1	This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Highwire in
2	Applied and Environmental Microbiology (accepted February 23 2018)
3	available at:
4	https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00154-18.
5	
6	
7	
	• • • • • • • • • • • •
8	On the enigma of glutathione dependent styrene
9	degradation in Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2
10	
11	Thomas Heine ^{1,#} , Juliane Zimmerling ¹ , Anne Ballmann ¹ , Sebastian Bruno
12	Kleeberg ¹ , Christian Rückert ² , Tobias Busche ² , Anika Winkler ² , Jörn
13	Kalinowski ² , Ansgar Poetsch ^{3,4} , Anika Scholtissek ¹ , Michel Oelschlägel ¹ , Gert
14	Schmidt ⁵ and Dirk Tischler ^{1,6,#}
15	
16	¹ Institute of Biosciences, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Str. 29, Freiberg,
17	Germany.

18	² Technologieplattform Genomik, Centrum für Biotechnologie (CeBiTec), Universität
19	Bielefeld, Universitätsstr. 27, Bielefeld, Germany.
20	³ Plant Biochemistry, Ruhr University Bochum, 44801 Bochum, Germany
21	⁴ School of Biomedical and Healthcare Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth PL4
22	8AA, UK
23	⁵ Institut für Keramik, Glas- und Baustofftechnik, TU Bergakademie Freiberg,
24	Agricolastr. 17, Freiberg, Germany.
25	⁶ Microbial Biotechnology, Ruhr University Bochum, 44801 Bochum, Germany
26	
27	
28	[#] For correspondence. E-mail heinet@tu-freiberg.de, dirk-tischler@email.de; Tel. +49
29	3731 392103.
30	
31	Running title: Styrene degradation via glutathione-S-transferase

33 ABSTRACT

Among bacteria, only a single styrene specific degradation pathway has been 34 35 reported so far. It comprises the activity of styrene monooxygenase, styrene oxide isomerase and phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase yielding phenylacetic acid as 36 37 central metabolite. The alternative route comprises ring-hydroxylating enzymes and yields vinyl catechol as central metabolite, which undergoes *meta*-cleavage. This was 38 reported to be unspecific and also allows the degradation of benzene derivatives. 39 However, some bacteria had been described to degrade styrene but do not employ 40 41 one of those routes or only parts of them. Herein we describe a novel "hybrid" degradation pathway for styrene located on a plasmid of foreign origin. As putatively 42 43 also unspecific, it allows metabolizing chemically analogous compounds (e.g. 44 halogenated and/or alkylated styrene derivatives). Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2 was isolated with styrene as sole source of carbon and energy. It employs an assembled 45 46 route of the styrene side chain degradation and isoprene degradation pathways that 47 also funnels into phenylacetic acid as central metabolite. Metabolites, enzyme activity, genome, transcriptome and proteome data reinforce the observation and 48 49 allow to understand this biotechnologically relevant pathway which can be used for the production of ibuprofen. 50

51 **IMPORTANCE**

52 Degradation of xenobiotics by bacteria of high interest for bioremediation, but 53 also as involved enzymes are potential catalysts in biotechnological applications. 54 This study reveals a novel degradation pathway for the hazardous organic compound 55 styrene in *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2. It is an impressive illustration of horizontal 56 gene transfer, which enables novel metabolic capabilities. This study presents 57 glutathione-dependent styrene metabolization in an (actino-)bacterium. Further, the

58 genomic background of the ability of strain CWB2 to produce ibuprofen is 59 demonstrated.

60

61 **INTRODUCTION**

Styrene is a monoaromatic compound that naturally occurs as a component of tar, volatile and oily substances from plants and food, but can also be produced by microorganisms. Styrene is of high relevance in industry and produced in million tonne scale causing substantial anthropogenic release. This is problematic as it is hazardous for living organisms (1–3). Due to the disposability, it is corollary that organisms evolved strategies to detoxify and/or use styrene as a source of energy and carbon (3–5).

69 Styrene can be channelled through different unspecific degradation pathways due 70 to relaxed substrate specificity of the respective enzymes (see supplemental material 71 and 3 for details). However, only one styrene specific degradation pathway is known 72 and seems to be favoured by microorganisms under aerobic conditions (3, 5, 6). This 73 upper degradation pathway is initiated by oxidation of the vinyl side chain. A styrene monooxygenase (SMO) produces (S)-styrene oxide, which is converted by a 74 75 membrane bound styrene oxide isomerase (SOI) to phenylacetaldehyde. A phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase (PAD) oxidizes the aldehyde to phenylacetic 76 77 acid (PAA) (7). PAA is a central catabolite and metabolized in the so-called lower 78 degradation pathway, which is present in about 16% of all genome-sequenced 79 microorganisms (8, 9). That route has been described for several proteobacteria (Rhodococcus, 80 (Pseudomonas, Xanthobacter, Sphingopyxis), actinobacteria Corvnebacterium) and fungi (Exophiala) (reviewed by 3). 81

82 *Rhodococcus* sp. ST-10 has an incomplete degradation cluster lacking the SOI,

83 while still being able to use styrene as sole source of carbon and energy (10–13). 84 This gene cluster comprises the SMO and a putative (partial) open reading frame 85 (ORF), designated as "ORF3". It was hypothesized that the SOI can be bypassed by 86 chemical conversion of styrene oxide to phenylacetaldehyde or enzymatically (12– 87 14). However, chemical conversion is unlikely and no probable enzymes were 88 identified (3), thus the degradation pathway for strain ST-10 remains unclear.

The genus Gordonia is known to be a versatile degrader of aromatic compounds 89 (15, 16) and Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2 in particular is able to metabolize styrene 90 91 and related compounds. As previously described, strain CWB2 was obtained from a soil sample and separated via styrene-enrichment culture (17–19). Moreover, it was 92 93 shown that it is able to produce ibuprofen from 4-isobutyl- α -methylstyrene in a co-94 metabolic process (17, 18). Oelschlägel et al. 2015 reported that other styrene degraders are not capable to catalyze this reaction and therefore proposed 95 96 substantial differences in the respective enzymatic cascades. Interestingly, Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2 has a cluster that is homolog to the partial one of strain ST-10. 97

98 In this study, we identified the complete gene cluster, which enables styrene 99 degradation in strain CWB2. Therefore, we studied the transcriptome and the 100 proteome under styrene exposure. Further, we measured the activity of key enzymes 101 to clarify the root of the metabolic potential of Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2. 102 Moreover, this gene cluster seems to be alien as it is located on a plasmid and 103 assigned as genomic island if compared to the rest of the genome. It embodies a 104 hybrid of several homolog epoxide and aromatic compound degradation clusters from 105 different actinobacteria.

106

107 **RESULTS**

108 Identification and annotation of gene clusters associated with styrene 109 degradation. Genes that might be involved in styrene degradation in G. 110 rubripertincta CWB2 were identified and annotated by homology search using the BLASTP algorithm (20) on the non-redundant protein database or the UniProtKB 111 112 database (NCBI). The annotation of the putative styrene degradation cluster with 113 respect to the closest (characterized) homolog is listed in Table 2 and Dataset S1. A 114 32424-bp cluster with 36 putative open reading frames (orf) was identified on the 115 plasmid pGCWB2 (~ 100 kbp), which is framed by a styrene monooxygenase 116 (GCWB2 24100) and phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase (GCWB2 23925). 117 Interestingly, the average GC content of this cluster is 62.11% and thus 5% lower as 118 for the whole genome. The GC content of the whole plasmid is 3% lower compared 119 to the chromosome. Besides a high amount of hypothetical proteins, pGCWB2 120 contains 4 transposase-family proteins, 2 integrase-like proteins, one relaxase-like 121 protein and one type IV secretory system as an inventory for gene mobility. Genomic 122 island analysis on the whole genome illustrates that at least parts of this styrene 123 degradation cluster have foreign origin (Fig. 1).

124 The cluster can be separated into 4 subclusters comprised as follows: cluster S1 125 contains a styrene monooxygenase, which is known to initiate the styrene 126 degradation at the vinyl side chain. The closest characterized homolog of this protein 127 was found in *Rhodococcus* sp. ST-10 (StyA – 86% identity at amino acid level; StyB 128 - 82%). A phylogenetic analysis of the amino acid sequence classifies it as an E1-129 type SMO (Fig. S2). The closest match within Gordonia species is a putative monooxygenase from Gordonia polyisoprenivorans NBRC 16320 (GAB22407 - 45%; 130 131 GAB22406 – 39%). However, also the genetic organisation refers to a close relation

to the *Rhodococcus* cluster as the partial sequence of ORF_3 from strain ST-10 shows 67% identity to GCWB2_24090. Transmembrane domain prediction (TMHMM) of GCWB2_24090 identified 4 transmembrane helices what classifies this protein as hypothetical membrane associated. Beyond that, no characterized homologs and no known domains are present in the database for this ORF. It has to be mentioned that no styrene oxide isomerase (*styC*) gene was found on the genome of strain CWB2.

138 The second cluster S2 embeds 7 hypothetical proteins (GCWB2 24085 -139 GCWB2 24055). Two of them are presumably soluble and the others are annotated 140 as membrane proteins, while each has one transmembrane domain. Members of 141 these clusters appear to be rare within the database and are predominantly present 142 in rhodococci. Rhodocoocus opacus 1CP owns a homologous cluster downstream of 143 its StyABCD cluster (WP_065493732 - WP_045063326; 56 - 68%). Further, 144 Gordonia sp. i37 has a homologous gene cluster in the neighbourhood of a recently 145 recorded isoprene degradation cluster (contig257: WP 079929940 WP 079929944, contig258: OPX14963 - OPX14964; 56 - 74%) (21). 146

147 Cluster S3 encodes for proteins that might be involved in glutathione and 148 isoprene metabolism. GCWB2 24050 and GCWB2 24045 show highest identity to a 149 glutamate-cysteine ligase GshA (P9WPK7 - 33%) and a glutathione synthetase 150 GshB (P45480 – 50%) followed by a putative coenyzme A-disulfide reductase (CoA-151 DSR). The other genes of this cluster (GCWB2 24050 - GCWB2 24010) encode for 152 a putative MarR-like transcriptional regulator, a coenzyme A-transferase, a dehydrogenase, a glutathione S-transferase, a disulfidebond oxidoreductase and an 153 154 aldehyde dehydrogenase. The closest characterized homolog of the latter gene 155 product is the phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 156 ST (O06837 – 36%). Cluster S3 can also be found in Aeromicrobium sp. Root495

wherein a styrene monooxygenase is located between the CoA-DSR and the 157 158 transcriptional regulator. The same is true for Nocardioides sp. Root240 except for a 159 13983-bp insertion right after the styrene monooxygenase gene. Interestingly, the closest characterized homologs of GCWB2_24025 and GCWB2_24020 can be found 160 161 in *Rhodococcus* sp. AD45 (WP 045063294 - 59%; WP 045063292 - 49%) and are 162 known to be a functional part of an isoprene degradation cluster which is located on a megaplasmid (300 kbp) (22). In addition, homologs of other genes from cluster S3 163 164 can be found on this plasmid, even in a similar arrangement of parts from this cluster. 165 However, strain AD45 does not encode for a styrene monooxygenase on its genome 166 and on the other hand strain CWB2 is lacking the isoprene monooxygenase. 167 Homologous proteins from cluster S3 were recently found in Gordonia sp. i37 next to 168 a homologous to cluster S2 (21). The 13983-bp insertion of Nocardioides sp. 169 Root240 comprises a putative mce operon, a cluster of membrane proteins whose 170 specific function is unclear.

