


# The Cover Time of a Biased Random Walk on a Random Regular Graph of Odd Degree

Tony Johansson<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

tony.johansson@math.uu.se

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9264-3462>

## Abstract

We consider a random walk process, introduced by Orenshtein and Shinkar [10], which prefers to visit previously unvisited edges, on the random  $r$ -regular graph  $G_r$  for any odd  $r \geq 3$ . We show that this random walk process has asymptotic vertex and edge cover times  $\frac{1}{r-2}n \log n$  and  $\frac{r}{2(r-2)}n \log n$ , respectively, generalizing the result from [7] from  $r = 3$  to any larger odd  $r$ . This completes the study of the vertex cover time for fixed  $r \geq 3$ , with [3] having previously shown that  $G_r$  has vertex cover time asymptotic to  $\frac{rn}{2}$  when  $r \geq 4$  is even.

**2012 ACM Subject Classification** Theory of computation → Random walks and Markov chains

**Keywords and phrases** Random walk, random regular graph, cover time

**Digital Object Identifier** 10.4230/LIPIcs.APPROX-RANDOM.2018.45

## 1 Introduction

We consider a biased random walk on the random  $r$ -regular  $n$ -vertex graph  $G_r$  for any odd fixed  $r \geq 5$ , i.e. a graph chosen uniformly at random from the set of  $r$ -regular graph on an even number  $n$  of vertices. In short, this is a random walk which chooses a previously unvisited edge whenever possible, see Section 2 for a precise definition. This process was introduced by Orenshtein and Shinkar [10]. In [7] it is shown that with high probability,  $G_3$  is such that the expected vertex cover time  $C_V^b(G_3)$  and expected edge cover time  $C_E^b(G_3)$  of the biased random walk satisfy<sup>2</sup>

$$C_V^b(G_3) \sim n \log n, \quad C_E^b(G_3) \sim \frac{3}{2}n \log n.$$

We generalize this result as follows.

► **Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $r \geq 3$  is odd, and let  $G_r$  be chosen uniformly at random from the set of  $r$ -regular graphs on  $n$  vertices. Then with high probability,  $G_r$  is such that*

$$C_V^b(G_r) \sim \frac{1}{r-2}n \log n, \quad C_E^b(G_r) \sim \frac{r}{2(r-2)}n \log n.$$

With this the asymptotic leading term of  $C_V^b(G_r)$  is known for all  $r \geq 3$ , with Berenbrink, Cooper and Friedetzky [3] having previously shown that  $C_V^b(G_r) \sim \frac{rn}{2}$  for any even  $r \geq 4$ . They also showed that for even  $r$ ,  $C_E^b(G_r) = O(\omega n)$  for any  $\omega$  tending to infinity with  $n$ , with the  $\omega$  factor owing to the w.h.p.<sup>3</sup> existence of cycles of length up to  $\omega$ .

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> We say that  $a_n \sim b_n$  if  $\lim a_n/b_n = 1$ .

<sup>3</sup> An event  $\mathcal{E}$  holds *with high probability* (w.h.p.) if  $\Pr\{\mathcal{E}\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .



© Tony Johansson;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM 2018).

Editors: Eric Blais, Klaus Jansen, José D. P. Rolim, and David Steurer; Article No. 45; pp. 45:1–45:14



Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICs Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

Cooper and Frieze [5] considered the simple random walk on  $G_r$ , showing that for any  $r \geq 3$ ,  $C_V^s(G_r) \sim \frac{r-1}{r-2} n \log n$  and  $C_E^s(G_r) \sim \frac{r(r-1)}{2(r-2)} n \log n$ , and we see that the biased random walk speeds up the cover time by a factor of  $1/(r-1)$  for odd  $r$ . Cooper and Frieze [6] also consider the non-backtracking random walk, i.e. the walk which at no point reuses the edge used in the previous step, showing that  $C_V^{nb}(G_r) \sim n \log n$  and  $C_E^{nb}(G_r) \sim \frac{r}{2} n \log n$ . Here, the biased random walk gains a factor of  $1/(r-2)$  for odd  $r$ .

Theorem 1 will follow from the following theorem. For a graph  $G$  let  $C_V^b(G; s)$  ( $C_E^b(G; t)$ ) denote the expected time taken for the biased random walk to visit  $s$  vertices ( $t$  edges) of  $G$ . Note that  $C^b(G; \cdot)$  is defined as an expectation over the space of random walks on the fixed graph  $G$ , and that  $\mathbb{E}(C^b(G_r; \cdot))$  takes the expectation of  $C^b(G; \cdot)$  when  $G$  is chosen uniformly at random from the set of  $r$ -regular graphs.

► **Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $r \geq 3$  is odd, and suppose  $G_r$  is chosen uniformly at random from the set of  $r$ -regular graphs on an even number  $n$  of vertices. Let  $n - n \log^{-1/2} n \leq s \leq n$  and  $(1 - \log^{-1/2} n) \frac{rn}{2} \leq t \leq rn/2$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(C_V^b(G_r; s)) = \frac{1 \pm \varepsilon}{r-2} n \log \left( \frac{n}{n-s+1} \right) + o(n \log n),$$

$$\mathbb{E}(C_E^b(G_r; t)) = \frac{r \pm \varepsilon}{2(r-2)} n \log \left( \frac{rn}{rn-2t+1} \right) + o(n \log n).$$

We take  $a = b \pm c$  to mean that  $b - c < a < b + c$ . The  $(1 - \log^{-1/2} n)$  factor in the lower bounds for  $s, t$  is a fairly arbitrary choice, and the proof here is valid for any  $(1 - 1/\omega)$  factor with  $\omega$  tending to infinity sufficiently slowly. The specific choice of  $\log^{-1/2} n$  is made to aid readability.

Applying Theorem 2 with  $s = n$  and  $t = rn/2$  gives  $\mathbb{E}(C_V^b(G_r)) \sim \frac{1}{r-2} n \log n$  and  $\mathbb{E}(C_E^b(G_r)) \sim \frac{r}{2(r-2)} n \log n$ . A little extra work is needed to conclude that w.h.p.  $G_r$  is such that  $C_V^b(G_r), C_E^b(G_r)$  have the same asymptotic values. We refer to the full paper version of [7], where this is done in detail.

