

FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS ARISEN FROM THE CHARACTERIZATION OF BETA DISTRIBUTIONS

KÁROLY LAJKÓ AND FRUZZSINA MÉSZÁROS

ABSTRACT. Two functional equations, introduced by J. Wesolowski [8] related to an independence property for beta distributions, are investigated without any regularity conditions. The measurable solutions of equation (3) satisfied almost everywhere are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Beta-distributed random variable with parameters p and q , where p and q are fixed positive numbers.

It is known that its density function is

$$f(x) = \beta_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B(p,q)} x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin (0,1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$B(p,q) = \int_0^1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} dx$$

is the beta function.

Recently J. Wesolowski (see [8]) studied a new characterization of beta distribution by the transformation

$$\psi : (0,1)^2 \rightarrow (0,1)^2, \quad \psi(x,y) = \left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}, 1-xy \right). \quad (1)$$

A possible characterization of univariate distributions is based on the following general Transformation Theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an absolutely continuous random variable with density function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is zero outside of a region $\Omega_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\psi : \Omega_x \rightarrow \Omega_y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a one-to-one transformation onto Ω_y and denote ψ^{-1} its inverse transformation.*

If the Jacobi determinant $J(y) = \det \left(\frac{\partial \psi^{-1}(y)}{\partial y} \right)$ exists, is continuous and does not change sign in Ω_y , then the random variable $Y = \psi(X)$ is absolutely continuous with density function g such that

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} f(\psi^{-1}(y)) |J(y)| & \text{if } y \in \Omega_y \text{ (or } y \in \Omega_y \text{ a.e.)} \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_y. \end{cases}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B40, 39B22, 60E05, 62E10.

Key words and phrases. Beta distributions, characterizations of probability distributions, general solution, measurable solution a. e.

Research supported partly by OTKA, Grant No. NK 68040.

The function ψ defined in (1) is bijective, $\psi^{-1} = \psi$ and the Jacobi determinant of ψ^{-1} is of the form

$$J(u, v) = \frac{-v}{1-uv} \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)).$$

It is easy to see that J is continuous and does not change sign on $(0, 1)^2$.

Let X, Y be absolutely continuous and independent random variables with range in $(0, 1)$. Let us denote the densities by f_X, f_Y respectively. Then, by the Transformation Theorem, the random variable

$$(U, V) = \psi(X, Y) = \left(\frac{1-Y}{1-XY}, 1-XY \right)$$

is absolutely continuous with density function g defined by

$$g(u, v) := f_X \left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv} \right) f_Y(1-uv) \frac{v}{1-uv} \quad (2)$$

for all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$.

Wesołowski mentioned that if the random variables X and Y have beta distribution with density functions

$$f_X(x) = \beta_{p,q}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_Y(x) = \beta_{p+q,r}(x), \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

respectively, then, setting these density functions equal to the right-hand side of (2), one finds out easily that the left-hand side of (2) can be factored into a function of u and a function of v , both functions are beta densities with parameters r, q and $r+q, p$, respectively.

Wesołowski asked a question about the converse of this observation: Assume that X and Y are independent and the random vector $(U, V) = \psi(X, Y)$ has independent components. Is it true in this case that X, Y, U and V have beta distribution?

This question has been answered in the affirmative by Wesołowski, assuming that X, Y, U and V have strictly positive and locally integrable densities on $(0, 1)$.

If U and V are independent with density functions f_U, f_V respectively, then Wesołowski gets from (2) the functional equation

$$f_U(u) f_V(v) = f_X \left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv} \right) f_Y(1-uv) \frac{v}{1-uv}, \quad (u, v) \in (0, 1)^2 \quad (3)$$

for unknown density functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. In fact, since density functions are not uniquely determined (the density functions of a random variable may differ on a set of measure zero), the independence of U and V yields that (3) is valid only for almost every $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$.

He determined the solution of (3) under the assumptions that the density functions are strictly positive and locally integrable on $(0, 1)$.

The investigations of Wesołowski are based on the locally integrable real solutions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the following general functional equation

$$g_1 \left(\frac{1-x}{1-xy} \right) + g_2 \left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy} \right) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(y) \quad (x, y \in (0, 1)). \quad (4)$$

He asked the measurable solution of (3) and the general solution of (4) too.

