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#### Abstract

We discuss two problems in complexified auxiliary fields in fermionic effective models, the auxiliary sign problem associated with the repulsive vector-field and the choice of the cut for the scalar field appearing from the logarithmic function. In the fermionic effective models with attractive scalar and repulsive vector-type interaction, the auxiliary scalar and vector fields appear in the path integral after the bosonization of fermion bilinears. When we make the path integral well-defined by the Wick rotation of the vector field, the oscillating Boltzmann weight appears in the partition function. This "auxiliary" sign problem can be solved by using the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method, where the integration path is constructed in the complex plane. Another serious obstacle in the numerical construction of Lefschetz thimbles is caused by singular points and cuts induced by multivalued functions of the complexified scalar field in the momentum integration. We propose a new prescription which fixes gradient flow trajectories on the same Riemann sheet in the flow evolution by performing the momentum integration in the complex domain.
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## 1. Introduction

The sign problem appearing in the path integral is a serious obstacle to perform precise nonperturbative computations in various quantum systems: The Boltzmann weight in the partition function oscillates and then it induces the serious cancellation to the numerical integration process. Particularly, the sign problem attracts much more attention recently in the lattice simulation of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) at finite density. It is caused by the combination of the gluon field $\left(A_{\mu}\right)$ and the real quark chemical potential ( $\mu$ ) in the fermion determinant of the Boltzmann weight; see Ref. [1] for a review.

Several methods have been proposed to circumvent the sign problem such as the multi-parameter reweighting method [2,3], Taylor expansion method [4-6], the imaginary chemical potential approach [7-9], the canonical approach [10-13] and so on. These methods can be applied to nonzero $\mu$, but we can not obtain reliable results in the large $\mu$ region.

Recently, two approaches for the lattice simulation have been attracting much more attention; the complex Langevin method and

[^0]the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method. The complex Langevin method is based on the stochastic quantization [14-17], it does not use the standard Monte-Carlo sampling, and thus it seems to be free from the sign problem. However, this method sometimes provides a wrong answer when there are singularities of the drift term in the Langevin-time evolution $[16,18]$. By comparison, the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method [19-21] is based on the Picard-Lefschetz theory for the complexified space in variables of integral [22] and thus it is still in the framework of the usual pathintegral formulation. With this method, we modify the integration path from the original one to new one on which the complex phase is constant and thus the cancellation is suppressed. Thus, we can soften the difficulty of the sign problem.

In effective models of the fundamental theory, one can sometimes avoid the sign problem because of the simplification of the Boltzmann weight. For example, in the standard Nambu-JonaLasinio ( NJL ) model, one of the low-energy effective models of QCD, one can avoid the sign problem at finite $\mu$ due to the simplified fermion determinant. The Dirac operator of the NJL model has the $C \gamma_{5}$ hermiticity and its determinant is real, $\operatorname{det}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{NJL}}(\mu)\right\}=$ $\left[\operatorname{det}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{NJL}}(\mu)\right\}\right]^{*}$, where $C$ is the charge conjugation matrix. However, the sign problem comes back, when the repulsive vectorcurrent interaction is included in the NJL model and the auxiliary vector field is Wick rotated to make the path integral well-defined.

This type of the sign problem which we call the auxiliary sign problem in this paper has not been discussed in the four-dimensional space-time, previously. Understanding the auxiliary sign problem is very important because it appears not only in the NJL model but also in several calculations which include repulsive interactions between fermions: For example, the relativistic mean-field (RMF) models with vector-meson $(\omega)$ field in nuclear physics are successful with the prescription that takes the saddle point value for the temporal component of the vector field, while they encounter the same auxiliary sign problem as the NJL model when fluctuations are considered. The shell model Monte-Carlo method also has the problem; the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation of repulsive two-body interactions leads to the auxiliary sign problem, then an analytic continuation from the attractive region is needed. See Ref. [23] for a review.

