

Food security, nutrition and health of food bank attendees in an English city: A cross-sectional study

BARKER, Margo http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1016-5787, HALLIDAY, V, WOTTGE, M, MAK, D and RUSSELL, JM

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

http://shura.shu.ac.uk/21911/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

BARKER, Margo, HALLIDAY, V, WOTTGE, M, MAK, D and RUSSELL, JM (2018). Food security, nutrition and health of food bank attendees in an English city: A cross-sectional study. Journal of hunger and environmental nutrition, 14 (1-2), 155-167.

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

- Food security, nutrition and health of food
- 2 bank attendees in an English city: A cross-
- 3 sectional study.
- 4 Margo E Barker¹, Vanessa Halliday², Daniel Mak³ Madeline Wottge³ and Jean M
- 5 Russell⁴
- 6 ¹Food and Nutrition Group, Business School, Sheffield Hallam University
- 7 ²ScHARR The University of Sheffield
- 8 ³ Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Oncology and Metabolism, The University of Sheffield
- 9 ⁴ Central Information and Computing Services, The University of Sheffield
- 10 Correspondence to: Margo Barker, Business School, Sheffield Hallam University, Charles Street,
- 11 Sheffield S1 1WB
- 12 Margo.barker@shu.ac.uk
- 13 Key words: vulnerable adults, diet, nutrient analysis, food poverty, charitable food aid

14 **Abstract**

- 15 Food banks in contemporary Britain are feeding record numbers of people. Little is known about
- attendees' level of food insecurity, background diet quality or health. We surveyed 112 food bank
- 17 attendees. Over 50% had experienced food shortage with hunger on a weekly basis or more often.
- 18 Obesity and mental health problems were prevalent in women. Diet quality was poor, with energy,
- 19 protein, fibre, iron and calcium intakes inadequate, while saturated fat and sugars intake were
- disproportionate. Women had poorer diet quality than men. Such patterns may lead to ill health.
- 21 **Key words**: vulnerable adults, health, food insecurity, charitable food aid, diet, obesity

Introduction

- Growing numbers of people in contemporary Britain obtain emergency food aid through food banks ¹. Food banks are often run by charities and provide people with non-perishable food parcels designed to provide sustenance for a 3-day period. Eligibility is usually pre-established by the statutory care agencies (general practitioners, health visitors and social workers); these groups refer people to a local food bank using a voucher system. Users of food banks encompass a wide range of vulnerable people many of whom are of working age, including the newly unemployed and people with benefit sanctions and delays, and destitute asylum seekers ².

 In 2013 some 500,000 people were reliant on this form of emergency food aid ², while 2014 figures from The Trussell Trust, which is the biggest food bank provider operating across the United Kingdom (UK), estimated that figure was 900,000 ¹. This spiralling level of food poverty has prompted an all-party parliamentary committee enquiry ³.

 The rise in British food banking is underpinned by ill-health, debt, low-pay, a failing benefit system and high food and utility prices 4.5, but little primary study has measured household food insecurity
- 38 entire days without food. Studies of North American and Dutch food bank recipients have revealed

6. Food insecurity can embrace a gamut of circumstances from worrying about food, scrimping on

food purchases, compromising quality and variety of food, experiencing hunger, missing meals to

- 39 high levels of food insecurity ^{7,8}. A recent analysis of European survey data ⁹ suggests that food
- insecurity has risen after the financial crisis of 2008, and particularly so in the UK.
- 41 Paradoxically food insecurity has been associated with high body mass; North American studies of
- 42 the general population reveal that food-insecure women in particular have increased risk of obesity

^{10,11}. Studies of people using food pantries and studies of homeless people have also noted a substantial prevalence of overweight and obesity ^{12,13}. These studies have not assessed dietary energy intake, but there are indications of reliance on palatable, energy-dense foods. North American studies have noted minimal fruit and vegetable consumption ¹⁴, as well as low wholegrain and milk intakes, within an overall unhealthy dietary pattern ⁷. Such dietary patterns may increase risk of chronic disease, and are congruent with the greater prevalence of diabetes and obesity in people who are food insecure ¹⁰.

We are not aware of studies that have scrutinised the background diet of British food bank recipients. The aim of this study was to systematically assess this group's household food security status and measure nutrient intake during food crisis.

