



# Differential Proteomic Analysis of Lactic Acid Bacteria—*Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Interaction and Its Contribution to Bioprotection Strategies in Meat

Alejandra Orihuel<sup>1</sup>, Lucrecia Terán<sup>2</sup>, Jenny Renaut<sup>3</sup>, Graciela M. Vignolo<sup>1</sup>, André M. De Almeida<sup>4</sup>, María L. Saavedra<sup>2</sup> and Silvina Fadda<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Technology Department, Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CERELA CONICET), San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>2</sup> Genetics and Molecular Biology Department, Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CERELA CONICET), San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>3</sup> Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology "Environmental Research and Innovation" Department, Belvaux, Luxemburg, <sup>4</sup> LEAF - Linking Landscape, Environment, Agriculture and Food, Instituto Superior de

Aldo Corsetti, Università di Teramo, Italy **Reviewed by:** Bernadette Dora Gombossy de Melo Franco,

**OPEN ACCESS** 

Edited by:

Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil Fernando A. Pagliai, University of Florida, United States

> \*Correspondence: Silvina Fadda sfadda@cerela.org.ar

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 16 March 2018 **Accepted:** 07 May 2018 **Published:** 05 June 2018

#### Citation:

Orihuel A, Terán L, Renaut J, Vignolo GM, De Almeida AM, Saavedra ML and Fadda S (2018) Differential Proteomic Analysis of Lactic Acid Bacteria – Escherichia coli 0157:H7 Interaction and Its Contribution to Bioprotection Strategies in Meat. Front. Microbiol. 9:1083. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01083 Human infection by Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia (E.) coli (EHEC) occurs through the ingestion of contaminated foods such as milk, vegetable products, water-based drinks, and particularly minced meats. Indeed EHEC is a pathogen that threatens public health and meat industry. The potential of different Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) strains to control EHEC in a meat-based medium was evaluated by using a simple and rapid method and by analyzing the growth kinetics of co-cultures (LAB-EHEC) in a meat-based medium. The activity of LAB toward EHEC in co-cultures showed variable inhibitory effect. Although, LAB were able to control EHEC, neither the produced acid nor bacteriocins were responsible of the inhibition. The bacteriocinogenic Enteroccus (Ent.) mundtii CRL35 presented one of the highest inhibition activities. A proteomic approach was used to evaluate bacterial interaction and antagonistic mechanisms between Ent. mundtii and EHEC. Physiological observations, such as growth kinetics, acidification ability and EHEC inhibitory potential were supported by the proteomic results, demonstrating significant differences in protein expression in LAB: (i) due to the presence of the pathogen and (ii) according to the growth phase analyzed. Most of the identified proteins belonged to carbohydrate/amino acid metabolism, energy production, transcription/translation, and cell division. These results contribute to the knowledge of competition strategies used by Ent. mundtii during its co-culture with EHEC setting new perspectives for the use of LAB to control this pathogen in meat.

Keywords: Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), bioprotective cultures, enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC), meat safety, bacterial interaction, proteomics

# INTRODUCTION

Contamination with Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia (E.) coli (STEC) and related enteric pathogens is among the main causes of concern and fresh meat product recalls. In the European Union STEC prevalence on hides is estimated at 44%, before falling to 0.4% on carcasses, and 1.2% in raw beef meat. In addition, in the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have estimated that STEC infections cause 73,000 illnesses, 2,200 hospitalizations, and 60 deaths yearly. The annual cost of illness due to STEC was 405 million dollars, including lost productivity, medical care, and premature deaths (Lim et al., 2010). High economic losses in meat industry and the high cost of the illness evidence the necessity of additional efforts to control this pathogen. Within the STEC pathotype, the E. coli enterohemorrhagic (EHEC) subgroup is important because of its impact on Public Health. Human infection by EHEC occurs through the ingestion of contaminated foods such as milk, vegetable products, water-based drinks, and particularly, minced meats (Colello et al., 2016). Moreover, 5-10% of the patients infected with EHEC develop the more severe hemolyticuremic syndrome (HUS). HUS is the most common cause of acute renal failure and the second cause of chronic renal failure and renal transplantation in children. Therefore, STEC/EHEC constitutes a serious threat to public health and a major concern for the sustainability of the meat industry as well as for its entire production chain. Presently, consumers assumed a crucial role requiring safer and healthier foods. This context highlights the need to provide the meat industry with sustainable and ecofriendly solutions to limit and prevent future risks surrounding this problematic.

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), naturally present in meat, are of technological interest due to their inhibitory potential on spoilage, toxin production or food poisoning microorganisms in foodstuffs (Vignolo et al., 2015). Their antagonism toward spoilage bacteria is due to the direct competition for nutrients and/or production of different antimicrobial metabolites, such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, and bacteriocins (Woraprayote et al., 2016). In particular, by producing lactic acid and thus lowering the pH, LAB inhibit the growth of bacterial pathogens and even kill them (Atassi and Servin, 2010). Moreover, some of them produce bacteriocins, ribosomally synthesized peptides with antibacterial activity toward closely related strains, playing an important role in food preservation. Some type of LAB bacteriocins are specifically active toward Gram positive spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms such as Listeria monocytogenes and Brochothrix termosphacta (Woraprayote et al., 2016). Due to these properties, the use of LAB is an interesting substitute for chemical and/or physical preservatives. Moreover, LAB are generally regarded as safe (GRAS) and usually fit all recommendations for food usage (Wessels et al., 2004). These characteristics make LAB ideal candidates for the development of bioprotective agents, providing a good antagonistic activity toward target organisms (Chikindas et al., 2017). It is known that most of LAB bacteriocins are not effective against Gram negative microorganisms such as E. coli, although they can became active in association with agents such as EDTA or organic acids, affecting membrane integrity of the target organisms (Belfiore et al., 2007). Even though, no bioprotective LAB culture capable of inhibiting EHEC in meat is available on the market so far (Varsha and Nampoothiri, 2016).

On this basis, it is proposed that certain LAB could control and/or inhibit the growth of EHEC in meat through direct or indirect interaction with the pathogen. In order to proceed toward an efficient bioprotective culture as strategy of EHEC control for meat preservation, it is necessary to have a highly competitive strain to fight the pathogen. The knowledge of the mechanisms by which both microorganisms interact is therefore of paramount importance. On this context, the objective of this work was to evaluate the potential of LAB for inhibiting EHEC. The assayed LAB strains were examined for antagonistic activity toward EHEC by using a simple and rapid method and by analyzing the growth kinetics of co-cultures (LAB-EHEC) in a meat-based medium. A comparative proteomic approach was used to identify the underlying mechanisms involved in the antagonistic action carried out by the selected LAB strain.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions**

Lactobacillus curvatus CRL705, Lactobacillus plantarum CRL681 isolated from artisanal fermented sausages and Enterococcus mundtii CRL35 of cheese origin, belonging to CERELA culture collection were used. They were selected for this study, due to their well-studied biochemical, bioprotective activity toward Listeria monocytogenes and/or their technological features (Fadda et al., 1998, 1999, 2010; Saavedra et al., 2004; Salvucci et al., 2007).

Fresh cultures were obtained from freeze-dried stocks and transferred twice in MRS (Merck, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (De Man et al., 1960) incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 24 h and used for further inoculation. The stock culture was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C in milk yeast extract medium (10% w/v skim milk, 0.5% w/v yeast extract) containing 10% (v/v) glycerol as cryo-protectant.

The atoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 NCTC12900 (National Type Culture Collection, Colindale, London) was selected as the pathogen model to evaluate LAB-EHEC interaction. *E. coli* NCTC12900 was isolated in Austria in 1992 and does not produce enterotoxins Stx1 nor Stx2 (Best et al., 2003). This strain was kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C in LB (Luria Bertani) medium in the presence of 20% (v/v) glycerol as cryo-protectant. To obtain fresh cultures, the strain was transferred twice in LB broth and incubated at 37°C for 8 h, in the first transfer, and for 16 h in the second transfer.