The putative styrene degradation cluster is completed by a fourth gene set S4 171 (GCWB2 24005 - GCWB2 23925) that encodes for proteins which are required for 172 173 the lower styrene degradation pathway (phenylacetic acid catabolism). The proposed 174 pathway of strain CWB2 is displayed in Figure 3b. Besides some regulatory elements 175 and a partial gene (GCWB2 24000), this cluster is homolog to that of Rhodococcus 176 opacus 1CP and can also be found in Gordonia soli NBRC 108243 as well as Gordonia sp. i37 (contig69). The AraC-like transcriptional regulator (GCWB2 24005) 177 shows homology to regulators of strain 1CP, that are located bevor the SMO and 178 behind the PAA degradation cluster (ANS32446 - 46%; WP 061046101 - 41%). 179 180 However, in contrast to strain CWB2 the upper and lower styrene degradation 181 pathways are not associated in this strain. Here the genes for the conversion of styrene to phenylacetic acid are located on a plasmid pR1CP1 (NZ CP009112), 182

whereas the subsequent metabolization is encoded on the chromosome 183 184 (NZ CP009111). The second transcriptional regulator (GCWB2 23980) belongs to the TetR-family. Cluster S4 is terminated by a phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase 185 (GCWB2 23925) that is highly similar to StyD from Rhodococcus sp. ST-5 186 (BAL04135 - 76%). So far, a comparable genetic environment of styrene 187 188 monooxygenases can only be found in Aeromicrobium sp. Root495 and in five strains of Nocardioides sp. (Root79, Root190, Root240, Root614, Root682). All of them were 189 190 isolated from Arabidopsis root microbiota (23).

As already mentioned, the whole cluster embeds 3 HTH-type regulators, which are known to respond to aromatic compounds (24). They are not similar to the regulation machinery as described for pseudomonads (3).

194 Besides this, the genome of strain CWB2 was examined for other genes and 195 clusters that might enable degradation of styrene or metabolites. As no styrene oxide 196 isomerase gene (styC) is located on the genome of strain CWB2, it might be possible 197 that this degradation step is bypassed by a styrene oxide reductase (SOR) and a phenylacetaldehyde reductase (PAR). So far, there is no enzyme characterized that 198 199 has SOR activity and thus no comparison with strain CWB2 on DNA level is possible. 200 However, two putative ORFs (GCWB2 12345 - 70%; GCWB2 18410 - 35%) show 201 similarity to the PAR of *Rhodococcus* sp. ST-10 (BAD51480). Interestingly, the latter 202 one is part of a cluster with 8 ORFs (GCWB2 18380 - GCWB2 18415) that is 203 homolog to one in Gordonia sp. TY-5 (BAD03956 - BAD03963; 87 - 96%) (25). It 204 comprises a chaperonin, a putative alcohol dehydrogenase, two hypothetical proteins 205 and a putative propane monooxygenase. The monooxygenase has also resemblance 206 with a propene monooxygenase from Mycobacterium sp. M156 (28 - 38%) (26) but 207 also a methane monooxygenase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* Bath (29 - 34%)

(27). These binuclear iron monooxygenases are able to epoxidize styrene.
Homologous clusters can be found in several actinobacteria (28–30), for instance in *R. opacus* 1CP and *Gordonia* sp. i37 (21). The other putative PAR is not part of a
cluster. In addition, several cytochrome P450 monooxygenases can be found on the
CWB2 genome, which might also be able to perform the epoxidation of styrene.

Transcriptome and proteome analysis of the styrene degrader CWB2. The transcriptome and proteome of strain CWB2 was analysed to reveal the global profile of genes and proteins that are involved in styrene metabolism. Therefore, fructosegrown cultures served as reference condition. The transcriptome output is summarized in Table S4.

218 If assuming a threshold of \geq 1.5 (M-value), then 2.5% of the genes of strain 219 CWB2 are overexpressed under styrene exposure and 30% of these are located on 220 the plasmid (Fig. S5). It is known, that the transcriptome as the total amount of 221 mRNA does not necessarily reflect the total amount of proteins abundance in the cell. 222 However, we were able to identify 3691 proteins in the proteome of strain CWB2. If assuming a threshold of 1.5 (log2 ratio), then 7% of the proteins where highly 223 224 abundant, when strain CWB2 was grown on styrene (Fig. S6). The gene cluster, 225 which is framed by the styrene monooxygenase and the phenylacetaldehyde 226 dehydrogenase, is highly upregulated on transcriptome (increased on average 7-fold) 227 as well as proteome level (increased on average 6.6-fold) (Table 2 and Dataset S1).

Validation of enzymatic activity of selected members of the styrene degradation pathway. After analysis of the genome, transcriptome and proteome of strain CWB2, we screened for enzyme activities that enable styrene degradation on different pathways. For that, crude extract from styrene grown biomass was prepared, proteins were separated and enriched by different chromatography

233 methods. The activities were measured directly or indirectly on a spectrophotometer or by quantification of the products on the reverse phase HPLC (RP-HPLC), 234 235 respectively (Table S5). It was possible to detect SMO activity in the crude extract and to enrich the enzyme 36-fold to an activity of 6.82 mU mg⁻¹. Due to the missing 236 237 SOI, it was proposed that the conversion of styrene oxide is bypassed by the activity 238 of a SOR, which produces 2-phenylethanol. This is supposed to be converted to 239 phenylacetaldehyde by a PAR. Only minor activity of a PAR with 2-phenylethanol 240 was detected in crude extract. Higher activities were determined in crude extracts, 241 when styrene oxide was applied as substrate. However, this might be due to activity 242 of a GST, while residual glutathione (GSH) is present in the crude extract of strain 243 CWB2. To further prove this assumption, crude extract was assayed for GST activity 244 after supply of additional GSH. Thereby, a GST activity of 44.23 U mg⁻¹ was reached 245 for the conversion of styrene oxide (Fig. 2).

Further, crude extracts were assayed for vinylcatechol-2,3-dioxygenase and *cis,cis*-muconate cycloisomerase (MCI) to exclude other degradation pathways. However, there was no detectable activity for one of these enzymes.

249 Two putative SMOs of strain CWB2 were cloned and expressed for initial 250 characterization. Of the two putative SMOs, only one was expressed and synthesised 251 in an active form. It is part of the styrene degradation cluster (S1; GCWB2 24100) 252 and produces (S)-styrene oxide with a specific activity of 0.42 \pm 0.02 U mg⁻¹. The 253 SMO can be classified as E1-type SMO (Fig. S2). PADs are aldehyde 254 dehydrogenases that catalyze the formation of the central intermediate phenylacetic 255 acid. Two aldehyde dehydrogenases of strain CWB2 were recombinantly expressed 256 in *E. coli*. Aldh1 which originates from the isoprene degradation cluster (S3) catalyzes the conversion of phenylacetaldehyde with an activity of $0.29 \pm 0.01 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$. StyD, 257

which is encoded in cluster S4 is 10-times slower and has an activity of 0.026 ± 0.001 U mg⁻¹.

260

261 **DISCUSSION**

Adaption of G. rubripertincta CWB2 to styrene exposure. Only few reports 262 263 exist for Gordonia considering the metabolization of styrene (18, 31). Further, the 264 limited amount of SMOs that are encoded on genomes of this genus indicate that 265 styrene degradation is no common feature. Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2 is able to withstand and degrade high amounts of styrene (520 g m⁻³ in 21 h), even compared 266 267 to other efficient styrene degraders (32, 33). Some bacteria produce surfactants, when they are exposed to hydrophobic substrates, to increase their accessibility (34-268 269 36). However, we found no indication that strain CWB2 exports biosurfactants into 270 the media but it seems to have a hydrophobic cell surface, which improves substrate 271 uptake. This is supported by a tendency to form agglomerates during growth in liquid 272 media.

273 There is no complete prokaryotic transcriptome under styrene exposure available 274 yet. So far, studies focused on the transcriptional regulation of styrene degradation 275 and a small number of target genes, solely with respect to Pseudomonas strains (37-276 44). A proteome of *R. jostii* RHA1, which employs an unspecific styrene degradation 277 route, is available (45). So far, only one system level proteome analysis for styrene 278 degradation in P. putida CA-3 exists (46). It was the first time where all of the 279 respective enzymes of the upper and lower degradation pathway were detected, 280 when a strain was grown on styrene (46).

