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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider ultra-parallel complex hyperbolic triangle groups of type $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$, i.e. groups of isometries of the complex hyperbolic plane, generated by complex reflections in three ultra-parallel complex geodesics two of which intersect on the boundary of the complex hyperbolic plane. We prove some discreteness and non-discreteness results for these groups and discuss the connection between the dicreteness results and ellipticity of certain group elements.


## 1. Introduction

Complex hyperbolic triangle groups are groups of isometries of the complex hyperbolic plane $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, generated by complex reflections in three complex geodesics. For groups of complex hyperbolic isometries, the main obstacle to discreteness is the presence of elliptic elements of infinite order. More precisely, a group of holomorphic isometries of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ without stable proper totally geodesic subspaces and without elliptic elements of infinite order is discrete (see [CG, Gol, Will]).

For a triple $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$, where each of the numbers $p_{k}$ can be either a positive integer or equal to $\infty$, we say that a complex hyperbolic $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$-triangle group representation is a representation of the group

$$
\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \mid \gamma_{k}^{2}=\left(\gamma_{k-1} \gamma_{k+1}\right)^{p_{k}}=1, k=1,2,3\right\rangle
$$

(where $\gamma_{k+3}=\gamma_{k}$, and the relation $\left(\gamma_{k-1} \gamma_{k+1}\right)^{p_{k}}=1$ is to be omitted when $p_{k}=$ $\infty)$ into the group $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ of holomorphic isometries of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, given by taking the generators $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ to complex reflections $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ of order 2 in complex geodesics $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ such that $C_{k-1}$ and $C_{k+1}$ meet at the angle $\pi / p_{k}$ when $p_{k}$ is finite resp. at the angle 0 when $p_{k}$ is equal to $\infty$. R. Schwartz in his ICM talk in 2002 [Sch02] conjectured that a complex hyperbolic ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ )-triangle group representation is discrete and faithful if and only if a group element $w$ is nonelliptic, where $w=w_{A}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{1} I_{3}$ or $w=w_{B}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}$ depending on $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$.

[^0]In this paper we will consider instead the case of groups generated by complex reflections in complex geodesics that do not intersect inside $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. In this case we will show that it is necessary to consider a larger set of elements, in fact infinitely many, $w_{A}^{(\ell)}=I_{1}\left(I_{2} I_{1}\right)^{\ell} I_{3}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w_{B}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}$, and we will prove a generalisation of Schwartz' conjecture in a special case.

For a triple $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ of non-negative real numbers, we say that a complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangle group is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ generated by complex reflections $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ of order 2 in complex geodesics $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ such that the distance between the closures of $C_{k-1}$ and $C_{k+1}$ in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is equal to $m_{k}$. A complex hyperbolic $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangle group representation is a representation of the group $\Gamma=\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \mid \gamma_{k}^{2}=1, k=1,2,3\right\rangle=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{* 3}$ into the group $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ given by taking the generators $\gamma_{k}$ to the generators $I_{k}$ of an [ $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ]-triangle group. The deformation space of [ $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ]-triangle groups for given distances $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ is of real dimension one, such a group is determined up to an isometry by the angular invariant $\alpha \in[0,2 \pi]$, see section 2.6 for a definition. Some special cases of ultra-parallel triangle groups have been considered previously, such as $[m, m, 0]$-groups and $[m, m, 2 m]$-groups in [WG] and $[m, m, m]$ groups in [Vas].

Our results on complex hyperbolic $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group representations are summarized in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Conditions of Propositions 1 and 2

The upper right quadrant represents all pairs of distances $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, where ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ ) with $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2}>0$ corresponds to the point with the coordinates

$$
(X, Y)=\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{1} / 2\right)-1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}-1, \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}\right)
$$

Consider the piecewise linear curve that consists of segments between the points $\left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geqslant 1$ and the ray starting at the point $\left(2, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with the gradient $\frac{1}{2}$. Only the points and segments up to $k=5$ are shown in the figure, but the
broken line continues to the left. Proposition 1 states that the shaded region below the broken line corresponds to pairs $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ such that [ $\left.m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-representations are discrete and faithful if and only if the element $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is non-elliptic, where $k=1$ corresponds to the part of the shaded region with $X \geqslant 2$, while each $k \geqslant 2$ corresponds to the part of the shaded region with $\frac{2}{k} \leqslant X \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}$. For the unshaded region above the broken line we expect that the elipticity of the element $w_{B}$ plays a key role. Proposition 2 says that this region corresponds to pairs $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ such that $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-representations are discrete and faithful if $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5$ which is a condition sufficient but not necessary for the element $w_{B}$ to be non-elliptic.
Proposition 1. Suppose that $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2}>0$ and for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geqslant 1$

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{2}{k}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}-1 \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}
$$

where $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right), j=1,2$ and the second inequality is omitted for $k=1$. Then a complex hyperbolic $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group representation is discrete and faithful if and only if the element $w_{A}^{(k)}=I_{1}\left(I_{2} I_{1}\right)^{k} I_{3}$ is non-elliptic.
Proposition 2. Suppose that $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2}>0$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geqslant 1$

$$
\frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}-1 \leqslant \frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}
$$

or $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2}=0$ and $s_{1} \leqslant \sqrt{2}$, where $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right), j=1,2$. Then a complex hyperbolic $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group representation is discrete and faithful if $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5$.

We also demonstrate in Proposition 3 that in some cases Proposition 1 can be used to prove discreteness for all values of the angular invariant. These results are summarized in Figure 2. The coordinates and the light shading are as in Figure 1. The regions in Proposition 3 correspond to the darkly shaded regions under hyperbolae in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Conditions of Proposition 3

Proposition 3. Any complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group with $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2} \geqslant 0$ is discrete if the following condition on $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right)$, $j=1,2$ is satisfied:

$$
r_{1}-r_{2} \in\left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\frac{r_{2}+1}{k}, \frac{r_{2}-1}{k-1}\right]\right) \cup\left[r_{2}+1, \infty\right)
$$

We also show the following discreteness test that is easier to check but less powerful than Propositions 1 and 2. It was first proved in the PhD thesis of the first author ([Mo], Theorem 3.3.0.8, p. 113) and generalises the results by J. WyssGallifent ([WG], Chapter 4) about ultra-parallel [ $m, m, 0]$-triangle groups.

Proposition 4. A complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group with angular invariant $\alpha$ is discrete if

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{r_{1}+r_{2}}
$$

where $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right), j=1,2$.

In contrast to the discreteness results we also prove the following non-discreteness results obtained using Shimizu's lemma [Par97]:

Proposition 5. A complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group with angular invariant $\alpha$ is non-discrete if one of the following conditions on $r_{j}=$ $\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right), j=1,2$ is satisfied:

- $34 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}-15 r_{1}^{4}-15 r_{2}^{4}+2 r_{1}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{2} \geqslant 0$ and
$64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<32 r_{1} r_{2}-15 r_{1}^{2}-15 r_{2}^{2}+1-\sqrt{34 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}-15 r_{1}^{4}-15 r_{2}^{4}+2 r_{1}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{2}}$.
- $34 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}-15 r_{1}^{4}-15 r_{2}^{4}+2 r_{1}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{2}<0$ and

$$
64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2 we summarise the necessary basics in complex hyperbolic and Heisenberg geometry. We introduce the standard parametrisation for ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle groups in section 3 . In section 4 we use the compression property to derive discreteness conditions for [ $\left.m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-groups and prove Propositions 1, 2 and 4 . We use these discreteness conditions in section 5 to show in some cases the discreteness for all values of the angular invariant and prove Proposition 3. In section 6 we use a version of Shimizu's lemma to show some non-discreteness results and prove Proposition 5. In section 7 we recall the conjecture of R. Schwartz in more detail and put our results in the context of this general conjecture. In section 8 we summarise all our results in the case of isosceles triangles $m_{1}=m_{2}$.

