Accepted Manuscript

Synthesis of disaccharide fragments of the AT-III binding domain of heparin and their sulfonatomethyl analogues

Mihály Herczeg, László Lázár, Attila Mándi, Anikó Borbás, István Komáromi, András Lipták, Sándor Antus

PII:\$0008-6215(11)00343-0DOI:10.1016/j.carres.2011.06.021Reference:CAR 5836

To appear in:

Carbohydrate Research

Received Date:10 November 2010Revised Date:8 June 2011Accepted Date:20 June 2011



Please cite this article as: Herczeg, M., Lázár, L., Mándi, A., Borbás, A., Komáromi, I., Lipták, A., Antus, S., Synthesis of disaccharide fragments of the AT-III binding domain of heparin and their sulfonatomethyl analogues, *Carbohydrate Research* (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2011.06.021

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Synthesis of disaccharide fragments of the AT-III binding domain of heparin and their sulfonatomethyl analogues

Mihály Herczeg^a, László Lázár^a, Attila Mándi^{a,b}, Anikó Borbás^{a,*}, István Komáromi^c, András Lipták^a, Sándor Antus^{a,b}

^aResearch Group for Carbohydrates of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, University of Debrecen, H-4010, Debrecen, PO Box 94, Hungary

^bDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Debrecen, H-4010, Debrecen, PO Box 20, Hungary

^cThrombosis, Haemostasis and Vascular Biology Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, University of Debrecen, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary

Abstract

D-Glucuronate and L-iduronate-containing disaccharides related to the antithrombin-binding domain of heparin were prepared. The carboxylic function of the uronic acid unit was formed on a disaccharide level in the case of the glucuronate, while on a monosaccharide level in the case of the iduronate derivatives. Synthesis of their sulfonic acid analogues was carried out analoguosly applying sulfonatomethyl-containing acceptors in form of either salts or methyl esters. Significant difference could be observed in the methyl ether formation reactions of the sulfonatomethyl-containing uronate disaccharides and the non-sulfonic acid uronates.

Keywords Heparin; Heparinoid disaccharides; D-Glucuronic acid; L-Iduronic acid; Sulfonatomethyl analogues

Corresponding author. Tel.: +36 52512900/22257; fax: +36 52512900/22342. E-mail address: *borbas.aniko@science.unideb.hu (A. Borbás)*.

1. Introduction

Heparin, a highly sulfated linear polysaccharide belonging to the family of glycosaminoglycans is a well-known blood anticoagulant employed extensively in medical practice.¹ The anticoagulant action of heparin arises from its ability to accelerate the inhibitory activity of antithrombin III (AT-III), a serine protease inhibitor that blocks thrombin and factor Xa in the blood-coagulation cascade.² After identification of the exact sequence of heparin that associates with the AT-III (1) a concerted drug development program has been undertaken based on extensive structure-activity studies using synthetic oligosaccharides.³ These efforts resulted in fondaparinux (2), a synthetic pentasaccharide heparin analogue which is used as a new antithrombotic drug under the name Arixtra.⁴ Fondaparinux selectively inhibits factor Xa, and it has longer half-life and higher anti-Xa activity than heparin and low molecular-weight heparin. However, its synthesis represents a real challenge, due to the presence of D-glucuronic acid, L-iduronic acid and α -Dglucosamine, most of them N- and O-sulfated on selected positions. Based on the observations of SAR studies that the N-sulfate groups could be replaced by O-sulfates, and the free hydroxyl groups could be masked with alkyl groups, a family of non-glycosaminoglycan derivatives was created,⁵ having simplified structures much easier to synthesize than fondaparinux. In addition, idraparinux^{5,6} (**3a**), the most potent representative of these analogues possesses increased anticoagulant activity and longer duration of action (Figure 1).^{4a,5} It turned out, however, that the extremly long elimination half-life of idraparinux (~ 60 days by clinical trials) may lead to serious bleeding complications, therefore its development

was terminated.⁷ Idrabiotaparinux (**3b**), the biotinylated form of **3a** has been developed with the aim to improve the management of bleeding events seen with idraparinux.⁸ Idrabiotaparinux displays the same advantageous properties as idraparinux and has the added safety feature of easy and rapid neutralization of its anticoagulant effect by addition of the specific antidote, avidin.^{7,8}



Figure 1. Structures of the antithrombin-binding domain of heparin (1), synthetic antithrombotic drug fondaparinux (2), the non-glycosaminoglycan analogue idraparinux (3a) and its biotinylated derivative idrabiotaparinux (3b).

Our research group decided the synthesis of the isosteric sulfonic acid analogues of idraparinux (2) by systematic replacement of the sulfate esters with sulfonatomethyl moieties to obtain new selective Xa inhibitors and to acquire further information on the structure-activity relationship of the antithrombotic action of heparin pentasaccharide. It is known that the anionic groups are essential for the activation of AT-III, and that the type of charge is also crucial,^{3c} however, replacement of the sulfate group with sulfonic acid moiety has not been investigated till now.

Synthesis of sulfonatomethyl analogues of the EF and GH disaccharides $(5-7 \text{ and } 9-11)^9$ as well as sulfonatomethyl analogues¹⁰ of the DEF trisaccharide of compound **3a** have been reported recently. Here, we present the synthesis of two uronic acid-containing fragments of idraparinux (4 and 8) together with unpublished details of the synthesis of their sulfonic acid mimetics (Figure 2). Differences in the synthesis of disaccharide uronates with or without sulfonic acid content are also discussed.



Figure 2. Structures of the EF and the GH fragments of idraparinux (4 and 8) and their isosteric sulfonic acid derivatives (5-7 and 9-11).

2. Results and discussion

For the synthesis of compound 4 the β -(1-4)-linked skeleton was prepared by coupling of donor 12¹¹ and acceptor 13¹² and the disaccharide 14 was isolated in high yield. Zemplén deacetylation of 14 afforded the tetrahydroxy derivative 15. Selective oxidation of the primary hydroxyl group of compound 15 by TEMPO-based oxidation method¹³ using sodium-hypochlorite as co-oxidant gave compound 16. Treatment of 16 with methyl iodide and sodium hydride to achieve methylation of the three hydroxyl groups of the uronic acid residue resulted in a 3:2 mixture of the desired product 17 and the by-product 18 formed by β -elimination. Catalytic hydrogenation of 17 afforded the triol 19 which was *O*-sulfated to obtain the target disaccharide 4 (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the EF fragment of idraparinux by selective oxidation. Reagents and conditions: (a) CH_2Cl_2 -toluene, 4 Å Ms, AgOTf, -30 °C to rt, 24 h (94%); (b) MeOH, NaOMe, rt, 2 h (97%); (c) sat NaHCO₃, KBr, NaOCl, TEMPO, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (78%); (d) DMF, NaH, MeI, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (17: 42%, 18: 40%); (e) ethanol, Pd(C), H₂, 10 atm, rt, 12 h (94%); (f) DMF, SO₃·py, 0 °C to rt, 5 h (70%).

In order to avoid the elimination side reaction caused by methylation of the base-sensitive uronate derivative, compound 17 was prepared from 15 by permuting the oxidation and methylation steps. The primary hydroxyl of 15 was protected temporarily in form of trityl ether, then the secondary hydroxyls of 20 were methylated to afford 21. Removal of the trityl group gave 22 whose TEMPO-based oxidation resulted in the fully protected glucuronide 17 in good yield (Scheme 2). Synthesis of 4 starting from 12 and 13 through the 6-*O*-trityl intermediate 20 required eight steps and resulted in the target glucuronide disaccharide with 27% overall yield.



Scheme 2. Improved synthesis of the methylated uronate 17. Reagents and conditions: (a) py, TrCl, 0 °C to rt, 24 h (83%); (b) DMF, NaH, MeI, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (86%); (c) 80% AcOH, 50 °C, 2 h (98%); (d) sat NaHCO₃, KBr, NaOCl, TEMPO, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (64%).