In this paper, we try to apply the Lefschetz-thimble method to the auxiliary sign problem of fermionic models. To solve or assuage the auxiliary sign problem, it is natural to apply the Lefschetzthimble path-integral method as in the lattice calculation. Very few attempts of the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method for the auxiliary sign problem have been done [24-26] in the Thirring model [27]. We show how this method resolve the auxiliary sign problem and how it makes the path integral well-defined. In addition, we discuss the difficulty induced by singular points and cuts in the complex plane of variables of integration. To show the solving procedure explicitly, we employ the NJL model with the vector-current interaction which is transformed into the repulsive vector-field after the bosonization of fermion bilinears. The NJL model is widely used not only in hadron physics but also in the physics beyond the standard model $[28,29]$ and the dark matter phenomenology [30,31]. To obtain the analytic form of the effective potential, we use the homogeneous auxiliary-field ansatz which can be acceptable if the inhomogeneous phases $[32,33]$ do not appear.

Specifically, we demonstrate that we can define the action in the complex plane of the auxiliary field as an analytic continuation along the Lefschetz thimbles. It should be noted that singularities may introduce a problem in lattice QCD simulations with the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method. For example, the lattice QCD action with fermions should have cuts and singularities from the logarithm of the fermion determinant, since the fermion determinant can be zero at finite chemical potentials. Therefore, the prescription developed here will be useful to deal with the problem induced by singularities.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows details of the Lefschetz thimble method. In Sec. 3, we explain the formalism of the NJL model. In Secs. 4 and 5, we discuss singularities induced by multiple-valued functions in the momentum integration and show the prescription for it in systems which have only the auxiliary scalar or vector fields, respectively. Section 6 is devoted to summary.

## 2. Gradient flows and Lefschetz thimbles

In this study, we use the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method to attack the sign problem and thus we briefly explain the method in this section.

The Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method is based on the complexification of variables of integration, $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow z_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ where $x_{i}$ is the original variables of integration with $i=1, \cdots, N$ for the $N$ dimensional integration. Then, the dimension of the integration becomes 2 N and thus we must reduce the dimension to the original $N$. In the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method, such reduction is performed by using the trajectory of gradient flow equations
and then the trajectory can describe the new integral path. The most convenient form of the flow equations is given as
$\frac{d z_{i}}{d t}=\overline{\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma[z]}{\partial z_{i}}\right)}, \quad \frac{d z_{i}}{d t}=-\overline{\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma[z]}{\partial z_{i}}\right)}$,
where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the fictitious time. The fixed point of gradient flows are obtained from
$\frac{\partial \Gamma[z]}{\partial z_{i}}=0$.
The first and second gradient flows in Eq. (1) provides the downward and upward flows respecting the Morse function, $h=$ $-\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma[z])$, since the trajectory of the first flow equation satisfies
$\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma[z])=0, \quad \frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma[z])>0$,
and the second one does
$\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma[z])=0, \quad \frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma[z])<0$.
Downward flows starting from fixed points describe new integration paths $(\mathcal{J})$ which are so called the Lefschetz thimbles if corresponding upward-flow trajectories $(\mathcal{K})$ go across the original integration path. Because of the reduction procedure, the thimbles becomes the $N$-dimensional manifold.

The Lefschetz thimbles have the property (3) and thus the sign problem seems to be resolved because $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma[z])$ is constant on the Lefschetz thimble and thus oscillation vanishes. However, there are remnants of the original sign problem. One is the global sign problem which arises when multi-thimbles become relevant to the integral. The grand-canonical partition function can be decomposed into the summation in terms of Lefschetz thimbles as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\int_{C_{\mathbb{R}}} d^{n} x e^{-\Gamma[x]}=\sum_{\tau} n_{\tau} \int_{\mathfrak{J}_{\tau}} d^{n} z e^{-\Gamma[z]} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{\tau}$ is the crossing number of the upward flow with the original integration-path and $\tau$ characterizes each Lefschetz thimble, $\mathcal{J}_{\tau}$. Thus, there may be the cancellation in the numerical integration if each relevant thimble has a different constant value of $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma[z])$. At present, there is no way to exactly solve the global sign problem in lattice simulations, but it does not matter in the following discussions and thus we leave it as a future work. A promising approach to avoid the global sign problem has been proposed in Ref. [26,34] by modifying the original integration-path contour by using the gradient flow. The other is the residual sign problem which comes from the Jacobian of the new integrationpath contour. The residual sign problem seems to be controlled by the phase reweighting method at present; see Ref. [21].