## 2. BASICS

We will first recall some basic notions of the complex hyperbolic geometry. For general references on complex hyperbolic geometry and complex hyperbolic triangle groups see [Gol, Par09, Par10].
2.1. Complex hyperbolic plane: Let $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ denote the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ equipped with a Hermitian form of signature $(2,1)$, for example $\langle z, w\rangle=z_{1} \bar{w}_{3}+z_{2} \bar{w}_{2}+z_{3} \bar{w}_{1}$. We call a vector $z \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ negative, null or positive if $\langle z, z\rangle$ is negative, zero or positive respectively. Let $P\left(\mathbb{C}^{2,1}\right)$ denote the projectivisation of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}-\{0\}$. We denote the image of $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ under the projectivisation map by $[z]=\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right]$. The complex hyperbolic plane $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the projectivisation of the set of negative vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, equipped with the Bergman metric given by

$$
\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{dist}([z],[w])}{2}\right)=\frac{\langle z, w\rangle\langle w, z\rangle}{\langle z, z\rangle\langle w, w\rangle}
$$

The ideal boundary $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is defined as the projectivisation of the set of null vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}-\{0\}$.
2.2. Isometries: The holomorphic isometry group of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the projectivisation $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ of the group of those complex linear transformations which preserve the Hermitian form. Isometries can be classified according to their fixed point behaviour, an isometry is elliptic if it has at least one fixed point in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, parabolic if it has one fixed point in $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and loxodromic if it has two fixed points in $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. An isometry is called regular elliptic if for the corresponding element in $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ all eigenvalues are distinct. The type of an isometry can be determined from the position of the trace of the corresponding matrix in the complex plane. The deltoid curve

$$
\Delta=\left\{\left.z \in \mathbb{C}| | z\right|^{4}-8 \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{3}\right)+18|z|^{2}=27\right\}
$$

has the property that an isometry $A$ in $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ is regular elliptic if and only if $\operatorname{trace}(A)$ is inside $\Delta$ and is loxodromic if and only if $\operatorname{trace}(A)$ is outside $\Delta$ (see [Gol], Theorem 6.2.4).
2.3. Complex geodesics: A complex geodesic is a projectivisation of a 2-dimensional complex subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$. Any positive vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ determines a complex geodesic

$$
P\left(\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \mid\langle c, z\rangle=0\right\}\right)
$$

Conversely, any complex geodesic is of this form for some positive vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, called a polar vector of the complex geodesic. A polar vector is unique up to multiplication by a complex scalar. We say that the polar vector $c$ is normalised if $\langle c, c\rangle=1$.

A typical example is the complex geodesic $\left\{[z: 0: 1] \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right\}$ with polar vector $c=(0,1,0)$. Any complex geodesic is isometric to this one.

Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be complex geodesics with normalised polar vectors $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ respectively. Then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ intersect in $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ if and only if $\left|\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle\right|=1$. We call $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ ultra-parallel if they have no points of intersection in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \cup \partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, in which case $\left|\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle\right|>1$ and $\left|\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle\right|=\cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \operatorname{dist}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)\right)$, where $\operatorname{dist}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is the distance between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.
2.4. Complex reflections: Given a complex geodesic $C$, there is a unique isometry $I_{C}$ in $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ of order 2 , whose fixed point set is equal to $C$. This isometry is called the complex reflection of order 2 in $C$ (or inversion on $C$ ) and is given by

$$
I_{C}(z)=-z+2 \frac{\langle z, c\rangle}{\langle c, c\rangle} c
$$

where $c$ is a polar vector of $C$. (Unlike real reflections, complex reflections in complex geodesics can be of arbitrary order. We will only treat the order 2 case in this paper.)
2.5. Complex hyperbolic triangle groups: A complex hyperbolic triangle is a triple $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$ of complex geodesics in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. For a triple $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$, where each of the numbers $m_{j}$ is non-negative, we say that a triangle $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$ is a complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangle if the complex geodesics $C_{j-1}$ and $C_{j+1}$ are ultra-parallel at distance $m_{j}$. A complex hyperbolic ultraparallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangle group is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ generated by complex reflections $I_{j}$ of order 2 in the sides $C_{j}$ of a complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel [ $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ]-triangle $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$.
2.6. The space of complex hyperbolic triangle groups: For a given triple $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ the space of $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangles is of real dimension one. We now describe a parameterisation of the space of complex hyperbolic triangles in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ by means of an angular invariant $\alpha$ (see section 3 in [Pra] for details). Let ( $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ ) be a complex hyperbolic triangle. Let $c_{k}$ be the normalised polar vector of the complex geodesic $C_{k}$. We define the angular invariant $\alpha$ of the triangle ( $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ ) as

$$
\alpha=\arg \left(\prod_{k=1}^{3}\left\langle c_{k-1}, c_{k+1}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

An ultra-parallel complex hyperbolic triangle in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is determined uniquely up to isometry by the three distances between the complex geodesics and the angular invariant $\alpha$. For any $\alpha \in[0,2 \pi]$ an [ $\left.m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right]$-triangle with the angular invariant $\alpha$ exists if and only if

$$
\cos \alpha<\frac{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}-1}{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}
$$

where $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right)$. In the case $m_{3}=0$ we have $r_{3}=1$ and therefore

$$
\frac{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}-1}{2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}=\frac{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}}{2 r_{1} r_{2}} \geqslant 1
$$

thus for every $\alpha \in(0,2 \pi)$ there exists an $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle with the angular invariant $\alpha$.
2.7. Heisenberg group: In the same way that the boundary of the real hyperbolic space is the one point compactification of the Euclidean space of one dimension lower, we may identify the boundary $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ with $\mathcal{N}=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$, a one point compactification of the Heisenberg group. One such homeomorphism taking $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ is given by the stereographic projection:

$$
\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right] \longmapsto\left(\frac{z_{2}}{z_{3} \sqrt{2}}, \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z_{1}}{z_{3}}\right)\right) \quad \text { if } \quad z_{3} \neq 0 ; \quad[z: 0: 0] \longmapsto \infty
$$

