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#### Abstract

We show that there exists an effective upper bound for the solutions to the Nagell－ Ljunggren equation of the form $\frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1}=y^{q}$ in 4 unknowns in integers $x>1, y>1, m>2, q>$ 1 ，when $x$ is a cube of an integer．Our method relies on a refined estimate of linear forms in logarithms．


## 1．Introduction

It is a longstanding conjecture that the exponential Diophantine equation in four unknowns， so－called the Nagell－Ljunggren equation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1}=y^{q} \quad \text { in integers } x>1, y>1, m>2, q>1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finitely many solutions $(x, y, m, q)$ ．Nagell and Ljunggren confirmed［12］［15］［16］that apart from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3^{5}-1}{3-1}=11^{2}, \quad \frac{7^{4}-1}{7-1}=20^{2}, \quad \frac{18^{3}-1}{18-1}=7^{3}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation（1）has no solution $(x, y, m, q)$ if either one of the following conditions is satisfied：
（i）$q=2$ ，（ii） $3 \mid m$ ，（iii） $4 \mid m$ ，（iv）$q=3$ and $m \not \equiv 5$（mod） 6 ．
It remains unknown to date whether the number of the solutions is finite or not，and there is no known solution other than those of（2）．It is widely believed that there is no other solution． The problem requires us when it happens a perfect power of an integer to be written with all digits equal to 1 in base $x$ ．Shorey and Tijdeman［22］proved that the equation（1）has only finitely many solutions $(x, y, m, q)$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied：
（i）$x$ is fixed，（ii）$m$ has a fixed prime factor，（iii）$y$ has a fixed prime factor．This assertion is effective．

It is mentioned by Shorey［20］that the $a b c$ conjecture implies the finiteness of the solutions to the equation（1）．

Since the case $q=2$ is solved，there is no loss of generality in assuming that $q$ is an odd prime．The fact that there is no other solution with $m$ even follows from the affirmative answer of Catalan＇s conjecture due to Mihăilescu［14］．Note that it is still an open problem to prove in general the equation（1）has only finitely many solutions of form $(x, y, q, q)$ ．

[^0]Now we consider the Nagell-Ljunggren equation under the condition that $x$ is a power. Bugeaud, Mignotte, Roy and Shorey [7] proved that the equation (1) has no solution whenever $x$ is a square. Hirata-Kohno and Shorey [9] considered an analogous question when $x=z^{\mu}$ where $z>1, \mu \geq 3$ and they showed that the equation (1) with $x=z^{\mu}$ with $q>2(\mu-1)(2 \mu-3)$ has only finitely many solutions effectively bounded depending only on $\mu$.

In this paper, we show that the constant giving an upper bound for the height of the solutions, can be improved using a refinement of a lower bound for the linear forms in logarithms of form $\left|b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} \log \alpha_{n}\right|$.
Theorem 1.1 (Hirata-Kohno, Kovács and Miyazaki). Let $z>1$ be an integer. Assume $q \neq$ $5,7,11$. Then there exists an effectively computable absolute constant $C>0$ satisfying the following statement. Suppose $(x, y, m, q)$ is a solution to the equation (1) with $x=z^{3}$. Then we have $\max (x, y, m, q) \leq C$.

We may derive the finiteness of the solutions to the equation (1) of Theorem 1.1 from [9], however, our new ingredient here for the proof is based on an advantage of the factor $\log E$ in [11] and [18] appeared in a lower bound for the linear forms in logarithms. We use a lower bound obtained by Bugeaud in [5] which is again precisely calculated by the third author.

Note that the result is due to Inkeri when $\mu=q=3$ (Lemma 4, [10]). Bugeaud and Mignotte proved if $\mu=q$, there is no solution in $(x, y, m, q)$ (Théorème $9,[6])$, and this statement follows from a theorem of Bennett on the Thue equation [3] showing, when $a>b \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$, that the equation

$$
\left|a x^{n}-b y^{n}\right|=1
$$

has at most 1 solution in positive integers $(x, y)$ (indeed, if $\mu=q$, we suppose that there exists $z>1, y>1, q \leq 3, m \leq 3$ with $z^{q m}-1=\left(z^{q}-1\right) y^{q}$, then consider the equation $z^{q} Z^{q}-\left(z^{q}-1\right) Y^{q}=1$ where Bennett's theorem can be applied to conclude the statement).

In 2007, Bugeaud and Mihăilescu showed $\omega(m) \leq 4$ if $(x, y, m, q)$ is a solution to the equation (1) [8] and it was improved to $\omega(m) \leq 3$ by Bennett and Levin [4].

