random association of alleles at microsatellite loci and varies on a scale from ca. zero to one. Low \bar{r}_d values are related to high recombination rate and thus would indicate low levels of clonality. Contrarily, high \bar{r}_d values might be associated with clonal inheritance, as clonal genomes are inherited *en bloc*, without recombination. Multilocus disequilibrium was calculated in the program Multilocus 1.3 (Agapow and Burt 2001).

Results

Taxonomic composition

SAI-1 gene fragment and microsatellites data identified all members of the *P. esculentus* complex in the studied localities. The 164 frogs examined comprised 100 *P. ridibundus*, 1 *P. lessonae* and 63 *P. esculentus* individuals. In *P. ridibundus*, SAI-1 amplification resulted in a single band on agarose gels at approximately 850 bp, while in several individuals there was a second band at approximately 700 bp. In *P. lessonae*, the PCR produced one band at approximately 300 bp. In hybrids, a 300-bp-long fragment was always in combination with either 850-bp or 700-bp fragment. We compared our sequences with GenBank entries and found that the 300-bp PCR band generated from *P. lessonae* and *P. esculentus* (GenBank accession number KX838289) individuals was identical to *P. lessonae* GenBank entries FN432385 from Germany and FN432383 from *P. lessonae* in Italy. The sequence obtained from the 850-bp band of *P. ridibundus* (GenBank accession number KX838291) and *P. esculentus* matched GenBank entry JQ965524 from *P. ridibundus* in Latvia. The 700-bp band derived from both *P. ridibundus* and *P. esculentus*

(GenBank accession number KX838290) matched GenBank entries JQ965529 and JQ965528 sampled in Poland. Both GenBank sequences are assigned to *Pelophylax kurtmuelleri*, a disputable taxon distributed in the Balkans, whose allele in the SAI-1 gene was found in central European *P. ridibundus* (Hauswaldt et al. 2012). Table 3 summarizes the species composition ratio between different habitats and populations. *Pelophylax ridibundus* was the most frequent species (10–69% per each locality, 61% of all individuals), whereas *P. esculentus* occurred in moderate frequencies (31–86% per each locality, 38% of all individuals), and *P. lessonae* was represented by a single individual (0–5% per each locality, 0.6% of all individuals).

We recorded two types of populations occurring in HNP. In NKC and HFP, we found *P. ridibundus* and *P. esculentus* individuals (RE population) and a population with all three taxa, but dominated by *P. esculentus* occurred at EPMS (LER population; Table 3). In NKC and HFP, both sexes of *P. ridibundus* co-occurred with females and males of *P. esculentus*. In NKC, 95% of the hybrids were females, while in HFP, the proportion was 61% compared to males.

Ploidy level of hybridogenetic hybrids

We screened a total of 63 hybrid individuals from all three populations and diagnosed their ploidy level with a set of microsatellite loci (Table 2). The number of different alleles per locus ranged from 1 to 10, with a mean of 5.8. All individuals were indicated to be LR genotype. Three primers (Gala19, RICA1b5 and Rrid013A) amplified L and R loci with dosage effect (Fig. 2) demonstrating low levels of polymorphism, often a single L and one R allele were amplified in hybrids. In LLR frogs from a Slovak population, the L peak was clearly higher than the R peak, while in LR frogs, they were of similar height. For each locus, the dosage values clustered into two distinct groups. The three LLR individuals always fell in the left group (Fig. 2) confirming that triploid frogs are detectable by this method. The right group with dosage values around zero are classified as diploid LR frogs. It thus appears that no LRR frogs were present in the sample. The three reference LLR individuals had consistent LLR dosage values at all three loci and were heterozygous for several L-specific alleles at other loci, but the few individuals with LLR dosage values in our study differed for Gala19 ($N = 2$), RICA1b5 ($N = 4$) and Rrid013A ($N = 1$) and did not show heterozygosity for L-specific alleles at other loci. Therefore, those individuals were considered to be diploids.

Null alleles, genetic diversity and clonality in *P. esculentus*

The highest frequency of null alleles was found in the locus Re1Caga10 (0.313 and 0.217 in the L and R genome, respectively). This locus was subsequently expelled from the analyses

Table 3. Summary table of water frogs collected during the years 2012–2014 at HNP. The table also includes juvenile individuals ($N = 46$).

