Science of the Total Environment 615 (2018) 1379-1389



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

# The effect of portable HEPA filter air cleaners on indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and second hand tobacco smoke exposure among pregnant women in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: The UGAAR randomized controlled trial



Prabjit Barn <sup>a,\*</sup>, Enkhjargal Gombojav <sup>b</sup>, Chimedsuren Ochir <sup>b</sup>, Bayarkhuu Laagan <sup>c</sup>, Bolor Beejin <sup>d</sup>, Gerel Naidan <sup>b</sup>, Buyantushig Boldbaatar <sup>b</sup>, Jargalsaikhan Galsuren <sup>b</sup>, Tsogtbaatar Byambaa <sup>d</sup>, Craig Janes <sup>e</sup>, Patricia A. Janssen <sup>f</sup>, Bruce P. Lanphear <sup>a</sup>, Tim K. Takaro <sup>a</sup>, Scott A. Venners <sup>a</sup>, Glenys M. Webster <sup>a</sup>, Weiran Yuchi <sup>a</sup>, Christopher D. Palmer <sup>g,h</sup>, Patrick J. Parsons <sup>g,h</sup>, Young Man Roh <sup>i</sup>, Ryan W. Allen <sup>a</sup>

- <sup>b</sup> School of Public Health, Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Zorig Street, Ulaanbaatar 14210, Mongolia
- <sup>c</sup> Sukhbaatar District Health Center, 11 Horoo, Tsagdaagiin Gudamj, Sukhbaatar District, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
- <sup>d</sup> Mongolian National Center for Public Health, Olympic Street 2, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

- <sup>f</sup> School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, 2206 East Mall, Vancouver V6T 1Z3, Canada
- <sup>g</sup> New York State Department of Health, Wadsworth Center, Albany, NY, PO Box 509, 12201, USA
- <sup>h</sup> School of Public Health, University at Albany, State University of New York, One University Place, Rensselaer, NY 12144, USA
- <sup>i</sup> College of Health Sciences, Korea University, 145 Anam-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea

# HIGHLIGHTS

# GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- Interventions are needed to lower household PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposures.
- Participants were randomized to a control (no air cleaners) or intervention (1–2 air cleaners) group.
- Air cleaners lowered indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> by 29% (95% CI: 21, 37%) and blood cadmium by 14% (4, 23%).
- Air cleaners were most effective in winter, when the PM<sub>2.5</sub> geometric mean was reduced from 45 to 29 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.
- Air cleaner effectiveness was reduced by >50% after approximately 5 months of use.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 August 2017 Received in revised form 20 September 2017 Accepted 27 September 2017 Available online 17 October 2017



#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* Portable HEPA filter air cleaners can reduce indoor fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), but their use has not been adequately evaluated in high pollution settings. We assessed air cleaner effectiveness in reducing indoor residential PM<sub>2.5</sub> and second hand smoke (SHS) exposures among non-smoking pregnant women in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.

- E-mail addresses: pkbarn@sfu.ca (P. Barn), enkhjargal@mnums.edu.mn (E. Gombojav), chimedsuren@mnums.edu.mn (C. Ochir), bolor@ncph.gov.mn (B. Beejin),
- tsogtbaatar@ncph.gov.mn (T. Byambaa), craig.janes@uwaterloo.ca (C. Janes), patti.janssen@ubc.ca (P.A. Janssen), blanphear@sfu.ca (B.P. Lanphear), ttakaro@sfu.ca (T.K. Takaro), scott\_venners@sfu.ca (S.A. Venners), glenys\_webster@sfu.ca (G.M. Webster), wyuchi@sfu.ca (W. Yuchi), christopher.palmer@health.ny.gov (C.D. Palmer), patrick.parsons@health.ny.gov

(P.J. Parsons), allenr@sfu.ca (R.W. Allen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.291

0048-9697/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby V5A 1S6, Canada

e School of Public Health and Health Systems, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo N2L 3G1, Canada

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada.

Editor: D. Barcelo

Keywords: PM<sub>2.5</sub> SHS Cadmium HEPA Intervention RCT *Methods:* We randomized 540 participants to an intervention group receiving 1 or 2 HEPA filter air cleaners or a control group receiving no air cleaners. We followed 259 intervention and 253 control participants to the end of pregnancy. We measured one-week indoor residential  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in early (~11 weeks gestation) and late (~31 weeks gestation) pregnancy and collected outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  data from centrally-located government monitors. We assessed blood cadmium in late pregnancy. Hair nicotine was quantified in a subset (n = 125) to evaluate blood cadmium as a biomarker of SHS exposure. We evaluated air cleaner effectiveness using mixed effects and multiple linear regression models and used stratified models and interaction terms to evaluate potential modifiers of effectiveness.

*Results:* The overall geometric mean (GM) one-week outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was 47.9 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 44.6, 51.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), with highest concentrations in winter (118.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>; 110.4, 126.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). One-week indoor and outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were correlated (r = 0.69). Indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were 29% (21, 37%) lower in intervention versus control apartments, with GMs of 17.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (15.8, 18.8 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 24.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (22.2, 27.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), respectively. Air cleaner effectiveness was greater when air cleaners were first deployed (40%; 31, 48%) than after approximately five months of use (15%; 0, 27%). Blood cadmium concentrations were 14% (4, 23%) lower among intervention participants, likely due to reduced SHS exposure.

Conclusions: Portable HEPA filter air cleaners can lower indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and SHS exposures in highly polluted settings.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Background

Outdoor fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) air pollution is a leading global public health risk factor (Cohen et al., 2017; Forouzanfar et al., 2016). The enormous public health impact of  $PM_{2.5}$  is due in part to the large number of people exposed. In 2013, 87% of the world's population lived in areas where PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations exceeded the World Health Organization annual average guideline of 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (Brauer et al., 2016). Despite decreasing concentrations in many high income countries, the global population-weighted PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations increased by over 20% between 1990 and 2013 due largely to increasing concentrations in Asia (Brauer et al., 2016). PM<sub>2.5</sub> is a risk factor for numerous health conditions including ischaemic heart disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, and lower respiratory infections (Cohen et al., 2017; Forouzanfar et al., 2016). A growing body of evidence also links PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure with impaired fetal growth, an important indicator of health in early childhood and over the life course (McIntire et al., 1999; Barker, 2006; Gluckman et al., 2008).

Reducing PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations results in substantial public health benefits (Stieb, 2015; Pope et al., 2009; Gauderman et al., 2015). From a public health perspective, interventions that reduce pollution emissions and exposure among large populations are generally preferable to those that reduce exposure at the individual or household level. However, because community-wide improvements in air quality usually occur over decades (Fenger, 1999), it is important to identify interventions that can reduce household exposures in the near term until emissions can be reduced to acceptable levels.