2.8. Chains: A complex geodesic is homeomorphic to a disc, its intersection with the boundary of the complex hyperbolic plane is homeomorphic to a circle. Circles that arise as the boundaries of complex geodesics are called chains. From two distinct points on a chain we can retrieve the complex geodesic through them, so there is a bijection between chains and complex geodesics. We now discuss the representations of the chains in Heisenberg space $\mathcal{N}$, see [Gol], [Par10] for more details. Chains passing through $\infty$ are represented as vertical straight lines defined
by $\zeta=\zeta_{0}$, such chains are called vertical. The vertical chain defined by $\zeta=\zeta_{0}$ consists of all points $\left[z_{1}: \sqrt{2} \zeta_{0} z_{2}: z_{2}\right]$ in $P\left(\mathbb{C}^{2,1}\right)$. It has normalised polar vector $\left[-\sqrt{2} \bar{\zeta}_{0}: 1: 0\right]$. A chain not containing $\infty$ is called finite. A finite chain is represented by an ellipse whose vertical projection $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a (Euclidean) circle in $\mathbb{C}$. The finite chain with centre $\left(\zeta_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ and radius $r_{0}>0$ has polar vector

$$
\left[r_{0}^{2}-\left|\zeta_{0}\right|^{2}+i v_{0}: \sqrt{2} \zeta_{0}: 1\right]
$$

and consists of all $(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying the equations

$$
\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|=r_{0}, \quad v=v_{0}-2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}_{0}\right)
$$

In particular, the finite chain with centre $(0,0)$ and radius 1 is the unit circle in the $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ plane and has polar vector $[1: 0: 1]$, hence it has a normalised polar vector $[1 / \sqrt{2}: 0: 1 / \sqrt{2}]$.
2.9. Heisenberg isometries: The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{N}$ is equipped with the $C y$ gan metric

$$
\rho_{0}\left(\left(\zeta_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(\zeta_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\left|\left|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}-i\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)-2 i \operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1} \bar{\zeta}_{2}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

A Heisenberg translation by $(\tau, t) \in \mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$
(\zeta, v) \mapsto(\tau, t)+(\zeta, v)=(\zeta+\tau, v+t+2 \operatorname{Im}(\zeta \bar{\tau}))
$$

and corresponds to the following matrix in $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$ (see [Gol], section 4.2):

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\sqrt{2} \bar{\tau} & -|\tau|^{2}+i t \\
0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \tau \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

There is a bijection between chains and complex geodesics. We can therefore, without loss of generality, talk about reflections in chains instead of reflections in complex geodesics. An inversion $I_{C_{\zeta_{0}}}$ in a vertical chain $C_{\zeta_{0}}$ which intersects $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ at $\zeta_{0}$ and has the polar vector $c_{\zeta_{0}}=\left[-\sqrt{2} \bar{\zeta}_{0}: 1: 0\right]$ corresponds to the following element in $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & -2 \sqrt{2} \bar{\zeta}_{0} & 4\left|\zeta_{0}\right|^{2} \\
0 & 1 & -2 \sqrt{2} \zeta_{0} \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

For an element $h=\left(h_{i j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3} \in \mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ with $h(\infty) \neq \infty$ we can define the isometric sphere of $h$ as the sphere with respect to the Cygan metric with centre $h^{-1}(\infty)$ and radius $r_{h}=1 / \sqrt{\left|h_{31}\right|}$ see [Par97] and section 5.4 in [Gol].
2.10. Products of reflections in chains: What effect does an inversion in a vertical chain have on another vertical chain? Suppose we have vertical chains $C_{\zeta}$ and $C_{\xi}$ which intersect $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ at $\zeta$ and $\xi$ and have polar vectors

$$
c_{\zeta}=[-\sqrt{2} \bar{\zeta}: 1: 0] \quad \text { and } \quad c_{\xi}=[-\sqrt{2} \bar{\xi}: 1: 0]
$$

respectively. What effect does the inversion in $C_{\zeta}$ have on $C_{\xi}$ ? We calculate

$$
I_{C_{\zeta}}(z)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & -2 \sqrt{2} \bar{\zeta}_{0} & 4\left|\zeta_{0}\right|^{2} \\
0 & 1 & -2 \sqrt{2} \zeta_{0} \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sqrt{2}(2 \bar{\zeta}-\bar{\xi}) \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is a polar vector of the vertical chain that intersects $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ at $2 \zeta-\xi$. Therefore inversion in the vertical chain $C_{\zeta}$ rotates the vertical chain $C_{\xi}$ as a set around $C_{\zeta}$ through $\pi$.
2.11. Bisectors and spinal spheres: Unlike in the real hyperbolic space, there are no totally geodesic real hypersurfaces in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. An acceptable substitute is the collection of metric bisectors: Let $z_{1}, z_{2} \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ be two distinct points. The bisector equidistant from $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\left\{z \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid \rho\left(z_{1}, z\right)=\rho\left(z_{2}, z\right)\right\}
$$

A spinal sphere is an intersection of a bisector with the boundary of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. It is a smooth hypersurface in $\partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, diffeomorphic to a sphere.

An example is the bisector

$$
\mathfrak{C}=\left\{\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right] \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}| | z_{1}\left|=\left|z_{3}\right|\right\}\right.
$$

and its boundary, the unit spinal sphere, which can be described as

$$
U=\left\{(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}:|\zeta|^{4}+v^{2}=1\right\}
$$

For more details on bisectors and spinal spheres see [Gol].
2.12. A discreteness criterion: Let $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ be reflections in the complex geodesics $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ respectively. Let $\Gamma$ be the group generated by $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the group generated by $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. We say that the group $\Gamma$ is compressing if there exist subsets $U_{1}, U_{2}, V$ of $\mathcal{N}$ with $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\varnothing$ and $V \varsubsetneqq U_{1}$ such that
(1) $I_{3}\left(U_{1}\right)=U_{2}$;
(2) $g\left(U_{2}\right) \subsetneq V$ for all elements $g \in \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\{\operatorname{id}\}$.

We will use the following discreteness criterion used by Schwartz and WyssGallifent [WG]: If $\Gamma$ is compressing, then $\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$.

## 3. A Parametrisation of $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-Triangle Groups

For $r_{1}, r_{2} \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha \in(0,2 \pi)$ let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ be the complex geodesics with respective normalised polar vectors

$$
c_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{2} r_{2} e^{-i \theta} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad c_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sqrt{2} r_{1} e^{i \theta} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad c_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 / \sqrt{2} \\
0 \\
1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\theta=(\pi-\alpha) / 2 \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$. The type of the triangle formed by $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ is determined by

$$
\left|\left\langle c_{3}, c_{2}\right\rangle\right|=r_{1}, \quad\left|\left\langle c_{1}, c_{3}\right\rangle\right|=r_{2}, \quad\left|\left\langle c_{2}, c_{1}\right\rangle\right|=1
$$

and the angular invariant

$$
\arg \left(\prod_{k=1}^{3}\left\langle c_{k-1}, c_{k+1}\right\rangle\right)=\arg \left(-r_{1} r_{2} e^{-2 i \theta}\right)=\pi-2 \theta=\alpha
$$

The triangle formed by $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ is then an ultra-parallel [ $\left.m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle with angular invariant $\alpha$, where $\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right)=r_{j}$ for $j=1,2$.

Every value of the angular invariant between 0 and $2 \pi$ and hence each isometry type of $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangles is represented among the parametrisations of the form given above.

Let $I_{j}$ denote the inversion in the chain $C_{j}, j=1,2,3$. Let $\Gamma=\left\langle I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right\rangle$ be the group generated by $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ and let $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\langle I_{1}, I_{2}\right\rangle$ be the group generated by just $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$.

