Kyoto University Research Info	rmation Repository
Title	The effect of trunk rotation during shoulder exercises on the activity of the scapular muscle and scapular kinematics
Author(s)	Yamauchi, Taishi; Hasegawa, Satoshi; Matsumura, Aoi; Nakamura, Masatoshi; Ibuki, Satoko; Ichihashi, Noriaki
Citation	Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery (2015), 24(6): 955-964
Issue Date	2015-06
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/232582
Right	© 2015. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 01 June 2016 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'.; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. この論文は出版社版でありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1	Title: The effect of trunk rotation during shoulder exercises on the activity of the
2	scapular muscle and scapular kinematics
3	
4	Running title: Trunk rotation during shoulder exercises
5	
6	Taishi Yamauchi, PT ^a , Satoshi Hasegawa, PT, PhD ^a , Aoi Matsumura, PT, MSc ^b ,
7	Masatoshi Nakamura, PT, PhD ^{a, d} , Satoko Ibuki, PT ^a , Noriaki Ichihashi, PT, PhD ^a
8	
9	^a Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Japan
10	^b Rehabilitation Unit, Kyoto University Hospital
11	^c Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Japan
12	^d Faculty of Health and Sports Science, Doshisha University, Japan
13	*Corresponding author: Taishi Yamauchi, PT
14	Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University
15	53 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan
16	Phone: +81-75-751-3935; Fax: +81-75-751-3909

17 E-mail: yamauchi.taishi.83z@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

18

19	Discl	aimer:

- 20 The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundations with which they are
- 21 affiliated have not received any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial
- 22 entity related to the subject of this article.
- 23 This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Kyoto University Graduate
- 24 School and Faculty of Medicine (approval no.: E1235).

26 Abstract

Background: In patients with shoulder pathology, kinetic chain exercises including hip or trunk movement are recommended. However, the actual muscle activation and scapular kinematics of these exercises are not known. The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of trunk rotation on shoulder exercises that are devised to improve scapular function.

Methods: Thirteen healthy young men participated in this study. Scaption, external rotation 31in the 1st and 2nd position, and prone scapular retraction at 45°, 90°, and 145° of shoulder 32abduction were performed with and without trunk rotation. Electromyography was used to 33 assess the scapular muscle activity of the upper trapezius (UT), middle trapezius (MT), lower 34trapezius (LT) and serratus anterior (SA), and electromagnetic motion capture was used to 3536 assess scapular motion. The muscle activity ratio, which is the activity of the UT to the MT, LT, and SA were calculated. These data were compared between two conditions (with and 37without trunk rotation) for each exercise. 38

39 Results: Adding trunk rotation to scaption, the 1st and the 2nd external rotation significantly 40 increased scapular external rotation and/or posterior tilt, and all three exercises increased LT 41 activation. Additionally, trunk rotation with scapular retraction at 90° and 145° of shoulder 42 abduction significantly decreased the UT/LT ratio.

43 Conclusions: Our findings suggest that shoulder exercises with trunk rotation in this study
44 may be effective in patients who have difficulty in enhancing LT activity and suppressing
45 excessive activation of the UT, and/or in cases where a decreased scapular external rotation
46 and/or posterior tilt is observed.

Level of evidence: Basic Science, Kinesiology Study

50	Key	words:	shoulder	exercise;	kinetic	chain;	trunk	rotation;	rehabilitation;	scapular
----	-----	--------	----------	-----------	---------	--------	-------	-----------	-----------------	----------

51 kinematics; muscle activation ratio

53 Introduction

Appropriate movement of the scapula is crucial for preventing shoulder injuries caused 54by accumulated minimal stress on the soft tissues surrounding the glenohumeral joint.^{3, 5-8, 13,} 55^{20-23, 25, 26, 34} Inadequate scapular movements and positions are known to be a commen cause 5657of shoulder dysfunction or pain, and recovery of scapular control plays a key role in shoulder rehabilitation.^{3, 13, 21, 25, 26, 34} A previous review examining the scapular kinematics 58during shoulder elevation indicated that many studies found decreased upward rotation, 59posterior tilt, and increased internal rotation of the scapula during shoulder elevation.²⁶ 60 Therefore, exercises in which the scapula moves into upward rotation, external rotation (ER), 61or posterior tilt are very important.^{24, 30, 32} 62

Froper scapular motion during arm elevation is achieved by force couples provided by the upper trapezius (UT), middle trapezius (MT), lower trapezius (LT), and serratus anterior (SA).^{5-7, 14, 16, 22, 23, 25, 36} UT and SA act in scapular upward rotation, UT in scapular elevation, and SA in scapular protraction.¹⁶ The MT and LT resist the SA during scapular protraction, and the LT resists the UT during scapular elevation; as a result, the MT and LT maintain the position of scapula and build an axis of scapular upward rotation.¹⁶ In addition, LT activity increases at $\geq 90^{\circ}$ of arm elevation and is important for scapular posterior tilt.