281 Omic analysis of strain CWB2 in this study outlines the biological background for 282 its adaption to styrene as source of carbon and energy. This was found to be totally

283 different to so far characterized styrene degraders. Initially styrene has to be imported into the cell. The only specific styrene transporter StyE was found in 284 285 pseudomonads (47). However, the styE gene is not encoded in most other styrene degraders and thus, other transport mechanisms as well as diffusion have to be 286 considered (3, 46). Cluster S2 of strain CWB2 contains several membrane proteins 287 288 that are highly upregulated and the same cluster is also present in the styrene 289 degrader R. opacus 1CP (Fig. 1). Thus, it is likely that these proteins might also be 290 involved in substrate transport or cell membrane adaption. Interestingly, Gordonia sp. 291 i37 owns a similar cluster in proximity of an isoprene degradation cluster (21). 292 However, there are no characterized homologs available in the database and thus the specific function of these proteins remains unclear. 293

Strain CWB2 merged clusters to form a hybrid that enables styrene 294 295 degradation. The genetic organisation of the putative styrene degradation cluster 296 compared to other clusters with homolog proteins can be found in Figure 1. The "classical" styrene degradation cluster of *Pseudomonas* sp. Y2 differs to 297 Rhodococcus clusters as well as the recently reported cluster of S. fribergensis Kp5.2 298 299 (17, 38, 48). Thus, it is obvious that the arrangement and regulation is variable 300 among different organisms. The styrene degradation cluster of strain CWB2 is highly 301 upregulated on mRNA and protein level, when strain CWB2 grows on styrene (Table 302 2 and Dataset S1). In Pseudomonas putida CA-3 the SMO and PAD were the most 303 abundant proteins (46). In strain CWB2 they are also highly upregulated but in a 304 comparable range to the rest of the genes and proteins of this cluster. It can be seen 305 that the transcriptional regulators (GCWB2 24035; GCWB2 23980) are less 306 expressed and synthesized. It is also obvious that regulation of gene expression 307 differs in strain CWB2 as no StyR/StyS homolog is associated to this cluster.

308 However, further studies with different inducers are necessary to clarify the 309 regulation.

There is no evidence that strain CWB2 performs direct ring cleavage of styrene or 310 311 activation by an epoxide hydrolase as the respective parts of these pathways are not present on the genome or upregulated in the transcriptome or proteome, when 312 cultivated on styrene (supplemental material). In contrast, initial epoxidation of 313 314 styrene was found to be catalyzed by a SMO. Enzyme activity was detected in crude extracts of styrene-grown cells and the SMO was successfully enriched by ion-315 316 exchange chromatography and hydrophobic interaction chromatography (Table S5). 317 The SMO (GCWB2 24100; ASR05591) was recombinantly expressed and purified. The specific epoxidation activity is about 0.4 U mg⁻¹ and thus higher than for most 318 319 other characterized SMOs (48–50). However, epoxidation of styrene is usually the 320 rate-limiting step due to the relative low activity of the SMOs (51).

321 The SMO is part of cluster S1, which is highly similar to the partial styrene 322 degradation cluster of Rhodococcus sp. ST-10. Toda and co-workers proposed chemical conversion of styrene oxide or the cooperation of a styrene oxide reductase 323 324 (SOR) and phenylacetaldehyde reductase (PAR) (12, 13) as no SOI is present in this 325 strain. However, previous as well as this study indicate that this is rather unlikely, as 326 we detected only minor SOR and PAR activity in the crude extract of strain CWB2. 327 Both assumptions would not explain fast degradation of styrene as found in these 328 strains (3, 52, 53). However, strain ST-10 accumulated the epoxide when incubated 329 with styrene and thus it remains to be shown if the rest of the genes are also 330 homolog to the styrene degradation cluster in strain CWB2.

331 To circumvent this missing link of enzymatic styrene oxide isomerization, strain 332 CWB2 seems to have incorporated a cluster (S3), which is very similar to ones from

333 Aeromicrobium sp. Root495 and Nocarioides sp. Root240. Interestingly, both were 334 isolated at the same site (23) and both clusters are as well closely located to a 335 styrene monooxygenase in these strains. The genes of cluster S3 may originate from 336 an isoprene degradation cluster as found on a megaplasmid in *Rhodococcus* sp. 337 AD45 (AJ249207) but also in Gordonia sp. i37 (21, 54). Actinobacteria from the 338 genera Mycobacterium, Rhodococcus and Gordonia were constantly detected in 339 different environments as isoprene degraders (21, 54, 55). Rhodococcus sp. AD45 340 initially epoxidizes isoprene by the activity of an isoprene monooxygenase. Then it 341 uses a glutathione S-transferase to convert the epoxide to a glutathione-alcohol 342 adduct, which is further metabolized by a dehydrogenase to form an aldehyde and 343 subsequently an acid (22, 54, 56-59). Remarkably, strain AD45 is also able to 344 metabolize styrene but has no SMO (56). Derived from these observations, it might 345 be possible that styrene is also channelled through the isoprene degradation pathway in G. rubripertincta CWB2. Further, strain CWB2 owns genes that are 346 347 necessary for glutathione synthesis and reduction in cluster S3 (60). Interestingly, 348 strain CWB2 does not possess an isoprene monooxygenase and has no ability to 349 catabolize isoprene (Table 1).

Styrene oxide is channelled into a novel glutathione dependent degradation pathway. To proof, whether glutathione dependent metabolization occurs, we assayed crude extract from styrene grown cells for GST activity with *(S)*-styrene oxide as substrate. We found that the epoxide was degraded fast with an activity of 44 U mg_{crude extract}⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Only minor activity was detected when no reduced glutathione was added to the reaction.

356 Therefore, we propose a novel degradation pathway for styrene via initial 357 epoxidation by a SMO to *(S)*-styrene oxide and addition of glutathione by the GST

358 Styl (Fig. 3a). The resulting (S)-(1-Phenyl-2-hydroxyethyl) glutathione (CAS: 64186-97-6) will be further converted by the dehydrogenase StyH to (S)-(1-Phenyl-2-359 360 acetaldehyde) glutathione and (S)-(1-Phenyl-2-acetic acid) glutathione. It might be 361 possible that the phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase (PAD) and/or the aldehyde dehydrogenase (Adh1) are also involved in this step as both showed activity with 362 363 phenylacetaldehyde (61). As the glutathione adduct is not easily accessible it has to 364 be verified if the Adh1 and the PAD can also catalyze this reaction. It was shown that 365 the aldehyde dehydrogenase of cluster S3 are induced in strain AD45 but no specific 366 role had been ascribed (22). Subsequently the glutathione is removed from the 367 adduct what might occur by the activity of StyJ and StyG (58, 62, 63). The product of 368 this process will be phenylacetic acid or phenylacetyl-CoA, which will be degraded 369 via several enzymes from cluster S4 to yield acetyl-CoA and succinyl-CoA (Fig. 3b). 370 We suppose that the 2-phenylethanol and phenylacetaldehyde, that can be detected 371 during growth, results from side-product formation of this novel pathway due to 372 instability of the glutathione adducts or enzymatic removal of glutathione in an earlier 373 step (Fig. 3a).

374 It should be mentioned that it is unusual for actinobacteria to produce glutathione, 375 as mycothiol is the dominant thiol in these organisms (64-66). However, it was 376 reported that strain AD45 additionally produces substantial amounts of glutathione 377 and it was suggested that this ability was gained by horizontal gene transfer of 378 isoprene degradation genes (54, 56, 58, 65). It is likely that the same is true for strain 379 CWB2 due to the plasmid uptake. This is supported by the finding that the GC 380 content of the plasmid and the styrene degradation cluster is much lower compared 381 to the whole genome. The GC content of that cluster S3 is close to that of strain 382 AD45 (61.7%; (22). Recently, genes of cluster S3 were found in Gordonia sp. i37 (21). Further, strain CWB2 as well as strain 1CP encode for several mobile elements 383

in direct neighbourhood of the styrene degradation cluster on their plasmid what suggests horizontal gene transfer. In addition, the cluster S4 is highly similar that that of *R. opacus* 1CP and strain RHA1 and degradation of PAA likely takes place in the same way (67, 68) (Fig. 3b).

388

389 CONCLUSION

390 Omic analyses imply that strain CWB2 incorporated a plasmid which contains an assembly of different gene clusters and forms a "hybrid" that enables to metabolize 391 392 styrene and analogous compounds. Our study illustrates the possibilities of horizontal 393 gene transfer for Gram-positive bacteria and an ongoing adaptation to glutathione as 394 cofactor in actinobacteria. This adaption is coupled with a high biotechnological 395 potential of this organism, as G. rubripertincta CWB2 can produce ibuprofen, which is 396 not possible through the classical styrene degradation pathway (17, 18). The 397 involved SMO shows higher activities than reported for other SMOs so far. This might 398 be interesting as these enzymes are known to catalyze a variety of valuable reactions 399 (3). Further, bacterial GST are known to be involved in degradation of (halogenated) 400 xenobiotics and other chemical transformations and therefore the GST of strain 401 CWB2 might open a new field of possible biochemical reactions to this class of 402 enzymes (63, 69, 70).

403 MATERIALS AND METHODS

404 Isolation and cultivation of styrene-degrading strains. Styrene degrading bacteria were isolated from (contaminated) soil. A small amount of the soil was 405 406 transferred into a 1-I Erlenmeyer flask and suspended in 100 ml water. Portions of 10 to 40 µl of styrene were supplied via an evaporation adaptor as sole source of carbon 407 408 and energy. The growth media was dosed with 0.02 mg ml⁻¹ nalidixin acid and 0.075 409 mg ml⁻¹ cycloheximide to prevent growth of Gram-negative bacteria or fungi, 410 respectively. 10 ml of the culture was plated on solid mineral medium (MM) (71) 411 without carbon source and incubated at room temperature in a 5-I desiccator under 412 styrene containing atmosphere. The grown colonies were repeatedly transferred on 413 fresh solid mineral media and incubated for 2 - 3 days in the desiccator. The isolates 414 were stored at - 80° C in 40% (v/v) glycerol.

Liquid cultures were kept in Erlenmeyer flasks containing mineral media (71). The respective carbon source was added either directly into the media or in case of volatile compounds via an evaporation adapter.

418 Characterization of G. rubripertincta CWB2. Growth of strain CWB2 in liquid 419 MM was assayed on various substrates (Table S1). Production of surfactants with 420 fructose, hexadecane or styrene as carbon source was examined as published 421 earlier (36, 72). Siderophore production was determined by the CAS-agar plate test 422 (73). Analysis of the mycol- and fatty acid composition was done by the Deutsche 423 Sammlung für Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ) (Table S2). 16S rRNA 424 analysis and Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was done as described earlier (18) (Fig. S1 and S3). The in silico DNA-DNA-hybridization was performed by the 425 426 Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator 2.1 (DSMZ) (Fig. S7). G. rubripertincta

427 CWB2 was assayed for antibiotic resistance on chloramphenicol, ampicillin, 428 tetracycline, nalidixic acid, gentamycin, streptomycin and kanamycin.