## 2 Proof outline

The random  $r$ -regular graph  $G_r$  is chosen according to the *configuration model*, introduced by Bollobás [4]. Each vertex  $v \in [n]$  is associated with a set  $\mathcal{P}(v)$  of  $r$  *configuration points*, and we let  $\mathcal{P} = \cup_v \mathcal{P}(v)$ . We choose u.a.r. (*uniformly at random*) a perfect matching  $\mu$  of the points in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Each  $\mu$  induces a multigraph  $G$  on  $[n]$  in which  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$  if and only if  $\mu(x) \in \mathcal{P}(v)$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(u)$ , allowing parallel edges and self-loops. Any simple  $r$ -regular graph is equally likely to be chosen under this model.

We study a *biased random walk*. On a fixed graph  $G$ , this process is defined as follows. Initially, all edges are declared *unvisited*, and we choose a vertex  $v_0$  uniformly at random as the *active* vertex. At any point of the walk, the walk moves from the active vertex  $v$  along an edge chosen uniformly at random from the unvisited edges incident to  $v$ , after which the edge is permanently declared *visited*. If there are no unvisited edges incident to  $v$ , the walk moves along a visited edge chosen uniformly at random. The other endpoint of the chosen edge is declared active, and the process is repeated.

A biased random walk on the random  $r$ -regular graph can be seen as a random walk on the configuration model, where we expose  $\mu$  along with the walk as follows. Initially choosing some point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}$  u.a.r., we walk to  $x_1 = \mu(x_0)$ , chosen u.a.r. from  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{x_1\}$ . Suppose  $x_1 \in \mathcal{P}(v_1)$ . From  $x_1$  the walk moves to some unvisited  $x_2 \in \mathcal{P}(v_1)$ . In general, if

$W_k = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  then (i) if  $k$  is odd, the walk moves to  $x_{k+1} = \mu(x_k)$  (chosen u.a.r. from  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$  if  $x_k$  is previously unvisited), and (ii) if  $k$  is even, the walk moves from  $x_k \in \mathcal{P}(v_k)$  to  $x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}(v_k)$ , chosen u.a.r. from the unvisited points of  $\mathcal{P}(v_k)$  if such exist, otherwise chosen u.a.r. from all of  $\mathcal{P}(v_k)$ .

We define  $C(t)$  to be the number of steps taken immediately before the walk exposes its  $t$ th distinct edge. To be precise, if  $W_k = (x_0, \dots, x_k)$  denotes the walk after  $k$  steps, then

$$C(t) = \min\{k : |\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}| = 2t - 1\}.$$

Note that this set consists of exactly one  $k$ , as the walk will immediately go to  $x_{C(t)+1} = \mu(x_k)$ , which has not been visited before. We also let  $W(t) = W_{C(t)}$ . Note that  $C(t)$  is a random variable over the combined probability space of random graphs and random walks, as opposed to  $C_V^b(G_r)$  and  $C_E^b(G_r)$  which are variables over the space of random graphs only. We will show (Lemma 8) that if  $t_1 = (1 - \log^{-1/2} n) \frac{rn}{2}$  then

$$\mathbb{E}(C(t_1)) = o(n \log n),$$

which does not contribute significantly to the cover time. The main part of the proof is calculating  $\mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t))$  when  $t \geq t_1$ . We define the random graph  $G(t) \subseteq G_r$  as the graph spanned by the first  $t$  distinct edges visited by the walk. If, immediately after discovering its  $t$ th edge, the biased random walk inhabits a vertex incident to no unvisited edges, then a simple random walk commences on  $G(t)$ , and  $C(t+1) - C(t)$  is the number of steps taken for this random walk to hit a vertex incident to an unvisited edge.

We construct from  $G(t)$  a graph  $G^*(t)$  by contracting all vertices incident to at least one unvisited edge into one “supervertex”  $x$ . Thus, conditioning on  $W(t)$ , the graph  $G^*(t)$  is a fixed graph, i.e. one with no random edges. We will show that when  $t \geq t_1$ , w.h.p.  $x$  lies on “few” cycles of “short” length and has the appropriate number of self-loops (to be made precise in Section 4), which will imply that the expected hitting time of  $x$  for a simple random walk on  $G^*(t)$  is

$$\mathbb{E}(H(x)) \sim \frac{1}{r-2} \frac{rn}{rn-2t}.$$

The paper is laid out as follows. Sections 3, 4 and 5 respectively discuss properties of the random regular graph, hitting times of simple random walks, and a uniformity lemma for biased random walks, and may be read in any order. Section 6 contains the calculation of the cover time. Appendix A and B are devoted to bounding the sizes of certain sets appearing in the calculations.

### 3 Properties of $G_r$

Here we collect some properties of random  $r$ -regular graphs, chosen according to the configuration model.

► **Lemma 3.** *Let  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $G_r$  denote the random  $r$ -regular graph on vertex set  $[n]$ , chosen according to the configuration model. Let  $\omega$  tend to infinity arbitrarily slowly with  $n$ . Its value will always be small enough so that where necessary, it is dominated by other quantities that also go to infinity with  $n$ .*

- (i) *With high probability, the second largest in absolute value of the eigenvalues of the transition matrix for a simple random walk on  $G_r$  is at most 0.99.*
- (ii) *With high probability,  $G_r$  contains at most  $\omega r^\omega$  cycles of length at most  $\omega$ ,*
- (iii) *The probability that  $G_r$  is simple is  $\Omega(1)$ .*

Friedman [8] showed that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the second eigenvalue of the transition matrix is at most  $2\sqrt{r-1}/r + \varepsilon$  w.h.p., which gives (i). Property (ii) follows from the Markov inequality, given that the expected number of cycles of length  $k \leq \omega$  can be bounded by  $O(r^k)$ . For the proof of (iii) see Frieze and Karoński [9], Theorem 10.3. Note that (iii) implies that any property which holds w.h.p. for the configuration multigraph holds w.h.p. for simple  $r$ -regular graphs chosen uniformly at random.

Let  $G(t)$  denote the random graph formed by the edges visited by  $W(t)$ . Let  $X_i(t)$  denote the set of vertices incident to  $i$  unvisited edges in  $G(t)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $\bar{X}(t) = X_1(t) \cup \dots \cup X_r(t)$  denote the set of vertices incident to at least one unvisited edge. Let  $G^*(t)$  denote the graph obtained from  $G(t)$  by contracting the set  $\bar{X}(t)$  into a single vertex, retaining all edges. Define  $\lambda^*(t)$  to be the second largest eigenvalue of the transition matrix for a simple random walk on  $G^*(t)$ .