The main aims of this paper are

- (I) to give the general solution of (3) for functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, as well as the general solution of (4) without any regularity conditions,
- (II) to determine the solution of (3) under the following more natural assumptions:
 - the density functions are measurable,
 - (3) is satisfied for almost every $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$.

2. THE GENERAL SOLUTION OF (3)

To determine the general solution of (3) (and later the general solution of (4)) we need the following general result of Gy. Maksa (see [7]) in connection with the generalized fundamental equation of information with four unknown functions.

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$D_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y, x + y \in (0, 1)\}.$$

Functions $F, G, H, K : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$F(x) + G\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = H(y) + K\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad ((x, y) \in D_0), \quad (5)$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) + a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ G(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_3(x) - l_3(1-x) - a_1 - b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ H(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(1-x) + l_3(x) - l_3(1-x) + b_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ K(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) - l_3(1-x) + b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $l_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfies the Cauchy logarithmic equation

$$l_i(xy) = l_i(x) + l_i(y) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad (6)$$

and $a_1, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Lemma 1. *If the functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy (3) then*

$$f_X(x) = \exp[l_1(x) + l_2(1-x) + a_1] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{f_U(x)}{f_V(x)} = \exp[-l_1(1-x) - l_2(x) + l_2(1-x) - b_1] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (8)$$

where $l_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfies (6) and $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. Equation (3) can be written in the form

$$uf_U(u) f_V(v) = f_X\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) \frac{uv}{1-uv} f_Y(1-uv) \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)). \quad (9)$$

Since

$$u, \quad \frac{uv}{1-uv}, \quad f_U(u), \quad f_V(v), \quad f_X\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f_Y(1-uv)$$

are positive for all $u, v \in (0, 1)$, taking the logarithm of (9), we get that the functions $G_1, G_2, F_1, F_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(u) &= \ln[uf_U(u)], \quad G_2(u) = \ln[f_V(u)], \\ F_1(u) &= \ln[f_X(u)], \quad F_2(u) = \ln\left[\frac{u}{1-u} f_Y(1-u)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

satisfy the functional equation

$$G_1(u) + G_2(v) = F_1\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) + F_2(uv) \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)). \quad (11)$$

Interchanging u and v in (11), we get

$$G_1(v) + G_2(u) = F_1\left(\frac{1-u}{1-uv}\right) + F_2(uv) \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)).$$

Subtracting this equation from (11), we obtain

$$(G_1 - G_2)(u) - (G_1 - G_2)(v) = F_1\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) - F_1\left(\frac{1-u}{1-uv}\right) \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)).$$

Now insert in this equation $\frac{1-u}{1-uv} = x$ and $\frac{1-v}{1-uv} = y$, then $u = \frac{1-x}{y}$, $v = \frac{1-y}{x}$, $x, y \in (0, 1)$, $x + y > 1$ and the functions $G = G_2 - G_1$, F_1 satisfy the equation

$$F_1(x) + G\left(\frac{1-y}{x}\right) = F_1(y) + G\left(\frac{1-x}{y}\right) \quad (x, y \in (0, 1), x + y > 1).$$

By the substitutions $x \rightarrow 1-x$, $y \rightarrow 1-y$, we get from this last equation that

$$F_1(1-x) + G\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = F_1(1-y) + G\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad (x, y, x+y \in (0, 1)),$$

i.e., the functions $F : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(x) = F_1(1-x)$ and $G : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$F(x) + G\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = F(y) + G\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad (x, y, x+y \in (0, 1)). \quad (12)$$

Equation (12) is a special case of equation (5) with $H = F, K = G$.

Thus, we get from the above mentioned theorem of Maksa that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) + a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ G(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) - l_2(1-x) + b_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

where the function $l_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfies the functional equation (6) and $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Finally, using the definition F_1, F and G , we infer the statement of Lemma 1 and so (7) and (8) for $f_X(x)$ and $\frac{xf_U(x)}{f_V(x)}$ respectively. \square

On the other hand, by the substitutions

$$\frac{1-v}{1-uv} = x, \quad 1-uv = y \quad \iff \quad u = \frac{1-y}{1-xy}, \quad v = 1-xy,$$

we get from (3) the equation

$$xf_X(x) f_Y(y) = f_U\left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}\right) \frac{xy}{1-xy} f_V(1-xy) \quad (x, y \in (0, 1)). \quad (13)$$

Thus, similarly to Lemma 1, we get the following result.

