

Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110glycine max interactome provides candidate proteins associated with symbiosis

Article

Accepted Version

Zhang, L., Liu, J.-Y., Gu, H., Du, Y., Zuo, J.-F., Zhang, Z., Zhang, M., Li, P., Dunwell, J. M., Cao, Y., Zhang, Z. and Zhang, Y.-M. (2018) Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110–glycine max interactome provides candidate proteins associated with symbiosis. Journal of Proteome Research, 17 (9). pp. 3061-3074. ISSN 1535-3893 doi: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00209 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/78921/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00209

Publisher: American Chemical Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in



the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110-Glycine max

1

2

interactome provides candidate proteins

associated with symbiosis 3 4 Li Zhang^{1,†}, Jin-Yang Liu^{2,†}, Huan Gu², Yanfang Du³, Jian-Fang Zuo³, 5 Zhibin Zhang³, Menglin Zhang³, Pan Li⁵, Jim M. Dunwell⁶, 6 Yangrong Cao⁷, Zuxin Zhang^{4,*} and Yuan-Ming Zhang^{1,*} 7 8 9 College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, 10 China / Xinxiang Key Laboratory of Public Health Informatics, School of Public Health, 11 Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang 453003, China 12 College of Agriculture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China 13 College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, 14 China 15 National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, 16 Wuhan 430070, China 17 Xinxiang Key Laboratory of Public Health Informatics, School of Public Health, Xinxiang 18 Medical University, Xinxiang 453003, China 19 School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AR, 20 United Kingdom 21 College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, 22 China 23 †: These authors contributed equally to this work. 24 25 26 * Correspondences (e-mails soyzhang@mail.hzau.edu.cn or zuxinzhang@mail.hzau.edu.cn) College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China 27 28 29 **Data Availability Statement** All the datasets analyzed were from previously published datasets. Supporting Information may be found in additional files. 30

31 **Funding** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of

32 China (31571268), Huazhong Agricultural University Scientific & Technological

Self-innovation Foundation (Program No. 2014RC020) and State Key Laboratory of

Cotton Biology Open Fund (CB2017B01). The funders had no role in study design,

data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests The authors have declared that no competing interests

38 exist.

33

34

3536

37

39

Abstract

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

Although the legume-rhizobium symbiosis is a most important biological process, there is a limited knowledge about the protein interaction network between host and symbiont. Using interolog and domain-based approaches, we constructed an inter-species protein interactome with 5115 protein-protein interactions between 2291 Glycine max and 290 Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110 proteins. The interactome was validated by expression pattern analysis in nodules, GO term semantic similarity, and co-expression analysis. One sub-network was further confirmed using luciferase complementation image assay. In the G max-B. diazoefficiens interactome, bacterial proteins are mainly ion channel and transporters of carbohydrates and cations, while G. max proteins are mainly involved in the processes of metabolism, signal transduction, and transport. We also identified the top ten highly interacting proteins (hubs) for each of the two species. KEGG pathway analysis for each hub showed that two 14-3-3 proteins (SGF14g and SGF14k) and five heat shock proteins in G max are possibly involved in symbiosis, and ten hubs in B. diazoefficiens may be important symbiotic effectors. Subnetwork analysis showed that 18 symbiosis-related SNARE proteins may play roles in regulating bacterial ion channels, and SGF14g and SGF14k possibly regulate the rhizobium dicarboxylate transport protein DctA. The predicted interactome and symbiosis proteins provide a valuable basis for understanding the molecular mechanism of root nodule symbiosis in soybean.

Keywords: root nodule symbiosis; interactome; nitrogen fixation; protein-protein

interaction

Introduction

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8384

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

Rhizobia are gram-negative soil bacteria and have the ability to establish a nitrogen-fixing symbiosis on the roots of legume plants [1,2]. This legume-rhizobium symbiosis is of great agronomic importance and allows the plant to grow successfully in the absence of externally supplied nitrogen fertilizer [1]. Using the legume-rhizobium symbiosis to improve soil fertility is also an effective way to rehabilitate infertile land. Among rhizobia, Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110 (previously named Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA 110) is the most agriculturally important rhizobial bacterium as it is able to specifically infect soybean (Glycine max), one of the important legume plants in the world, and form a nitrogen-fixing symbiosis [3]. Furthermore, G. max-B. diazoefficiens is one of the most studied soybean-rhizobium symbiotic models [4]. Given the importance of such unique feature of legumes, further studies on the mechanisms of the soybean-rhizobium symbiosis are of particular interest. Importantly, the genome sequences of both B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 and G max are now available [3,5], and provide an opportunity to better understand the mechanism of symbiotic features in terms of genomics and proteomics. In B. diazoefficiens USDA 110, several genes related to various stages of the symbiosis process have been identified [3]. In soybean, comparative genomics analysis of legumes also predicted several nodulin genes [5]. Additionally, microarray approaches and RNA-seq analysis in soybean revealed a large number of genes differentially regulated during the symbiosis [4,6,7]. However, none of the above studies have focused on the complex interactions between candidate symbiosis-related genes. Generally, the proteins in the symbiosis process function as a complex network, which combines complex chemical, physical and biological interactions between rhizobial bacteria and their host plants [8]. To better elucidate the complex microbial

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

communities and investigate the mechanism of nitrogen-fixing symbiosis, it is necessary to construct the protein interactions between rhizobium and their host legume plants [9]. any host-microbe system (including legume-rhizobium symbiosis and host-pathogen system), it is important to understand the mechanism by which the symbiotic or pathogenic bacteria can infect its host. As is known, one of the infection processes of any host-pathogen system is via protein-protein interactions (PPIs) between pathogen proteins and their host proteins [10]. PPIs are the associations of proteins with each other. They play crucial roles in the infection process and in initiating a defense response [11-13]. To date there have been several studies that have focused on the interactions among the protein networks of a host and a pathogen, and identified many new candidate proteins associated with the invasion [11,13-16]. However, PPI network analyses between two species have not been applied to legume-rhizobium symbiosis studies. Therefore, we attempted to construct the PPI interactome between soybean proteins and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 proteins at a genome scale; such an investigation represents a critical step for studying the molecular basis of soybean-rhizobium symbiosis. In the past decade, a series of computational approaches for PPI prediction have been developed [16,17], and these now play important roles in complementing the various experimental approaches. The existing computational approaches for PPI prediction have exploited diverse data features, which include domain and motif information [18-21], network topology [21,22], gene ontology (GO) [18-20], gene expression [18,19], protein sequence similarity [14,23], and pathway analysis [24]. At present, the interolog and domain-based approaches [25-27] are widely used [14,15,28]. The interolog method is based on protein sequence similarity to conduct the PPI prediction, which maps interactions in the source organism onto the target organism to find possible interactions in the target organism [25,26]. The domain-based method uses

domain interaction information and relies on the principle that if a protein pair contains an interacting domain pair, the two proteins are expected to interact with each other [27].

In this study, we predicted a protein-protein interaction network between *G. max* and *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 using both interolog and domain-based methods. GO annotation and gene expression data were utilized to validate the quality of the predicted PPI network. PANTHER overrepresentation test and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were conducted to determine the biological function of the *B. diazoefficens* and *G. max* proteins predicted in the PPI network. We analyzed the subnetworks of the protein interactome to identify the candidate proteins possibly related to the soybean-rhizobium symbiosis, and used luciferase complementation image (LCI) assay [29,30] to confirm a subnetwork with two 14-3-3 proteins. In addition, we discuss how these predicted PPIs can help us to better understand this process.

Results

Network construction

Based on the well-studied experimental PPIs of seven model organisms: *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Escherichia coli* K12, *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the PPIs between *G max* and *B. diazoefficiens* were predicted in this study. To make use of more comprehensive information, we obtained the PPIs of seven organisms from multiple databases: BioGrid [31], DIP [32], HPRD [33], IntAct [34], MINT [31] and TAIR [35]. An ID dictionary was obtained from BioGrid to provide cross-database ID mapping. For mismatching IDs, we corrected manually in the Uniprot ID mapping server. As a result, we incorporated 44702 PPIs with 9948 proteins in *A. thaliana*, 28791 PPIs with 11543 proteins in *C. elegans*, 78383 PPIs with 9438 proteins in *D.*

melanogaster, 24460 PPIs with 3358 proteins in E. coli K12, 281387 PPIs with 15937

proteins in H. sapiens, 31010 PPIs with 8567 proteins in M. musculus, and 311333

PPIs with 6149 proteins in *S. cerevisiae* (Table S1).

All the 56044 *G max* and 8317 *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 proteins were used to conduct a genome-wide PPI prediction. Among 8317 *B. diazoefficiens* proteins, 2356 proteins are secreted or membrane proteins (Table S2), which have the possibility to interact with *G max* proteins. Using the pipeline shown in Figure 1 and filtered by above 2356 secreted or membrane proteins, 5115 PPIs between 2291 soybean proteins and 290 *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 proteins were predicted (Figure S1; Table S3). In addition, 233545 intra-species PPIs in soybean (Table S4) and 11106 intra-species PPIs in *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 (Table S5) were predicted. In summary, there were a total of 249766 PPIs, including inter- and intra-species PPIs, and 54471 PPIs (21.81%) were found in more than one species or experiment. All predicted interactions and the detailed annotation information of the proteins are available in Tables S3 to S5.