We note that by [2, Corollary 3.27], if  $\Gamma$  is a graph obtained from  $G$  by contracting a set of vertices, retaining all edges, then  $\lambda(\Gamma) \leq \lambda(G)$ . This implies that  $\lambda^*(t) = \lambda(G^*(t)) \leq \lambda(G) \leq 0.99$  for all  $t$ . Initially, for small  $t$ , we find that w.h.p.  $G^*(t)$  consists of a single vertex. In this case there is no second eigenvalue and we take  $\lambda^*(t) = 0$ . This is in line with the fact that a random walk on a one vertex graph is always in the steady state.

We define  $C(t)$  to be the number of steps the biased random walk takes to traverse  $t$  distinct edges of  $G_r$ . Of course, if  $G_r$  is disconnected and the random walk starts in a connected component of less than  $t$  edges, then  $C(t) = \infty$ . We resolve this by defining a stopping time  $T^* = \min\{t : \lambda^*(t) > 0.99\}$ , and setting  $C^*(t) = C(\min\{t, T^*\})$ . Strictly speaking, the estimates of  $C(t)$  in the upcoming sections are estimates of  $C^*(t)$ , but we do not make any explicit distinction between the two, noting that by Lemma 3 (i), w.h.p.  $T^* = \infty$  which implies that  $C^*(t) = C(t)$  for all  $t$ .

#### 4 Hitting times in simple random walks

We are interested in calculating  $C(t+1) - C(t)$ , i.e. the time taken between discovering the  $t$ th and the  $(t+1)$ th edge. Between the two discoveries, the biased random walk can be coupled to a simple random walk on the graph induced by  $W(t)$ , and in this section we derive the hitting time of a certain type of expanding vertex set.

► **Definition 4.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an  $r$ -regular graph. A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a *root set of order  $\ell$*  if (i)  $|S| \geq \ell^5$ , (ii) the number of edges with both endpoints in  $S$  is between  $|S|/2$  and  $(1/2 + \ell^{-3})|S|$ , and (iii) there are at most  $|S|/\ell^3$  paths of length at most  $\ell$  between vertices of  $S$  which use no edges fully contained in  $S$ .

The following lemma establishes the hitting time of root sets.

► **Lemma 5.** *Let  $\omega$  tend to infinity arbitrarily slowly with  $n$ . Suppose  $G$  is an  $r$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices whose transition matrix has second largest eigenvalue  $\lambda \leq 0.99$ , containing at most  $\omega r^\omega$  cycles of length at most  $\omega$ . If  $S$  is a root set of order  $\omega$  and a simple random walk is initiated at a uniformly random vertex of  $G$ , then the expected number of steps needed to reach  $S$  is*

$$\mathbb{E}(H(S)) \sim \frac{r}{r-2} \frac{n}{|S|}.$$

The full proof of Lemma 5 is omitted in this extended abstract. The proof is based on the following (see e.g. [2, Lemma 2.11]). If  $|S| = n/\omega$  for some  $\omega$  tending to infinity with  $n$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(H(S)) = \frac{n}{|S|} Z_{SS}.$$

Here  $Z_{SS}$  is a constant which can be approximated by the expected number of times a walk starting in  $S$  visits  $S$  in its first  $\omega$  steps. We show that this expectation is approximately  $r/(r-2)$  for root sets of order  $\omega$ .

The following lemma is an important step in generalizing Theorem 1 from  $r=3$  to larger  $r$ . It follows from reversibility properties of random walks on regular graphs, and the proof is omitted in this extended abstract.

► **Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $r$ -regular graph with positive eigenvalue gap. Let  $R \subseteq S \subseteq V$  be vertex sets. Suppose a simple random walk is initiated at a uniformly random vertex  $y \in R$ , and ends as soon as it hits  $S \setminus \{y\}$ . Then there is a constant  $B > 0$  such that for any  $x \in S$ , the probability that the walk ends at  $x$  is at most  $B/|R|$ .*

## 5 The structure of $\overline{X}$

The walk  $W(t)$  induces a colouring on the edges and vertices of  $G_r$  as follows. An edge is coloured red, green or blue if it has been visited zero, one or at least two time(s), respectively. A vertex is (i) green if it is incident to exactly  $r-1$  green edges and one red edge, (ii) red if it is incident to red edges only, and (iii) blue otherwise.

Recall that  $X_i(t)$  denotes the set of vertices incident to exactly  $i$  red edges in  $W(t)$ . We let  $X_1^g(t)$ ,  $X_1^b(t)$  denote the green and blue vertices of  $X_1(t)$ , respectively, and set

$$Z(t) = X_1^b(t) \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^r X_i(t).$$

The green edges and vertices are of particular interest. Suppose  $e_1 = (u, v)$ ,  $e_2 = (v, w)$  are consecutive green edges in the walk  $W(t)$ , meeting at a vertex  $v$ . Let  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(v)$  denote the configuration points in  $v$  of  $e_1, e_2$ , respectively. We call the pair  $(p, q)$  a *green link* if  $v$  is a green vertex.

Given a walk  $W(t)$ , we form the *contracted walk*  $\langle W(t) \rangle$  as follows. For any green link  $(p, q)$ , replace the corresponding edges  $(u, v), (v, w)$  by the edge  $(u, w)$  (coloured green), freeing the configuration points  $p, q$ . This is repeated until there are no green links left. Note that if  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  are green edges visited sequentially by the walk where  $e_i, e_{i+1}$  share a green link, then at the end of the process the entire path is replaced by one green edge.

Let  $L(W)$  denote the set of green links in the walk  $W$ , so  $L(W) \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is a set of ordered pairs of configuration points. Say that two walks  $W_1, W_2$  are equivalent if  $\langle W_1 \rangle = \langle W_2 \rangle$  and  $L(W_1) = L(W_2)$ . The equivalence class is denoted  $[W] = (\langle W \rangle, L(W))$ . The next lemma shows that equivalent walks are equiprobable.

► **Lemma 7.** *If  $W$  is such that  $\Pr\{[W(t)] = [W]\} > 0$ , then*

$$\Pr\{W(t) = W \mid [W(t)] = [W]\} = \frac{1}{|[W]|}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $W$  be a walk with  $\Pr\{W(t) = W\} > 0$ . We can calculate the probability of  $W(t) = W$  exactly. There are two different types of steps a walk can take. Suppose the walk has visited  $t$  distinct edges.

- If the walk occupies a vertex incident to no red edges, it chooses an edge with probability  $r^{-1}$ .
- If the walk occupies a vertex incident to  $k$  red edges, it chooses one of the  $k$  red edges with probability  $k^{-1}$ . The other endpoint of the red edge is chosen uniformly at random from  $rn - 2t - 1$  configuration points.

