

European Journal of Ophthalmology

Astigmatism prevalence and biometric analysis in normal population

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	EJO-D-13-00097R1
Full Title:	Astigmatism prevalence and biometric analysis in normal population
Short Title:	Astigmatism prevalence in normal population
Article Type:	Original Article
Section/Category:	Cornea
Keywords:	astigmatism, with-the-rule, against-the-rule
Corresponding Author:	Gabor Nemeth University of Debrecen Debrecen, Hajdu Bihar HUNGARY
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Debrecen
First Author:	Gabor Nemeth
Order of Authors:	Gabor Nemeth Eszter Szalai, MD, PhD Andras Berta, MD, DSci Laszlo Modis, MD, DSci
Manuscript Region of Origin:	HUNGARY
Abstract:	<p>Introduction: Our aim was to analyze the magnitude, the orientation and the age-related changes of corneal astigmatism and its correlation with biometric parameters in healthy eyes.</p> <p>Patients and methods: Patients over 15 years were enrolled in our study. Exclusion criteria were previous ocular surgery, corneal disease or contact lens wearing. Axial length (AL), keratometric readings and anterior chamber depth (ACD) were assessed using IOLMaster.</p> <p>Results: In our study 1092 eyes were examined. The mean age was 69.64 ± 15.25 years (range: 15-100 years). AL was 23.32 ± 1.49 mm and ACD was 3.17 ± 2.03 mm. Higher AL and ACD values were observed in male patients. The overall astigmatism was 0.89 ± 0.72 D. The magnitude of astigmatism was ≥ 0.5 D in 73.53% of the cases, ≥ 1.0 D in 32.78%, ≥ 1.5 D in 13.55% and ≥ 2.0 D in 6.86%. In our population 582 eyes (53.3%) showed with-the-rule astigmatism, 309 (28.3%) against-the-rule, 201 (18.4%) oblique astigmatism regardless to gender. Significant against-the-rule astigmatic shift was verified with aging. Significant correlation was found between age and ACD ($r = -0.39$, $p < 0.001$), age and AL ($r = -0.15$, $p < 0.001$), AL and flat ($r = -0.54$, $p < 0.001$) and steep keratometric readings ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Conclusions: In order to obtain adequate refraction results, at the time of the cataract surgery a distinct attention should be drawn to ophthalmological biometric parameters which are continuously changing even in adulthood and to astigmatism above 1.0 D present in >32% of the population.</p>
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Financial support: indicate grants and funds in support of the Study. If none, please state so.	Financial/Proprietary Interest: Authors have no commercial or proprietary interests in any of the instruments used in this study.
Meeting Presentation: state if the manuscript was presented at a meeting, its name, place and date on which it was read.	None
Informed Consent: in case of manuscripts	The study was performed with informed consent and following all the guidelines for

<p>reporting the results of experimental investigation on human subjects, human derived material or human medical records include a statement that the study was performed with informed consent and following all the guidelines for experimental investigations required by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee of which all authors are affiliated.</p>	<p>experimental investigations required by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee.</p>
<p>Proprietary Interest: state whether any Author(s) have proprietary interest in the manuscript or not.</p>	<p>he study was performed with informed consent and following all the guidelines for experimental investigations required by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee of which all authors are affiliated</p>
<p>If your manuscript has been previously rejected by another publication please inform the Journal indicating how the manuscript has been improved according to the reviewers comments received.</p>	<p>None</p>

1 **Astigmatism prevalence and biometric analysis in normal population**
2

3
4
5 **Shot title: Astigmatism prevalence in normal population**
6

7
8
9
10
11
12 Gabor Nemeth M.D., Ph.D., Eszter Szalai M.D., Ph.D., Andras Berta M.D., Ph.D., DSci.,
13

14 Laszlo Modis Jr. M.D., Ph.D., DSci.
15

16
17
18 Department of Ophthalmology, Medical and Health Science Center, University of Debrecen,
19

20 Debrecen, Hungary (Chairman: Prof. Andras Berta M.D., Ph.D., D.Sci.)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Corresponding author:
29

30
31 Gabor Nemeth M.D., Ph.D.
32

33
34
35 Department of Ophthalmology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
36