Lemma 2. *If the functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy (3) (and so (13)), then*

$$f_U(x) = \exp[l_3(x) + l_4(1-x) + a_2] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{xf_X(x)}{f_Y(x)} = \exp[-l_3(1-x) - l_4(x) + l_4(1-x) - b_2] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (15)$$

where the function $l_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 3, 4$) satisfies the functional equation (6) and $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Now we can formulate the main result of this part.

Theorem 3. *Functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy the functional equation (3) if and only if*

$$f_X(x) = \exp[l_1(x) + l_2(1-x) + a_1] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (16)$$

$$f_Y(x) = x \exp[l_1(x) + l_2(x) + l_3(1-x) + a_1 + b_2] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (17)$$

$$f_U(x) = \exp[l_2(1-x) + l_3(x) + a_2] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (18)$$

$$f_V(x) = x \exp[l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) + l_3(x) + a_2 + b_1] \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (19)$$

where function l_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfies the Cauchy logarithmic equation (6) and $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. Using formulae in (7), (8) in Lemma 1 and (14), (15) in Lemma 2, we get immediately (16), and that

$$f_Y(x) = x \exp[l_1(x) + l_2(1-x) + l_3(1-x) + l_4(x) - l_4(1-x) + a_1 + b_2] \quad (20)$$

$$f_U(x) = \exp[l_3(x) + l_4(1-x) + a_2] \quad (21)$$

$$f_V(x) = x \exp[l_3(x) + l_4(1-x) + l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) - l_2(1-x) + a_2 + b_1] \quad (22)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

On the other hand, a simple calculation gives that functions (16), (20), (21) and (22) satisfy (3) iff

$$l_4 \left[\frac{(1-u)(1-v)uv}{1-uv} \right] = l_2 \left[\frac{(1-u)(1-v)uv}{1-uv} \right] \quad (u, v \in (0, 1)).$$

It is easy to see that the range of the function $h : (0, 1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h(u, v) = \frac{(1-u)(1-v)uv}{1-uv}$ contains the open interval $(0, \frac{1}{6})$, thus $l_4(t) = l_2(t)$ if $t \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$, i.e. $(l_4 - l_2)(t) = 0$ if $t \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$.

The function $l_4 - l_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Cauchy logarithmic equation (6), too. Thus (see [2], [3]) $l_4 - l_2 \equiv 0$. This implies that functions (16), (20), (21), (22) satisfy (3) if and only if $l_4 \equiv l_2$, which implies the statement of our Theorem 3. \square

From Theorem 3, we can easily obtain

Corollary 1. *The continuous (or measurable) functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy the functional equation (3) iff*

$$f_X(x) = e^{a_1} x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (23)$$

$$f_Y(x) = e^{a_1+b_2} x^{p+q-1} (1-x)^{r-1} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (24)$$

$$f_U(x) = e^{a_2} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{q-1} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (25)$$

$$f_V(x) = e^{a_2+b_1} x^{q+r-1} (1-x)^{p-1} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (26)$$

where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. By Theorem 3, the functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy (3) iff they are of the forms (16), (17), (18), (19), which implies easily that

$$l_2(x) = \log \left[\frac{(1-x)f_X(x)f_U(1-x)}{f_V(1-x)} \right] + b_1 - a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (27)$$

$$l_1(x) = \log [f_X(x)] - l_2(1-x) - a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (28)$$

$$l_3(x) = \log [f_V(x)] - l_1(1-x) - l_2(x) - \log x - a_2 - b_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)). \quad (29)$$

By the continuity (or measurability) of functions f_X, f_U, f_V , (27) implies that l_2 is continuous (or measurable) on $(0, 1)$. Now, by the continuity (or measurability) of l_2 and f_X , we get from (28) that l_1 is continuous (or measurable) on $(0, 1)$, too. Finally (29), using the continuity (or measurability) of l_1, l_2 and f_V , implies the continuity (or measurability) of l_3 .