## 45:6 Biased Random Walk on Random Regular Graph

The probability of  $W(t) = W$  is

$$\Pr\{W(t) = W\} = \frac{1}{rn} \prod_{k=2}^r k^{-i_k} \prod_{s=0}^t \frac{1}{rn - 2s - 1},$$

for some integers  $i_2, \dots, i_r \geq 0$ , counting the number of steps of the different types. The  $1/rn$  factor accounts for the starting point of the walk. Now, if  $W_1 \sim W_2$ , then  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  contain the same number of edges, and  $i_k(W_1) = i_k(W_2)$  for  $k = 2, \dots, r$ . Indeed,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  only disagree in which order they visit the links in  $L$ . ◀

We can now view the biased random walk as a walk on the equivalence class  $[W(t)]$ . Any time a green edge in  $[W(t)]$  is visited, the probability that the edge corresponds to a green link in a randomly chosen  $W(t) \in [W(t)]$  is about  $L(t)/\Phi(t)$ , where  $L(t)$  is the number of green links in  $[W(t)]$  and  $\Phi(t)$  the number of green edges in  $W(t)$ . This along with bounds for  $X_1^g(t)$  and  $Z(t)$  provides a precise recursion for  $\mathbb{E}(\Phi(t))$ , which we use to prove the following. W.h.p., if  $t = (1 - \delta)\frac{rn}{2}$ ,

$$|X_1^g(t)| \sim rn\delta \quad \text{when } \delta \leq \log^{-1/2} n, \quad (1)$$

$$|Z(t)| = O(n\delta^{3/2}) \quad \text{when } \delta \leq \log^{-1/2} n, \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi(t) \geq n\delta^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{when } n^{-4/5+\beta} \leq \delta \leq \log^{-1/2} n, \quad (3)$$

where  $a > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < 1/20$  are constants. Note in particular that  $Z(t) \ll X_1^g(t) \ll \Phi(t)$  in the ranges where these bounds apply. Details are found in Appendix A and B.

Suppose  $n^{-4/5+\beta} \leq \delta \leq \log^{-1/2} n$ . As  $L(t) = \frac{r-1}{2} X_1^g(t) = o(\Phi(t))$ , when  $W(t) \in [W(t)]$  is chosen uniformly at random, the links of  $L(t)$  are sprinkled into the much larger set of green edges, and are expected to be spread far apart. This will imply that  $X_1^g(t)$  is a root set of order  $\omega$ , and as  $X_1^g(t)$  makes up almost all of  $\bar{X}(t)$  by (1) and (2), the set  $\bar{X}(t)$  is also a root set of order  $\omega$ . When  $\delta \leq n^{-4/5+\beta}$ , the same technique can be applied with a little more work.

### 6 Calculating the cover time

Define

$$\delta_0 = \frac{1}{\log \log n}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{1}{\log^{1/2} n}, \quad \delta_2 = \frac{1}{\log^2 n}, \quad \delta_3 = n^{-3/4}, \quad \delta_4 = n^{-1} \log n, \quad (4)$$

and  $t_i = (1 - \delta_i)\frac{rn}{2}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . From this point on we will use  $t$  and  $\delta$  interchangeably to denote time, and the two are always related by  $t = (1 - \delta)\frac{rn}{2}$ . We begin by showing that the time taken to find the first  $t_1$  edges contributes insignificantly to the cover time.

#### ► Lemma 8.

$$\mathbb{E}(C(t_1)) = o(n \log n).$$

This is proved in Section 6.1. We then move on to estimating the expected cover time increment for larger  $t$ .

#### ► Lemma 9. For $t_1 \leq t \leq t_4$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t)) = \left( \frac{r}{r-2} \pm \varepsilon \right) \frac{n}{rn - 2t}.$$

The time to discover the final  $O(\log n)$  edges can be bounded as follows:

$$\mathbb{E} \left( C \left( \frac{rn}{2} \right) - C(t_4) \right) \leq \sum_{t=t_4}^{rn/2-1} O \left( \frac{n}{rn-2s} \right) = o(n \log n).$$

The proof of Lemma 9 is based on the following calculation. Define events

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \left\{ |X_1^g(t) - (rn - 2t)| \leq \frac{rn - 2t}{\omega} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \{ \bar{X}(t) \text{ is a root set of order } \omega \},$$

and set  $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) \cap \mathcal{B}(t)$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t))$  can be calculated as

$$\left( \frac{r}{r-2} \pm \varepsilon \right) \frac{n}{rn-2t} \Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(t) \} + O \left( \frac{n}{rn-2t} \Pr \{ \overline{\mathcal{E}(t)} \} \right) + O(\log n).$$

Indeed, suppose  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  holds. As  $X_1(t)$  contains almost all unvisited configuration points, edge  $t$  is attached to some  $v \in X_1(t)$  w.h.p., and a simple random walk commences at  $v$ , ending once it hits  $\bar{X} \setminus \{v\}$ . As the vertices of  $\bar{X}$  are spread far apart, it is unlikely that this happens within  $O(\log n)$  steps. After a logarithmic number of steps, the random walk has mixed to within  $\varepsilon$  of the stationary distribution  $\pi$  in total variation. Lemma 5 shows that after this point, the expected time taken to hit  $\bar{X}$  is  $(r/(r-2) \pm \varepsilon)n/|\bar{X}|$ , and as  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  holds we have  $|\bar{X}| \sim (rn - 2t)$ . If  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  does not hold, then we use the fact that the hitting time in a regular graph with positive eigenvalue gap is  $O(n/|\bar{X}|) = O(n/(rn - 2t))$  (as  $|\bar{X}| \geq (rn - 2t)/r$ ) as long as the graph has a positive eigenvalue gap. We refer to the discussion in Section 3 justifying our assumption that the second largest eigenvalue stays at most 0.99 throughout the process. Lemma 9 will now follow from proving that  $\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(t) \} = 1 - o(1)$  for any fixed  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_4$ . This is done in Section 6.2.