429 DNA extraction and genome sequencing, annotation and bioinformatic 430 analysis. A 50-ml culture of G. rubripertincta CWB2 was grown on fructose in mineral media. Cells were harvested at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 by centrifugation (5 000 x g; 431 432 15 min), washed once with 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and centrifuged again. 433 The cell Pellet was resuspended in 2.7 ml buffer (10 Tris-HCl, 10% sucrose, 30 mg 434 lysozyme; pH 7.8) and incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C. After centrifugation the 435 supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 2.8 ml TE-buffer 10.1 436 (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA; pH 8) with 100 µg ml⁻¹ proteinase K and 150 µl SDS (10%) and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. Chromosomal DNA was extracted 437 438 successively with 3 to 5 ml phenol (equilibrated; 2 times), phenol:chloroform:isoamyl 439 alcohol (25:24:1) and chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1; 2 times). Each extraction was 440 followed by a centrifugation (20 000 x g; 10 min) and separation of the aqueous 441 phase into a new tube. Afterwards, RNA was digested by adding 100 µg ml⁻¹ RNase 442 A at 37°C for 10 min. The DNA was precipitated on ice by the addition of 1/10 volume 443 of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 3 volumes of 100% ethanol. The precipitate was 444 centrifuged at 4°C, resuspended in 70% ice-cold ethanol and centrifuged again. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was dried for 10 min in a SpeedVac 445 446 vacuum concentrator. The pellet was dissolved in TE-buffer 10.1 for storage at 4°C 447 and purity was controlled by agarose-gel electrophoresis and on the Nanodrop.

To obtain the complete genome sequence, two sequencing libraries were prepared, a TruSeq PCR-free whole genome shotgun library and a 8k Nextera MatePair libary (Illumina Inc, Netherlands). Both libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq desktop sequencer with 2x 300 bp. The obtained reads were

452 assembled using the Newbler (v2.8) de novo assembler (Roche). The initial assembly consisted of just one scaffold of 90 contigs, with 120 contigs larger than 453 454 500 bp in total. Manual inspection and assembly was performed using CONSED (74, 75), which revealed a misassembly: 3 contigs representing a 105 kbp plasmid, 455 henceforth called pGCWB2, were wrongly "attached" to the scaffold representing the 456 457 chromosome. The sequence of both replicons could be completely established. The sequences for both replicons were annotated using PROKKA (76), see Table S3 for 458 459 details. The annotated replicons were submitted to GenBank, the accession numbers 460 are CP022580 (chromosome) and CP022581 (pGCWB2)

Additional functional annotation of proteins was done by using the BLASTP algorithm (20) on the non-redundant protein database or the UniProtKB database (NCBI) (date of search: 01.09.2017). Membrane association of proteins was verified by prediction on the TMHMM server (77). Island viewer 4 (78) was applied to detect genomic islands and foreign genes on the genome of strain CWB2 (Fig. S4).

466 **RNA extraction and transcriptome sequencing.** A pre-culture of G. rubripertincta CWB2 was grown at 30°C in minimal media with fructose or styrene as 467 468 sole source of carbon, respectively. After 5 days of cultivation the culture was diluted 469 1/10 in fresh media and incubated for 24 h with the respective substrate in a set of 470 four Erlenmeyer flasks. Prior harvesting the cells, 10% of an ice-cold STOP-solution 471 (10% buffered phenol in ethanol) was added to the culture followed by centrifugation 472 at 11 000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was stored until RNA isolation at - 80°C. To break up the cells, 150 µl of a 5 mg ml⁻¹ 473 474 lysozyme solution were added to the pellet, mixed and incubated at room 475 temperature for 5 min. 450 µl of buffer RLT (Qiagen) and 50 mg of (0.1 mm) glass 476 beads were added to resuspend and break the cells by repeated vortexing at 4°C.

The suspension was applied to QIAshredder column for homogenization and to remove particles from the sample. Extraction of total RNA Extraction was done by applying the RNeasy Mini Kit including on-column DNA digestion (Qiagen). Isolated RNA was stored at - 80°C and quality was controlled on the 2100 Bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent).

482 RNA quality and quantity was again checked by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer run (Agilent Technologies, Böblingen, Germany) and Trinean Xpose sytem (Gentbrugge, 483 Belgium) prior and after rRNA depletion by Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Bacteria) 484 485 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit from 486 Illumina, (San Diego, CA, USA) was used to prepare the cDNA libraries to analyze 487 the whole transcriptome. The resulting cDNAs were then sequenced paired end on 488 an Illumina MiSeq and HiSeq 1500 system (San Diego, CA, USA) using 2 x 75 nt 489 read length. The raw sequencing read files are available in the ArrayExpress 490 database (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number: E-MTAB-6012. Reads were mapped on the reference G. rubripertincta CWB2 (CP022580, 491 492 CP022581) with Bowtie2 (79) using standard settings. ReadXplorer 2.2.0 (80) was 493 used for visualization of short read alignments and data analysis. Differential gene 494 expression analysis was performed based on normalized read count using TPM values (Transcripts Per Million) of CDS calculated by ReadXplorer 2.2.0. The signal 495 496 intensity value (a-value) was calculated by 0.5*(log2 TPM condition A + log2 TPM 497 condition B) of each CDS and the signal intensity ratio (m-value) by the difference of (log2) TPM. CDS with m-values of higher/equal than +1.5 or lower/equal than -1.5 498 499 were considered to be differentially transcribed.

500 **Preparation of protein samples and identification by LC-ESI-MS/MS mass** 501 **spectrometry.** *G. rubripertincta* CWB2 was grown the same way as for RNA

502 extraction in a set of four samples per carbon source. After cultivation, two samples were pooled and centrifuged at 5 000 x g for 30 min at 4°C and resuspended in 2.5 503 504 ml 50 mM PP, pH 7.26. The cells were disrupted by sonication on ice (10 cycles, 1.5 min; power: 70%; BANDELIN Sonoplus Homogenisator HD2070) after adding 40 U 505 506 DNAsel and 1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme. The suspension was centrifugated at 50 000 x g for 507 1 h at 4°C to separate soluble from insoluble matter. The proteins were separated by size using discontinuous sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis 508 509 (SDS-PAGE) with 50 µg of each sample per lane. Lanes were cut into 8 slices and 510 de-stained, alkylated and digested with trypsin as previously described (81, 82). 511 Peptides were extracted from the gel pieces with acetonitrile, loaded onto STAGE tips for storage, and eluted from the tips shortly before MS analysis (83). 512

513 By using an EASY- nLC 1000 (Thermo Scientific) LC system, peptides were separated at a flow rate of 400 nl/min on a 18 cm self-packed column (75 µm ID, 1.9 514 515 um Reprosil-Pur 120 C-18AQ beads, Dr Maisch Germany) housed in a custom-built column oven (84) at 45°C. Peptides were separated using gradient of buffers A 516 (0.1% formic acid) and B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) from 1% to 60% B. The 517 518 column was interfaced with a Nanospray Flex Ion Source (Thermo Scientific) to a Q-519 Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). MS instrument settings were: 520 1.5 kV spray voltage, Full MS at 60K resolution, AGC target 3e6, range of 300 - 1750 521 m/z, max injection time 20 ms; Top 15 MS/MS at 15K resolution, AGC target 1e5, 522 max injection time 25 ms, isolation width 2.2 m/z, charge exclusion +1 and unassigned, peptide match preferred, exclude isotope on, dynamic exclusion for 20s. 523

524 Mass spectra were recorded with Xcalibur software 3.1.66.10 (Thermo Scientific). 525 Using a custom database containing 4831 predicted protein sequences, proteins 526 were identified with Andromeda and quantified with the LFQ algorithm embedded in

527 MaxQuant version 1.5.3.17 (85). The following parameters were used: main search 528 max. peptide mass error of 4.5 ppm, tryptic peptides of min. 6 amino acid length with 529 max. two missed cleavages, variable oxidation of methionine, protein N-terminal 530 acetylation, fixed cysteine carbamidomethylation, LFQ min. ratio count of 2, matching 531 between runs enabled, PSM and (Razor) protein FDR of 0.01, advanced ratio 532 estimation and second peptides enabled. Proteins with a log2 ratio of higher/equal 533 than +1.5 or lower/equal than -1.5 were considered to be differentially synthesized.

Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant styAs, styD and aldh1. 534 535 The styA (GCWB2 24100, GCWB2 21620), styD (GCWB2 23925) and aldh1 536 (GCWB2 24010) genes were purchased from Eurofins MWG (Ebersberg) in a pEX-537 K2 vector system allowing for kanamycin resistance selection. The DNA sequences 538 were optimized for the codon usage and GC content of Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1 539 with the OPTIMIZER tool (48, 86). 5'-Ndel and 3'-Notl restriction sites were added 540 and used for subcloning into pET16bP to obtain the expression constructs pSGrA2 P01 and pSGrD1 P01, pSGrD2 P01 from which 541 pSGrA1 P01, 542 recombinant proteins can be obtained as His10-tagged proteins. Escherichia coli 543 strain DH5α and strain BL21 (DE3) pLysS were cultivated for cloning and expression purposes as described elsewhere (87). Plasmids are listed in Table 3. 544

Expression of StyA's took place in a 3-I biofermenter. *E. coli* BL21 strains with the respective plasmids were cultivated in LB media (100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin and 50 μ g ml⁻¹ ¹ chloramphenicol) at 30°C until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 was reached. The batch was subsequently cooled to 20°C. Expression was induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 by adding 0.1 mM of IPTG (isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside) to the culture and grown for 20 h at 20°C (120 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (5 000 x g, 30 min, 4°C), resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) and stored at - 80°C. Formation of

the blue dye indigo is observable if active SMOs are produced during expression in LB media (88). As this was not the case for expression of *GCWB2_21620* we assumed that the protein is not synthesized or active.

555 For purification of StyA, crude extracts were prepared from freshly thawed biomass by disruption in a precooled French Pressure cell, followed by centrifugation 556 557 to remove cell debris (50 000 x g, 2 h, 4°C). The supernatants were applied to a 1-ml 558 HisTrap FF column. The column was washed with 10 CV of binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, pH 7.5) to remove nonspecific bound 559 560 proteins. Enzymes were eluted with a linear imidazole gradient up to 500 mM over 30 561 CV. Fractions with respective enzyme activity (see 2.6.) were pooled and concentrated using Sartorius Vivaspin 20 filters (5 000 MWCO) at 4°C. The 562 563 concentrates were passed through a 10-ml Econo-Pac 10DG desalting gravity-flow 564 column (Bio-Rad) to remove remaining imidazole and sodium chloride. Protein 565 obtained was kept in storage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50% [v/v] glycerol, pH 7.5) at -20°C. Expression of GCWB2 21620 did not yield active protein as already 566 mentioned. Preparation of StyD and Aldh1 was done according to Zimmerling et al. 567 568 2017 (61).

