

## Bernstein–Doetsch type results for $s$ -convex functions

By PÁL BURAI (Debrecen), ATTILA HÁZY (Miskolc) and TIBOR JUHÁSZ (Eger)

*Dedicated to 70th birthday of Professor Z. Daróczy*

**Abstract.** As a possible generalization of the concept of  $s$ -convexity due to BRECKNER [2], we introduce the so-called  $(H, s)$ -convexity. Besides collecting some facts on this type of functions, the main goal of this paper is to prove some regularity properties of  $(H, s)$ -convex functions.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $D$  be a convex, open, nonempty subset of a real (complex) linear space  $X$ . BERNSTEIN and DOETSCH [1] (see [11] further references) proved that if a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is locally bounded from above at a point of  $D$ , then the Jensen-convexity of the function yields its local boundedness and continuity as well, which implies the convexity of the function  $f$ . This result has been generalized by several authors. The first such type results are due to NIKODEM and NG [13] for the approximately Jensen-convex functions (the so-called  $\varepsilon$ -Jensen-convexity), which was extended by PÁLES ([14], [15]) to approximately  $t$ -convex functions. Further generalizations can be found in papers of MROWIEC [12], HÁZY ([6], [7]), HÁZY and PÁLES ([8], [9]). In the paper of GILÁNYI, NIKODEM and PÁLES [5] there are some Bernstein–Doetsch type results for quasiconvex functions.

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26A51, 26B25, 39B62.

*Key words and phrases:* convexity, Jensen-convexity,  $s$ -Jensen-convexity,  $s$ -convexity, Bernstein–Doetsch theorem, regularity properties of generalized convex functions.

This research has been supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) Grants K-62316 and NK-68040.

The concept of  $s$ -convexity was introduced by BRECKNER [2]. A real valued function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *Breckner  $s$ -convex* (or shortly  *$s$ -convex*, in notation  $f \in \mathcal{K}^s$ ), if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda^s f(x) + (1 - \lambda)^s f(y) \quad (1)$$

for every  $x, y \in D$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , where  $s \in ]0, 1]$  is a fixed number (see also [3], [10], [17]). The case  $s = 1$  means the usual convexity of  $f$ .

Let  $H \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a nonempty set. A real valued function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *Breckner  $(H, s)$ -convex*, (or shortly  *$(H, s)$ -convex*, in notation  $f \in \mathcal{K}_H^s$ ), if it fulfills (1) for all  $\lambda \in H$ .

In the special cases when  $H = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ ,  $H = \{\lambda\}$  or  $H = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ , the corresponding Breckner  $(H, s)$ -convex functions are said to be *Breckner Jensen  $s$ -convex*, *Breckner  $(\lambda, s)$ -convex* and *Breckner rationally  $s$ -convex*, respectively (or shortly *Jensen  $s$ -convex*,  *$(\lambda, s)$ -convex* and *rationally  $s$ -convex*).

In [2] and [3] it was proved a Bernstein–Doetsch type result on rationally  $s$ -convex functions, moreover, the  $s$ -Hölder property of  $s$ -convex functions. PYCIA [17] gives a new proof of the latter statement, when  $f$  is defined on a nonempty, convex subset of a finite dimensional vector space. In [10] the authors collect some properties of  $s$ -convex functions defined on the nonnegative reals.

The main goal of this paper is to prove some regularity properties of  $(H, s)$ -convex functions, besides we also collect some facts on such functions.

## 2. Some elementary properties of $s$ -convex functions

In this section we collect some interesting, easily-proved properties of Breckner  $s$ -convex functions.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $\lambda, s \in ]0, 1[$  and  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $(\lambda, s)$ -convex function, then  $f$  is nonnegative.*