# E. coli Growth Inhibition Assay

The inhibitory capacity of the strains was evaluated by the welldiffusion assay according to Salvucci et al. (2007) with some modifications. Briefly,  $5 \,\mu$ l of each treatment of LAB culture were spotted onto a plate containing MRS agar. The indicator lawn was prepared by adding 100  $\mu$ l of an overnight culture of EHEC to 10 ml of LB soft agar (0.7%); poured on top of MRS agar inoculated with each strain. The plates were incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. In order to evaluate, the mechanisms of inhibition

toward EHEC, different conditions were assayed for each LAB strain: (1) intact/viable cells, removing the effects of soluble factors from the supernatant. Cell suspensions were washed with physiological solution and spotted onto MRS agar; (2) non-viable cells, cell suspensions from the overnight culture washed with distilled water and heated 15 min at 95°C; (3) cells collected, washed with physiological solution and resuspended in 1 mg/ml lysozyme solution incubated 2 h and spotted onto MRS agar, to evaluate if cell wall is involved in E. coli inhibition; (4) overnight LAB culture in MRS was directly spotted onto MRS agar, to evaluate all components (viable cells plus all metabolic products) present in the medium; (5) cell-free supernatant of the overnight culture heated (5 min, 95°C) and spotted onto the MRS agar, to evaluate bacterial inhibition due to acid, bacteriocins, and other heat stable compounds; (6) cell-free supernatant of the overnight culture heated (5 min, 95°C), and neutralized to pH 7 with 1N NaOH and spotted onto MRS agar, to neutralize the acids produced; (7) untreated cell-free supernatant was spotted onto the MRS agar, to evaluate additional soluble factors that could inhibit the pathogen; (8) 4% lactic acid solution was spotted as a control of the acid effect.

#### Sarcoplasmic Model System

The sarcoplasmic model system was used as culture medium and prepared according to Fadda et al. (1998) with some modifications. Briefly, 10 g of bovine *semimembranosus* muscle were homogenized with 100 ml of deionized water for 8 min in a Stomacher 400 blender (Stomacher, London, UK). The homogenate was centrifuged (14,000 g, 20 min at 4°C). The supernatant containing sarcoplasmic proteins was filtered through Whatman paper, filter-sterilized through a 0.22  $\mu$ mpore-size filter (Steritop GP, Biopore, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) glucose and 0.01% (v/v) Tween 80. The sterility of the system was confirmed by plating in Plate Count Agar (PCA).

## LAB—EHEC Co-cultures in Sarcoplasmic Model System. Focus on LAB Inhibitory Potential

Co-cultures of each LAB strain with *E. coli* NTCC12900 were carried out in the sarcoplasmic model to evaluate the performance of both microorganisms in co- and individual culture. Fifty ml of the sarcoplasmic model was inoculated with  $10^6$  CFU/ml of LAB and  $10^4$  CFU/ml of *E. coli* and incubated under gentle stirring at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 96 h. In addition, each microorganism was grown individually under the same conditions ( $30^{\circ}$ C, 96 h; same inoculum) to evaluate the behavior of each strain without competition.

Samples were taken at 0, 3, 6, 8, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h to analyze pH and viability of both microbial groups using selective agar media. For bacterial enumeration, decimal dilutions were prepared and plated on the corresponding medium, MRS agar for LAB and Mac Conkey agar (Britania, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for *E. coli*, and incubated at 30°C for 48 and 24 h, respectively. Measurements of pH were determined by using pHmeter Altronix TPX I (New York, USA).

Three independent cultures were carried out for each mixed and independent cultures.

# **Proteomic Study**

For differential proteomic analysis, one strain, *Ent. mundtii* CRL35, was selected to evaluate LAB – *E. coli* interaction in the sarcoplasmic model system by means of two dimensional electrophoresis (2DE). Two different time periods during the growth were evaluated: T6, corresponding to 6 h of growth when both microorganisms (LAB and *E. coli* NTCC 12900) were in the exponential phase of growth, both in single or co-cultures, and T30 corresponding to 30 h when the stationary phase was achieved by LAB (in both single and co-culture), while *E. coli* in co-culture was already in the death phase. However, when *E. coli* grew alone 96 h was taken as the second sampling time, this corresponding to the death phase for the pure culture of *E. coli*.

#### Cells Recovery for Proteomic Analyses

*Ent. mundtii* CRL35 was incubated as individual and co-culture with *E. coli* O157:H7 NTCC12900, as described before, in 100 ml of the sarcoplasmic model system to achieve sufficient amount of cells for proteomic analysis. Cells from co-cultures were harvested at 6 h (T6) and 30 h (T30). Cells from single cultures of *Ent. mundtii* were also harvested at 6 h (T6) and 30 h (T30). As mentioned before, cells from *E. coli* growing alone were collected at 6 h (T6) and 96 h (T96). Cells from different cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 × g for 10 min at 20°C and twice washed with 40 ml 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5 and centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 10 min at 20°C. The resulting pellets were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until lysis for protein extraction. Three independent biological replicates were performed for each condition.

#### Preparation of Cell Free Protein Extracts for Proteomic Analyses

The cell pellets from the co-cultures were mixed with glass beads (150  $\pm$  212  $\mu$ m diameter, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) and further re-suspended in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5 in a 1:2:1 (cell:buffer:bead) ratio. Then, cells were disrupted using a Mini-BeadBeater-8 cell disrupter (Biospec Products Inc., Bartlesville, OK, USA) at maximum speed for 10 min (10 cycles of 1 min each, with 1-min intervals on ice among cycles). To remove cell debris, unbroken cells and glass beads, samples were centrifuged (14,500  $\times$  g, 5 min, 15°C). The supernatant constituted the cell free extract. The protein concentration of this extract was estimated according to Bradford assay using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The whole process is described in **Figure 1**. Aliquots of 600  $\mu$ g of protein were finally stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, until further analysis.

*Ent. mundtii* and *E. coli* cell pellets from individual cultures at T6 were mixed before lysis and the same was performed for T30/T96 (see schematics in **Figure 1**). This procedure was carried out to standardize and avoid differences in cell lysis efficiency between mono and co-cultures, as well as problems with differences in protein enrichment of each microorganism in 2DE gels, that could affect proteome comparisons. The cell proportions of each microorganism used for T6 and T30



mixtures coming from single cultures were established according to the cell counts obtained in the respective co-culture in order to have in the mix a similar ratio between the two microorganisms. This way, assuring to have  $600 \ \mu$ g of proteins placed in the IPG strip, with the same protein proportion of each microorganism than in IPG strips with samples from the co-culture. Each of these synthetic mixtures (SM) will constitute the respective controls (single culture) at T6 and T30 respectively. The SM were mixed with glass beads and subjected to lysis as previously described for cell pellets originating from co-cultures (see Figure 1 for details).

#### **Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis**

Sample preparation and 2DE gels were carried out according to Bustos et al. (2015). Isoelectrofocusing (IEF) was performed in IPGphor (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) at 53,500 Vh, using the immobilized pH gradient (IPG) strips (Immobiline DryStrip Gels, linear pH 4–7, 18 cm, GE Healthcare; Uppsala, Sweden). For the second dimension, IEF strips were equilibrated at room temperature in 6 M urea, 2% (w/v) SDS, 30% (w/v) glycerol, 50 mMTris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing alternatively 50 mM DTT (15 min) and then 400 mM iodoacetamide (15 min in the dark). Second dimension was performed on homogeneous 12.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels at the constant current of 15 mA/gel at 15°C (~16 h) using an Ettan DALTsix Large Vertical System (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Gels were stained with colloidal Coomassie blue Stain according to Candiano et al. (2004), distained with distilled water. The 2DE maps were digitalized using Image Scanner III LabScan 6.0 (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden).

### Image Acquisition and Data Analysis

Volume spot quantization and normalization were performed on digitalized gel images (600 dpi) using the software Prodigy SameSpots version 1.0.3400.25570 (Totallab, Newcastle, UK). The volume of each spot was calculated and normalized by referring the values to the sum of total spot volumes within each gel. Student test for unpaired samples was applied. A protein was considered differentially abundant if the mean normalized spot volume varied at least 1.5-fold between compared spots. The effect was confirmed by analysis of variance at a significance level of p < 0.05. Protein spots showing significant variation between studied conditions were manually excised from the gels using a scalpel blade and identified using Mass Spectrometry.