Methods

The design was a researcher-administered, cross-sectional survey. The survey had ethical approval from the University School of Medicine. A purposive sample of food bank attendees was recruited between May and August 2014 from three food banks in an English city. Inclusion criteria were adults above the age of 18 years who had spoken English language conducive to participation. Participant approach and recruitment varied slightly at each food bank. Generally, food bank staff members greeted attendees and discussed their voucher and food parcel with them. One of the research team then informally approached attendees and introduced the study. People who showed interest were given a participant information sheet to read or the researcher explained the information sheet. People willing to participate gave written consent. A £10 supermarket voucher was offered to participants.

- The multiple-pass 24-hour recall technique was used to estimate the dietary intake of participants.
- Each participant was interviewed at the food bank on one occasion. The participant was asked to
- recall their previous day's food and drink consumption, using standardised multiple-pass 24-hour
- 67 recall interview methods; a photographic food portion atlas was used for estimations of portion
- 68 size 15.
- 69 Participants were then asked to respond to a set of 23 interviewer-administered short-answer
- questions, which were of both open and closed format (see appendix 1). These questions covered
- 71 general demographic information, self-reported body weight and height, factors affecting food
- 72 access, desired changes in diet, and food insecurity. Food insecurity was measured as in the
- National Low Income Diet and Nutrtion Survey ¹⁶.
- 74 Food consumption data from the 24-hour recall interviews were inputted to a nutrient software
- package (NETWISP 4.0; Tinuviel Software, England). Daily intake of energy, macronutrients, iron,
- 76 calcium and sodium were calculated for each participant. These data along with questionnaire
- information were entered into SPSS (version 22.0). Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated from
- 78 weight and height. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the study sample. Difference
- 79 between median intakes of energy and nutrients were compared with the UK recommendations ¹⁷
- 80 using the One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. The proportion of participants meeting
- 81 recommendations was also calculated. Chi-squared tests were employed to test for association.

82 **Results**

- 83 In total, 112 people participated in the 24-hour recall and survey. This sample had a greater
- proportion of men (58.9%, n=66) than women (41.1%, n=46). The age range of the sample was 18

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

to 72 years, with a mean age of 40.2 (sd=13.6) years. The ethnic composition of the sample was predominantly White British (83.9%, n=94), with small numbers of other ethnicities: 4.5% (n=5)African, 8.1% (n=9) Asian, 1.8% (n=2) European, and 1.8% (n=2) Caribbean. Asylum seekers comprised 9.0% (n=10) of the sample. The majority of people were single (63.4%, n=71), with the remainder married or co-habiting (23.2%, n=26), widowed (0.9%, n=1) and separated or divorce (11.6%, n=13). Overall, 45.5% (n=51) participants lived in a single-person household. Most participants (90%, n=101) reported that they were unemployed. Of those that reported working (5.4%, n=6), one respondent said that they had a full-time job, three respondents were working part-time and two respondents worked occasionally or seasonally. The remaining participants were retired (2.7%, n=3) or did not give a response (1.8%, n=2). The numbers of participants reporting various health conditions and BMI distributions are provided in Table 1. There were gender differences in the proportions classified in categories of relative body weight (P<0.001): 41.0% (n=16) of women were categorised as obese or morbidly obese compared to only 9.4% (n=6) of men. Women were significantly (P=0.030) more likely to report a mental health problem (37.0%, n=17) than men (18.2%, n=12). Women also reported a greater prevalence of respiratory problems (19.6%, n=9) than men (6.1%, n=4). A total of 106 people responded to a question on body weight change over the previous year: 18.8% (n=21) reported a weight increase, while 46.4% (n=52) reported weight loss. The majority of the sample reported a chronic health condition (61.6%, n=69), with the most common report being related to mental health (25.9%, n=29). Other chronic health conditions reported were diabetes (7.1%, n=8) and conditions of the musculoskeletal (14.3%, n=16), respiratory (11.6%, n=13) and cardiovascular

126

reported wanting to lose weight.