PROOF. Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $D$ . Using  $(\lambda, s)$ -convexity of  $f$

$$f(x) = f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x) \leq \lambda^s f(x) + (1 - \lambda)^s f(x) = (\lambda^s + (1 - \lambda)^s) f(x),$$

which implies

$$0 \leq (\lambda^s + (1 - \lambda)^s - 1) f(x).$$

Since  $\lambda^s + (1 - \lambda)^s - 1 > 0$  for all  $\lambda, s \in ]0, 1[$ , we have that  $f(x) \geq 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* According to the previous proposition,  $(H, s)$ -convex functions are also nonnegative when  $0 < s < 1$  and  $H \setminus \{0, 1\} \neq \emptyset$ . This is not true for  $s = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $H \subseteq [0, 1]$ . If  $f, g \in \mathcal{K}^s$  (or  $\mathcal{K}_H^s$ ), then  $f + g, cf$  (with  $c > 0$ ), and  $\max\{f, g\}$  are also in  $\mathcal{K}^s$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_H^s$ ).*

PROOF. Easy calculation.  $\square$

The next two propositions imply that the set of  $s$ -convex functions is strictly increasing as  $s$  tends to zero.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $0 < s_2 \leq s_1 < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{s_1}$  (or  $\mathcal{K}_H^{s_1}$ ), then  $f$  is also in  $\mathcal{K}^{s_2}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_H^{s_2}$ ).*

PROOF. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{s_1}$ , and let first  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$ . Then, by Proposition 1,  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  are nonnegative for all  $x, y \in D$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda^{s_1} \leq \lambda^{s_2}$  and  $(1 - \lambda)^{s_1} \leq (1 - \lambda)^{s_2}$ , thus

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda^{s_1} f(x) + (1 - \lambda)^{s_1} f(y) \leq \lambda^{s_2} f(x) + (1 - \lambda)^{s_2} f(y).$$

The above inequalities hold for  $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ , too, therefore  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{s_2}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $0 < s_1 < s_2 \leq 1$ . Then there exists a function  $f$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s_1}$  but  $f \notin \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s_2}$ .*

PROOF. Let the function  $f : ]0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) := x^{s_1}$ . First we show that  $f$  is a Jensen  $s_1$ -convex function. To this we may assume that  $x \leq y$  without loss of generality. Then the Jensen  $s_1$ -convexity of  $f$  is equivalent to the inequality

$$(u + 1)^{s_1} \leq u^{s_1} + 1, \quad u \in ]0, 1],$$

where  $u := \frac{x}{y}$ . The above inequality is equivalent to the nonnegativity of the function

$$g(u) = \log(u^{s_1} + 1) - s_1 \log(u + 1), \quad u \in [0, 1].$$

Because of  $g(0) = 0$  and of  $g$  being monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  (first derivative test), we get the Jensen  $s_1$ -convexity of  $f$ .

Now we prove  $f \notin \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s_2}$ . Assume to the contrary that  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s_2}$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right)^{s_1} \leq \frac{x^{s_1} + y^{s_1}}{2^{s_2}}, \quad x, y \in ]0, \infty[.$$

We can assume again that  $x \leq y$ . Divide by  $y^{s_1}$  both sides of the above inequality and substitute  $u := \frac{x}{y}$ . After some rearranging we get

$$1 \leq 2^{s_1 - s_2} \frac{u^{s_1} + 1}{(u + 1)^{s_1}}, \quad u \in ]0, 1].$$

Here the right-hand side tends to  $2^{s_1 - s_2} < 1$  as  $u$  tends to zero, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We give a simple characterization of  $s$ -convex functions, which is analogous to the characterization of convex functions.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty, open interval. A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $s$ -convex if and only if*

$$(z - x)^s f(y) \leq (z - y)^s f(x) + (y - x)^s f(z), \quad (2)$$

for every  $x < y < z$ ,  $x, y, z \in I$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $f$  is  $s$ -convex and let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be arbitrary element of  $I$  such that  $x < y < z$ . Then

$$f(y) = f\left(\frac{z - y}{z - x}x + \frac{y - x}{z - x}z\right) \leq \left(\frac{z - y}{z - x}\right)^s f(x) + \left(\frac{y - x}{z - x}\right)^s f(z),$$

which is equivalent to (2). One can prove the converse assertion in a similar manner.  $\square$