## 2. Outline of the proof

Proposition 2.1 (Consequence of Lemma 2 of [9]). The equation (1) with $x=z^{3}$ implies that either $\max (x, y, m, q)$ is bounded by a positive effective constant, or

$$
\frac{z^{m}-1}{z-1}=y_{1}^{q}, \quad \frac{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1}{z^{2}+z+1}=y_{2}^{q}
$$

where $y_{1}>1$ and $y_{2}>1$ are relatively prime integers such that $y_{1} y_{2}=y$.
The next lemma states approximations of certain algebraic numbers by rationals using Padé approximations found in [5] which is a precise statement of Shorey and Nesterenko [17]. This also improves Lemma 3 of [9].

Lemma 2.2. Let $A, B, K$ and $n$ be positive integers such that $A>B, K<n, n \geq 3$ and $\omega=(B / A)^{1 / n}$ is not a rational number. For $0<\phi<1$, put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta=1+\frac{2-\phi}{K}, s=\frac{\delta}{1-\phi}, \\
u_{1}=40^{n(K+1)(s+1) /(K s-1)}, u_{2}^{-1}=K 2^{K+s+1} 40^{n(K+1)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that

$$
A(A-B)^{-\delta} u_{1}^{-1}>1
$$
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Then

$$
\left|\omega-\frac{p}{q}\right|>\frac{u_{2}}{A q^{K(s+1)}}
$$

for all integers $p$ and $q$ with $q>0$.
Now we apply the lemma above to prove the statement whenever $q$ is fixed. We show:
Proposition 2.3. The equation (1) with $x=z^{3}$ and the condition $q \neq 5,7,11$ implies that max $(x, y, m)$ is bounded by an effectively computable number depending only on $q$.

The proposition 2.3 is proven as follows. Let us consider the equation (1) with $x=z^{3}$. Recall that Shorey and Tijdeman showed that the equation (1) has only finitely many solutions if either $x$ is fixed or $m$ has a fixed prime divisor. Then we may assume that min $(m, z)$ exceeds a sufficiently large constant depending only on $q$. By Proposition 2.1, we may suppose $\frac{z^{m}-1}{z-1}=y_{1}^{q}, \frac{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1}{z^{2}+z+1}=y_{2}^{q}$, namely $(z-1) y_{1}^{q}=z^{m}-1,\left(z^{2}+z+1\right) y_{2}^{q}=z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1$. thus $0<\left(z^{2}+z+1\right) y_{2}^{q}-(z-1)^{2} y_{1}^{2 q} \leq 3 z^{m}$ which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left|\left(\frac{(z-1)^{2}}{z^{2}+z+1}\right)^{1 / q}-\frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}^{2}}\right|<\frac{6 z^{m}}{z^{2} y_{1}^{2 q}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But Lemma 2.2 with $A=z^{2}+z+1, B=(z-1)^{2}$ gives a contradiction against the upper bound above.

Now it remains to show that the equation (1) with $x=z^{3}$ implies that $q$ is bounded. The proof uses a lower bound for the linear forms in logarithms.

The following result is a precise version of [11, Corollaire 3], whose advantage comes from the role of $\log E$ in a lower bound for the linear forms in logarithms.

Proposition 2.4. Let $X_{1} / Y_{1}$ and $X_{2} / Y_{2}$ be multiplicatively independent rational numbers greater than the unity. Let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be positive integers. We consider the linear form

$$
\Lambda=b_{2} \log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)-b_{1} \log \left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right) .
$$

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be positive real numbers such that

$$
\log A_{i} \geqslant \max \left\{\log x_{i}, 1\right\} \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Let $E \geqslant 3$ be a real number such that

$$
E \leqslant 1+\min \left\{\frac{\log A_{1}}{\log \left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right)}, \frac{\log A_{2}}{\log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

Assume that

$$
E \leqslant \min \left\{A_{1}^{3 / 2}, A_{2}^{3 / 2}\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\log |\Lambda| \geqslant-35.1\left(\log A_{1}\right)\left(\log A_{2}\right)(\log B)^{2}(\log E)^{-3}
$$

where

$$
\log B=\max \left\{\log \left(\frac{b_{1}}{\log A_{2}}+\frac{b_{2}}{\log A_{1}}\right)+\log \log E+0.47,10 \log E\right\} .
$$

Using this result, we show the following.