Portable high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter air cleaners are a promising household level intervention to reduce indoor PM2.5 concentrations. PM<sub>2.5</sub> readily infiltrates into buildings (Allen et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2010; Xu, 2016), so a substantial portion of exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> of outdoor origin actually occurs indoors, where individuals spend the majority of their time (Leech et al., 2002). Many countries with high outdoor air pollution concentrations also have a high prevalence of smoking, so air cleaners have the potential advantage of reducing exposure to both outdoor pollution that infiltrates indoors and indoor-generated pollution from cigarettes and other sources. Air cleaners are widely available and relatively inexpensive to purchase and operate (Fisk and Chan, 2017). Previous studies have linked portable air cleaner use in residences to reductions of 32-68% in concentrations of particles from various outdoor and indoor sources, including traffic, wildfire and residential wood smoke, and second hand tobacco smoke (SHS) (Kajbafzadeh et al., 2015; Batterman et al., 2012; Allen et al., 2011; Butz et al., 2011; Lanphear et al., 2011; Barn et al., 2008; Brauner et al., 2008; McNamara et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2017). Much of this work has been conducted in high income settings where PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and smoking rates are relatively low (Reitsma et al., 2017), so little is known about the efficacy of portable air cleaners in highly polluted settings. Additionally, most studies of air cleaner use have been conducted over short periods ranging from a few days to weeks, with few evaluations of efficacy over longer durations (Fisk, 2013).

The Ulaanbaatar Gestation and Air Pollution Research (UGAAR) study is a randomized controlled trial designed to assess the effect of portable HEPA filter air cleaner use during pregnancy on fetal growth and early childhood development (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01741051). Our study was conducted in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia's capital city, which is home to roughly one-half of the country's total population of three million (Mongolian Statistical Information Service, n.d.). Ulaanbaatar is one of the coldest and most polluted cities in the world. The population-weighted annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in the city is approximately 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (Ochir and Smith, 2014). Ulaanbaatar is located in a valley with mountains to the north and south, which together with cold temperatures, contribute to inversions that exacerbate the poor air quality in winter. Wintertime PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions are dominated by residential heating with coal (Ochir and Smith, 2014). Coal combustion is also linked to other pollutants, including cadmium (Song, 2008). Household coal use occurs in ger (a traditional feltlined Mongolian dwelling) neighbourhoods surrounding the city where roughly 60% of the city's population resides (Guttikunda, 2007). In 2013, there were an estimated 164,000 to 185,000 ger households in the city (The World Bank Group, 2013), each burning an average of approximately 5 t of coal per year (Guttikunda, 2007). Air pollution emissions linked to household coal use are expected to increase further as the population in ger neighbourhoods increases (The World Bank Group, 2013). The remainder of Ulaanbaatar's residents live in apartments, which receive electricity from three coal-fired power plants. These power plants and an increasing number of motor vehicles also contribute to air pollution in the city (Kamata et al., 2010). We have previously estimated that approximately 10% of the mortality in Ulaanbaatar is attributable to outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  (Allen et al., 2013).

The objective of this analysis was to quantify the impact of HEPA filter air cleaner use during pregnancy on indoor residential  $PM_{2.5}$ , and blood cadmium concentrations.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study population

Our study population consisted of women in Ulaanbaatar who met the following eligibility criteria: 18 years or older, in the early stages ( $\leq$ 18 weeks) of a single-gestation pregnancy, non-smoker, living in an

apartment, planning to give birth in a maternity hospital in Ulaanbaatar, and not using an air cleaner in the home at enrollment. Initially, recruitment of participants was done in coordination with the reproductive health clinic at the Sukhbaatar district Health Centre in Ulaanbaatar. This city district was targeted due to its large population living in apartments, its proximity to the ger area north of the city centre, and our relationships with staff at the district hospital. To increase participant recruitment, we established a second study office in September 2014 at the first branch location of the Sukhbaatar Health Centre (see Supplemental file 1). We excluded women living in gers because we were interested in assessing the impact of community-level air pollution on indoor residential PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and wanted to minimize the influence of indoor emissions from ger stoves, and because many ger households lack a reliable source of electricity, which are needed to operate air cleaners.

# 2.2. Study design

We randomly assigned 540 participants to the intervention or control group. Randomization was done using sealed opaque envelopes containing randomly generated "filter" or "control" allocations and labelled with participant identification numbers that ran from one to 580. Allocation was done on a 1:1 ratio. Participants in the intervention group received one or two portable HEPA filter air cleaners (AP-1009CH, Coway, Korea) depending on the size of their apartment, and air cleaners were used from the first home visit until childbirth. Apartments with a total area <40 m<sup>2</sup> received one air cleaner and those with areas  $\geq$ 40 m<sup>2</sup> received two air cleaners. The air cleaners had a clean air delivery rate for tobacco smoke (particles sized 0.09–1.0 µm) of 149  $ft^3/m$ , which is appropriate for use in rooms up to approximately 22 m<sup>2</sup>. The commercially available model has an internal PM sensor and "mood light" that changes colour based on the PM concentration, but this feature was disabled to avoid biasing the behaviour of UGAAR participants. The air cleaners used in UGAAR were also modified to operate only on the second-highest fan setting with an internal timer that counted total hours of use. Timer data were retrieved once each participant completed the study. Unfortunately, the internal timers proved to have limited value because initiating the timer required the air cleaner to be turned on while also pressing specific buttons. Participants were given instructions on the procedure, but if a participant turned on the air cleaner (e.g., after the unit was turned off, unplugged, or in the event of a power failure) without initiating the timer then subsequent air cleaner usage was not logged. For smaller apartments, air cleaners were placed in the main living area of the home, and for larger apartments, the second unit was placed in the participant's bedroom. Air cleaners were deployed with new pre-filters, which help to remove large debris, and HEPA filters. Participants were shown how to clean the pre-filter, but we did not replace pre-filters or HEPA filters during the study. Participants were encouraged to use the air cleaners continuously throughout the study period. The control group received no air cleaners.

# 2.3. Data collection

Data collection took place from January 2014 to December 2015. We collected data at home and clinic visits that occurred in early (5–18 weeks gestation) and late (24–37 weeks gestation) pregnancy (Fig. 1). We collected air pollution measurements over one-week periods following the two home visits. Whole blood and hair samples were collected during the second clinic visit. We administered questionnaires at both clinic visits to collect data on housing and lifestyle (e.g. SHS exposures, time activity patterns) characteristics. Participants were compensated with a payment of 65,000 Mongolian tugriks (approximately \$45 Canadian) upon completion of data collection, and a pro-rated amount was provided to participants who withdrew before completion of the study. The study protocol was approved by the Simon Fraser University Office of Research Ethics (2013s0016) and the Mongolian Ministry of Health Medical Ethics Approval Committee (No.7). Written consent was obtained from participants prior to their enrollment into the study.

# 2.4. Indoor residential air pollution measurements

We measured particle number concentrations in all apartments during two one-week sampling campaigns using Dylos laser particle counters (DC1700; Dylos Corporation, Riverside, California, USA). These instruments quantify particle count concentrations in two particle size ranges: >0.5 µm and >2.5 µm. The commercially available Dylos monitors log particle counts at one-minute intervals and display counts in real time, but the units used in UGAAR were modified to log data at five-minute intervals (to allow one week of data to be logged). Realtime particle count displays were disabled to avoid biasing participants' behaviour. We used the difference between the small and large particle size counts since it has previously been shown to provide the best approximation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, with reported Dylos-PM<sub>2.5</sub> correlations ranging between 0.55 and 0.99 (Northcross et al., 2013; Semple et al., 2015; Steinle et al., 2015; Semple et al., 2013; Hyder et al., 2014; Klepeis et al., 2013). We conducted co-location tests of all Dylos monitors to identify and discontinue the use of monitors showing poor performance (see Supplemental file 2).

We co-located Dylos particle counts and gravimetric  $PM_{2.5}$  in a subset of 90 apartments, roughly 20% of our sample. The data were used to establish the empirical relationship between Dylos particle counts and



Fig. 1. Summary of data collection.