### 3. Regularity properties of $(\lambda, s)$ -convex functions

In this section we assume that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a real (complex) normed space instead of a real (complex) linear space. We recall that a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called locally bounded from above on  $D$  if, for each point of  $p \in D$ , there exist  $\varrho > 0$  and a neighborhood  $U(p, \varrho) := \{x \in X : \|x - p\| < \varrho\}$  such that  $f$  is bounded from above on  $U(p, \varrho)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $D \subset X$  be convex, open, nonempty and  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  be fixed. If  $f \in \mathcal{K}_\lambda^s$  is locally bounded from above at a point  $p \in D$ , then  $f$  is locally bounded at every point of  $D$ .*

PROOF. First we prove that  $f$  is locally bounded from above on  $D$ . Define the sequence of sets  $D_n$  by

$$D_0 := \{p\}, \quad D_{n+1} := \lambda D_n + (1 - \lambda)D.$$

Using induction on  $n$ , we prove that  $f$  is locally upper bounded at each point of  $D_n$ . By assumption,  $f$  is locally upper bounded at  $p \in D_0$ . Assume that  $f$  is locally upper bounded at each point of  $D_n$ . For  $x \in D_{n+1}$ , there exist  $x_0 \in D_n$  and  $y_0 \in D$  such that  $x = \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)y_0$ . By the inductive assumption, there exist  $r > 0$  and a constant  $M_0 \geq 0$  such that  $f(x') \leq M_0$  for  $\|x_0 - x'\| < r$ . Then, by the  $(\lambda, s)$ -convexity of  $f$ , for  $x' \in U_0 := U(x_0, r)$  we have

$$f(\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)y_0) \leq \lambda^s f(x') + (1 - \lambda)^s f(y_0) \leq \lambda^s M_0 + (1 - \lambda)^s f(y_0) =: M.$$

Therefore, for

$$y \in U := \lambda U_0 + (1 - \lambda)y_0 = U(\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)y_0, \lambda r) = U(x, \lambda r),$$

we get that  $f(y) \leq M$ . Thus  $f$  is locally bounded from above on  $D_{n+1}$ .

On the other hand, we show that

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n.$$

From the definition of  $D_n$ , it follows by induction that  $D_n = \lambda^n p + (1 - \lambda^n)D$ . For fixed  $x \in D$ , define the sequence  $x_n$  by

$$x_n := \frac{x - \lambda^n p}{1 - \lambda^n}.$$

Then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $D$  is open,  $x_n \in D$  for some  $n$ . Therefore

$$x = \lambda^n p + (1 - \lambda^n)x_n \in \lambda^n p + (1 - \lambda^n)D = D_n.$$

Thus  $f$  is locally bounded from above on  $D$ .

Now, we prove that  $f$  is locally bounded from below. Let  $q \in D$  be arbitrary. Since  $f$  is locally bounded from above at the point  $q$ , there exist  $\varrho > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{U(q, \varrho)} f \leq M.$$

Let  $x \in U(q, \lambda \varrho)$  and  $y := \frac{q - (1-\lambda)x}{\lambda}$ . Then  $y$  is in  $U(q, \varrho)$ . By  $(\lambda, s)$ -convexity,

$$f(q) \leq (1-\lambda)^s f(x) + \lambda^s f(y),$$

which implies

$$f(x) \geq \frac{f(q) - \lambda^s f(y)}{(1-\lambda)^s} \geq \frac{f(q) - \lambda^s M}{(1-\lambda)^s} =: M'.$$

Therefore  $f$  is locally bounded from below at any point of  $D$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorem we obtain:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Jensen  $s$ -convex function. If  $f$  is locally bounded from above at a point of  $D$ , then  $f$  is locally bounded at every point of  $D$ .*

The next theorem essentially weakens the local boundedness assumption if the underlying space is of finite dimension. It can be derived from Theorem 2 adopting the argument followed in [8] (that is based on STEINHAUS' and PICCARD's theorems (cf. [18], [16])).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $D$  be an open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $(\lambda, s)$ -convex function with a fixed  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Assume that there exist a Lebesgue-measurable set of positive measure (or a Baire-measurable set of second category)  $S \subseteq D$  and a Lebesgue-measurable (resp. Baire-measurable) function  $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \leq g$  on  $S$ . Then  $f$  is locally bounded on  $D$ .*

PROOF. Let

$$S_{k,m} := \{x \in S \mid g(x) \leq k\} \cap U(0, m) \quad m, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$S = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{k,m},$$

therefore, for some  $k, m$ , the set  $S_{k,m}$  is of positive measure. Therefore,  $f$  is bounded by  $k$  on  $S_{k,m}$ , which is a bounded set of positive measure (or a bounded set of second category).