## 3. Effective potential in the NJL model

The Euclidean action of the two-flavor three-color NJL model is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{NJL}}=\int d^{4} x_{E} & {\left[\bar{q}\left(-i \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+m_{0}-\mu \gamma_{0}\right) q-G\left[(\bar{q} q)^{2}+\left(\bar{q} i \gamma_{5} \vec{\tau} q\right)^{2}\right]\right.} \\
& \left.+G_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\bar{q} \gamma_{0} q\right)^{2}-G_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\bar{q} \gamma_{i} q\right)^{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q$ denotes the quark field, $m_{0}$ is the current quark mass, and $\mu=1, \cdots, 4$ with $x_{4}=\tau=$ it and $\gamma_{4}=i \gamma_{0}$. We consider the case where $G>0$ and $G_{v}>0$. The last two terms are nothing but the vector-current interaction which leads to the repulsive vector-field after the bosonization of the effective action. Coupling constants $G$
and $G_{v}$ are related with each other via the Fierz transformation of the one-gluon exchange interaction; see appendix of Ref. [35] as an example.

The auxiliary sign problem appears as a consequence of defining the path integral of the auxiliary vector field by using the Wick rotation. The grand canonical partition function after the bosonization of quark bilinears by using the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation is formally written as
$\mathcal{Z}=\int \mathcal{D} q \mathcal{D} \bar{q} e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{N} L}[q, \bar{q}]}=\int_{C_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{D} \sigma \mathcal{D} \vec{\pi} \mathcal{D} \omega_{\mu} e^{-\Gamma\left[\sigma, \vec{\pi}, \omega_{\mu}\right]}$,
$\Gamma=-\log \operatorname{det} D+\int d^{4} x_{E}\left[G\left(\sigma^{2}(x)+\vec{\pi}^{2}(x)\right)+G_{\mathrm{v}} \omega_{\mu}^{2}(x)\right]$,
$D=-i \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+M-\gamma_{0} \mu^{\prime}-2 i G \gamma_{5} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau}+2 G_{v} \gamma_{i} \omega_{i}$,
where $M(x)=m_{0}+2 G \sigma(x), \mu^{\prime}=\mu-2 i G_{v} \omega_{4}(x), C_{\mathbb{R}}$ means the integration path in the real variables. The variables of integration, $\sigma, \vec{\pi}$ and $\omega_{\mu}$ with $\mu=1, \cdots, 4$, are the scalar, pseudo-scalar and vector mesonic fields after using the Wick rotation for the $\omega_{0}$ field, respectively.

In the homogeneous auxiliary-field ansatz, we can simplify $\Gamma$ as $\Gamma=\beta V \mathcal{V}$ where $\mathcal{V}$ corresponds to the effective potential. In the concrete calculation, we should consider three different-type variables of integration, $X=\left(\sigma, \vec{\pi}, \omega_{\mu}\right)$, but we here only consider the limited set, $X^{\prime}=\left(\sigma, \omega_{4}\right)$, which provide the minimal set to discuss the auxiliary sign problem: Auxiliary fields $\omega_{i}(i=x, y, z)$ and $\vec{\pi}$ cannot have homogeneous expectation values because of symmetry arguments, and we expect that fluctuations of these fields do not change the qualitative features of thimbles.