Corollary 2.5. Let $X_{1} / Y_{1}$ and $X_{2} / Y_{2}$ be multiplicatively independent rational numbers greater than the unity. Assume that

$$
X_{1} \geqslant 3, \quad X_{2} \geqslant 3, \quad \frac{X_{2}}{Y_{2}} \leqslant \frac{4}{3}
$$

Let $b_{1}$ be a positive integer. We consider the linear form

$$
\Lambda=\log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)-b_{1} \log \left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right)
$$

Assume that $|\Lambda|$ is not zero and that

$$
X_{2} / Y_{2}>X_{1} / Y_{1}
$$

Define $\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon<1$ by

$$
\frac{X_{2}}{Y_{2}}=1+\frac{1}{X_{2}^{1-\varepsilon}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\log |\Lambda| \geqslant-35.1 \frac{\left(\log X_{1}\right) \log X_{2}}{\min \left\{\log X_{1},(1-\varepsilon) \log X_{2}\right\}}\left(\log b_{1}+10\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. We may take $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. It suffices to show that we can take $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E=\min \left\{\log X_{1},(1-\varepsilon) \log X_{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if so, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \left(\frac{b_{1}}{\log X_{2}}+\frac{1}{\log X_{1}}\right)+\log \log E \\
\leqslant & \log \left(\frac{b_{1}}{\log X_{2}}+\frac{1}{\log X_{1}}\right)+\log \log \min \left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\} \\
\leqslant & \log \left(b_{1}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\log B)^{2}(\log E)^{-3} & \leqslant \max \left\{\log \left(b_{1}+1\right)+0.47,10 \log E\right\}^{2} \cdot(\log E)^{-3} \\
& =\max \left\{\frac{\log \left(b_{1}+1\right)+0.47}{\log E}, 10\right\}^{2} \cdot(\log E)^{-1} \\
& \leqslant \max \left\{\frac{\log \left(b_{1}+1\right)+0.47}{\log 3}, 10\right\}^{2} \cdot(\log E)^{-1} \\
& \leqslant\left(\log b_{1}+10\right)^{2} \cdot(\log E)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Proposition 2.4 gives us the desired inequality. So, we define $E$ by (4). We are left with checking that all required inequalities on $E$ hold.

First, we show $E \geqslant 3$. For this, it suffices to check that $X_{2}^{1-\varepsilon} \geqslant 3$ holds. Since $X_{2} / Y_{2} \leqslant 4 / 3$ by our assumption, we have

$$
X_{2}^{\varepsilon-1}=X_{2} / Y_{2}-1 \leqslant 1 / 3
$$

Next, from the definition of $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)=\log \left(1+X_{2}^{\varepsilon-1}\right)<X_{2}^{\varepsilon-1}
$$

and so

$$
-\log \log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)>(1-\varepsilon) \log X_{2} \geqslant \log E
$$

Since $X_{1}, X_{2} \geqslant 3$ and $X_{2} / Y_{2}>X_{1} / Y_{1}$, it follows from (4) that

$$
1+\min \left\{\frac{\log X_{1}}{\log \left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right)}, \frac{\log X_{2}}{\log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)}\right\}>\frac{\log 3}{\log \left(X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)}>E
$$

Finally, we can observe that (4) yields

$$
\log \min \left\{X_{1}^{3 / 2}, X_{2}^{3 / 2}\right\}=(3 / 2) \log \min \left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}>\log E
$$

This completes the proof.

We now give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Consider the following linear form in two logarithms:

$$
\Lambda=\log \left(\frac{z^{2}+z+1}{(z-1)^{2}}\right)-q \log \left(\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{y_{2}}\right)
$$

where positive integers $y_{1}, y_{2}$ satisfy

$$
y_{1}^{q}=z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+z+1, \quad y_{2}^{q}=\frac{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1}{z^{2}+z+1}
$$

In our case, we may assume that

$$
m \text { is odd }>1
$$

Set

$$
\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{2}, y_{2}\right), \quad\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\left(z^{2}+z+1,(z-1)^{2}\right), \quad b_{1}=q
$$

Note that $X_{1}, X_{2} \geqslant 3$ and

$$
\frac{X_{2}}{Y_{2}}=\frac{z^{2}+z+1}{(z-1)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{4}{3} \quad(\Leftarrow z \geqslant 11)
$$

(i) Put $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(X_{1} / Y_{1}, X_{2} / Y_{2}\right)$. First, we show that $\gamma_{2}>\gamma_{1}$. We already know

$$
|\Lambda|=\left|\log \gamma_{2}-q \log \gamma_{1}\right|<\frac{8 \mu}{z^{m}}=\frac{24}{z^{m}}
$$