106 systems (8.0%, n=9). There was no evidence of a relationship between BMI and reported mental 107 health problems (M-W=943.5, P=0.536). 108 The figures for food security are shown in Table 2. Over half of participants reported a high level of 109 food insecurity due to lack of money on at least a weekly basis: skipping meals or reducing portion 110 sizes (58%, n=65), eating less than they felt they should (58.9%, n=66), and feeling hungry but not 111 eating (50.9%, n=57). The corresponding figures for never having experienced these levels of food 112 insecurity were 18.8% (n=21), 17.9% (n=20) and 25.9% (n=29). Less than a quarter of the sample 113 24.1% (n=27) reported that this was their first visit to a food bank. A total of 80 participants further 114 responded to the question on frequency of use of a food bank in the last month: 6.3% (n=5) of the 115 sample reported no previous use, 20.0% (n=16) reported using the food bank once, 20% (n=16) 116 reported using the food bank twice, while 53.8% (n=43) reported food bank usage of three times or 117 more often. 118 Around half of participants reported that attendance at a food bank was due to problems with 119 benefits (48.2%, n=54) or low income (41.1%, n=46). Information on barriers to consuming good 120 quality food or having a variety of food was also gathered. The most frequent response was 121 shortage of money (92.0%, n=103) followed by transport problems (24.1%, n=27). Participants 122 were also asked about changes they would like to make to their diet. A substantial minority of people (24.1%, n=27) did not wish to make any dietary change. The two most common aspirations 123 124 were to eat more fruit and vegetables (28.6%, n=32) and to eat healthier in general (26.8%, n=30).

A minority of people (13.4%, n=15) reported a desire to eat more overall, while only 5.4% (n=6)

Nutrient intakes of participants are given in Table 3. Both men (71.2%, n=47) and women (81.9%, n=41) had a significantly lower energy intake (P<0.001) than the theoretical requirement. Saturated fat and free sugars made significantly greater contributions to total energy intake (P<0.001) than recommended with a sizeable majority having intakes greater than recommendations (71.2%, n=79) for saturated fat and 86.6%, n=85 for free sugars). Dietary fibre intake was significantly lower than recommended (83.0%, n=93, P<0.001), as were calcium (61.6%, n=69, P=0.049) and iron intakes in both men (39.4%, n=26, P=0.007) and women (93.5%, n=43, P<0.001). Only 3.4% (n=4) participants recorded alcohol consumption. It should be noted that one participant reported no food consumption (water only). Recorded sodium intakes were excessive in just over half of men (56.1%, n=37).

Discussion

This study set out to address the gap in the evidence as to food bank users' experience of food insecurity and background dietary quality. It has unveiled that food bank users have a nutritionally inadequate diet while in food crisis (the day prior to accessing emergency food aid), and missing meals and experiencing hunger happens weekly or more for most. The severe level of food insecurity is confirmed by the pattern of food bank use – over 50% of participants had visited a food bank 3 or more times in the past month. Acute food shortage was most marked for dietary adequacy of fibre, calcium and iron. Importantly, intake of protein was also low, with over 40% of people falling below the threshold for adequacy. These nutrient shortfalls are unsurprising in the face of a marked dietary energy deficit – energy intake was 800 Kcal below theoretical energy requirements. At this level of energy restriction achieving adequate micronutrient intake is challenging. A dependence on calorie-dense food was seen in nutrient intakes weighted towards fat

and sugars as energy sources, albeit under the umbrella of energy restriction. Nevertheless food bank users had aspirations to eat healthier food, primarily through greater consumption of fruit and vegetables.

While the acute effect of food shortage may be a diminished intake of energy and nutrients, predisposing towards malnutrition, dietary overconsumption was in evidence in our dataset with substantial numbers of women classified as overweight and obese. Clearly the negative energy balance we documented during a period of food shortage had been offset by overconsumption at other times. However, compensatory overeating was not universal, as 7% of participants were classified as underweight and recent weight loss was reported by nearly 50%. Other health problems were also prevalent, with over a third of women reporting poor mental health.

Finally, less than a quarter of food bank users in this study reported they were accessing the food bank for the first time. There seems to be a large cohort of users who habitually endure food shortage, for whom access to emergency food aid is both routine and critical. Such dependence arose from straitened financial circumstances, particularly because of issues with social security benefits.