#### Mass Spectrometry Protein Identification

Selected spots were excised from the corresponding gel, digested with trypsin and, submitted to tryptic digestion and then to mass spectrometry analyses as previously described (Nally et al., 2017). Tryptic peptides were subsequently ionized using  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as matrix. Mass spectrometric analysis of the peptide solutions was carried out on a MALDI-TOF/TOF tandem mass spectrometer ABI 4700 proteomics analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) according to Grosu-Tudor et al. (2016) or on a MALDI 5800 (Sciex, Foster City, USA) and performed at CEQUIBIEM (Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and at LIST - Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology "Environmental Research and Innovation" (ERIN), respectively. MASCOT search engine (Matrix Science Inc., Boston, MA; http://www.matrixscience.com/search\_form\_ select.html) was used to identify proteins from peptide mass fingerprint data based on the annotated genome of Ent. mundtii CRL35 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JDFT00000000). All proteins were identified using BLASTp in NCBI database (Altschul et al., 1990).

# Functional Analysis and Interaction of Proteins

The functional study of identified proteins and their classification into functional categories were performed using the databases Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) (UniProt Consortium, 2015) and COGNITOR to identify the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) (Galperin et al., 2015).

To explore the interactions between the proteins that have shown differential expression, we conducted an *insilico* analysis using the publicly available STRING version 10.05 (database Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) (Szklarczyk et al., 2015). For the differentially over expressed proteins, the number of protein-protein interactions documented in the database were determined. For visualization purposes, a graph was constructed linking proteins represented by nodes with known interactions with the identified proteins. All available prediction methods on STRING were used and 0.4 was select as confidence level (Szklarczyk et al., 2015).

### **Statistical Analyses**

All experiments (growth inhibition, growth kinetics and differential protein expression assays) were done three times, and the values and the standard error were calculated from the data with three repetitions. One-way analysis of variance with *t*-test was conducted, and a *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The hypergeometric distribution was assayed to evaluate the enrichment of COG categories of the proteins encoded by *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 related to the ones differentially expressed by it in co-culture or alone (CC T30 - SM T30) and in co-culture during the time (CC T6 – CC T30).

# RESULTS

## E. coli Growth Inhibition Assay

The E.coli growth inhibition assay was performed as a first approach to determine the antagonistic potential of LAB strains toward the pathogen (Figures 2A-C). By means of this fast and simple method the inhibitory capacity of each LAB strain on E. coli NTCC12900, as well as the nature of the inhibition effect were evaluated. The three assayed LAB showed a similar inhibitory pattern, although they presented low variability in their inhibition halos. In general, halos of inhibition were registered in those conditions where LAB cells remained viable and not seriously damaged; regardless of the presence of the culture supernatant (spot #1 and 4). When cells were treated with lysozyme, minor inhibition halos were present (spot #3). In contrast, no inhibition were observed when lysed cells or cell free supernatant (untreated, heated or heated and neutralized) containing metabolites such as organic acids, heat stable bacteriocins and different soluble factors were spotted (spot #5, 6 and 7). The absence of inhibitory halos when 4% lactic acid solution was spotted (spot #8) confirmed the known acid resistance of *E. coli* (Figure 2).

### Performance of LAB and *E. coli* NCTC 12900 When Grown Individually Or in Co-culture in Sarcoplasmic Model System

When grown individually, LAB presented an adequate growth in the sarcoplasmic model system, achieving a maximal growth approximately at 24–48 h depending on the strain  $(2.0 \times 10^8 - 9.8)$  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) (Figures 3A–C). They reached the exponential growth between 3 and 8h and the stationary growth phase around 24 h. Afterwards, LAB strains maintained approximately initial bacterial counts until 96 h of incubation  $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/ml})$ . On the other hand, a significant pH drop was observed in all LAB strains growing alone. When E. coli was inoculated alone, it also achieved an optimal growth presenting a traditional sigmoid kinetic curve, the exponential growth was attained between 4 and 6 h with the maximal cell viability at 24 h during the stationary phase (1.8  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) (Figures 3A–C). When LAB-E. coli co-cultures were analyzed, a different growth kinetic was achieved by both type of microorganisms compared to its individual growth. A decreased growth rate of LAB in the presence of E. coli was observed, achieving 1-2 logarithmic units less of cell viability than in the single culture condition, depending on the strain. However during co-culturing, all LAB were able to keep the steady state until the end, with a population almost similar to the beginning. It is worth noting that the acidifying potential of LAB was not affected by the presence of the pathogen, reaching similar values than those observed in pure cultures (final pH between 4.5 and 3.7) (Figures 3A-C). On the other hand, the growth of E. coli in coculture was affected considerably by LAB, specifically after the first 8 h. For instance L. curvatus CRL705 (bacteriocin producer), showed a slight decrease of E. coli population, ~0.6 log units (Figure 3A). Whereas the bacteriocinogenic strain Ent. mundtii CRL 35 (Figure 3C) and L. plantarum CRL 681 (non-bacteriocin







Co-culture and individual culture of *Ent. mundtii* CRL35. The red arrows indicate the time of sampling for proteomic assays.

producer) (**Figure 3B**), showed higher inhibitory effect. In fact, their presence produced a significant reduction of *E. coli* viability after 8 h of growth, accelerating the entrance of *E. coli* into the death phase. At 96 h a significant decrease of *E. coli* counts was observed reaching more than 2 and 3.5 logarithmic units of decrease in the co-cultures containing *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 and *L. plantarum* CRL681, respectively (**Figures 3B,C**).

# Differential Protein Expression of Ent. mundtii CRL35 in Co-culture With E. coli NCTC12900

L. plantarum CRL681 and Ent. mundtii CRL35 resulted the most effective strains to fight against E. coli in co-cultures. However, we decided to select Ent. mundti CRL35 for the proteomic analyses due to the availability of its genome, partially sequenced and annotated, which is essential for protein identification during proteomic studies. Additionally, this strain is able to produce the Enterocin CRL35, a very effective bacteriocin toward Listeria monocytogenes. The well-established bioprotective activity of Ent. mundtii CRL35, offers an extended range of bioprotection, not only against E. coli O157:H7 but also against other food-borne pathogens of concern to meat industry (Salvucci et al., 2007). Finally, Ent. mundtii CRL35 possess complete biochemical and genetic studies that guarantee its technological and bioprotective features that make this strain an interesting candidate to be used as adjunct culture in food (Saavedra et al., 2004; Pingitore et al., 2012). Nevertheless interaction studies between E. coli and L. plantarum CRL681, as well as, the effect of both LAB toward E. coli O157:H7 are focus of future experiments.

In order to study the interaction between Ent. mundtii CRL35 and E. coli O157:H7 NCTC12900 and to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying the antagonistic action carried out by Ent. mundtii in a meat environment, differential protein expression during the growth of Ent. mundtii alone or in co-culture was evaluated by 2DE. Two key moments of the growth were analyzed: 6 h (T6), when both microorganisms grew exponentially, and 30 h (T30), when Ent. mundtii achieved the stationary phase and E. coli entered in death state during co-culture (Figure 3C). Differential protein expression was evaluated according to the following comparisons: (i) Ent. mundtii growing in co-culture vs. Ent. mundtii growing individually at T6 (CC T6 - SM T6); (ii) Ent. mundtii growing in co-culture vs. Ent. mundtii growing individually at T30 (CC T30 - SM T30) and iii) Ent. mundtii growing in co-culture at T6 vs. Ent. mundtii growing in co-culture at T30 (CC T6 - CC T30). Representative 2DE maps of the bacterial proteomes when grown alone or in co-cultures are depicted in Figure 4.

In the three proteomic analyses, the most significant differentially expressed proteins (p < 0.05, fold > 1.5), 106 spots, were submitted to MS identification. Of these, a total of 91 proteins were successfully identified, 50 belonged to *E. coli* and 41 to *Ent. mundtii*, according with protein databases. In the present work, we focused in proteins related to *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 proteome (**Tables 1, 2**).