Quality assessment of protein-protein interactions

To date, few experimental PPIs between *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 and *G. max* have been identified, so it is difficult to validate the predicted PPI network by experimental approaches. For this reason, computational biology approaches were used to validate the quality of the predicted PPI network. In this study, we analyzed the gene expression pattern in nodules of all the soybean and rhizobium proteins in the *G. max-B. diazoefficiens* interactome. Furthermore, we conducted GO term semantic similarity [23,36] and co-expression analysis [28,37] of the intra-species PPI interactome. The results were used to deduce the quality of the *G. max-B. diazoefficiens* interactome, owing to the same methodologies.

Expression pattern in nodules The interaction between rhizobium and its host

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193 194

195

196

197

198199200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208209

210

211

legume results in the formation of a novel plant organ, the nodule. In nodules, the legume host interacts with rhizobium and exchanges photosynthetic products for ammonia from the rhizobial bacteria [38]. Thus, the predicted 5115 interactions between B. diazoefficiens and G. max are more likely to occur in nodules. In other words, most genes that encode the 2291 soybean proteins and the 290 B. diazoefficiens proteins in 5115 PPIs should be expressed in nodules. Analysis of the transcriptome data showed that 71.80% (1644) soybean genes were expressed in nodules with FPKM > 5. However, for the whole genome, the percent of genes expressed in nodules with FPKM > 5 is only 33.34% (18686 genes of the entire genome, which has 56045 genes). This indicates that most soybean genes in the above predicted network were indeed significantly expressed in nodules. In previous studies, genome-wide analysis of B. diazoefficiens genes in symbiosis bacteroids was conducted at the transcriptome [39,40] and protein [41] levels. And these datasets were also used to investigate the expression patterns of 290 B. diazoefficiens genes in soybean root nodules. As a result, 172 (59.31%) genes were found to be expressed in symbiosis bacteroids (Table S6). Functional similarity based on GO annotation Two interacting proteins would have similar or related functions and should share some common GO annotations [23,28,36]. Thus, GO annotation information of two interacting proteins was used to measure the accuracy of our prediction. Among 56044 soybean genes, 30023 (53.57%) genes were annotated with at least one GO term in any of the three GO categories (molecular function, biological process, and cellular component). Of all the 233545 soybean PPIs, 128862, 66369 and 26135 PPIs were annotated in the categories of molecular function, biological process, and cellular component (125086, 63581, 25007 non-self interactions), respectively (Table S4). To measure the semantic similarity between GO terms and to evaluate the reliability of predicted PPIs, three functional similarity scores, sim_{JC}^{BP} , sim_{JC}^{MF} and sim_{JC}^{CC} ,

213

214

215

216

217

218219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238239

240241

were calculated using non-self interactions in each GO category. Meanwhile, randomly selected protein pairs of the same size served as a control. As a result, significant differences for each of three sim_{JC} scores between predicted PPIs and randomly selected protein pairs were observed (Figure 2). All the proportions of score 1.0 in sim_{JC}^{BP} , sim_{JC}^{MF} , and sim_{JC}^{CC} were significantly higher in predicted soybean PPIs than those in randomly selected protein pairs, indicating that the predicted interaction network indeed preferentially connects functionally related proteins. Co-expression of predicted soybean PPIs Levels of mRNA expression have some relationship with protein-protein interactions [42]. The interacting proteins tend to have correlated gene expression patterns, especially for subunits of the same protein complex [28,37,43]. Thus, we investigated the relationship of our predicted intra-species PPIs with mRNA expression levels in soybean. In this study, we used the transcriptome data from nine tissues of G max to investigate expression correlation between two interacting proteins. The co-expression level of two interacting proteins was calculated by a widely used measure, the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) [44]. Among 233545 soybean intra-species PPIs (Table S3), 216097 PCC scores were successfully calculated. Among these scores, 23.84% (51524) protein interactions had a high PCC score (r > 0.6). In randomly selected protein pairs, however, the proportion was only 13.80%. This implies that the predicted interacting pairs have a significant co-relationship and the predicted PPI networks have high reliability. For conserved PPIs identified from more than one species or experiment, 34.72% had a high PCC score (r > 0.6), indicating a higher reliability. This is consistent with the conclusion that protein interactions detected by more than one high-throughput interaction assay are more accurate [36,45].

Conserved PPIs identified in more than two species

Common protein interactions predicted from multiple species can be considered as evolutionarily conserved interactions that have very high confidence [36]. In this study, we detected common protein interactions from more than two species. As a result, 60 conserved PPIs including 54 *G. max* proteins and 21 *B. diazoefficiens* proteins in *G. max-B. diazoefficiens* interactome were found (Figure S2). Among these 54 *G. max* proteins, more importantly, 49 proteins were expressed with FPKM > 5 in the underground tissues (root, root hair and nodule) and 24 proteins had high expression levels with FPKM > 100 in the underground tissues.

Function enrichment analysis of proteins in G max-B. diazoefficiens interactome

To determine whether any biological function biases exist in the *B. diazoefficens* and *G. max* proteins in the predicted PPI network, we classified the proteins using the PANTHER overrepresentation test and conducted KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. The corresponding results with Bonferroni correction are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

B. diazoefficens **USDA 110 proteins** In the predicted PPI network, *B. diazoefficens* proteins are mainly ion channel and transporters of carbohydrates and cations (Table 1). As the legume-rhizobium interaction involves the bacterial fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in exchange for plant-produced carbohydrates and all the essential nutrients required for bacterial metabolism [38,46,47], these transporters may provide the opportunities for rhizobial nodulation. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis further showed that bacterial proteins in *G. max-B. diazoefficiens* interactome were involved in pathways associated with symbiosis, such as protein export, peptidoglycan biosynthesis, ABC transporters and the bacterial secretion system (Tables 2 and S7), which are consistent with those in previous studies [48-51].

G max proteins Protein classification in soybean showed that proteins interacting with *B. diazoefficiens* were mainly involved in the processes of gene transcription and

translation, transport, metabolism, and signal transduction (Table 1). In transport, they were ion channels, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, mitochondrial carrier proteins and amino acid transporters. In signal transduction, 34 G-proteins, 18 small GTPase, 32 calmodulin and 18 SNARE proteins were present in the predicted PPIs and directly interacted with bacteria (Tables 1 and S8). Moreover, KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that soybean proteins in the predicted PPIs were involved in carbon metabolism, tricarboxylic acid cycle and N-glycan biosynthesis (Tables 2 and S7). Consistent with the above observations, Carvalho et al. [7] demonstrated that soybean genes involved in signal transduction, transcriptional regulation and primary metabolism were induced by the presence of the rhizobial bacteria. Additionally, by comparing with the G. max nodulation-related genes or searching for homologs of M. truncatula and L. japonicus nodulation-related genes in previous studies [4,5,52], we investigated whether some G. max proteins in predicted PPIs are experimentally nodulation-related genes. As a result, 9 soybean nodulation-related genes were identified and their PPIs are list in Table S9. These results suggest that soybean proteins interacting with the rhizobium were involved in various specific areas of metabolism, and the predicted interactions may provide useful information to understand the molecular mechanism of the legume-rhizobium symbiosis.

Hubs in G. max-B. diazoefficiens interactome

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289290

291292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

In protein–protein interaction networks, most proteins (nodes) connect with few proteins, whereas, a small percentage of proteins interact with a large number of other proteins [53,54]. Such proteins (nodes) with a large number of interactions are called hubs, and are more essential than proteins with only a small number of interactions. These proteins are known to perform vital roles in various cellular processes under a range of conditions including those caused by host-pathogen interactions [53-56]. In the present study, we listed the top ten hubs of each species in the *G max-B*. diazoefficiens interactome (Table 3). To further understand the functions of the twenty

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320321

322

323

324

325

326327328

329

330

331

hubs, we performed KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for the proteins interacting with each of the twenty hubs. These results are listed in Supplementary Table S10. In soybean, the top ten hubs included two 14-3-3 proteins, a Pumilio 7 protein, five heat shock proteins (HSPs) and two ADP/ATP carrier proteins (Table 3). The KEGG pathways for the two 14-3-3 proteins contained two-component systems (TCSs), Tryptophan metabolism and Oxidative phosphorylation (Table \$10). Pumilio 7 protein and two ADP/ATP carrier proteins were both involved in the processes of Oxidative phosphorylation and Glycerophospholipid metabolism. Three of the five HSPs were enriched to show interaction with bacterial proteins in the metabolism of glycerophospholipids (Table S10), which are important components of membrane lipids in bacteria. In B. diazoefficiens, the ten hubs included BAC49080, BAC52411, BAC49957, BAC52381, BAC45806, BAC45833, BAC47677, BAC47750, BAC45992 and BAC46205 (Table 3). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that seven hubs were involved in carbohydrate metabolism, including N-Glycan biosynthesis, Pyruvate metabolism, Glycolysis and Citrate cycle (Table S10). Subnetworks related to symbiosis Based on an analysis of the PPI networks, we can better understand the web of interactions that takes place inside a cell. One method to better understand the entire network is to partition it into a series of subnetworks. In the present study, we selected two subnetworks that separately contain SNAREs and 14-3-3 proteins for further analysis to identify candidate proteins related to symbiosis (Figure 3). **SNARE** proteins SNARE proteins are vital for signal transduction and membrane fusion in plants [57,58]. There is now growing evidence that these proteins play crucial roles in symbiosis in legume nodules, such as those in L. japonicus [58] and M. truncatula [59,60]. In the present study, 18 SNARE proteins in G. max were