569 Purification of wild-type proteins. All following purification steps were 570 performed on an ÄKTA fast-performance liquid chromatographer (GE Healthcare). 571 Selected wild-type enzymes were enriched from crude extract by ion-exchange 572 chromatography. Therefore, strain CWB2 was cultivated on styrene and soluble crude extract was prepared as described above. The supernatant was loaded with 573 574 buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl; pH 7.5) on a MonoQ HR 5/5 column (GE Healthcare) at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. Nonspecific bound proteins were removed by washing with 5 575 576 column volumes (CV) of buffer A. Elution of proteins was done over 25 CV with a

linear gradient of buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 M NaCl; pH 7.5). Fractions of 1 ml were 577 collected and tested on the respective enzyme activity. A second purification step 578 579 was applied for some enzymes by using hydrophobic interaction chromatography. 580 Therefore, the fractions that showed the respective enzyme activity were pooled and (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added to a final concentration of 460 mM. The sample was loaded 581 582 with buffer C (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.8 M (NH₄)₂SO₄; pH 7.5) on a 1-ml Phenyl HP HiTrap column (GE Healthcare) at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. Nonspecific bound proteins were 583 584 removed by washing with 5 column volumes (CV) of buffer A. Elution of proteins was done over 25 CV with a linear gradient of buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl; pH 7.5). 585 586 Fractions of 1 ml were tested on enzyme activity.

587 For VC12DO gel filtration was done after hydrophobic interaction 588 chromatography. Therefore, the fraction containing VC12DO activity were pooled and applied with buffer D (25 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl; pH 7.5) to a Superdex 200 HR 589 590 10/30 column at a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹. Fractions of 1 ml were tested for VC12DO 591 activity.

592 Recombinant and wild-type proteins were subjected to discontinuous sodium dodecyl 593 sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (87) in order to determine 594 purity and subunit molecular size.

595 **Enzyme assays.** Crude extracts, enriched or purified protein preparations from 596 *G. rubripertincta* CWB2 were assayed for enzyme activities that are representative 597 for known degradation pathways of styrene.

598 Wild-type catechol 1,2-dioxygenase, catechol 2,3-dioxygenase and *cis,cis*-599 muconate cycloisomerase activity was measured spectrophotometrically (Cary 50, 600 Varian) by following the product formation or substrate depletion according to 601 Warhurst *et al.* 1994 (89) using catechol, protocatechuate and *cis,cis*-muconate as

602 substrates, respectively.

603 Styrene monooxygenase (SMO) activity of wild-type and recombinant enzyme 604 preparations with styrene were measured by quantification of the reaction product 605 styrene oxide on a RP-HPLC system as described previously (90).

Styrene oxide reductase (SOR) and phenylacetaldehyde reductase (PAR) wildtype activity with styrene oxide and 2-phenylethanol was determined by quantification of the reaction products phenylacetaldehyde or 2-phenylethanol on a RP-HPLC system following the protocol as described previously for the styrene oxide isomerase (SOI) (17, 18).

Wild-type phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase (PAD) and wild-type PAR activity was assayed indirectly on a spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian) by following the reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH at 340 nm (ϵ 340 nm = 6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) (91). The 1 ml assay mixture contained 0.5 mM phenylacetaldehyde or 2-phenylethanol in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM NAD⁺ and 50 µl protein containing sample, respectively. Recombinant PAD activity was assayed according to (61).

617 GST wild-type activity was assayed in soluble crude extract by following the (S)-618 styrene oxide consumption over time. Therefore, G. rubripertincta CWB2 was grown 619 on MM with styrene as sole source of carbon. A 100 ml pre-culture was prepared and 620 used to inoculate the main culture 1:50 in 500 ml fresh MM. The main culture was 621 incubated at 30°C for 5 days by adding 20 to 80 µl portions styrene via gas-phase. 622 Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5 000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. The 623 supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended and washed 2 times in 624 10 ml 20 mM PP (pH 8). The cells were disrupted by sonication on ice (10 cycles, 1 min; power: 70%; BANDELIN Sonoplus Homogenisator HD2070) after adding 40 U 625 626 DNAsel and 1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme. Soluble crude extracts were obtained by

627 centrifugation at 50 000 x g at 4°C for 1 h and separation from the insoluble matter. The reaction mix (600 µl) contained 20 mM PP (pH 8), 4 mM (S)-styrene oxide, 5 mM 628 629 GSH and an appropriate amount of soluble crude extract. Blank measurements were 630 carried out by omitting either GSH or enzyme preparation. Samples were tempered 631 for 10 min at 30°C and the reaction was initiated by the addition of the substrate (S)-632 styrene oxide. 25 µl samples were quenched at certain time points in 50 µl ice cold acetonitrile:methanol (1:1) and centrifuged at 16 000 x g for 10 min at 4°C to remove 633 634 precipitates. Supernatants were applied to RP-HPLC by injection of 10 µl samples. 635 All measurements were done in triplicates. Enzyme activities are given in 1 U mg⁻¹ 636 representing the conversion µmol substrate per min per mg protein.

All RP-HPLC measurements were done with a Eurospher C₁₈ column (125 mm length by 4 mm i.d., 5 μ m particle size, 100 Å pore size; Knauer, Germany). The protein content was determined by means of the Bradford method (92), using BradfordUltra reagent (Expedeon) and bovine serum albumin (Sigma) as reference protein.

Accession numbers. Genome and assembly of *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2 is deposited at NCBI (BioProject Accession: PRJNA394617; URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA394617) with the chromosome (CP022580) and plasmid (CP022581) sequences.

646 The raw sequencing read files are available in the ArrayExpress database 647 (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number: E-MTAB-6012.

Newly characterized recombinant proteins in this study are StyA (ASR05591;
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/ASR05591),
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/ASR05556),
Aldh1 (ASR05573;

651	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/ASR05573) and the monooxygenase
652	(ASR05096; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/ASR05096).
653	
654	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
655	We appreciate the funding of this project by the Deutsche Bundesstiftung Umwelt
656	(DBU), the European Social Fund and the Saxonian Government (GETGEOWEB:
657	100101363).
658	We hereby declare no conflicting interests among all of us the co-authors.
659	
660	
661	SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
662	Supplemental material is available at AEM's website.
663	

665 **REFERENCES**

- Bond JA. 1989. Review of the toxicology of styrene. Crit Rev Toxicol 19:227–249.
- 668 2. Gibbs BF, Mulligan CN. 1997. Styrene toxicity: an ecotoxicological assessment.
 669 Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 38:181–194.
- 670 3. Tischler D. 2015. Microbial styrene degradation. Springer Verlag.
- 4. van Agteren MH, Keuning S, Janssen DB, Janssen JP, Oosterhaven J. 2010.
 Handbook on biodegradation and biological treatment of hazardous organic
 compounds. Environment and Chemistry, v. 2. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- 5. Tischler D, Kaschabek SR. 2012. Microbial styrene degradation. From basics to
 biotechnology, 67–99. *In* Singh SN (ed), Microbial degradation of xenobiotics.
 Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- 6. Mooney A, Ward PG, O'Connor KE. 2006. Microbial degradation of styrene:
 biochemistry, molecular genetics, and perspectives for biotechnological
 applications. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 72:1–10.
- 680 7. Crabo AG, Singh B, Nguyen T, Emami S, Gassner GT, Sazinsky MH. 2017.
 681 Structure and biochemistry of phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase from the
 682 *Pseudomonas putida* S12 styrene catabolic pathway. Arch Biochem Biophys
 683 616:47–58.
- Teufel R, Mascaraque V, Ismail W, Voss M, Perera J, Eisenreich W, Haehnel W,
 Fuchs G. 2010. Bacterial phenylalanine and phenylacetate catabolic pathway
 revealed. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:14390–14395.
- 687 9. Grishin AM, Cygler M. 2015. Structural organization of enzymes of the 688 phenylacetate catabolic hybrid pathway. Biology (Basel) 4:424–442.
- 10. Itoh N, Yoshida K, Okada K. 1996. Isolation and identification of styrene degrading *Corynebacterium* strains, and their styrene metabolism. Biosci
 Biotechnol Biochem 60:1826–1830.
- 11. Itoh N, Hayashi K, Okada K, Ito T, Mizuguchi N. 1997. Characterization of
 styrene oxide isomerase, a key enzyme of styrene and styrene oxide metabolism
 in *Corynebacterium* sp.. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 61:2058–2062.
- 12. Itoh N, Morihama R, Wang J, Okada K, Mizuguchi N. 1997. Purification and
 characterization of phenylacetaldehyde reductase from a styrene-assimilating
 Corynebacterium strain, ST-10. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:3783–3788.
- Toda H, Itoh N. 2012. Isolation and characterization of styrene metabolism
 genes from styrene-assimilating soil bacteria *Rhodococcus* sp. ST-5 and ST-10.
 J Biosci Bioeng 113:12–19.
- 14. Marconi AM, Beltrametti F, Bestetti G, Solinas F, Ruzzi M, Galli E, Zennaro E.
 1996. Cloning and characterization of styrene catabolism genes from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* ST. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:121–127.
- 15. Arenskötter M, Bröker D, Steinbüchel A. 2004. Biology of the metabolically
 diverse genus *Gordonia*. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:3195–3204.
- 16. Drzyzga O. 2012. The strengths and weaknesses of *Gordonia*: a review of an
 emerging genus with increasing biotechnological potential. Crit Rev Microbiol
 38:300–316.