Locality	Taxon														
	<i>P. ridibundus</i>					<i>P. lessonae</i>					<i>P. esculentus</i>				
	N	%	♀	♂	ASR	N	%	♀	♂	ASR	N	%	♀	♂	ASR
NKC	42	68	17	18	0.51	0	0	0	0	–	20	32	18	1	0.05
HFP	56	69	12	24	0.66	0	0	0	0	–	25	31	11	7	0.38
EPMS	2	10	1	0	0	1	5	0	0	–	18	86	5	0	0
Total	100	61	30	42	0.58	1	0.6	0	0	–	63	38	34	8	0.19

ASR, adult sex ratio is expressed by convention as the proportion of males in the adult population.

doi: 10.1111/jzs.12158

© 2016 Blackwell Verlag GmbH

of genetic diversity and clonality. Frequency of null alleles in other loci was low, ranging from 0 to 0.116.

Genetic diversity within *P. esculentus* was slightly higher in L than in R genome. Allelic richness and gene diversity ranged between 1.310–3.680 and 0.051–0.550 in L genome, and 1.900–3.610 and 0.287–0.447 in R genome, respectively (Table 4). Very low values of allelic richness (AR = 1.310) and gene diversity ($He = 0.051$) were observed in the L genome in the population HFP. Multilocus genotype (MLG) analysis revealed overall four frequent (four or more times encountered) MLGs in R and one in L genome, respectively, although frequency of MLGs varied among the localities (Table 5). In all localities, most hybrids had frequent R MLGs and rare L MLGs, although the frequency of a single L MLGs was high in HFP.

Multilocus disequilibrium (\bar{r}_d), a measure of clonality, varied in both genomes (L genome 0.017–1.000; R genome 0.051–0.375) (Table 6). The lowest \bar{r}_d value in the L genome was found at EPMS and co-occurred with the presence of a *P. lessonae* frog, with exclusively rare L MLGs and with the highest gene diversity (He) in the L genome. Thus, all available information agrees on high levels of recombination in the L genome at EPMS. Similarly, the lowest \bar{r}_d in the R genome was found at HFP, where the highest percentage of *P. ridibundus*, the highest number of rare R MLGs and the highest genetic diversity in the R genome (both AR and He) were also encountered. Again, the results obtained independently from population composition, genetic diversity and clonality agree with each

other indicating high levels of recombination in the R genome at HFP.

Discussion

Taxonomic composition of water frogs in Hortobágy National Park

At the three sites investigated in the Hortobágy National Park (HNP), the water frog population comprised all species of the *P. esculentus* complex. The collected material was dominated by *P. ridibundus* (61% of all sampled individuals) and *P. esculentus* (38% of all sampled individuals). In both taxa, males and females were detected. Our results correspond to previous studies

Table 4. Genetic diversity calculated separately for L and R genomes in hybrid individuals. Sample size at each locality is given in parenthesis.

Locality	L genome		R genome	
	AR	He	AR	He
NKC (20)	3.680	0.496	2.730	0.371
HFP (25)	1.310	0.051	3.610	0.447
EPMS (18)	3.330	0.550	1.900	0.287
Mean	3.140	0.469	3.010	0.389

AR, allelic richness; He , gene diversity.




Fig. 2. Differentiation of *P. esculentus* genotypes by the relative quantity of genome-specific PCR-amplified microsatellite loci. (a) Genotype-specific amplification patterns at three microsatellite loci. At locus Ga1a19, the allele of 99 base pairs is L specific; allele 105 is R specific. At locus RICA1b5, allele 120 is L specific; allele 135 is R specific. At locus Rrid013A, allele 288 is R specific and alleles 289 and 295 are L specific. The relative peak heights differ between hybrid genotypes. (b) Allele height ratios were log₁₀-transformed and ranged between –0.305 and 0.101 in Ga1a19; –0.479 and 0.071 in RICA1b5; –0.436 and 0.264 in Rrid013A. Legend: Ga1a19: □ – L99/R108, ○ – L99/R105; RICA1b5: □ – L122/R135, ○ – L120/R135; Rrid013A: ◇ – R288/L304, X – R288/L301, + – R288/L298, Δ – R288/L295, □ – R288/L292, ● – filled symbols are reference LLR individuals.

Table 5. Multilocus genotype (MLG) analysis in three localities of the *P. esculentus* complex. R-A to R-D and L-A represent different multilocus genotypes detected in R and L genome of *P. esculentus* with a frequency of four or more. MLGs presented only one to three times were summarized as R others and L others.

Multilocus genotypes	NKC	HFP	EPMS
R-A	3	0	6
R-B	1	4	0
R-C	4	5	5
R-D	4	3	3
R others	7	12	4
L-A	5	20	0
L others	15	5	18

Table 6. Multilocus disequilibrium (\bar{r}_d), a measure of clonality, calculated separately for L and R genomes in hybrid individuals. Sample size per each locality in parenthesis.