333

334

335

336

337338339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

involved in the predicted G. max-B. diazoefficens interactome and closely interacted with B. diazoefficens proteins (Figure 3A), suggesting the critical roles of SNAREs in soybean root nodule symbiosis (RNS). Meanwhile, soybean SNAREs interacted with each other in Figure 3A, which was consistent with the results in previous structural studies that SNAREs could form complexes by interacting with other SNAREs [57,58]. **14-3-3** protein 14-3-3 proteins are abundant proteins in plants, and are involved in signaling pathways to regulate plant development and response to stimulus. Li and Dhaubhadel [61] identified 18 genes (SGF 14a-r) coding 14-3-3 proteins in the whole soybean genome. Previous studies revealed that two of them (SGF14c and SGF14l) play critical roles in RNS [62] and homologs of SGF14b in L. japonicus were located in the peribacteroid membrane [63]. In our study, we found another two 14-3-3 proteins, Glyma.14G176900 (SGF14k) and Glyma.02G208700 (SGF14g), which are hubs that interacted with B. diazoefficiens to a high degree (Table 3). More importantly, we found that SGF14k and SGF14g were connected with four soybean nodulation genes, Glyma.06G065600 (Nodulin26) [64], Glyma.17G13300 (WD40 protein; homologs of MtCCS52) [65], Glyma.17G193800 (nucleoporin; homologs of LjNUP85) [66] and Glyma.14G008200 (nucleoporin; homologs of LjNUP133) (Figure 3B) [67]. The results of the predicted PPIs of SGF14k and SGF14g demonstrated that SGF14k and SGF14g were involved in RNS. Validation of a subnetwork containing two 14-3-3 proteins using luciferase complementation image (LCI) assay experiment Luciferase complementation image (LCI) assay is a well-established method to verify the predicted PPIs in a laboratory setting. To validate the accuracy of the predicted interactions, a subnetwork in Figure 3B was selected to test the interactions in vivo. As a result, nine were confirmed (Figure 4). For example, SGF14k was interacted with BAC48988, similarly, SGF14k and BAC49563, SGF14k and Nodulin26,

SGF14g and BAC48988, SGF14g and BAC49563, SGF14g and Nodulin26, SGF14g and NUP85, Nodulin26 and BAC49735, and Glyma13G158600 and BAC49563 (Figures 3B and 4). Among the nine pairs of PPIs, six interacting protein pairs are produced between *G. max* and *B. diazoefficens*. More importantly, the interaction between Glyma.06G065600 (Nodulin) and Glyma.17G193800 (nucleoporin; homologs of LjNUP85) has been found to be involved in RNS [64,66]. Meanwhile, soybean SGF14g and SGF14k were both verified by LCI assay to interact with soybean Nodulin26 and *B. diazoefficiens* proteins BAC48988 and BAC49563, suggesting the critical roles of SGF14g and SGF14k in the establishment of RNS. Additionally, Nodulin26 was also found to be interacted with *B. diazoefficens* protein BAC49735. Taken together, the results demonstrated the reliability of our predicted PPIs, which can provide a useful guideline for future research.

Discussion

Network validation for the predicted PPIs in this study

The network predicted in this study is relatively reliable. The reasons are as follows. First, nine predicted PPIs in a sub-network containing two 14-3-3 proteins (SGF14g and SGF14k) showed an interaction signal via the LCI assay (Figure 4). Meanwhile, nine soybean nodulation-related genes predicted in this study have been experimentally confirmed to be involved in RNS (Table S9). Additionally, three computational biology approaches were used to validate the predicted network in this study. For example, significantly higher proportions of score 1.0 for the three simJC indicators in predicted soybean PPIs than those in randomly selected protein pairs indicates high quality of the G max-B. diazoefficiens interactome (Figure 2); a significant higher proportion of predicted interaction pairs showed a co-relationship in their gene expression levels (PCC score > 0.6) than did randomly selected protein pairs; soybean genes expressed in nodules with FPKM > 5 had a significantly higher

proportion (71.80%) in the predicted network than those (33.34%) in the entire genome, while 59.31% *B. diazoefficiens* genes were found to be expressed in symbiosis bacteroids.

Soybean proteins in the predicted PPIs were involved with pathways associated

with symbiosis

390

391

392393

394

395396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

The infection transcriptome analysis confirmed that proteins involved in various areas of metabolism were triggered in the host plant by the presence of nitrogen-fixing bacteria [7,68]. In the process of transport, Sugivama et al. [69] revealed that the soybean ABC transporters play important roles in legume-rhizobium symbiosis, and Clarke et al. [70] found by proteome analysis that transporters of sulfate, nitrate, peptides, and various metal ions like calcium, potassium and zinc are present on the soybean symbiosome membrane. Consistently, soybean ABC transporters and ion channels were predicted to interact with B. diazoefficiens proteins in the present study (Table 1). Since these transporters can facilitate the movement of nutrients between the symbionts and ensure the establishment of symbiosis, the candidate transport proteins in G. max-B. diazoefficens interactome can help our understanding of the role of transporters on the symbiosome membrane. In carbohydrate metabolism, soybean proteins involved in carbon metabolism, tricarboxylic acid cycle and N-glycan biosynthesis directly interacted with bacteria (Tables 2 and S7). Consistently, Libault et al. [68] and Carvalho et al. [7] showed that carbohydrate metabolism like the tricarboxylic acid cycle and glycolysis were induced by the presence of rhizobia in both roots and root hairs. These metabolic effects ensure the development of nodules by providing the carbon [71], while the host plant provides rhizobia with all the essential nutrients such as carbon required for bacterial metabolism [38]. Various signal transduction pathways play important roles in various stages of the symbiosis. They can coordinate the development of epidermal and cortical cells to ensure rhizobial invasion and nodule initiation [7,72]. Previous studies have confirmed the

involvement of many nod factors in the signal transduction processes such as G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathways [73,74], small GTPase mediated signal transduction [75,76], calmodulin [77], Soluble N-Ethylmaleimide Sensitive Factor Attachment Protein Receptor (SNARE) proteins [58,78] and the MAPK (Mitogen-activated protein kinase) cascade [79]. In the present study, 34 G-proteins, 18 small GTPase, 32 calmodulin and 18 SNARE proteins were present in the predicted PPIs and directly interacted with bacteria (Tables 1 and S8). The subnetworks of related signaling transduction provide opportunities to reveal whether and how these networks are interconnected, and then give insights into the mechanism of symbiosis.

Hubs in the predicted network played roles in symbiosis

In previous studies, HSPs were reported to be involved in the host-pathogen interaction [80] and to be induced during symbiosis in response to pathogens [81-83], suggesting that HSPs play critical roles in the response of plant cells to biotic stressors. HSPs have also been identified in the symbiosome membrane of soybean [84], *L. japonica* [63] and *M. truncatula* [85] by proteome analysis. Moreover, Brechenmacher *et al.* [6] reported that HSPs were up-regulated in soybean roots during the interaction between *G. max* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. In the present study, five of the top ten soybean hubs interacting with *B. diazoefficens* are HSPs, and three hub HSPs interacted with *B. diazoefficens* proteins in the metabolism of glycerophospholipids, an important component of bacteria membrane lipids (Table S8). The results in this study give us insights that the five HSPs interacting with bacteria in the predicted PPIs are key players in the establishment of RNS.

The other two highly interacting hubs were SGF14k and SGF14g, which were shown to interact with *B. diazoefficens* proteins in the pathways of two-component systems (TCSs) and tryptophan metabolism (Table S10). TCSs are abundant signaling pathways in prokaryotes [86,87]. They could transduce extracellular signals into the

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

cell and regulate multiple cellular processes in response to environmental stimuli [88,89]. More importantly, transcriptional regulators of TCS showed increased expression in bacteroids during RNS [39]. For Tryptophan metabolism, Hunter [90] showed that Bradyrhizobia with altered tryptophan metabolism frequently have altered symbiotic properties, and changes in the level of indole-3-acetic acid (a tryptophan metabolism product) that is involved in bacteria-plant interactions [6,91,92]. Notably, Radwan and Wu [62] revealed that two homologs of the above 14-3-3 proteins play critical roles in RNS. In the present study, subnetwork analysis showed that SGF14k and SGF14g interacted with four soybean nodulin genes (Glyma.06G065600, Glyma.17G13300, Glyma.17G193800 and Glyma.14G008200). Among the four nodulin genes, two (Glyma.06G065600 and Glyma.17G193800) were verified to interact with SGF14k and SGF14g by LCI assay experiments (Figures 3B **4**). Therefore, we deduce that *Glyma.14G176900* (SGF14k) and and Glyma.02G208700 (SGF14g) are involved in the process of nodulation. Carbon metabolism was found to be closely related to RNS [7,68]. Delmotte et al. [41] identified several proteins involved in carbon metabolism in symbiosome membrane of soybean, including a complete set of tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes, gluconeogenesis and pentose phosphate pathway enzymes, by integrated proteomic and transcriptomic analysis. In the present study, seven hubs (BAC49080, BAC52411, BAC45833, BAC47677, BAC47750, BAC45992 and BAC46205) were involved in carbon metabolism, including N-Glycan biosynthesis, Pyruvate metabolism, Glycolysis and Citrate cycle (Table S10). Additionally, enriched KEGG pathways contained protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor biosynthesis, Pentose phosphate pathway and Proteasome (Table S10). Yuan et al. [4] found that genes involved in protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum were differentially expressed between different developmental periods of the soybean nodule. Roux et al. [93] revealed that genes involved in GPI-anchor biosynthesis and proteasome function were found to be preferentially expressed in