#### Differential Protein Expression of *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 Growing Alone Or in Co-culture

When proteomes from Ent. mundtii CRL35 grown in coculture were compared with Ent. mundtii growing alone in the sarcoplasmic model system, a significant protein over expression in co-culture was obtained at both analyzed times (T6 and T30). The identified proteins were successfully assigned to different functional categories. Specifically, at the first 6h, 4 proteins related to carbohydrate (spot #1, enolase) (25%), amino acid metabolism (carbamoyl phosphate synthase large subunit, methionine ABC transporter substrate-binding Protein) (50%) and cell division (cell division protein FtsZ) (25%), resulted significantly over expressed by Ent. mundtii in co-culture (Table 1, Figure 5). On the other hand, after 30 h of growth in co-culture, 16 identified proteins resulted over expressed with a significant difference between 2.1 and 4.7 fold change. These proteins were involved in carbohydrate metabolism (phosphoglycerate kinase, fructose-bisphosphatealdolase, 6-phosphofructokinase, fructose-bisphosphatealdolase and enolase) (31.25%), energy production and conversion (pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunit alpha, L-lactate dehydrogenase, 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase subunit beta) (18.75%), transcription (DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha) (6.25%), cell division (Cell division protein DivIVA oxidoreductase) (6.25%), cell wall biosynthesis (choloylglycine hydrolase) (6.25%), amino acid metabolism (hypothetical protein AK89\_04275) (6.25%), folding and protein processing (molecular chaperone DnaK) (6.25%), ribosomal structure (30S ribosomal protein S1) (6.25%) and stress (stress response regulator Gls24) (6.25%) (Table 1; Figure 5). These results indicate that the proteome of Ent. mundtii was affected by the presence of E. coli at 6 and 30 h although in a different way. In fact, a higher number of proteins were over produced at 30 h when the LAB achieved the stationary growth phase and the pathogen began its death cycle (Table 1; Figure 3C). Also, by performing a hypergeometric distribution, the probabilities of obtaining a certain COG category in our sample in relation with the ones encoded by the whole cell were analyzed. Whilst it was more probable to find one protein related with the metabolism and transport of carbohydrates (Figure S1A) we find five of them in our study (Table 1). This means that this category might be enriched by the obtained proteins. This also occurs with energy conversion and production, folding and protein processing and cell wall biosynthesis categories. For transcription, stress, ribosomal structure, and amino acid metabolism we found one of each as expected by the hypergeometric distribution. However, for the oxidoreductase (spot # 15) that includes three COG categories, was more probable to find two, and we found only one. This could imply an impoverishment of these categories (Figure S1A and Table 1).

#### Differential Protein Expression in *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 Growing in Co-culture at 6 and 30 h

Regarding the differential protein expression when *Ent. mundtii* grew in co-culture at T6 vs. T30, a total of 21 proteins were successfully identified. Twenty spots



presented higher abundances at T6 than at T30 and one, the phosphoglucomutase/phosphomannomutase, was under expressed at T6. The overexpressed proteins participate of different functional categories, namely carbohydrate metabolism (phosphoglycerate mutase 1 family, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, phosphoglycerate kinase, phosphopyruvate hydratase, phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, type I glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase, transketolase) (40%), amino acid metabolism (glutamine synthetase, aminopeptidase, dipeptidase PepV and peptidase M13) (15%); energy production and

	tially					100	(Continued)
tem at 30°C.	lowing differen o-culture/		• 2				
e sarcoplasmic model sys	Detailed 2DE gels sh expressed spot in: C Individ.growth		•			4	
nd T30 (30h) in the	Overexp/Fold change <sup>g</sup>	l	<del>د.</del> ۵	<del>.</del> 8.	<del>L</del> .	сі Сі	
T6 (6 h) ai	plf	l	4.60	4.82	4.36	4.73	
vidual growth at	Theoretical MM <sup>e</sup>		46496	117592	30407	44517	
spect to its indiv	Gene		eno	AK89_04630	AK89_00090	ftsZ	
o-culture re	Score <sup>d</sup>	l	1120	1170	80	367	
RL35 growth in c	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>		gi 602619948	gi 602619378	gi 602620029	gi 602618182	
Enterococcus mundtii O	ame			I Phosphate Synthase unit	ABC Transporter Binding Protein	n Protein FtsZ	
teins during	Protein n	l	Enolase	Carbamoy/ Large Sub	Methionine Substrate-	Cell Divisio	
oressed pro	Spot <sup>b</sup>		-	0	m	4	
ified overex <sub>l</sub>	COGa		G	шц	٩	۵	
TABLE 1   Ident	Function	T6	Carbohydrate Metabolism	Amino acid metabolism		Cell division	

	led 2DE gels showing differentially ssed spot in: Co-culture/ d.growth					
	Fold Deta 9 <sup>9</sup> expre				1	
	Overexp/ change		T. N	4.0	4.7	.4
	plf		4.94	4.77	5.32	4.77
	Theoretical MM <sup>e</sup>		42045	30955	34342	309655
	Gene		ð	AK89_07810	pika	AK89_07810
	Score <sup>d</sup>		1040	202	1320	626
	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>		gij602619950	gi 602618838	gi 602619230	gi 602618838
	Protein name		Phosphoglycerate kinase	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	6-phosphofructokinase	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase
	Spot <sup>b</sup>		ω	Ø	~	ω
itinued	COGa		J	J	J	a
TABLE 1   Con	Function	T30	Metabolism			

Detailed 2DE gels showing differentially

TABLE 1 | Continued

Function	COG <sup>a</sup>	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	Score <sup>d</sup>	Gene	Theoretical MM <sup>e</sup>	plf	Overexp/Fold change <sup>g</sup>	Detailed 2DE gels showing differenti: expressed spot in: Co-culture/ Individ.growth
	U	0	Enolase	gij602619948	1460	E	46496	4.60	0. 2	
Energy production and conversion	0	0	Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunitalpha	gi 602618669	<b>3</b> 38 6	AK89_08920	41004	5. 14	0 Č	. 0
	0	÷	L-lactate dehydrogenase	gi 602619124	1230	Б Г	35809	4.74	с.	
	O	5	2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase subunit beta	gi 602618668	1240	AK89_08915	32303 22303	4.70	2.7	

(ontinued)

TABLE 1   Co	intinued									
Function	COG <sup>a</sup>	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	Score <sup>d</sup>	Gene	Theoretical MM <sup>e</sup>	plf	Overexp/Fold change <sup>g</sup>	Detailed 2DE gels showing differentially expressed spot in: Co-culture/ Individ.growth
Transcription	×	έ	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha	gi 602618530	445	rpoA	35276	4.98	c, C	
Cell division	Ω	<del>7</del>	Cell division protein DivIVA	gi 602618177	549	AK89_10945	26893	4.61	0.	0
Metabolism	– 0 m	τΩ	Oxidoreductase	gi 602619024	1020	AK89_06445	31882	4.77	а Э	
Cell wall biosynthesis	Σ	<u>6</u>	Choloyigiycine hydrolase	gi 602619043	951	AK89_06560	36948	4.62	çi Ci	
										(Continued)

TABLE 1 Cor	itinued									
Function	COG <sup>a</sup>	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	Score <sup>d</sup>	Gene	Theoretical MM <sup>e</sup>	pl <sup>f</sup>	)verexp/Fold change <sup>g</sup>	Detailed 2DE gels showing differentially expressed spot in: Co-culture/ Individ.growth
Amino acid metabolism	ш	4	Hypothetical protein AK89_04275	gi 602619580	88	AK89_04275	49541	4.58	ю ci	
Folding and protein processing	0	ά	Molecular chaperone DnaK	gi 602618370	1360	dnak	65585	4.63	φ. G	
Ribosomal structure	7	0	30S ribosomalprotein S1	gi 602619573	828	AK89_04240	44564	4.66	က္ လ	0
Stress	S	50	Stress response regulator GIs24	gi 602619010	873	AK89_06350	20148	4.63	က္ လ	
<sup>a</sup> Functional catt chromosome pa and metabolism, <sup>b</sup> Spot designatit <sup>c</sup> Accession nurr, <sup>c</sup> Accession nurr, <sup>e</sup> Molecular Mass <sup>g</sup> Relative Fold cr <sup>g</sup> Relative Fold cr	gory accordi rtitioning; I, Iti - 0, molecula ns correspon ber in the NC = 10*Log(P), i (Da) ectric point. ange: Norma	ing to COG c pid metabolis r chaperoneols r chaperoneols r chaperoneol r chapes i di those o r si di those o r	atabase. One letter abbreviations for the m; Q, secondary metabolites biosynthesi and related functions; J, translation, incl f the gels shown in <b>Figure 4.</b> he probability that the observed match i s of protein spot in co-culture/Normalize	COG functional ( s. transport, and o uding ribosome st a random event. Y Volumes of prote	categories: G catabolism; R ructure and I; Protein scor ein spots in ir	, carbohydrate m I, general tunctionn biogenesis; S, no f es larger than 81 i dividual growth.	etabolism and tr al prediction only, functional predict are considered si	ansport; C, M, cell wau on. gnificant (P	energy production I structure and blo < 0.05).	and conversion; K, transcription; D, cell division and genesis and outer membrane; E, amino acid transport