The analytic form of $\mathcal{V}$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}= & -2 N_{\mathrm{f}} N_{\mathrm{c}} \int \frac{d^{3} p}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left[E_{p}+T\left(\ln f^{-}+\ln f^{+}\right)\right] \\
& +G \sigma^{2}+G_{v} \omega_{4}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{\mathrm{f}}=2, N_{\mathrm{c}}=3$ and $f^{\mp}=1+e^{-\beta\left(E_{p} \mp \mu^{\prime}\right)}$ with $E_{p}=$ $\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}$. The constituent quark mass (M) and the effective real chemical potential ( $\mu^{\prime}$ ) becomes $M=m_{0}+2 G \sigma$ and $\mu^{\prime}=$ $\mu-2 i G_{\mathrm{v}} \omega_{4}$. The expectation values of $\sigma$ and $\omega_{4}$ are $\langle\sigma\rangle=\langle\bar{q} q\rangle$ and $\left\langle\omega_{4}\right\rangle=-i\left\langle\omega_{0}\right\rangle=-i\left\langle q^{\dagger} q\right\rangle$. With the Wick rotation for the $\omega_{0}$ field, $\mu^{\prime}$ takes complex values and then the effective action becomes complex. This is nothing but the auxiliary sign problem. Of course, the present ansatz cannot be used in the system with inhomogeneous condensates such as the dual chiral density wave [32] and the real kink crystal $[36,37]$ in dense QCD. We may extend our analysis to include inhomogeneous condensates by using the finite-mode approach where the condensates are represented by the finite number of modes [38], but such an extension is out of the scope of the present study.

Necessity of the Wick rotation of the $\omega_{0}$ field in the usual NJL model formulation can be seen from the detailed procedure of the bosonization. The auxiliary field, $\omega_{\mu}$, is introduced by inserting 1 to the partition function via the Gauss integral to eliminate the four-fermi interactions;
$1=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{D} \omega_{0} \exp \left[G_{v} \int d^{4} x_{E}\left(\omega_{0}(x)-V_{0}(x)\right)^{2}\right]$,
where $V_{0}(x)=\bar{q}(x) \gamma_{0} q(x)$. This identity is not valid since the sign of the $\omega_{0}^{2}$ term is not negative and the integral is not well-defined. Thus, the identity should be modified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{C_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{D} \omega_{0} \exp \left[-G_{\mathrm{v}} \int d^{4} x_{E}\left(\omega_{4}(x)+i V_{0}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sign of the $\omega_{4}^{2}$ term becomes negative, the identity is manifested. This is the reason why we need the Wick rotation in the usual NJL model formulation with the repulsive vector-current interaction. It should be noted that usual NJL model formulation can be acceptable if we adapt the constraint condition that $\omega_{0}$ is just identified as the quark number density. In this case, we solve the gap equation for $\omega_{0}$ and do not (and cannot) perform the path integral about $\omega_{0}$.

It should be noted that $2 i G_{v} \omega_{4}$ term in $\mu^{\prime}$ should be $2 G_{v} \omega_{0}$ before the Wick rotation and then the auxiliary sign problem is absent, but the path integral is not well defined because the Boltzmann weight, $e^{-\Gamma}$, on the integration path of $\omega_{0}$ is not stable with fixed $\sigma$;
$\lim _{\omega_{0} \rightarrow \pm \infty} \mathcal{V}=-\infty, \quad \lim _{\omega_{0} \rightarrow \pm \infty}(-\Gamma)=+\infty$.
Therefore, the path integral is not well-defined without the Wick rotation of the $\omega_{0}$ field.

Throughout this paper, we use the parameter set obtained in Ref. [39], $m_{0}=5.5 \mathrm{MeV}, G=5.498 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, G_{V}=0.25 G$ and a three dimensional momentum cutoff, $\Lambda=631.5 \mathrm{MeV}$, which reproduce empirical values of the pion mass and decay constant. In this setup, we obtain Lefschetz thimbles by solving the flow equations,
$\frac{d \sigma}{d t}= \pm \beta V \overline{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \sigma}\right)}, \quad \frac{d \omega_{4}}{d t}= \pm \beta V \overline{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \omega_{4}}\right)}$
starting from the fixed points.