Taking  $x, y \in S_{k,m}$ , we get that

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda^s f(x) + (1-\lambda)^s f(y) \leq (\lambda^s + (1-\lambda)^s)k \leq 2^{1-s}k.$$

That is,  $f$  is bounded on  $\lambda S_{k,m} + (1-\lambda)S_{k,m}$ , which, by the theorem of STEINHAUS [18] (or the theorem of PICCARD [16]) (cf. [11]), contains an interior point. Therefore,  $f$  is locally bounded from above at a point of  $D$ . As an immediate consequence of the previous theorem we obtain that  $f$  is locally bounded on  $D$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.* It is a well-known fact that if a Jensen-convex function  $f$  is locally bounded above at a point of its domain (see [1], [11]), then it is continuous on its domain. This is not true for Jensen  $s$ -convex functions. Indeed, let  $0 < s < 1$  be fixed and

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in ](2^s - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}, 1[ \setminus \mathbb{Q}; \\ x^s, & \text{if } x \in ](2^s - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}, 1[ \cap \mathbb{Q}, \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is Jensen  $s$ -convex, bounded and nowhere continuous.

Next theorem gives a sufficient condition for local boundedness to imply continuity.

**Theorem 4.** *Let the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be such that  $\lambda_n \in ]0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_n$  tends to 0 (when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). If  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_{\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}^s$  and  $f$  is locally bounded from above at a point  $x_0 \in D$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .*

PROOF. Since  $f$  is locally bounded from above at a point  $x_0 \in D$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  at  $x_0$  and a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that  $f(x) \leq K$  for every  $x \in U$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary nonnegative constant. Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$ , then

$$\lambda_n^s K + [(1 - \lambda_n)^s - 1] f(x_0) < \varepsilon,$$

whence

$$\frac{\lambda_n^s}{(1 - \lambda_n)^s} K + \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_n)^s} \right] f(x_0) < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of 0 such that  $x_0 + V \subseteq U$ , and let  $U' = x_0 + \lambda_n V$ . We prove that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon \quad (x \in U').$$

For  $x \in U'$  there exist  $y, z \in x_0 + V$  such that

$$x = \lambda_n y + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0, \quad x_0 = \lambda_n z + (1 - \lambda_n)x.$$

Indeed,

$$y - x_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_n}(x - x_0) \in \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\lambda_n V = V,$$

and

$$z - x_0 = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}(x_0 - x) \in \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}\lambda_n V = (1 - \lambda_n)V \subseteq V.$$

According to  $(\lambda_n, s)$ -convexity of  $f$ ,

$$f(x) \leq \lambda_n^s f(y) + (1 - \lambda_n)^s f(x_0) \leq \lambda_n^s K + (1 - \lambda_n)^s f(x_0),$$

$$f(x_0) \leq \lambda_n^s f(z) + (1 - \lambda_n)^s f(x) \leq \lambda_n^s K + (1 - \lambda_n)^s f(x).$$

We get

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \leq \lambda_n^s K + [(1 - \lambda_n)^s - 1] f(x_0) < \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

and

$$f(x) \geq \frac{f(x_0) - \lambda_n^s K}{(1 - \lambda_n)^s},$$

which implies

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \geq \left[ \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_n)^s} - 1 \right] f(x_0) - \frac{\lambda_n^s}{(1 - \lambda_n)^s} K > -\varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

The inequalities (3) and (4) show that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ , that is  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ , which was to be proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $H \subseteq [0, 1]$  and assume that 0 or 1 is a limit point of  $H$ . If  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $(H, s)$ -convex, locally bounded at a point of  $D$ , then  $f$  continuous at that point.*