Orihuel e	et al.
-----------	--------

Function	COGa	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession	Scored	Gene	TheoreticalMM <sup>e</sup>	plf 0	verexp./Fold	Detailed 2DE gels showing the differentially
				N°C					change <sup>g</sup>	expressed spot in: Co-cultureT6/Co-cultureT30
Carbohydrate Metabolism	U	2	Phosphoglucomutase/ phosphomannomutase	gi 498429168	108	UAC_01121	63509	96.	2 2 2	
	U	22	Phosphoglycerate mutase 1 family	gi 498428689	84	gmd	0 066 05 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	35	0 N	
	J	53	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	gil736681785	138	ið	49574 4	0	о. -	
	U	24	Phosphoglyceratekinase	gil736681519	186	Xộc	42045 4	.94	٥. ۲	
										(Continued)

	Detailed 2DE gels showing the differentially expressed spot in: Co-cultureT6/Co-cultureT30				Continued)	
	Overexp./Fold change <sup>g</sup>	<u>ල</u> . ස	0 5	г.	4	
	1 <sup>e</sup> pl <sup>f</sup>	4.60	4.81	ى 0.08	5.04	
	TheoreticalMN	46496	52733	35827	49060	
	Gene	OL	AK89_05050	AX758_08405	AK89_14370	
	Score <sup>d</sup>	134	134	270	147	
	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	gil498429079	gi 498430058	gil736681521	gi 602617512	
	Protein name	Phosphopyruvate hydratase	Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (NADP(+)-dependent, decarboxylating)	Type I glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Transketolase	
	Spot <sup>b</sup>	25	5	27	5	
ntinued	COG <sup>a</sup>	o	U	J	G	
TABLE 2   Coi	Function					

	wing the differentially 				(Continued)
	Detailed 2DE gels sho expressed spot in: Co-cultureT6/Co-cultu				
	Overexp./Fold change <sup>g</sup>	5	2.4	9.1	5
	le pl <sup>f</sup>	4.69	5.06	4.58	4.62
	TheoreticalMN	63384	50870	45091	51933
	Gene	AK89_05300	AK89_07820	Tqaq	AK89_08025
	Score <sup>d</sup>	12	109	227	154
	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	gi 736682554	gi 498430289	gil558689945	gij736683314
	Protein name	Phosphoenolpyruvate-protein phosphotransferase	Glutamine synthetase	Aminopeptidase	DipeptidasePepV
	Spot <sup>b</sup>	50	30	ю Н	33
ntinued	COGa	U	ш	ш	ш
TABLE 2   Co	Function	Sugar Transport	Amino acid metabolism		

-	
8	
8	
9	
2	
2,	
4	
5	
0	
()	
2	

TABLE 2   Cor	ntinued									
Function	COGa	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	Score <sup>d</sup>	Gene	TheoreticalMM <sup>e</sup> p	l <sup>f</sup> Overex	p./Fold nge <sup>g</sup>	Detailed 2DE gels showing the differentially expressed spot in: Co-cultureT6/Co-cultureT30
	0	33	Peptidase M13	gi 498430418		AK89_07270	72189 4.	2	O.	
Translation	ר ר	8	Leucine-tRNA ligase	gil736683966	130	leus	92016 4.1	ю 66	4	0
Nucleotides metabolism	щ	හ ව	Adenylosuccinate Synthase	gil736683880	-10 03	purA	48097 5.	64	N.	
Transcription	×	39	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha	gi 492544374	8	Pod	35276 4.1	66	O.	
Stress	S	37	General stress protein	gil498429607	8	AK89_02030	20130	0 0	-	

TABLE 2   Cor	ntinued									
Function	COG <sup>a</sup>	Spot <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Accession N° <sup>c</sup>	Score <sup>d</sup>	Gene	TheoreticalMM <sup>e</sup> p	olf Ove	rexp./Fold nange <sup>g</sup>	Detailed 2DE gels showing the differentially expressed spot in: Co-cultureT6/Co-cultureT30
Cell wall biosynthesis	Σ	88	Choloyigiycine hydrolase	gil736682868	<del>-</del>	AK89_06560	36948 4.	62	3.2	
Energy production and conversion	O	0 സ	ATP synthase subunit alpha	gi 63091861	106	atpA	5. 58590	10	5.0	
	O	40	2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase	gij736633518	243	AK89_08915	35393 4.	02	9. 1	
	O	41	F0F1 ATP synthase subunit beta	gi 736681478	84	atpD	51170 4.	22	0	
<sup>a</sup> Functional catt chromosome pt and metabolism <sup>b</sup> Spot designati	egory accord artitioning; Ι, Ιι n; Ο, molecule ons correspo	ling to COG ipid metabo. ar chaperone nd to those	database. One letter abbreviations for ism; Q, secondary metabolites biosynth es and related functions; J, translation, i of the gels shown in <b>Figure 4.</b>	the COG functiona lesis, transport, and including ribosome	l categories 1 catabolisn structure ar	s. G, carbohydrate n; R, general funct nd biogenesis; S, I	e metabolism and trans ional prediction only; M no functional prediction	port; C, ener , cell wall stru	gy production ucture and bio	and conversion; K, transcription; D, cell division and genesis and outer membrane; E, amino acid transport

<sup>d</sup> Protein Score is –10<sup>-</sup>Log(P), where P is the probability that the observed match is a random event. Protein scores larger than either 81 are considered significant (P < 0.05). <sup>e</sup>Molecular Mass (Da). <sup>1</sup>Calculated isoelectric point. <sup>9</sup>Relative Fold change: Normalized Volumes of protein spot in co-culture/Normalized Volumes of protein spots in individual growth.



conversion (ATP synthase subunit alpha, 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase and F0F1 ATP synthase subunit beta) (20%), transcription (DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha) (5%), nucleotide metabolism (adenylosuccinate synthase) (5%), translation (leucine-tRNA ligase) (5%), stress (general stress protein) (5%), cell wall biosynthesis (choloylglycine hydrolase) (5%) (**Table 2, Figure 5**). Moreover,

according to the hypergeometric distribution, the categories related with carbohydrate metabolism, energy production and conversion, amino acid transport and metabolism, nucleotide transport and metabolism are enriched. While the categories of translation, transcription, cell wall biogenesis, and of unknown function were obtained as expected (Figure S1B).



In the three proteome comparisons, higher number of differentially expressed proteins were related with carbohydrate, energy production, and amino acid metabolism (**Figure 5**).

# Functional Analysis and Interaction of Proteins

The interactions among the over expressed proteins from *Ent. mundtii* were obtained using the STRING v10.05 database. This analysis contributes to understand microbial performance in the meat-based medium. Two protein-protein interaction networks were constructed: (i) containing proteins over expressed by *Ent. mundtii* in co-culture with respect to their individual growth at

T30 (**Figure 6A**). A network for T6 was not built as only four proteins at this time were over expressed and (ii) containing proteins over expressed at T6 with respect to T30 when *Ent. mundtii* was grown in co-culture with *E. coli* (**Figure 6B**). As shown in **Figure 6A**, 4 out of the 15 proteins over expressed at T30 with respect to the single culture at T30 have no interactions in between each other. However 11 proteins were related showing interactions (32 edges). Four proteins are related with sugar carbohydrate metabolism and four of them with energy production and conversion, which shows very strong interactions in between each other. This also support the fact that carbohydrates metabolism (G) through glycolysis is enriched at T30 in the presence of *E. coli*.

The second network (Figure 6B) corresponds to the overexpressed proteins of Ent. mundtii CRL35 grown in coculture at T6 respect to their growth in co-culture at T30. Three out of 20 proteins were not included in the network as no interactions were found in the STRING database. In this network sixty eight interactions were obtained among the proteins overexpressed by Ent. mundtii, the thickness of lines between the nodes indicating the degree of interaction. As mentioned before, the overexpression of these 20 proteins in co-culture at T6 respect to T30 could be explained by the logarithmic growth phase of Ent. mundtii CRL35 at 6 h. Moreover, as it can be observed in Figure 6B, the main interactions found in the network are related with carbohydrate metabolism (9 proteins) in particular these involved in glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway. This network support the activation and interaction of proteins related with carbohydrate metabolism in the exponential growth phase which is in agreement with the hypergeometric distribution test.