To obtain the sulfonic acid analogues of disaccharide **4**, first the sulfonatomethyl-containing glucoside acceptors have to be prepared.⁹ The key step of their synthesis was the addition of hydrogen sulfite to the exomethylene moiety of the appropriate glucoside resulting exclusively in the primary alkane sulfonate in a radical chain reaction using *tert*-butyl peroxybenzoate as radical initiator.^{14,15}

Previously, the 2-deoxy-2-sulfonatomethyl and the 3-deoxy-3-sulfonatomethyl acceptors (24 and 27) have been prepared by the following sequence of reactions: the exomethylene

derivatives 23¹⁶ and 26,¹⁷ were reacted with NaHSO₃, respectively, followed by regioselective hydrogenolysis of the 4,6-*O*-benzylidene acetal. However, the 4-OH derivatives 24 and 27 could be isolated only with moderate yields due to partial hydrolysis of the benzylidene acetal under the slightly acidic conditions of the first addition step.⁹ We attempted to increase the yield of the two-step procedure by reversing the acetal reduction and the addition. In the case of the 3-exomethylene derivative 26 regioselective opening of the 4,6-*O*-acetal ring using a triethylsilane-BF₃·Et₂O reagent combination¹⁸ (28), and subsequent sulfonation afforded the desired 27 with increased yield compared to the previous pathway (65% versus 47% over 2 steps). However, treatment of 23 with Et₃SiH/BF₃·Et₂O resulted in the unexpected 2-methyl glycal 25 as the main product. The reaction can be explained by formation of a stabilized allylic cation (allyl oxocarbenium ion) whose reaction with the hydride donor reagent gave the 2-deoxy-2-methyl glycal derivative (Scheme 3).

Cleavage of the glycosidic bond upon reductive opening of benzylidene-type acetals is extremely rare, however, not unprecedented.^{19,20}



Scheme 3. Previously described (a followed by b) and recent (b followed by a) reaction routes for the 2-deoxy-2-sulfonatomethyl and the 3-deoxy-3-sulfonatomethyl acceptors. Reagents and conditions: (a) 70% EtOH, *t*Bu-peroxybenzoate, NaHSO₃, reflux, 4 h (55% from 23, 53% from 26, 74% from 28); (b) CH₂Cl₂, Et₃SiH, BF₃·Et₂O, 0 °C to rt, 4 h (24: 87%, 27: 88%, 25: 41%, 28: 78%).

The 2-(sodium sulfonatomethyl)- and 3-(sodium sulfonatomethyl)-containing analogues of disaccharide 4 have been synthesized through the appropriate 6'-O-trityl intermediates, starting from the acetobromo glucose 12 and acceptors 24 and 27, respectively, as we published recently.⁹ (It is worth to mention that the sulfonic acid salts proved to be excellent acceptors, and similar overall yields could be achieved for the sulfonic acid-containing disaccharides 5 and 6 as for compound 4.)

However, preparation of the 6-(sodium sulfonatomethyl)-containing derivatives 7 *via* tritylation was failed. Glycosylation of the sulfonic acid heptoside 29^9 in a salt form with the acetobromo glucose donor 12 upon silver triflate activation and the following deacetylation took place smoothly affording the desired disaccharide 30 in a 48% yield.⁹ However, subsequent tritylation of 30 failed, despite a high excess of the reagent, addition of dimethylamino pyridine and prolongation of the reaction time (Scheme 4). Silylation using *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride was also unsuccessful.



C

Scheme 4. Attempted synthesis of the 6-deoxy-6-sulfonatomethyl analogue of the EF fragment of idraparinux *via* tritylation. Reagents and conditions: (a) CH₂Cl₂-toluene, 4 Å Ms, AgOTf, -30 °C to rt, 24 h (64%); (b) MeOH, NaOMe, rt, 2 h (75%); (c) TrCl (3 equiv), py, DMAP (d) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF.

Geometry optimization on the structures sampling from high temperature molecular dynamics simulations trajectory for **30** gave explanation for the failure of substitution of the 6'-hydroxyl group. In the lowest energy conformations the hydroxyl group is inaccessible by the bulky reagents being in a pocket formed by the two benzyl groups and the sugar ring (Figure 3). These conformations are probably stabilized by hydrogen bonds between the sulfonate moiety and the 2'- or 3'-hydroxyls. (For the most stable geometry the corresponding distances between the sulfonate oxygens and the 2'- or 3'-hydroxyl hydrogens are 1.84 Å for 2'-OH and 1.91 Å for 3'-OH from DFT calculations while 1.77 Å for 2'-OH and 1.64 Å for 3'-OH were predicted by the AMBER-GAFF force field.) The above assumptions were confirmed by NMR experiments: the hydrogen of the 6'-OH could be exchanged for deuterium immediately, while deuteration of 2'-OH and 3'-OH was slow.



Figure 3. Superposition of the six lowest energy conformations of 30 from the high temperature molecular dynamics (ball-and-stick representation: lowest energy conformer; stick representation: conformers 2-6)



Scheme 5. Synthesis of the 6-deoxy-6-sulfonatomethyl analogue of the EF fragment of idraparinux by selective oxidation. Reagents and conditions: (a) sat NaHCO₃, KBr, NaOCl, TEMPO, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (91%); (b) DMF, NaH, MeI, 0 °C to rt, 2 h (79%); (c) ethanol, Pd(C), H₂, 10 atm, rt, 12 h (96%); (d) DMF, SO₃·py, 0 °C to rt, 5 h (65%).

Since selective protection of the sterically hindered 6'-hydroxyl group failed, the tetrahydroxy derivative **30** was converted directly into the uronic acid **31** by TEMPO-based selective oxidation. Methylation of **31** with methyl iodide and sodium hydride was considered to be a risky step that might lead to β -elimination of the uronic acid residue as it happened to **16**. Surprisingly, methylation in the presence of the stronge base proceeded smoothly and no

elimination was observed (Scheme 5). The obtained **32** could be converted into the targeted 6deoxy-6-sulfonatomethyl-containing disaccharide **7** by catalytic hydrogenation and subsequent sulfation of the liberated two hydroxyls. Applying the selective oxidation method a 22% overall yield could be obtained for **7** in a 6-step procedure.⁹

For the incorporation of uronic acid residues into oligosaccharides two distinct strategies exist: glycosylation followed by oxidation (post-glycosidation oxidation) and oxidation of the monosaccharide building blocks followed by glycosylation (pre-glycosidation oxidation).²¹ Although the glucuronide-containing disaccharides were prepared succesfully by post-glycosidation oxidation, the other strategy, that is glycosylation with an iduronic donor was selected to synthesize our targeted GH analogue disaccharides **8-11**. Since neither idose nor iduronic acid are readily available from natural and commercial sources and preparation of both type of donors requires a lengthy synthetic procedure,²² the pre-glycosidation oxidation seemed to be more efficient.

L-Iduronic acid trichloroacetimidate **39** was prepared on the basis of the well-established procedure elaborated for the appropriate 3-*O*-benzyl-L-iduronate donor.^{22h,23} The iduronic acid **36** was prepared from the corresponding D-glucofuranuronic acid derivative²⁴ by means of a two-step epimerization at C-5.²³ Deisopropylidenation of **36** with trifluoroacetic acid afforded the desired hemiacetal as a mixture of pyranoses and furanoses. Acetylation of the crude reaction mixture, followed by column chromatography gave **37** with 36% yield over the two steps. Selective deacetylation of the anomeric position (**38**) and imidate formation then resulted in the iduronic acid donor **39** (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6. Synthesis of the L-iduronate donor. Reagents and conditions: (a) 90% F₃CCOOH, rt, 15 min; (b) py, Ac₂O, 0 °C to rt, 24 h (36% for 2 steps); (c) THF, BnNH₂, rt, 3 h (79%); (d) CH₂Cl₂, DBU, trichloroacetonitrile, 0 °C, 30 min (72%).