A failure in cooperative activation of scapular muscles, including hyperactivity of the upper trapezius (UT) in combination with poor activity of the middle trapezius (MT), lower trapezius (LT), and serratus anterior (SA), leads to inadequate scapular motion and shoulder pathologies.^{5-7, 25, 36} Therefore, the relative activity of the UT with respect to the MT, LT, and SA; i.e., the muscle activation ratios of the UT/LT, UT/MT, and UT/SA; are of particular
 importance.^{5, 25, 36}

Previous studies investigating the role of the scapula in shoulder pathology have 76focused on scapular muscle activation during shoulder rehabilitation exercises; many have 77evaluated activation using electromyography (EMG).^{5, 22, 23, 32} However, scapular kinematics 78during such exercises are not well known. Ovama et al.³² investigated scapular kinematics 79and muscle activity during six scapular retraction exercises. They reported that scapular 80 retraction with the shoulder ER at 90° abduction, and with shoulder ER at 45° abduction 81 increased in scapular ER, upward rotation, and posterior tilt.³² By knowing the scapular 82 83 kinematics during exercises from these biomechanical studies, clinicians can obtain valuable information needed for selecting proper exercises for patients with shoulder pathologies.^{32, 35} 84

Recently, kinetic chain exercises including the hip and trunk extension or diagonal movement pattern in scapular retraction exercises are drawing attention because such exercises activate the scapular muscles, in particular the LT.^{22, 23, 27} Nagai et al. examined the effect of trunk rotation added to shoulder flexion exercise in the sitting position, and reported that scapular kinematics and muscle activity were changed with trunk rotation.³¹

They reported that the ipsilaterally rotated trunk position during humeral elevation increased scapular ER and upward rotation, while a contralaterally rotated trunk position caused higher UT and SA activity and lower LT activity. In view of their research, shoulder exercises with ipsilateral trunk rotation may induce desirable scapular motion and muscle activation. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has examined scapular

movement along with the muscle activity and muscle activation ratio during various shoulder
exercises with trunk rotation.
The aim of this study was to compare the scapular kinematics and muscle activity

98 during various shoulder exercises with and without trunk rotation.

100 Materials and Methods

101 This is a cross sectional basic science kinesiology study comparing scapular 102 kinematics and muscle activity during various shoulder exercises with and without trunk 103 rotation.

104

105 **Participants**

Thirteen healthy young men (mean age, 21.5 ± 1.5 years; mean height, 172.5 ± 8.2 cm; and mean weight, 65.2 ± 7.4 kg) with no history of shoulder pathology or any complaint participated in this study. All subjects were right-handed, and the dominant shoulder was tested. The study protocol well was explained, and all subjects were fully consented with the study.

111

112 Instrumentation

Three-dimensional kinematic data was obtained from the thorax, humerus, and scapular using an electromagnetic motion capture system (Liberty, Pohlemus, Colchester, Vermont, USA) operating at a sampling rate of 120 Hz. Its System Electronics Unit generates and senses the electromagnetic fields and computes the location and orientation of each sensor. A global coordinate system was established from a transmitter fixed on a board. Electromagnetic sensors were attached on the skin overlying the sternum, acromion, midpoint of the humerus (via a molded thermoplastic cuff), and the styloid process of ulna 120 of the dominant arm. Next, in order to establish the anatomically based local coordinate systems (LCSs), the bony landmarks of the subjects were palpated and established using the 121122Liberty sensor stylus with an embedded electromagnetic sensor while they stood with their arms hanging at their side. Each LCS was defined according to the recommendations of the 123International Society of Biomechanics (ISB)³⁷. The C7 spinous process, sternal notch, 124125xiphoid process, and T8 spinous process were used to define the LCS of the thorax; the acromial angle, trigonum scapulae, and inferior angle were used to define the LCS of the 126127scapula; the midpoint of the thermoplastic cuff on the humerus and the medial/lateral 128epicondyles were used to define the LCS of the humerus; and the medial/lateral epicondyles and ulnar styloid were used to define the LCS of the forearm. Previous studies have shown 129that 3-dimensional scapular kinematics can be assessed using this method with high accuracy 130in humeral elevation angle less than 120°.^{18, 28} 131

EMG activities were collected with a sampling rate of 1,500 Hz by using the Telemyo 132DTS Telemetry system (Noraxon Inc., Scottsdale, AZ, USA). 133EMG activities and kinematic data obtained from the electromagnetic sensor were synchronized using a manual 134trigger. Four muscles (UT, MT, LT, and SA) that play key roles in scapular control were 135chosen for analysis. After the electrode sites were shaved and cleaned with scrubbing gel and 136137alcohol, electrodes with 2-cm center-to-center inter-electrode distance were applied to the skin overlying each muscle of the dominant arm according to the SENIAM 138recommendations¹⁴ and a previous study.² We chose these four muscles because these 139muscles are involved in scapular control. 5, 7, 22, 23, 32, 33 140

141

The UT electrode was placed at 50% on the line from the acromion to the spine on

142 vertebra C7; the MT electrode was placed at 50% between the medial border of the scapula 143 and the spine at the level of T3; the LT electrode was placed at 2/3 on the line from the 144 trigonum spinea to the 8th thoracic vertebra; and the SA electrode was placed over the 7th 145 rib on the anterior axillary line.