- 709 17. Oelschlägel M, Zimmerling J, Schlömann M, Tischler D. 2014. Styrene oxide
 710 isomerase of *Sphingopyxis* sp. Kp5.2. Microbiology (Reading, Engl.) 160:2481–
 711 2491.
- 712 18. Oelschlägel M, Kaschabek SR, Zimmerling J, Schlömann M, Tischler D. 2015.
 713 Co-metabolic formation of substituted phenylacetic acids by styrene-degrading 714 bacteria. Biotechnol Rep 6:20–26.
- 19. Esuola CO, Babalola OO, Heine T, Schwabe R, Schlömann M, Tischler D. 2016.
 Identification and characterization of a FAD-dependent putrescine N-hydroxylase
 (GorA) from *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2. J Mol Catal B: Enzym 134:378–389.
- 718 20. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local 719 alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.
- 21. Johnston A, Crombie AT, El Khawand M, Sims L, Whited GM, McGenity TJ,
 Colin Murrell J. 2017. Identification and characterisation of isoprene-degrading
 bacteria in an estuarine environment. Environ Microbiol. doi:10.1111/14622920.13842.
- 22. Crombie AT, Khawand ME, Rhodius VA, Fengler KA, Miller MC, Whited GM,
 McGenity TJ, Murrell JC. 2015. Regulation of plasmid-encoded isoprene
 metabolism in *Rhodococcus*, a representative of an important link in the global
 isoprene cycle. Environ Microbiol 17:3314–3329.
- 23. Bai Y, Müller DB, Srinivas G, Garrido-Oter R, Potthoff E, Rott M, Dombrowski N,
 Munch PC, Spaepen S, Remus-Emsermann M, Huttel B, McHardy AC, Vorholt
 JA, Schulze-Lefert P. 2015. Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root
 microbiota. Nature 528:364–369.
- 732 24. Tropel D, van der Meer JR. 2004. Bacterial transcriptional regulators for
 733 degradation pathways of aromatic compounds. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 68:474734 500.
- 735 25. Kotani T, Yamamoto T, Yurimoto H, Sakai Y, Kato N. 2003. Propane
 736 monooxygenase and NAD⁺-dependent secondary alcohol dehydrogenase in
 737 propane metabolism by *Gordonia* sp. strain TY-5. J Bacteriol 185:7120–7128.
- 26. Chan Kwo Chion CK, Askew SE, Leak DJ. 2005. Cloning, expression, and sitedirected mutagenesis of the propene monooxygenase genes from *Mycobacterium* sp. strain M156. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:1909–1914.
- Colby J, Stirling DI, Dalton H. 1977. The soluble methane monooxygenase of *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). Its ability to oxygenate n-alkanes, n-alkenes,
 ethers, and alicyclic, aromatic and heterocyclic compounds. Biochem J 165:395–
 402.
- Furuya T, Hirose S, Osanai H, Semba H, Kino K. 2011. Identification of the
 monooxygenase gene clusters responsible for the regioselective oxidation of
 phenol to hydroquinone in mycobacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:1214–1220.
- Furuya T, Hayashi M, Kino K. 2013. Reconstitution of active mycobacterial
 binuclear iron monooxygenase complex in *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 79:6033–6039.
- 30. Furuya T, Hayashi M, Semba H, Kino K. 2013. The mycobacterial binuclear iron
 monooxygenases require a specific chaperonin-like protein for functional
 expression in a heterologous host. FEBS J 280:817–826.

- 31. Narancic T, Djokic L, Kenny ST, O'Connor KE, Radulovic V, Nikodinovic-Runic J,
 Vasiljevic B. 2012. Metabolic versatility of Gram-positive microbial isolates from
 contaminated river sediments. J Hazard Mater 215-216:243–251.
- 32. Braun-Lüllemann A, Majcherczyk A, Hüttermann A. 1997. Degradation of styrene
 by white-rot fungi. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 47:150–155.
- 33. Gaszczak A, Bartelmus G, Gren I. 2012. Kinetics of styrene biodegradation by
 Pseudomonas sp. E-93486. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 93:565–573.
- 34. Bredholt H, Josefsen K, Vatland A, Bruheim P, Eimhjellen K. 1998.
 Emulsification of crude oil by an alkane-oxidizing *Rhodococcus* species isolated
 from seawater. Can J Microbiol 44:330–340.
- 35. Lang S, Philp JC. 1998. Surface-active lipids in rhodococci. Antonie VanLeeuwenhoek 74:59–70.
- 36. Franzetti A, Bestetti G, Caredda P, La Colla P, Tamburini E. 2008. Surfaceactive compounds and their role in the access to hydrocarbons in *Gordonia*strains. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 63:238–248.
- 37. O'Connor K, Buckley CM, Hartmans S, Dobson AD. 1995. Possible regulatory
 role for nonaromatic carbon sources in styrene degradation by *Pseudomonas putida* CA-3. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:544–548.
- 38. Velasco A, Alonso S, Garcia JL, Perera J, Diaz E. 1998. Genetic and functional
 analysis of the styrene catabolic cluster of *Pseudomonas* sp. strain Y2. J
 Bacteriol 180:1063–1071.
- 39. Santos PM, Blatny JM, Di Bartolo I, Valla S, Zennaro E. 2000. Physiological analysis of the expression of the styrene degradation gene cluster in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* ST. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1305–1310. doi:10.1128/AEM.66.4.1305-1310.2000.
- 40. O'Leary ND, O'Connor KE, Duetz W, Dobson AD. 2001. Transcriptional
 regulation of styrene degradation in *Pseudomonas putida* CA-3. Microbiology
 (Reading, Engl.) 147:973–979.
- 41. Leoni L, Ascenzi P, Bocedi A, Rampioni G, Castellini L, Zennaro E. 2003.
 Styrene-catabolism regulation in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* ST: phosphorylation
 of StyR induces dimerization and cooperative DNA-binding. Biochem Biophys
 Res Commun 303:926–931.
- 42. Leoni L, Rampioni G, Di Stefano V, Zennaro E. 2005. Dual role of response
 regulator StyR in styrene catabolism regulation. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:5411–
 5419.
- 43. Milani M, Leoni L, Rampioni G, Zennaro E, Ascenzi P, Bolognesi M. 2005. An active-like structure in the unphosphorylated StyR response regulator suggests a phosphorylation-dependent allosteric activation mechanism. Structure 13:1289–1297.
- 44. del Peso-Santos T, Shingler V, Perera J. 2008. The styrene-responsive
 StyS/StyR regulation system controls expression of an auxiliary phenylacetylcoenzyme A ligase. Implications for rapid metabolic coupling of the styrene
 upper- and lower-degradative pathways. Mol Microbiol 69:317–330.
- Patrauchan MA, Florizone C, Eapen S, Gomez-Gil L, Sethuraman B, Fukuda M,
 Davies J, Mohn WW, Eltis LD. 2008. Roles of ring-hydroxylating dioxygenases in

- 799styrene and benzene catabolism in *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1. J Bacteriol800190:37–47.
- 46. Nikodinovic-Runic J, Flanagan M, Hume AR, Cagney G, O'Connor KE. 2009.
 Analysis of the *Pseudomonas putida* CA-3 proteome during growth on styrene
 under nitrogen-limiting and non-limiting conditions. Microbiology (Reading, Engl.)
 155:3348–3361.
- 47. Mooney A, O'Leary ND, Dobson ADW. 2006. Cloning and functional characterization of the styE gene, involved in styrene transport in *Pseudomonas putida* CA-3. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:1302–1309. doi:10.1128/AEM.72.2.1302-1309.2006.
- 48. Riedel A, Heine T, Westphal AH, Conrad C, Rathsack P, van Berkel WJH,
 Tischler D. 2015. Catalytic and hydrodynamic properties of styrene
 monooxygenases from *Rhodococcus opacus* 1CP are modulated by cofactor
 binding. AMB Express 5:112.
- 49. Otto K, Hofstetter K, Röthlisberger M, Witholt B, Schmid A. 2004. Biochemical
 characterization of StyAB from *Pseudomonas* sp. strain VLB120 as a twocomponent flavin-diffusible monooxygenase. J Bacteriol 186:5292–5302.
- 50. Toda H, Imae R, Komio T, Itoh N. 2012. Expression and characterization of
 styrene monooxygenases of *Rhodococcus* sp. ST-5 and ST-10 for synthesizing
 enantiopure (*S*)-epoxides. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 96:407–418.
- 51. Cheng L, Yin S, Chen M, Sun B, Hao S, Wang C. 2016. Enhancing indigo
 production by over-expression of the styrene monooxygenase in *Pseudomonas putida*. Curr Microbiol 73:248–254.
- 52. Han JH, Park MS, Bae JW, Lee EY, Yoon YJ, Lee S-G, Park S. 2006. Production
 of (S)-styrene oxide using styrene oxide isomerase negative mutant of *Pseudomonas putida* SN1. Enzyme Microb Technol 39:1264–1269.
- 53. Oelschlägel M, Gröning JAD, Tischler D, Kaschabek SR, Schlömann M. 2012.
 Styrene oxide isomerase of *Rhodococcus opacus* 1CP, a highly stable and considerably active enzyme. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4330–4337.
- 54. El Khawand M, Crombie AT, Johnston A, Vavlline DV, McAuliffe JC, Latone JA,
 Primak YA, Lee S-K, Whited GM, McGenity TJ, Murrell JC. 2016. Isolation of
 isoprene degrading bacteria from soils, development of *isoA* gene probes and
 identification of the active isoprene-degrading soil community using DNA-stable
 isotope probing. Environ Microbiol 18:2743–2753.
- 55. Alvarez LA, Exton DA, Timmis KN, Suggett DJ, McGenity TJ. 2009.
 Characterization of marine isoprene-degrading communities. Environ Microbiol
 11:3280–3291.
- 56. van Hylckama VJ, Kingma J, van den Wijngaard, A J, Janssen DB. 1998. A
 glutathione S-transferase with activity towards cis-1,2-dichloroepoxyethane is
 involved in isoprene utilization by *Rhodococcus* sp. strain AD45. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 64:2800–2805.
- 57. van Hylckama VJ, Kingma J, Kruizinga W, Janssen DB. 1999. Purification of a
 glutathione S-transferase and a glutathione conjugate-specific dehydrogenase
 involved in isoprene metabolism in *Rhodococcus* sp. strain AD45. J Bacteriol
 181:2094–2101.