Locality	L genome	R genome
NKC (20)	0.107	0.221
HFP (25)	1.000	0.051
EPMS (18)	0.017	0.375
Mean	0.375	0.216

reporting *P. ridibundus* and *P. esculentus* from the region (Marián 1963; Dely 1967; Murai et al. 1983). At EPMS, we collected a single juvenile *P. lessonae* individual. *Pelophylax lessonae* may be more abundant in EPMS or our results were affected by small sample size ($N = 21$). It is also possible that *P. lessonae* has suffered population loss and is particularly rare in HNP. Mészáros and Bartos (1978) confirmed the presence of *P. lessonae* in HFP, but they did not provide sample size data of collected specimens, so we cannot make any conclusions about the past abundance. Given the protected status of the study site, we do not hypothesize that there have been such significant anthropogenic changes inside HNP, implying that regional effects and climate change may play an important role. On the other hand, Mester et al. (2015) reported higher frequencies of *P. lessonae* at EPMS based on morphological and call monitoring data. This may support our first explanation about low sample size.

At two permanently flooded sites, we found RE populations dominated by *P. ridibundus* and living sympatrically with *P. esculentus*, while the site with temporary water level proved to be an LER population, on which both parental species and the hybrid co-occurred. The characteristics of the habitats in HFP and NKC seem to be optimal for *P. ridibundus* which prefers large and deep water bodies where the water is continuously renewed with oxygen (Morand and Joly 1995; Holenweg and Reyer 2000; Pagano et al. 2001; Mikulíček et al. 2015). This matches our findings, in which 98% ($N = 98$) of the *P. ridibundus* individuals were collected from sites with these habitat characteristics. *Pelophylax esculentus* shows no strict characteristics in habitat preference and it can be found practically in every type of water bodies (Berger 1973; Ildos and Ancona 1994). In the examined populations, hybrids occurred in all types of wetland habitats in HNP, while the only one collected *P. lessonae* individual was found in the shallow water body with temporary water level in EPMS as previously reported by Mester et al. (2015). After the regulation of the river Tisza in the 19th century at EPMS, the extensive floods have disappeared and erosion intensified, which all led to an accelerated alkalization of the region. Today, the area characterized by extensive Pannonic salt steppes and marshes (Aradi et al. 2003). In the past few decades,

the water supply systems of the marshes were reconstructed by the result of a LIFE-Nature programme (Déri et al. 2009). This human-mediated environmental changes may provide possibly new habitats for *P. lessonae*, which populations show declining trend in Central Europe (Holsbeek and Jooris 2009; Mayer et al. 2013).

Ploidy level

In this study, we examined the genotypic composition of 63 *P. esculentus* individuals using microsatellite loci. Nine frogs showed contradictions in their genotype determination. One locus indicated LLR genotype (Fig. 2) either by dosage effect (seven individuals) or by heterozygosity (two individuals) but all the remaining loci in these frogs suggested LR genotype. Thus, we considered all examined hybrids to be LR diploids. In contrast, the three LLR reference frogs from Slovakia were easily recognizable as triploids as they showed dosage effect and/or heterozygosity at 4–5 loci. Although our data set did not include any LRR individuals, the principle would have been the same. We therefore conclude that any triploid frogs would reliably have been distinguished from LR frogs with the current set of microsatellites as previously demonstrated in several other studies (Christiansen 2005; Hoffmann et al. 2015; Pruvost et al. 2015).

The presence of triploids in Hungarian water frog population was reported by Tunner and Heppich-Tunner (1992) in north-western Hungary. They described a population system comprising *P. ridibundus* of both sexes, diploid *P. esculentus* of both sexes and exclusively male triploid (LLR) *P. esculentus*. The ploidy level of hybrids was confirmed with electrophoresis of the albumin locus and chromosome examination. The observation of Tunner and Heppich-Tunner (1992) was not confirmed recently by the study of Pruvost et al. (2015) and by our field research (J. Vörös, D. Herczeg, L. Choleva, P. Mikulíček; unpublished data) in the same area. Therefore, that was the only report of a triploid population in Hungary; all others (Mészáros and Bartos 1978; Berger et al. 1988; Gubányi and Korsós 1992; this study) encountered only diploid hybrids.