146

147 **Procedures**

Each subject performed a series of six exercises with or without trunk rotation in a 148random order to avoid systematic influences of fatigue and learning effects. The exercises 149are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. We examined functional shoulder exercises performed in the 150standing position with and without hip and trunk rotation, and scapular retraction exercises 151were performed in the prone position, which is a common MT/LT exercise, with and without 152trunk rotation. Each exercise was chosen for the following reasons. Scaption was chosen 153because it is a basic arm elevation exercise. We chose the 1st ER because LT was activated 154without excessive UT activity.^{5, 31} Further, the 2nd ER was chosen because this exercise 155involves an action similar to the late-cocking phase of the throwing motion.¹² Retraction 156exercises at 45, 90, and 145 were chosen because these exercises increased scapular ER, 157upward rotation, posterior tilt, and LT activation.³⁰ 158

With the dominant arm, subjects performed exercises initiating at the start position to the end position, i.e., up to the end range of motion. All exercises were performed in three phases—a concentric phase for 2 seconds, isometric phase for 1 second, and eccentric phase for 2 seconds—with time controlled by a metronome. For exercises with trunk and hip

163	rotation, subjects were instructed to ipsilaterally and maximally rotate their trunk and hip for
164	exercises performed in the upright position (i.e., scaption, the 1st ER, and the 2nd ER)
165	simultaneously with upper limb motion. Subjects were instructed to rotate their trunk without
166	moving their pelvis for exercises performed in the prone position (i.e., retraction at 45°
167	[retraction 45], retraction at 90° [retraction 90], and retraction at 145° [retraction 145])
168	simultaneously with upper limb motion. For exercises performed in the prone position, only
169	the trunk was rotated without including hip rotation in order to perform a stable movement.
170	All subjects completed five trials of each exercise.

171

172 Data reduction

Rotations of the distal coordinate system (humerus and scapula) were described with respect to the proximal coordinate system (thorax) using Euler angles in accordance with ISB's recommendations (Fig. 3).³⁷ The scapular angles (upward/downward rotation, external/internal rotation, and posterior/anterior tilting) and humeral elevation angles in scaption and external rotation during the 1st ER and 2nd ER were measured using custom Matlab code (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). Kinematic data were smoothed using a Butterworth low-pass digital filter (fourth order) at an estimated cutoff frequency of 4 Hz.

180 The original raw EMG signal was band-pass filtered at 20–500 Hz. The root-mean-181 squares (RMS) of the raw data were determined, and 3-s maximal voluntary contractions 182 (MVC) were calculated for each muscle. The MVC EMG activity was recorded for the UT, 183 MT, LT, and SA while the subject performed MVC against manual resistance, as previously

184	described for manual muscle testing. ¹⁹ EMG data from the MVC were used to normalize the
185	EMG amplitude (% MVC) during the testing protocol. The average RMS EMG amplitude of
186	the each muscle was normalized to each of the MVCs. For the analytical EMG data, the EMG
187	and kinematic data were synchronized using Matlab. The middle three of five trials were
188	used for analysis. The three data sets were averaged. Then the mean EMG data during the
189	concentric phase of each exercise and the amount of change in the scapular angle from start
190	to end position for each task were analyzed.
191	Since the aim of this study was to investigate the muscle balance among the scapular

Since the aim of this study was to investigate the muscle balance among the scapular muscles during these exercises, the relative activity of the UT with respect to the MT, LT, and SA was determined. The muscle activity ratios were calculated by dividing normalized EMG values of the UT by normalized EMG values of the LT, MT and SA, and was expressed as the ratios of UT/LT, UT/MT, and UT/SA.⁵ Values <1 reflected that the MT, LT, or SA muscles were more activated compared to the UT.

197

198 Statistics

199 SPSS for Windows, version 14.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for 200 the data analysis. We compared the kinematic and EMG data collected during each exercise 201 and the calculated muscle activity ratio between the two conditions (with and without trunk 202 rotation) by using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The level of statistical significance was set 203 at p < 0.05. Results are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

205 **Results**

206 Scaption

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for scaption are shown in Table 1. With trunk rotation, the angle of scapular ER and posterior tilt significantly increased, the EMG activity of the MT and LT significantly increased, and the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios significantly decreased.

211

212 1st ER

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for the 1st ER are shown in Table 2. With trunk rotation, the angle of scapular posterior tilt significantly increased, the EMG activity of the LT and SA significantly increased, and the UT/LT and UT/SA ratios significantly decreased.

217

218 **2nd ER**

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for the 2nd ER are shown in Table 3. With trunk rotation, the angle of scapular ER significantly increased, the EMG activity of the UT, MT, and LT significantly increased, the UT/MT ratio significantly decreased, and the UT/SA ratio significantly increased.

223

Retraction 45

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for retraction 45 are shown in Table 4. With trunk rotation, the scapular kinematics, EMG activity of any muscle, and the muscle activity ratio were not changed.

228

229 Retraction 90

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for retraction 90 are shown in Table 5. With trunk rotation, the angle of scapular upward rotation significantly decreased, but the scapular posterior tilting and ER were not changed. Additionally, with trunk rotation, the EMG activity of the UT significantly decreased, and the UT/MT, UT/LT, and UT/SA ratios significantly decreased.

235

236 **Retraction 145**

The results of the kinematic and EMG data and the muscle activity ratio for retraction 145 are shown in Table 6. With trunk rotation, the angle of scapular upward rotation significantly decreased, but the scapular posterior tilting and ER were not changed. Additionally, with trunk rotation, the EMG activity of the UT and SA significantly decreased, the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios significantly decreased, but the UT/SA ratio significantly increased.

244 **Discussion**

This study examined the effects of hip and trunk rotation on the scapular kinematics and the muscle activity during a series of six exercises. To the best of our knowledge, no study has examined scapular movement along with muscle activity and the muscle activation ratio during various shoulder exercises with trunk rotation.