37
38
39 Nagyerdei Blvd. 98, H-4012 Debrecen, Hungary
40

41
42
43 Telephone: +36-52255456
44

45
46 Fax: +36-52255626
47

48
49
50 e-mail: nemeth222@yahoo.com
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 **Financial/Proprietary Interest: Authors have no commercial or proprietary interests in**
58 **any of the instruments used in this study.**
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Abstract**
2
3
4
5

6 *Introduction:* Our aim was to analyze the magnitude, the orientation and the age-related
7
8 changes of corneal astigmatism and its correlation with biometric parameters in healthy eyes.
9

10
11 *Patients and methods:* Patients over 15 years were enrolled in our study. Exclusion criteria
12
13 were previous ocular surgery, corneal disease or contact lens wearing. Axial length (AL),
14
15 keratometric readings and anterior chamber depth (ACD) were assessed using IOLMaster.
16

17
18 *Results:* In our study 1092 eyes were examined. The mean age was 69.64 ± 15.25 years (range:
19
20 15-100 years). AL was 23.32 ± 1.49 mm and ACD was 3.17 ± 2.03 mm. Higher AL and ACD
21
22 values were observed in male patients. The overall astigmatism was 0.89 ± 0.72 D. The
23
24 magnitude of astigmatism was ≥ 0.5 D in 73.53% of the cases, ≥ 1.0 D in 32.78%, ≥ 1.5 D in
25
26 13.55% and ≥ 2.0 D in 6.86%. In our population 582 eyes (53.3%) showed with-the-rule
27
28 astigmatism, 309 (28.3%) against-the-rule, 201 (18.4%) oblique astigmatism regardless to
29
30 gender. Significant against-the-rule astigmatic shift was verified with aging. Significant
31
32 correlation was found between age and ACD ($r = -0.39$, $p < 0.001$), age and AL ($r = -0.15$,
33
34 $p < 0.001$), AL and flat ($r = -0.54$, $p < 0.001$) and steep keratometric readings ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.001$).
35
36
37

38
39
40 *Conclusions:* In order to obtain adequate refraction results, at the time of the cataract surgery
41
42 a distinct attention should be drawn to ophthalmological biometric parameters which are
43
44 continuously changing even in adulthood and to astigmatism above 1.0 D present in >32% of
45
46 the population.
47

48
49
50
51 *Keywords:* astigmatism, with-the-rule, against-the-rule
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

It is commonly known, that the magnitude and orientation of astigmatism are continuously changing from birth (1-3). Only a few studies comprising large cohort of patients have been published on adulthood related astigmatic changes (4-8). Corneal astigmatism plays an important role in impairing visual acuity both in phakic and pseudophakic (9,10) eyes. In the past few years, the reduction of astigmatism during cataract operations has been of greater importance, which has a significant role in patient satisfaction after surgery as well. Previous publications have also reported significant pre-existing astigmatism calling for correction (4-6,11) in a high percentage of patients waiting for surgery, so it is not at all surprising that such surgical techniques are being emphasized especially with the appearance of advancing sophisticated techniques.

Our goal was to examine the magnitude, the orientation, the age- and gender-related changes of corneal astigmatism and their correlations with biometric parameters in a larger number of healthy patients.

Patients and methods

Our examinations were performed in patients presenting for eye examination at our clinic. An inclusion criterion was: **>15 years of age, anatomically normal anterior segment of the eye examined by slit-lamp biomicroscope**; exclusion criteria were previous ocular surgery, history of corneal disease, wearing of contact lenses and dry eye disturbing the examination.