### 6.1 Phase one: Proof of Lemma 8

With  $t_1$  as in (4), we show that  $\mathbb{E}(C(t_1)) = o(n \log n)$ . Suppose  $W(t) = (x_0, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  for some  $t, k$ . If  $x_k \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{X}(t))$  then  $x_{k+1} = \mu(x_k)$  is uniformly random inside  $\mathcal{P}(\bar{X}(t)) \setminus \{x_k\}$ , and since  $C(t+1) = C(t) + 1$  in the event of  $x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}(X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_r)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t)) \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t) \mid x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}(X_1)) \Pr \{ x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}(X_1) \}, \quad (5)$$

We use the following theorem of Ajtai, Komlós and Szemerédi [1] to bound the expected change when  $x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}(X_1)$ .

► **Theorem 10.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an  $r$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices, and suppose that each of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix with the exception of the first eigenvalue are at most  $\lambda_G$  (in absolute value). Let  $A$  be a set of  $cn$  vertices of  $G$ . Then for every  $\ell$ , the number of walks of length  $\ell$  in  $G$  which avoid  $A$  does not exceed  $(1 - c)n((1 - c)r + c\lambda_G)^\ell$ .*

The set  $A$  of Theorem 10 is fixed. In our case we choose a point  $x_{k+1}$  uniformly at random from  $\mathcal{P}(X_1(t))$ , so we consider a simple random walk initiated at a uniformly random vertex  $u \in X_1(t)$ . The subsequent walk now begins at vertex  $u$  and continues until it hits a vertex of  $Y_u = \bar{X}(t) \setminus \{u\}$ . Because the vertex  $u$  is random, the set  $Y_u$  differs for each possible exit vertex  $u \in X_1(t)$ . To apply Theorem 10, we split  $X_1(t)$  into two disjoint sets  $A, A'$  of (almost) equal size. For  $u \in A$ , instead of considering the number of steps needed to hit  $Y_u$ , we can upper bound this by the number of steps needed to hit  $B' = A' \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_r$ , and vice versa. Suppose without loss of generality that  $u \in A$ .



Let  $S(\ell)$  be a simple random walk of length  $\ell$  starting from a uniformly chosen vertex of  $A$ . Thus  $S(\ell)$  could be any of  $|A|r^\ell$  uniformly chosen random walks. Let  $c = |B'|/n$ . The probability  $p_\ell$  that a randomly chosen walk of length  $\ell$  starting from  $A$  has avoided  $B'$  is, by Theorem 10, at most

$$p_\ell \leq \frac{1}{(|X_1(t)|/2)r^\ell} (1-c)n(r(1-c) + c\lambda_G)^\ell \leq \frac{2(1-c)n}{|X_1(t)|} ((1-c) + c\lambda)^\ell,$$

where  $\lambda \leq .99$  (see Lemma 3) is the absolute value of the second largest eigenvalue of the transition matrix of  $S$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_A(H(C)) \leq \sum_{\ell \geq 1} p_\ell \leq \frac{2(1-c)n}{|X_1(t)|} \frac{1}{c(1-\lambda)}. \quad (6)$$

So,

$$\mathbb{E}(C(t+1) - C(t) \mid x_{2k} \in \mathcal{P}(X_1(t))) = O\left(\frac{(n - |B'|)n}{|X_1||B'|}\right). \quad (7)$$

Now, for any  $t$  we have  $r^{-1}(rn - 2t) \leq |B'| \leq rn - 2t$ , so summing over  $0 \leq t \leq t_1$ , (5) gives  $\mathbb{E}(C(t_1)) = o(n \log n)$ .

## 6.2 Phase two: Proof of Lemma 9, $t_1 \leq t < t_3$

Let  $\omega$  tend to infinity arbitrarily slowly with  $n$  and define for  $t \geq t_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(t) &= \left\{ |X_1^g(t) - (rn - 2t)| \leq \frac{rn - 2t}{\omega} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(t) &= \{\bar{X}(t) \text{ is a root set of order } \omega\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set  $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) \cap \mathcal{B}(t)$ . As discussed above, it remains to prove the following lemma.

► **Lemma 11.** *Fix  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_4$ . Then*

$$\Pr\{\mathcal{E}(t)\} = 1 - o(1).$$

**Proof.** First fix  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_3$ . By (1) – (3), for some  $\alpha > 0$ , the following holds w.h.p.:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &\geq n\delta^{1-\alpha}, \\ X_1^g(t) &= rn\delta(1 - O(\delta^{1/2})), \\ Z(t) &= O(n\delta^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Condition on some  $[W(t)]$  satisfying these values. We will distribute the links  $L(t)$  into the green edges to form  $W(t)$ . Suppose  $\ell_1 \in L(t)$  is placed at some green edge  $e_1$ . As there are at most  $Z(t)r^\omega$  green edges within distance  $\omega$  of  $Z(t)$ , the probability that it is placed within distance  $\omega$  of  $Z(t)$  is  $O(Z(t)r^\omega/\Phi(t)) = o(1)$ . The probability that any particular  $\ell_2 \in L(t)$  is placed on one of the  $O(r^\omega)$  green edges within distance  $\omega$  of  $e_1$  is  $O(r^\omega/\Phi(t))$ . Let  $D(\ell_1, \ell_2)$  be the distance in  $[W(t)]$  between  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ . Then

$$\sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} \Pr\{D(\ell_1, \ell_2) \leq \omega\} = O\left(\frac{|L(t)|^2 r^\omega}{\Phi(t)}\right) = O\left(n\delta^{2-\frac{1+\epsilon}{r-1}} 3^\omega\right) = o(n\delta).$$

This shows that all but  $o(n\delta)$  vertices in  $\bar{X}(t)$  are  $v \in X_1^g(t)$  with  $d(v, \bar{X}(t)) > \omega$ . By Lemma 3, at most  $\omega r^\omega = o(n\delta)$  vertices in  $G$  lie on cycles of length at most  $\omega$ . This shows that w.h.p.,  $\bar{X}(t)$  is a root set of order  $\omega$ .