Like most dietary studies, nutrient intake estimates were reliant on self-reporting of food consumption, which is open to underreporting. This bias may be exacerbated in this population, as low food consumption may be perceived to vindicate receipt of charitable food, albeit participants were advised that participation had no bearing on entitlement. Other social response biases may be acting, not least an influence of high relative body weight. Furthermore, a single day of recall does not provide a measure of habitual intake. Our estimates of intake of energy and nutrients reflect the

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

trough of dire food shortage. That said, the high frequency rate of severe food insecurity (encompassing both food shortage and hunger) indicates that such troughs are customary. Interpretation of the prevalence figures for BMI must consider that these were based on self-report of weight and height. In food insecure populations such measures are known to be biased towards under-reporting of weight for height ¹⁸. It is likely that prevalence of overweight and obesity exceeds 40%. The sample was a small, convenience sample comprising largely of single, White, British city-dwellers and having a high proportion of men. These results must be interpreted in the context of a preliminary study and extrapolation to the wider national context has to be tentative. Even with this caveat, the study provides valuable primary data on the level of food insecurity experienced by people turning to food banks, and its acute and negative impact on dietary quality. Comparative British literature on the extent of under-nutrition among vulnerable people is scarce, but nutrient intakes parallel those of a 2012 study of homeless people, which described low intakes of energy, protein and iron, and a reliance on fat and sugar as energy sources 15. A qualitative study of Scottish food bank users noted that meat consumption was compromised during food crisis ⁵. The current study used three questionnaire items to assess food insecurity experience. These were derived from a 10-item food security screening scale used in a 2003-2005 British government survey of low-income families 16. Abbreviation of the original scale was necessary in order to minimise survey time. The original questionnaire items were also rephrased in order to assess recent food insecurity experience (over the previous month as opposed to over the previous year). The items used to measure food insecurity in the current study closely match items in the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation's 8-item Food Insecurity Experience Scale 19, which has recently been used to assess food insecurity experience in a global context.

Nearly 60% of participants surveyed were experiencing severe food insecurity (being hungry and not being able to eat) on a weekly basis or more often. These figures are set against a 33% rise in hospital admissions for malnutrition in England between 2010/11 and 2014/2015, while local hospitals record a doubling of cases in a similar time period; there were 92 cases in 2014, which is the year this study was conducted ²⁰. The convergence of food insecurity with obesity in women, as opposed to men, has been shown in North American surveys ^{21,22} and resonate with a secondary analysis of Health Survey of England data ²³ that revealed a relationship between low household income and obesity in women, but not men. The obesity issue has not surfaced in the UK food bank literature, but our data indicate a substantially greater prevalence of obesity in women than the English national average of 24% (2013 figures) ²⁴. Furthermore, it is likely our obesity prevalence rate estimates are conservative.

The high prevalence of mental health problems concurs with case studies of UK food bank users ^{4,25} and North American surveys of food pantry users ¹². Depression and poor mental health have been linked to risk of obesity ²⁶ in cross-sectional study designs, although the relationship may be bidirectional. This relationship was not corroborated in this study, but sample size was limited.

Cycles of 'plenty and want' are a feature of poverty-driven food insecurity. Such cycles are known to lead to dependence on energy-dense foods of low nutritional quality that are affordable, appetising and ubiquitous ²⁷. Although some food bank users recognised that their diets lacked fruit and vegetables, the rise in British food prices since 2008 have been marked for these foodstuffs, while in contrast foods and drinks high in fat and sugar have been price resilient ²⁸. The economic vulnerability of food insecure populations means that provision of culinary complex meals, which require substantial larder stocks and are centred on expensive meat and vegetables is

impossible. Food policy measures using economic instruments to regulate food prices and encourage a shift in eating pattern may be necessary ²⁹.

Fluctuation in the household food supply seems to result in a psychological drive to overeat in women; emotional and binge eating after periods of food deprivation has been documented ³⁰ and women with children and those experiencing depression seem to more susceptible to such behavioural patterns ²². A desire for food calories in the face of financial insecurity seems to overwhelm other aspects of food choice. Healthy eating campaigns are likely to be seen as peripheral by people who are focused on getting enough food energy to survive.

With the growing reliance on food banks, and if these results are substantiated, health professionals can expect to see an increase in the number of patients presenting with ill health related to malnutrition. These may include iron deficiency anaemia, uncontrolled diabetes, and hypertension, as well as excess weight. There is also potential to improve dietary adequacy through improvements in the food distributed at food banks. Clearly, provision of foods rich in protein, iron, calcium and fibre is necessary, and procurement policies could be more prescriptive. Some North American and Canadian food banks have introduced policies to address poor dietary patterns of their clients ^{27,31}. There is some evidence that recipients of charitable food are open to dietary change and value such initiatives ^{32,33}.

Further research to confirm these findings is needed. Ideally it would incorporate a less subjective method of dietary assessment, such as a photographic record, have physical and biochemical measures of nutritional status and have a wider geographical sampling frame.