We shall now revert from looking at reflections in the geodesics $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ and instead talk about reflections in the corresponding chains, which we denote by $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ as well. If we look at the arrangement of the chains $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ in $\mathcal{N}$, the finite chain $C_{3}$ is the (Euclidean) unit circle in $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$, whereas $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are vertical lines through $r_{2} e^{i \theta}$ and $-r_{1} e^{-i \theta}$ respectively, see Figure 3. Since $r_{1}, r_{2}>1$, the chains $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ lie outside the chain $C_{3}$.


Figure 3. Chains $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$

Recall that inversion $I_{j}$ in the vertical chain $C_{j}$ rotates any vertical chain as a set around $C_{j}$ through $\pi$. Let $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ be the rotations of $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ around $r_{2} e^{i \theta}$ and $-r_{1} e^{-i \theta}$ through $\pi$ respectively. Let $\Lambda=\left\langle J_{1}, J_{2}\right\rangle$ be the group of isometries of $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ generated by $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$. The rotations $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are of order 2 , so we can represent any element of $\Lambda$ as an alternating product of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ :

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}, J_{1}\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}(0)=-2 \ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right) \\
& J_{1}\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}(0)=2 r_{2} e^{i \theta}+2 \ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the projection $\Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda$ given by $I_{1} \mapsto J_{1}$ and $I_{2} \mapsto J_{2}$ is injective.

## 4. Discreteness Results

We will need the following lemma:
Lemma 1. If $|g(0)| \geqslant 2$ for each $g \in \Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$, then the group $\Gamma$ is discrete.
Proof. We will use the discreteness criterion described above. Consider the unit spinal sphere

$$
U=\left\{(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}:|\zeta|^{4}+v^{2}=1\right\}
$$

The inversion $I_{3}$ in $C_{3}$ is given by

$$
I_{3}\left(\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right]\right)=\left[z_{3}:-z_{2}: z_{1}\right] \quad \text { for } \quad\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right] \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}
$$

The inversion $I_{3}$ preserves the bisector

$$
\mathfrak{C}=\left\{\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right] \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}| | z_{1}\left|=\left|z_{3}\right|\right\}\right.
$$

and hence preserves the unit spinal sphere $U$, which is the boundary of $\mathfrak{C}$. The inversion $I_{3}$ interchanges the points $[0: 0: 1]$ and $[1: 0: 0]$ in $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, which correspond to the points $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ and infinity in $\mathcal{N}$. Hence $I_{3}$ leaves $U$ invariant and switches the inside of $U$ with the outside.

Let $U_{1}$ be the part of $\mathcal{N} \backslash U$ outside $U$, containing $\infty$, and let $U_{2}$ be the part inside $U$, containing the origin. Clearly we have $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\varnothing$ and $I_{3}\left(U_{1}\right)=U_{2}$. Therefore if we find a subset $V \nsubseteq U_{1}$ such that $g\left(U_{2}\right) \varsubsetneqq V$ for all elements $g \in$ $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$, then we have proved that $\Gamma$ is compressing and hence discrete. Let

$$
W=\{(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}:|\zeta|=1\}
$$

be the set of all vertical chains through $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\zeta|=1$. Let

$$
W_{1}=\{(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}:|\zeta|>1\} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{2}=\{(\zeta, v) \in \mathcal{N}:|\zeta|<1\}
$$

We have $U_{2} \subset W_{2}$ and so $g\left(U_{2}\right) \subset g\left(W_{2}\right)$ for all elements $g \in \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\{i d\}$. The set $W_{2}$ is a union of vertical chains. Elements of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ map vertical chains to vertical chains. Therefore we can simply look at the intersection of the images of $W_{2}$ with $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$. For each $g$ the image $g\left(W_{2}\right)$ of $W_{2}$ intersects $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ in a disc. Elements of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ move the intersection with $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ by rotations $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ around $r_{2} e^{i \theta}$ and $-r_{1} e^{-i \theta}$ through $\pi$. The projection $\Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is injective, hence elements of $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$ move the intersection with $\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}$ by elements of $\Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$. Provided that the interior of the unit circle is mapped completely off itself under all elements in $\Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$, then the same is true for $W_{2}$ and hence for $U_{2}$ under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. We can then choose $V$ to be the union of all the images of $U_{2}$. We can be sure that $V \neq U_{1}$ since $V$ is missing all the images of $W_{2} \backslash U_{2}$. We are therefore only left to find what is required to be sure that the interior of the unit circle is mapped off itself by any element in $\Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$. Since the radius of a circle is preserved under rotations, it suffices to show that the origin is moved to a distance of at least 2 by any element in $\Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$. This is precisely the condition of the lemma.

Lemma 2. The condition $|g(0)| \geqslant 2$ holds for all elements $g \in \Lambda \backslash\{i d\}$ if and only if

$$
a(\ell) \geqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\} \quad \text { and } \quad b \geqslant 1 \quad(*)
$$

where

$$
a(\ell)=\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right|, \quad b=\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right|
$$

Proof. Recall that

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}, J_{1}\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}(0)=-2 \ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right) \\
& J_{1}\left(J_{2} J_{1}\right)^{\ell}(0)=2 r_{2} e^{i \theta}+2 \ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We need $|g(0)| \geqslant 2$ for all $g \in \Lambda \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(\ell) & =\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right| \geqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \\
|\ell| \cdot b & =\left|\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right| \geqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that it is sufficient to check the inequality $a(\ell) \geqslant 1$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}$ as it is always satisfied for $a(-1)=r_{1}$ and $a(0)=r_{2}$. Also note that it is sufficient to only check that $|\ell| \cdot b \geqslant 1$ for $\ell=1$ as $b \geqslant 1$ implies that the inequality holds for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.

We will start with a rough estimate on $a(\ell)$ and $b$ obtained by taking $|\operatorname{Re}(z)|$ as a lower bound for $|z|$ :

## Lemma 3.

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{r_{1}+r_{2}}
$$

implies conditions $(*)$.
Proof. Recall that $\sin (\alpha / 2)=\cos (\theta)$. Note that $\theta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and hence $\cos \theta>$ 0 . Suppose $\cos \theta \geqslant \frac{1}{r_{1}+r_{2}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right| \geqslant\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right|=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \cdot \cos \theta \geqslant 1, \\
a(\ell) & =\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|r_{2}+\ell\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right| \cdot \cos \theta=\left|\ell r_{1}+(\ell+1) r_{2}\right| \cdot \cos \theta \\
& \geqslant\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \cdot \cos \theta \geqslant 1 \quad \text { for } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the results of Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 we obtain Proposition 4. We will now calculate $a(\ell)$ and $b$ to obtain more refined estimates:

Lemma 4. Conditions (*) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant f_{B} \\
& 4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell) \quad \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f_{A}(\ell)=\frac{1-\left(\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}}{\ell(\ell+1)}, \quad f_{B}=1-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. Conditions ( $*$ ) state that $b \geqslant 1$ and $a(\ell) \geqslant 1$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{2} & =\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right|^{2} \\
& =r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos (2 \theta)+r_{1}^{2} \\
& =\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+4 r_{1} r_{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta), \\
a^{2}(\ell) & =\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+\ell\left(r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =(\ell+1)^{2} r_{2}^{2}+2 \ell(\ell+1) r_{1} r_{2} \cos (2 \theta)+\ell^{2} r_{1}^{2} \\
& =\left(\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}+4 \ell(\ell+1) r_{1} r_{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging these expressions to give an inequality in $\cos ^{2}(\theta)$ and using $\cos (\theta)=$ $\sin (\alpha / 2)$ gives the result.

