

INCIDENCE OF CITRININ IN THE BELGIAN FEED CHAIN AND ITS TOXICOKINETIC PROFILE IN BROILER CHICKENS

C. MEERPOEL^{1,2}, A. VIDAL², B. HUYBRECHTS³, E. TANGNI³, S. DE SAEGER², M. DEVREESE¹ AND S. CROUBELS¹

¹ Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

² Department of Bioanalysis, Centre of Excellence in Mycotoxicology and Public Health, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

³ Chemical and Physical Health Risks, Organic Contaminants and Additives, Sciensano, Tervuren, Belgium

* Corresponding author: Celine.Meerpoel@UGent.be

INTRODUCTION

- A scientific opinion on CITRININ (CIT) published by EFSA¹ emphasized that
 - additional quantitative occurrence and toxicity data is needed;
 - the impact of uncertainties on the risk assessment is large;
 - more data regarding the toxicity and the occurrence of CIT in food and feed are needed to enable refinement.
- In Belgium, a risk assessment will be performed in order to set legal limits for this toxin, by using collected occurrence and toxicity data of CIT.

OBJECTIVES

- To collect occurrence data of CIT in feed in Belgium
 - an LC-MS/MS method was developed for analysis of CIT in feed (pig and chicken);
 - this validated method was applied on 90 Belgian feedstuffs.
- To collect toxicokinetic data of CIT and its metabolite dihydrocitrinone (HO-CIT) in broiler chickens
 - an LC-MS/MS method was developed for analysis of CIT and HO-CIT in chicken plasma;
 - a pilot toxicokinetic study was performed on 1 broiler chicken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples

FEED

A total of 90 feed samples (broiler chicken and pig feed) were obtained from different Belgian feed producing companies from March 2017 until June 2017.

CHICKEN PLASMA

A dose of 0.25 mg/kg body weight of CIT (in physiological saline) was administered intravenously to 1 broiler chicken (Ross 308, 4 weeks old, ♂). Blood (200 µL, leg vein) was collected at 0, 0.08, 0.16, 0.33, 0.66, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 24, 48 and 72 h after administration.

The trial was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Faculties of Bioscience Engineering and Veterinary Medicine from Ghent University (case no. EC 2017/105).

Sample pretreatment




Fig 1: Overview sample preparation for extraction of CIT in feed and chicken plasma

RESULTS

Method validation CIT/HO-CIT in feed and chicken plasma

Table 1: Method performance parameters of the developed LC-MS/MS method for analysis of CIT/HO-CIT in animal feed and chicken plasma.

	FEED		CHICKEN PLASMA	
	CIT	CIT	CIT	HO-CIT
Range	1 – 250 µg/kg	0.1 – 100 ng/mL	0.1 – 100 ng/mL	0.1 – 100 ng/mL
Apparent recovery	80-107%	80-107%	80-107%	80-110%
RSD _R	<5 %	<20 %	<20 %	<20 %
LOD	0.5 µg/kg	0.05 ng/mL	0.05 ng/mL	0.05 ng/mL
LOQ	1 µg/kg	0.1 ng/mL	0.1 ng/mL	0.1 ng/mL

Occurrence CIT in FEED

Table 2: Occurrence of CIT in Belgian chicken and pig feed. Concentrations are shown with respect to their measurement uncertainty. *Of samples above LOQ (1 µg/kg)

Feed	Toxin	% Positive samples	Average concentration* (µg/kg)	Max concentration (µg/kg)
Chicken (n = 38)	CIT	45%	1.95 ± 0.46	3.9 ± 0.92
Pig (n = 52)	CIT	51%	1.58 ± 0.37	3.70 ± 0.87

CIT was detected in about half of the sampled feedstuffs (Table 2).

Preliminary results toxicokinetic profile CIT in chicken plasma




Fig 2: Plasma concentration–time profile of CIT and HO-CIT after single intravenous administration of 0.25 mg CIT/kg body weight to broiler chicken (n=1 ♂). The inserts are the chemical structures of (A) CIT and (B) HO-CIT.

Compared to Devreese et al¹. (2018), the preliminary elimination rate constant (K_{el}) of CIT in broiler chickens is in line with the K_{el} of ochratoxin A after IV administration of 0.25 mg/kg body weight (Table 3). More data from a toxicokinetic study with a sufficient number of animals (n = 8) should confirm this.

Table 3: Comparison of toxicokinetic parameters of CIT and OTA¹ after intravenous administration of 0,25 mg/kg body weight to broiler chickens (CIT: n = 1 ♂/OTA: n = 4 ♀/ 4 ♂).

	C ₀ (ng/L)	AUC _{0-72h} (h*ng/L)	K _{el} (1/h)	T _{1/2el} (h)	V _d (L/kg)	Cl (L/h/kg)
OTA	910.52 ± 627.31	335.57 ± 79.30	0.045 ± 0.021	23.95 ± 15.27	19.85 ± 11.74	0.61 ± 0.12
CIT	434.69	1920.80	0.04	17.68	3.32	0.13

CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

- CIT frequently occurs in Belgian feed, although in low concentration levels
- Limited data is available concerning its toxicity and toxicokinetics.
- Hence, further research is needed.

Toxicokinetic study: Toxicokinetic parameters?
Steady-state study: Carry-over to edible tissues? (Fig. 3)
Post-mortem evaluation: Organ damage?
MetID (HRMS): CIT phase I and phase II metabolites




Fig. 3: Presentation of experimental set-up for carry-over study

Contact

celine.meerpoel@ugent.be
www.vetftb.ugent.be

Universiteit Gent

@ugent

Ghent University

The research was funded by the Belgian Federal Public Service of Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment through the contract RT 16/6308 CITRIRISK.

¹M. Devreese, S. Croubels, S. De Baere, R. Gehring, G. Antonissen, Comparative Toxicokinetics and Plasma Protein Binding of Ochratoxin A in Four Avian Species, J. Agric. Food Chem. 66 (2018) 2129–2135.