Using population genetics to infer breeding system

Syntopic occurrence of hybrids with *P. ridibundus* tends to be uncommon in Europe; isolated populations observed in eastern Ukraine (Borkin et al. 2004; Biriuk et al. 2016), western and eastern Poland (Rybacki and Berger 2001), Germany (Günther 1990), France (Pagano et al. 1997) and Slovakia (Mikulíček et al. 2015). Whether hybrids in Hungarian RE populations eliminate the R genome and form L gametes, as in some German and Polish populations (Uzzell and Berger 1975; Berger 1977; Uzzell et al. 1980) or the simultaneous production of the L and R gametes, as was observed in populations in Ukraine (Vinogradov et al. 1990, 1991; Biriuk et al. 2016) remains an open question that can only be fully answered by crossing experiments. Alternatively, Hungarian hybridogenetic lineages can produce R gametes (as in the vastly distributed LE system), be reproductively dependent on *P. lessonae* individuals, which, however, should reach extremely low densities in studied populations. In this case, *P. ridibundus* would not serve as a host species in hybridogenetic reproduction, but just coexists with hybrids, as was observed in the mid-Rhône drainage in France (Pagano et al. 1997) or in western Slovakia (Mikulíček et al. 2015).

In the absence of crossing experiments, we aimed to settle the question by assessing the level of clonality in the L and the R genome. If all L genomes in hybrids come from sexually

reproducing *P. lessonae*, and the R genomes come partly from clonal gametes of *P. esculentus* and partly but less frequently from sexually reproducing *P. ridibundus*, then the L genome should show no clonality (i.e. high number of MLGs and a value of \bar{r}_d around zero), while the R genome should show some evidence of clonality. Genetic diversity (both AR and H_e) decreases by clonal reproduction and should therefore be higher in the L than in the R genome.

The three localities analysed produce different results. In both, EPMS and NKC, as expected, the L genome was indeed less clonal (lower score of \bar{r}_d , higher number of MLGs) and had the higher level of genetic diversity than the R genome. This suggests that at EPMS and NKC, populations belong to the LE system typical in central Europe, in which hybrids make R gametes and only can produce new hybrids by mating with *P. lessonae*. This effect was especially apparent in EPMS. This makes sense, as EPMS was the locality with typical *P. lessonae*-specific habitat, where *P. ridibundus* was rare, where the only *P. lessonae* in the present study was found and where Mester et al. (2015) suggested a higher proportion of *P. lessonae*. In contrast, at NKC, the habitat was more similar to *P. ridibundus* preferences, *P. ridibundus* dominated in numbers and no *P. lessonae* was found there. If only few individuals of *P. lessonae* are present and have parented all the hybrids, genetic diversity in the L genome of the hybrids should indeed be low, as was observed at NKC. An even lower number of *P. lessonae* parents should produce a genetic signal in the hybrid offspring that is indistinguishable from clonal reproduction (low number of multilocus genotypes and high value of \bar{r}_d). This could explain why HFP could also belong to the LE system, although the L genome had a lower number of multilocus genotypes, a higher score of \bar{r}_d , and a lower level of genetic diversity than the R genome.

The application of \bar{r}_d to infer breeding system of water frogs in the present study is to our knowledge novel. Previously, \bar{r}_d was used to demonstrate genetic recombination in triploid hybrids in all-hybrid populations, but not to infer breeding system (Christiansen and Reyer 2009). We emphasize that the most accurate way to assess the type of gametes produced by hybrids and to determine the breeding system of water frogs is by crossing experiments; however, this is very time consuming. Therefore, our novel way of applying \bar{r}_d in relation to breeding system seems to have great potential for use in future genetic water frog studies and might thus help extend our understanding of breeding system and their distribution. This method clearly has its limitations related to the number and polymorphism of selected genetic markers which might explain that it did not provide the expected result for all populations tested, but these first results represented a valuable first contribution to gathering experience with the method for the benefit of future studies.

In conclusion, additional efforts need to be carried out (e.g. sampling during mating activity) to clarify the distribution of *P. lessonae* at HNP and this may strengthen our assumption about the breeding system of water frogs inhabited in this nature reserve.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the European Union and cofinanced by the European Social Fund through the Social Renewal Operational Programme under the projects TAMOP-4.2.2/B-10/1-2010-0024 and SRP-4.2.2/B-15/1/KONV-2015-0001. Additional financial support was provided by the Campus Hungary Short Term Study Program (B2/1R/14652 and B2/1R/17869). During the project, JV was financed by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K77841) and the Bolyai János Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. PM was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract no.