Coupling of the acceptor 13 with the imidate donor 39 upon trimethylsilyl triflate activation afforded the disaccharide 40 and its Zemplén deacetylation resulted in diol 41. Attempted methylation with methyl iodide and sodium hydride failed leading to complete β -elimination of the iduronic acid residue, therefore, the strong base was exchanged into freshly prepared silver(1)oxide. Under such conditions the methylated 42 was obtained in high yield and no elimination occurred. The uronic ester was treated with aqueous sodium hydroxide to give the sodium uronate 43. Removal of the benzyl protecting groups by catalytic hydrogenation resulted in the triol 44 which upon sulfation with the SO₃ pyridine complex furnished the GH fragment of idraparinux (8, Scheme 7). Overall yield for 8 was 37% starting from 39 and 13.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of the GH fragment of idraparinux. Reagents and conditions: (a) CH_2Cl_2 , 4 Å Ms, TMSOTf, -20 °C, 30 min (94%); (b) MeOH, NaOMe, rt, 1 h (95%); (c) DMF, Ag₂O, MeI, rt, 96 h (76%); (d) 0.1 M NaOH, rt, 24 h (68%); (e) ethanol, Pd(C), H₂, rt, 12 h (85%); (f) DMF, SO₃·py, 0 °C to rt, 5 h (94%).

JSCR

Coupling of the sulfonatomethyl glucosides in salt form (24, 27 and 29) with the iduronic acid donor failed, therefore, the sulfonic acids in form of the methyl esters were resorted as the acceptors. The methyl ester 45 was prepared from the salt 24 by liberation of the sulfonic acid followed by esterification with ethereal diazomethane.9 Glycosylation of the 2-deoxy-2sulfonatomethyl glucoside acceptor 45 with the donor 39 afforded the desired α -linked disaccharide whose deacetylation gave 46 in a high yield. Usual methylation was attempted applying sodium hydride and methyl iodide, since similar methylation of the sulfonic acidcontaining glucuronate **31** took place smoothly. However, with **46** an inseparable mixture of the desired product 47 and the by-product 48 (as a result of β -elimination of the base-sensitive iduronic acid residue) was formed in a ratio of \sim 3:1. To overcome this difficulty, methylation of 46 was carried out under slightly acidic conditions with diazomethane²⁵ applying silica gel^{25b} or BF₃·Et₂O^{25c} to catalyze the reaction. The silica gel promoted methylation proved to be more efficient affording the desired product 49^{49} in high yield. Compound 49, carrying two ester functions, was converted into the disodium salt in two steps; the sulfonic ester was treated with sodium iodide in acetone to give the sodium sulfonate in a nucleophilic substitution reaction, then the crude product was reacted with aqueous sodium hydroxide in methanol to hydrolyze the carboxylic ester giving sodium uronate. Catalytic hydrogenation and subsequent sulfation afforded the 2-deoxy-2-sulfonatomethyl-containing disaccharide 9 with 55% overall yield over 8 steps (Scheme 8).⁹



Scheme 8. Synthesis of the 2-deoxy-2-sulfonatomethyl analogue of the GH fragment of idraparinux. Reagents and conditions: (a) **39**, CH_2Cl_2 , 4 Å Ms, TMSOTf, -20 to 0 °C, 15 min (80%); (b) MeOH, NaOMe, rt, 2 h (97%); (c) DMF, NaH, MeI (d) CH_2Cl_2 , CH_2N_2 , silicagel, 0 °C (88%); (e) acetone, NaI, rt, 2 h; (f) 0.1 M NaOH, rt, 24 h (96% over two steps); (g) ethanol, Pd(C), H₂, 10 atm, rt, 12 h (97%); (h) DMF, SO₃·py, 0 °C to rt, 5 h (87%).

The synthesis of the 3-sulfonatomethyl- and the 6-sulfonatomethyl-containing iduronides 10 and 11 has been published in detail.⁹ Their preparation was carried out analogously to compound 9, except for the the methylation step. It is important to note, that methylation of diols 50 and 51 using sodium hydride and methyl iodide did not cause elimination side reaction and afforded exclusively the desired products 52 and 53, respectively (Scheme 9). In the end 42% overall yield could be achieved for 10 and 48% for 11 starting from the iduronic acid donor and the methyl sulfonatomethyl-containing acceptors.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of the 3-deoxy-3-sulfonatomethyl and 6-deoxy-6-sulfonatomethyl analogues of compound 8.

In conclusion, the EF and GH disaccharide fragments of idraparinux were prepared following two different strategies. The glucuronic acid containing EF disaccharide was synthesized by post-glycosidation oxidation using an acetobromo glucose donor to build up the disaccharide skeleton and TEMPO-based oxidation to form the carboxylic function at a disaccharide level. Preparation of the iduronic acid- containing GH disaccharide was carried out by preglycosidation oxidation using an iduronic acid donor to achieve the disaccharide uronate. Synthesis of the sulfonic acid analogues could be carried out analoguosly applying sulfonatomethyl-containing acceptors in form of salts and methyl esters. The sulfonatomethyl glucosides in the form of salts were efficient acceptors when reacting with the acetobromo glucose donor. The glycosylation reactions failed when the sulfonic acid salt acceptors were reacted with the iduronic acid donor with inherently low reactivity. In that case the sulfonic

acid acceptors in form of esters were applied to effectuate the glycosylations in high yields. Comparing the efficacy of the synthesis of disaccharides with or without sulfonic acid content similar overall yields could be reached for the non-sulfonic acid disaccharides (4 and 8) and their sulfonatomethyl-containing analogues (5-7 and 9-11).

Interestingly, the sulfonatomethyl-containing uronate disaccharides proved to be significantly more stable under the basic conditions of methylation than the non-sulfonic acid uronates. There was only one precedent for β -elimination of the base-sensitive uronates of the sulfonic acid analogues (namely, the reaction of **46** with sodium hydride and methyl iodide). In that case, methylation was carried out under acidic conditions applying ethereal diazomethane in the presence of silica gel.

Synthesis of the pentasaccharide analogues of idraparinux by applying the presented methods, as well as biological investigations of the prepared sulfonic acid derivatives are in progress.

3. Experimental

3.1. General Information

Optical rotations were measured at room temperature with a Perkin-Elmer 241 automatic polarimeter. TLC was performed on Kieselgel 60 F_{254} (Merck) with detection by immersing into 5% ethanolic sulfuric acid soln. followed by heating. Column chromatography was performed on Silica gel 60 (Merck 0.063-0.200 mm) and Sephadex LH-20 (Sigma-Aldrich, Bead size 25-100 μ). Organic solutions were dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuum. The ¹H (200, 360, 400 and 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (50.3, 90.54, 100.28, 125.76 MHz) spectra were recorded with Bruker AC-200, Bruker DRX-360, Bruker DRX-400 and Bruker DRX-500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are referenced to Me₄Si (0.00 ppm for ¹H) or to the residual solvent signals (CDCl₃: 77.00 ppm for ¹³C).

MALDI-TOF MS analyses of the compounds were carried out in the positive reflectron mode using a BIFLEX III mass spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) equipped with delayed-ion extraction. The matrix solution was a satd 2,4,6-trihydroxy-acetophenone (THAP) solution in MeCN.

The molecular dynamics simulations (100 ns, 1200 K constant temperature, 1 fs time step) and the preliminary geometry optimizations using the suitably developed GAFF empirical force field on the equidistantly saved 100,000 trajectory snapshot geometries were carried out by means of the AMBER molecular dynamics simulation package.^{26,20} The B3LYP/6-31G(d) density functional calculation on the lowest energy conformer was carried out using the GAUSSIAN 03 package.²⁷ Ball-and-stick and stick representations of the conformers were generated by the VMD software.²⁸

3.2. Methyl (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (14)

To a mixture of compound 13 (1.00 g, 2.16 mmol) and acetobromoglucose (12, (1.78 g, 4.32 mmol)) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) powdered 4 Å molecular sieves (1.5 g) was added. The stirred mixture was cooled to 0 °C under argon. After 1 h at this temperature, AgOTf (1.2 g, 4.68 mmol) dissolved in toluene (4 mL) was added. After another hour the reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. After filtration Et₃N (1 mL) was added, and the solvents were evaporated in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc) to give 14 (1.61 g, 94%) as a colourless syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ -6.2 (c 0.11, CHCl₃); R_f 0.42 (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.38-7.27 (m, 15H, arom.), 5.01-4.39 (m, 10H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 3.91-3.35 (m, 9H), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.00, 1.98, 1.95 (3 x s, 12H, 4 x *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 170.4, 170.0, 169.2, 168.8 (4C, 4 x CO), 139.2, 138.1, 137.5 (3C, 3 x C_q arom.), 128.5-127.0 (arom.), 99.8, 98.2 (C-1, C-1'), 79.7, 78.7, 77.1, 73.0, 71.7, 71.3, 69.5, 67.9 (skeleton carbons), 74.9, 73.5, 73.3 (3 x PhCH₂), 67.4 (C-6'), 61.5 (C-6), 55.2 (OCH₃), 20.5, 20.4, 20.3 (4 x CH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 817.17 $[M+Na]^+$ (Calcd 817.30). Anal. Calcd for $C_{42}H_{50}O_{15}$ (794.84): C, 63.47; H, 6.34. Found: C, 63.33; H, 6.29.