 $PM_{2.5}$  mass concentrations (in units of  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) since this relationship depends on the optical properties of the aerosol being measured. These apartments represent a convenience sample because although they were randomly chosen to capture a representative sample of intervention and control apartments across multiple seasons, measurements were only conducted if participants gave permission for additional sampling. Gravimetric  $PM_{2.5}$  samples were collected onto 37-mm Teflon filters using Harvard Personal Environmental Monitors (HPEM; Air Diagnostics and Engineering, Inc., Harrison, ME) connected to mass flow controlled BGI 400 air pumps (BGI, Inc., Waltham, MA) operated at 4 L/min. Filters were weighted in triplicate before and after sampling, and the average of the three measurements was taken. The air pollution sampling equipment was placed in the main activity room, typically on a table or shelf, as far as possible away from the air cleaner, pollution sources, ventilation systems, and bright light sources.

#### 2.5. Outdoor air pollution data

Outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were obtained from two centrally-located government-run monitoring stations. Measurements were made using tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM) monitors.

# 2.6. Relative humidity

Continuous measurements of relative humidity (RH) were made using HOBO loggers (ux100-011; Onset Computer Corporation; Bourne, MA, USA) in the subset of apartments selected for gravimetric PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring. RH was of interest since it can impact the light scattering properties of particles, thereby influencing the relationship between Dylos particle counts and PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations. The Dylos has previously been shown to record artificially high particle counts when RH exceeds approximately 90% (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2014).

# 2.7. Blood cadmium

Whole blood samples were collected from 382 participants by a nurse at the reproductive health clinic during the second clinic visit. Samples were refrigerated and shipped to the Wadsworth Center (New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, USA) for analysis within six weeks of collection. Samples were analyzed for cadmium using quadrupole-based inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), with matrix-matched calibration (Palmer et al., 2006). The limit of quantification (LOQ), which was based on US Environmental Protection Agency recommendations, was 0.043 µg/L. Two samples were below the LOQ; concentrations of LOQ/2 were assigned to these samples (Hornung and Reed, 1990).

#### 2.8. Hair nicotine

Hair samples were collected during second clinic visits for analysis of nicotine, an indicator of SHS. Approximately 30-50 strands of hair (>30 mg) were cut close to the scalp at the occipital area of the head. Participants were asked if they had chemically treated their hair in the previous three months since chemical treatment can affect hair nicotine concentrations (Al-Delaimy, 2002). After collection, hair samples were placed into a plastic bag and stored at room temperature before being shipped for analysis to the Clinical Pharmacology Laboratory at the University of California, San Francisco. Hair samples were used primarily to evaluate blood cadmium as a biomarker of SHS exposure, so 125 hair samples were selected for analysis to capture potentially low and high SHS exposures among intervention and control participants, based on whether participants lived with a smoker. Samples were additionally limited to participants who had a blood cadmium measurement and those who did not chemically treat their hair. Four-cm samples were analyzed to represent SHS exposures occurring in the approximately four months prior to data collection. Hair samples were washed, digested and then analyzed by gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC–MS/MS). Five samples were below the LOQ of 0.036 ng/mg; concentrations of LOQ/2 were assigned to these samples (Hornung and Reed, 1990).

# 2.9. Other data

Study technicians conducted a home assessment during the first home visit to determine the area and volume of each room, and total area of the home. Study technicians also determined the building location using a global positioning system (GPS) device. If a participant moved between visits, study technicians also conducted an assessment during the second home visit. During both clinic visits, staff administered a questionnaire to obtain information on health, medical history, and lifestyle factors such as alcohol use, smoking, and exposure to SHS. We quantified air cleaner use in intervention apartments using information provided on the questionnaire administered at the second clinic visit. Specifically, participants were asked to estimate the percentage of time that air cleaner units were used since they were installed in the home. For apartments with two air cleaners, we averaged the reported use for both units.

#### 2.10. Data analysis

We conducted a series of quality control and data cleaning steps on particle count data prior to analysis, including removing incomplete data, which resulted in the removal of 464 (51%) one-week Dylos measurements We assessed baseline housing, personal, and behavioral characteristics among participants excluded due to a lack of valid Dylos data and those included in this analysis (those with one or two Dylos measurements). Although participants with no measurements spent less time at home in early pregnancy (15.7 h/day, 95% CI: 15.1, 16.2 h/day) compared with participants with one or two measurements (16.3 h/day, 95% CI: 15.9, 16.8 h/day, p = 0.02), we found no other significant differences between these groups (Supplemental file 2), indicating that the participants and homes included in our analysis are representative of the full UGAAR cohort. The effect of RH on particle count data was determined to be negligible since hourly RH measured in apartments never exceeded 85%. We found strong agreement between the one-week particle counts and gravimetric PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations ( $R^2 = 0.94$ , n = 23), and used this relationship to convert Dylos particle counts to mass concentrations (see Supplemental file 3). We averaged outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations measured at the two monitoring sites and calculated one-week averages corresponding to each week of indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring in apartments, and examined correlations between indoor and outdoor concentrations. Potential differences by intervention assignment in baseline housing, personal and behavioral characteristics were assessed using Fisher's exact tests, t-tests, and Mann-Whitney tests as appropriate.

Linear and mixed effects regression models were used to assess the impact of the intervention on indoor PM2.5 and blood cadmium concentrations. All exposure variables were log-transformed to improve the normality of model residuals, and results are presented as percent concentration reductions in the intervention group relative to the control group. Since one-week indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were measured twice for participants, we assessed the effect of the intervention based on all data using mixed effects models, and for each visit separately using multiple linear regression. For mixed effects models, we used an unstructured covariance matrix and entered intervention status as a fixed effect and apartment (participant) as a random intercept to account for repeated measurements in apartments. Results of indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> models are shown both unadjusted and adjusted for outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. All analyses comparing intervention and control groups were based on randomized intervention assignments, and all analyses involving the number of air cleaners were based on the actual

number deployed in homes by study staff. Standard regression diagnostics were conducted on all models.

To evaluate effect modification, we also ran the regression models after stratifying by variables that we hypothesized might modify air cleaner effectiveness such as number of air cleaners, air cleaner density (number of air cleaners per 100 m<sup>2</sup> of home area), reported air cleaner use, season, window opening, living with a smoker, living in a home where smoking occurred indoors, and, for blood cadmium, time spent indoors at home. Information on time-dependent variables, such as living with a smoker and time spent at home, was obtained in both early and late pregnancy. For stratifications involving PM<sub>2.5</sub>, we used data collected at both time points. For stratified models of blood cadmium, we used data collected in late pregnancy to reflect more relevant exposure periods. The half-life of cadmium in blood ranges from roughly 75–128 days (Bernhoft, 2013). Differences in air cleaner effectiveness between strata were evaluated using interaction terms in the regression models.

Finally, we evaluated the role of SHS as a source of cadmium exposure. We calculated correlations between blood cadmium and hair nicotine and compared concentrations of both biomarkers between smoking and non-smoking households.