Every eye was examined with IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany, software version 5.4). Axial length (AL) measurement was performed minimum 5 times in

1 each eye (signal/noise ratio minimum 100), then 3 keratometric data were assessed in an
2
3 automated mode and the mean calculated by the device was used for further computing.
4
5 Subsequent to keratometric measurements anterior chamber depth (ACD) was also measured
6
7
8 minimum 3 times.
9

10
11 When evaluating keratometric data, the orientation of corneal astigmatism was defined
12
13 as against-the-rule (ATR) astigmatism in case of steep corneal curvature between 0-30 and
14
15 150-180 degrees, oblique astigmatism between 30-60 and 120-150 degrees, with-the-rule
16
17 (WTR) astigmatism between 60-120 degrees.
18
19

20
21 The procedure of the examination was explained to the patients and the research
22
23 protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Statistical analysis was
24
25 performed with MedCalc 10.0 and Microsoft Excel softwares. Descriptive statistical results
26
27 were described as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for
28
29 the mean. Normality of data was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the normality was
30
31 rejected ($p < 0.05$), nonparametric test was used. Accordingly, certain groups and results were
32
33 compared with Student's t-probe or Mann-Whitney U test, in case of comparing more than
34
35 two groups ANOVA test was used. Spearman rank test was applied to explore correlations. P
36
37 value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Results**

46
47
48
49 In present study, 1092 eyes of 675 patients were examined. The female/male rate was
50
51 399/276. The mean age of patients was 69.64 \pm 15.25 years (range: 15-100 years, 95% CI:
52
53 68.73-70.54 years), there was no difference between genders ($p=0.5$). Axial length was
54
55 23.32 \pm 1.49 mm (range: 18.74-38.45 mm, 95% CI: 23.23-23.41 mm), anterior chamber depth
56
57 was 3.17 \pm 2.03 mm (range: 1.63-5.5 mm, 95% CI: 3.02-3.33 mm) in the examined population.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Regarding all biometric data obtained in our study and also age, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
2 rejects normality ($p < 0.001$). The keratometric value of the flat meridian was 43.53 ± 1.56 D
3
4 (range: 37.85-49.3 D, 95% CI: 43.44-43.26 D), while that of the steeper meridian was
5
6 44.43 ± 1.59 D (range: 39.25-49.06 D, 95% CI: 44.33-44.52 D). These values were gender
7
8 dependent. Overall astigmatism was 0.89 ± 0.72 D (range: 0-6.34 D, 95% CI: 0.85-0.94 D),
9
10 showing no significant difference between male and female patients. The differences in some
11
12 biometric parameters between males and females are shown in details by Table 1.
13
14
15
16

17
18 The magnitude of astigmatism was ≥ 0.5 D in 73.53% of the cases, ≥ 1.0 D in 32.78%,
19
20 ≥ 1.5 D in 13.55%, ≥ 2.0 D in 6.86% and ≥ 3.0 D in 2.47%, and no significant deviation was
21
22 found measured separately for men and women. The distribution of the astigmatic ranges
23
24 measured in present population is shown by Table 2. WTR was observed in 582 cases
25
26 (53.3%), ATR in 309 cases (28.3%) and oblique astigmatism in 201 cases (18.4%) of the
27
28 investigated population. This rate showed no significant gender-dependence. The rate and
29
30 orientation of astigmatism are presented by a graph in Figure 1.
31
32
33
34

35 A significant negative correlation was verified between age and ACD ($r = -0.39$,
36
37 $p < 0.001$), age and AL ($r = -0.15$, $p < 0.001$), AL and flat keratometric ($r = -0.54$, $p < 0.001$) and
38
39 steep keratometric values ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.001$) in both genders. There was a weak but
40
41 statistically significant correlation between age and the magnitude of astigmatism ($r = -0.08$,
42
43 $p = 0.01$).
44
45
46

47 In case we divide the examined population into age groups, significant differences
48
49 independent of gender can be observed. The WTR rate of 86.6% detected in the 15-25 age
50
51 group is continuously decreasing to 43% by the age of 80, meanwhile the rate of ATR
52
53 increases from 0% to 34% (Figure 2).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Discussion

Astigmatism highly affects visual acuity in pseudophakic eyes as well (9,10), that is why its correction has been highly emphasized in cataract operations recently. Our data clearly show that almost one third of the population waiting for cataract surgery has ≥ 1.0 D corneal astigmatism. To obtain adequate refractive results, in such cases the correction of astigmatism seems to be reasonable.