# DISCUSSION

# Performance of LAB and *E. coli* NCTC 12900 in a Meat-Based Medium. Physiological Results

The interaction and antagonistic activities of LAB with different pathogenic microorganisms were the focus of a number of studies. For instance, Atassi and Servin (2010) investigated the killing activity of Lactobacillus strains against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in co-cultures; Angmo et al. (2016) evaluated different LAB strains as biocontrol agents against Yersinia enterocolitica using agar spot tests as screening method. Thereafter, the growth of Y. enterocolitica in mixed cultures co-inoculated with two selected Lactobacillus strains was investigated. Also Yang et al. (2017) studied mixed cultures of bifidobacteria with Listeria monocytogenes to detect the changes in their growth pattern after mutual interaction by applying a proteomic approach. The present study is the first where the LAB inhibitory potential against E. coli O157:H7 is evaluated in co-cultures using physiological and proteomic approaches. The first objective of this study was to evaluate the inhibitory potential of three lactic acid bacteria strains toward E. coli O157:H7 NCTC12900. By a simple and rapid method such as the well-diffusion assay, a variable LAB inhibitory activity was

evidenced. Then, the kinetic of growth in a meat model system in the presence of E. coli, was analyzed. The three LAB strains affected negatively the growth of EHEC in the meat environment after 8 h, evidencing a higher inhibitory potential of L. plantarum CRL681 and Ent. mundtii CRL35, which produced a significant decrease of E. coli counts at 96 h. It seems therefore that LAB trigged E. coli death, due to mechanisms other than acid effect or bacteriocin activity. In fact during the spot on lawn assay, no inhibitory halos were detected by supernatants containing acids or bacteriocins, they not triggering E. coli inhibition. Contrarily, Angmo et al. (2016) concluded that low pH and production of lactic acid were the main factors for inhibition of growth of Y. enterocolitica (Angmo et al., 2016). Moreover, even if all LAB strains were able to acidify the sarcoplasmic medium, they presented different inhibitory activity toward E. coli. According to this, EHEC has three powerful systems of resistance to acid stress; these including an acid-induced oxidative system, an acidinduced arginine-dependent system and a glutamate-dependent system (Bearson et al., 2009). These three systems of resistance to acids have different requirements, allowing an overlapping between them, ensuring that at least one of them will always be active to protect the cell in an acid environment (Bearson et al., 2009). These properties of EHEC contribute to low infectious doses by allowing small numbers of microorganisms to pass through the gastric acidity barrier. Therefore, its acid resistance ability is an important virulence factor and explains the absence of growth inhibition halos by culture supernatants observed herein. As regards to E. coli inhibition by bacteriocin action, it is known that these peptides do not act on Gram negative microorganisms unless they are combined with a treatment to damage the cell wall to allow bacteriocin entrance into the cell (Castellano et al., 2011). Therefore, our results, showing absence of inhibition due to Ent. mundtii CRL35 and L. curvatus CRL705 bacteriocins, suggest that EHEC antagonistic action involves other mechanisms such as competition for nutrients, quorum sensing, or a close cell-cell relationship where the bioprotective culture must preserve its vitality to cope with EHEC. In a similar work, Rios-Covian et al. (2015) reported a delayed growth of Bacillus fragilis by the presence of Bifidobacterim longun in coculture during the first 14 h. They observed an improved growth of bifidobacteria compared to the corresponding mono-culture. Our results showed that E. coli affects slightly to moderately the maximal cell densities achieved by LAB. Ent. mundtii CRL35 showed an earlier exponential phase and the stabilization of the stationary phase with a slight viability decrease after 40 h compared to its growth in mono-culture. Yang et al. (2017) studying the co-incubation of Bifidobacterium bifidum with Listeria monocytogenes, have also reported the earlier entrance into the logarithmic growth phase suggesting a mutual growth promoting effect during the co-cultivation.

### **Differential Protein Expression Analyses** *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 in Co-culture vs. *Ent. mundtii* in Mono-Culture

In this work, 2DE was employed to analyze differential expression induced by the interaction between *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 and *E. coli* NCTC12900, focusing on the LAB proteome. This

approach allowed us to investigate the molecular basis of this interaction and the relation with the physiological changes undergoing during co-cultivation in the meat-based medium. Slight proteome variations were observed in Ent. mundtii during the first hours of co-culture with *E. coli* with respect to its growth as mono-culture at 6 h. One ABC transporter for methionine and the carbamoyl phosphate synthase large unit are among the over expressed proteins. They are related to amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism. One enzyme related to glycolysis, the enolase (spot #9), and one related to cell division (protein FtsZ, spot #14) resulted over expressed at 6h and at 30h indicating that LAB activated glycolysis and cell division to cope the presence of E. coli. It should be mentioned that enolase is also known as a moonlighting protein. These are proteins that display additional functions other than their major described biochemical catalytic activity. In general, these cytoplasmic/cell surface moonlighting proteins can be important in infection, virulence, or immune responses (Jeffery, 2015). For example enolase is also associated with epithelial cell binding (Castaldo et al., 2009). In fact, Peng et al. (2014) reported enolase as one of the actin-binding proteins in Enterococcus faecalis. It could therefore be suggested that Ent. mundtii can up-regulate enolase during co culturing with E. coli as an additional strategy to compete with E. coli for actin binding during adhesion to meat. On the other hand, Ent. mundtii proteome was much more affected in co-culture at 30 h than when it grew alone at the same time. In fact 16 proteins resulted over expressed, including some spots also upregulated at 6 h (enolase and cell division protein FtsZ). Proteinprotein network showed interaction in 12 of these proteins, mainly related with carbohydrate metabolism. The 31.25% of differentially over expressed proteins were involved in glycolysis. The 18.75% of proteins synthesized in higher amounts were related to other pathways, also involved in energy production and conversion, thus indicating that co-culturing with E. coli exerted more effective activation of these pathways at 30 h of co-culturing than during the first hours of the growth. In addition, physiological results indicate that at 30 h, E. coli is dying in co-culture, suggesting that E. coli viability decrease resulted convenient for Ent. mundtii which persisted in the stationary phase. Ent. mundtii during co-culture, resulted even more stable at stationary phase than when it grew alone. This fact is consistent with the over-expression of many proteins from sugar metabolism, energy production, transcription, cell division, and amino acid metabolism indicating an active metabolism of Ent. mundtii which allowed its persistence in the meat-based medium. It should also be highlighted the up regulation of proteins related to folding/processing and stress such as the chaperone DnaK and the stress response regulator Gls24 that could contribute to the satisfactory resistance of Ent. mundtii to stressful conditions dominating the microbial environment at 30 h in conjunction with a low pH (close to 4.0). There are some studies demonstrating the interaction of certain microorganisms during its growth in mixed cultures. Yang et al. (2017) proposed that the growth of Bifidobacterium bifidum WBBI03 and Listeria monocytogenes together promotes the growth of each other, resulting in earlier entry into the logarithmic phase, and the expression of proteins mostly tended to be up regulated at the translational and transcriptional level. While Rios-Covián et al. (2016) reported the stimulation of the growth of *Bifidobacterium longum* in co-culture while retarding the growth of *Bacteroides fragilis*, with concomitant changes in the production of some proteins and metabolites of both bacteria. In the present work a different interaction seems to occur between *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 and *E. coli* O157:H7. In fact, a positive effect of *E. coli* on the fitness of the LAB could occur, while the latter triggered the pathogen death after 8 h of co-culture.