People using food banks experience substantial and recurring food insecurity. This insecurity impacts negatively on diet quality with compromised intakes of energy, protein, fibre, calcium and iron and a reliance on fat and sugars. Such patterns may lead to ill health. Women using food banks have a high prevalence of obesity and mental health problems.

References

234

235

236

237

- 239 1. Loopstra R, Reeves A, Taylor-Robinson D, Barr B, McKee M, Stuckler D. Austerity, sanctions,
- and the rise of food banks in the UK. BMJ Br Med J. 2015;350(apr08 9):h1775-h1775.
- 241 doi:10.1136/bmj.h1775.
- 242 2. Cooper N, Dumpleton S. *Walking the Breadline the Scandal of Food Poverty in 21st Century*
- 243 *Britain.*; 2013. www.oxfam.org.uk/ policyandpractice.
- 244 3. All-Party Parliamentary Inquiry. Feeding Britain. A Strategy for Zero Hunger in England,
- Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The Report of the All-Party Parliamentary Inquiry into
- 246 *Hunger in the United Kingdom.*; 2014. doi:10.1136/bmj.1.4348.621-a.
- 4. Garthwaite KA, Collins PJ, Bambra C. Food for thought: an ethnographic study of negotiating
- ill health and food insecurity in a UK foodbank. Soc Sci Med. 2015;132:38-44.
- 249 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.03.019.
- 250 5. Douglas F, Sapko J, Kiezebrink K, Kyle J. Resourcefulness, desperation, shame, gratitude and
- powerlessness: Common themes emerging from a study of food bank use in Northeast
- 252 Scotland. *Public Health*. 2015;2(October):297-317. doi:10.3934/publichealth.2015.3.296.
- 253 6. Dowler E, Lambie-Mumford H. Introduction: Hunger, food and social policy in austerity. *Soc*

- 254 *Policy Soc.* 2015;14(03):411-415. doi:10.1017/S1474746415000159.
- 255 7. Duffy P, Zizza C, Jacoby J, Tayie FA. Diet quality is low among female food pantry clients in
- eastern Alabama. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2009;41(6):414-419. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2008.09.002.
- 8. Neter JE, Dijkstra SC, Visser M, Brouwer IA. Food insecurity among Dutch food bank
- 258 recipients: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(5):e004657-e004657.
- 259 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004657.
- 260 9. Davis O, Baumberg Geiger B. Did food insecurity rise across Europe after the 2008 crisis? An
- 261 analysis across welfare regimes. Soc Policy Soc. 2016:1-18.
- 262 doi:10.1017/S1474746416000166.
- 263 10. Laraia BA. Food insecurity and chronic disease. Adv Nutr. 2012;4:203-212.
- 264 doi:10.3945/an.112.003277.
- 265 11. Larson NI, Story MT. Food insecurity and weight status among U.S. children and families: A
- 266 review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;40(2):166-173.
- 267 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.10.028.
- 268 12. Vivian EM, Le J, Ikem P, Tolson Y. Health needs and neighbourhood concerns of low income
- 269 households vulnerable to food insecurity. *Public Health*. 2014;128:743-745.
- 270 doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2014.05.005.
- 271 13. Koh KA, Hoy JS, O'Connell JJ, Montgomery P. The hunger-obesity paradox: obesity in the
- 272 homeless. J Urban Health. 2012;89(6):952-964. doi:10.1007/s11524-012-9708-4.

- 273 14. Robaina KA, Martin KS. Food insecurity, poor diet quality, and obesity among food pantry
- participants in Hartford, CT. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2013;45(2):159-164.
- 275 doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2012.07.001.
- 276 15. Sprake E, Russell JM, Barker ME. Food choice and nutrient intake amongst homeless people. J
- 277 *Hum Nutr Diet.* 2013;27(3):242-250. doi:10.1111/jhn.12130.
- 278 16. Nelson M, Erens B, Bates B, Church S, Boshier T. Low Income Diet and Nutrition Survey. Vol
- 279 Vol. 3. London: HMSO; 2007. doi:10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2004.09.006.
- 280 17. British Nutrition Foundation. Nutrient Requirements. London; 2016.
- https://www.nutrition.org.uk/attachments/article/907/Nutrition Requirements_Revised
- 282 June 2016.pdf.
- 283 18. Lyons AA, Park J, Nelson CH. Food insecurity and obesity: A comparison of self-reported and
- measured height and weight. Am J Public Health. 2008;98(4):751-757.
- 285 doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.093211.
- 286 19. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations F. Methods for Estimating
- 287 Comparable Prevalence Rates of Food Insecurity Experience by Adults throughout the World -
- 288 Technical Report (Revised). Rome, FAO; 2016.
- 289 20. BBC News. Malnutrition causing thousands of hospital admissions.
- http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-34777348. Published 2015. Accessed May 6, 2016.
- 291 21. Gooding HC, Walls CE, Richmond TK. Food insecurity and increased BMI in young adult
- 292 women. *Obesity*. 2012;20(9):1896-1901. doi:10.1038/oby.2011.233.