APVV-0147-15. The authors are indebted to Virág Krízsis, Orsolya Márton and Mária Tuschek in the Molecular Taxonomy Laboratory of the Hungarian Natural History Museum for their technical assistance and Zsolt Végvári and Béla Mester who helped us collecting frogs during fieldwork. We also acknowledge Edvárd Mizsei for implementing the map with QGIS. Furthermore, we are thankful to Attila Fülöp and Csongor I. Vágási in MTA-DE Lendület Behavioural Ecology Research Group and who, with his useful insights, helped us progress with the data processing, and Daniel R. Brooks who kindly helped to improve the language of the manuscript. Research permit was issued by the Tisza Region Inspectorate of Environment, Nature Conservation and Water Management (4633/OH/2012).

References

- Agapow PM, Burt A (2001) Indices of multilocus linkage disequilibrium. *Mol Ecol Notes* **1**:101–102.
- Aradi CS, Góri SZ, Lengyel SZ (2003) Az Egyek-Pusztaköcsi mocsárrendszer (Hortobágy). In: Teplán I (ed), A Tisza Folyó és Hidrológiai Rendszere. II. Stratégiai Tanulmányok a Magyar Tudományos Akadémián. IV. Program: A Területfejlesztési Tervezés Tudományos Alapozása, 4. Alprogram: A Tisza Folyó. Magyar Tudományos Akadémia Társadalomkutató Központ, Budapest, pp 277–306.
- Arioli M (2007) Reproductive patterns and population genetics in pure hybridogenetic water frog populations of *Rana esculenta*. PhD thesis, University of Zurich.
- Arioli M, Jakob C, Reyer H-U (2010) Genetic diversity in water frog hybrids (*Pelophylax esculentus*) varies with population structure and geographic location. *Mol Ecol* **19**:1814–1828.
- Berger L (1973) Systematics and hybridization in European green frogs of *Rana esculenta* complex. *J Herpetol* **7**:1–10.
- Berger L (1977) Systematics and hybridization in the *Rana esculenta* complex. In: Taylor DH, Guttman SI (eds), The Reproductive Biology of Amphibians. Springer, US, New York, pp 367–388.
- Berger L (1988) An all-hybrid water frog population persisting in agroecosystems of central Poland (Amphibia, Salientia, Ranidae). *P Acad Nat Sci Phila* **140**:202–219.
- Berger L, Roguski H, Uzzell T (1978) Triploid F2 progeny of water frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex). *Folia Biol (Krakow)* **26**:135–152.
- Berger L, Uzzell T, Hotz H (1988) Sex determination and sex ratios in western palearctic water frogs: XX and XY female hybrids in the Pannonian basin? *P Acad Nat Sci Phila* **140**:220–239.
- Biriuk OV, Shabanov DA, Korshunov AV, Borkin LJ, Lada GA, Pasyukova RA, Rosanov JM, Litvinchuk SN (2016) Gamete production patterns and mating systems in water frogs of the hybridogenetic *Pelophylax esculentus* complex in north-eastern Ukraine. *J Zool Syst Evol Res* **54**:215–225.
- Bolkay I (1909) A magyarországi békák lárvái. Die Larven der in Ungarn einheimischen Batrachier. *Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici* **8**:71–117.
- Borkin LJ, Korshunov AV, Lada GA, Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Shabanov DA, Zinenko AI (2004) Mass occurrence of polyploid green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex) in Eastern Ukraine. *Russ J Herpetol* **11**:194–213.
- Christiansen DG (2005) A microsatellite-based method for genotyping diploid and triploid water frogs of the *Rana esculenta* hybrid complex. *Mol Ecol Notes* **5**:190–193.
- Christiansen DG, Reyer H-U (2009) From clonal to sexual hybrids: genetic recombination via triploids in all-hybrid populations of water frogs. *Evolution (NY)* **63**:1754–1768.
- Christiansen DG, Fog K, Pedersen BV, Boomsma JJ (2005) Reproduction and hybrid load in all-hybrid populations of *Rana esculenta* water frogs in Denmark. *Evolution (NY)* **59**:1348–1361.
- Dely OGY (1953) Bátorliget kétéltű-, hüllő-faunája Amphibia-Reptilia. In: Székessy V (ed), Bátorliget Élővilága. ????, Budapest, pp 431–434.
- Dely OGY (1967) Kétéltűek-Amphibia. *Fauna Hungariae* **20**:1–80.
- Déri E, Lengyel Sz, Lontay L, Deák B, Török P, Magura T, Horváth R, Kisfali M, Ruff G, Tóthmérész B (2009) Természetvédelmi stratégiák alkalmazása a Hortobágyon: az egyek-pusztaköcsi LIFE-Nature program eredményei. *Természetvédelmi Közlemények* **15**:89–102.