479

480

481

482 483 484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497 498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506507

plant nodules. Therefore, these ten hubs of B. diazoefficens may be important symbiotic effectors and play roles in symbiosis. Subnetwork analysis provide insight into the mechanism of root nodule symbiosis Subnetwork analysis of SNAREs showed that SNAREs mainly interacted with membrane transporters or related proteins (Figure 3A). In detail, Glyma.10G008300 interacted with a cation efflux system protein (BAC50315), two ABC transporter permease proteins (BAC51159 and BAC49765), a cation-transporting ATPase (BAC52318) and an ammonium transporter (BAC45878). Five SNARE proteins (Glyma.04G072700, Glyma.10G149000, Glyma.07G042400, Glyma.03G029700 and Glyma.01G137300) interacted with BAC49080, a cation-transporting ATPase. Glyma.10G149000 and Glyma.13G307600 interacted with a Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger (BAC46205). Sokolovski et al. [94] proved that a plasma membrane SNARE protein in *Nicotiana benthamiana* guard cells could regulate Ca²⁺ channels and also possibly target other ion channels. The results indicated that SNAREs in the symbiosome membrane may play roles in regulating bacteria ion channels. Further analysis of the role of SNARE proteins will provide novel insights into RNS. Through the subnetwork analysis, two 14-3-3 proteins, SGF14k and SGF14g, not only interacted with soybean nodulins but also were closely connected with two bacterial DctA proteins, BAC48988 and BAC49563 (Figure 3B). DctA was an important transporter for C4-dicarboxylic acids, which are the main form of carbon and energy sources from host plant to *rhizobium* [95]. Notably, DctA was reported to be essential for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in Sinorhizobium meliloti, as well as other rhizobia [78,96]. The relationships between the above two 14-3-3 proteins and DctA proteins were further verified by LCI assay experiments (Figure 4). Taken together, the results

indicated that 14-3-3 proteins SGF14g and SGF14k regulate rhizobium DctA.

Of course, the predicted results are still far from complete and may inevitably contain a lot of false positives, as the coverage and accuracy of predicted PPIs largely depend on the quality of interaction data sets and the ability to identify the orthologs from the model organisms. Even so, the predicted PPI networks have allowed us to have an insight into the overall picture of the PPI network between *G. max* and *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110, which provide useful information to understand the molecular mechanism of the legume-rhizobium symbiosis.

Materials and methods

Datasets

508

509

510

511

512

513

514515

516

517

518

519 A collection of 8317 protein sequences of B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 were 520 downloaded from the Ensembl genomes database (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/bacteria/release-30/fasta/bacteria 0 collection/bra 521 dyrhizobium_diazoefficiens_usda_110/pep/) [97]. Soybean whole genome sequences 522 523 (G.max *Wm82.a2.v1*) were obtained from Phytozome V10.3 (http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=Phytozo 524 meV10) [98]. For genes with multiple transcripts, the longest protein sequence was 525 chosen [99]. As a result, 56044 protein sequences were obtained in G. max. 526 527 528 To conduct the interolog analysis, we utilized the PPI information of seven 529 well-studied model organisms, namely Arabidopsis thaliana, Caenorhabditis elegans, 530 Drosophila melanogaster, Escherichia coli K12, Homo sapiens, Mus musculus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Experimentally verified PPIs of the aforementioned seven 531 organisms were obtained from the public protein-protein interaction databases: 532 BioGrid, DIP, HPRD, IntAct, MINT and TAIR (Table S1). The ortholog information 533 between the aforementioned seven organisms and G. max or B. diazoefficiens 534 independently were obtained from InParanoid 8 [100]. 535 536

To carry out the domain-based PPI prediction, we downloaded the interacting Pfam domain pairs from the database of protein domain interactions (DOMINE Version 2.0) [101], which contains a total of 26219 domain-domain interactions (DDI). To increase the accuracy of prediction, only 2989 high-confident domain pairs were used as reference in this study.

PPI prediction

537

538

539

540

541542543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

Our PPI prediction was mainly based on the interolog method, along with the domain-based method to improve prediction accuracy. In the interolog method proposed by Walhout et al. [25], the pair of interactions A–B and A1–B1 are called an interolog if interacting proteins A and B in a species have interacting orthologs A1 and B1 in another species. Based on this theory, interolog PPI prediction is a process that maps interactions in the source organism onto the target organism to find possible interactions [26]. In the domain-based method, the two proteins are expected to interact with each other if a protein pair contains at least one interacting domain pair [27]. The protein domain annotations for B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 were conducted in the Pfam website [102], and the annotations for G max proteins were obtained from Phytozome V10.3. In this study, ortholog pairs between each of the aforementioned seven model organisms and G. max (or B. diazoefficiens) were obtained from the InParanoid database [100]. InParanoid scores between 0 and 1 reflect the relative evolutionary distance between orthologous gene pairs [103,104]. The top score 1.0 is the best blast hit and has high credibility, and orthologs with scores below 1.0 are more or less sensitive. To restrict the sensitivity, ortholog pairs were selected with a score cutoff of 0.5. These ortholog pairs were further divided into two groups according to InParanoid score: orthologs with top score 1.0 and ones with a score between 0.5 and 1.0. Using the interolog method, all the above ortholog pairs were mapped onto the integrated PPI interactomes of the seven model organisms to predict PPIs. The

predicted PPIs with low confidence orthologs were further filtered by the domain-based method to increase prediction accuracy and to decrease false positives (Figure 1).

Identification of secreted and membrane proteins in B. diazoefficiens USDA 110

The transmembrane and secreted proteins in *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 are considered to be positive candidates for interactions with *G max*. All the proteins in *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 were used to predict transmembrane proteins through TMHMM 2.0 [105] and to identify secretory proteins through SingleIP 4.0 [106]. In TMHMM 2.0, the proteins were inferred to be transmembrane if the number of predicted transmembrane helices was not <1, and the expected number of amino acids in at least one transmembrane helix was not <18. SingleIP 4.0 was employed with the default settings.

GO annotation and measurement of functional similarity

The GO annotations of *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 and *G. max* were obtained from the Gene Ontology Annotation (UniProt-GOA) Database [107] and Phytozome V10.3 [98], respectively. Semantic similarity scores between GO terms were measured by Jiang and Conrath's distance method and calculated in database FunSimMat [108,109] to evaluate the reliability of the predicted PPIs [110]. Jiang and Conrath's distance between two GO terms is based on information content and was defined as follows [111]:

$$sim_{JC}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{IC(t_1) + IC(t_2) - 2 \times IC(MIA) + 1}$$

 $sim_{JC}(t_1,t_2)$ is the set of common ancestors of terms t_1 and t_2 in the ontology, and ranges between 0, for no similarity, to 1, for highest similarity. We used sim_{JC} for referring to this score. As GO annotation classifies functions of a protein according to three features: molecular function, biological process and cellular component, there

601

607

611

621

627

were, correspondingly, three independent sim_{IC} scores: sim_{IC}^{MF} , sim_{IC}^{BP} and sim_{IC}^{CC} . 596 **Co-expression analysis** 598 599 600 Transcriptome data of soybean were obtained from Phytozome V10.3 [98], which includes nine tissues (root, root hairs, nodules, leaves, stem, flower, pod, sam, and 602 seed). The expression correlation between two interacting proteins was calculated using a widely used measure, Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) [45]. The PCC 603 value for each pair of non-self-interacting proteins was calculated using the Fragments 604 Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) value of mRNA in the 605 606 above nine tissues. Luciferase Complementation Image (LCI) assays for PPIs in Nicotiana 608 609 benthamiana cells 610 **Materials** Soybean (G. max Willimas 82) and tobacco plants were grown at 16-hlight / 8-h dark at 25 ℃ for 30-60 d. B. japonicum (USDA110) was grown on 612 (HM) medium plates at containing 50 µg of chloramphenicol/ml for selection of 613 614 plasmid 25 ℃. 615 RNA and DNA Isolation Soybean total RNA was isolated using the Trizol 616 617 reagent (Invitrogen, Foster city, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and the RNAs were treated with the DNase I (Promega). The first-strand 618 cDNA was then synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). The total 619 DNAs of the Bradyrhizobium japonicum was isolated according to the method of 620 Casse et al. [112]. 622 Primers were analyzed by Oligo 6 (Table S11). **Primers and conditions for PCR** 623 PCR was carried out using a PCR system for 35 cycles (30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at Tm and 624 1-4 mins at $72 \,$ C). 625 626

Full length coding sequence

Luciferase Complementation Image (LCI) assays

of target genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction from total RNA (Table S11) and were cloned into the BamHI and SalI sites of JW-771-N (NLUC), as well as KpnI and SalI sites of JW-772-C, to produce target gene-NLUC and target gene-CLUC recombination vectors for the LCI assay (for split Luc N-terminal/C-terminal fragment expression), respectively. Thus, N-gene, C-gene, N-LUC, and C-LUC were constructed according to previously described protocols. These constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 strain through CaCl₂ transformation [113]. The p19 protein (tomato bushy stunt virus) was used to suppress gene silencing [114]. **Detection of interactions in vivo** The recombinant plasmids were transfected into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV3101). The OD600 of co-infiltrated A. tumefaciens strains is about 1.0 (gene-NLUC): 1.0 (gene-CLUC): 1.0 (P19), 500 µl of each, to co-culture for 2 h. Equal amount of the Agrobacterium suspension of each construct was mixed into a new 1.5 mL tube and vortexed for 10 sec to be ready for use. 8-10 weeks-old (16 h-light and 8 h-dark) Nicotiana benthamiana leaves were used to inject A. tumefaciens cocultures described above. Placed the tip end of the syringe (without needle) against the underside of the leaf (avoiding the veins) by supporting with one finger on the upperside, then gently pressed the syringe to infltrate the Agrobacterium mixture into the fresh leaf [115]. After growing for 48 h under the condition of 16 h-light and 8 h-dark, pieces of leaf abaxial epidermis were treated with 1 mM luciferin (promega, E1602), and the resulting luciferase signals

Supporting information

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655 656 obtained.