## 4. Singularities and prescription

We can understand some properties of the new integrationpath contour and stability of the path integral without performing numerical calculations. Therefore, we firstly summarize the properties here;
$\begin{array}{ll}\Gamma[x] \in \mathbb{R}, & \lim _{\omega_{0} \rightarrow \pm \infty}(-\Gamma[z])=+\infty, \\ & \downarrow^{\downarrow} \text { Wick rotation } \\ \Gamma[x] \in \mathbb{C}, & \lim _{\omega_{4} \rightarrow \pm \infty}(-\Gamma[z])=-\infty, \\ & \downarrow^{\downarrow \text { On Lefschetz thimbles }} \\ & \Gamma[z] \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim _{\omega_{4} \rightarrow \pm \infty}(-\Gamma[z])=-\infty,\end{array}$
where $\sigma$ is fixed in the first and second lines. The first line means the path integral on $C_{\mathbb{R}}$. The second line is the path integral after the Wick rotation (correct bosonization) for the $\omega_{0}$ field and the third one means the path integral on $\mathcal{J}$ with $\omega_{4}$. In the flowchart (15), we assume that the Lefschetz thimbles do not end at singular points in the estimation of $\Gamma$ in Eqs. (15). However, the stability of the integration still holds by Eq. (3) even if $\mathcal{J}$ ends at singular points. It should be noted that the present NJL model has the three dimensional momentum cutoff and thus we should stop the evolution of the flow equations at certain energy scale $\sim \Lambda$. Of course, equation (15) is valid for the model and theory without the cutoff.

In Eq. (10), there are a square root and logarithmic functions in the momentum integration. On the original path $C_{\mathbb{R}}$, such term does not induce difficulties, but these cause a problem in the complexified system. Since these are multivalued functions, we should care the singular points and cuts to obtain correct results. Actually, gradient flows starting from fixed points sometimes show numerically singular behavior and then we can not continuously draw the flow trajectories.


Fig. 1. The $z$ integration path when the start and end points ( $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) appear in the different quadrants. Closed circles represent singular points. The solid and dashed lines mean the integration path with and without our prescription, respectively.

In order to discuss the problem coming from the singularities and cuts, we first consider only the $\sigma$ field, and $\omega_{4}$ is fixed to 0 . The thermal part of the effective potential for the particle contribution ( $\Gamma_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{T}}= & \frac{N_{c} N_{f}}{3 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\Lambda} d p\left[\frac{p^{4}}{\sqrt{p^{2}+M^{2}}} \frac{1}{1+e^{\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+M^{2}}-\mu\right) / T}}\right] \\
& -\frac{N_{c} N_{f}}{3 \pi^{2}} \Lambda^{3} T \log \left(1+e^{\frac{\sqrt{\Lambda^{2}+M^{2}}-\mu}{T}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the integration by parts to remove the logarithm. We can easily find singular points and cuts induced by $\sigma$ and $p$-integration as
$z=i(2 k+1) \pi T$,
where $z=\sqrt{p^{2}+M^{2}}-\mu$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
The integral path is on the real axis of $z$ when $\sigma$ is real. By comparison, the naïve integral path (dashed line in Fig. 1) can easily go across the singular points of the integrand when $\sigma$ evolves from a real value to a complex value. We require that the action is obtained by the analytic continuation from that on the real axis. This requirement is fulfilled by the procedure explained below.

It is natural that gradient flows run on the same Riemann sheet and thus we extend $p \rightarrow \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ to take care of singularities; the $\tilde{p}$ integration-path should not go across singular points and cuts with varying $M$. The following flowchart shows the prescription of the $\tilde{p}$-modification. For the convenience of explanations, we use the complex $z$ instead of $\tilde{p}$.

1. Start the calculation with the condition that the start and end points of the $z$-integration, $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$, exist in the different quadrant.
2. Set the integration path as shown in Fig. 1 to go across the origin.
3. Store the positions of $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$.
4. Evaluate next $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$ with the $t$-evolution of gradient flows in Eq. (1).
5. Repeat the procedure 2 as long as $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{e}$ exist in different quadrants. If $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$ appear in the same quadrant, the integration path should be taken as Fig. 2 to go through the same slit between singular points where $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ or $z_{\mathrm{e}}$ go through before.
6. Go back to the procedure 3 until the enough length of the Lefschetz thimble is obtained.