In prior studies examining scapular muscle activity during shoulder exercises 249250including hip and trunk movement, knee push up plus with contralateral leg extended and scapular retraction in a lunge position with contralateral leg forward increase LT activation.^{23,} 251²⁷ It is also known that scapular retraction exercises with hip and trunk ipsilateral rotation 252increase LT activity.²² In the current study, three exercises performed in the upright position 253254(scaption, the 1st ER, and the 2nd ER) with maximum ipsilateral hip and trunk rotation increased LT activation and scapular ER and/or posterior tilt. Exercises performed in the 255prone position (retraction 45, 90, and 145) with maximum ipsilateral trunk rotation did not 256change LT activity but decreased UT activity and the UT/LT ratio. Each exercise is discussed 257below. 258

259

260 **Elevation of the arm - Scaption**

Scaption is a motion that frequently causes pain in the shoulder. To prevent impingement and stress to the subacromial tissues, proper scapular motion; i.e., sufficient scapular upward rotation, ER, and posterior tilt; is essential during arm elevation.^{13, 24} One factor preventing proper scapular motion is excess activation of the UT, accompanied by

decreased activation of the LT, MT, and SA. ^{5-7, 25, 36}

266In scaption with trunk rotation, the angle of scapular ER and posterior tilt were significantly increased, and the EMG activities of the MT and LT were significantly increased. 267In elevation of the arm, thoracic ipsilateral rotation and scapular ER have a positive 268correlation.⁹ Besides, ipsilaterally rotated trunk position during humeral elevation promoted 269scapular ER.³¹ In our study, ipsilateral trunk rotation increased scapular ER, which is 270consistent with these previous studies.^{9, 31} Kibler et al.²² have proposed that scapular 271retraction exercise with ipsilateral trunk rotation highly activates the LT. It is possible that 272ipsilateral trunk rotation increased the LT activation, which caused scapular ER and posterior 273274tilt.

275Clinically, muscle activity below 20% is considered low, activity between 20-40% is moderate, activity between 40–60% is high, and activity greater than 60% is very high.¹¹ In 276scaption with trunk rotation, the LT activity reached 25.7 \pm 14.4%, which is considered 277278moderate. Moreover, the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios decreased, because trunk rotation 279increased the MT and LT but did not change the UT activation. These results suggest that scaption with trunk rotation is more effective than normal scaption for stimulating the LT 280without excessive activation of the UT. Furthermore, considering the specific adaptation to 281the imposed demands principle, it is important to induce the desirable motion of the scapula 282283and muscle in a practical motion such as scaption by adding trunk rotation. Therefore, patients with shoulder pathology with decreased scapular ER, posterior tilt, and decreased 284LT activation during elevation of the arm may benefit from this type of exercise. 285

286

287 Shoulder external rotation - 1st ER and 2nd ER

The 1st ER is typically performed for strengthening the infraspinatus^{1, 8} however, in 288289this study, we focused on the scapular kinematics and the scapular muscle activity. Cools et al.⁵ recommended the 1st ER for strengthening the scapular muscles due to their low UT/LT 290and UT/MT ratios. In the 1st ER with trunk rotation, the angle of scapular ER was not 291changed, but the EMG activity of the LT significantly increased and the UT/LT ratio was 292significantly decreased. Although LT activity is low during the 1st ER with trunk rotation 293294 $(12.5\% \pm 8.7\%)$, the extremely low UT/LT ratio of this exercise may be beneficial for retraining neuromuscular control of scapular muscles, especially in the initial stage of 295296rehabilitation. Therefore, the 1st ER with trunk rotation may enhance LT activity especially as an initial therapeutic exercise in patients with excessive UT activation. 297

The 2nd ER is an action similar to the late-cocking phase of the throwing motion,¹² so 298299this exercise is important for overhead-throwing athletes. Previous studies have proposed the 300 concept of internal impingement of the posterior cuff, which is a pathologic contact in the 2nd ER position between the greater tuberosity and the posterosuperior glenoid rim, often 301 observed in overhead athletes with shoulder pain.^{10, 17} Mihata et al.²⁹ reported that increased 302303 scapular internal rotation significantly increased glenohumeral contact pressure and the area 304 of impingement during a simulated throwing motion. In the 2nd ER with trunk rotation, the 305angle of scapular ER significantly increased, and the EMG activity of the UT, MT, and LT 306 significantly increased. Therefore, the 2nd ER with trunk rotation may be beneficial to

307 overhead-throwing athletes who have shoulder pain due to decreased trapezius muscle
 308 activation and scapular ER at the late-cocking phase.

309

Scapular retraction - retraction 45, retraction 90, and retraction 145

311 Scapular retraction exercises in various positions have been widely used to strengthen the scapular retractor muscles, particularly the MT and LT.^{3, 32} With regards to scapular 312kinematics, Oyama et al.³² showed that the general pattern of scapular kinematics observed 313 during most retraction exercises were scapular ER, upward rotation, and posterior tilt. In the 314 315present study, no difference in the scapular kinematics was observed in retraction exercises 316 with and without trunk rotation. We assume this is because significant scapular ER already occurs during retraction exercises without trunk rotation, with no additional scapular 317318movement occurring with trunk rotation.