S1 Table. Experimental protein-protein interactions of seven model species from public

were captured by Tanon-5200 image system (Tanon, Shanghai, China). To test each

interacting protein pair, three experiments were performed and similar results were

658 659

660

661

662

663

664 665

666

667

668

669670

671

672673

674

675 676

677678

679

680

681

682

683

684 685

686

687 688

689

690

691

databases S2 Table. The selected 2,356 membrane and secreted proteins in B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 S3 Table. The predicted G max-B. diazoefficiens interactome and detailed annotation information of the proteins, including 5115 inter-species PPIs between 2291 G. max and 290 B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 proteins S4 Table. The predicted G. max interactome, including 233545 intra-species PPIs in soybean S5 Table. The predicted B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 interactome, including 11106 intra-species PPIs in B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 S6 Table. List of 172 genes in the predicted PPIs that were detected to be expressed in bacteroids of the root nodule during symbiosis in at least one of three previous studies S7 Table. List of input genes enriched in KEGG pathway enrichment analysis in Table 2 and their detailed annotation S8 Table. Soybean proteins in the PPI network that were involved in signal transduction S9 Table. Nodulation-related genes that experimentally interacted with B. diazoefficiens **USDA 110 proteins** S10 Table. Top ten hubs of G max and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 in the G max-B. diazoefficiens interactome and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of these hubs by using their interacted proteins in the PPI interactome S11 Table. Primers used in the luciferase complementation image (LCI) assays for PPIs in Nicotiana benthamiana cells S1 Figure. Visualization of the predicted PPI network between soybean and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110. Each node represents a protein and each edge denotes an interaction. Red color circles represent soybean and yellow represent B. diazoefficiens USDA 110.

- 692 **S2 Figure. Conserved PPIs identified in more than two species**. Line represents the interaction
- 693 relationship, circle represents proteins; yellow circles are *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 proteins,
- 694 red, pink and grey circles are soybean proteins and respectively represent the expression values
- 695 FPKM > 100, $5 < FPKM \le 100$ and FPKM < 5 in nodules.

Author contributions

- 698 YMZ conceived and designed the experiments, and revised the manuscript. ZXZ and
- 699 PL assisted the supervision of the LCI experiment and bioinformatics analysis,
- 700 respectively. LZ, HQ, ZBZ and MLZ performed bioinformatics analysis. JYL, YFD,
- 701 JFZ performed the LCI experiments. YRC provided materials and modified the
- manuscript. LZ wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

References

696

697

703

704

705

- 706 1. Downie JA: Legume nodulation. Current biology 2014, **24**(5): R184-R190.
- 707 2. Peix A, Ram rez-Bahena MH, Vel ázquez E, Bedmar EJ: Bacterial associations with legumes. Crit. Rev.
- 708 Plant Sc. 2014, **34**: 17-42.
- 709 3. Kaneko T, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Minamisawa K, Uchiumi T, Sasamoto S, Watanabe A, Idesawa K, Iriguchi
- 710 M, Kawashima K, et al.: Complete genomic sequence of nitrogen-fixing symbiotic bacterium
- 711 Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA110. DNA Research 2002, **9:** 189-197.
- 712 4. Yuan S, Li R, Chen S, Chen H, Zhang C, Chen L, Hao Q, Shan Z, Yang Z, Qiu D, et al.: RNA-seq analysis
- 713 of differential gene expression responding to different rhizobium strains in soybean (Glycine max) roots.
- 714 Front Plant Sci. 2016, 7: 721
- 5. Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J, et al.:
- Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. Nature 2010, **463**: 178-183.
- 717 6. Brechenmacher L, Kim MY, Benitez M, Li M, Joshi T, Calla B, Lee MP, Libault M, Vodkin LO, Xu D, et
- 718 al.: Transcription profiling of soybean nodulation by Bradyrhizobium japonicum. Mol. Plant Microbe
- 719 *Interact.* 2008, **21**: 631-645.
- 720 7. Carvalho GA, Batista JS, Marcelino-Guimar ães FC, Nascimento LC, Hungria M: Transcriptional analysis of
- 721 genes involved in nodulation in soybean roots inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain CPAC 15.
- 722 BMC Genomics 2013, **14**: 153.
- Remigi P, Zhu J, Young JP, Masson-Boivin C: Symbiosis within symbiosis: evolving nitrogen-fixing legume
- 724 symbionts. Trends Microbiol. 2016, **24**: 63-75.

- 725 9. Afroz A, Zahur M, Zeeshan N, Komatsu S: Plant-bacterium interactions analyzed by proteomics. Front Plant
- 726 *Sci.* 2013, **4:** 21.
- 727 10. Qi Y, Noble WS: Protein interaction networks: protein domain interaction and protein function prediction.
- 728 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- 729 11. Dyer MD, Neff C, Dufford M, Rivera CG, Shattuck D, Bassaganya-Riera J, Murali TM, Sobral BW: The
- 730 human-bacterial pathogen protein interaction networks of Bacillus anthracis, Francisella tularensis, and
- 731 Yersinia pestis. PLoS ONE 2010, **5**: e12089
- 732 12. Durmus Tekir SD, Ülgen KÖ: Systems biology of pathogen-host interaction: networks of protein-protein
- 733 interaction within pathogens and pathogen-human interactions in the post-genomic era. Biotechnol. J. 2013,
- 734 **8**: 85-96.
- 735 13. Martinez F, Rodrigo G, Aragones V, Ruiz M, Lodewijk I, Fernandez U, Elena SF, Daros JA: Interaction
- 736 network of tobacco etch potyvirus NIa protein with the host proteome during infection. BMC Genomics
- 737 2016, **17**: 87.
- 738 14. Li ZG, He F, Zhang Z, Peng YL: Prediction of protein-protein interactions between *Ralstonia solanacearum*
- 739 and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Amino Acids 2012, **42:** 2363-2371.
- 740 15. Sahu SS, Weirick T, Kaundal R: Predicting genome-scale Arabidopsis- Pseudomonas syringae interactome
- using domain and interolog-based approaches. BMC Bioinformatics 2014, **15**: S13.
- 742 16. Nourani E, Khunjush F, Durmus S: Computational approaches for prediction of pathogen-host
- protein-protein interactions. Front Microbiol. 2015, **6:** 94.
- 744 17. Shen J, Zhang J, Luo X, Zhu W, Yu K, Chen K, Li Y, Jiang H: Predicting protein-protein interactions based
- only on sequences information. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2007, 104: 4337-4341.
- 746 18. Kshirsagar M, Carbonell J, Klein-Seetharaman J: Techniques to cope with missing data in host-pathogen
- protein interaction prediction. Bioinformatics 2012, **28**: i466-i472.
- 748 19. Kshirsagar M, Carbonell J, Klein-Seetharaman J: Multitask learning for host–pathogen protein interactions.
- 749 Bioinformatics 2013, **29:** 217-226.
- 750 20. Coelho ED, Arrais JP, Matos S, Pereira C, Rosa N, Correia MJ, Barros M, Oliveira JL: Computational
- prediction of the human-microbial oral interactome. BMC Systems Biol. 2014, 8: 1-12.
- 752 21. Tastan O, Yanjun QI, Carbonell JG, Kleinseetharaman J: Prediction of interactions between HIV-1 and
- human proteins by information integration. Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing Pacific Symposium on
- 754 Biocomputing 2015, **527**: 516-527.
- 755 22. Qi Y, Tastan O, Carbonell JG, Klein-Seetharaman J, Weston J: Semi-supervised multi-task learning for
- 756 predicting interactions between HIV-1 and human proteins. Bioinformatics 2011, 26: i645- i652.
- 757 23. Gu H, Zhu P, Jiao Y, Meng Y, Chen M: PRIN: a predicted rice interactome network. BMC Bioinformatics
- 758 2011, **12**: 161.
- 759 24. Wuchty S: Computational prediction of host-parasite protein interactions between *P. falciparum* and *H.*
- 760 sapiens. PLoS ONE 2011, **6**: e26960.
- 761 25. Walhout AJM, Sordella R, Lu XW, Hartley JL, Temple GF, Brasch MA, Thierry-Mieg N, Vidal M: Protein
- 762 interaction mapping in C. elegans using proteins involved in vulval development. Science 2000, 287:

- 763 116-122.
- 764 26. Matthews LR, Vaglio P, Reboul J, Ge H, Davis BP, Garrels J, Vincent S, Vidal M: Identification of potential
- 765 interaction networks using sequence-based searches for conserved protein-protein interactions or "interologs".
- 766 Genome Res. 2001, **11**: 2120-2126.
- 767 27. Flórez AF, Park D, Bhak J, Kim BC, Kuchinsky A, Morris JH, Espinosa J, Muskus C: Protein network
- 768 prediction and topological analysis in *Leishmania major* as a tool for drug target selection. BMC
- 769 Bioinformatics 2010, **11**: 484.
- 28. Lei D, Lin R, Yin C, Li P, Zheng A: Global protein-protein interaction network of rice sheath blight
- 771 pathogen. J. Proteome Res. 2014, **13:** 3277-3293.
- 772 29. Kerppola TK: Complementary methods for studies of protein interactions in living cells. Nature Methods
- 773 2006, **3**(12), 969-971.
- 774 30. Kerppola TK: Visualization of molecular interactions by fluorescence complementation. Nat Rev Mol. Cell
- 775 Biol. 2006, **7**(6): 449-456.
- 776 31. Chatr-Aryamontri A, Breitkreutz BJ, Oughtred R, Boucher L, Heinicke S, Chen D, Stark C, Breitkreutz A,
- Kolas N, O'Donnell L, et al.: The BioGRID interaction database: 2015 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015, 43,
- 778 D470-D478.
- 779 32. Xenarios I, Salwinski L, Duan XJ, Higney P, Kim SM, Eisenberg D: DIP, the database of interacting
- 780 proteins: a research tool for studying cellular networks of protein interactions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002, 30:
- 781 303-305.
- 782 33. Prasad TSK, Goel R, Kandasamy K, Keerthikumar S, Kumar S, Mathivanan S, Telikicherla D, Raju R,
- Shafreen B, Venugopal A, et al.: Human protein reference database -- 2009 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009,
- 784 **37**: D767-D772.
- 785 34. Kerrien S, Aranda B, Breuza L, Bridge A, Broackes-Carter F, Chen C, Duesbury M, Dumousseau M,
- Feuermann M, Hinz U, et al.: The IntAct molecular interaction database in 2012. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012,
- 787 **40**: D841-D846.
- 788 35. Rhee SY, Beavis W, Berardini TZ, Chen G, Dixon D, Doyle A, Garcia-Hernandez M, Huala E, Lander G,
- 789 Montoya M, et al.: The Arabidopsis information resource (TAIR): a model organism database providing a
- centralized, curated gateway to *Arabidopsis* biology, research materials and community. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 791 2003, **31**: 224-228.
- 792 36. Lehner B, Fraser AG: A first-draft human protein-interaction map. Genome Biol. 2004, 5: R63.
- 793 37. Jansen R, Greenbaum D, Gerstein M: Relating whole-genome expression data with protein-protein
- 794 interactions. Genome Res. 2002, **12**: 37-46.
- 795 38. Udvardi M, Poole PS: Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2013,
- 796 **64**: 781-805.
- 797 39. Pessi G, Ahrens CH, Rehrauer H, Lindemann A, Hauser F, Fischer HM, Hennecke H: Genome-wide
- 798 transcript analysis of Bradyrhizobium japonicum bacteroids in soybean root nodules. Mol. Plant Microbe
- 799 Interact. 2007, **20**: 1353-1363.
- 800 40. Cuklina J, Hahn J, Imakaev M, Omasits U, Forstner KU, Ljubimov N, Goebel M, Pessi G, Fischer HM,

- Ahrens CH, et al.: Genome-wide transcription start site mapping of Bradyrhizobium japonicum grown
- free-living or in symbiosis a rich resource to identify new transcripts, proteins and to study gene regulation.
- 803 BMC Genomics 2016, **17**: 302.
- 41. Delmotte N, Ahrens CH, Knief C, Qeli E, Koch M, Fischer HM, Vorholt JA, Hennecke H, Pessi G: An
- integrated proteomics and transcriptomics reference data set provides new insights into the *Bradyrhizobium*
- *japonicum* bacteroid metabolism in soybean root nodules. Proteomics 2010, **10**: 1391-1400.
- 807 42. Grigoriev A: A relationship between gene expression and protein interactions on the proteome scale: analysis
- 808 of the bacteriophage T7 and the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001, 29, 3513-3519.
- 809 43. Ge H, Liu ZH, Church GM, Vidal M: Correlation between transcriptome and interactome mapping data from
- Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nat. Genet. 2001, 29: 482-486.
- 811 44. Obayashi T, Kinoshita K: Rank of correlation coefficient as a comparable measure for biological
- significance of gene coexpression. DNA Research 2009, **16:** 249-260.
- 45. von Mering C, Krause R, Snel B, Cornell M, Oliver SG, Fields S, Bork P: Comparative assessment of
- large-scale data sets of protein-protein interactions. Nature 2002, **417**: 399-403.
- 46. Prell J, Poole P: Metabolic changes of rhizobia in legume nodules. Trends Microbiol. 2006, 14: 161-168.
- 816 47. Nelson MS, Sadowsky MJ: Secretion systems and signal exchange between nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and
- 817 legumes. Front Plant Sci. 2015, **6:** 491.
- 818 48. Meloni S, Rey L, Sidler S, Imperial J, Ruiz-Argüeso T, Palacios JM: The twin-arginine translocation (Tat)
- 819 system is essential for *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis. Molec. Microbiol. 2003, **48**: 1195-1207.
- 820 49. Deakin WJ, Broughton WJ: (2009) Symbiotic use of pathogenic strategies: rhizobial protein secretion
- 821 systems. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2009, 7: 312-320.
- 822 50. Alloisio N, Queiroux C, Fournier P, Pujic P, Normand P, Vallenet D, Medigue C, Yamaura M, Kakoi K,
- Kucho K: The *Frankia alni* symbiotic transcriptome. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2010, **23**: 593-607.
- 824 51. Guefrachi I, Pierre O, Timchenko T, Alunni B, Barrière Q, Czernic P, Villa cija-Aguilar JA, Verly C,
- Bourge M, Fardoux J, et al.: Bradyrhizobium BclA is a peptide transporter required for bacterial
- differentiation in symbiosis with Aeschynomene legumes. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2015, 28: 1155-1166.
- 827 52. Kim DH, Parupalli S, Azam S, Lee SH, Varshney RK: Comparative sequence analysis of nitrogen
- fixation-related genes in six legumes. Front Plant Sci 2013, **4:** 300.
- 53. Jeong H, Mason SP, Barabasi AL, Oltvai ZN: Lethality and centrality in protein networks. Nature 2001, 411:
- 830 41-42.
- 831 54. Han JD, Bertin N, Hao T, Goldberg DS, Berriz GF, Zhang LV, Dupuy D, Walhout AJ, Cusick ME, Roth FP,
- 832 et al.: Evidence for dynamically organized modularity in the yeast protein-protein interaction network.
- Nature 2004, **430**: 88-93.
- 834 55. Bertolazzi P, Bock ME, Guerra C: On the functional and structural characterization of hubs in protein-
- protein interaction networks. Biotechnol Adv. 2013, **31**: 274-286.
- 836 56. Kiran M, Nagarajaram HA: Interaction and localization diversities of global and local hubs in human
- protein-protein interaction networks. Mol. Biosyst. 2016, **12**(9): 2875-2882.
- 838 57. Ungermann C, Langosch D: Functions of SNAREs in intracellular membrane fusion and lipid bilayer mixing.

- 839 J. Cell Sci. 2005, **118**: 3819-3828.
- 840 58. Hakoyama T, Oi R, Hazuma K, Suga E, Adachi Y, Kobayashi M, Akai R, Sato S, Fukai E, Tabata S, et al.:
- The SNARE protein SYP71 expressed in vascular tissues is involved in symbiotic nitrogen fixation in *Lotus*
- *japonicus* nodules. Plant Physiol. 2012, **160:** 897-905.
- 843 59. Catalano CM, Czymmek KJ, Gann JG, Sherrier DJ: Medicago truncatula syntaxin SYP132 defines the
- symbiosome membrane and infection droplet membrane in root nodules. *Planta*, 2007, **225**: 541-550.
- 845 60. Pan H, Oztas O, Zhang X, Wu X, Stonoha C, Wang E, Wang B, Wang D: A symbiotic SNARE protein
- generated by alternative termination of transcription. Nature Plants 2016, 2: 15197.
- 847 61. Li X, Dhaubhadel S: Soybean 14-3-3 gene family: identification and molecular characterization. Planta 2011,
- **233**: 569-582.
- 849 62. Radwan O, Wu X, Govindarajulu M, Libault M, Neece DJ, Oh MH, Berg RH, Stacey G, Taylor CG, Huber
- 850 SC, et al.: 14-3-3 proteins SGF14c and SGF14l play critical roles during soybean nodulation. Plant Physiol.
- 851 2012, **160**: 2125-2136.
- 852 63. Wienkoop S, Saalbach G: Proteome analysis. Novel proteins identified at the peribacteroid membrane from
- Lotus japonicus root nodules. Plant Physiol. 2003, 131: 1080-1090.
- 854 64. Winzer T, Bairl A, Linder M, Linder D, Werner D, Müller P: A novel 53-kDa nodulin of the symbiosome
- 855 membrane of soybean nodules, controlled by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 1999,
- **12**: 218-226.
- 857 65. Vinardell JM, Fedorova E, Cebolla A, Kevei Z, Horvath G, Kelemen Z, Tarayre S, Roudier F, Mergaert P,
- 858 Kondorosi A, et al.: Endoreduplication mediated by the anaphase-promoting complex activator CCS52A is
- required for symbiotic cell differentiation in *Medicago truncatula* nodules. Plant Cell 2003, **15**: 2093-2105.
- 860 66. Saito K, Yoshikawa M, Yano K, Miwa H, Uchida H, Asamizu E, Sato S, Tabata S, Imaizumi-Anraku H,
- Umehara Y, et al.: NUCLEOPORIN85 is required for calcium spiking, fungal and bacterial symbioses, and
- seed production in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Cell 2007, **19**: 610-624.
- 863 67. Kanamori N, Madsen LH, Radutoiu S, Frantescu M, Quistgaard EM, Miwa H, Downie JA, James EK, Felle
- HH, Haaning LL, et al.: A nucleoporin is required for induction of Ca²⁺ spiking in legume nodule
- development and essential for rhizobial and fungal symbiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2006, 103: 359-
- 866 364.
- 68. Libault M, Farmer A, Brechenmacher L, Drnevich J, Langley RJ, Bilgin DD, Radwan O, Neece DJ, Clough
- 868 SJ, May GD, et al.: Complete transcriptome of the soybean root hair cell, a single-cell model, and its
- alteration in response to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* infection. Plant Physiol., 2010, **152**: 541-552.
- 870 69. Sugiyama A, Shitan N, Yazaki K: Involvement of a soybean ATP-binding cassette-type transporter in the
- secretion of genistein, a signal flavonoid in legume-Rhizobium symbiosis. Plant Physiol. 2007, 144: 2000-
- 872 2008.
- 70. Clarke VC, Loughlin PC, Day DA, Smith PM: Transport processes of the legume symbiosome membrane.
- 874 Front Plant Sci. 2014, **5**: 699.
- 875 71. Colebatch G, Desbrosses G, Ott T, Krusell L, Montanari O, Kloska S, Kopka J, Udvardi MK: Global
- 876 changes in transcription orchestrate metabolic differentiation during symbiotic nitrogen fixation in *Lotus*