A large number of data exist on childhood astigmatism, which shows astigmatism exceeding 1.0D in minimum 70% of the infants (12-14), with population-dependent prevalence (15). The literature describes far less prevalence in children (2,16,17). Other publications report an overall 0.65 D astigmatism at the age of 55 months (3). The rate of childhood astigmatism decreases with age (1,2,15,18,19); according to a longitudinal study, the most outstanding changes in the rate of astigmatism occur between the first and the second year of life,¹ and further decrease can be detected up to 6 years (1) and after (2,3). Other studies do not confirm such age-adjusted changes in children in various populations (13). Regarding the orientation of astigmatism, the WTR type is the most common in children (3,15), and in cases where astigmatism is >1.0 D it can even reach a rate of 90% in certain populations (13). Dobson's researches found ATR dominancy under 3.5 years and WTR dominancy above 5.5 years (2). Several studies verified predominant ATR in infants (1), and regarding the meridian of astigmatism found no significant changes between 1-4 years (1).

Only a few studies have been published on the investigation of astigmatic parameters in adulthood in a large cohort of patients (4-8), though data collected in Central Europe are still not known. Consequently, the rate of astigmatism is an average of 1.0 D (4-6,11,20), which complies well with our data. Regarding astigmatism prevalence, our data differ from

1 those of Khan's (4), measured with IOLMaster: we observed similar values below 0.5 D;
2
3 however, we detected more astigmatic values below 1.5 D (86.45% vs. 79.5%) and fewer
4
5 above 1.0 D (32.78% vs. 40.4%). The later is distinctly high in the population waiting for
6
7 cataract surgery in our study. Other publications report even higher values on the rate of
8
9 astigmatism above 1.5 D (13.55% vs. 22%) (6). Hoffer's first study, carried out in a large
10
11 cohort of patients, also observed <0.5 astigmatism in 40-45% of the patients (5), which is
12
13 significantly higher than values detected in his further researches and in our study, however
14
15 different measurement techniques were used. Two presently published studies (6,7) issued
16
17 basically the same data in regarding the prevalence of <1.0 D astigmatism. In addition to
18
19 significant differences observed in population-related childhood astigmatism, similar
20
21 differences have been reported on adults (21), therefore our data measured in a Central-
22
23 European population may be of an interest.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Regarding age-related changes, it is well known, that prevalence of astigmatism above
32
33 2.0 D is gradually increasing and that below 0.5 D is continuously decreasing with age (20).
34
35 The orientation of corneal astigmatism however shifts with age: WTR common in youngsters
36
37 is gradually shifting to ATR and oblique astigmatism (7,8,20-24). Thus, ATR prevalence is
38
39 higher than WTR in adults (11,20), though in case of higher rate of astigmatism there is WTR
40
41 dominance (7). Our measurements confirm these normal age-related changes, though their
42
43 reason is still not clearly known. There are publications reporting on significant ATR
44
45 astigmatism dominance above 60 years in diverse populations (25).
46
47
48
49

50
51 Only few of the studies aimed at assessing the correlation between other ocular
52
53 biometric data in a large cohort of patients (5,7,22) The mean axial length was 23.43 mm (7),
54
55 23.56 mm (22), 23.65 mm (5) and some other studies also observed a slight decrease with
56
57 aging (22,26). The overall flat keratometric data are around 43.4-43.5 D (4,6), steep
58
59 keratometric data are about 44.0-44.5 D (4,6), similarly to our data. Our findings regarding
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the age-adjusted correlations with keratometric values, axial length, lens thickness and
2
3 anterior chamber depth support the results of some previous studies (7,27,28). These changes,
4
5 considered as part of aging, can partly be explained with the reduction in the length of the
6
7 constituent collagen fibres in tissues (2). Differences in AL, ACD and keratometric values can
8
9 be observed between genders, and it is also supported by other publications (7,8,26), however
10
11 the magnitude and the orientation of corneal astigmatism is not gender dependent according to
12
13 our data.
14
15
16
17

18 In summary, our keratometric data obtained from an adult population with a wide
19
20 range of ages from Central Europe slightly differ from data found in the literature thus they
21
22 add to the notion of the population dependent differences of astigmatism. Ophthalmological
23
24 biometric parameters are continuously changing after birth and in childhood, and undergo
25
26 further specific, though slower changes in adulthood. Corneal astigmatism in adulthood is
27
28 above 1.0 D in one third of the population, therefore it requires a greater emphasis if adequate
29
30 refractive results are to be obtained in cataract surgeries.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Legends for tables and figures**
5
6
7