In retraction 90 and retraction 145 with trunk rotation, the EMG activity of the UT significantly decreased, and the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios significantly decreased. However, in retraction 45 with trunk rotation, no change in the EMG activity was observed. We speculate that because the UT activity was low in retraction 45 without trunk rotation (11.1 \pm 10.6%), further reduction of the UT did not occur in retraction 45 with trunk rotation.

Trunk rotation further decreases the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios, and these findings suggest that adding trunk rotation to retraction 90 may be more beneficial for trapezius muscle balance rehabilitation. Retraction 90 with trunk rotation in this study was performed in a position similar to the 2nd ER and emphasizes more scapular ER. Considering this,

retraction 90 with trunk rotation may be useful especially in overhead-throwing athletes with
shoulder pain due to decreased scapular ER at the late-cocking phase.

Retraction 145 is used for manual muscle testing of the LT¹⁹ but the UT is highly activated simultaneously with the LT.^{5, 32} However, retraction 145 with trunk rotation showed decreased UT activation and a decreased UT/LT ratio. In addition, the LT activation in retraction 145 with trunk rotation increased to $60.2 \pm 29.9\%$, which is very high activity. Therefore, retraction 145 with trunk rotation may be adequate for strengthening the LT without excessive UT activation for patients whose primary problem is LT weakness.

336 Limitations

Some limitations of this study needs to be considered. First, kinematic data of the scapula are reliable in humeral elevation angles less than 120°,¹⁸ but scaption and retraction 145 are exercises at humeral elevation greater than 120°. Thus, scapular angle values for these exercises should be interpreted cautiously. Nevertheless, our purpose of this study was to compare the data with and without trunk rotation in the same exercise, so the error, which could occur due to high shoulder elevation angle, may be discounted.

Second, since we determined the muscle activation during movements, conduction velocity may affect the amplitude and frequency characteristics of the EMG signal. The EMG date may be influenced by the change of the skin condition and the artifact caused from the movements. ⁴ We should also consider crosstalk, which reflects the activity of the adjacent muscles.⁴

348 Third, we evaluated four scapular muscles using surface EMG, but other deeper

muscles such as the levator scapulae, rhomboids, and the pectoralis minor were not evaluated in this study.⁵ The limited number of muscles tested in this study did not allow for accurate analysis of the relationship between the scapular muscle activation and the scapular kinematics.

Fourth, hip and trunk rotation angle were not evaluated in this study. We directed the subjects to rotate their trunk or trunk and hip maximally, but there might be an appropriate amount or threshold of hip and trunk rotation angle required to optimize scapular function. In addition, though adding trunk and hip rotation to shoulder exercises increased muscle activation or scapular movement, it is not possible to differentiate the effects of hip and trunk movement on the change in scapular movement and scapular muscle activation from our study. In order to know this, further study is needed.

Lastly, when prescribing these exercises in rehabilitation programs for patients with shoulder pathology, clinicians should consider whether our results apply, because patients may produce different results. Likewise, when adding external loads in these exercises, it may or may not show similar results to this research. Future investigations should perform evaluations with shoulder patients or with external loads. 365

366 Conclusion

We investigated the effect of ipsilateral trunk rotation during shoulder exercises on the scapula. Scaption, the 1st ER, and the 2nd ER with trunk rotation significantly increased scapular ER or posterior tilt and LT activation. Retraction 90 and retraction 145 with trunk rotation significantly decreased UT activation and decreased the UT/MT and UT/LT ratios. Our findings suggest that the shoulder exercises with trunk rotation used in this study may be effective in patients who have decreased activity of the LT and excessive activation of the UT or in cases where a decreased scapular external rotation or posterior tilt is observed.

375 **References**

376 I. Bitter	NL, Clisd	y EF, Jon	es MA.	Magarev	ME,	Jaberzadeh S.	Sandow	MJ. Rela	ıtıve
---------------	-----------	-----------	--------	---------	-----	---------------	--------	----------	-------

- 377 contributions of infraspinatus and deltoid during external rotation in healthy shoulders.
- 378 J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2007;16:563-8. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2006.11.007
- 2. Boettcher CE, Ginn KA, Cathers I. Standard maximum isometric voluntary contraction
- tests for normalizing shoulder muscle EMG. J Orthop Res. 2008;26:1591-7.
- 381 doi:10.1002/jor.20675
- 382 3. Burkhart SS, Morgan CD, Kibler WB. The disabled throwing shoulder: spectrum of
- 383pathology Part III: The SICK scapula, scapular dyskinesis, the kinetic chain, and
- 384 rehabilitation. Arthroscopy. 2003;19:641-61. doi:10.1016/S0749-8063(03)00389-X
- 4. Carlo J, De luca; The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics; Journal of
 Applied Biomechanics 1997;13:135-163
- 5. Cools AM, Dewitte V, Lanszweert F, Notebaert D, Roets A, Soetens B et al.
- 388 Rehabilitation of scapular muscle balance: which exercises to prescribe? Am J Sports
- 389 Med. 2007;35:1744-51. doi:10.1177/0363546507303560
- 390 6. Cools AM, Witvrouw EE, Declercq GA, Vanderstraeten GG, Cambier DC. Evaluation
- 391 of isokinetic force production and associated muscle activity in the scapular rotators
- during a protraction-retraction movement in overhead athletes with impingement
- 393 symptoms. Br J Sports Med. 2004;38:64-8. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2003.004952