#### 3. Results

Five hundred and forty women were recruited at a mean gestation of 10.3 weeks (range: 4.0–17.0 weeks). Two hundred and seventy-two participants were randomized to the control group and 268 were randomized to the intervention group (Fig. 2). Eight participants received incorrect treatments. These participants were retained in the dataset and analyzed according to their assigned treatment groups. Twenty-eight (5%) participants were lost to follow up, leaving for analysis 512 participants followed to the end of pregnancy. In total, 236 and 211

one-week PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations measured in early (first measurement) and late (second measurement) pregnancy, respectively, were analyzed, as well as 382 whole blood samples and 125 hair samples. Differences in several characteristics that might influence exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and cadmium were examined among participants who remained in the study and those who were lost to follow up. No significant differences were found for housing characteristics such as area of home, age of home, window usage, as well as other characteristics, such as time spent at home, living with a smoker and season of enrollment into the study (see Supplemental file 4). Participants lost to follow up were more likely to use a non-UGAAR study air cleaner (i.e. not provided by the study; p = 0.05).

At baseline, control and intervention participants had similar home ages, total home areas, and window opening behaviour (Table 1). Approximately half of the participants in both groups reported living with a smoker at any time in pregnancy, and 8% of participants in both groups reported smoking at any time during pregnancy. Control and intervention participants spent on average 16 h per day indoors at home in both early and late pregnancy. The majority of participants (80%) reported working outside the home during pregnancy. More participants in the control group changed address (9%) compared with the intervention group (5%). Control participants were also more likely to live in apartments located on lower floors (56%) than intervention participants (46%). The number of participants enrolled into the study each season was similar for both groups, with the highest enrollment occurring during winter and fall. Among the intervention group, 70 households received one air cleaner and 186 households received two air cleaners. Air cleaner density, calculated as the number of air cleaners per total area of the home, was similar for apartments with one and two area cleaners, with geometric means of 3.0 air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup> (95% CI: 2.8, 3.2 air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup>) and 2.9 air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup> (95% CI: 2.7, 3.1 air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup>), respectively. Air cleaners were reported



#### Table 1

Summary of household, personal, and behavioral characteristics by intervention status.

	Control group ( $n = 253$ )		Intervention group ( $n = 259$ )		<i>p</i> -Value
	GM (95% CI) or N	%	GM (95% CI) or N	%	
Housing characteristics					
Total home area (m <sup>2</sup> )	52.3 (48.7, 55.8)	94	54.6 (51.2, 58.2)	97	0.20
Not recorded		6		3	
Age of home (years)	10.6 (8.6, 13.1)	66	11.2 (9.4, 13.3)	73	0.96
Not recorded		34		27	
Window opening in winter					
Open < half the month	118	47	130	50	0.50
Open $\geq$ half the month	129	51	126	49	
Not recorded	6	2	3	1	
Window opening in summer					
Open < half the month	24	9	35	13	0.17
Open $\geq$ half the month	224	89	222	86	
Not recorded	5	2	2	1	
Outdoor PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	54.3 (50.5 58.4)	96	55.0 (51.6, 58.64)	99	0.85
Not available		4		1	
Personal and behavioral characteristics					
Week of pregnancy at enrollment into the study	9.9 (9.5, 10.3)	100	10.0 (9.6, 10.3)	100	0.95
Season of enrollment into the study					
Winter (Dec–Feb)	89	35	78	30	0.46
Spring (Mar–May)	72	28	70	27	
Summer (Jun–Aug)	27	11	35	14	
Fall (Sep–Nov)	65	26	76	29	
Time spent indoors at home in early pregnancy (hours/day)	16.0 (15.5, 16.5)	79	16.3 (15.9, 16.8)	74	0.41
Not recorded		21		26	
Time spent indoors at home in late pregnancy (hours/day)	15.6 (14.9, 16.3)	47	15.8 (15.1, 16.5)	60	0.69
Not recorded		53		40	
Lived with a smoker at any time in pregnancy					
No	118	47	131	51	0.47
Yes	127	50	123	47	
Not recorded	8	3	5	2	
Smoking occurred in the home at any time in pregnancy					
No	173	53	176	56	0.70
Yes	73	29	81	31	
Not recorded	7	19	2	13	

to be used for a geometric mean of 64% of the study duration, and use did not differ by number of air cleaners deployed. Thirteen participants in the control group and seven in the intervention group reported using a non-UGAAR air cleaner.

The geometric means of one-week outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations corresponding to periods of indoor residential PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring were 58.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 52.9, 63.9 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 38.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 34.2, 42.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) for the first (early pregnancy) and second (late pregnancy) measurements, respectively. Outdoor concentrations were similar for control and intervention homes. Across the seasons, geometric mean outdoor one-week PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were 118.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 110.4, 126.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 60.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 54.9, 65.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in winter and fall, and 31.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 29.5, 34.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 20.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 19.3, 21.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in spring and summer, respectively. One-week indoor and outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were correlated (r = 0.69, n = 429), with higher correlations for control apartments (r = 0.78, n = 203) than intervention apartments (r = 0.63, n = 226; see Supplemental file 5).

The overall geometric means of one-week indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were 22.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 20.5, 24.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 18.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95% CI: 16.6, 20.1 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) for the first and second measurements, respectively. Over half (64%) of the first home measurements were made in fall and winter reflecting higher indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations compared with the second measurements, the majority of which (61%) were made in spring and summer when concentrations were lower. Overall, the intervention reduced indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations by 29% (95% CI: 21, 37%, Table 2). We observed larger reductions when the air cleaners were first deployed in early pregnancy (40%, 95% CI: 31, 48%), compared with after roughly five months of use (15%, 95% CI: 0, 27%, Table 2; Fig. 3). Apartments that received two air cleaners had larger reductions in  $PM_{2.5}$ 

concentrations (33%, 95% CI: 25, 41%) than apartments with one air cleaner (20%, 95% CI: 6, 32%). This trend was seen for measurements made both early and late in the air cleaners' deployment. No differences in effectiveness were observed for reported air cleaner use. Stratification by season revealed a higher non-significant difference in air cleaner effectiveness between winter (36%, 95%: 20, 49%) and summer (18%, 95% CI: 4, 30%). Greater wintertime reductions were observed for apartments where windows were opened less frequently. Significantly higher indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were seen in apartments of participants who lived with smokers. Higher concentrations were also seen in apartments where smoking occurred indoors, although differences were not significant. Behaviours related to smoking in the home did not influence air cleaner effectiveness.

The intervention reduced blood cadmium concentrations by 14% (95% CI: 4, 23%), from a geometric mean of 0.23  $\mu$ g/L (95% CI: 0.21, 0.25  $\mu$ g/L) to 0.20  $\mu$ g/L (95% CI: 0.19, 0.21  $\mu$ g/L). The effect of the air cleaners on blood cadmium concentrations was not significantly modified by smoking in the home, working outside the home, time spent at home, or number of air cleaners.

Blood cadmium and hair nicotine concentrations were more strongly correlated among participants who lived with a smoker (r = 0.29, p = 0.02, n = 66) compared with those who did not (r = 0.10, p = 0.47, n = 56). Blood cadmium concentrations were 14% (95% CI: 2, 28%) higher among participants who lived with a smoker, and 24% (95% CI: 10, 41%) higher among participants who lived in apartments where smoking occurred indoors, compared with participants from non-smoking households. Similarly, geometric mean hair nicotine concentrations were significantly higher among participants living in smoking (33 ng/mg; 95% CI: 0.23, 0.46 ng/mg) versus non-smoking households (0.10 ng/mg; 95% CI: 0.08. 0.14 ng/mg).

Effect of portable HEPA filter air cleaner use on one-week residential indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.