8 Table 1: Gender differences regarding biometric parameters. **P values under 0.05 show**
9 **significant differences in biometric parameters between genders.** AL: axial length, ACD:
10 anterior chamber depth, K1: flat keratometric data, K2: steep keratometric data.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Table 2. The distribution of astigmatism according to diopter zones (n=1092).
18
19
20

21 Figure 1. The distribution of astigmatism in the examined population presented in a graph
22 (n=1092). Each point represents one patient. The distance from zero shows the magnitude of
23 astigmatism and the orientation is depicted by the arrangement in degrees.
24
25
26
27
28

29 Figure 2. Distribution of with-the-rule (WTR), against-the-rule (ATR) and oblique
30 astigmatism in the various age groups (n=1092).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **References**
2
3
4
5

- 6 1. Abrahamsson M, Fabian G, Sjöstrand J. Changes in astigmatism between the ages of 1 and
7 4 years: a longitudinal study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1988; 72: 145-149.
8
9
10 2. Dobson V, Fulton AB, Sebris SL. Cycloplegic refraction of infants and young children: the
11 axis of astigmatism. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1984; 25:83-87.
12
13 3. Fan DS, Rao SK, Cheung EY, Islam M, Chew S, Lam DS. Astigmatism in Chinese
14 preschool children: prevalence, change, and effect on refractive development. *Br J*
15 *Ophthalmol* 2004; 88: 938-941.
16
17 4. Khan MI, Muhtaseb M. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism in patients having routine
18 cataract surgery at a teaching hospital in the United Kingdom. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2011;
19 37: 1751-1755.
20
21 5. Hoffer KJ. Biometry of 7,500 cataractous eyes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1980; 90: 360-368.
22
23 6. Ferrer-Blasco T, Montés-Micó R, Peixoto-de-Matos SC, González-Méijome JM, Cerviño
24 A. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009;
25 35: 70-75.
26
27 7. Hoffmann PC, Hütz WW. Analysis of biometry and prevalence data for corneal
28 astigmatism in 23,239 eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010; 36: 1479-1485.
29
30 8. Ninn-Pedersen K. Relationships between preoperative astigmatism and corneal optical
31 power, axial length, intraocular pressure, gender, and patient age. *J Refract Surg* 1996; 12:
32 472-482.
33
34 9. Hayashi K, Manabe S, Yoshida M, Hayashi H. Effect of astigmatism on visual acuity in
35 eyes with a diffractive multifocal intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010; 36: 1323-
36 1329.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 10. Hayashi K, Hayashi H, Nakao F, Hayashi F. Influence of astigmatism on multifocal and
2 monofocal intraocular lenses. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000; 130: 477-482.
- 3
4
5
6 11. Riley AF, Grupcheva CN, Malik TY, Craig JP, McGhee CN. The Auckland Cataract
7
8
9
10
11
12 Study: demographic, corneal topographic and ocular biometric parameters. *Clin Experiment*
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
12. Howland HC, Sayles N. Photorefractive measurements of astigmatism in infants and
young children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1984; 25: 93-102.
13. Harvey EM, Dobson V, Miller JM, Schwiegerling J, Clifford-Donaldson CE, Green
TK, Messer DH. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism in Tohono O'odham Native American
children 6 months to 8 years of age. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011; 52: 4350-4355.
14. Mohindra I, Held R, Gwiazda J, Brill J. Astigmatism in infants. *Science* 1978; 202: 329-
330.
15. Fozailoff A, Tarczy-Hornoch K, Cotter S, Fozailoff A, Tarczy-Hornoch K, Cotter S, Wen
G, Lin J, Borchert M, Azen S, Varma R; Writing Committee for the MEPEDS Study Group.
Prevalence of astigmatism in 6- to 72-month-old African American and Hispanic children: the
Multi-ethnic Pediatric Eye Disease Study. *Ophthalmology* 2011; 118: 284-293.
16. Tong L, Saw SM, Carkeet A, Chan WY, Wu HM, Tan D. Prevalence rates and
epidemiological risk factors for astigmatism in Singapore schoolchildren. *Optom Vis Sci*
2002; 79: 606-613.
17. Gwiazda J, Scheiman M, Mohindra I, Held R. Astigmatism in children: changes in axis
and amount from birth to 6 years. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1984; 25: 88-92.
18. Fulton AB, Dobson Y, Salem D, Mar C, Petersen RA, Hansen RM. Cycloplegic refraction
in infants and young children. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1980; 90: 239-247.
19. Atkinson J, Bradick O, French J. Infant astigmatism: its disappearance with age. *Vision*
Res 1980; 40: 891-893.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
20. Asano K, Nomura H, Iwano M, Ando F, Niino N, Shimokata H, Miyake Y. Relationship between astigmatism and aging in middle-aged and elderly Japanese. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 2005; 49: 127-133.
 21. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Yekta A, Jafarzadehpur E, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Fotouhi A. High prevalence of astigmatism in the 40- to 64-year-old population of Shahroud, Iran. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2012; 40: 247-254.
 22. Gudmundsdottir E, Arnarsson A, Jonasson F. Five-year refractive changes in an adult population: Reykjavik Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2005; 112: 672-677.
 23. Fledelius HC, Stubgaard M. Changes in refraction and corneal curvature during growth and adult life. A cross-sectional study. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)* 1986; 64: 487-491.
 24. Gudmundsdottir E, Jonasson F, Jonsson V, Stefánsson E, Sasaki H, Sasaki K. "With the rule" astigmatism is not the rule in the elderly. Reykjavik Eye Study: a population based study of refraction and visual acuity in citizens of Reykjavik 50 years and older. Iceland-Japan Co-Working Study Groups. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 2000; 78: 642-646.
 25. Leung TW, Lam AK, Deng L, Kee CS. Characteristics of astigmatism as a function of age in a Hong Kong clinical population. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012; 89: 984-992.
 26. Jivrajka R, Shammas MC, Boenzi T, Swearingen M, Shammas HJ. Variability of axial length, anterior chamber depth, and lens thickness in the cataractous eye. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2008; 34: 289-294.
 27. Leighton DA, Tomlinson A. Changes in axial length and other dimensions of the eyeball with increasing age. *Acta Ophthalmol* 1972; 50: 815-826.
 28. Lowe RF, Clark BA. Posterior corneal curvature. Correlations in normal eyes and in eyes involved with primary angle-closure glaucoma. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1973; 57: 464-470.