PROOF. Since  $f$  is  $(H, s)$ -convex, it is also  $(1 - H, s)$ -convex, so there exists a sequence in  $H$  or in  $1 - H$ , which tends to zero. Now, we can apply the previous theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $H \subseteq [0, 1]$  and assume that 0 or 1 is a limit point of  $H$ . If  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $(H, s)$ -convex and locally bounded at a point of  $D$ , then  $f$  continuous on  $D$ .*

PROOF. According to Theorem 2,  $f$  is locally bounded at every point of  $D$ . So, we can use the previous corollary to get the continuity of  $f$  at every point of  $D$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. BERNSTEIN and G. DOETSCH, Zur Theorie der konvexen Funktionen, *Math. Annalen* **76** (1915), 514–526.
- [2] W. W. BRECKNER, Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer Funktionen in topologischen linearen Räumen, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* **23** (1978), 13–20.
- [3] W. W. BRECKNER and G. ORBÁN, Continuity properties of rationally  $s$ -convex mappings with values in ordered topological liner space, “Babes-Bolyai” University, Kolozsvár, 1978.
- [4] Z. DARÓCZY and Zs. PÁLES, Convexity with given infinite weight sequences, *Stochastica* **11** (1987), 5–12.
- [5] A. GILÁNYI, K. NIKODEM and Zs. PÁLES, Bernstein-Doetsch type results for quasiconvex functions, *Math. Ineq. and Appl.* **7**, no. 2 (2004), 169–175.
- [6] A. HÁZY, On approximately  $t$ -convexity, *Math. Ineq. and Appl.* **8**, no. 3 (2005), 389–402.



- [7] A. HÁZY, On the stability of  $t$ -convex functions, *Aequationes Math.* **74** (2007), 210–218.
- [8] A. HÁZY and Zs. PÁLES, On approximately midconvex functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004), 339–350.
- [9] A. HÁZY and Zs. PÁLES, On approximately  $t$ -convex functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **66**, no. 3–4 (2005), 489–501, Dedicated to the 75th birthday of Professor Heinz König.
- [10] H. HUDZIK and L. MALIGRANDA, Some remarks on  $s_i$ -convex functions, *Aequationes Math.* **48** (1994), 100–111.
- [11] M. KUCZMA, An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities, *Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe – Uniwersytet Śląski, Warszawa – Kraków – Katowice*, 1985.
- [12] J. MROWIEC, Remark on approximately Jensen-convex functions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Canada* **23** (2001), 16–21.
- [13] C. T. NG and K. NIKODEM, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118**, no. 1 (1993), 103–108.
- [14] Zs. PÁLES, Bernstein–Doetsch-type results for general functional inequalities, *Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Mat.* **17** (2000), 197–206, Dedicated to Professor Zenon Moszner on his 70th birthday.
- [15] Zs. PÁLES, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 243–252.
- [16] S. PICCARD, Sur des Ensembles Parfaits, *Mém. Univ. Neuchâtel, vol. 16, Secrétariat de l'Université, Neuchâtel*, 1942.
- [17] M. PYCIA, A direct proof of the  $s$ -Hölder continuity of Breckner  $s$ -convex functions, *Aequationes Math.* **61** (2001), 128–130.
- [18] H. STEINHAUS, Sur les distances des points des ensembles de mesure positive, *Fund. Math.* **1** (1920), 93–104.

PÁL BURAI  
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PROBABILITY THEORY  
 UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN  
 H-4010 DEBRECEN, PF. 12  
 HUNGARY

*E-mail:* [buraip@inf.unideb.hu](mailto:buraip@inf.unideb.hu)

ATTILA HÁZY  
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF MISKOLC  
 H-3515 MISKOLC-EGYETEMVÁROS  
 HUNGARY

*E-mail:* [matha@uni-miskolc.hu](mailto:matha@uni-miskolc.hu)

TIBOR JUHÁSZ  
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
 ESZTERHÁZY KÁROLY COLLEGE  
 H-3300 EGER, LEÁNYKA ÚT 4  
 HUNGARY

*E-mail:* [juhaszti@ektf.hu](mailto:juhaszti@ektf.hu)

(Received September 26, 2008, revised December 30, 2008)