	GM (95% CI) µg/m <sup>3</sup>		% change <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)		
	Control	Intervention	Crude	Adjusted for outdoor PM <sub>2.5</sub>	
All data	24.5 (22.2, 27.0) n = 212	17.3 (15.8, 18.8) n = 235	-30 (-38, -22)	-29 (-37, -21)	
Duration of air cleaner use					
First measurement	30.3 (26.7, 34.3) n = 111	17.3 (15.4, 19.4) n = 125	-43 (-52, -32)	-40 (-48, -31)	
Second measurement	19.4 (16.9, 22.3) n = 101	17.3 (15.1, 19.7) n = 110	-11 (-26, 8)	-15 (-27, 0)	
Number of air cleaners deployed					
1 air cleaner	-	18.7 (15.7, 22.3) n = 64	-23 (-35, -9)	-20 (-32, -6)	
2 air cleaners	-	16.7 (15.1, 18.4) n = 167	-31 (-39, -21)	-33 (-41, -25)	
Air cleaner density <sup>b</sup>					
<3.0 air cleaners/100 m <sup>2</sup>	-	17.9(15.7, 20.3) n = 102	-27 (-36, -16)	-28 (-37, -18)	
≥3.0 air cleaners/100 m <sup>2</sup>	-	16.5 (14.6, 18.6) n = 123	-32 (-41, -22)	-30 (-38, -20)	
Air cleaner use <sup>c</sup>					
<63% of study period	-	17.1 (14.7, 19.7) n = 87	-30 (-40, -18)	-33 (-42, -23)	
≥63% of study period	-	17.2 (15.2, 19.4) n = 121	-31 (-40, -20)	-30 (-39, -20)	
Season					
Winter	44.5 (39.0, 50.9) n = 59	28.5 (23.7, 34.4)  n = 54	-36 (-49, -20)	-36 (-49, -20)	
Spring	22.6 (19.3, 26.5) n = 47	15.6 (13.6, 17.9) n = 64	-31 (-44, -15)	-35 (-48, -19)	
Summer	11.7 (10.5, 13.1) n = 53	9.5 (8.4, 10.8) n = 51	-19(-31,-4)	-18 (-30, -4)	
Fall	28.3 (23.9, 33.5) n = 53	20 (17.5, 22.8) n = 66	-29 (-43, -13)	-31 (-43, -18)	
Window opening in winter (Dec-Feb)					
Open < half the month	46.9 (38.6, 57.1) n = 34	25.7 (18.9, 35.0) n = 29	-45 (-61, -22)	-45 (-61, -22)	
Open ≥ half the month	40.8 (34.0, 49.0) n = 24	32.2 (26.4, 39.3) n = 25	-21 (-39, 3)	-23 (-4, 10)	
Lived with a smoker at any time in pregnancy					
No	22.8 (19.8, 26.3) n = 98	16.0 (14.2, 18.2) n = 120	-31 (-42, -19)	-26 (-37, -13)	
Yes	26.0(22.7, 29.8) n = 111	18.8 (16.6, 21.2) n = 112	-28 (-39, -16)	-29 (-40, -17)	
Smoking occurred in the home at any time in pregnancy					
No	24.2 (21.5, 27.2) n = 144	16.7 (15.0, 18.6) n = 155	-31 (-41, -19)	-29 (-38, -19)	
Yes	25.2 (21.2, 29.9) n = 65	18.4 (16.0, 21.2) n = 80	-32 (-44, -17)	-33 (-45, -19)	

<sup>a</sup> Percent reduction comparing one-week indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in intervention to control apartments, except for analyses of number of air cleaners which compares indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in apartments with one and two air cleaners against apartments with no air cleaners.

<sup>b</sup> 3.0 air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup> was the geometric mean air density calculated for intervention apartments.

<sup>c</sup> 63% was the geometric mean air cleaner use reported by participants.

# 4. Discussion

In this relatively large randomized controlled trial we assessed the impact of HEPA filter air cleaners on indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  and blood cadmium concentrations among pregnant women in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. Air cleaners reduced one-week indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations by 29% (95% CI: 21, 37%) and blood cadmium concentrations by 14% (95% CI: 4, 23%). Larger  $PM_{2.5}$  reductions were seen for the first measurement (40%, 95% CI: 31, 48%), when the air cleaners were newly deployed, compared with the second measurement (15%, 95% CI: 0, 27%), which was made after roughly five months of air cleaner use. We found strong correlations between indoor and outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$ , indicating that outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  contributed substantially to indoor concentrations. Since filter effectiveness followed the same seasonal pattern as outdoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations, the impact of the intervention on indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations was extraordinarily large in the winter months, when the geometric mean was reduced from 45 to 29  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Apartments with two air

cleaners experienced larger reductions in indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  than apartments with one air cleaner; in contrast, we did not observe differences in HEPA cleaner effectiveness by the density of air cleaners (number of air cleaners/100 m<sup>2</sup>). No differences in effectiveness were found based on reported air cleaner use, which was crudely assessed from a question about overall use and was not based specifically on the periods of air pollution monitoring.

The reductions in residential indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  in our study are consistent with findings reported by other studies evaluating portable air cleaner use in residential settings (Kajbafzadeh et al., 2015; Batterman et al., 2012; Allen et al., 2011; Butz et al., 2011; Lanphear et al., 2011; Barn et al., 2008; Brauner et al., 2008; McNamara et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2015). Only one study has been conducted in a similarly highly polluted setting. Chen et al. (2015) evaluated the use of portable electrostatic precipitator air cleaners in 10 university dormitory rooms in Shanghai, China. The authors reported a 57% reduction in indoor  $PM_{2.5}$ , with mean (SD) concentrations decreasing from 96.2 (25.8) µg/m<sup>3</sup> during a



Fig. 3. Distribution of one-week indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in control and intervention homes stratified by season and measurement (the first measurement was made when air cleaners were newly deployed and the second measurement was made after approximately five months of use).

48-hour control period with sham filtration to 41.3 (17.6)  $\mu g/m^3$  during a 48-hour period with active filtration (Chen et al., 2015). Four US-based randomized controlled trials evaluated the use of portable air cleaners over six to 12 months in homes (Batterman et al., 2012; Butz et al., 2011; Lanphear et al., 2011; McNamara et al., 2017). Authors reported mean reductions in  $PM_{2.5}$  or particle counts (>0.3 µm) of 32–66% (see Supplemental file 6). In the only study to assess changes in air cleaner effectiveness over time, Lanphear et al. (2011) reported decreases in particle count concentrations (>0.3 µm) of 46% in intervention apartments compared with control apartments after six months of air cleaner use, and a 32% reduction after 12 months of use (n = 225) (Lanphear et al., 2011). In contrast, we saw greater decreases in effectiveness over the roughly five months between air pollution measurements in our study. This larger decrease may have been due to more rapid overloading of HEPA filters in this high pollution setting or lower compliance to the intervention.

Overall, participants reported using air cleaners for 64% of the study period. Although we did not systematically evaluate the reasons that participants shut off the air cleaners, anecdotal reports from participants revealed concerns about noise and electricity costs. For example, some participants reported consistently turning air cleaners off at night to minimize noise. Studies measuring compliance to air cleaner use have previously reported that participants used air cleaners approximately 34 to 79% of the time during study periods ranging from one to 12 months (Batterman et al., 2012; Butz et al., 2011; McNamara et al., 2017; Ward et al., 2017). Batterman et al. (2012) also looked at changes in compliance over time. The authors conducted one-week indoor air quality monitoring in apartments for 3-4 consecutive seasons, with air cleaner use being monitored throughout this period (Batterman et al., 2012). Air cleaner use declined from a mean (SD) of 84% (24) during the first indoor air quality measurement to 63% (33) when indoor air quality measurements were collected in subsequent seasons. Compliance was lowest during periods outside of when indoor air quality measurements were taken, with a mean use of 34% (30) (Batterman et al., 2012). Similar to our study, Ward et al. (2017) reported no relationship between air cleaner effectiveness and compliance, which was assessed by comparing expected and measured energy consumption for air cleaner units during the study period (Ward et al., 2017).