394	7.	Cools AM, Witvrouw EE, Mahieu NN, Danneels LA. Isokinetic scapular muscle
395		performance in overhead athletes with and without impingement symptoms. J Athl
396		Train. 2005;40:104-10.
397	8.	Cricchio M, Frazer C; Scapulothoracic and Scapulohumeral Exercises: A Narrative
398		Review of Electromyographic Studies; Journal of hand therapy; 2011;4:322–34.
399		doi:10.1016/j.jht.2011.06.001
400	9.	Crosbie J, Kilbreath SL, Hollmann L, York S. Scapulohumeral rhythm and associated
401		spinal motion. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2008;23:184-92.
402		doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2007.09.012
403	10.	Davidson PA, Elattrache NS, Jobe CM, Jobe FW. Rotator cuff and posterior-superior
404		glenoid labrum injury associated with increased glenohumeral motion: a new site of
405		impingement. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 1995;4:384-90.
406	11.	Digiovine NM, Jobe FW, Pink M, Perry J. An electromyographic analysis of the upper
407		extremity in pitching. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 1992;1:15-25.
408	12.	Glousman R, Jobe F, Tibone J, Moynes D, Antonelli D, Perry J. Dynamic
409		electromyographic analysis of the throwing shoulder with glenohumeral instability. J
410		Bone Joint Surg Am. 1988;70:1428-9.
411	13.	Graichen H, Bonel H, Stammberger T, Haubner M, Rohrer H, Englmeier KH, et al.
412		Three-dimensional analysis of the width of the subacromial space in healthy subjects

413		and patients with impingement syndrome. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1999;172:1081-6.
414		doi:10.2214/ajr.172.4.10587151
415	14.	Ha SM, Kwon OY, Cynn HS, Lee WH, Park KN, Kim SH, et al. Comparison of
416		electromyographic activity of the lower trapezius and serratus anterior muscle in
417		different arm-lifting scapular posterior tilt exercises. Phys Ther Sport. 2012;13(4):227-
418		32. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2011.11.002.
419	15.	Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Merletti R, Hägg G, Stegeman D, Blok J. SENIAM 8: Eur
420		recomm surf electromyogr. 1999.
421	16.	Johnson G, Bogduk N, Nowitzke A, House D. Anatomy and actions of the trapezius
422		muscle. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 1994;9(1):44-50. doi: 10.1016/0268-
423		0033(94)90057-4.
424	17.	Kaplan LD, McMahon PJ, Towers J, Irrgang JJ, Rodosky MW. Internal impingement:
425		findings on magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic evaluation. Arthroscopy.
426		2004;20:701-4. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2004.06.006
427	18.	Karduna AR, McClure PW, Michener LA, Sennett B. Dynamic measurements of three-
428		dimensional scapular kinematics: a validation study. J Biomech Eng 2001;123:184–90.
429		doi:10.1115/1.1351892
430	19.	Kendall FP, McCreary EK, Provanoe PG, Rodgers M, Romani W. Muscles: testing and
431		function, with posture and pain, North American Edition. Baltimore: Lippincott
432		Williams & Wilkins; 2005. ISBN No. 9781451104318

- 433 20. Kibler WB. The role of the scapula in athletic shoulder function. Am J Sports Med.
 434 1998;26:325-37.
- 435 21. Kibler WB, Sciascia A. Current concepts: scapular dyskinesis. Br J Sports Med.
- 436 2010;44:300–5. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.058834
- 437 22. Kibler WB, Sciascia AD, Uhl TL, Tambay N, Cunningham T. Electromyographic
- 438 analysis of specific exercises for scapular control in early phases of shoulder
- 439 rehabilitation. The American Journal of Sports Medicine. 2008;36:1789-98. doi:
- 440 10.1177/0363546508316281
- 441 23. Kristof DM, Danneels L, Cagnie B, Van den Bosch L, Flier J, Cools AM. Kinetic chain
- influences on upper and lower trapezius muscle activation during eight variations of a
- scapular retraction exercise in overhead athletes. J Sci Med Sport. 2013;16:65-70. doi:
- 444 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.04.008
- 445 24. Ludewig PM, Braman JP. Shoulder impingement: biomechanical considerations in
 446 rehabilitation. 2011;16:33-9. doi:10.1016/j.math.2010.08.004
- Ludewig PM, Cook TM. Alterations in shoulder kinematics and associated muscle
 activity in people with symptoms of shoulder impingement. Phys Ther. 2000;80:276–
- 449 **91**.
- 450 26. Ludewig PM, Reynolds JF. The association of scapular kinematics and glenohumeral
- 451 joint pathologies. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2009;39:90–104.
- 452 doi:10.2519/jospt.2009.2808