- Ebendal T (1979) Distribution, morphology and taxonomy of the Swedish green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex). *Mitt Zool Mus Berlin* **55**:143–152.
- Ecsedi Z (2004) A Hortobágy Madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros-Szeged.
- Fejérvári GJ (1921) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der raniden-fauna ungarans. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* **10**:18–22.
- Fejérvári-Lángh AM (1943) Beiträge und Berichtigungen zum Amphibien-Teil des ungarischen Faunenkaloges. *Fragmenta Faunistica Hungarica* **6**:42–58.
- Fog K (1994) Water frogs in Denmark: population types and biology. *Zool Polon* **39**:305–330.
- Garner TWJ, Gautschi B, Röthlisberger S, Reyer H-U (2000) A set of CA repeat microsatellite markers derived from the pool frog, *Rana lessonae*. *Mol Ecol* **9**:2173–2175.
- Graf JD, Polls-Pelaz M (1989) Evolutionary genetics of the *Rana esculenta* complex. In: Dawley RM, Bogart JP (eds), *Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates*. ????, New York, pp 289–302.
- Gubányi A (1988) Some question of taxonomy and population structure of European water frogs. Dissertation, University of Agriculture, Gödöllő
- Gubányi A (1990) Comparative analyses on the population structure of the Hungarian water frogs. *Állattani Közlemények* **76**:63–71.
- Gubányi A (1992) Distribution of green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex, Anura: Ranidae) in Hungary. In: Korsós Z, Kiss I (eds) *Proceedings of the 6th Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica*. ?????, Budapest, pp 205–210.
- Gubányi A, Korsós Z (1992) Morphological analysis of two Hungarian water frog (*Rana lessonae-esculenta*) populations. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **13**:235–243.
- Gubányi A, Pekli J (1991) Contribution to the knowledge of green frog populations (*Rana esculenta* complex, Anura, Amphibia) of the Kis-Balaton Landscape Protection Area, Hungary. *Miscellanea Zoologica Hungarica* **6**:13–22.
- Günther R (1973) Über die verwandtschaftlichen Beziehungen zwischen den europäischen Grünfröschen und dem Bastardcharakter von *Rana esculenta* L. (Anura). *Zool Anz* **190**:250–285.
- Günther R (1977) Die Erythrozytengröße als Kriterium zur Unterscheidung diploider und triploider Teichfrösche, *Rana "esculenta"* L. (Anura). *Biol Zbl* **96**:457–466.
- Günther R (1990) Die Wasserfrösche Europas. A. Ziemsen Verlag, Wittenberg Lutherstadt.
- Günther R, Plötner J (1994) Morphometric, enzymological and bioacoustic studies in Italian water frogs (Amphibia, Ranidae). *Zoologica Poloniae* **39**:387–415.
- Günther R, Plötner J, Tetzlaff I (1991) Zu einigen Merkmalen der Wasserfrösche (*Rana* synkl. *esculenta*) des Donau-Deltas. *Salamandra* **27**:249–265.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl Acid S* **41**:95–98.
- Hardy OJ, Vekemans X (2002) SPAGeDi: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. *Mol Ecol Notes* **2**:618–620.
- Hauswaldt JS, Höer M, Ogielska M, Christiansen DG, Dziewulska-Szwajkowska D, Czernicka EA, Vences M (2012) A simplified molecular method for distinguishing among species and ploidy levels in European water frogs (*Pelophylax*). *Mol Ecol Resour* **12**:797–805.
- Hoffmann A, Plötner J, Pruvost NBM, Christiansen DG, Röthlisberger S, Choleva L, Mikulíček P, Cogălniceanu D, Sas-Kovács I, Shabanov D, Morozov-Leonov S, Reyer H-U (2015) Genetic diversity and distribution patterns of diploid and polyploid hybrid water frog populations (*Pelophylax esculentus* complex) across Europe. *Mol Ecol* **24**:4371–4391.
- Holenweg A-K, Reyer H-U (2000) Hibernation behavior of *Rana lessonae* and *R. esculenta* in their natural habitat. *Oecologia* **123**:41–47.
- Holsbeek G, Jooris R (2009) Potential impact of genome exclusion by alien species in the hybridogenetic water frog (*Pelophylax esculentus* complex). *Biol Invasions* **12**:1–13.
- Hotz H, Uzzell T (1982) Biochemically detected sympatry of two water frog species: two different cases in the Adriatic Balkans (Amphibia, Ranidae). *P Acad Nat Sci Phila* **134**:50–79.