Air cleaners reduced average blood cadmium concentrations by 14%. A reduction in cadmium exposures, even from low levels, could have important public health implications (Järup and Åkesson, 2009). Cadmium is a known human carcinogen and has also been linked with adverse cardiovascular and kidney effects (Järup and Åkesson, 2009; International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2012). Among pregnant women, blood cadmium concentrations have been linked to impaired fetal growth, as indicated by small for gestational age (Wang et al., 2016; Johnston et al., 2014) and reduced birth weight (Salpietro et al., 2002). Tobacco smoke exposures have been reported to be the greatest contributor to blood and urinary cadmium levels among smokers (Garner and Levallois, 2016). Similarly, among pregnant women, elevated blood cadmium levels have been reported among those who were active smokers or exposed to SHS (Edwards et al., 2015; Hinwood et al., 2013; Hansen, 2011). Although blood cadmium concentrations cannot definitively be linked to SHS exposures, we found three pieces of compelling evidence to suggest that SHS exposure was an important source of cadmium in our population. First, we found higher blood cadmium concentrations among participants who reported living with smokers as well as among those living in homes where smoking occurred indoors, compared with those in non-smoking households. Second, we found higher correlations between blood cadmium and hair nicotine concentrations among participants who lived with smokers (r = 0.29) compared with those who did not (r = 0.10). Finally, we found lower blood cadmium concentrations among intervention participants, suggesting that airborne exposures were lower in this group. Other sources of airborne cadmium, including coal combustion, may have also contributed to blood cadmium exposures (Song, 2008). Our finding that air cleaner use decreased SHS exposure differs from previous studies. Lanphear et al. (2011) and Butz et al. (2011) reported no changes in hair, serum or urinary cotinine concentrations comparing intervention and control participants, or pre- and post-intervention levels, among children using portable HEPA filter air cleaners for six to 12 months (Butz et al., 2011; Lanphear et al., 2011).

In our study, geometric mean blood cadmium and hair nicotine concentrations found among participants with and without SHS exposures ranged from 0.20-0.23 µg/L and 0.10-0.33 ng/mg, respectively, which are relatively low compared with previously reported values among pregnant women. In a review of 24 studies assessing blood cadmium concentrations among pregnant women, Taylor et al. (2014) reported mean and median blood cadmium concentrations ranging from 0.09 to 2.26 µg/L among populations in several countries, including Poland, Russia, South Africa, Egypt, India, Norway, France, United States and China (Taylor et al., 2014). Few guidelines or levels of concern exist for blood cadmium. In Germany, a guideline of 1 µg/L has been established for the general public, which includes non-smoking adults aged 18-69 years (Taylor et al., 2014). Similarly, hair nicotine concentrations in our study population were substantially lower than concentrations reported among pregnant women living with partners who smoke (0.51 to 3.18 ng/mg) (Yoo et al., 2010; Seong et al., 2008).

Our findings suggest that portable HEPA filter air cleaners are an effective household level intervention to reduce PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The situation in Ulaanbaatar is similar to many other rapidly growing cities, where already dramatically high pollution concentrations are expected to increase, and strategies to effectively manage air quality will take years or decades to implement (Ochir and Smith, 2014). Proposed strategies in Ulaanbaatar have included dissemination of cleaner-burning coal stoves and use of cleaner-burning fuels in ger households, as well as improved emission controls for coal-fired power plants (Ochir and Smith, 2014). Cigarette smoke is the most important indoor source of  $PM_{25}$  in non-ger households in Ulaanbaatar (Ochir and Smith, 2014). Nearly 40% of Mongolian men smoke (Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD), 2015), consistent with our finding that half of UGAAR participants lived with a smoker and 34% lived with someone who smoked inside the home. Portable air cleaners show promise because they are easy to operate and reduce concentrations inside residences, where individuals spend the largest portion of time. The costs, which include an initial purchase price typically starting at \$200-300US (California Environmental Protection Agency Air Resources Board, n.d.; Fisk and Chan, 2016), as well as maintenance and operation costs, will be prohibitive for some. In addition, air cleaners must be appropriately sized for the volume of the home and the air exchange rate, and may not be a viable intervention in situations when windows are frequently opened or residences are not tightly sealed. This is consistent with our finding that in winter months air cleaners were more effective when windows were kept closed.

Some important limitations of our study should be noted. First, participants were not blinded to the intervention. Previous air cleaner studies have used sham filtration to blind participants to their intervention status, but instead of purchasing sham air cleaners we chose to recruit a larger number of participants and deploy two air cleaners in larger apartments. Moreover, our exposure measures were objective, which should minimize potential bias resulting from the lack of blinding. Another limitation of our study is that we did not replace HEPA filters during the study period, which has been done by others assessing long-term air cleaner use and performance (Batterman et al., 2012). We chose not to replace filters in our study period to assess air cleaner efficacy under more "real world" conditions and to minimize logistical challenges. Although we collected information on air cleaner use via questionnaires and internal timers, data from timers were flawed and did not allow us to assess how air cleaner use changed over time. We also did not assess air cleaner use in gers, where the highest exposures in Ulaanbaatar occur and where exposure reduction is needed most (Ochir and Smith, 2014), because we wanted to minimize the influence of indoor generated PM<sub>2.5</sub> in our assessment, and due to concerns about the lack of reliable electricity. Consequently, our findings on air cleaner effectiveness are likely not generalizable to ger households. Finally, we approximated PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations using the Dylos, a low-cost optical particle counter. Extensive quality control and data cleaning steps identified several instruments that provided unreliable data, which resulted in a large fraction of data being removed prior to analysis. Despite these data losses, our analysis made use of an extraordinarily large dataset (447 one-week indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration measurements in 342 apartments). Consistent with several previous studies, we found excellent agreement ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ) between Dylos particle counts and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations measured gravimetrically (Northcross et al., 2013; Semple et al., 2015; Steinle et al., 2013; Hyder et al., 2014; Klepeis et al., 2013).

#### 5. Conclusions

In this randomized controlled trial, we found that air cleaners substantially reduced indoor  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and SHS exposures as measured by blood cadmium among a group of pregnant women in a highly-polluted city. Our findings suggest that portable air cleaners are a useful household level intervention that can help reduce  $PM_{2.5}$  exposures during pregnancy and other critical time periods.

# Abbreviations

MS gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
geometric mean
global positioning system
high efficiency particulate air
inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
particulate matter
fine particulate matter
limit of quantification
relative humidity
second hand smoke
tapered element oscillating microbalance
Ulaanbaatar Gestation and Air Pollution Research

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Simon Fraser University Office of Research Ethics (2013s0016) and the Mongolian Ministry of Health Medical Ethics Approval Committee (No.7). Written consent was obtained from participants prior to their enrollment into the study.

#### **Competing interests**

Ryan W. Allen has received in-kind research support in the form of discounted air purifiers from Woongjin-Coway, but the company has had no role in study design, analysis or interpretation. All other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interest.

#### Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to the participants who took part in the UGAAR study, as well as to all study staff. We are also grateful for support provided by the School of Public Health and the Environmental and Occupational Hygiene Laboratory at the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences. This study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MOP 142380). Analysis of nicotine in hair samples was supported with a Mowafaghian Child Health Faculty Award from the Simon Fraser University Faculty of Health Sciences. Hair samples were analyzed for nicotine by the Clinical Pharmacology Laboratory at the University of California at San Francisco.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.291.

#### References

- Al-Delaimy, W.K., 2002. Hair as a biomarker for exposure to tobacco smoke. Tob. Control. 11 (3):176–182. https://doi.org/10.1136/tc.11.3.176.
- Allen, R.W., Carlsten, C., Karlen, B., Leckie, S., Eeden, Sv, Vedal, S., Wong, I., Brauer, M., 2011. An air filter intervention study of endothelial function among healthy adults in a woodsmoke-impacted community. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 183 (9): 1222–1230. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201010-15720C.
- Allen, R.W., Adar, S.D., Avol, E., Cohen, M., Curl, C.L., Larson, T., Liu, L.J.S., Sheppard, L., Kaufman, J.D., 2012. Modeling the residential infiltration of outdoor PM(2.5) in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). Environ. Health Perspect. 120 (6):824–830. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104447.
- Allen, R.W., Gombojav, E., Barkhasragchaa, B., Byambaa, T., Lkhasuren, O., Amram, O., Takaro, T.K., Janes, C.R., 2013. An assessment of air pollution and its attributable mortality in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. Air Qual. Atmos. Health 6 (1):137–150. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11869-011-0154-3.
- Barker, D.J., 2006. Adult consequences of fetal growth restriction. Clin. Obstet. Gynecol. 49 (2), 270–283.
- Barn, P., Larson, T., Noullett, M., Kennedy, S., Copes, R., Brauer, M., 2008. Infiltration of forest fire and residential wood smoke: an evaluation of air cleaner effectiveness. J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 18 (5):503–511. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jes.7500640.
- Batterman, S., Du, L., Mentz, G., Mukherjee, B., Parker, E., Godwin, C., Chin, J.Y., O'Toole, A., Robins, T., Rowe, Z., et al., 2012. Particulate matter concentrations in residences: an intervention study evaluating stand-alone filters and air conditioners. Indoor Air 22 (3):235–252. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2011.00761.x.
- Bernhoft, R.A., 2013. Cadmium toxicity and treatment. Sci. World J. 2013:7. https:// doi.org/10.1155/2013/394652.
- Brauer, M., Freedman, G., Frostad, J., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R.V., Dentener, F., Dingenen, Rv, Estep, K., Amini, H., Apte, J.S., et al., 2016. Ambient air pollution exposure estimation for the Global Burden of Disease 2013. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 (1): 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03709.
- Brauner, E.V., Forchhammer, L., Moller, P., Barregard, L., Gunnarsen, L., Afshari, A., Wahlin, P., Glasius, M., Dragsted, L.O., Basu, S., et al., 2008. Indoor particles affect vascular function in the aged: an air filtration-based intervention study. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 177 (4):419–425. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200704-6320C.
- Butz, A.M., Matsui, E.C., Breysse, P., et al., 2011. A randomized trial of air cleaners and a health coach to improve indoor air quality for inner-city children with asthma and secondhand smoke exposure. Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med. 165 (8):741–748. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.111.
- California Environmental Protection Agency Air Resources Board, Air cleaning devices for the home. https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/indoor/acdsumm.pdf.
- Chen, R., Zhao, A., Chen, H., Zhao, Z., Cai, J., Wang, C., Yang, C., Li, H., Xu, X., Ha, S., et al., 2015. Cardiopulmonary benefits of reducing indoor particles of outdoor origin: a randomized double-blind crossover trial of air purifiers. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 65 (21): 2279–2287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.553.
- Clark, N.A., Allen, R.W., Hystad, P., Wallace, L., Dell, S.D., Foty, R., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E., Evans, G., Wheeler, A.J., 2010. Exploring variation and predictors of residential fine particulate matter infiltration. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 7 (8):3211–3224. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7083211.
- Cohen, A.J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H.R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., et al., 2017. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. Lancet 389 (10082): 1907–1918. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6.
- Edwards, S.E., Maxson, P., Miranda, M.L., Fry, R.C., 2015. Cadmium levels in a North Carolina cohort: identifying risk factors for elevated levels during pregnancy. J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 25 (4):427–432. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2014.53.
- Fenger, J., 1999. Urban air quality. Atmos. Environ. 33 (29):4877–4900. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00290-3.
- Fisk, W.J., 2013. Health benefits of particle filtration. Indoor Air 23 (5):357–368. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ina.12036.
- Fisk, W.J., Chan, W.R., 2016. Health benefits and costs of filtration interventions that reduce indoor exposure to PM2.5 during wildfires. Indoor Air 27 (1):191–2014. https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12285.
- Fisk, W.J., Chan, W.R., 2017. Effectiveness and cost of reducing particle-related mortality with particle filtration. Indoor Air [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12371.
- Forouzanfar, M.H., Afshin, A., Alexander, L.T., Anderson, H.R., Bhutta, Z.A., Biryukov, S., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Cercy, K., Charlson, F.J., et al., 2016. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 388 (10053):1659–1724. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31679-8.
- Garner, R., Levallois, P., 2016. Cadmium levels and sources of exposure among Canadian adults. Health Rep. 27 (2), 10–18.
- Gauderman, W.J., Urman, R., Avol, E., Berhane, K., McConnell, R., Rappaport, E., Chang, R., Lurmann, F., Gilliland, F., 2015. Association of improved air quality with lung development in children. N. Engl. J. Med. 372 (10):905–913. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1414123.
- Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD), 2015. Smoking prevalence 1980–2015. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/global-burden-disease-study-2015-gbd-2015-smoking-prevalence-1980-2015.
- Gluckman, P.D., Hanson, M.A., Cooper, C., Thornburg, K.L., 2008. Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 359 (1):61–73. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0708473.
- Guttikunda, S., 2007. Urban Air Pollution Analysis for Ulaanbaatar. The World Bank Consultation Report, Washington, DC http://www.forum.mn/res\_mat/res\_mat-12.pdf.