3.3. Methyl (β-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (15)

To a solution of 14 (1.45 g, 1.82 mmol) in MeOH (25 mL) catalytic amount of NaOMe (11 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added. After 2 h stirring, the mixture was neutralized with Amberlite IR-120 H⁺ ion-exchange resin, filtered, and concentrated to give 15 (1.11 g, 97%) as a colourless syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ +5.4 (*c* 0.19, MeOH); R_f 0.42 (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.44-7.26 (m, 15H, arom.), 4.96 (d, 1H, *J* 10.3 Hz, PhCH₂), 4.76 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2} 3.6 Hz, H-1), 4.70-4.51 (m, 5H, PhCH₂), 4.41 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2} 7.3 Hz, H-1'), 4.00-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.86-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.55-3.46 (m, 2H), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.30-3.17 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 139.5 (3 x C_q arom.), 129.9-128.7 (arom.), 103.5 (C-1'), 99.0 (C-1), 81.6, 80.6, 78.8, 77.9, 76.5, 75.7, 72.1, 71.6 (skeleton carbons), 77.3, 74.2, 74.1 (3 x PhCH₂), 69.2 (C-6), 63.3 (C-6'), 55.6 (OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 649.22 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 649.26). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₄₂O₁₁ (626.69): C, 65.16; H, 6.76. Found: C, 64.87; H, 6.70.

3.4. Methyl (sodium β -D-glucopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (16)

A stirred mixture of compound **15** (200 mg, 0.319 mmol) satd NaHCO₃ solution (2.6 mL), KBr (20 mg, 0.16 mmol), and TEMPO (5 mg, 0.031 mmol) was cooled to 0 °C, and then 5% NaOCl solution (2.6 mL, ~1.75 mmol) was added slowly in portions. After 2 h the reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and the aqueous phase was concentrated. Then MeOH (10 mL) was added and after stirring for 20 min, the insolubles were removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography in 85:15 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, to give the uronic acid salt **16** as a white powder (158 mg, 78%). [α]_D +39.4 (*c* 0.13, MeOH); *R*_f 0.33 (85:15 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.46-7.21 (m, 15H, arom.), 4.51-3.60 (m, 18H), 3.25 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 140.4, 139.6 139.5 (3 x C_q arom.), 129.4-128.4 (arom.), 104.2 (C-1'), 99.0 (C-1), 81.4, 81.1, 78.1, 77.6, 76.4, 75.4, 73.5, 71.4 (skeleton carbons), 76.1, 74.4, 74.0 (3 x PhCH₂), 69.9 (C-6), 55.5 (OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 685.39 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 685.22). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₃₉NaO₁₂ (662.66): C, 61.63; H, 5.93. Found: C, 61.54; H, 6.01.

3.5. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-Obenzyl-a-D-glucopyranoside (17) and methyl (sodium 4-deoxy-2,3-di-O-methyl-a-Lthreo-hex-4-enopyranosyluronate)-($1\rightarrow$ 4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (18) To a solution of compound 16 (117 mg, 0.183 mmol)) in DMF (2 mL) at 0 °C were successively added 60% NaH (44 mg, 1.1 mmol) and MeI (154 µL, 2.47 mmol). After 2 h stirring at this temperature, MeOH (0.5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 15 min, and the solvents were evaporated. The reaction gave a mixture of 17 and 18 which were separated by silica gel chromatography (1:1 *n*-hexane-acetone). Compound 17 (53 mg, 42%): $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +7.0 (c 0.13, CHCl₃); R_f 0.59 (1:1 *n*-hexane-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.40-7.20 (m, 15H, arom.), 4.97-4.41 (m, 7H), 2.28 (m, 1H, J₁, ₂, 7.4 Hz, H-1'), 3.85-3.65 (m, 5H), 3.53, 3.41, 3.31 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.56-3.33 (m, 2H), 3.01-2.80 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 138.3, 138.0, 137.7 (3 x C_q arom), 128.3-127.3 (arom.), 102.0 (C-1²), 98.1 (C-1), 85.2, 83.2, 80.7, 79.8, 79.1, 76.4, 69.9 (skeleton carbons), 75.4, 73.4, 73.2 (3 x PhCH₂), 67.8 (C-6), 60.4, 60.3, 60.0, 55.1 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): m/z 727.19 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 727.27). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₅NaO₁₂ (704.74): C, 63.06; H, 6.44. Found: C, 62.91; H, 6.33. Compound 17 was prepered also from 22 according to the method described for the synthesis of 16 in a yield of 64%.

Compound **18** (50 mg, 40%): $[\alpha]_D$ +42.8 (*c* 0.60, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.42 (1:1 *n*-hexane-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.32-7.29 (15H, arom.), 6.07 (d, 1H *J*_{3',4'} 2.9 Hz, H-4'), 4.9-4.85 (m, 3H), 4.81-4.42 (m, 5H), 3.94-3.81 (m, 4H), 3.78-3.51 (m, 3H), 3.45, 3.42, 3.37 (3 x s, 9H, 3 x OCH₃), 3.21 (t, 1H, *J* 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 161.9 (*C*O), 140.6 (C-5'), 138.1, 138.0, 137.6 (3 x C_q arom.), 128.4-127.9 (arom.), 109.2 (C-4'), 101.3 (C-1'), 98.2 (C-1), 79.6, 79.5, 79.0, 76.9, 76.6, 69.7 (skeleton carbons), 75.8, 73.5, 73.4 (3 x PhCH₂), 67.8 (C-6), 59.9, 56.9, 55.3 (3 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 695.33 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 695.24). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₄₁NaO₁₁ (672.69): C, 64.28; H, 6.14. Found: C, 64.08; H, 6.09.

3.6. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -D-glucopyranoside (19)

A mixture of compound **17** (196 mg, 0.287 mmol) and Pd/C (10%, 80 mg) in 96% EtOH-AcOH (19:1, 15 mL) was stirred in an autoclave under H₂ atmosphere (at 10 bar) for 12 h. The catalyst was filtered off through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give **19** (111 mg, 94%) as a white powder. $[\alpha]_D$ +58.6 (*c* 0.11, MeOH); *R*_f 0.24 (1:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 4.73 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2} 3.4 Hz, H-1), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2}, 7.1 Hz, H-1'), 3.93-3.68 (m, 5H), 3.61, 3.55, 3.52, 3.43 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.63-3.35 (m, 2H), 3.25-3.07 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 176.6 (*C*O), 104.2 (C-1'), 100.9 (C-1), 87.2, 84.3, 82.7, 80.6, 76.7, 73.3, 73.1, 72.3 (skeleton carbons), 61.2, 61.1, 60.8, 55.7 (4 x OCH₃), 61.0 (C-6); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 457.17 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 457.13). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₇NaO₁₂ (434.37): C, 44.24; H, 6.27. Found: C, 44.30; H, 6.29.