- Hotz H, Uzzell T, Guex G-T, Alpers D, Semlitsch RD, Beerli P (2001) Microsatellites: a tool for evolutionary genetic studies of western Palearctic water frogs. *Mitt Mus Nat kd Berl, Zool R* **77**:43–50.
- Ildos A, Ancona N (1994) Analysis of amphibian habitat preferences in a farmland area (Po plain, northern Italy). *Amphibia-Reptilia* **15**:307–316.
- Kotlík P, Šulová K (1994) Syntopic occurrence of three taxa of water frogs in Czech Republic. *Zool Pol* **39**:417–424.
- Lów P, Sass M, Csörgő T, Török J (1989) Biochemical investigation of the *Rana esculenta* complex in the Kis-Balaton Nature Reserve, Hungary. *Acta Biol Hung* **40**:137–144.
- Lymberakis P, Poulakakis N, Manthalaou G, Tsigenopoulos CS, Magoulas A, Mylonas M (2007) Mitochondrial phylogeography of *Rana (Pelophylax)* populations in the Eastern Mediterranean region. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **44**:115–125.
- Marián M (1963) A Közép-Tisza kételtű és hullóvilága. Móra Ferenc Múzeum évkönyve **1**:207–231.
- Mayer M, Hawlitschek O, Zahn A, Glaw F (2013) Composition of twenty green frog populations (*Pelophylax*) across Bavaria, Germany. *Salamandra* **49**:31–44.
- Mester B, Lengyel S, Puky M (2015) Low frequency of amphibian morphological anomalies in a large protected wetland and grassland complex in Hungary. *Herpetol Conserv Biol* **10**:679–687.
- Mészáros B (1973) Critical studies on karyotypes of eight anuran species from Hungary and some problems concerning the evolution of the order. *Acta Biologica Debrecina* **10–11**:151–161.
- Mészáros B, Bartos L (1978) The karyological investigation of the three ranid species to be found in Hungary. *Acta Biologica Debrecina* **15**:239–256.
- Mezhzhherin SV, Morozov-Leonov SY, Rostovskaia OV, Shabanov DA, Sobolenko LY (2010) Ploidy and genetic structure of hybrid populations of water frogs *Pelophylax esculentus* (L., 1758) complex (Amphibia, Ranidae) of Ukraine. *Cytol Genet* **44**:23–28.
- Mikulíček P, Kotlík P (2001) Two water frog populations from western Slovakia consisting of diploid females and diploid and triploid males of the hybridogenetic hybrid *Rana esculenta* (Anura, Ranidae). *Mitt Mus Nat kd Berl, Zool R* **77**:59–64.
- Mikulíček P, Pišút P (2012) Genetic structure of the marsh frog (*Pelophylax ridibundus*) populations in urban landscape. *Eur J Wildl Res* **58**:833–845.
- Mikulíček P, Kautman M, Kautman J, Pruvost NBM (2015) Mode of hybridogenesis and habitat preferences influence population composition of water frogs (*Pelophylax esculentus* complex, Anura: Ranidae) in a region of sympatric occurrence (western Slovakia). *J Zool Syst Evol Res* **53**:124–132.
- Morand A, Joly P (1995) Habitat variability and space utilization by the amphibian communities of the French upper-rhone floodplain. *Hydrobiologia* **300–301**:249–257.
- Murai É, Sulgostowska T, Matskási I, Mészáros F, Molnár K (1983) Parasitic helminths of vertebrates (fishes, amphibians, reptiles and birds) in the Hortobágy National Park. In: Mahunka S (ed), *The Fauna of the Hortobágy National Park*. Akadémia Kiadó, Budapest, pp 15–30.
- Ogielska M, Kazana K, Kierzkowski P (2001) DNA content in erythrocyte nuclei of water frogs from a pure *Rana esculenta* population in Dębki (Gdańsk district, Poland). *Mitt Mus Nat kd Berl, Zool R* **77**:65–70.
- Pagano A, Joly P, Hotz H (1997) Taxon composition and genetic variation of water frogs in the Mid-Rhone floodplain. *Ecology* **320**:759–766.
- Pagano A, Crochet PA, Graf J-D, Joly P, Lodé T (2001) Distribution and habitat use of water frog hybrid complexes in France. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* **10**:433–441.
- Patrelle C, Ohst T, Picard D, Pagano A, Sourice S, Dallay M-G, Plötner J (2011) A new PCR-RFLP-based method for an easier systematic affiliation of European water frogs. *Mol Ecol Resour* **11**:200–205.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* **6**:288–295.
- Plötner J (2005) Die westpaläarktischen Wasserfrösche. Von Martyrem der Wissenschaft zur Biologischen Sensation. Laurenti Verlag, Bielefeld.