- Hansen, S.S., 2011. Changes in maternal blood concentrations of selected essential and toxic elements during and after pregnancy. J. Environ. Monit. 13 (8):2143–2152. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1em10051c.
- Hinwood, A.L., Callan, A.C., Ramalingam, M., Boyce, M., Heyworth, J., McCafferty, P., Odland, J.O., 2013. Cadmium, lead and mercury exposure in non-smoking pregnant women. Environ. Res. 126:118–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2013.07.005.
- Hornung, R.W., Reed, L.D., 1990. Estimation of average concentration in the presence of nondetectable values. Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 5 (1):46–51. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1047322X.1990.10389587.
- Hyder, A., Lee, H.J., Ebisu, K., Koutrakis, P., Belanger, K., Bell, M.L., 2014. PM2.5 exposure and birth outcomes: use of satellite- and monitor-based data. Epidemiology 25 (1): 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1097/ede.00000000000027.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2012. Cadmium and cadmium compounds. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans https:// monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/mono100C-8.pdf.
- Järup, L., Åkesson, A., 2009. Current status of cadmium as an environmental health problem. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 238 (3):201–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2009.04.020.
- Johnston, J.E., Valentiner, E., Maxson, P., Miranda, M.L., Fry, R.C., 2014. Maternal cadmium levels during pregnancy associated with lower birth weight in infants in a North Carolina cohort. PLoS One 9 (10), e109661. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109661.
- Kajbafzadeh, M., Brauer, M., Karlen, B., Carlsten, C., van Eeden, S., Allen, R.W., 2015. The impacts of traffic-related and woodsmoke particulate matter on measures of cardiovascular health: a HEPA filter intervention study. Occup. Environ. Med. 72 (6): 394–400. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2014-102696.
- Kamata, T., Reichert, J., Tsevegmid, T., Kim, Y., Sedgewick, B., 2010. Mongolia Enhancing Policies and Practices for Ger Area Development in Ulaanbaatar Washington, DC. World Bank http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2010/01/14730845/mongolia-enhancing-policies-practices-ger-area-development-ulaanbaatar.
- Klepeis, N.E., Hughes, S.C., Edwards, R.D., Allen, T., Johnson, M., Chowdhury, Z., Smith, K.R., Boman-Davis, M., Bellettiere, J., Hovell, M.F., 2013. Promoting smoke-free homes: a novel behavioral intervention using real-time audio-visual feedback on airborne particle levels. PLoS One 8 (8), e73251. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073251.
- Lanphear, B.P., Hornung, R.W., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Lierl, M., Kalkbrenner, A., 2011. Effects of HEPA air cleaners on unscheduled asthma visits and asthma symptoms for children exposed to secondhand tobacco smoke. Pediatrics 127 (1):93–101. https:// doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-2312.
- Leech, J.A., Nelson, W.C., Burnett, R.T., Aaron, S., Raizenne, M.E., 2002. It's about time: a comparison of Canadian and American time-activity patterns. J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 12 (6), 427–432.
- McIntire, D.D., Bloom, S.L., Casey, B.M., Leveno, K.J., 1999. Birth weight in relation to morbidity and mortality among newborn infants. N. Engl. J. Med. 340 (16):1234–1238. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199904223401603.
- McNamara, M.L., Thornburg, J., Semmens, E.O., Ward, T.J., Noonan, C.W., 2017. Reducing indoor air pollutants with air filtration units in wood stove homes. Sci. Total Environ. 592:488–494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.111.
- Mongolian Statistical Information Service, Statistical information. http://www.1212.mn/ en/.
- Northcross, A.L., Edwards, R.J., Johnson, M.A., Wang, Z.-M., Zhu, K., Allen, T., Smith, K.R., 2013. A low-cost particle counter as a realtime fine-particle mass monitor. Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts 15 (2):433–439. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2em30568b.
- Ochir, C., Smith, K.R., 2014. Air Pollution and Health in Ulaanbaatar. Edited by Prepared for the Ministry of the Environment and Green Development Ulaanbaatar M. http:// ehsdiv.sph.berkeley.edu/krsmith/publications/2014/UB%20Final%20Report%20-% 20July%2010.pdf.
- Palmer, C.D., Lewis Jr., M.E., Geraghty, C.M., Barbosa Jr., F., Parsons, P.J., 2006. Determination of lead, cadmium and mercury in blood for assessment of environmental exposure: A comparison between inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry and atomic absorption spectrometry. Spectrochim. Acta B At. Spectrosc. 61 (8): 980–990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2006.09.001.
- Pope, C.A., Ezzati, M., Dockery, D.W., 2009. Fine particulate air pollution and US county life expectancies. N. Engl. J. Med. 360 (4):376–386. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMsa0805646.
- Reitsma, M.B., Fullman, N., Ng, M., Salama, J.S., Abajobir, A., Abate, K.H., Abbafati, C., Abera, S.F., Abraham, B., Abyu, G.Y., et al., 2017. Smoking prevalence and attributable disease burden in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30819-X.
- Salpietro, C.D., Gangemi, S., Minciullo, P.L., Briuglia, S., Merlino, M.V., Stelitano, A., Cristani, M., Trombetta, D., Saija, A., 2002. Cadmium concentration in maternal and cord blood and infant birth weight: a study on healthy non-smoking women. J. Perinat. Med. 30 (5):395–399. https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm.2002.061.
- Semple, S., Apsley, A., MacCalman, L., 2013. An inexpensive particle monitor for smoker behaviour modification in homes. Tob. Control. 22 (5):295–298. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050401.
- Semple, S., Ibrahim, A.E., Apsley, A., Steiner, M., Turner, S., 2015. Using a new, low-cost air quality sensor to quantify second-hand smoke (SHS) levels in homes. Tob. Control. 24 (2):153–158. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051188.
- Seong, M.W., Hwang, J.H., Moon, J.S., Ryu, H.J., Kong, S.Y., Um, T.H., Park, J.G., Lee, D.H., 2008. Neonatal hair nicotine levels and fetal exposure to paternal smoking at home. Am. J. Epidemiol. 168 (10):1140–1144. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn231.
- Song, D., 2008. Contents and occurrence of radmium in the coals from Guizhou Province, China. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1140, 274–281.
- Steinle, S., Reis, S., Sabel, C.E., Semple, S., Twigg, M.M., Braban, C.F., Leeson, S.R., Heal, M.R., Harrison, D., Lin, C., et al., 2015. Personal exposure monitoring of PM2.5 in indoor and outdoor microenvironments. Sci. Total Environ. 508:383–394. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.003.

- Stieb, D.M., 2015. Estimated public health impacts of changes in concentrations of fine particle air pollution in Canada, 2000 to 2011. Can. J. Public Health 106 (6), e362–368.
- Taylor, C.M., Golding, J., Emond, A.M., 2014. Lead, cadmium and mercury levels in pregnancy: the need for international consensus on levels of concern. J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis, 5 (01):16–30. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174413000500.
- The World Bank Group, 2013. Mongolia Heating Stove Market Trends in Poor, Peri-urban Ger Areas of Ulaanbaatar and Selected Markets Outside Ulaanbaatar: Stocktaking Report of the Mongolia Clean Stoves Initiative. http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/388091468323667110/pdf/870520WPOclean00Box385185B00PUBLIC0.pdf.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., 2014. https://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si\_ public\_record\_report.cfm?dirEntryId=297517.
- Wang, H., Liu, L., Y-F, Hu, Hao, J.-H., Chen, Y.-H., P-Y, Su, Fu, L., Yu, Z., Zhang, G.-B., Wang, L., et al., 2016. Maternal serum cadmium level during pregnancy and its association with

small for gestational age infants: a population-based birth cohort study. Sci Rep 6: 22631. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22631.

- Ward, T.J., Semmens, E.O., Weiler, E., Harrar, S., Noonan, C.W., 2017. Efficacy of interventions targeting household air pollution from residential wood stoves. J. Expos. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 27 (1):64–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.73.
- Xu, C.C., 2016. Investigation and modeling of the residential infiltration of fine particulate matter in Beijing. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.:1–8 https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10962247.2016.1272503.
- Yoo, S.H., Paek, Y.J., Kim, S.S., Lee, D.H., Seo, D.K., Seong, M.W., Chang, H.M., Choi, S.T., Im, H.J., 2010. Hair nicotine levels in non-smoking pregnant women whose spouses smoke outside of the home. Tob. Control. 19 (4):318–324. https://doi.org/10.1136/ tc.2009.033134.