- 877 *japonicus*. Plant J. 2004, **39**: 487-512.
- 878 72. Limpens E, Bisseling T: Signaling in symbiosis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2003, 6: 343-350.
- 879 73. Choudhury SR, Pandey S: Specific subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins play important roles during
- nodulation in soybean. Plant Physiol., 2013, **162**: 522-533.
- 881 74. Choudhury SR, Pandey S: Phosphorylation-dependent regulation of G-protein cycle during nodule formation
- in soybean. Plant Cell 2015, **27**: 3260-3276.
- 883 75. Ke D, Fang Q, Chen C, Zhu H, Chen T, Chang X, Yuan S, Kang H, Ma L, Hong Z, et al.: The small GTPase
- ROP6 interacts with NFR5 and is involved in nodule formation in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol. 2012, **159**:
- 885 131-143.
- 886 76. Lei MJ, Wang Q, Li X, Chen A, Luo L, Xie Y, Li G, Luo D, Mysore KS, Wen J, et al.: The small GTPase
- 887 ROP10 of *Medicago truncatula* is required for both tip growth of root hairs and nod factor-induced root hair
- deformation. Plant Cell 2015, **27**: 806-822.
- 889 77. Harper JF, Harmon A: Plants, symbiosis and parasites: a calcium signalling connection. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell
- 890 Biol. 2005, **6**: 555-566.
- 891 78. Bapaume L, Reinhardt D: How membranes shape plant symbioses: signaling and transport in nodulation and
- arbuscular mycorrhiza. Front Plant Sci. 2012, **3:** 223.
- 893 79. Chen T, Zhu H, Ke D, Cai K, Wang C, Gou H, Hong Z, Zhang Z: A MAP kinase kinase interacts with
- 894 SymRK and regulates nodule organogenesis in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Cell 2012, **24**: 823-838.
- 895 80. Stewart GR, Young DB: Heat-shock proteins and the host-pathogen interaction during bacterial infection.
- 896 Curr. Opin. Immunol. 2004, **16**: 506-510.
- 897 81. Colditz F, Nyamsuren O, Niehaus K, Eubel H, Braun HP, Krajinski F: Proteomic approach: identification of
- 898 Medicago truncatula proteins induced in roots after infection with the pathogenic oomycete Aphanomyces
- 899 euteiches. Plant Mol. Biol. 2004, 55: 109-120.
- 900 82. Oehrle NW, Sarma AD, Waters JK, Emerich DW: Proteomic analysis of soybean nodule cytosol.
- 901 Phytochemistry 2008, **69:** 2426-2438.
- 902 83. Salavati A, Taleei A, Bushehri AA, Komatsu S: Analysis of the proteome of common bean (Phaseolus
- 903 *vulgaris L.*) roots after inoculation with *Rhizobium etli*. Protein & Peptide Letters 2012, **19**: 880.
- 904 84. Panter S, Thomson R, de Bruxelles G, Laver D, Trevaskis B, Udvardi M: Identification with proteomics of
- 905 novel proteins associated with the peribacteroid membrane of soybean root nodules. Mol. Plant Microbe
- 906 Interact. 2000, **13:** 325-333.
- 907 85. Catalano CM, Lane WS, Sherrier DJ: Biochemical characterization of symbiosome membrane proteins from
- 908 *Medicago truncatula* root nodules. Electrophoresis 2004, **25**: 519-531.
- 909 86. Ashby MK: Survey of the number of two-component response regulator genes in the complete and annotated
- genome sequences of prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2004, **231**: 277-281.
- 911 87. Whitworth DE, Cock PJ: Evolution of prokaryotic two-component systems: insights from comparative
- 912 genomics. Amino Acids 2009, **37**: 459-466.
- 913 88. Charles TC, Jin S, Nester EW: Two-component sensory transduction systems in phytobacteria. Annu. Rev.
- 914 Phytopathol. 1992, **30**: 463-484.
- 915 89. West AH, Stock AM: Histidine kinases and response regulator proteins in two-component signaling systems.

- 916 Trends Biochem. Sci. 2001, **26**: 369-376.
- 917 90. Hunter WJ: Increased nodulation of soybean by a strain of Bradyrhizobium japonicum with altered
- 918 tryptophan metabolism. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1994, **18**: 340-342.
- 919 91. Lambrecht M, Okon Y, Vande Broek A, Vanderleyden J: Indole-3-acetic acid: a reciprocal signalling
- 920 molecule in bacteria-plant interactions. Trends Microbiol. 2000, 8: 298-300.
- 92. Ghosh S, Basu PS: Production and metabolism of indole acetic acid in roots and root nodules of *Phaseolus*
- 922 *mungo*. Microbiol. Res. 2006, **161**: 362-366.
- 923 93. Roux B, Rodde N, Jardinaud MF, Timmers T, Sauviac L, Cottret L, Carrère S, Sallet E, Courcelle E, Moreau
- 924 S, et al.: An integrated analysis of plant and bacterial gene expression in symbiotic root nodules using
- laser-capture microdissection coupled to RNA sequencing. Plant J. 2014, 77: 817-837.
- 926 94. Sokolovski S, Hills A, Gay RA, Blatt MR: Functional interaction of the SNARE protein NtSyp121 in Ca²⁺
- channel gating, Ca²⁺ transients and ABA signalling of stomatal guard cells. Mol. Plant 2008, 1: 347-358.
- 928 95. Lodwig E, Poole P: Metabolism of *Rhizobium* bacteroids. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 2003, 22, 37-78.
- 929 96. Oke V, Long SR: Bacteroid formation in the rhizobium–legume symbiosis. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 1999, 2,
- 930 641-646.
- 931 97. Kersey PJ, Allen JE, Armean I, Boddu S, Bolt BJ, Carvalho-Silva D, Christensen M, Davis P, Falin LJ,
- Grabmueller C, et al.: Ensembl Genomes 2016: more genomes, more complexity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016,
- 933 **44:** D574-D580.
- 934 98. Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, Mitros T, Dirks W, Hellsten U, Putnam N,
- 935 et al.: Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012, 40:
- 936 D1178-D1186.
- 937 99. Li QG, Zhang L, Li C, Dunwell JM, Zhang YM: Comparative genomics suggests that an ancestral
- polyploidy event leads to enhanced root nodule symbiosis in the Papilionoideae. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2013, 30:
- 939 2602-2611.
- 940 100. Sonnhammer EL, Östlund G: InParanoid 8: orthology analysis between 273 proteomes, mostly eukaryotic.
- 941 Nucleic Acids Res. 2015, **43**: D234-D239.
- 942 101. Yellaboina S, Tasneem A, Zaykin DV, Raghavachari B, Jothi R: DOMINE: a comprehensive collection of
- known and predicted domain-domain interactions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011, **39**: D730-D735.
- 944 102. Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, Potter SC, Punta M, Qureshi M,
- 945 Sangrador-Vegas A, et al.: The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic
- 946 Acids Res. 2016, **44:** D279-D285.
- 947 103. O'Brien KP, Westerlund I, Sonnhammer EL: OrthoDisease: a database of human disease orthologs. Hum.
- 948 Mutat. 2004, **24**, 112-119.
- 949 104. O'Brien KP, Remm M, Sonnhammer EL: Inparanoid: a comprehensive database of eukaryotic orthologs.
- 950 Nucleic Acids Res. 2005, **33**: D476-D480.
- 951 105. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL: Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a
- hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J. Mol. Biol. 2001, **305**: 567-580.
- 953 106. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H: SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from