3.7. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-sodium-sulfonato- α -D-glucopyranoside (4)

A solution of compound **19** (101 mg, 0.245 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) was treated with the SO₃·pyridine complex (585 mg, 3.675 mmol) for 5 h at room temperature, then cold satd NaHCO₃ solution was added in excess (pH > 7). The resulting mixture was concentrated in *vacuo*. Then MeOH (5 mL) was added and after stirring for 20 min the insolubles were removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by Sephadex LH 20 column chromatography in MeOH to give **4** (124)

mg, 70%) as a white powder. $[\alpha]_D$ +37.9 (*c* 0.11, MeOH); R_f 0.34 (4:6 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (D₂O, 500 MHz): δ (ppm) 5.17 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.4 Hz, H-1), 4.67-4.63 (m, 2H, H-1', H-3), 4.39-4.37 (m, 3H, H-2, H-6a,b), 4.09 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.03 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.70 (d, 1H, $J_{4'5'}$ 10.0 Hz, H-5'), 3.63, 3.61, 3.49, 3.48 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.45 (t, 1H, J 9.2 Hz, H-4'), 3.88 (m, 1H, H-3'), 3.23 (t, 1H, J 8.8 Hz H-2'); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 125 MHz): δ (ppm) 175.7 (*CO*), 100.8 (C-1'), 97.2 (C-1), 84.2 (C-3'), 82.2 (C-2'), 81.6 (C-4), 76.4 (C-5'), 76.2 (C-3), 75.0 (C-2), 73.5 (C-4), 69.1 (C-5), 66.1 (C-6), 61.1, 60.6, 56.0 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): m/z 763.14 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 762.95). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄Na₄O₂₁S₃ (740.50): C, 25.95; H, 3.27; S, 12.99. Found: C, 25.84; H, 3.26; S, 12.84.

3.8. Methyl (6-*O*-triphenylmethyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (20)

To a solution of compound **15** (1.11 g, 1.77 mmol) in pyridine (18 mL) triphenylmethyl chloride (988 mg, 3.54 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (75:25 CH₂Cl₂-acetone + 1% Et₃N) to give **20** (1.27 g, 83%) as a colourless syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ -8.4 (*c* 0.27, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.54 (75:25 CH₂Cl₂-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 8.54-7.25 (m, 30H, arom.), 4.88-4.44 (m, 8H), 4.05-3.57 (m, 4H), 3.55-3.39 (m, 7H), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.29-3.21 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 149.5-123.6 (arom.), 102.8 (C-1'), 98.1 (C-1), 86.9 (Ph₃C), 80.5, 79.4, 76.4, 76.1, 74.3, 73.9, 71.8, 69.4 (skeleton carbons), 74.7, 73.5, 73.3 (3 x PhCH₂), 68.4, 63.8 (2 x C-6), 55.0 (OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 891.35 calcd for [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 891.37). Anal. Calcd for C₅₃H₅₆O₁₁ (869.01): C, 73.25; H, 6.50. Found: C, 73.15; H, 6.38.

3.9. Methyl (2,3,4-tri-O-methyl-6-O-triphenylmethyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (21)

Compound **20** (1.20 g, 1.38 mmol) was methylated according to the method described for the synthesis of **17**. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (65:35 *n*-hexane-EtOAc + 1% Et₃N) to give **21** (1.08 g, 86%) as a colourless syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ +9.1 (*c* 0.16, CHCl₃); R_f 0.42 (65:35 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.50-7.09 (m, 30H, arom.), 5.03-4.47 (m, 8H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 4.01-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.78-3.70 (m, 4H), 3.59, 3.45, 3.39, 3.23 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.55-3.26 (m, 3H), 2.99-2.84 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 143.8-126.7 (arom.), 102.2 (C-1²), 98.3 (C-1), 86.1 (Ph₃C), 86.9, 84.4, 79.8, 79.5, 79.2, 75.6, 74.4, 70.0 (skeleton carbons), 74.6, 73.4, 73.2 (3 x PhCH₂), 68.6, 61.4 (2 x C-6), 60.6, 60.4, 60.2, 55.2 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 933.41 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 933.42). Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₆₂O₁₁ (911.08): C, 73.82; H, 6.86. Found: C, 73.69; H, 6.78.

3.10. Methyl (2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (22)

A solution of compound **21** (1.00 g, 1.01 mmol) in AcOH (80%, 18 mL) was stirred for 2 h at 50 °C. The mixture was then concentrated in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-acetone) to give **22** (716 mg, 98%) as a colourless syrup. [α]_D +21.3 (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.42 (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.37-7.24 (m, 15H arom.), 4.97-4.45 (m, 7H), 4.23 (d, 1H, *J*_{1'2'} 7.5 Hz, H-1'), 3.91-3.67 (m, 6H), 3.59, 3.50, 3.46, 3.38 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.58-3.26 (m, 3H), 2.98-2.95 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 139.2-126.9 (arom.), 102.4 (C-1'), 98.2 (C-1), 86.3, 84.1, 79.9, 79.6, 78.8, 77.1, 74.6, 70.0 (skeleton carbons), 75.0, 73.4, 73.2 (3 x PhCH₂), 67.8 (C-6), 61.7 (C-6'), 60.5, 60.4, 60.1, 55.2 (4 x OCH₃);); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z*

691.28 $[M+Na]^+$ (Calcd 691.31). Anal. Calcd for $C_{37}H_{48}O_{11}$ (668.77): C, 66.45; H, 7.23. Found: C, 66.36; H, 7.18.

3.11. 1,5-Anhydro-3-*O*-benzyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-*C*-methyl-D-*arabino*-hex-1-enitol (25)

To a solution of compound **23** (366 mg, 1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C Et₃SiH (1.91 mL, 12.0 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.25 mL, 2.0 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), washed with satd NaHCO₃ (2 x 100 mL), dried and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (4:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc) to give 140 mg (41%) of **25** as white needles. Mp: 110-112 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ –1.0 (*c* 0.19, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.71 (97:3 CH₂Cl₂-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.54-7.20 (m, 10H, arom.), 6.17 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.62 (s, 1H, C*H*Ph), 4.94-4.69 (dd, 2H, *J* 11.8 Hz, PhC*H*₂), 4.36 (m, 1H, H6a), 4.24 (d, 1H, *J*_{3,4} 7.3 Hz, H-3), 4.06 (dd, 1H, *J*_{4,5} 9.4 Hz, H-4), 3.86-3-80 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6b), 1.63 (s, 3H, 2-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ (ppm) 139.9 (C-1), 138.7, 137.4 (C_{quat}, arom), 128.9-125.9 (arom.), 110.3 (C-2), 101.1 (*C*HPh), 81.1 (C-4), 75.9 (C-3), 68.6 (C-5), 73.3 (PhC*H*₂), 68.5 (C-6), 13.8 (2-CH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 361.42 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 361.14). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂O₄ (338.41): C, 74.54; H, 6.55. Found: C, 74.35; H, 6.46.

3.12. Methyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-3-deoxy-3-C-methylene-α-D-ribo-hexopyranoside (28)

Compound **26** (1.0 g, 2.714 mmol) was converted to **28** by the method described for the synthesis of **25**. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (7:3 *n*-hexane-EtOAc) to give 784 mg (78%) of **28** as a colourless syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ +39.6 (*c* 0.27, CHCl₃); R_f 0.30 (7:3 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.36-7.24 (m, 10H, arom.), 5.38, 5.32 (2 x s, 2H, CH₂=), 4.77 (d, 1H, PhCH₂), 4.71 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.6 Hz, H-1), 4.62-4.52 (m, 3H, PhCH₂), 4.07 (d, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ 8.9 Hz, H-4), 3.94 (d, 1H, H-2), 3.74, 3.67 (2 x dd, 2H, H-6a,b), 3.58 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.65 (s, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 143.0 (C-3), 137.8-127.6 (arom.), 105.3 (CH₂=), 98.5 (C-1), 76.3 (C-2), 72.3 (C-4), 73.5, 71.9 (2 x PhCH₂), 70.1 (C-6), 69.4 (C-5), 55.1 (OCH₃); Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₆O₅ (370.44): C, 71.33; H, 7.07. Found: C, 71.25; H, 7.05.