This prescription is imposed to fix the flow trajectories on the same Riemann sheet in the gradient-flow evolution since the value of the $\tilde{p}$-integration depends on the slit through which the path


Fig. 2. The $z$ integration path when $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{e}}$ appear in the same quadrant. The arrow means the moving direction of the start position from Fig. 1 to present figure with the $t$-evolution of the gradient flow. Other symbols and lines are same with those in Fig. 1.


Fig. 3. Structure of singular points and cuts in the complex $\sigma$ plane.
goes. It should be noted that the integral is independent of the path as long as the start point, the end point and the slit are the same, then the details of the path in each quadrant do not matter. The paths shown in Figs. 1 and 2 are examples, which are chosen to keep away from singular points of the integrand and to numerically obtain the action precisely. In the naive Lefschetz thimble method without the prescription, the integration path in the complex $z$ plane becomes the dashed line in Fig. 1 and it provides wrong results.

Fig. 3 shows the structure of singular points and cuts in the complex $\sigma$ plane where we only treat $\sigma$ as the dynamical variable. It should be noted that we can set directions of cuts to a certain degree and thus present directions are just an example. The NJL model is the cutoff theory and thus the $|M|>\Lambda$ region does not matter, but some cuts exist inside the relevant region for the integration. This problem should be important in all $\mu$ region.

We show the thimbles in the complex plane of $\sigma$ in Fig. 4. We show the results around the first order phase transition boundary, $T=10 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\mu=348.5 \mathrm{MeV}$. We have used the first flow equation in Eq. (14) to obtain the thimbles, where the momentum integration path shown above is adopted to obtain the action and $\omega_{4}$ is kept to be zero. We also show the fixed points on the real axis. By solving the flow equation starting from these fixed points, it is confirmed that the real axis agrees with the sum of relevant thimbles. Then it is not necessary to search for other fixed points.

There are two comments in order. First, the present NJL model used in the numerical calculation of Fig. 4 does not have the repulsive vector-current interaction and thus it does not have the auxiliary sign problem. We just use this model as an laboratory to check the singularity and cut effects for gradient flows. Actually, Lefschetz thimbles and the original integration-path become exactly the same in this case. Of course, the relevant thimbles will deviate


Fig. 4. Lefschetz thimbles in the complex $\sigma$ plane where we only treat $\sigma$ as the dynamical variable. Open circles mean maximum and minima of the effective action, corresponding to $\tau=1,3,2$ respectively from the left. Solid and dashed lines represent $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ for each thimble, respectively. In the present case, $\mathcal{J}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{3}$ form same lines and thus we do no show $\mathcal{K}_{3}$ in the figure. Singular points are expressed by closed circles. Cuts are drawn by dot-dashed lines, but we here only draw nearest-neighbor cuts of $\mathcal{J}_{2}$.
from the real axis if we introduce the vector-current interaction. Next, it should be noted that we observe the Stokes phenomenon, where some of the fixed points are connected by one Lefschetz thimble in the present case. Usually, such phenomenon should be resolved by introducing the infinitely small complex quantity such as the small imaginary part of the chemical potential to deform the thimbles [19]. However, the present results are used just to show the cut and singularities and thus we do not introduce the modification.

In the present analysis, we analytically sum over the Matsubara frequencies and thus we encounter the difficulty of singular points and cuts. However, it is also true that we will encounter the same difficulty even in the case that Matsubara frequencies are not summed over analytically in the coordinate-space representation because both path-integral formulations in the momentumand coordinate-space representations are equivalent. In the lattice simulation, it is impossible to take the exact thermodynamic limit without the extrapolation and thus the present singularity issue may be weaken, but it exists in principle. Therefore, numerical calculations are safe if configurations are localized far from the singularities, but not if configurations appear around the singularities. This problem should also appear in the complex Langevin method since the method also use the flow evaluation for performing the integration process. The difficulty from the singularities becomes more serious when the dimension of the space-time becomes larger and larger. Therefore, our analysis becomes important if we apply the Lefschetz-thimble path-integral method and the complex Langevin method to the four dimensional and also higher dimensional fermionic models.