- 58. van Hylckama VJ, Leemhuis H, Spelberg JHL, Janssen DB, Spelberg JH, van
 Hylckama Vlieg JE. 2000. Characterization of the gene cluster involved in
 isoprene metabolism in *Rhodococcus* sp. strain AD45. J Bacteriol 182:1956–
 1963.
- 848 59. El Khawand M. 2014. Bacterial degradation of isoprene in the terrestrial 849 environment. Dissertation. University of East Anglia, Norwich.
- 850 60. Smirnova GV, Oktyabrsky ON. 2005. Glutathione in bacteria. Biochem (Moscow)
 851 70:1199–1211.
- 852 61. Zimmerling J, Tischler D, Grossmann C, Schlömann M, Oelschlägel M. 2017.
 853 Characterization of aldehyde dehydrogenases applying an enzyme assay with *in*854 *situ* formation of phenylacetaldehydes. Appl Biochem Biotechnol:1–13.
- 62. Fall R, Copley SD. 2000. Bacterial sources and sinks of isoprene, a reactive atmospheric hydrocarbon. Environ Microbiol 2:123–130.
- 63. Allocati N, Federici L, Masulli M, Di Ilio C. 2009. Glutathione transferases in
 bacteria. FEBS J 276:58–75.
- 64. Fahey RC, Brown WC, Adams WB, Worsham MB. 1978. Occurrence of glutathione in bacteria. J Bacteriol 133:1126–1129.
- 65. Johnson T, Newton GL, Fahey RC, Rawat M. 2009. Unusual production of glutathione in Actinobacteria. Arch Microbiol 191:89–93.
- 66. Allocati N, Federici L, Masulli M, Di Ilio C. 2012. Distribution of glutathione
 transferases in Gram-positive bacteria and Archaea. Biochimie 94:588–596.
- 865 67. Navarro-Llorens JM, Patrauchan MA, Stewart GR, Davies JE, Eltis LD, Mohn
 866 WW. 2005. Phenylacetate catabolism in *Rhodococcus* sp. strain RHA1: a central
 867 pathway for degradation of aromatic compounds. J Bacteriol 187:4497–4504.
- 68. Chen X, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Rodionov DA, Li L-H, Ding J-Y, Kalinowski J, Liu SJ. 2012. Phenylacetic acid catabolism and its transcriptional regulation in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:5796–5804.
- 69. van Hylckama VJ, Janssen DB. 2001. Formation and detoxification of reactive intermediates in the metabolism of chlorinated ethenes. J Biotechnol 85:81–102.
- Rui L, Kwon YM, Reardon KF, Wood TK. 2004. Metabolic pathway engineering
 to enhance aerobic degradation of chlorinated ethenes and to reduce their
 toxicity by cloning a novel glutathione S-transferase, an evolved toluene omonooxygenase, and gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase. Environ Microbiol
 6:491–500.
- 878 71. Dorn E, Hellwig M, Reineke W, Knackmuss H-J. 1974. Isolation and
 879 characterization of a 3-chlorobenzoate degrading pseudomonad. Arch Microbiol
 880 99:61–70.
- 72. Cooper DG, Goldenberg BG. 1987. Surface-active agents from two bacillus
 species. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:224–229.
- 73. Ames-Gottfred NP, Christie BR, Jordan DC. 1989. Use of the Chrome Azurol S
 agar plate technique to differentiate strains and field isolates of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii*. Appl Environ Microbiol 55:707–710.
- 74. Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P. 1998. Consed: a graphical tool for sequence
 finishing. Genome Res 8:195–202.
- 888 75. Gordon D, Green P. 2013. Consed. A graphical editor for next-generation
 889 sequencing. Bioinformatics 29:2936–2937.

- 76. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics30:2068–2069.
- 892 77. Krogh A, Larsson B, Heijne G von, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting
 893 transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to
 894 complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580.
- 895 78. Bertelli C, Laird MR, Williams KP, Lau BY, Hoad G, Winsor GL, Brinkman FS.
 896 2017. IslandViewer 4. Expanded prediction of genomic islands for larger-scale
 897 datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx343.
- 79. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
 Methods 9:357–359.
- 80. Hilker R, Stadermann KB, Schwengers O, Anisiforov E, Jaenicke S, Weisshaar
 B, Zimmermann T, Goesmann A. 2016. ReadXplorer 2-detailed read mapping
 analysis and visualization from one single source. Bioinformatics 32:3702–3708.
- 81. Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havlis J, Olsen JV, Mann M. 2006. In-gel digestion for
 mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nat Protoc
 1:2856–2860.
- 82. Al-Furoukh N, Ianni A, Nolte H, Hölper S, Krüger M, Wanrooij S, Braun T. 2015.
 ClpX stimulates the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPRmt) in mammalian cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1853:2580–2591.
- 83. Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. 2007. Protocol for micro-purification,
 enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using
 StageTips. Nat Protoc 2:1896–1906.
- 84. Thakur SS, Geiger T, Chatterjee B, Bandilla P, Fröhlich F, Cox J, Mann M. 2011.
 Deep and highly sensitive proteome coverage by LC-MS/MS without prefractionation. Mol Cell Proteomics 10:M110.003699.
- 85. Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. 2014. Accurate
 proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal
 peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. Mol Cell Proteomics 13:2513–2526.
- 86. Puigbo P, Guzman E, Romeu A, Garcia-Vallve S. 2007. OPTIMIZER: a web
 server for optimizing the codon usage of DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res
 35:W126-W131.
- 87. Sambrook J, Russell DW (ed.). 2001. Molecular cloning. A laboratory manual,
 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 88. O'Connor KE, Dobson AD, Hartmans S. 1997. Indigo formation by
 microorganisms expressing styrene monooxygenase activity. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 63:4287–4291.
- 89. Warhurst AM, Clarke KF, Hill RA, Holt RA, Fewson CA. 1994. Metabolism of
 styrene by *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* NCIMB 13259. Appl Environ Microbiol
 60:1137–1145.
- 90. Tischler D, Eulberg D, Lakner S, Kaschabek SR, van Berkel WJH, Schlömann M.
 2009. Identification of a novel self-sufficient styrene monooxygenase from *Rhodococcus opacus* 1CP. J Bacteriol 191:4996–5009.
- 932 91. Dawson, R. M. C. 1986. Data for biochemical research, 3rd ed. Clarendon Press,933 Oxford.
- 934 92. Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of
 935 microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal
 936 Biochem 72:248–254.
- 937 93. Alonso S, Bartolomé-Martín D, del Álamo M, Díaz E, García JL, Perera J. 2003.
 938 Genetic characterization of the styrene lower catabolic pathway of *Pseudomonas*939 sp. strain Y2. Gene 319:71–83.

- 94. El-Said Mohamed M. 2000. Biochemical and molecular characterization of
 941 phenylacetate-coenzyme A ligase, an enzyme catalyzing the first step in aerobic
 942 metabolism of phenylacetic acid in *Azoarcus evansii*. J Bacteriol 182:286–294.
- 943 95. Kendall SL, Burgess P, Balhana R, Withers M, Bokum A ten, Lott JS, Gao C,
 944 Uhia-Castro I, Stoker NG. 2010. Cholesterol utilization in mycobacteria is
 945 controlled by two TetR-type transcriptional regulators: kstR and kstR2.
 946 Microbiology (Reading, Engl.) 156:1362–1371.
- 96. Nagy I, Schoofs G, Compernolle F, Proost P, Vanderleyden J, Mot R de. 1995. 947 948 Degradation thiocarbamate herbicide EPTC of the (S-ethyl 949 dipropylcarbamothioate) and biosafening by Rhodococcus sp. strain NI86/21 involve an inducible cytochrome P-450 system and aldehyde dehydrogenase. J 950 951 Bacteriol 177:676-687.
- 97. Takeo M, Murakami M, Niihara S, Yamamoto K, Nishimura M, Kato D-i, Negoro
 953 S. 2008. Mechanism of 4-nitrophenol oxidation in *Rhodococcus* sp. strain PN1:
 954 characterization of the two-component 4-nitrophenol hydroxylase and regulation
 955 of its expression. J Bacteriol 190:7367–7374.
- 98. Beltrametti F, Marconi AM, Bestetti G, Colombo C, Galli E, Ruzzi M, Zennaro E.
 1997. Sequencing and functional analysis of styrene catabolism genes from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* ST. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:2232–2239.
- 959 99. Wadington MC, Ladner JE, Stourman NV, Harp JM, Armstrong RN. 2009.
 960 Analysis of the structure and function of YfcG *from Escherichia coli* reveals an 961 efficient and unique disulfide bond reductase. Biochem 48:6559–6561.
- 962 100. Mullins EA, Sullivan KL, Kappock TJ. 2013. Function and X-ray crystal 963 structure of *Escherichia coli* YfdE. PLoS One 8:e67901.
- 101. Reverchon S, Nasser W, Robert-Baudouy J. 1994. pecS: a locus controlling
 pectinase, cellulase and blue pigment production in *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Mol
 Microbiol 11:1127–1139.
- Harris DR, Ward DE, Feasel JM, Lancaster KM, Murphy RD, Mallet TC, Crane
 EJ3. 2005. Discovery and characterization of a Coenzyme A disulfide reductase
 from *Pyrococcus horikoshii*. Implications for this disulfide metabolism of
 anaerobic hyperthermophiles. FEBS J 272:1189–1200.
- 103. Zhang CC, Huguenin S, Friry A. 1995. Analysis of genes encoding the cell
 division protein FtsZ and a glutathione synthetase homologue in the
 cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. Res Microbiol 146:445–455.
- 974 104. Harth G, Maslesa-Galic S, Tullius MV, Horwitz MA. 2005. All four
 975 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* glnA genes encode glutamine synthetase activities
 976 but only GlnA1 is abundantly expressed and essential for bacterial homeostasis.
 977 Mol Microbiol 58:1157–1172.
- 978 105. Gupta RS. 1994. Identification of a GroES (CPN10)-related sequence motif in
 979 the GroEL (CPN60) chaperonins. Biochem Mol Biol Int 33:591–595.
- 106. Hahn J-S, Oh S-Y, Roe J-H. 2002. Role of OxyR as a peroxide-sensing
 positive regulator in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). J Bacteriol 184:5214–5222.
- 107. Dhandayuthapani S, Zhang Y, Mudd MH, Deretic V. 1996. Oxidative stress
 response and its role in sensitivity to isoniazid in mycobacteria: characterization
 and inducibility of ahpC by peroxides in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* and lack of
 expression in *M. aurum* and *M. tuberculosis*. J Bacteriol 178:3641–3649.
- Ramachandran S, Magnuson TS, Crawford DL. 2000. Isolation and analysis of
 three peroxide sensor regulatory gene homologs ahpC, ahpX and oxyR in
 Streptomyces viridosporus T7A--a lignocellulose degrading actinomycete. DNA
 Seq 11:51–60.
- 990

991 FIGURE LEGENDS

992 Fig. 1. Comparison of the styrene degradation cluster of Gordonia rubripertincta CWB2 with homologous clusters as found in the strains Rhodococcus opacus PD630 993 994 (Accession: NZ CP003949) (22), Rhodococcus sp. AD45 (NZ CM003191) (58), Nocardioides sp. Root240 (NZ LMIT01000013), Aeromicrobium sp. Root495 995 996 (NZ LMFJ0100002), Rhodococcus sp. ST-10 (AB594506) (13), Rhodococcus 997 opacus 1CP (NZ CP009112, NZ CP009111) (48), Pseudomonas sp. Y2 (AJ000330) 998 (38, 93) and Sphingopyxis fribergensis sp. Kp5.2 (CP009122) (17). Subclusters of 999 strain CWB2 are indicated (S1-S4) and gene products are given in the legend 1000 coloured by their (predicted) function. Relevant homologous genes and clusters are 1001 emphasized by interspaced conjunctions. Clusteres of marked strains are reported to 1002 be involved in isoprene (\bullet) or styrene (\ddagger) degradation.