#### Ent. mundtii CRL35 in Co-culture: T6 vs. T30

When comparing protein expression of co-cultures along the time (CC T6 vs. CC T30), 20 proteins resulted over expressed during the first hours (6 h). This is in relation to the exponential growth phase going through the microorganism, in which the general metabolism is activated as reported by other (Cohen et al., 2006; Koistinen et al., 2007). Those results are also supported by the observed protein network, where proteins related to carbohydrate metabolism presented stronger interactions. Among up regulated proteins, 7 spots were identified as belonging to carbohydrate metabolism and 1 to sugar transport. Five enzymes were related to glycolysis (spots #22, 23, 24, 25 and 27) and two involved in the pentose phosphate pathway (spots #26 and 28) (Figure 7). The over expression of phosphoenolpyruvate-protein phosphotransferase, involved in carbohydrate transport, could facilitate glucose entrance into the cell, as a consequence of a more efficient competition with the pathogen for sugar uptake, thus contributing to cope with E. coli presence which is also in the logarithmic growth state. Only one glycolytic enzyme, the phosphoglucomutase (spot #21) was under expressed at 6 h. This could be related to the up regulation of the PTS phosphoenolpyruvate-protein phosphotransferase (PTS system) involved in glucose transport by the generating glucose-6P which enters directly into the Embden-Meyerhorff-Parnas pathway, explaining the under expression of phosphoglucomutase which produces glucose-6P from glucose-1P coming from other pathways such as glycogen hydrolysis (Bonacina, 2017) (Figure 7). Glycogen metabolism would be less active than glucose during the first hours. In fact glucose is added to the meat-based medium which could be chosen firstly as primary energy source. On the other hand, two enzymes related to the pentose phosphate/phospohoketolase (PKP) pathway resulted over expressed in Ent. mundtii at 6 h, the 6-P gluconate dehydrogenase and transketolase, indicative also of the active metabolism of ribose, one of the sugars present in meat (Chaillou et al., 2005) (Figure 7). Concurring with our findings, Koistinen et al. (2007) reported that proteins preferentially expressed by L. plantarum in the early exponential phase were related to sugar consumption and biomass increase. Four enzymes related to amino acid metabolism were also up regulated by Ent. mundtii in co-culture at 6 h. Among them glutamine synthetase, a key enzyme of nitrogen metabolism that catalyzes the incorporation of ammonium into glutamate and is related to arginine biosynthesis, alanine, glutamate, and aspartate metabolism among other (Magasanik and Rothstein, 1980). In addition, some other peptidases and aminopeptidases resulted up regulated also at 6 h, indicating an active peptidolytic metabolism during the first hours of co-culturing. Also the adenylosuccinate synthase resulted 2.7-fold over expressed at 6h with respect to T30 by Ent. mundtii in co-culture, this enzyme plays an important role in the de novo pathway of purine nucleotide biosynthesis, it catalyzing the first committed step in the biosynthesis of AMP from IMP, also indicating a more active metabolism of this LAB during the first hours of co-culture. Also, proteins involved in transcription and translation such as DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha, leucine-tRNA ligase resulted up regulated by this LAB strain during the first hours of co-culturing. In accordance, Yang et al. (2017), reported the up regulation of enzymes related to transcription and translation when mixed cultures of Bifidobaterium bifidum and Listeria monocytogenes were evaluated.

One general stress protein presented also higher amounts at T6, as well as, the choloylglycine hydrolase involved in lipid metabolism and cell wall biosynthesis. This pattern can be related with the exponential growth and the consequent active cellular division. Two ATP synthases (alpha and beta subunits) were found increased in this condition. They are the prime producers of ATP, using the proton gradient generated by oxidative phosphorylation. Finally, the 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase beta subunit was 1.9 fold over expressed at 6h during coculture. This enzyme belongs to the oxidoreductase family, being also implicated in Phe, Tyr, Trp, Leu, Ile, Val, Asp, and Asn degradation. The branched-chain alpha-ketodehydrogenase complex catalyzes the overall conversion of alpha-keto acids to acyl-CoA and CO<sub>2</sub>. Summarizing, differential protein expression by Ent. mundtii in co-culture at two different growth phases correlated with the observed physiological behavior. The up regulation of many enzymes implicated in sugar and nitrogen metabolism, transcription, translation and energy production was in relation with the metabolism and the physiological state crossing LAB during the first hours of growth. A direct consequence of this active global metabolism contributed to the competition with E. coli at this specific moment when the pathogen was going through the exponential phase, while at 30 h, Ent. mundtii CRL35 reaching the stationary phase did not have to face a strong competition with E. coli since it had already entered into its death phase.

According with the obtained results, on can postulate the positive effect of *E. coli* on the fitness of the LAB, while a negative impact exerted the LAB on the pathogen by triggering its death after 8 h of co-culture. On the other hand, differential overexpression of *Ent. mundtii* proteins was higher in co-culture with *E. coli* than when it grew alone at 30 h. Concomitantly, physiological results indicated that at 30 h, *E. coli* was dying in co-culture, suggesting that the decrease of *E. coli* viability resulted convenient for *Ent. mundtii* which persisted in the stationary phase. This fact is consistent with the over-expression of many proteins from sugar metabolism, energy production, transcription, cell division and amino acid metabolism. This fact indicating the active *Ent. mundtii* metabolism allowed its persistence over the pathogen in the meat medium. It should also be highlighted the up regulation of proteins related to



asterisks are presented the proteins overexpressed in co-culture at 30 h with respect to 6 h.

folding/processing and stress such as the chaperone DnaK and the stress response regulator Gls24 that could contribute to the satisfactory resistance of Ent. mundtii to stressful conditions at 30 h, such as the low pH. When comparing protein expression of Ent. mundtii in co-culture along the time (CC T6 vs CC T30), the higher number of proteins over expressed during the first 6 h, was in relation with the metabolism and the physiological state crossing LAB during the first hours of co-culture. A direct consequence of this active global metabolism contributed to the competition with E. coli which was going through the exponential phase. Whereas at 30 h, Ent. mundtii was reaching the stationary phase and E. coli had already entered into its death phase. Indeed the LAB did not have to face a strong competition, accordingly, less differential protein expression was achieved at 30 h. Finally, mechanisms involved in this interaction, such as competition for nutrients, quorum sensing, or a close cell-cell relationship are suggested. The detailed study of these mechanisms is focus of ongoing investigations.

### CONCLUSION

Current results have demonstrated the true inhibitory potential of LAB against a pathogen of great concern such as *E. coli* O157:H7. Such inhibition was not due to acid or bacteriocin production but instead to a more complex relationship during the microbial interaction. The proteomic results herein presented supported physiological observations, demonstrating significant differences in protein expression in LAB (i) due to the presence of the pathogen and (ii) according to the growth phase analyzed. Even when more studies have to be performed in fresh meat to confirm *in vitro* observations, these results lay the foundations of the molecular basis for understanding the interaction between *Ent. mundtii* CRL35 and *E. coli* O157:H7 NCTC12900, as well as on the strategies of competition applied by both microorganisms. This work finally opens new perspectives for the application

#### REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Angmo, K., Kumari, A., and Bhalla, T. C. (2016). Antagonistic activities of lactic acid bacteria from fermented foods and beverage of Ladakh against *Yersinia enterocolitica* in refrigerated meat. *Food Biosci.* 13, 26–31. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2015.12.004
- Atassi, F., and Servin, A. L. (2010). Individual and co-operative roles of lactic acid and hydrogen peroxide in the killing activity of enteric strain *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC933 and vaginal strain *Lactobacillus gasseri* KS120. 1 against enteric, uropathogenic and vaginosis-associated pathogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 304, 29–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01887.x
- Bearson, B. L., Lee, I. S., and Casey, T. A. (2009). Escherichia coli O157: H7 glutamate- and arginine-dependent acid-resistance systems protect against oxidative stress during extreme acid challenge. Microbiology 155(Pt 3), 805–812. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.022905-0
- Belfiore, C., Castellano, P., and Vignolo, G. (2007). Reduction of *Escherichia coli* population following treatment with bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria and chelators. *Food Microbiol.* 24, 223–229. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2006. 05.006

of this bioprotective LAB to control *E. coli* O157:H7 in meat products.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AO carried out the experiments, analyzed the results and wrote the paper. SF conceived the idea of the project, coordinated the study, analyzed and discussed the results and wrote the paper. MS coordinated the study, discussed the results and wrote the paper. LT performed the *in silico* analysis of the identified proteins (STRING, COGNITOR) and the analysis of the hypergeometric distribution. JR and AA contributed in mass spectrometric analysis (MALDI-TOF/TOF) and discussed the paper. GV contributed to the discussion of the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This study was funded by FONCyT (PICT06 0813; PICT2011 0175) and CONICET (PIP0406; PIP0530).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Elena Bru for her statistical assistance. The FONCyT and CONICET organizations for the funding of this research carried out within the framework of AO doctoral thesis are fully acknowledged. We also thank CONICET for the doctoral scholarship of AO to support the completion of her doctoral degree.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.01083/full#supplementary-material