We will now discuss some properties of $f_{A}$ as a function of $\ell$ :
Lemma 5. Consider the function

$$
f_{A}(\ell)=\frac{1-\left(\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}}{\ell(\ell+1)} \quad \text { for } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\} .
$$

(a) Suppose that

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \geqslant \frac{k+2}{k}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right) \quad \text { for some integer } k \geqslant 1
$$

then $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right) \geqslant f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ for all integers $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ with either $k \leqslant \ell_{1}<\ell_{2}$ or $\ell_{2} \leqslant-2$, $\ell_{1} \geqslant k / 2$.
(b) Suppose that

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \leqslant \frac{k+1}{k-1}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right) \quad \text { for some integer } k \geqslant 2
$$

then $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right) \geqslant f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ for all integers $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ with $1 \leqslant \ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leqslant k$.
Proof. The function $f_{A}$ can be rewritten as

$$
f_{A}(\ell)=\frac{1-\left(\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}}{\ell(\ell+1)}=\frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{\ell+1}-\frac{r_{2}^{2}-1}{\ell}-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

For any $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}$ we have

$$
f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)=\left(\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}\right)\left(\frac{r_{2}^{2}-1}{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}-\frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(\ell_{2}+1\right)}\right)
$$

Suppose that $r_{2}=1$, then

$$
f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)=-\frac{\left(\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)}{\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(\ell_{2}+1\right)}
$$

In this case the condition

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \geqslant 3\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)
$$

is satisfied. This corresponds to part (a) with $k=1$. Then for $1 \leqslant \ell_{1}<\ell_{2}$ we have $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ since

$$
\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}<0, \quad \ell_{1}+1, \ell_{2}+1>0
$$

For $\ell_{2} \leqslant-2, \ell_{1} \geqslant 1$ we have $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ since

$$
\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}, \ell_{1}+1>0, \quad \ell_{2}+1<0
$$

Now suppose that $r_{2} \neq 1$, then we can rewrite $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ as

$$
f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}\right)\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(\ell_{2}+1\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}-\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

Suppose, for some integer $k \geqslant 1$, that

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \geqslant \frac{k+2}{k}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right), \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \geqslant \frac{2}{k}
$$

Then for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell_{1}<\ell_{2}$ we have $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ since $\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}<0, \ell_{1}+1, \ell_{2}+1>$ 0 and

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}-\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{1}{k(k+1)}-\frac{2}{k}=0
$$

For $\ell_{2} \leqslant-2, \ell_{1} \geqslant k / 2$ we have $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ since $\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}, \ell_{1}+1>0$, $\ell_{2}+1<0$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}-\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k}-\frac{2}{k} \leqslant 0
$$

Suppose, for some integer $k \geqslant 2$, that

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \leqslant \frac{k+1}{k-1}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right), \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1} .
$$

Then for $1 \leqslant \ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leqslant k$ we have $f_{A}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-f_{A}\left(\ell_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ since $\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}, \ell_{1}+1, \ell_{2}+1>0$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}-\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \geqslant \frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k-1}+\frac{1}{k(k-1)}-\frac{2}{k-1}=0
$$

We will now discuss for which $\ell$, depending on $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, does the inequality

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell)
$$

in Lemma 4 give the strongest estimate on $\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ :
Lemma 6. Suppose $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2} \geqslant 0$. Conditions $(*)$ hold if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $3\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right) \leqslant r_{1}^{2}-1$ and $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant \max \left\{f_{B}, f_{A}(1)\right\}$.
(ii) For some integer $k \geqslant 2$

$$
\frac{k+2}{k}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right) \leqslant r_{1}^{2}-1 \leqslant \frac{k+1}{k-1}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)
$$

and

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant \max \left\{f_{B}, f_{A}(k)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Note that part (i) is identical to part (ii) with $k=1$ except that there is no upper bound on $r_{1}^{2}-1$. Setting $\ell_{1}=k$ in Lemma 5 we obtain that $f_{A}(k) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0,-1\}$. This means that our hypothesis $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(k)$ implies $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0,-1\}$. This proves the result.

It remains to decide which of $f_{B}$ and $f_{A}(k)$, depending on $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, is the stronger estimate on $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ :
Lemma 7. Suppose $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2} \geqslant 0$. Conditions $(*)$ hold if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(a)

$$
\max \left\{2 r_{2}^{2}, 3\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)\right\} \leqslant r_{1}^{2}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad 4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(1)
$$

(b) For some integer $k \geqslant 2$

$$
\max \left\{\frac{k+1}{k}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)+(k+1), \frac{k+2}{k}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)\right\} \leqslant r_{1}^{2}-1 \leqslant \frac{k+1}{k-1}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)
$$

and

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(k)
$$

(c) For all integers $\ell \geqslant 1$

$$
r_{1}^{2}-1 \leqslant \frac{\ell+1}{\ell}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)+(\ell+1) \quad \text { and } \quad 4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{B}
$$

Remark. Figure 1 shows the regions in parts (a)-(c) of Lemma 7 in the case $r_{2} \neq 1$ in the coordinates

$$
(X, Y)=\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)=\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{1} / 2\right)-1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}-1, \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}\right)
$$

Part (a) corresponds to the part of the shaded region with $X \geqslant 2$. Part (b) for $k \geqslant 2$ corresponds to the part of the shaded region with $\frac{2}{k} \leqslant X \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}$. Part (c) corresponds to the unshaded region above the broken line.

Finally we compute traces of certain elements in the group to rephrase conditions $(*)$ in Lemmas 2 and 4 in terms of these traces and in terms of ellipticity of these elements.
Lemma 8. The traces of the elements

$$
w_{A}^{(\ell)}=I_{1}\left(I_{2} I_{1}\right)^{\ell} I_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{B}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}
$$

are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) & =4\left|\ell r_{1} e^{i \theta}+(\ell+1) r_{2} e^{-i \theta}\right|^{2}-1 \\
& =4\left(\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}-1+16 \ell(\ell+1) r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \\
\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right) & =-4\left|r_{2} e^{i \theta}+r_{1} e^{-i \theta}\right|^{2}-1+i \cdot 8 r_{1} r_{2} \sin (2 \theta) \\
& =-\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1\right)+8 r_{1} r_{2} \cdot e^{i \alpha} \\
& =-4\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}-1-16 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)+i \cdot 16 r_{1} r_{2} \sin (\alpha / 2) \cos (\alpha / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore conditions ( $*$ ) in Lemmas 2 and 4 are equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3 \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3$ is equivalent to $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ being not regular elliptic, while $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5$ implies that $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic (but is not equivalent to it).
Proof. The computations of the traces are straightforward. The ellipticity of the elements $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ and $w_{B}$ can be determined by looking at the position of their traces in the complex plane in relation to the deltoid $\Delta$ as explained in section 2. The traces of the elements $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ are real. The portion of the real axis within the deltoid $\Delta$ is $(-1,3)$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant-1$, hence $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3$ is equivalent to $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ being not regular elliptic. The condition $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5$ implies that $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic (but is not equivalent to it).