453	27.	Maenhout A, Van Praet K, Pizzi L, Van Herzeele M, Cools A. Electromyographic
454		analysis of knee push up plus variations: what is the influence of the kinetic chain on
455		scapular muscle activity? Br J Sports Med. 2010;44:1010-5.
456		doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.062810.
	20	McQuada KI. Smidt CI. Demonia accordations and shothers the offects of external
407	28.	McQuade KJ, Shildt GL. Dynamic scapulonumeral mythin: the effects of external
458		resistance during elevation of the arm in the scapular plane. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther
459		1998;27:125-33. doi:10.2519/jospt.1998.27.2.125
100	•	
460	29.	Mihata T, McGarry MH, Kinoshita M, Lee TQ. Excessive glenohumeral horizontal
461		abduction as occurs during the late cocking phase of the throwing motion can be
462		critical for internal impingement. Am J Sports Med. 2010;38:369-74.
463		doi:10.1177/0363546509346408.
464	30.	Mottram SL, Woledge RC, Morrissey D. Motion analysis study of a scapular
405		orientation everying and subjects' ability to learn the everying. Man Ther. 2000;14:12.9
400		orientation exercise and subjects ability to learn the exercise. Man Ther. 2009,14.15-6.
466		doi:10.1016/j.math.2007.07.008
467	31.	Nagai K, Tateuchi H, Takashima S, Miyasaka J, Hasegawa S, Arai R, et al. Effects of
468		trunk rotation on scapular kinematics and muscle activity during humeral elevation. J
469		Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2013;23:679-87. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2013.01.012
470	32.	Oyama S, Myers JB, Wassinger CA, Lephart SM. Three-dimensional scapular and
471		clavicular kinematics and scapular muscle activity during retraction exercises. J Orthop
472		Sports Phys Ther. 2010;40(3):169-79. doi:10.2519/jospt.2010.3018

473	33.	McCabe RA, Orishimo KF, McHugh MP, Nicholas SJ. Surface electromygraphic
474		analysis of the lower trapezius muscle during exercises performed below ninety
475		degrees of shoulder elevation in healthy subjects. N Am J Sports Phys Ther.
476		2007 ;2(1):34-43.
477	34.	Struyf F, Nijs J, Baeyens JP, Mottram S, Meeusen R. Scapular positioning and
478		movement in unimpaired shoulders, shoulder impingement syndrome, and
479		glenohumeral instability. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2011;21:352-8. doi:10.1111/j.1600-
480		0838.2010.01274.
481	35.	Thigpen CA, Padua DA, Morgan N, Kreps C, Karas SG. Scapular kinematics during
482		supraspinatus rehabilitation exercise: a comparison of full-can versus empty-can
483		techniques. Am J Sports Med. 2006;34:644-52. doi: 10.1177/0363546505281797
484	36.	Wadsworth DJS, Bullock-Saxton JE. Recruitment patterns of the scapular rotator
485		muscles in freestyle swimmers with subacromial impingement. Int J Sports Med.
486		1997;18(8):618-624. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-972692
487	37.	Wu G, van der Helm FC, Veeger HE, Makhsous M, Van Roy P, Anglin C, et al. ISB
488		recommendation on definitions of joint coordinate systems of various joints for the
489		reporting of human joint motion – Part II: Shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand. J Biomech
490		2005;38:981-92. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2004.05.042
491		

- 493 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during scaption.
- 494 mean ± standard deviation; *, p <0.05; **, p <0.01

		Without rotation	With rotation
	External rotation	1.9 ± 13.4	15.5 ± 11.9**
Scapular angle (°)	Upward rotation	38.4 ± 10.7	35.4 ± 10.3
	Posterior tilt	17.2 ± 9.7	$20.5\pm7.2*$
Mussle activation	UT	16.1 ± 7.7	17.1 ± 7.5
(0/ maximal valuatory	MT	6.4 ± 5.0	$8.8 \pm 5.1*$
(% maximal voluntary contractions)	LT	15.2 ± 8.3	25.7 ± 14.4**
	SA	25.4 ± 9.7	25.1 ± 13.7
	UT/MT	3.6 ± 2.7	$2.4 \pm 1.5^{*}$
Muscle activity ratio	UT/LT	1.3 ± 0.7	$0.8 \pm 0.5*$
	UT/SA	0.7 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.6

- 496 **Table 2**
- 497 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during the 1st external
- 498 rotation.
- 499 mean \pm standard deviation; *, p <0.05; **, p <0.01

		Without rotation	With rotation
Scapular angle (°)	External rotation	21.4 ± 8.0	25.6 ± 9.1
	Upward rotation	-2.1 ± 2.8	0 ± 3.2
	Posterior tilt	-3.5 ± 2.9	-0.9 ± 2.1 **
Mussle activation	UT	2.3 ± 1.9	2.2 ± 2.0
Muscle activation (% maximal voluntary contraction)	MT	5.7 ± 3.4	7.8 ± 7.3
	LT	8.2 ± 4.9	12.5 ± 8.7**
	SA	0.9 ± 0.4	$1.8 \pm 0.7 **$
Muscle activity ratio	UT/MT	0.5 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2
	UT/LT	0.3 ± 0.3	$0.2 \pm 0.2*$
	UT/SA	3.1 ± 3.3	1.4 ± 1.1**

501 **Table 3**

502 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during the 2nd external

- 503 rotation.
- $504 \qquad \text{mean} \pm \text{standard deviation; *, } p <\!\! 0.05; **, p <\!\! 0.01$

		Without rotation	With rotation
Scapular angle (°)	External rotation	15.2 ± 5.2	20.4 ± 7.3**
	Upward rotation	2.8 ± 4.6	3.6 ± 4.4
	Posterior tilt	15.0 ± 4.8	15.5 ± 6.2
Muscle activation (% maximal voluntary contraction)	UT	8.1 ± 3.6	$11.4 \pm 5.1 **$
	МТ	8.1 ± 3.8	$14.8 \pm 7.2 **$
	LT	19.6 ± 12.0	$29.3 \pm 18.9 **$
	SA	23.5 ± 15.4	16.2 ± 9.9**
Muscle activity ratio	UT/MT	1.1 ± 0.6	$1.0 \pm 0.7 *$
	UT/LT	0.5 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.4
	UT/SA	0.5 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 2.9**