- 293 22. Martin MA, Lippert AM. Feeding her children, but risking her health: The intersection of
- gender, household food insecurity and obesity. Soc Sci Med. 2012;74(11):1754-1764.
- 295 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.11.013.
- 296 23. Aitsi-Selmi A, Bobak M, Marmot MG. Global challenges in addressing the social determinants
- of smoking and obesity: comparison of England with six emerging economies. *Lancet*.
- 298 2013;382:S23. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62448-4.
- 299 24. Health and Social Care Information Centre. Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet:
- 300 England 2015. Heal Soc Care Inf Cent. 2015;(March):103.
- 301 http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB16988/obes-phys-acti-diet-eng-2015.pdf.
- 302 25. Perry J, Williams M, Sefton T, Haddad M. Emergency Use Only: Understanding and reducing
- 303 the use of food banks in the UK. *Child Poverty Action Group, Church England, Oxfam andThe*
- 304 Trussell Trust. 2014:1-8. http://www.cpag.org.uk/sites/default/files/Foodbank
- Report_web.pdf.
- 306 26. Davillas A, Benzeval M, Kumari M. Association of Adiposity and Mental Health Functioning
- 307 across the Lifespan: Findings from Understanding Society (The UK Household Longitudinal
- 308 Study). *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2):e0148561. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148561.
- 309 27. Crawford PB, Webb KL. Unraveling the paradox of concurrent food insecurity and obesity.
- 310 *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;40(2):274-275. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.11.003.
- 311 28. Jones NR V., Conklin AI, Suhrcke M, Monsivais P. The Growing Price Gap between More and
- Less Healthy Foods: Analysis of a Novel Longitudinal UK Dataset. PLoS One.

313		2014;9(10):e109343. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109343.
314	29.	Hawkes C, Smith TG, Jewell J, et al. Smart food policies for obesity prevention. <i>Lancet</i> .
315		2015;385(9985):2410-2421. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61745-1.
316	30.	Ivers LC, Cullen KA. Food insecurity: special considerations for women. Am J Clin Nutr.
317		2011;94:1740-1744. doi:10.3945/ajcn.111.012617.1.
318	31.	Campbell EC, Ross M, Webb KL. Improving the nutritional quality of emergency food: A study
319		of food bank organizational culture, capacity and practices. J Hunger Environ Nutr.
320		2013;8(3):261-280. doi:10.1080/19320248.2013.816991.
321	32.	Pelham-Burn SE, Frost CJ, Russell JM, Barker ME. Improving the nutritional quality of
322		charitable meals for homeless and vulnerable adults. A case study of food provision by a food
323		aid organisation in the UK. <i>Appetite</i> . 2014;82:131-137. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2014.07.011.
324	33.	Seidel M, Laquatra I, Woods M, Sharrard J. Applying a nutrient-rich foods index algorithm to
325		address nutrient content of food bank food. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2015;115(5):695-700.
326		doi:10.1016/j.jand.2014.11.009.
327		

Table 1: Relative body weight and chronic illness profile of sample (n; percentage in parentheses; base = 103)

Characteristic		Men	Women	Total	Tests
	Underweight (<18.5)	3 (4.7%)	4 (10.3%)	7 (6.8)	
BMI category*	Healthy weight (18.5-24.9)	37 (57.8%)	17 (43.6%)	54 (52.4)	χ²(4)=22.0
(kg/m²)	Overweight (25-29.9)	18 (28.1%)	2 (5.1%)	20 (19.4)	p<0.001
	Obese (30-39.9)	6 (9.4%)	11 (28.2%)	17 (16.5)	
	Morbidly obese (>40)	0 (0.0%)	5 (12.8%)	5 (4.9)	
	None	29	14	41 (38.4)	X ² (1)=2.1,
		(43.9%)	(30.4)		p=0.171
Chronic illness or disability**	Mental health	12 (18.2%)	17 (37.0%)	29 (25.9)	X ² (1)=5.0, p=0.030
	Diabetes	5 (7.6%)	3 (6.5%)	8 (7.1)	X ² (1)=0.05, p=1.0