Fig. 2. Degradation of 4 mM *(S)*-styrene oxide with crude extract of styrene grown biomass of *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2 and 5 mM reduced glutathione (\bullet). Only minor consumption was detected when excluding either reduced glutathione (\circ) or crude extract (X) from the reaction mix.

Fig. 3a. Proposed novel degradation pathway of styrene in *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2 (see text for details). **3b.** Proposed phenylacetic acid degradation pathway of *Gordonia rubripertincta* CWB2. The genes of the involved enzymes are present on the genome (cluster S4) and upregulated on transcriptome and the proteins on proteome level, respectively (see Table 2). Starting from the product of the upper degradation pathway, phenylacetic acid, strain CWB2 is able to metabolize styrene to acetyl-CoA or succinyl-CoA (adapted to 68).

TABLE LEGENDS

Table 1. Substrate spectra with focus on ones that might be related to styrene1016 degradation in *G. rubripertincta* CWB2.

Table 2. Functional categorization of proteins from *G. rubripertincta* CWB2 that are
supposed to be involved in styrene degradation and regulation on RNA and protein
level. Enzymes and proteins with reported activity or function are underlined. For
further details, see Dataset S1 in supporting material.

Table 3. Plasmids used in this study.





3a. Upper degradation pathway



- 726 **Table 1.** Substrate spectra with focus on ones that might be related to styrene
- 727 degradation in *G. rubripertincta* CWB2.

Substrate	Utilization
Styrene	++
Styrene oxide	++
2-Phenylethanol	++
Phenylacetaldehyde	++
Phenylacetic acid	+++
Succinate	++
Citrate	++
Isoprene	-
Mandelic acid	-
D-Fructose	+++

728 (+++) vigorous growth; (++) good growth; (+) growth; (-) no growth

26	Table 2. Functional categorization of proteins from G. rubripertincta CWB2 that are supposed to be involved in styrene degradation and regulation on RNA and protein
27	level. Enzymes and proteins with reported activity or function are underlined. For further details see supporting information.

	Transcriptome Proteome						Best hit on the Uniprot Database at amino acid level				
ORF	Gene	Α	М	Cyt	Mem		Name*	Function	Accession	% Id	Reference
23925	<u>styD</u>	7.7	8.6	7.1	5.7		<u>styD</u>	Phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase	BAL04135	76	(13, 50)
23930	paaK	8.1	6.0	8.1	5.9		<u>paaK</u>	Phenylacetate-coenzyme A ligase	Q9L9C1	68	(94)
23935	paaE	8.0	6.2	11.3	8.2		<u>paaE</u>	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase, subunit E	P76081	43	(8)
23940	paaD	8.1	6.7	NaNf	NaNf		<u>paaD</u>	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase, subunit D	P76080	42	(8)
23945	paaC	8.3	6.7	10.5	7.4		<u>paaC</u>	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase, subunit C	P76079	42	(8)
23950	paaB	8.0	6.8	8.8	9.1		<u>paaB</u>	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase, subunit B	P76078	67	(8)
23955	paaA	8.5	7.1	11.4	9.0		<u>paaA</u>	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase, subunit A	P76077	66	(8)
23960	paaG	7.7	7.4	6.9	6.8		<u>paaG</u>	1,2-epoxyphenylacetyl-CoA isomerase	P77467	37	(8)
23965	paaH	7.6	7.5	7.1	6.2	S4	<u>paaH</u>	3-hydroxyadipyl-CoA dehydrogenase	P76083	36	(8)
23970	paaF	7.1	7.4	7.1	5.8		<u>paaF</u>	2,3-dehydroadipyl-CoA hydratase	P76082	36	(8)
23975	paaJ	6.6	8.2	7.4	6.6		<u>paaJ</u>	3-oxoadipyl-CoA/3-oxo-5,6-dehydrosuberyl-CoA thiolase	P0C7L2	55	(8)
23980	tetR	7.3	1.8	2.7	1.8		<u>kstR2</u>	HTH-type transcriptional repressor KstR2	A0R4Z6	25	(95)
23985	paaZ	7.9	6.4	6.8	6.0		<u>paaZ</u>	bifunctional aldehyde dehydrogenase	P77455	51	(8)
23990	ethD	8.4	6.3	5.1	5.7		<u>ethD</u>	Uncharacterized 11.0 kDa protein	P43491	48	(96)
23995	paal	7.3	5.2	NaNf	NaNf		<u>paal</u>	Acyl-coenzyme A thioesterase Paal	P76084	45	(8)
24000	partial	7.3	6.4	NaN	NaN			-	-	-	-
24005	araC	8.0	6.0	4.1	8.4		<u>nphR</u>	Transcriptional activator NphR	B1Q2A8	31	(97)
24010	<u>aldh1</u>	9.6	6.4	6.0	5.5		<u>styD</u>	Phenylacetaldehyde dehydrogenase	O06837	36	(98)
24015	styJ	10.1	6.1	7.7	5.9		<u>yfcG</u>	Disulfide-bond oxidoreductase YfcG	P77526	47	(99)
24020	styl	10.4	6.0	5.0	5.3		<u>isol</u>	Glutathione-S-transferase	WP_045063292	49	(57)
24025	styH	9.5	6.3	5.0	4.8		<u>isoH</u>	1-hydroxy-2-glutathionyl-2-methyl-3-butene DH	WP_045063294	59	(57)
24030	styG	10.0	6.3	6.8	5.5	S3	<u>yfdE</u>	Acetyl-CoA:oxalate CoA-transferase	P76518	33	(100)
24035	marR	10.0	3.5	2.1	2.7		<u>marR</u>	regulatory protein	CAA52427	31	(101)
24040	dsr	9.1	4.7	8.5	4.8		<u>dsr</u>	Coenzyme A disulfide reductase	O58308	34	(102)
24045	gshB	8.9	4.9	5.7	3.8		<u>gshB</u>	Glutathione synthetase	P45480	50	(103)
24050	gshA	9.0	3.4	5.5	-2.2		<u>gshA</u>	Glutamate-cysteine ligase EgtA	P9WPK7	33	(104)

728 Table 2. (Continued)

24055	Нур	7.8	8.5	NaNf	8.8			-			
24060	Нур	7.0	9.3	7.5	10.3			-			
24065	Нур	6.6	9.3	8.8	10.3			-			
24070	Нур	7.1	8.4	8.2	8.4	2		-			
24075	Нур	6.8	9.5	7.9	8.2	S		-			
24080	Нур	7.8	8.0	8.0	8.2			-			
24085	Нур	9.2	10.7	5.8	6.2			-			
24090	Нур	7.4	10.4	9.2	9.0			-	BAL04131	-	(13, 50)
24095	<u>styB</u>	8.9	9.4	11.2	8.5	-	<u>styB</u>	StyB-SMO flavin oxidoreductase	BAL04130	82	(13, 50)
24100	<u>styA</u>	9.0	9.1	8.1	6.0	S	<u>styA</u>	StyA-SMO styrene monooxygenase	BAL04129	86	(13, 50)
18380	prmA	5.3	3.5	3.4	3.2		prmA	propane monooxygenase hydroxylase large subunit	BAD03956	96	(25)
18385	prmB	3.1	4.8	4.9	2.0		prmB	propane monooxygenase reductase	BAD03957	80	(25)
18390	prmC	4.1	4.0	3.7	2.4		prmC	propane monooxygenase hydroxylase small subunit	BAD03958	85	(25)
18395	prmD	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.4		prmD	propane monooxygenase coupling protein	BAD03959	94	(25)
18400		3.7	3.7	4.9	2.3	me		-			
18405		3.3	3.8	NaNf	1.7	oso		-			
18410	adh	3.5	3.8	3.4	1.5	mo'	aldh1	alcohol dehydrogenase	BAD03962	88	(25)
18415	groL	4.4	3.7	3.5	1.3	Chr	<u>groL</u>	60 kDa chaperonin	P28598	52	(105)
14195	ahpD	7.1	3.2	4.7	4.5		<u>ahpD</u>	Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase AhpD	Q50441	74	(106)
14190	ahpC	7.7	4.9	4.1	2.5		<u>ahpC</u>	Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase subunit C	A0R1V9	86	(107)
14185	oxyR	2.7	5.1	1.2	2.6		<u>oxyR</u>	Probable hydrogen peroxide-inducible genes activator	Q9X5P2	53	(108)

729 730

Transcript abundance (A) and fold-change (M) as well as protein fold-change in cytosol (Cyt) and membrane fraction (Mem) is given as log2 ratio. NaNf – not detected in the fructose proteome; NaN – not detected

Table 3. Plasmids used in this study

Plasmid	Relevant characteristic(s)	Source or reference
pET16bP	pET16b with additional multicloning site; allows synthesis of recombinant proteins with an N-terminal His $_{10}$ -tag	U. Wehmeyer*
pEX-K2-pSGrA1	GCWB2_24100 (1284-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pEX-K2 vector	MWG Eurofins
pEX-K2-pSGrA2	GCWB2_21620 (1359-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pEX-K2 vector	MWG Eurofins
pEX-K2-pSGrD1	GCWB2_23925 (1488-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pEX-K2 vector	MWG Eurofins
pEX-K2-pSGrD2	GCWB2_24010 (1437-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pEX-K2 vector	MWG Eurofins
pSGrA1_P01	GCWB2_24100 (1284-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pET16bP	This study
pSGrA2_P01	GCWB2_21620 (1359-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pET16bP	This study
pSGrD1_P01	GCWB2_23925 (1488-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pET16bP	This study
pSGrD2_P01	GCWB2_24010 (1437-bp Ndel/Notl fragment) cloned into pET16bP	This study

2 * personal communication