Then

$$
\log \gamma_{2}>q \log \gamma_{1}-\frac{24}{z^{m}}
$$

Hence, since $q \geqslant 3$, it suffices to show

$$
q \log \gamma_{1} \geqslant \frac{36}{z^{m}}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}^{q}=\left(\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{y_{2}}\right)^{q}=\frac{y_{1}^{2 q}}{y_{2}^{q}} & =\frac{\left(z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+z+1\right)^{2}\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)}{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1} \\
& >\frac{\left(z^{m-1}+1\right)^{2} z^{2}}{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1} \\
& =\frac{z^{2 m}+2 z^{m+1}+z^{2}}{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1} \\
& =1+\frac{2 z^{m+1}+z^{2}-z^{m}-1}{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1} \\
& >1+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
q \log \gamma_{1}>\log \left(1+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}}\right)>\frac{0.95}{z^{m-1}}>\frac{36}{z^{m}} \quad(\Leftarrow z \geqslant 38)
$$

(ii) Next, we show that $X_{1} / Y_{1}, X_{2} / Y_{2}$ are multiplicative independent. Suppose the contrary. Then, we can find two co-prime positive integers $k, l$ such that

$$
\left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right)^{q k}=\left(Y_{2} / X_{2}\right)^{l}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(\frac{\left(z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+z+1\right)^{2}\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)}{z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1}\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{(z-1)^{2}}{z^{2}+z+1}\right)^{l}
$$

or

$$
\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)^{k+l}\left(z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+z+1\right)^{2 k}=(z-1)^{2 l}\left(z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1\right)^{k}
$$

Since $m$ is odd, we easily see from (5) that

$$
z \text { is even. }
$$

Since $m \geqslant 2$ and $z$ is even, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)^{k+l} \equiv(z+1)^{k+l} \equiv(k+l) z+1 \quad \bmod 2 z \\
& \left(z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+z+1\right)^{2 k} \equiv(z+1)^{2 k} \equiv 2 k z+1 \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 z \\
& (z-1)^{2 l} \equiv\left(z^{2}-2 z+1\right)^{l} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 z \\
& \left(z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1\right)^{k} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 z
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (5) that

$$
k+l \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2
$$

This together with the fact $\operatorname{gcd}(k, l)=1$ implies that

$$
k \text { is odd. }
$$

Now, we reconsider (5). Since $k$ is odd, we may conclude that the term

$$
z^{2 m}+z^{m}+1=\frac{z^{3 m}-1}{z^{m}-1}
$$

has to be a perfect square. But, this contradicts the result of Ljunggren [12].
(iii) We use Corollary 2.5. Noting that

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{\log \left(\frac{3 z\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)}{(z-1)^{2}}\right)}{\log \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)}(>0.5)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |\Lambda| & \geqslant-35.1 \max \left\{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \log X_{1}, \log X_{2}\right\}(\log q+10)^{2} \\
& =-35.1 \max \left\{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon} \log y_{1}, \log \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)\right\}(\log q+10)^{2} \\
& \geqslant-35.1 \max \left\{\frac{2}{q(1-\varepsilon)} \log \left(\frac{z^{m}-1}{z-1}\right), \log \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)\right\}(\log q+10)^{2} \\
& >-35.1 \max \left\{\frac{2.1 m}{q} \log z, 2.1 \log z\right\}(\log q+10)^{2} \\
& =-73.71(\log z) \max \left\{m q^{-1}, 1\right\}(\log q+10)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we know

$$
\log |\Lambda|<\log \left(\frac{24}{z^{m}}\right)=\log 24-m \log z
$$

Combining this with the obtained lower bound for $\log |\Lambda|$, we have

$$
\log 24-m \log z>-73.71(\log z) \max \left\{m q^{-1}, 1\right\}(\log q+10)^{2}
$$

or

$$
m<73.71 \max \left\{m q^{-1}, 1\right\}(\log q+10)^{2}+\frac{\log 24}{\log z}
$$

If $q \leqslant m$, then

$$
q\left(1-\frac{\log 24}{m \log z}\right)<73.71(\log q+10)^{2}
$$

which implies, say

$$
q<40,000
$$

If $q>m$, then

$$
m<73.71(\log q+10)^{2}+\frac{\log 24}{\log z}
$$

Since $z^{m}>y_{1}{ }^{q}\left(=z^{m-1}+z^{m-2}+\cdots+1\right)$, we may replace the left-hand side above by

$$
\frac{\log y_{1}}{\log z} q
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{\log y_{1}}{\log z} q<73.71(\log q+10)^{2}+\frac{\log 24}{\log z}
$$

Hence,

$$
q<73.71 \frac{\log z}{\log y_{1}}(\log q+10)^{2}+\frac{\log 24}{\log y_{1}}
$$

So, we need an explicit upper estimate of $z$ (or $\frac{\log z}{\log y_{1}}$ ) in terms of $q$, to bound $q$. But this is already done by Proposition 2.3. This completes the proof of our theorem.
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