3.13. Methyl 2,6-di-*O*-benzyl-3-deoxy-3-*C*-(triethylammonium sulfonatomethyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (27)

To a solution of compound **28** (500 mg, 1.35 mmol) in aqueous EtOH (70%, 80 mL) NaHSO₃ (1.4 g, 13.5 mmol) and *tert*-butyl peroxybenzoate (128 μ L, 0.675 mmol) were added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 4 hours. After cooling, triethylamine was added, the mixture was diluted with EtOH, the insolubles were removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (90:9:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-Et₃N) to give 553 mg (74%) of **27**⁹ as a colourless syrup. [α]_D +50.1 (*c* 0.22, CHCl₃), lit.⁹ +50.3. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were agreement with those published.⁹

3.14. Methyl (β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-di-*O*-benzyl-6-deoxy-6-*C*-(triethylammonium sulfonatomethyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (33)⁹

Monitoring the proton deuterium exchange the initial proton spectrum was recorded in DMSO, then D₂O was added. ¹H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.39-7.29 (m, 10H, arom.), 5.08 (d, 1H, *J* 4.5 Hz, 2'-O*H*; 0.4H intensity 16 scans after adding D₂O), 4.94-4.89 (m, 3H, 3'-O*H*, 4'-O*H*, PhC*H*₂; 1.6H intensity 16 scans after adding D₂O), 4.77 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2} 3.1 Hz, H-1), 4.71 (d, 1H, PhC*H*₂), 4.61 (s, 2H, PhC*H*₂), 4.48 (d, 1H, *J*_{1',2'} 7.5 Hz, H-1'), 4.41-4.38 (m, 1H, 6'-O*H*, the signal disappeared 16 scans after adding D₂O), 3.72 (t, 1H, H-4), 3.61-3.46 (m, 3H), 3.43-3.35 (m, 4H), 3.29 (s, 3H, OC*H*₃), 3.18-3.15 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.08-3.03

(m, 6H, Et₃N-C*H*₂), 3.01-21.98 (m, 1H, H-2'), 2.68-2.52 (m, 3H), 2.32 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.77 (m, 1H, H-6b), 1.19 (m, 9H, Et₃N-C*H*₃); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 138.9, 138.6 (C_q arom.), 129.5-128.9 (arom.), 103.2 (C-1'), 98.2 (C-1), 80.8, 80.3, 80.0, 77.5, 76.9, 75.3, 71.2, 69.9 (skeleton carbons), 76.6, 74.0 (2 x PhCH₂), 62.3 (C-6'), 55.8 (OCH₃), 48.3 (C-7), 47.6 (NCH₂), 27.3 (C-6), 9.2 (NCH₃).

3.15. Methyl 1,2,4-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl-α,β-L-idopyranosyluronate (37)

A solution of **36** (5.0 g, 19.065 mmol) in aqueous 90% trifluoroacetic acid (40 mL) was kept at room temperature for 15 min, evaporated to dryness, and the residue was coevaporated with H_2O (2 x 10 mL). The obtained crude product was acetylated using pyridine (28 mL) and acetic anhydride (14 mL). After 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and traces of pyridine and acetic anhydride were coevaporated three times with toluene. Column chromatography (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc) gave **59** (2.39 g, 36% for 2 steps, α : $\beta \sim 1:10$) as a colourless oil.

α: $R_f 0.38$ (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 6.22 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 3.63 (s, 1H, H-3), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.12, 2.09, 2.08 (3s, 9H, 3 x CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 169.6, 169.2, 168.4, 168.1 (4 x CO), 91.0 (C-1), 73.4, 67.5, 67.0, 65.3 (skeleton carbons), 58.4 (OCH₃), 52.5 (COOCH₃), 20.8, 20.7, 20.6 (3 x CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₁₀ (348.30): C, 48.28; H, 5.79. Found: C, 48.19; H, 5.74.

β: $R_f 0.30$ (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); [α]_D +22.3 (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃); mp 123-124 °C. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data have been published.⁹ Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₁₀ (348.30): C, 48.28; H, 5.79. Found: C, 48.37; H, 5.77.

3.16. Methyl 2,4-di-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl-α,β-L-idopyranosyluronate (38)

To a solution of **37** (2.0 g, 5.742 mmol) in THF (50 mL) benzyl amine (2.51 mL, 22.97 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h and monitored by TLC. The reaction was poured into 1 M aq HCl (50 mL), and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic phases were dried, and evaporated to dryness. Column chromatography (85:15 CH₂Cl₂-acetone) gave **38** (1.39 g, 79%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D$ +4.7 (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.43 (85:15 CH₂Cl₂-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ (ppm) 5.33 (s, 0.6H), 5.31 (s, 0.4H), 5.19 (s, 0.6H), 5.12 (s, 0.4H), 5.10 (s, 0.6H), 4.99 (s, 0.6H), 4.88 (s, 0.4H), 4.82 (s, 0.6H), 4.62 (s, 0.8H), 3.78, 3.77, 3.60, 3.55 (4 x s, 6H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.45, 3.44 (2 x s, 1H), 2.08, 2.06, 2.04 (3 x s, 6H, 4 x CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ (ppm) 92.6, 91.7 (C-1 α , C-1 β), 74.8, 74.2, 72.2, 67.4, 66.6, 66.5, 65.3 (skeleton carbons), 58.9, 58.6 (2 x OCH₃), 52.4, 52.3 (2 x COOCH₃), 20.6, 20.5, 20.4, 20.3 (4 x CH₃); Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈O₉ (306.27): C, 47.06; H, 5.92. Found: C, 46.93; H, 5.98

3.17. Methyl (methyl 2,4-di-*O*-acetyl-3-*O*-methyl-α-L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1→4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (40)

A mixture of compound **13** (400 mg, 0.861 mmol), imidate **39** (582 mg, 1.292 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves in dry CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) was stirred for 15 min at room temperature, then cooled to -20 °C under argon. TMSOTf (0.1 M in CH₂Cl₂, 1.29 mL, 0.13 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to 0 °C in 30 min. After completion of the reaction it was quenched by addition of Et₃N (0.2 mL). The reaction mixture was then filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (7:3 *n*-hexane-acetone) to give **40** as a colourless oil (609 mg, 94%). [α]_D -25.1 (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.48 (1:1 *n*-hexane-EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.35-7.26 (m, 15H, arom.), 5.11-4.98 (m, 4H), 4.79-4.49 (m, 7H), 3.99-3.71 (m, 6H), 3.63-3.58 (m, 1H), 3.52, 3.36, 3.33 (3 x s, 9H, 3 x OCH₃), 2.00, 1.99 (2 x s, 6H, 2 x CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm)

169.8, 169.5, 168.5 (3 x CO), 138.6, 137.9, 137.8 (3 x C_q arom.), 128.2-126.9 (arom.), 97.8, 96.8 (2 x C-1), 80.0, 79.5, 74.2, 73.9, 69.9, 67.1, 66.7, 65.8 (skeleton carbons), 74.8, 73.2, 73.0 (3 x PhCH₂), 68.0 (C-6), 58.2, 55.0, 51.8 (3 x OCH₃), 20,7, 20.6 (2 x CH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): m/z 775.39 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 775.29). Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₄₈O₁₄ (752.80): C, 63.82; H, 6.43. Found: C, 63.68; H, 6.46.

3.18. Methyl (methyl 3-*O*-methyl-α-L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1→4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (41)

Compound **40** (88 mg, 0.117 mmol) was deacetylated as described for the synthesis of **15**. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (85:15 CH₂Cl₂-acetone) to give **41** as a colourless oil (74 mg, 95%). [α]_D -17.3 (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.50 (85:15 CH₂Cl₂-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.33-7.25 (m, 15H, arom.), 5.03 (s, 1H), 4.93-4.51 (m, 8H), 3.92-3.71 (m, 5H), 3.68-3.54 (m, 5H), 3.47-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.40, 3.33 (2 x s, 9H, 3 x OCH₃), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 170.5 (CO), 138.7, 137.8. 137.5 (3 x C_q arom.), 128.2-126.8 (arom.), 100.1, 97.7 (2 x C-1), 79.9, 79.6, 77.5, 74.6, 69.8, 68.3, 67.5, 66.8 (skeleton carbons), 74.7, 73.3, 73.2 (3 x PhCH₂), 68.5 (C-6), 57.8, 54.9, 51.7 (3 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 691.35 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 691.27). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₄₄O₁₂ (668.73): C, 64.66; H, 6.63. Found: C, 64.59; H, 6.61.