- 954 transmembrane regions. Nat. Methods 2011, 8: 785-786.
- 955 107. Huntley RP, Sawford T, Mutowo-Meullenet P, Shypitsyna A, Bonilla C, Martin MJ, O'Donovan C: The
- 956 GOA database: gene Ontology annotation updates for 2015. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015, 43, D1057- D1063.
- 957 108. Jiang JJ, Conrath DW: Semantic similarity based on corpus statistics and lexical taxonomy. In Taiwan:
- 958 Proceedings of International Conference Research on Computational Linguistics (ROCLING X), 1997.
- 959 109. Schlicker A, Albrecht M: FunSimMat: a comprehensive functional similarity database. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 960 2008, **36**: D434-D439.
- 961 110. Schlicker A, Domingues FS, Rahnenführer J, Lengauer T: A new measure for functional similarity of gene
- products based on Gene Ontology. BMC Bioinformatics 2006, 7: 302.
- 963 111. Couto FM, Silva MJ, Coutinho PM: Measuring semantic similarity between Gene Ontology terms. Data &
- 964 Knowledge Engineering 2007, **61**: 137-152.
- 965 112. Casse F, Boucher C, Julliot JS, Michel M, Dénari éJ: Identification and characterization of large plasmids in
- 966 Rhizobium meliloti using Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. Journal of General Microbiology 1979 113: 229-242.
- 967 113. Krenek P, Samajova O, Luptovciak I, Doskocilova A, Komis G, Samaj J: Transient plant transformation
- 968 mediated by Agrobacterium tumefaciens: Principles, methods and applications. Biotechnol. Adv. 2015, 33:
- 969 1024-1042.
- 970 114. Walter M, Chaban C, Schütze K, Batistic O, Weckermann K, Näke C, Blazevic D, Grefen C, Schumacher K,
- 971 Oecking C, Harter K, Kudla J: Visualization of protein interactions in living plant cells using bimolecular
- 972 fluorescence complementation. Plant J. 2004, **40**: 428-438.
- 973 115. Wang J, Cheng G, Wang C, He Z, Lan X, Zhang S, Lan H: The bHLH transcription factor CgbHLH001 is a
- potential interaction partner of CDPK in halophyte *Chenopodium glaucum*. Sci. Rep. 2017, **7**(1): 8441

Table 1 Classification of proteins in predicted PPIs between soybean and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 by PANTHER overrepresentation test

PANTHER Protein Class	Observed	Expected	Fold Enrichment	Corrected P-value	PANTHER Protein Class	Observed	Expected	Fold Enrichment	Corrected P-value
Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 11			membrane traffic protein	84	28.82	2.92	4.94E-15		
carbohydrate transporter	6	0.32	> 5	1.18E-04	vesicle coat protein	16	5.53	2.89	3.56E-02
cation transporter	26	1.61	> 5	5.00E-21	amino acid transporter	35	14.62	2.39	6.70E-04
ion channel	5	0.47	> 5	1.26E-02	transfer/carrier protein	74	31.73	2.33	1.28E-08
transporter	68	15.96	4.26	2.23E-22	transporter	221	121.95	1.81	9.10E-15
Glycine max					III. metabolism				
I. gene transcription and translation	ATP synthase	18	2.83	> 5	2.39E-07				
deacetylase	13	2.23	> 5	1.15E-04	oxidase	29	11.79	2.46	2.66E-03
aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase	12	2.70	4.44	4.47E-03	reductase	64	28.22	2.27	6.73E-07
ribosomal protein	97	30.62	3.17	6.74E-20	enzyme modulator	120	57.55	2.09	3.26E-11
translation initiation factor	25	7.98	3.13	1.79E-04	dehydrogenase	79	38.64	2.04	9.97E-07
RNA helicase	22	7.33	3	1.50E-03	isomerase	49	24.06	2.04	7.95E-04
translation factor	44	15.35	2.87	2.71E-07	oxidoreductase	176	104.54	1.68	6.28E-09
translation elongation factor	20	7.46	2.68	1.71E-02	hydrolase	221	136.49	1.62	6.11E-10
helicase	28	11.23	2.49	2.93E-03	ligase	69	42.79	1.61	2.04E-02
RNA binding protein	234	127.4	1.84	2.15E-16	transferase	236	181.6	1.3	5.17E-03
chaperone	54	30.19	1.79	8.93E-03	IV. signaling				
nucleic acid binding	355	205.87	1.72	2.06E-21	G-protein	34	10.51	3.24	1.07E-06
II. transport and intracellular trafficking	small GTPase	18	6.30	2.86	1.74E-02				
anion channel	10	1.54	> 5	8.85E-04	G-protein modulator	36	14.84	2.43	3.66E-04
ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter	41	8.02	> 5	1.92E-14	calcium-binding protein	49	20.84	2.35	1.51E-05
mitochondrial carrier protein	26	6.26	4.15	4.99E-07	intracellular calcium-sensing protein	32	14.97	2.14	1.39E-02
cation transporter	49	13.85	3.54	2.45E-11	calmodulin	32	14.97	2.14	1.39E-02
membrane trafficking regulatory protein	18	5.32	3.39	2.02E-03	SNARE protein	18	5.02	3.59	9.36E-04
ion channel	26	8.53	3.05	1.84E-04					

Table 2 KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of proteins in PPIs between soybean and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110

Glycine max	Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110								
KEGG Term	KEGG ID	Input number	Background number	Corrected P-Value	KEGG Term	KEGG ID	Input number	Background number	Corrected P-Value
Oxidative phosphorylation	gmx00190	72	237	9.35E-09	Oxidative phosphorylation	bja00190	20	66	1.46E-10
Phagosome	gmx04145	52	165	7.00E-07	Protein export	bja03060	10	20	5.58E-07
Protein export	gmx03060	36	91	8.57E-07	Two-component system	bja02020	20	168	5.79E-05
Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum	gmx04141	81	375	6.27E-05	Peptidoglycan biosynthesis	bja00550	6	24	0.003838
N-Glycan biosynthesis	gmx00510	27	74	9.75E-05	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	bja00564	6	24	0.003838
Ribosome	gmx03010	109	595	0.000594	ABC transporters	bja02010	22	299	0.007615
Biosynthesis of amino acids	gmx01230	77	429	0.011763	Bacterial secretion system	bja03070	7	44	0.009047
Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)	gmx00020	26	104	0.015133	beta-Lactam resistance	bja01501	5	21	0.009047
Carbon metabolism	gmx01200	84	488	0.016097					
Proteasome	gmx03050	24	105	0.049615					

Notes: Input genes and their detailed annotations were available in Supplementary Table S6

Table 3 Top ten hubs of G. max and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 in the predicted PPI network

Glycine max			Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110					
Gene	Gene annotation	Degree	Gene Gene annotation		Degree			
Glyma.14G176900	14-3-3 protein (SGF14k)	33	BAC49080	putative cation-transporting ATPase (EC 3.6.3)	347			
Glyma.02G208700	14-3-3 protein (SGF14g)	33	BAC52411	metalloprotease	300			
Glyma.04G102900	pumilio 7	21	BAC49957	peptidyl prolyl cis-trans isomerase	172			
Glyma.08G332900	heat shock protein 81.4	17	BAC52381	aquaporin Z	155			
Glyma.13G359500	heat shock protein 91	17	BAC45806	hypothetical protein	137			
Glyma.18G074100	heat shock protein 81.4	17	BAC45833	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [NAD(P) ⁺]	124			
Glyma.15G014400	heat shock protein 91	17	BAC47677	hypothetical protein	109			
Glyma.12G116300	ADP/ATP carrier 3	16	BAC47750	rieske iron-sulfur protein	106			
Glyma.06G290600	ADP/ATP carrier 3	16	BAC45992	hypothetical protein	102			
Glyma.10G193200	heat shock protein 60	16	BAC46205	putative Na ⁺ /H ⁺ exchanger	95			

985 986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998 999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

Figure legends Figure 1. The prediction pipeline of the protein-protein interaction networks Figure 2. Distribution of semantic similarity scores between GO terms of two proteins: sim_{IC}^{BP} , sim_{IC}^{MF} and sim_{IC}^{CC} . A: distribution of sim_{IC}^{BP} ; B: distribution of sim_{JC}^{CC}; C: distribution of sim_{JC}^{MF}. Box in black represents predicted protein-protein interactions in soybean; grey box denotes random protein pairs in the soybean genome. Figure 3. Two PPI sub-networks between soybean (red) and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 (yellow) proteins. A: PPI sub-network between 18 soybean SNARE proteins and B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 proteins. B: PPI sub-network of DctA and 14-3-3 proteins. Triangles represent nodulin in soybean. The PPI interactions with bold edges were validated by the LCI assay. Figure 4. Luciferase complementation image assay of a subnetwork containing two 14-3-3 proteins in Agrobacterium-infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves under bright field (I) and dark (II) illumination. The C-terminal half and the N-terminal half of LUC were fused to N-gene, N-LUC, C-gene and C-LUC. In (c), the treatment was N-GmSGF14g + C-BAC48988, and the controls were N-LUC + C-BAC48988, N-GmSGF14g + C-LUC, and N-LUC + C-LUC. LUC fluorescence was detected by confocal microscope in N. benthamiana fresh leaves. The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. The situation was similar in the others.