- 507 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during retraction 45.
- 508 mean ± standard deviation; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01

		Without rotation	With rotation
Scapular angle (°)	External rotation	22.5 ± 9.0	25.4 ± 8.8
	Upward rotation	-4.0 ± 8.4	-5.6 ± 7.9
	Posterior tilt	0 ± 5.9	-1.2 ± 6.1
Muscle activation (% maximal voluntary contraction)	UT	11.1 ± 10.6	8.3 ± 6.1
	MT	24.9 ± 13.1	28.9 ± 15.9
	LT	35.9 ± 18.6	33.4 ± 17.2
	SA	3.4 ± 6.6	2.0 ± 0.8
Muscle activity ratio	UT/MT	0.5 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.2
	UT/LT	0.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2
	UT/SA	10. 2 ± 12.1	$4.9\pm4.2^{*}$

- 511 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during retraction 90.
- 512 mean ± standard deviation; *, p <0.05; **, p <0.01

		Without rotation	With rotation
Scapular angle (°)	External rotation	23.2 ± 8.5	24.9 ± 9.8
	Upward rotation	-2.7 ± 7.3	$-8.9 \pm 12.5^{*}$
	Posterior tilt	6.5 ± 8.5	6.7 ± 10.7
Muscle activation (% maximal voluntary contraction)	UT	24.2 ± 12.2	18.2 ± 11.5**
	MT	38.4 ± 17.9	35.3 ± 14.2
	LT	53.5 ± 25.0	52.7 ± 27.0
	SA	4.6 ± 7.5	4.8 ± 6.0
Muscle activity ratio	UT/MT	0.9 ± 0.9	$0.6 \pm 0.4*$
	UT/LT	0.5 ± 0.3	$0.4 \pm 0.2*$
	UT/SA	18.7 ± 17.1	$9.3 \pm 9.5 **$

- 515 Scapular angle, muscle activation, and the muscle activity ratio during retraction 145.
- 516 mean ± standard deviation; *, p <0.05; **, p <0.01

		Without rotation	With rotation
Scapular angle (°)	External rotation	32.4 ± 11.5	30.8 ± 8.7
	Upward rotation	6.1 ± 7.0	$-2.6 \pm 12.0^{*}$
	Posterior tilt	23.5 ± 10.3	15.3 ± 10.0
Muscle activation (% maximal voluntary contraction)	UT	30.6 ± 15.9	$20.2 \pm 7.2*$
	MT	25.2 ± 10.6	27.7 ± 17.3
	LT	56.7 ± 28.4	60.2 ± 29.9
	SA	16.9 ± 9.3	8.3 ± 7.1**
Muscle activity ratio	UT/MT	1.5 ± 1.3	$1.0\pm0.6*$
	UT/LT	0.7 ± 0.6	$0.4 \pm 0.2 **$
	UT/SA	2.5 ± 1.7	4.2 ± 3.0*

517



520 **Fig. 1.** Exercises performed in the upright position

521 1) Scaption: Each subject stood with the shoulder in neutral position while performing
522 maximum elevation of the arms in the plane of the scapula (30° anterior of the frontal
523 plane).

- 524 2) 1st external rotation (ER): Each subject stood with the shoulder at 45° internal rotation
- and the elbow at 90° flexion while performing maximum external rotation of the shoulder
- 526 (a towel was positioned between the trunk and elbow to avoid compensatory movements).
- 527 3) 2nd ER: Each subject stood with the shoulder at 90° abduction and the elbow at 90°
- 528 flexion while performing maximum external rotation of the shoulder.
- 529 During trunk rotation, all subjects were instructed to maximally rotate their trunk and hip.

1) retraction 45



Start position



End position without rotation



End position with rotation



Start position



End position without rotation



End position with rotation



530

531 **Fig. 2.** Exercises performed in the prone position

532	4) Retraction 45°: Each subject in the prone position with the shoulder at 45° abduction and
533	90° external rotation with the elbow at 90° flexion performed maximum scapular retraction.
534	5) Retraction 90°: Each subject in the prone position with the shoulder at 90° abduction and
535	90° external rotation with the elbow at 90° flexion performed maximum scapular retraction.
536	6) Retraction 145°: Each subject in the prone position with the shoulder at 145° abduction
537	and his thumb pointing toward the ceiling performed maximum scapular retraction.
538	During trunk rotation, all subjects were instructed to maximally rotate their trunk without
539	moving their pelvis.



540

541 Fig. 3. Anatomic landmarks used for digitization and coordination of axes for each542 segment.

- 544 rotation; DR, downward rotation; ER, external rotation; IR, internal rotation; PT, posterior
- tilt; AT, anterior tilt. B) Humerus: ME, medial epicondyle; LE, lateral epicondyle. B')
- 546 Humerus: US, ulnar styloid. C) Thorax: C7, C7 spinous process; T8, T8 spinous process;
- 547 SN, sternal notch; XP, xiphoid process.

⁵⁴³ A) Scapular: AA, acromial angle; TS, trigonum scapulae; IA, inferior angle; UR, upward