$l_1, l_2, l_3 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Cauchy logarithmic equation (6) and are continuous (or measurable) on $(0, 1)$. These imply (see [2], [3]) that

$$l_1(x) = A_1 \log x, \quad l_2(x) = A_2 \log x, \quad l_3(x) = A_3 \log x \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+), \quad (30)$$

where $A_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is arbitrary constant.

Setting this form of l_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) into (16), (17), (18), (19), an easy calculation shows that

$$f_X(x) = e^{a_1} x^{A_1} (1-x)^{A_2} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (31)$$

$$f_Y(x) = e^{a_1+b_2} x^{A_1+A_2+1} (1-x)^{A_3} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (32)$$

$$f_U(x) = e^{a_2} x^{A_3} (1-x)^{A_2} \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \quad (33)$$

$$f_V(x) = e^{a_2+b_1} x^{A_2+A_3+1} (1-x)^{A_1} \quad (x \in (0, 1)). \quad (34)$$

These imply, with constants $p = A_1 + 1$, $q = A_2 + 1$ and $r = A_3 + 1$, the statement of Corollary 1. \square

Remark 1. Functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that logarithms of these functions are locally integrable, satisfy (3) iff they are of the forms (23), (24), (25), (26), where $a_1, a_2, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are arbitrary constants.

3. THE MEASURABLE SOLUTION OF (3) SATISFIED ALMOST EVERYWHERE

Here we need the following result of A. Járαι (see [5] and [6]).

Theorem 4 (Járαι). *Let Z be a regular space, Z_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) topological spaces and T a first countable topological space. Let Y be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^k , X_i an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{r_i} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and D an open subset of $T \times Y$. Let $T' \subset T$ be a dense subset, $f : T' \rightarrow Z$, $g_i : D \rightarrow X_i$ and $h : D \times Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_n \rightarrow Z$. Suppose that the function f_i is almost everywhere defined on X_i with values in Z_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) for all $t \in T'$ for almost all $y \in D_t$

$$f(t) = h(t, y, f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y))), \quad (35)$$

where $D_t = \{y \in Y : (t, y) \in D\}$;

(2) for each fixed y in Y , the function h is continuous in the other variables;

(3) f_i is λ^{r_i} measurable, i.e. f_i is Lebesgue measurable on \mathbb{R}^{r_i} , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$);

(4) g_i and the partial derivative $\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y}$ is continuous on D ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$);

(5) for each $t \in T$ there exist a y such that $(t, y) \in D$ and the partial derivative $\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y}$ has the rank r_i at $(t, y) \in D$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Then there exists a unique continuous function \tilde{f} such that $f = \tilde{f}$ almost everywhere on T , and if f is replaced by \tilde{f} then equation (35) is satisfied almost everywhere on D .

Lemma 3. If the measurable functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, satisfy equation (3) for almost all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$, then there exist unique continuous functions $\tilde{f}_X, \tilde{f}_Y, \tilde{f}_U, \tilde{f}_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $\tilde{f}_X = f_X, \tilde{f}_Y = f_Y, \tilde{f}_U = f_U, \tilde{f}_V = f_V$ almost everywhere, and if f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V are replaced by $\tilde{f}_X, \tilde{f}_Y, \tilde{f}_U, \tilde{f}_V$ respectively, then equation (3) is satisfied everywhere on $(0, 1)^2$.

Proof. First we prove that there exist unique continuous function \tilde{f}_X which is almost everywhere equal to f_X on $(0, 1)$ and replacing f_X by \tilde{f}_X , equation (3) is satisfied almost everywhere.