For  $t_3 \leq t \leq t_4$  we can no longer use the bound (3) for  $\Phi(t)$ , but instead we can show that w.h.p., the conditions of  $\mathcal{E}(t_3)$  hold with enough room to spare that they must hold also for  $t$ . For example,  $Z(t_3)$  is empty w.h.p., so  $Z(t) \subseteq Z(t_3)$  must also be empty. ◀



## References

- 1 Miklós Ajtai, János Komlós, and Endre Szemerédi. Deterministic simulation in LOG-SPACE. In Alfred V. Aho, editor, *Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1987, New York, New York, USA*, pages 132–140. ACM, 1987. doi:10.1145/28395.28410.
- 2 David Aldous and James Allen Fill. Reversible markov chains and random walks on graphs, 2002. Unfinished monograph, recompiled 2014, available at <http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/RWG/book.html>.
- 3 Petra Berenbrink, Colin Cooper, and Tom Friedetzky. Random walks which prefer unvisited edges: Exploring high girth even degree expanders in linear time. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 46(1):36–54, 2015. doi:10.1002/rsa.20504.
- 4 Béla Bollobás. A probabilistic proof of an asymptotic formula for the number of labelled regular graphs. *Eur. J. Comb.*, 1(4):311–316, 1980. doi:10.1016/S0195-6698(80)80030-8.
- 5 Colin Cooper and Alan M. Frieze. The cover time of random regular graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 18(4):728–740, 2005. doi:10.1137/S0895480103428478.
- 6 Colin Cooper and Alan M. Frieze. Vacant sets and vacant nets: Component structures induced by a random walk. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 30(1):166–205, 2016. doi:10.1137/14097937X.
- 7 Colin Cooper, Alan M. Frieze, and Tony Johansson. The cover time of a biased random walk on a random cubic graph. To appear in Proceedings of AofA 2018, preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00760>.
- 8 Joel Friedman. A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-fifth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC ’03*, pages 720–724, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM. doi:10.1145/780542.780646.
- 9 Alan M. Frieze and Michal Karonski. *Introduction to Random Graphs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2015. doi:10.1017/CB09781316339831.011.
- 10 Tal Orenshtein and Igor Shinkar. Greedy random walk. *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing*, 23(2):269–289, 2014. doi:10.1017/S0963548313000552.

**A** Set sizes

Recall the definition

$$Z(t) = X_1^b(t) \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^r X_i(t),$$

where  $X_i$  denotes the set of vertices incident to  $i$  unvisited edges, and  $X_1^b$  is the set of vertices in  $X_1$  which are incident to at least one edge which has been visited more than once.

► **Lemma 12.** *There exists a constant  $B > 0$  such that for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $0 < \theta = o(1)$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left( e^{\theta Z(t)} \right) \leq \exp \left\{ \theta B n \delta^{3/2} \right\}.$$

**Proof.** We show that there exists a  $B > 0$  such that for any  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\Pr \{ [m] \subseteq Z(t) \} \leq (B\delta)^{3m/2},$$

beginning with  $m = 1$  before the general statement. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(r)$  denote the set of vectors  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_k)$  with  $\ell_i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $\sum \ell_i \leq r - 1$ , including in  $\mathcal{L}$  the empty vector  $\emptyset$ ,

## 45:10 Biased Random Walk on Random Regular Graph

excluding the vector  $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$  consisting of  $(r-1)/2$  copies of 2 (which corresponds to  $X_1^g$ , as we will see). We partition

$$Z(t) = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} Z_\ell(t),$$

where  $v \in Z_\ell(t)$  for  $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k \leq t$  such that  $v$  moves from  $X_{r-\ell_1-\dots-\ell_{j-1}}$  to  $X_{r-\ell_1-\dots-\ell_j}$  at time  $s_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and is in  $X_{r-\ell_1-\dots-\ell_k}$  at time  $t$ . If  $v \in X_i$  at time  $s$ , the probability that  $v$  is chosen by random assignment is  $i/(rn-2s)$ , while Lemma 6 shows that the probability that  $v$  is at the end of a blue walk is  $O(1/(rn-2s))$ . In either case, the probability that  $v$  moves from one set to another is at most  $B/(rn-2s)$  for some  $B > 0$ . For a fixed  $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) \in \mathcal{L}$ , with  $s_0 = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \{1 \in Z_\ell(t)\} &\leq \sum_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{s=s_{j-1}+1}^{s_j-1} \left(1 - \frac{r - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{j-1})}{rn - 2s}\right) \frac{B}{rn - 2s_j} \right] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{s=s_k+1}^t \left(1 - \frac{r - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k)}{rn - 2s}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For  $b \geq 1$  we use the bound

$$\prod_{s=t_0}^t \left(1 - \frac{b}{rn - 2s}\right) \leq \left(\frac{rn - 2t}{rn - 2t_0}\right)^{b/2}. \quad (9)$$

Combining (8) and (9), the probability that  $1 \in Z_\ell(t)$  is bounded above by

$$\sum_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{B}{rn - 2s_j} \left(\frac{rn - 2s_j}{rn - 2s_{j-1}}\right)^{(r - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{j-1}))/2} \right] \left(\frac{rn - 2t}{rn - 2s_k}\right)^{(r - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k))/2}. \quad (10)$$

Collecting powers of  $rn - 2s_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , we have

$$\Pr \{1 \in Z_\ell(t)\} \leq B^k \frac{(rn - 2t)^{(r - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k))/2}}{(rn)^{r/2}} \sum_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \prod_{j=1}^k (rn - 2s_j)^{\ell_j/2 - 1}.$$

Let  $N$  denote the number of indices  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  with  $\ell_j = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \prod_{j=1}^k (rn - 2s_j)^{\ell_j/2 - 1} \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \sum_{s=0}^t (rn - 2s)^{\ell_j/2 - 1} \right) \leq n^{k-N} (rn - 2t)^{N/2},$$

which implies that

$$\Pr \{1 \in Z_\ell(t)\} \leq \frac{B^k}{r^{r/2}} (rn - 2t)^{(r+N - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k))/2} n^{k-N-r/2}.$$

As  $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k = 2k - N$ , we have  $(r + N - (\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k))/2 = r/2 - k + N$ . So

$$\Pr \{1 \in Z_\ell(t)\} \leq \frac{B^k}{r^{k-N}} \delta^{r/2 - k + N}.$$

We now argue that  $r/2 - k + N \geq 3/2$ , or equivalently  $2(k - N) \leq r - 3$ , for all  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ . Firstly, if  $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k \leq r - 3$  then we have  $2(k - N) \leq 2k - N = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k \leq r - 3$ . Secondly, if

$\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k = r - 2$  then as  $r - 2$  is odd we have  $N \geq 1$ , so  $2(k - N) \leq 2k - N - 1 \leq r - 3$ . Finally, if  $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_k = r - 1$  then (as  $(2, 2, \dots, 2) \notin \mathcal{L}$ ) we have  $N \geq 2$ , so  $2(k - N) \leq 2k - N - 2 \leq r - 3$ .