- 1 Plötner J, Klinkhardt M (1992) Investigations of the genetic structure and
2 the morphometry of a pure hybrid population of *Rana* kl. *esculenta*
3 (Anura, Ranidae) in north Germany. *Zool Anz* **229**:163–184.
- 4 Plötner J, Köhler F, Uzzell T, Beerli P, Schreiber R, Guex G-G, Hotz H
5 (2009) Evolution of serum albumin intron-1 is shaped by a 5' truncated
6 non-long terminal repeat retrotransposon in western Palearctic water
7 frogs (Neobatrachia). *Mol Phylogenetic Evol* **53**:784–791.
- 8 Plötner J, Baier F, Akin C, Mazepa G, Schreiber R, Beerli P, Litvinchuk
9 SN, Bilgin CC, Borkin L, Uzzell T (2012) Genetic data reveal the
10 water frogs of Cyprus (genus *Pelophylax*) are an endemic species of
11 Messinian origin. *Zoosyst Evol* **88**:261–283.
- 12 Polls Pelaz M, Graf J-D (1988) Erythrocyte size as an indicator of ploidy
13 level in *Rana* kl. *esculenta* before and after metamorphosis. *Alytes*
14 **7**:53–61.
- 15 Polls-Pelaz M (1994) Modes of gametogenesis among kleptons of the
16 hybridogenetic water frog complex: an evolutionary synthesis. *Zool*
17 *Polon* **39**:123–138.
- 18 Pruvost NBM, Hoffmann A, Reyer H-U (2013) Gamete production patterns,
19 ploidy, and population genetics reveal evolutionary significant units in
20 hybrid water frogs (*Pelophylax esculentus*). *Ecol Evol* **3**:2933–2946.
- 21 Pruvost NBM, Mikulíček P, Choleva L, Reyer H-U (2015) Contrasting
22 reproductive strategies of triploid hybrid males in vertebrate mating
23 systems. *J Evol Biol* **28**:189–204.
- 24 Rybacki M, Berger L (2001) Types of water frog populations (*Rana*
25 *esculenta* complex) in Poland. *Mitt Mus Nat kd Berl, Zool R* **77**:51–
26 57.
- 27 Tognarelli G, Zuffi MAL, Marracci S, Ragghianti M (2014) Surveys on
28 populations of green frogs (*Pelophylax*) of Western Tuscany sites with
29 molecular and morphometric methods. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **35**:99–105.
- 30 Tunner HG, Heppich-Tunner S (1992) A new population system of water
31 frogs discovered in Hungary. In: Korsós Z, Kiss I (eds), Proceedings
32 of the Sixth Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea
33 Herpetologica. ???, Budapest, pp 453–460.
- 34 Uzzell T, Berger L (1975) Electrophoretic phenotypes of *Rana*
35 *ridibunda*, *Rana lessonae*, and their hybridogenetic associate, *Rana*
36 *esculenta*. *P Acad Nat Sci Phila* **127**:13–24.
- 37 Uzzell T, Hotz H, Berger L (1980) Genome exclusion in gametogenesis
38 by an interspecific *Rana* hybrid: evidence from electrophoresis of
39 individual oocytes. *J Exp Zool* **214**:251–259.
- 40 Vinogradov AE, Borkin LJ, Günther R, Rosanov JM (1990) Genome
41 elimination in diploid and triploid *Rana esculenta* males: cytological
42 evidence from DNA flow cytometry. *Genome* **33**:619–627.
- 43 Vinogradov AE, Borkin LJ, Günther R, Rosanov JM (1991) Two germ
44 cell lineages with genomes of different species in one and the same
45 animal. *Hereditas* **114**:245–251.
- 46 Vrijenhoek R (1979) Factors affecting clonal diversity and coexistence.
47 *Am Zool* **19**:787–797.
- 48 Zeisset I, Rowe G, Beebe TJC (2000) Polymerase chain reaction
49 primers for microsatellite loci in the north European water frogs *Rana*
50 *ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*. *Mol Ecol* **9**:1173–1174.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Summary table of water frog specimens used in this study.