3.19. Methyl (methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- α -L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (42)

To a solution of **41** (134 mg, 0.200 mmol) in DMF (4.5 mL) at rt were successively added freshly prepared Ag₂O (370 mg, 1.6 mmol, 4 equiv/OH) and MeI (37 µL, 0.6 mmol, 1.5 equiv/OH). After 96 h stirring at this temperature, diluted with CH₂Cl₂, filtered off through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (95:5 CH₂Cl₂-acetone) to give **42** as a colourless syrup (105 mg, 76%). [α]_D -19.6 (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃); *R*_f 0.49 (95:5 CH₂Cl₂-acetone); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.39-7.27 (m, 15H, arom.), 5.17 (d, 1H, *J* 5.3 Hz), 4.87 (dd, 2H, PhC*H*₂), 4.75-4.55 (m, 6H), 3.91-3.56 (m, 7H), 3.53, 3.48, 3.42, 3.41, 3.36 (5 x s, 15H, 5 x OC*H*₃), 3.35-3.30 (m, 1H), 3.04-2.98 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 169.5 (CO), 139.0, 138.1, 137.9 (3 x Cq arom.), 128.2-127.0 (arom.), 99.6, 98.0 (2 x C-1), 81.3, 79.9, 79.6, 79.5, 78.8, 75.8, 70.7, 70.1 (skeleton carbons), 75.1, 73.4, 73.3 (3 x PhCH₂), 68.2 (C-6), 59.7, 59.5, 58.8, 55.1, 51.5 (5 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 719.35 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 719.30). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₄₈O₁₂ (696.78): C, 65.50; H, 6.94. Found: C, 65.64; H, 6.97.

3.20. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-O-methyl- α -L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (43)

Compound **42** (184 mg, 0.260 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) and treated with 0.1M aq NaOH solution (20 mL). After 24 h stirring at rt the TLC showed complete conversion of the carboxylic ester into sodium salt. The mixture was neutralized with acetic acid, concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (95:5 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH) to give **43** as a colourless syrup (126 mg, 68%), $[\alpha]_D$ +23.1 (*c* 0.19, MeOH); R_f 0.25 (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.34-7.25 (m, 15H, arom.), 5.17 (d, 1H, *J* 4.0 Hz), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.73-4.50 (m, 7H), 3.81-3.70 (m, 5H), 3.58-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.47-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.48, 3.39, 3.36, 3.32 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.15-3.11 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 174.0 (CO), 140.1, 139.5, 139.4 (3 x C_q arom.), 129.3-128.3 (arom.), 100.7, 98.8 (2 x C-1), 81.3, 80.9, 81.0, 80.9, 79.7, 79.1, 77.1, 71.7 (skeleton carbons), 76.2, 74.4, 73.9 (3 x PhCH₂), 69.8 (C-6), 59.7, 59.3, 59.0, 55.5 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m*/*z* 705.42 (uronic acid) [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 705.29). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₅NaO₁₂ (704.74): C, 63.06; H, 6.44. Found: C, 63.07; H, 6.40.

3.21. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-O-methyl- α -L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -D-glucopyranoside (44)

Compound **43** (110 mg, 0.156 mmol) was debenzylated according to the method described for the synthesis of **19**. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (1:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH) to give **44** as a white powder (58 mg, 85%). [α]_D +54.0 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); *R*_f 0.21 (1:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); ¹H NMR (D₂O, 360 MHz): δ (ppm) 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 1H), 3.88-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.81-3.56 (m, 8H), 3.52, 3.49, 3.42, 3.40 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 90 MHz): δ (ppm) 175.9 (*C*O), 99.3, 99.0 (2 x C-1), 77.4, 77.2, 76.9, 75.9, 71.7, 71.4, 70.5, 69.6 (skeleton carbons), 60.1 (C-6), 58.3, 57.9, 54.9 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 457.32 (sodium salt) [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 457.13). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₇NaO₁₂ (434.37): C, 44.24; H, 6.27. Found: C, 44.31; H, 6.30.

3.22. Methyl (sodium 2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- α -L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-(sodium sulfonato)- α -D-glucopyranoside (8)

Compound **44** (50 mg, 0.115 mmol) was *O*-sulfated according to the method described for the synthesis of **4**. The crude product was purified by Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography eluted with H₂O to give **8** as a white powder (80 mg, 94%). [α]_D +36.8 (*c* 0.15, MeOH); *R*_f 0.10 (8:5:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-H₂O); ¹H NMR (D₂O, 500 MHz): δ (ppm) 5.19 (d,1H, *J*_{1,2} 3.5 Hz, H-1), 5.06 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2}, 3.3 Hz, H-1'), 4.82 (d, 1H, *J*_{4',5'} 2.7 Hz, H-5'), 4.67 (t, 1H, *J* 9.4 Hz, H-3), 4.43 (m, 1H, H-6a), 4.41 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.32 (m, 1H, H-6b), 4.12 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.03 (t, 1H, *J* 9.7 Hz, H-4), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-4'), 3.67 (m, 1H, H-3'), 3.58, 3.57, 3.51, 3.48 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.50 (m, 1H, H-2); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 125 MHz) δ (ppm) 99.6 (C-1'), 97-2 (C-1), 79.0 (C-4'), 78.1 (C-3'), 78.0 (C-2'), 76.1 (C-3), 75.3 (C-2), 73.3 (C-4), 70.9 (C-5'), 68.8 (C-5), 66.3 (C-6), 58.8, 58.4, 58.0, 55.3 (4 x OCH₃); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 763.03 (tetrasodium salt) [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 762.95). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄Na₄O₂₁S₃ (740.50): C, 25.95; H, 3.27; S, 12.99. Found: C, 25.76; H, 3.22; S, 12.89.

3.23. Methyl (methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- α -L-idopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-3,6-di-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-*C*-(sodium sulfonatomethyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (47); and methyl (methyl 4-deoxy-2,3-di-*O*-methyl- α -L-*threo*-hex-4-enopyranosyluronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-3,6-di-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-*C*-(sodium sulfonatomethyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (48)

Compound 46 (190 mg, 0.28 mmol) was methylated according to the method described for the synthesis of 17 to give a mixture of 47 and 48 (140 mg) which could not be separated by silica gel chromatography. $R_{\rm f}$ of the mixture: 0.71 (7:3 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH), 0.22 (*n*-hexane-EtOAc).

Compound 47: ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ (ppm) 169.9 (CO), 138.3-127. (arom.), 99.8, 98.7 (C-1, C-1'), 82.2, 80.3, 79.2, 77.4, 76.5, 71.2, 70.6 (skeleton carbons), 73.2 (2 x PhCH₂) 68.3 (C-6), 60.0, 59.7, 58.8, 55.2, 51.8 (5 x OCH₃), 48.1 (CH₂SO₃⁻), 42.4 (C-2); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 729.31 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 729.25).

For NMR measurments 35 mg of the mixture of **47** and **48** in DMF (1 mL) was treated with NaH (5 mg) to give **48** as a colourless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz); δ (ppm) 7.32-7.15 (m, 10H, arom.), 5.95 (d, 1H, $J_{3',4'}$ 3.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.17 (s, 1H), 4.90-4.41 (m, 5H), 4.07 (t, 1H, J 9.5 Hz), 3.81-3.57 (m, 4H), 3.48, 3.44, 3.41, 3.20 (4 x s, 12H, 4 x OCH₃), 3.23-3.20 (m, 1H), 3.06-2.90 (m, 3H), 2.53 (m, 1H, H-2); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ (ppm) 162.5 (CO), 141.8 (C-5'), 138.1, 137.9 (2 x Cq arom.), 128.1-127.6 (arom.), 109.0 (C-4'), 101.4, 99.0 (C-1, C-1'), 79.3, 78.6, 76.6, 70.4 (skeleton carbons), 73.2 (2 x PhCH₂), 68.1 (C-6), 59.9, 57.0, 55.3, 52.5 (4 x OCH₃), 47.7 (CH₂SO₃⁻), 42.3 (C-2); MALDI-TOF (positive ion): *m/z* 697.31 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd 697.21).

