Age-related changes in hippocampal-neocortical connectivity during successful associative retrieval

Gaby Pfeifer*[1,2], Natasha Sigala[1,3]
[1] Clinical Imaging Sciences Centre, Brighton and Sussex Medical School
[2] School of Psychology, University of Sussex
[3] Sackler Centre for Consciousness Science, University of Sussex
***E-mail:** *g.pfeifer@bsms.ac.uk*

brighton and sussex medical school

Background

- **Successful associative retrieval** critically depends on the hippocampus[1].

- **Hippocampal activity in older adults** varies across studies, either showing *hyper*[2]- or *hypo-activation*[3] during memory tasks, despite comparable task performance with young adults.

- **Functional connectivity measures** of the hippocampus with other cortical regions can provide a window into the underlying neuronal compensation mechanisms in the aging brain.

- **Compensatory mechanisms** in older adults are often reflected by increased frontal coupling[4]. However, as task demand increases, older adults reach a resource ceiling[5], showing reduced flexibility in functional coupling to meet demands.

Predictions

- **Successful associative retrieval was expected to lead to:**

1) increased hippocampal coupling with frontal regions in older *vs.* young adults, even when memory load was low.

2) undifferentiated coupling in older adults from the low to the high memory load condition due to deficient resource allocation.

Methods

Participants

- 19 young adults (21-32 years; *M* = 24.06)
- 19 older adults (59-81 years; *M* = 66.21)

Tasks:

1. Self-paced learning (pre-scanning session)

- Participants learned 8 fractal pair-associates to 87% correct performance criterion on a computer outside the scanner. Memory load was manipulated by visual similarity.

Example similar pair, (*N* = 4)	Example dissimilar pair, (*N* = 4)

2. Memory retrieval (scanning session)

-Memory was tested in the scanner using a delayed pair-associative retrieval task.

Delayed pair-associative retrieval task


(1) Match – confident
(2) Match – not sure
(3) Non-match – confident
(4) Non-match – not sure

fMRI protocol & Analyses

Event-related design, including 32 similar and 32 dissimilar pair trials

Trial structure:

cue 1s	delay 8s	target 1s	Response window 5s	+ ITI between 6 -12 s

Average = 24.5 s per trial

Data acquisition parameters:

Data were collected on a 1.5 T Siemens Magnetom Avanto MRI Scanner with a 32-channel head coil. 644 volumes were obtained using a T2* weighted EPI sequence; TR=2.62s, TE=42ms, flip angle=90 degrees

Univariate analyses

- Pre-processing: All functional images were slice-time and motion corrected, unwarped, coregistered to participants' individual structural volume, and spatially normalised.

- Hits & Correct rejections of similar & dissimilar pairs were analysed using the GLM. Events were convolved with a canonical HDR function, time-locked to cue onset with a 1s duration (modelling cue-period).

- Contrast images were computed for the *Similar* and *Dissimilar* conditions. Results were subjected to a group analysis (2-level random effects analyses). A conjunction analysis was performed with trials averaged across similarity. This yielded activity in the left (L) hippocampus.

Functional connectivity

- Region of interest (ROI): an anatomical seed region of the entire L hippocampus was created.
- Temporal pre-processing: spurious effects of white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, head motion and task conditions were removed; a band-pass filter was set at $0.008Hz < f < 0.09Hz$.
- Seed-to-voxel analysis: Using the CONN fMRI connectivity toolbox in SPM8 (v13o, 2011, *http://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn*), the mean BOLD time-series of the ROI was regressed with all other voxels in the brain.

Behavioural Results

Retrieval, Similar & Dissimilar Pairs

Error Bars: +/- 1 SE

Results summary

-We found a significant interaction between young and older adults and the number of successfully retrieved trials:

- Young and older adults showed a comparable number of retrieved similar pair-associates (low memory load) but older adults retrieved significantly fewer trials of the dissimilar pair-associates than young adults.

fMRI Results

Condition: Similar

Young > Old, similar   Old > Young, similar
z= 1   x= 30   x= 51

1) L Putamen
2) L Thalamus
3) R Parahippocampal Gyrus

1) R Middle Frontal Gyrus
2) R Supramarginal Gyrus

All images are shown at a height threshold of T > 2.72; *p* = 0.005 (uncorrected), with an extent threshold of 5 voxels. The images were masked with the left hippocampus.

Condition: Dissimilar

Young > Old, dissimilar   Old > Young, dissimilar
z= -2   x= 3   x= 51

1) R Lingual Gyrus
2) L Fusiform Gyrus
3) R Mid Orbital Gyrus

1) R Supramarginal Gyrus
2) R Inf Frontal Gyrus
3) R Sup Frontal Gyrus

All images are shown at a height threshold of T > 2.72; *p* = 0.005 (uncorrected), with an extent threshold of 5 voxels. The images were masked with the left hippocampus.

Results summary

-**In the low memory load condition**, young adults show hippocampal coupling with the basal ganglia & parahipp. gyrus, while older adults recruit a frontal-parietal network.

-**In the high memory load condition**, young adults show hippocampal coupling with medial occ.-temp. regions & the orbitofrontal cortex, while older adults continue to recruit a frontal-parietal network.

Conclusions

- Our results demonstrate that in healthy aging, associative retrieval involves hippocampal coupling with frontal-parietal brain regions, even when memory load is low. The memory network in older adults remains largely invariant to changing memory load.

- By contrast, younger adults show more automatic retrieval mechanisms during low memory load, supported by subcortical brain structures. They flexibly switch to visual and orbitofrontal brain regions with increasing memory load to aid associative retrieval.

- Our results support the account of a deficient resource allocation in aging.

References
[1] Squire, L.R., Wixted, J.T., 2011. The Cognitive Neuroscience of Human Memory Since HM. Annu Rev Neurosci 34, 259-288.
[2] Yassa, M.A., Lacy, J.W., Stark, S.M., Albert, M.S., Gallagher, M., Stark, C.E.L., 2011. Pattern Separation Deficits Associated With Increased Hippocampal CA3 and Dentate Gyrus Activity in Nondemented Older Adults. Hippocampus 21, 968-979..
[3] Cabeza, R., Daselaar, S.M., Dolcos, F., Prince, S.E., Budde, M., Nyberg, L., 2004. Task-independent and task-specific age effects on brain activity during working memory, visual attention and episodic retrieval. Cerebral Cortex 14, 364-375.
[4] Grady, C.L., Protzner, A.B., Kovacevic, N., Strother, S.C., Afshin-Pour, B., Wojtowicz, M., Anderson, J.A.E., Churchill, N., McIntosh, A.R., 2010. A Multivariate Analysis of Age-Related Differences in Default Mode and Task-Positive Networks across Multiple Cognitive Domains. Cerebral Cortex 20, 1432-1447.
[5] Geerligs, L., Saliasi, E., Renken, R.J., Maurits, N.M., Lorist, M.M., 2013. Flexible Connectivity in the Aging Brain Revealed by Task Modulations. Hum Brain Mapp.