## 5. Lefschetz thimble of Wick rotated vector field

We shall now discuss the Lefschetz thimble of the Wick rotated vector field. In the previous section, we have found that the thimble of the auxiliary scalar field is the same as the original integration path when the vector field is fixed to be zero. Then we do not have a sign problem. In the case where the vector field is switched on, the auxiliary sign problem may arise as discussed in the Introduction. We adopt the same prescription as in the scalar field case; the gradient flow trajectory is required to evolve on the same Riemann sheet.

In Fig. 5, we show the Lefschetz thimble for the Wick rotated auxiliary vector field, $\omega$, at $T=10 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\mu=348.5 \mathrm{MeV}$. We have used the second flow equation in Eq. (14). The scalar field, $\sigma$,


Fig. 5. Lefschetz thimble in the complex $\omega_{4}$ plane. The solid and dashed lines show the downward and upward thimbles, $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively, and the open circle shows the fixed point.
is small at this $(T, \mu)$ and is assumed to be zero. We have found the fixed point shown in Fig. 5 by using the mean field method. The standard mean field method corresponds to searching for the fixed point with an ansatz $\omega_{4}=-i \omega_{0}$, where $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, and there is only one solution in the mean field approximation. Then $\omega_{4}$ takes the pure imaginary value at the fixed point, $\omega_{4}=-i \omega_{0} \simeq-i\left\langle q^{\dagger} q\right\rangle$, and the relevant thimble runs approximately in parallel to the original integration path, the real axis. Since there are no singular points between this thimble and the real axis as long as we have searched, the integral on this thimble agrees with that on the real axis. Thus, by constructing the integration path of the Wick rotated vector field in the complex plane, the auxiliary sign problem can be removed.

## 6. Summary

In this study, we have investigated the auxiliary sign problem which arises when the fermionic theory has the repulsive vectorfield in variables of integration after the bosonization procedure: The Boltzmann weight in the partition function should oscillate by the repulsive vector-field when we make the path-integral well-defined. If fermionic effective models do not have the sign problem and those path-integral are ill-defined after the simple bosonization procedure, the Wick rotation of the repulsive vector-field cures the illness. Necessity of this Wick rotation has been discussed in the detailed procedure of the bosonization. However, the Wick rotation induces the auxiliary sign problem. To explicitly discuss the auxiliary sign problem, we have used the two-flavor Nambu-JonaLasinio (NJL) model as an example. This model with the vectorcurrent interaction does not have the original sign problem, but its path-integral is ill-defined. The Wick rotation for the $\omega_{0}$ field which is induced from the repulsive vector-current interaction after the bosonization can make the path integral well-defined. However, the $\omega_{4}$ field induces the oscillating Boltzmann weight in the NJL partition function via the effective complex chemical potential. This auxiliary sign problem can be resolved by the Lefschetzthimble path-integral method and then the effective action satisfies following relations;
$\Gamma[z] \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim _{\omega_{4} \rightarrow \pm \infty}(-\Gamma[z])=-\infty$.
Therefore, the path integral on the Lefschetz thimbles with the Wick rotation is well-defined and then the auxiliary sign problem vanishes.

However, after using the Wick rotation and the Lefschetz thimble method, we found that there are singular points and cuts
induced by the square root and the logarithmic function in the momentum integration plane. These singularities sometimes induce the numerical instability for the gradient-flow evolution and we can not draw the Lefschetz thimble continuously. To analyze this problem, we consider the system which has either auxiliary scalar or vector fields. Then, we have proposed the new prescription for it by using the momentum integration in the complex domain to fix the gradient flow trajectories on the same Riemann sheet. In the prescription, choice of the integration path in the complexified momentum space is crucial.

This study is related with the sign problem and also the robustly defined path-integral formulation when the repulsive vector-field exists in variables of integration. The vector field is not a special concept in the path integral and thus present formulation should have wide application range in several quantum systems. It is also interesting to apply the path optimization method $[40,41]$ to systems with the vector field.
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