As  $|\mathcal{L}(r)|$  is a function of  $r$  only, and therefore constant with respect to  $n$ , it follows that

$$\Pr \{1 \in Z(t)\} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(r)} \Pr \{1 \in Z_\ell(t)\} = O(\delta^{3/2}).$$

We turn to bounding the probability that  $[m] \subseteq Z(t)$ . We fix  $\ell^{(1)}, \dots, \ell^{(m)} \in \mathcal{L}$  and bound the probability that  $i \in Z_{\ell^{(i)}}(t)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $k(i) = \dim \ell^{(i)}$  denote the number of components of  $\ell^{(i)}$ . Then, summing over all choices  $s_j^{(i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k(i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr \{i \in Z_{\ell^{(i)}}(t), i = 1, \dots, m\} \\ & \leq \sum_{s_j^{(i)}} \prod_{i=1}^m B^{k(i)} \frac{(rn - 2t)^{(r - \sum_j \ell_j^{(i)})/2}}{(rn)^{r/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{k(i)} (rn - 2s_j^{(i)})^{\ell_j^{(i)}/2 - 1} \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[ B^{k(i)} \frac{(rn - 2t)^{(r - \sum_j \ell_j^{(i)})/2}}{(rn)^{r/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{k(i)} \left( \sum_{s=0}^t (rn - 2s)^{\ell_j^{(i)}/2 - 1} \right) \right] \\ & \leq B \sum^{k(i)} \delta^{3m/2} = O((B^r \delta)^{3m/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $O(m)$  choices of  $\ell^{(i)}, i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\Pr \{[m] \subseteq Z(t)\} = O(m(B^r \delta)^{3m/2}) \leq (C\delta)^{3m/2}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . By symmetry the same bound holds for any vertex set of size  $m$ . It follows that for any  $m$ , writing  $(n)_m = n(n - 1) \dots (n - m + 1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}((Z(t))_m) \leq (n)_m \times (C\delta)^{3m/2} \leq (Cn\delta^{3/2})^m.$$

For  $s > 1$  we apply the binomial theorem to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(s^{Z(t)}) = \mathbb{E}\left((1 + (s - 1))^{Z(t)}\right) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}((Z(t))_m) (s - 1)^m}{m!}.$$

We set  $s = e^\theta \leq 1 + 2\theta$  (as  $\theta = o(1)$ ) to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta Z(t)}) \leq \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(Cn\delta^{3/2})^m (2\theta)^m}{m!} \leq \exp\{\theta Dn\delta^{3/2}\},$$

for some  $D > 0$ . ◀

► **Corollary 13.** For  $t = (1 - \delta)\frac{rn}{2}$  with  $\delta = o(1)$ , and  $0 < \theta = o(1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-\theta X_1^q(t)}) = \exp\{-\theta rn\delta(1 - o(1))\}$$

The technique used to prove Lemma 12 can be strengthened to obtain concentration for the number of unvisited vertices  $X_r(t)$ .

► **Lemma 14.** For  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta X_r(t)}) \leq \exp\{2\theta n\delta^{r/2}\}. \tag{11}$$

## 45:12 Biased Random Walk on Random Regular Graph

Furthermore, if  $t = (1 - \delta)\frac{rn}{2}$  with  $\delta = o(1)$  and  $n\delta^{r/2} \rightarrow \infty$ , then for any  $\omega$  tending to infinity arbitrarily slowly,

$$\Pr \left\{ |X_r(t) - n\delta^{r/2}| > \frac{n\delta^{r/2}}{\omega^{1/2}} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{\omega}.$$

Finally, if  $n\delta^{r/2} = o(1)$  then  $X_r(t) = 0$  w.h.p.

Lemma 14, the proof of which is omitted here, relates the number of unvisited edges to the number of unvisited vertices: we expect  $|X_r(t)| = n - s$  to occur when  $t \approx \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)^{2/r}$ . This heuristically explains why  $C_E^b(G_r) \sim \frac{r}{2} C_V^b(G_r)$ . Detailed calculations for the vertex cover time are carried out for  $r = 3$  in [7], and the calculations for larger  $r$  are identical.

### **B** The green edges

Let  $\Phi(t)$  denote the number of green edges in  $W(t)$ .

► **Lemma 15.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < r - 2$  and define

$$\delta_\varepsilon = \left( \frac{\log^4 n}{n} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r+\varepsilon}}, \quad t_\varepsilon = (1 - \delta_\varepsilon) \frac{rn}{2}.$$

Then with high probability,  $\Phi(t) \geq n\delta^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r-1}}$  for all  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_\varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** Firstly, let us see how  $\Phi(t)$  changes with time. Fix  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r - 1)} < \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{r - 1}, \quad (12)$$

and let

$$\mathcal{X}(t) = \{X_1^g(t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_1)(rn - 2t)\}$$

and let  $\mathbf{1}_t$  denote the indicator variable for  $\mathcal{X}(t)$ . We note that with  $\lambda = 1/\log n$ , by Corollary 13

$$\Pr \left\{ \overline{\mathcal{X}(t)} \right\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda X_1^g(t)} \right)}{e^{-\lambda(1 - \varepsilon_1)(rn - 2t)}} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon_1 n \delta_\varepsilon}{\log n} \right\} =: \eta, \quad (13)$$

for any  $t \leq t_\varepsilon$ .

► **Claim 1.** For  $0 < \theta \leq \delta_\varepsilon \log^{-2} n$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $t_0 \leq t \leq t_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\theta(\Phi(t+1) - \Phi(t))} \mathbf{1}_t \mid [W(t)] \right) \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{2\theta\Phi(t)}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r - 1)(rn - 2t)} (1 + O(\gamma)) \right\} \mathbf{1}_t,$$

with  $\gamma = o(\log^{-1} n)$ .

**Proof of Claim 1.** Condition on a  $[W(t)]$  such that  $X_1^g(t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_1)(rn - 2t)$ . If the next edge is added without entering a blue walk, then  $\Phi(t + 1) = \Phi(t) + 1$ . So,

$$\Pr \{ \Phi(t + 1) - \Phi(t) = 1 \mid [W(t)] \} = 1 - \frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t}.$$

Suppose the new edge chooses a vertex of  $X_1(t)$ , thus entering a blue walk. We may view this as a walk on  $[W(t)]$ , and any time a green edge is traversed, we ask if the green edge

in  $[W(t)]$  contains a green link in  $W(t)$ , in which case the blue walk ends. If not, the green edge turns blue and  $\Phi$  decreases by one.