Combining the results of Lemmas 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8 we obtain Propositions 1 and 2.
5. Discreteness for all Values of the Angular Invariant

Let $\Gamma(\alpha)$ be a complex hyperbolic $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle groups with the angular invariant $\alpha$. Proposition 3 states that for some choices of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ the discreteness conditions in Lemma 4 allow us to show that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is discrete for all values of $\alpha$.

Proof of Proposition 3: The conditions

$$
r_{1}-r_{2} \in\left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\frac{r_{2}+1}{k}, \frac{r_{2}-1}{k-1}\right]\right) \cup\left[r_{2}+1, \infty\right)
$$

of Proposition 3 can be rewritten as

$$
r_{1} \geqslant 2 r_{2}+1, \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{(k+1) r_{2}+1}{k} \leqslant r_{1} \leqslant \frac{k r_{2}-1}{k-1}
$$

for some integer $k \geqslant 2$. (Note that the latter condition can only hold for $r_{2} \geqslant 2 k-1$.) The corresponding regions (in the coordinates $x=\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{r_{2}}=\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}-1, y=\frac{1}{r_{2}}$ ) are the shaded areas in Figure 4.


Figure 4. Conditions of Proposition 3

First suppose $r_{1} \geqslant 2 r_{2}+1$. Then

$$
r_{1}-r_{2} \geqslant r_{2}+1 \geqslant 2
$$

If $\ell \geqslant 1$ then

$$
\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2} \geqslant(\ell-1) r_{2}+\ell \geqslant 1
$$

If $\ell \leqslant 0$

$$
\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2} \leqslant(\ell-1) r_{2}+\ell \leqslant-1 .
$$

Therefore $f_{B}, f_{A}(\ell) \leqslant 0$ and the conditions on $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ in Lemma 4 are always satisfied.

Now suppose $\frac{(k+1) r_{2}+1}{k} \leqslant r_{1} \leqslant \frac{k r_{2}-1}{k-1}$ for some integer $k \geqslant 2$ and note that $r_{2} \geqslant 2 k-1$. Then

$$
r_{1}-r_{2} \geqslant \frac{r_{2}+1}{k} \geqslant 2
$$

If $\ell \geqslant k$ then

$$
\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2} \geqslant \frac{(\ell-k) r_{2}+\ell}{k} \geqslant 2(\ell-k)+1 \geqslant 1
$$

If $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k-1$ then

$$
\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2} \leqslant \frac{-(k-\ell-1) r_{2}-\ell}{k-1} \leqslant-2(k-\ell-1)-1 \leqslant-1
$$

If $\ell \leqslant 0$ then

$$
\ell r_{1}-(\ell+1) r_{2} \leqslant \frac{(\ell-k) r_{2}+\ell}{k} \leqslant 2 \ell-2(k-1)-1 \leqslant-1
$$

Therefore $f_{B}, f_{A}(\ell) \leqslant 0$ and the conditions on $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ in Lemma 4 are always satisfied.

We now compare the conditions on $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ coming from Lemma 7 and from Proposition 3.

Proposition 6. Suppose $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2} \geqslant 0$. In the case $m_{2}=0$ any complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, 0,0\right]$-triangle group is discrete if $r_{1} \geqslant 3$. Now suppose $m_{2}>0$. For each positive integer $k$ define

$$
\Phi_{k}(X)=\frac{\left(k^{2} X-2 k-1\right)^{2}}{4 k(k+1)(k X-1)}
$$

(a) If

$$
\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \geqslant 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{1}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

then any complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group is discrete.
(b) If there is an integer $k \geqslant 2$ so that

$$
\frac{2}{k} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{k}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

then any complex hyperbolic ultra-parallel $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, 0\right]$-triangle group is discrete.

Proof. In the case $m_{2}=0$ we have $r_{2}=1$, so that the conditions of part (b) of Lemma 7 are never satisfied, while the conditions of parts (a) and (c) can be rewritten as
or

$$
r_{1} \geqslant \sqrt{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{1-\left(r_{1}-2\right)^{2}}{8 r_{1}}
$$

$$
r_{1} \leqslant \sqrt{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{1-\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}}{4 r_{1}}
$$

For $r_{1} \geqslant 3$ we use part (a) of Lemma 7 to see that the conditions are satisfied for all values of $\alpha$. Proposition 3 in the case $r_{2}=1$ gives the same condition $r_{1} \geqslant 3$.

Now suppose $m_{2}>0$, i.e. $r_{2}>1$. Note that the statement in part (a) is the same as the statement in (b) with $k=1$ but where there is no upper bound on
$\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)$. The conditions on $r_{1}^{2}-1$ in part (b) of Lemma 7 can be rewritten as

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{2}{k}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}
$$

for $k \geqslant 2$ and the same but without the upper bound on $\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)$ for $k=1$. Note that the function

$$
\Phi_{k}(X)=\frac{\left(k^{2} X-2 k-1\right)^{2}}{4 k(k+1)(k X-1)}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k(k X-1)}{k+1}+\frac{k+1}{k(k X-1)}\right)-\frac{1}{2}
$$

defines a hyperbola with vertical asymptote $X=\frac{1}{k}$, tangent to the $X$ axis at $X=\frac{2 k+1}{k^{2}}$ with values

$$
\Phi_{k}\left(\frac{2}{k-1}\right)=\frac{1}{4(k-1) k}, \quad \Phi_{k}\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)=\frac{1}{4 k(k+1)} .
$$

It is not hard to show that when $k \geqslant 2$ and $\frac{2}{k} \leqslant X \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}$

$$
\Phi_{k}(X) \leqslant \frac{k X-1}{4 k(k+1)}<\frac{k X-1}{k(k+1)}
$$

hence $\frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{k}(X)$ implies

$$
\frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{k}(X) \leqslant \frac{k X-1}{4 k(k+1)}<\frac{k X-1}{k(k+1)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant X
$$

To summarise,

$$
\frac{2}{k} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{k}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

implies

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{2}{k}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1} .
$$

Thus, using Lemma 7, if we can show that

$$
\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant \frac{1-\left(k r_{1}-(k+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 k(k+1) r_{1} r_{2}}
$$

then conditions $(*)$ hold, and so the group is discrete.
The condition

$$
\frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1} \leqslant \Phi_{k}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant\left(k^{2}\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)-(k+1)^{2}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)\right)^{2}-4 k(k+1)\left(k\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)-(k+1)\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)\right) \\
& =\left(k^{2} r_{1}^{2}-(k+1)^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 k^{2} r_{1}^{2}-2(k+1)^{2} r_{2}^{2}+1 \\
& =\left(\left(k r_{1}+(k+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}-1\right)\left(\left(k r_{1}-(k+1) r_{2}\right)^{2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we have $\left(k r_{1}-(k+1) r_{2}\right)^{2} \geqslant 1$. Hence the conditions from Lemma 7 are satisfied for all values of $\alpha$.

Remark. Figure 2 shows the regions in parts (a)-(b) of Proposition 6 in the case $r_{2} \neq 1$ in the coordinates

$$
(X, Y)=\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}\right)=\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{1} / 2\right)-1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}-1, \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(m_{2} / 2\right)-1}\right)
$$

The lightly shaded region is as in Figure 1. The values of ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ ) in Proposition 6 correspond to the darkly shaded regions under hyperbolae in Figure 2. Only hyperbolae $\Phi_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 5$ are shown, but the dark shaded regions continue to the left.