Acknowledgements:

The work is supported by the TÁMOP 4.2.1/B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 project. The project is cofinanced by the European Union and the European Social Fund. Financial support of the Hungarian Research Fund (K 62802) is also acknowledged.

References

- 1. Casu, B. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1985, 43, 51-134.
- (a) Rosenberg, R. D.; Damus, P. S. J. Biol. Chem. 1973, 248, 6490–6505. (b) Choay, J.; Lormeau, J.-C.; Petitou, M.; Sinaÿ, P.; Fareed, J. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1981, 370, 644-649. (c) Thunberg, L.; Backström, G.; Lindahl, U. Carbohydr. Res. 1982, 100, 393–410.
- (a) Sinaÿ, P.; Jacquinet, J.-C.; Petitou, M.; Duchaussoy, P.; Lederman, I.; Choay, J.; Torri, G. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1984**, *132*, C5–C9. (b) Petitou, M.; Duchaussoy, P.; Lederman, I.; Choay, J.; Sinaÿ, P.; Jacquinet, J.-C.; Torri, G. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1986**, *147*, 221–236. (c) van Boeckel, C. A. A.; Petitou, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1671–1690.
- 4. (a) van Boeckel, C. A. A.; Petitou, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2004**, *43*, 3118–3133. (b) Cheng, J. M. W. *Clin. Therapeutics* **2002**, *24*, 1757–1769.
- Westerduin, P.; van Boeckel, C. A. A.; Basten, J. E. M.; Broekhoven, M. A.; Lucas, H.; Rood, A.; van der Heiden, H.; van Amsterdam, R. G. M.; van Dinther, T. G.; Meuleman, D. G.; Visser, A.; Vogel, G. M. T.; Damm, J. B. L.; Overklift, G. T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1994, *2*, 1267–1280.
- 6. Chen, Ch.; Yu, B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 3875-3879.
- (a) Gómez-Outes, A.; Lecumberri, R.; Pozo, C.; Rocha, E. *Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol.* 2009, 7, 309-329. (b) Harenberg, J. *Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2010, 3, 9-16.
- 8. Savi, P.; Herault, J. P.; Duchaussoy, P.; Millet, L.; Schaeffer, P.; Petitout, M. Bono, F.; Herbert, J. M. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* **2008**, *6*, 1697-1706.
- Herczeg, M.; Lázár, L.; Borbás, A.; Lipták, A; Antus, S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2619– 2622.
- Lázár, L.; Herczeg, M.; Fekete, A.; Borbás, A.; Lipták, A; Antus, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010, 51, 6711-6714.
- Lemieux, R. U. Methods in Carb. Chem. Vol. II. Academic Press, (Ed. Whistler, R. L.; Wolfrom, M. L.; BeMiller, J. N.) 1963, 221-222.
- 12. Ek, M.; Garegg, P. J.; Hultberg, H.; Oscarson, S. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1983, 2, 331– 336.
- 13. (a) Davis, N. J.; Flitsch, S. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1993**, *34*, 1181–1184. (b) de Noy, A. E. J.; Besemer, A. C.; van Bekkum, H. *Carbohydr. Res.*, **1995**, *269*, 89–98.
- 14. Borbás, A.; Csávás, M.; Szilágyi, L.; Májer, G.; Lipták, A. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2004, 23, 133-146.
- 15. Wenz, G.; Höfler, T. Carbohydr. Res. 1999, 322, 153-165.
- 16. Sarda, P.; Olesker, A.; Lukács, G. Carbohydr. Res. 1992, 229, 161-165.
- 17. Yoshimura, J.; Kawauchi, N.; Yasumori, T.; Sato, K.; Hashimoto, H. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1984**, 133, 255–274.
- 18. Debenham, S. D.; Toone, E. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 385-387.
- 19. (a) Lipták, A.; Neszmélyi, A.; Kováč, P.; Hirsch, J. *Tetrahedron* 1981, *37*, 2379–2382;
 (b) Gigg, R.; Conant, R. *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* 1982–1983, *1*, 331–336; (c) Gigg, R.; Conant, R. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1982, *104*, C14–C17.
- 20. Jakab, Zs.; Mándi, A.; Borbás, A.; Bényei, A.; Komáromi, I.; Lázár, L., Antus, S.; Lipták, A. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2009**, *344*, 2444-2453.

- 21. van den Bos, L. J.; Codée, J. D. C.; Litjens, R. E. J. N.; Dinkelaar, J.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 3963–3976.
- 22. For recent syntheses of L-iduronic acid or L-idose building blocks, see: (a) Tabeur, C.; Machetto, F.; Mallet, J.-M.; Duchaussoy, P.; Petitou, M.; Sinay, P. Carbohydr. Res. 1996, 281, 253-275. (b) Adinolfi, A.; Barone, G.; DeLorenzo, F.; Iadonisi, A. Synlett 1999, 1316-1318. (c) Lubineau, A.; Gavard, O.; Alais, J.; Bonnaffé, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 307-311. (d) Hung, S.-C.; Thopate, S. R.; Chi, F.-C.; Chang, S.-W.; Lee, J.-C.; Wang, C.-C.; Wen, Y.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3153-3154. (e) Lohman, G. J. S.; Hunt, D. K.; Högermaier, J. A.; Seeberger, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7559-7561. (f) Ke, W.; Whitfield, D. M.; Gill, M.; Laroque, S.; Yu, S.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7767-7770. (g) Gavard, O.; Hersant, Y.; Alais, J.; Duverger, V.; Dilhas, A.; Bascou, A.; Bonnaffé, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3603-3620. (h) Dilhas, A.; Bonnaffé, D. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2003**, *338*, 681-686. (i) Kuszmann, J.; Medgyes, G., Boros, S. Carbohvdr. Res. 2004, 339, 1569-1579. (j) Codée, J. D. C.; Stubba, B.; Schiattarella, M.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van Boeckel, C. A. A., van Boom, J. H.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 3767–3773. (k) Tatai, J.; Osztrovszky, G.; Kajtár-Peredy, M.; Fügedi, P. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 596–606. (1) Hansen, S. U.; Baráth, M.; Salameh, B. A. B.; Pritchard, R. G.; Stimpson, W. T.; Gardiner, J. M.; Jayson, G. C. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4528-4531; (m) Saito, A.; Wakao, M.; Deguchi, H.; Mawatari, A.; Sobel, M.; Suda, Y. Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 3951–3962. (n) Bindschädler, P.; Adibekian, A.; Grünstein, D.; Seeberger, P. H. Carbohydr. Res. 2010, 345, 948–955.
- 23. Jacquinet, J. C.; Petitou, M.; Duchaussoy, P.; Lederman, I.; Choay, J.; Torri, G.; Sinaÿ, P. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1984**, *130*, 221–241.
- 24. Tronchet, J. M. J.; Eder, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, 2254-2258.
- (a) Neeman, M.; Caseiro, M. C.; Roberts, J. D.; Johnson, W. S. *Tetrahedron*, **1959**, *6*, 36–47. (b) Smith III, A. B.; Hale, K. J.; Laakso, L. M.; Chen, K.; Riéra, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6963–6966. (c) Nakata, T.; Nagao, S.; Mori, N.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 6461–6464.
- 26. Case, D. A.; Darden, T. A.; Cheatham, T. E., III; Simmerling, C. L.; Wang, J.; Duke, R. E.; Luo, R.; Crowley, M.; Walker, R. C.; Zhang, W.; Merz, K. M.; Wang, B.; Hayik, S.; Roitberg, A.; Seabra, G.; Kolossváry, I.; Wong, K. F.; Paesani, F.; Vanicek, J.; Wu, X.; Brozell, S. R.; Steinbrecher, T.; Gohlke, H.; Yang, L.; Tan, C.; Mongan, J.; Hornak, V.; Cui, G.; Mathews, D. H.; Seetin, M. G.; Sagui, C.; Babin, V.; Kollman, P.A. AMBER 10; University of California: San Francisco, 2008.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. GAUSSIAN 03, Revision C.02; Gaussian: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

28. Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. J. Mol. Graph. 1996, 14, 33-38.

Acception

29.