There are  $L(t) = \frac{r-1}{2} X_1^g(t)$  green links, distributed into the  $\Phi(t)$  green edges by a Pólya urn process as discussed in Section 5. Suppose  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\ell$  are green edges in  $[W(t)]$ , and let  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_\ell$  be the lengths of the corresponding paths in  $W(t)$ , corresponding to the first  $\ell$  entries of a vector  $(k_1, \dots, k_\phi)$  drawn uniformly at random from all vectors with  $k_i \geq 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^\phi k_i = \Phi(t)$ . The probability that none of the  $\ell$  edges contains a green link is exactly

$$\Pr \{K_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell\} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\binom{\Phi-i-1}{\phi-i-1}}{\binom{\Phi-i}{\phi-i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(1 - \frac{L(t)}{\Phi(t) - i}\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{L(t)}{\Phi(t)}\right)^\ell.$$

This shows that the number of green edges visited before discovering a green link can be bounded by a geometric random variable. If a green edge is visited without a discovery, that edge turns blue. Note that the blue walk may also end when a vertex of  $X_i^b$  is found for some  $i \geq 1$ ; we are upper bounding the number of green edges visited.

So in distribution,

$$\Phi(t+1) - \Phi(t) \stackrel{d}{=} 1 - B\left(\frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t}\right) R_t$$

where  $B(p)$  denotes a Bernoulli random variable taking value 1 with probability  $p$ , and  $R_t$  is stochastically dominated above by a geometric random variable with success probability  $L(t)/\Phi(t)$ . The two random variables on the right-hand side are independent. So

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\theta(\Phi(t+1) - \Phi(t))} \mid [W(t)]\right) = e^{-\theta} \left(1 - \frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t} + \frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t} \mathbb{E}(e^{\theta R_t} \mid [W(t)])\right)$$

The map  $x \mapsto e^{\theta x}$  is increasing for  $\theta > 0$ , so we can couple  $R_t$  to a geometric random variable  $S_t$  with success probability  $L(t)/\Phi(t)$  in such a way that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta R_t} \mid [W(t)]) \leq \mathbb{E}(e^{\theta S_t} \mid [W(t)]).$$

As  $S_t$  is geometrically distributed and  $X_1^g(t) \geq (rn - 2t)/2$  by conditioning on  $\mathcal{X}(t)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta S_t} \mid [W(t)]) = 1 + \theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{L(t)} - O\left(\frac{\theta^2 \Phi(t)^2}{L(t)^2}\right) = 1 + \theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{L(t)}(1 + O(\gamma)).$$

Conditioning on  $X_1^g(t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_1)(rn - 2t)$  implies that  $L(t) = \frac{r-1}{2} X_1^g(t) = \Omega(n\delta)$ , so

$$\gamma := \theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{L(t)} \leq \delta_\varepsilon \log^{-2} n \frac{n}{\Omega(n\delta_\varepsilon)} = o(\log^{-1} n).$$

We also have  $X_1^b(t) \leq rn - 2t - X_1^g(t)$ , so

$$\frac{X_1(t)}{L(t)} = \frac{X_1^g(t)}{L(t)} + \frac{X_1^b(t)}{L(t)} \leq \frac{2}{r-1} + \frac{\varepsilon_1(rn - 2t)}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)\frac{r-1}{2}(rn - 2t)} = \frac{2}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)}.$$

So for  $[W(t)] \in \mathcal{X}(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\theta(\Phi(t+1) - \Phi(t))} \mathbf{1}_t \mid [W(t)]\right) \\ & \leq e^{-\theta} \left(1 - \frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t} + \frac{X_1(t)}{rn - 2t} \left(1 + \theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{L(t)}(1 + O(\gamma))\right)\right) \\ & \leq \exp\left\{\frac{2\theta\Phi(t)}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)(rn - 2t)}(1 + O(\gamma))\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

## 45:14 Biased Random Walk on Random Regular Graph

Define for  $0 < \theta = o(1)$ ,

$$f_t(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\theta \Phi(t)} \mathbf{1}_t \right).$$

As  $\Phi(t) \geq L(t) = \frac{r-1}{2} X_1^g(t)$  we have for  $0 < \theta = o(1)$ , by Corollary 13,

$$f_{t_0}(\theta) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\theta \Phi(t_0)} \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\theta \frac{r-1}{2} X_1^g(t_0)} \right) = \exp \left\{ -\theta \frac{r-1}{2} r n \delta_0 (1 + o(1)) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Claim 1 shows that for  $t_0 \leq t < t_\varepsilon$ ,

$$f_{t+1}(\theta) \leq f_t \left( \theta \left( 1 - \frac{2(1 + O(\gamma))}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)(rn - 2t)} \right) \right) + \eta$$

where  $\eta = \exp\{-\varepsilon_1 n \delta_\varepsilon / \log n\}$  is an upper bound for  $\Pr \left\{ \overline{\mathcal{X}(t+1)} \right\}$ , as defined in (13). As  $\gamma = o(\log^{-1} n)$ , we have

$$\prod_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \left( 1 - \frac{2(1 + O(\gamma))}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)(rn - 2s)} \right) \sim \left( \frac{rn - 2t}{rn - 2t_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)}}.$$

It follows by induction and from (14) that if  $F(t) = n \delta^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r-1}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\theta) &\leq f_{t_0} \left( \theta \prod_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \left( 1 - \frac{2(1 + O(\gamma))}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)(rn - 2s)} \right) \right) + (t - t_0)\eta \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ -\theta r n \delta_0 \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1)}} \right\} + (t - t_0)\eta \\ &\leq \exp \{ -r\theta F(t) \} + n\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that  $\varepsilon_1$  was chosen in (12) to satisfy  $1/(1 - \varepsilon_1)(r-1) < (1 + \varepsilon)/(r-1)$ .

Now, setting  $\theta = \delta_\varepsilon \log^{-2} n$ , using the bound  $\mathbf{1}_{\{X > a\}} \leq X/a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \{ \Phi(t) < F(t) \} &\leq \Pr \left\{ \overline{\mathcal{X}(t)} \right\} + \Pr \{ \Phi(t) < F(t), \mathcal{X}(t) \} \\ &\leq \eta + \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{e^{-\theta \Phi(t)} > e^{-\theta F(t)}\}} \mathbf{1}_t \right) \\ &\leq \eta + e^{\theta F(t)} f_t(\theta) \\ &= O(n e^{\theta F(t)} \eta) + e^{-\theta(r-1)F(t)} \\ &= o(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\Phi(t) \geq F(t)$  for all  $t$  in the given range with high probability.  $\blacktriangleleft$