With the substitution

$$t = \frac{1-v}{1-uv}, \quad y = v$$

we get from (3) the equation

$$f_X(t) = \frac{f_U\left(\frac{y+t-1}{yt}\right) \frac{f_V(y)}{y}}{\frac{f_Y\left(\frac{1-y}{t}\right)}{1-y}}, \quad (36)$$

which is satisfied for almost all $(t, y) \in D$, where

$$D = \{(t, y) \mid t, y \in (0, 1), t + y > 1\}.$$

By Fubini's Theorem it follows that there exists $T \subseteq (0, 1)$ of full measure such that, for all $t \in T$ equation (36) is satisfied for almost every $y \in D_t$, where

$$D_t = \{y \in (0, 1) \mid (t, y) \in D\}.$$

Let us define the functions g_1, g_2, g_3, h in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t, y) &= \frac{y+t-1}{yt}, \\ g_2(t, y) &= y, \\ g_3(t, y) &= \frac{1-y}{t}, \\ h(t, y, z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \frac{z_1 z_2}{z_3}, \end{aligned}$$

and let us now apply Theorem of Járαι to (36) with the following casting:

$$f_X(t) = f(t), \quad f_U(t) = f_1(t), \quad \frac{f_V(t)}{t} = f_2(t), \quad \frac{f_Y(t)}{t} = f_3(t),$$

$$Z = \mathbb{R}_+, \quad Z_i = \mathbb{R}_+, \quad T = (0, 1), \quad Y = (0, 1), \quad X_i = (0, 1), \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Hence the first assumption in the Theorem of Járαι with respect to (36) is satisfied.

In the case of a fixed y , the function h is continuous in the other variables, so the second assumption holds too.

Because the functions in equation (36) are measurable, the third assumption is trivial.

The functions g_i are continuous, the partial derivatives

$$D_2g_1(t, y) = \frac{1-t}{y^2t}, \quad D_2g_2(t, y) = 1, \quad D_2g_3(t, y) = -\frac{1}{t}$$

are also continuous, so the fourth assumption holds too.

For each $t \in (0, 1)$ there exist a $y \in (0, 1)$ such that $(t, y) \in D$ and the partial derivatives don't equal zero in (t, y) , so they have the rank 1. Thus the last assumption is satisfied in the Theorem of Járai.

So we get, from Járai's Theorem that there exists a unique continuous function \tilde{f}_X which is almost everywhere equal to f_X on $(0, 1)$ and $\tilde{f}_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V$ satisfy equation (36) almost everywhere, which is equivalent to equation

$$f_U(u) f_V(v) = \tilde{f}_X\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) f_Y(1-uv) \frac{v}{1-uv} \quad (37)$$

for almost all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$.

By a similar argument, we can prove the same for the function f_Y .

From equation (37) with the substitution $t = 1 - uv$, $y = v$ we get the equation

$$f_Y(t) = \frac{f_U\left(\frac{1-t}{y}\right) \frac{f_V(y)t}{y}}{\tilde{f}_X\left(\frac{1-y}{t}\right)}, \quad (38)$$

which, by Fubini's Theorem again, is satisfied for almost all $t \in (0, 1)$ and for almost all $y \in D_t$.

With the casting

$$g_1(t, y) = \frac{1-t}{y}, \quad g_2(t, y) = y, \quad g_3(t, y) = \frac{1-y}{t},$$

$$h(t, y, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{z_1 z_2}{z_3},$$

use the Theorem of Járai for the equation (38). In this case, we can also see that the assumptions of the Theorem of Járai are fulfilled, hence there exists a unique continuous function \tilde{f}_Y which is almost everywhere equal to f_Y on $(0, 1)$ and $\tilde{f}_X, \tilde{f}_Y, f_U, f_V$ satisfy equation (38) almost everywhere, i.e.

$$\tilde{f}_Y(t) = \frac{f_U\left(\frac{1-t}{y}\right) \frac{f_V(y)t}{y}}{\tilde{f}_X\left(\frac{1-y}{t}\right)},$$

almost everywhere on $(0, 1)^2$, which is equivalent to (3) replacing f_X and f_Y by \tilde{f}_X and \tilde{f}_Y , i.e.

$$f_U(u) f_V(v) = \tilde{f}_X\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) \tilde{f}_Y(1-uv) \frac{v}{1-uv} \quad (39)$$

for almost all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$.

Since $\psi = \psi^{-1}$, we get from (39) the equation

$$\tilde{f}_X(x) \tilde{f}_Y(y) = f_U\left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}\right) f_V(1-xy) \frac{y}{1-xy} \quad (40)$$

for almost all $(x, y) \in (0, 1)^2$. Equation (40) is dual to (39) by simple changing (f_U, f_V) into (f_X, f_Y) .