## 6. Non-Discreteness Results

In this section we will use Shimizu's Lemma to describe those values of the angular invariant $\alpha$ for which the group is not discrete, compare also with the similar use of Shimizu's Lemma for (non ultra-parallel) complex hyperbolic ( $m, n, \infty$ ) groups in [Sun], Theorem 3.7(2). We will use the following complex hyperbolic version of Shimizu's Lemma introduced in [Par97], Theorem 2.1:

Lemma 9. Let $G$ be a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$. Let $g \in G$ be a Heisenberg translation by $(\xi, v)$ and $h \in G$ be an element that satisfies $h(\infty) \neq \infty$, then

$$
r_{h}^{2} \leqslant \rho_{0}\left(g\left(h^{-1}(\infty)\right), h^{-1}(\infty)\right) \rho_{0}(g(h(\infty)), h(\infty))+4|\xi|^{2}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the Cygan metric on $\mathcal{N}$ and $r_{h}$ is the radius of the isometric sphere of $h$.

Proof of Proposition 5: In an ultra-parallel triangle group $\left\langle I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right\rangle$ we will apply Lemma 9 to the elements $g=I_{2} I_{1}$ and $h=I_{3}$. Direct computation shows that

$$
g=I_{2} I_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} & -|\xi|^{2}+i v \\
0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \xi \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\xi=2\left(r_{1} e^{-i \theta}+r_{2} e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $v=8 r_{1} r_{2} \sin (2 \theta)$. This is the matrix of the Heisenberg translation by $(\xi, v) \in \mathcal{N}$. The radius of the isometric sphere of the element

$$
h=I_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is $r_{h}=1$. The element $h$ satisfies

$$
h(\infty)=h^{-1}(\infty)=[0: 0: 1], \quad \text { in particular } \quad h(\infty) \neq \infty
$$

The point $[0: 0: 1] \in \partial H_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ corresponds to the point $(0,0) \in \mathcal{N}$. The translation length of $g$ at $h(\infty)=h^{-1}(\infty)$ is

$$
\rho_{0}(g(h(\infty)), h(\infty))=\rho_{0}\left(g\left(h^{-1}(\infty)\right), h^{-1}(\infty)\right)=\sqrt{\left||\xi|^{2}-i v\right|}
$$

Substituting these values in the inequality in Lemma 9 we obtain that if the group is discrete then

$$
1 \leqslant\left||\xi|^{2}-i v\right|+4|\xi|^{2}=\sqrt{|\xi|^{4}+v^{2}}+4|\xi|^{2} .
$$

Finally note that

$$
|\xi|^{2}=\left|2\left(r_{1} e^{-i \theta}+r_{2} e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}=4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos (2 \theta)\right) .
$$

Using $\cos (2 \theta)=-\cos (\alpha)=2 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)-1$ and $\sin (2 \theta)=\sin (\alpha)=2 \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ we obtain

$$
|\xi|^{2}=4\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+16 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \quad v=16 r_{1} r_{2} \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)
$$

and hence $\sqrt{|\xi|^{4}+v^{2}}+4|\xi|^{2}$ is equal to

$$
4 \cdot \sqrt{\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{4}+8 r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}+16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)
$$

Thus the group is not discrete if the following inequality is satisfied:

$$
\sqrt{16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{4}+128 r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}+16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<1
$$

Rearranging and taking squares on both sides, we conclude that $X=64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ satisfies the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-2 b X+c>0, \quad X<d \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right), \quad c=\left(1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{4} \\
& d=1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$
d^{2}-2 b d+c=-2 d\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{4}<0
$$

If $b^{2}-c \geqslant 0$ then the quadratic polynomial $X^{2}-2 b X+c$ has real roots and $X$ satisfies the inequalities (1) if and only if it is less than the smaller root $b-\sqrt{b^{2}-c}$ of $X^{2}-2 b X+c$. That is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X< & -16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& -\sqrt{16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{4}+2\left(1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $b^{2}-c<0$ then the polynomial $X^{2}-2 b X+c$ has no real roots and $X$ satisfies the inequalities (1) if and only if $X<d$. That is:

$$
X<1-16\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Using $X=64 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ and rearranging these expressions, we obtain the inequalities in Proposition 5.

## 7. Schwartz's conjecture

In this section we will consider our results in the context of the conjecture put forward by R. Schwartz in his ICM talk in 2002 [Sch02]:

A complex hyperbolic $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$-triangle group representation is discrete and faithful if and only if a group element $w$ is non-elliptic, where $w=w_{A}=I_{3} I_{2} I_{3} I_{1}$ or $w=w_{B}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}$ depending on $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$.

Note that Schwartz assumes $p_{1} \leqslant p_{2} \leqslant p_{3}$ which implies $r_{1} \leqslant r_{2} \leqslant r_{3}$. We normalise differently so that $r_{1} \geqslant r_{2} \geqslant r_{3}=1$, hence instead of $I_{3} I_{2} I_{3} I_{1}$ the relevant element for us is $w_{A}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{1} I_{3}$.

Discreteness conditions in Propositions 1 and 2 are $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3$ which is equivalent to $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ being not regular elliptic and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5$ which implies that $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic but is not equivalent to it. If we relax the conditions

$$
\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3 \quad \text { for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)\right) \leqslant-5
$$

so that $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right)<3$ for a single value of $\ell=k$ then the corresponding group element $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic:
Proposition 7. Suppose that $m_{1} \geqslant m_{2}>0$ and for some integer $k \geqslant 2$

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{2}{k}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}-1 \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}
$$

where $r_{j}=\cosh \left(m_{j} / 2\right), j=1,2$. If the condition

$$
\max \left\{f_{A}(k+1), f_{A}(k-1)\right\} \leqslant 4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<f_{A}(k)
$$

is satisfied, then $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic and $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0, k\}$ are all non-elliptic.
Proof. Setting $\ell_{1}=k+1$ in the first and $\ell_{1}=k-1$ in the other two cases in Lemma 5 we obtain that $f_{A}(k+1) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell)$ for all integers $\ell>k+1$ and $f_{A}(\ell) \geqslant$ $f_{A}(k-1)$ for all integers $\ell<k-1, \ell \neq-1,0$. This means that our hypothesis that $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant \max \left\{f_{A}(k-1), f_{A}(k+1)\right\}$ implies $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0, k\}$. Recall that

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \geqslant f_{A}(\ell) \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3 \Longleftrightarrow w_{A}^{(\ell)} \text { is non-elliptic, }
$$

hence the conditions on $4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ imply that $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic and $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0, k\}$ are all non-elliptic.

With the help of this proposition we can choose $\alpha$ so that $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic, the element $w_{A}^{(k)}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$ is elliptic of infinite order and all $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0, k\}$ are non-elliptic, in particular $w_{A}^{(1)}=w_{A}$ is non-elliptic. Then the elements $w_{A}$ and $w_{B}$ are non-elliptic, but $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic of infinite order, hence the group is not discrete. Therefore, in the ultra-parallel case, Schwartz's conjecture should be extended to include elements not only $w_{A}$ and $w_{B}$ but also $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ with $\ell \neq 1$.

