

Strength of the dominant upper and lower extremities predicts skeletal muscle mass irrespective of age and gender



Institute for Sport, Physical Activity & Leisure



LEES, M.J.¹, WILSON, O.J.¹, HIND, K.¹, & ISPOGLOU, T.¹

¹Institute of Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure,
Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK
m.lees@leedsbeckett.ac.uk



@mlees1988

Background

Sarcopenia is characterised by losses in muscle mass, strength and function. It is a contributing factor to numerous non-communicable diseases and frailty. Screening for sarcopenia typically requires measurements of handgrip strength, functional performance, and skeletal muscle mass. However, available tools do not tend to measure strength of the lower extremities. The aim of this study was to investigate associations between these measures and lower extremity strength with skeletal muscle mass in healthy young and older adults.

Methods

Fifty younger (mean ± SD age = 22.7 ± 5.4 years) and 50 older (age = 69.9 ± 4.3 years) individuals received the following measurements after an overnight fast: Skeletal Muscle Index (SMI) derived by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, gait speed, handgrip strength (HGS), and unilateral one-repetition maximum (1RM) leg extension strength. Muscle quality (MQ), was also determined as the ratio of grip strength to appendicular lean mass of the upper body¹.

Results

One older female and one older male were pre-sarcopenic and sarcopenic². Upper extremity MQ was below established cut-points in 21 older participants¹.

SMI was positively associated with upper and lower extremity strength in all groups except older men, and negatively associated with upper extremity MQ in young males.

By multiple regression analysis, dominant HGS and dominant leg extension 1RM strength predicted SMI in the complete sample, accounting for 70.3% of the variance ($B = 0.469$ and 0.421 , respectively; $P < 0.00001$). The equation for SMI is as follows:

$$4.568 + 0.025 \times \text{dominant leg extension 1RM} + 0.059 \times \text{dominant grip strength}$$

Table 1. Correlation coefficients with skeletal muscle index

Variable	Younger		Older	
	Males (n = 30)	Women (n = 20)	Males (n = 24)	Females (n = 26)
Body Composition				
Fat mass (kg)	0.29	0.02	0.73**	0.41*
Lean mass (kg)	0.79**	0.89**	0.61**	0.62**
%TFM	0.05	-0.18	0.48*	0.21
Functional Performance and Strength				
Dominant HGS (kg)	0.47**	0.60**	0.20	0.41*
Non-dominant HGS (kg)	0.45*	0.49*	0.36	0.19
Upper body muscle quality (kg/kg)	-0.42*	-0.08	-0.12	-0.19
6-m gait speed (m/s)	0.09	-0.02	0.14	-0.24
Dominant leg extension 1RM (kg)	0.64**	0.64**	0.25	0.48*
Non-dominant leg extension 1RM (kg)	0.59**	0.55*	0.30	0.29

* = significant at $p < 0.05$; ** = significant at $p < 0.01$

Conclusions

Since muscle mass is the foremost variable in determining sarcopenia, we support the inclusion of lower extremity strength testing in addition to that of handgrip strength to enable better prediction of SMI in both older and younger individuals. MQ determination is also recommended since established algorithms may fail to identify individuals with muscle weakness.

References

- Cooper, R., Hardy, R., Bann, D., Sayer, A.A., Ward, K.A., Adams, J.E., Kuh, D., and Kritchevsky, S. (2014) Body mass index from age 15 years onwards and muscle mass, strength, and quality in early old age: findings from the MRC National Survey of Health and Development. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 69(10), pp. 1253-1259.
- Cruz-Jentoft et al. (2010) Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing*, 39(4), pp. 412-423.