By the same steps as in the case of f_X and f_Y , we can prove that there exist unique continuous functions \tilde{f}_U and \tilde{f}_V which are almost everywhere equal to f_U

and f_V on $(0, 1)$, respectively, and replacing f_U and f_V by \tilde{f}_U and \tilde{f}_V , respectively, the functional equation (40) and so the functional equation

$$\tilde{f}_U(u) \tilde{f}_V(v) = \tilde{f}_X\left(\frac{1-v}{1-uv}\right) \tilde{f}_Y(1-uv) \frac{v}{1-uv} \quad (41)$$

is satisfied almost everywhere in $(0, 1)^2$, and hence on a dense set in $(0, 1)^2$.

Then, by the continuity of functions involved in (41), it follows evidently that (41) is satisfied for all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$. Furthermore, $f_X = \tilde{f}_X$, $f_Y = \tilde{f}_Y$, $f_U = \tilde{f}_U$ and $f_V = \tilde{f}_V$ almost everywhere on $(0, 1)$. \square

Now, using Lemma 3 and Corollary 1, one can easily prove the following

Theorem 5. *The measurable functions $f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy the functional equation (3) for almost all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$ iff there exist positive constants p, q, r, ε_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) with $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \varepsilon_1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} \quad (x \in (0, 1) \text{ a.e.}), \\ f_Y(y) &= \varepsilon_2 y^{p+q-1} (1-y)^{r-1} \quad (y \in (0, 1) \text{ a.e.}), \\ f_U(u) &= \varepsilon_3 u^{r-1} (1-u)^{q-1} \quad (u \in (0, 1) \text{ a.e.}), \\ f_V(v) &= \varepsilon_4 v^{q+r-1} (1-v)^{p-1} \quad (v \in (0, 1) \text{ a.e.}). \end{aligned}$$

(Consequently f_X, f_Y, f_U, f_V are density functions of beta distribution.)

Proof. Under the assumptions of our theorem, it follows from Lemma 3 that there exist unique continuous functions $\tilde{f}_X, \tilde{f}_Y, \tilde{f}_U, \tilde{f}_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $f_X = \tilde{f}_X$, $f_Y = \tilde{f}_Y$, $f_U = \tilde{f}_U$, $f_V = \tilde{f}_V$ almost everywhere and functional equation (41) is satisfied for all $(u, v) \in (0, 1)^2$. Then we infer from Corollary 1 that continuous functions $\tilde{f}_X, \tilde{f}_Y, \tilde{f}_U, \tilde{f}_V : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy (41) iff they are of the form (23), (24), (25) and (26) respectively. Summarizing these, we have the statement of our theorem with constants $\varepsilon_1 = e^{a_1}$, $\varepsilon_2 = e^{a_1+b_2}$, $\varepsilon_3 = e^{a_2}$, $\varepsilon_4 = e^{a_2+b_1}$. \square

Corollary 2. *If X and Y are absolutely continuous and independent random variables (and the support of X and Y are equal $(0, 1)$) such that the random variables, defined by*

$$U = \frac{1-Y}{1-XY}, \quad V = 1-XY,$$

are also independent, then X, Y, U and V belong to the family of beta distributions. That is, with the notations of the previous theorem, X, Y, U and V have beta distributions with parameters $p, q; p+q, r; r, q$ and $q+r, p$, respectively.

Remark 2. *The following problem is still open (Referee's suggestion): Is it possible to solve (3) holding almost everywhere for unknown functions assuming values in $[0, \infty)$?*

4. THE GENERAL SOLUTION OF (4)

By the substitutions

$$\frac{1-x}{1-xy} = u, \quad \frac{1-y}{1-xy} = v$$

and consequently

$$x = \frac{1-u}{v}, \quad y = \frac{1-v}{u}, \quad u, v \in (0, 1), \quad u+v > 1,$$

we get from

$$g_1\left(\frac{1-x}{1-xy}\right) + g_2\left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}\right) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(y) \quad (x, y \in (0, 1))$$

the functional equation

$$g_1(u) + g_2(v) = \alpha_1\left(\frac{1-u}{v}\right) + \alpha_2\left(\frac{1-v}{u}\right) \quad (u, v \in (0, 1), u+v > 1). \quad (42)$$

Replacing u by $1-x$ and v by $1-y$ in (42), we get

$$g_1(1-x) + g_2(1-y) = \alpha_1\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) + \alpha_2\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) \quad (x, y, x+y < 1).$$

This implies that the functions $F, G, H, K : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(x) = g_1(1-x), \quad G(x) = -\alpha_2(x), \quad H(x) = -g_2(1-x), \quad K(x) = \alpha_1(x)$$

satisfy the functional equation (5).