- Best, A., La Ragione, R. M., Cooley, W. A., O'Connor, C. D., Velge, P., and Woodward, M. J. (2003). Interaction with avian cells and colonisation of specific pathogen free chicks by Shiga-toxin negative *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (NCTC 12900). *Vet. Microbiol.* 93, 207–222. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1135(03)00031-2
- Bonacina, J. (2017). *Genomic and Functional Analysis of Enterococci Isolated From Food*. Doctoral thesis, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán.
- Bustos, A. Y., de Valdez, G. F., Raya, R., de Almeida, A. M., Fadda, S., and Taranto, M. P. (2015). Proteomic analysis of the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* CRL1098 reveals novel tolerance biomarkers to bile acid-induced stress. *Food Res. Int.* 77, 599–607. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2015.10.001
- Candiano, G., Bruschi, M., Musante, L., Santucci, L., Ghiggeri, G. M., Carnemolla, B., et al. (2004). Blue silver: a very sensitive colloidal Coomassie G-250 staining for proteome analysis. *Electrophoresis* 25, 1327–1333. doi: 10.1002/elps.200305844
- Castaldo, C., Vastano, V., Siciliano, R. A., Candela, M., Vici, M., Muscariello, L., et al. (2009). Surface displaced alfa-enolase of *Lactobacillus plantarum* is a fibronectin binding protein. *Microbes Cell Fact.* 8:14. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-8-14
- Castellano, P., Belfiore, C., and Vignolo, G. (2011). Combination of bioprotective cultures with EDTA to reduce *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in frozen ground-beef patties. *Food Control* 22, 1461–1465. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.02.018

- Chaillou, S., Champomier-Vergès, M.-C., Cornet, M., Crutz-Le Coq, A.-M., Dudez, A.-M., Martin, V., et al. (2005). The complete genome sequence of the meat-borne lactic acid bacterium *Lactobacillus sakei* 23K. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23, 1527–1533. doi: 10.1038/nbt1160
- Chikindas, M. L., Weeks, R., Drider, D., Chistyakov, V. A., and Dicks, L. M. (2017). Functions and emerging applications of bacteriocins. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 49, 23–28. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2017.07.011
- Cohen, D. P., Renes, J., Bouwman, F. G., Zoetendal, E. G., Mariman, E., de Vos,
  W. M., et al. (2006). Proteomic analysis of log to stationary growth phase Lactobacillus plantarum cells and a 2-DE database. Proteomics 6, 6485–6493. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200600361
- Colello, R., Caceres, M. E., Ruiz, M. J., Sanz, M., Etcheverria, A. I., and Padola, N. L. (2016). From farm to table: follow-up of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* throughout the pork production chain in Argentina. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:93. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00093
- De Man, J., Rogosa, D., and Sharpe, M. E. (1960). A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. J. Appl. Microbiol. 23, 130–135.
- Fadda, S., Sanz, Y., Vignolo, G., Aristoy, M., Oliver, G., and Toldra, F. (1999). Hydrolysis of pork muscle sarcoplasmic proteins by *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Lactobacillus sakei. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 578–584.
- Fadda, S., Vignolo, G., Holgado, A. P., and Oliver, G. (1998). Proteolytic activity of Lactobacillus strains isolated from dryfermented sausages on muscle sarcoplasmic proteins. *Meat Sci.* 49, 11–18. doi: 10.1016/S0309-1740(97)00097-1
- Fadda, S., Vildoza, M. J., and Vignolo, G. (2010). The acidogenic metabolism of *Lactobacillus plantarum* CRL 681 improves sarcoplasmic protein hydrolysis during meat fermentation. *J. Muscle Foods* 21, 545–556. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4573.2009.00202.x
- Galperin, M. Y., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., and Koonin, E. V. (2015). Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43(Database issue), D261–D269. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1223
- Grosu-Tudor, S.-S., Brown, L., Hebert, E. M., Brezeanu, A., Brinzan, A., Fadda, S., et al. (2016). S-layer production by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* IBB 801 under environmental stress conditions. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100, 4573–4583. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7355-5
- Jeffery, C. J. (2015). Why study moonlighting proteins? Front. Genet. 6:211. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00211
- Koistinen, K. M., Plumed-Ferrer, C., Lehesranta, S. J., Karenlampi, S. O., and von Wright, A. (2007). Comparison of growth-phase-dependent cytosolic proteomes of two *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains used in food and feed fermentations. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 273, 12–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00775.x
- Lim, J. Y., Yoon, J., and Hovde, C. J. (2010). A brief overview of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and its plasmid O157. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 20, 5–14.
- Magasanik, B., and Rothstein, D. M. (1980). "The role of glutamine synthetase in the regulation of bacterial nitrogen metabolism," in *Glutamine: Metabolism*, *Enzymology, and Regulation*, eds J. Mora and R. Palacios (Mexico: Elsevier), 61–68.
- Nally, J. E., Grassmann, A. A., Planchon, S., Sergeant, K., Renaut, J., Seshu, J., et al. (2017). Pathogenic leptospires modulate protein expression and posttranslational modifications in response to mammalian host signals. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 7:362. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00362
- Peng, Z., Krey, V., Wei, H., Tan, Q., Vogelmann, R., Ehrmann, M. A., et al. (2014). Impact of actin on adhesion and translocation of *Enterococcus faecalis. Arch. Microbiol.* 196, 109–117. doi: 10.1007/s00203-013-0943-1

- Pingitore, E. V., Todorov, S. D., Sesma, F., and de Melo Franco, B. D. G. (2012). Application of bacteriocinogenic *Enterococcus mundtii* CRL35 and *Enterococcus faecium* ST88Ch in the control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in fresh Minas cheese. *Food Microbiol.* 32, 38–47. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.04.005
- Rios-Covián, D., Sánchez, B., Martínez, N., Cuesta, I., Hernández-Barranco, A. M., de los Reyes-Gavilán, C. G., et al. (2016). A proteomic approach towards understanding the cross talk between *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Bifidobacterium longum* in coculture. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 62, 623–628. doi: 10.1139/cjm-2015-0804
- Rios-Covian, D., Sánchez, B., Salazar, N., Martínez, N., Redruello, B., Gueimonde, M., et al. (2015). Different metabolic features of *Bacteroides fragilis* growing in the presence of glucose and exopolysaccharides of bifidobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:825. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00825
- Saavedra, L., Minahk, C., de Ruiz Holgado, A. P., and Sesma, F. (2004). Enhancement of the enterocin CRL35 activity by a synthetic peptide derived from the NH2-terminal sequence. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 48, 2778–2781. doi: 10.1128/AAC.48.7.2778-2781.2004
- Salvucci, E., Saavedra, L., and Sesma, F. (2007). Short peptides derived from the NH2-terminus of subclass IIa bacteriocin enterocin CRL35 show antimicrobial activity. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59, 1102–1108. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkm096
- Szklarczyk, D., Franceschini, A., Wyder, S., Forslund, K., Heller, D., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2015). STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43(Database issue), D447– D452. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1003
- UniProt Consortium (2015). UniProt: a hub for protein information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D204–D212. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku989
- Varsha, K. K., and Nampoothiri, K. M. (2016). Appraisal of lactic acid bacteria as protective cultures. *Food Control* 69, 61–64. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.04.032
- Vignolo, G., Castellano, P., and Fadda, S. (2015). "Starter cultures: bioprotective cultures," in *Handbook of Fermented Meat and Poultry, 2nd Edn.*, eds F. Todrá, Y. H. Hui, I. Astiasaran, J. G. Sebranek, and R. Talon (Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing Inc.), 129–137.
- Wessels, S., Axelsson, L., Hansen, E. B., De Vuyst, L., Laulund, S., Lähteenmäki, L., et al. (2004). The lactic acid bacteria, the food chain, and their regulation. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 15, 498–505. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2004.03.003
- Woraprayote, W., Malila, Y., Sorapukdee, S., Swetwiwathana, A., Benjakul, S., and Visessanguan, W. (2016). Bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria and their applications in meat and meat products. *Meat Sci.* 120, 118–132. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.04.004
- Yang, D., Wu, X., Yu, X., He, L., Shah, N. P., and Xu, F. (2017). Mutual growth-promoting effect between *Bifidobacterium bifidum* WBBI03 and *Listeria monocytogenes* CMCC 54001. *J. Dairy Sci.* 100, 3448–3462. doi: 10.3168/jds.2016-11804

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Orihuel, Terán, Renaut, Vignolo, De Almeida, Saavedra and Fadda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.