Cardiovascular	6 (9.1%)	3 (6.5%)	9 (8)	$X^2(1)=0.2$,
				p=0.735
Musculoskeletal	10 (15.2%)	6 (13.0%)	18 (14.3)	$X^2(1)=0.1$,
				p=0.792
Respiratory	4 (6.1%)	9 (19.6%)	13 (11.6)	$X^{2}(1)=4.8,$
				p=0.037
Other	5 (7.6%)	5 (10.9%)	10 (8.9)	X ² (1)=0.4,
				p=0.738

Table 2: Frequency of food shortage experiences (n; percentage in parentheses; base = 112)

Food shortage indicator	Never	Once or twice per month	Weekly	More than once a week
Skipped meals and/or reduced size of meal	21 (19)	26 (23)	24 (21)	41 (37)
Eaten less than felt should	20 (18)	26 (23)	24 (21)	42 (38)
Hungry but didn't eat	29 (26)	24 (22)	23 (21)	34(32)

Table 3: Median nutrient intakes (Inter-Quartile Range) compared to UK Dietary Reference Values

337 (base = 112)

338

336

339

	DRV	Median intake (IQR)	Median difference from DRV	p-value ‡	% outside DRV
Men	26031	1775 (1235-2723)	-996	<0.001	71.2
Women	20781	1201 (719-1801)	-972	<0.001	89.1
Men	55 ²	69 (38 - 113)	13	0.003	40.9
Women	452	36 (18-65)	-9	0.650	54.3
% total energy)	503	53 (43-60)	3	0.135	44.1
ergy)	<333	35 (28-41)	2	0.092	56.8
% total energy)	<103	13 (9-18)	3	<0.001	71.2
(% energy)	<5%4	12 (15-17) 7		<0.001	86.6
% energy)	< 5 ³	0 (0-0)	-5	<0.001	0
g/day)	305	13 (7-24)	-17	<0.001	83.0
[mg/day]	<24003	2151 (1307-3747)	-249	0.567	43.8
(mg/day)	7002	553 (314-875)	-148	0.049	61.6
Men	8.72	10.7 (6.3-15.4)	2.0	0.007	39.4
Women	14.82	5.8 (2.8-8.5)	-9.0	<0.001	93.5
	Women Men Women Women Wotal energy) Company We energy) We energy) Men Men Men	Men 2603¹ Women 2078¹ Men 55² Women 45² % total energy) 50³ ergy) <33³	Men 2603¹ 1775 (1235-2723) Women 2078¹ 1201 (719-1801) Men 55² 69 (38 - 113) Women 45² 36 (18-65) % total energy) 50³ 53 (43-60) 2°rgy) <33³	Men 2603¹ 1775 (1235-2723) -996	Men 2603¹ 1775 (1235-2723) -996 <0.001 Women 2078¹ 1201 (719-1801) -972 <0.001 Men 55² 69 (38 - 113) 13 0.003 Women 45² 36 (18-65) -9 0.650 % total energy 50³ 53 (43-60) 3 0.135 ergy <33³ 35 (28-41) 2 0.092 % total energy <10³ 13 (9-18) 3 <0.001 % energy <5%⁴ 12 (15-17) 7 <0.001 % energy <5³ 0 (0-0) -5 <0.001 g/day 30⁵ 13 (7-24) -17 <0.001 mg/day 700² 553 (314-875) -148 0.049 Men 8.7² 10.7 (6.3-15.4) 2.0 0.007

 $^{^1}$ Estimated Average Requirement 17 ; 2 Reference Nutrient Intake 17 ; 3 Population Average 17 ; 4 Reference Value 17 ; 5 Association of Official Agricultural Chemists analysis; reference value 17 ‡ One-sample Ranked

342 Wilcoxon Test

343					
344	Appendix				
345					
346		Questionr	naire for Participants		
347	The food experience	es and nutrient in	take of people that us	se food banks	in Sheffield
	Male □ Female □		Date of Birth	n:/	
	Ethnicity:		Referrer:	_	
348					
349					
350					
351 352	1. How many people do	you live with?			
353	2. How many are	Adults	Childr	en	
354	How old is(are) the child	(ren)?			
355					
356 357	3. What is your relation Single		ed □	Separated	П