Thus, theorem of Maksa implies that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(1-x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) + a_1, \\ -g_2(1-x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(1-x) + l_3(x) - l_3(1-x) + b_1, \\ \alpha_1(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_2(x) - l_3(1-x) + b_2, \\ -\alpha_2(x) &= l_1(1-x) + l_3(x) - l_3(1-x) + b_1 - a_1 + b_2 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Finally from (43) we get the following result.

Theorem 6. *The functions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the functional equation (4) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= A(x) + B(1-x) + a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ g_2(x) &= C(x) + D(1-x) - b_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ \alpha_1(x) &= A(1-x) + B(x) + D(1-x) + b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ \alpha_2(x) &= B(1-x) + C(1-x) + D(x) - b_1 + a_1 - b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where functions $A, B, C, D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the logarithmic Cauchy equation (6), $A + B + C + D = 0$ and $a_1, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. From (43) with notations $A = l_1$, $B = l_2$, $D = -l_3$ and $l_3 - l_1 - l_2 = C$ we get (44). Functions $A, B, C, D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (6).

An easy calculation shows that the functions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$, defined by (44) satisfy (4) indeed, if $A + B + C + D = 0$. \square

Corollary 3. *The measurable functions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the functional equation (4) iff*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= \alpha \log x + \beta \log(1-x) + a_1, \\ g_2(x) &= \gamma \log x + \delta \log(1-x) - b_1, \\ \alpha_1(x) &= \beta \log x - (\beta + \gamma) \log(1-x) + b_2, \\ \alpha_2(x) &= (\beta + \gamma) \log(1-x) + \delta \log x - b_1 + a_1 - b_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, a_1, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 6, functions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (4) iff the functions are of the form (44), which implies easily that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= g_1(x) - \alpha_2(x) + g_2(1-x) - b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ B(x) &= g_1(1-x) - A(1-x) - a_1 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ C(x) &= \alpha_2(1-x) - \alpha_1(x) + A(1-x) + b_1 - a_1 + 2b_2 \quad (x \in (0, 1)), \\ D(x) &= -A(x) - B(x) - C(x) \quad (x \in (0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

The measurability of functions $g_1, g_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ on $(0, 1)$ imply, by these equalities, the measurability of functions A, B, C and finally D on $(0, 1)$.

Furthermore, $A, B, C, D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Cauchy logarithmic equation (6). These imply that

$$A(x) = \alpha \log x, B(x) = \beta \log x, C(x) = \gamma \log x, D(x) = \delta \log x \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (45)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0$. Setting (45) into (44), we get immediately the statement of our corollary. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Aczél, J., *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [2] Aczél, J. and Dhombres, J., *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [3] Kuczma, M., *Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe – Uniwersytet Śląski, Warszawa–Kraków–Katowice, 1985.
- [4] Giri, N. C., *Introduction to probability and statistics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [5] Járai, A., *Measurable solutions of functional equations satisfied almost everywhere*, *Mathematica Pannonica* 10/1 (1999), 103–110.
- [6] Járai, A., *Regularity Properties of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Springer, Adv. Math. Dordrecht, Vol. 8, 2005.
- [7] Maksa, Gy., *Solution on the open triangle of the generalized fundamental equation of information with four unknown functions*, *Utilitas Math.* 21 (1982), 267–282.
- [8] Wesolowski, J., *On a functional equation related to an independence property for beta distributions*, *Aequationes Math.*, 66 (2003), 156–163.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, H-4010 DEBRECEN, P. O. BOX 12, HUNGARY

E-mail address: lajko@math.klte.hu

E-mail address: mefru@math.klte.hu