Example. For $k \geqslant 2$ let $r_{1}=k+1$ and $r_{2}=k$. The conditions

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{k+1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}, \frac{2}{k}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r_{1}^{2}-1}{r_{2}^{2}-1}-1 \leqslant \frac{2}{k-1}
$$

become

$$
\max \left\{(k+1)\left(k^{2}+k-1\right),(k+2)\left(k^{2}-1\right)\right\} \leqslant k^{2}(k+2) \leqslant k(k+1)^{2}
$$

and are always satisfied. In this case we have

$$
f_{B}=f_{A}(k-1)=f_{A}(k+1)=0, \quad f_{A}(k)=\frac{1}{4 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}
$$

Proposition 1 says that the group is discrete if

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant f_{A}(k)=\frac{1}{4 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}
$$

Proposition 7 implies that if

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<f_{A}(k)=\frac{1}{4 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}
$$

then $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic while $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \neq k$ are non-elliptic. The condition

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant f_{B}=0
$$

is always satisfied, hence $\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right) \leqslant-5\right.$ and the element $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic. Choosing $\alpha$ with

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<\frac{1}{2 k(k+1)}, \quad \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \neq \frac{\cos (q \cdot \pi)}{2 k(k+1)} \quad \text { for all } q \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

we obtain $[k+1, k, 0]$-groups with non-elliptic $w_{B}$ and $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0, k\}$, but $w_{A}^{(k)}$ is elliptic of infinite order, hence the group is not discrete.

The condition for $w_{A}^{(k)}$ to be non-elliptic is given by an explicit inequality on the angular invariant $\alpha$. The question of where $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic is more subtle. The trace

$$
\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)=-\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1\right)+8 r_{1} r_{2} \cdot e^{i \alpha}
$$

is on the circle with centre $-\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1\right)$ and radius $8 r_{1} r_{2}$. One has to carefully study the intersection of this circle and the deltoid $\Delta$.

Proposition 8. The element $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic for all values of $\alpha$ if

$$
7-4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+16\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}>0
$$

Proof. In the case $r_{1}=r_{2}=r \geqslant 1$ we have that

$$
7-4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+16\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}=7-8 r^{2}
$$

is never positive, hence we only need to consider the case

$$
r_{1} \neq r_{2}
$$

When does trace $\left(w_{B}\right)=8 r_{1} r_{2} e^{i \alpha}-\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1\right)$ lie outside the deltoid $\Delta$ for all $\alpha$ ? Any point where $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)$ lies on the deltoid is a solution to

$$
8 r_{1} r_{2} e^{i \alpha}-\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1\right)=2 e^{i \theta}+e^{-2 i \theta}
$$

for some $\theta$. In other words,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(8 r_{1} r_{2}\right)^{2} & =\left|4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1+2 e^{i \theta}+e^{-2 i \theta}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left(4 r_{1}^{2}+4 r_{2}^{2}+1+2 \cos (\theta)+\cos (2 \theta)\right)^{2}+(2 \sin (\theta)-\sin (2 \theta))^{2} \\
& =4\left(2 r_{1}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{2}+\cos (\theta)+\cos ^{2}(\theta)\right)^{2}+4(1-\cos (\theta))^{2}\left(1-\cos ^{2}(\theta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by 4 and simplifying means that $X=\cos (\theta) \in[-1,1]$ is a root of the cubic polynomial $Q(X)$ given by

$$
Q(X)=4 X^{3}+X^{2}-2 X+1+4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) X(1+X)+4\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Note that $Q(-1)=4\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}>0$ and $\left.Q(1)=4+8\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+4\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)>0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{\prime}(X) & =12 X^{2}+2 X-2+4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)(1+2 X) \\
& =2\left(3 X+2\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)-1\right)(1+2 X)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the critical points of $Q$ are

$$
X_{1}=\frac{1-2\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)}{3}<-1 \quad \text { and } \quad X_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

The polynomial $Q$ satisfies $Q(-1), Q(1)>0$ and $X_{2}$ is the only critical point of $Q$ in $[-1,1]$, hence

$$
Q\left(X_{2}\right)=\frac{7}{4}-\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+4\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}>0
$$

implies that $Q$ has no roots in $[-1,1]$ and hence $\operatorname{trace}\left(w_{B}\right)$ is always outside the deltoid $\Delta$.

Remark. Analysing the polynomial $Q$ in the proof above, we can understand the ellipticity of $w_{B}$ in other cases as well. If $7-4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)+16\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \leqslant 0$ and $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ then there exist $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ with $\alpha_{1} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$ such that $w_{B}$ is hyperbolic for $|\alpha|<\alpha_{1}$ and for $|\alpha|>\alpha_{2}$ and elliptic for $\alpha_{1}<|\alpha|<\alpha_{2}$. If $r_{1}=r_{2}$ then there exists $\alpha_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that $w_{B}$ is hyperbolic for $|\alpha|<\alpha_{0}$ and elliptic for $|\alpha|>\alpha_{0}$, moreover $\alpha_{0}$ can be computed explicitly, see next section.

## 8. Isosceles Case

In this section we will give a summary of the results in the special case of an isosceles triangle, i.e. $m_{1}=m_{2}=m$ and $r_{1}=r_{2}=r$.

In this case the discreteness conditions in both Propositions 2 and 4 become

$$
\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{4 r^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{2 r}\right)^{2}
$$

hence we obtain the same result as in [WG]. (To compare the results, note that $\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-1}$, where $t=\tan (\theta)$ is the parameter used in [WG].)

On the other hand Proposition 5 says that the group is non-discrete if

$$
\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)<\frac{2 r^{2}+1-2 r \sqrt{r^{2}+1}}{64 r^{2}}=\left(\frac{r-\sqrt{r^{2}+1}}{8 r}\right)^{2} .
$$

Now let us discuss the ellipticity of the elements $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ and $w_{B}$. For $r_{1}=r_{2}=$ $r \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
4 r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geqslant 0 \geqslant \frac{1-r^{2}}{\ell(\ell+1)}=f_{A}(\ell) \quad \text { and hence } \quad \operatorname{trace}\left(w_{A}^{(\ell)}\right) \geqslant 3
$$

(with equality in the case $\alpha=0$ and $r=1$ ). Therefore $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ are always non-elliptic. As was shown in section 12 of [Pra] the element $w_{B}$ is non-elliptic for

$$
\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)>\frac{2 r^{2}-2}{r^{2} \cdot\left(64 r^{4}-80 r^{2}+13+\left(8 r^{2}-7\right)^{3 / 2} \cdot\left(8 r^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}\right)}
$$

$\left(\right.$ To compare the results, note that $\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-1}$, where $t=(\tan (\alpha / 2))^{-1}$ is the parameter used in [Pra].) Hence under the condition on $\sin ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$ above the elements $w_{A}^{(\ell)}$ and $w_{B}$ are all non-elliptic.

Remark. In the case $m_{1}=m_{2}=0$ conditions (1) and (2) imply that the ideal triangle group is discrete for $\sin (\alpha / 2) \geqslant 0.5$. But as conjectured by Goldman and Parker [GP] and proved by Schwartz [Sch01], the ideal triangle group is still discrete for smaller values of $\alpha$, namely if and only if $\sin (\alpha / 2) \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{6}}{16} \approx 0.153$.
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