358		Divorced		Widowed		Cohabiting	
359		In a relationsh	ip but living se	parately			
360							
361 362	4.	Are you: Working full-time		Occ	asional/sea	isonal work	
363		Working part-time		Not	Working		
364		Self-employed		Wor	rking a 0-ho	ur contract	
365		Retired					
366							
367 368 369 370	5.					nity? (By long-stand likely to affect you o	
371		Yes 🗆	No				
372							
373		If Yes , can you de	escribe this				
374							
375 376	6.	What is your appr	oximate				
377		Weight			ŀ	Height	
378							

379 380	7.	Over the last year	ır has yo	our weigh	nt				
381		Stayed the sa	ame		Increased			Decreased	
382									
383 384	8.	How often do you	u usually	/ have aı	n alcoholic dr	ink?			
385		Daily				Almos	st every	day	
386		Once or twice	e a weel	<		Once	or twice	e a month	
387		Once every of	Once every couple of months				or twice	e a year	
388		Not at all in the	ne last 1	2 month	S 🗆				
389									
390 391 392	9.	How many days housework that la				al activi	ty? (e.g	a continuous gentle	walk or
393		Daily		Every 2	2-3 days		Every	4-5 days	
394		Weekly		Occas	ionally		Never		
395									
396 397 398	10	. How many days a lasts at least 30 r			o more stren	uous ph	ysical a	ctivity? (e.g. a sport	or jog that
399		Daily		Every 2	2-3 days		Every	4-5 days	
400		Weekly		Occasi	onally		Never		
401									

402 403	11. How ofter	n in the last me	onth hav	e you eate	n fresh fruit	or vegetables?	
404	Daily						
405	More than on	ce a week					
406	Weekly						
407	More than on	ce a month					
408	Monthly						
409	Not at all						
410							
411 412	12. Is this you	ur first visit to a	a Food E	Bank? (if Y e	∍s, go to 14))	
413	Yes		No				
414							
415 416	13. How man	y times have y	you use	d a food ba	nk in the las	t month?	
417	Once			Twice		Three times or mor	e 🗆
418							
419 420	14. How man	y times have y	you used	d a food ba	nk in the las	t 6 months?	
421	Once			Twice		Three times or mor	e 🗆

422						
423 424	15. What is the main	ı reasoı	n for you coming to t	he food b	eank today?	
425	Benefit Delays		Delayed Wages		Benefit Changes	
426	Low income		Unemployed		Sickness	
427	Debt		Homeless		Refused STBA	
428	Unexpected Exp	enditur	е			
429	Other					
430						
431 432	16. Did you have un	expecte	ed expenses last mo	nth?		
433	Yes □		No 🗆			
434						
435	If yes , did you cut ba	ack on	food to meet these e	xpenses	?	
436						
437	Yes □		No 🗆			
438						
439 440 441			ou ever had to redu nough money for foo		ize of your meals or	skip meals
442	Yes 🗆		No 🗆			

443	
444	If Yes , how many times has this happened?
445	
446	Once or Twice Weekly More than once a week
447	
448	
449 450 451	18. In the last month have you ever eaten less than you felt you should because there wasn't enough money to buy food?
452	Yes No
453	
454	If Yes , how many times has this happened?
455	
456	Once or Twice Weekly More than once a week
457	
458	
459 460 461	19. In the last month have you ever been hungry but didn't eat because you couldn't afford enough food?

462	Yes 🗆 No			
463				
464	If Yes , how many times has this happene	d?		
465				
466	Once or Twice		More than once a week	
467				
468	20. Here are some reasons why people	don't al	ways have the quality or	variety of food the
469	want. As I list them, tell me if any of these	reasons	apply to you?	
470				
471	Not enough money			
472	Not available in local shops			
473	Not enough time for cooking/shop	ping		
474	Poor cooking/storage facilities			
475	Transport problems			
476	Not available in the work place			
477	Shopping is difficult with children			
478	Lack of cooking skills			
479	No particular reason			

it

480		Other	
481			
482	22.	Are there any things you would like to change about your current diet? (e.g.	make
483	healthi	er/ improve it/eat more or less)	
484			
485	Yes	□ No □	
486			
487	23.	What would you like to change?	
488			
489			
490			
491			
492			
493			
494			
495496			