Summary statement

1
2
3
4
5 In a large series of patients, the astigmatism was 0.89 ± 0.72 D (range: 0-6.34 D, 95%
6
7 CI: 0.85-0.94 D, >1.0 D in $>32\%$), showing no significant difference between male and
8
9 female. The magnitude of astigmatism was ≥ 0.5 D in 73.53% of the cases, ≥ 1.0 D in 32.78%
10
11 and ≥ 1.5 D in 13.55%.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



	female			male			p
	mean±SD	range	95% CI	mean±SD	range	95% CI	
AL (mm)	23.14±1.59	18.74-38.45	23.01-23.26	23.5±1.37	18.74-32.54	23.39-23.61	<0.001
ACD (mm)	3.05±0.47	1.63-4.6	3.01-3.1	3.19±0.44	1.8-4.57	3.14-3.23	<0.001
K1 (D)	43.8±1.52	39.43-49.34	43.68-43.92	43.14±1.53	37.8-47.5	43.0-43.28	<0.001
K2 (D)	44.68±1.58	40.18-50.9	44.56-44.81	44.06±1.54	39.25-49.15	43.92-44.2	<0.001
astigmatism (D)	0.91±0.73	0-6.18	0.85-0.98	0.88±0.71	0-6.34	0.82-0.94	0.43

Table 1.

D range	n	%
0.0-0.49	289	26.46
0.5-0.99	445	40.75
1.0-1.49	210	19.23
1.5-1.99	73	6.69
2.0-2.49	37	3.39
2.5-2.99	11	1.01
3.0-3.49	11	1.01
>3.5	16	1.46

Table 2.