HYDRAULIC MODELLING OF BAFFLE CONFIGURATION ON THE REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF A RECTANGULAR OIL/WATER SEPARATION TANK

HAITHAM ALAA HUSSEIN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA 2016

HYDRAULIC MODELLING OF BAFFLE CONFIGURATION ON THE REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF A RECTANGULAR OIL/WATER SEPARATION TANK

by

HAITHAM ALAA HUSSEIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Professor Dr. Rozi Abdullah. His wide knowledge and his logical way of thinking have been of great value for me. His understanding, encouraging and personal guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis.

I am deeply grateful to my co-supervisor, Professor Md Azlin Md Said, for his detailed and constructive comments, and for his important support throughout this work.

During this work, I have collaborated with Mrs Nurul Akma, Mr Mohd Taib and many colleagues for whom I have great regard, and I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work in the School of Civil Engineering of Universiti Sains Malaysia.

My warm thanks are due my parents and my family. They have lost a lot due to my research abroad. Without their encouragement, it would have been troublesome for me to finish this work. My thanks also go to all my friends and postgraduate students in the Civil Engineering School for their friendly help and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
Acknow	wledgeme	nts	ii
Table o	of Contents	S	iii
List of	Tables		vi
List of	Figures		viii
List of	Abbreviat	ions	xiv
List of	Symbols		xvi
Abstral	ζ		xx
Abstrac	et		xxii
СНАР	TER 1 - I	NTRODUCTION	
1.1	Backgro	und	1
1.2	Problem	statement	1
1.3	Motivati	on	3
	1.3.1	Need for Design Development	4
	1.3.2	Need for Physical Models	5
	1.3.3	Need for Computational Model	
1.4	Objectiv	re of the Study	6
1.5		1 Scope	
1.6	Research	n Organization	8
СНАР	TER 2 - I	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduc	tion	10
2.2	Gravity	Separators Process	14
2.3	Gravity	Separator Theory	15
2.4	Flow Pa	ttern and Hydraulic Characteristic in Separation Tanks	23
	2.4.1	Density Current	26

	2.4.2	Short Circuiting and Circulation Zone	28	
2.5	Hydrau	lic Retention Time (HRT)	30	
2.6	Inlet Flo	Inlet Flow		
2.7	Velocit	Velocity Profile		
2.8	Design	and Baffle Configuration	36	
2.9	Measur	ement of FOG in Wastewater	40	
2.10	Miscell	aneous Studies	42	
2.11	Summa	ry	42	
CHAI	PTER 3 - 1	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Dimens	sional Analysis	44	
	3.1.1	Buckingham Π Theorem	45	
3.2	Overvie	ew	48	
3.3	Laborat	ory Experiments	50	
3.4	Experin	nental Setup	53	
	3.4.1	Velocity Measurement Inside the Separator Tank	55	
	3.4.2	Best End Baffle Location (using clear water)	63	
	3.4.3	Best Inlet Baffle Location (Using Clear water)	64	
	3.4.4	Best Inlet Baffle Height (Using Clear water)	65	
	3.4.5	Best Angle of the Baffle (Using Clear water)	66	
	3.4.6	Inlet Baffle Experimental Setup for Assessment Removal	Efficiency	
		Inside Separation Tank (Using Palm Oil with Water)	67	
3.5	Numeri	cal Modelling Overview		
	3.5.1	Fluid Flow Governing Equation of Flow-3D [®]	84	
	3.5.2	Boundary Condition	93	
	3.5.3	System of Mesh	97	
	3.5.4	Drift Flux Model for Two-Component Flows	98	
CHAI	PTER 4 - 1	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Introdu	ction	101	
4.2	Experin	mental Assessment	102	
	4.2.1	Best Position of End Baffle	102	
	4.2.2	Best Position of Inlet Baffle	107	
	4.2.3	Best Height of Inlet Baffle	117	

	4.2.4	Best Angle of Inlet Baffle	121
4.3	Evaluati	ion of Experimental Results	125
4.4	Comput	ational Model of Oil/Water Separation Tank	127
	4.4.1	One and Two Phase Flow Validation	131
	4.4.2	Numerical Model and Experimental Comparison	132
	4.4.3	Best End Baffle Location	135
	4.4.4	Best Position of Inlet Baffle	139
	4.4.5	Best Inlet Baffle Height	149
	4.4.6	Best Angle of Inlet Baffle	153
	4.4.7	Effect of Inlet Baffle on the Removal Efficiency of Separa	ation Tank
			161
4.5	Empiric	al Models for Design of Separator Tank	162
	4.5.1	Scaling Effects on Flow Distribution of Oil/Water Separat	tion Tank
			165
CHAP	TER 5 - (CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Introduc	ction	171
5.2	End Raf	fle Position	
5.3	Liiu Dai	TIE POSIUOII	172
0.0		ffle Position	
5.4	Inlet Ba		173
	Inlet Ba	ffle Position	173
5.4	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar	ffle Positionffle Height	173 173 174
5.45.5	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience	ffle Position	173 173 174 175
5.45.55.6	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience	ffle Position ffle Height ffle Angle cy and Geometric Design of Separation Tank	173 173 174 175
5.45.55.65.7	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience Recomm	ffle Position ffle Height ffle Angle cy and Geometric Design of Separation Tank	173 173 174 175 176
5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 REFER	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience Recomm	ffle Position ffle Height ffle Angle cy and Geometric Design of Separation Tank nendations for Future Research	173 173 174 175 176
5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 REFER APPEN	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficiend Recomm	ffle Position ffle Height ffle Angle cy and Geometric Design of Separation Tank nendations for Future Research	173 173 174 175 176
5.45.55.65.7REFERAPPENAppend	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience Recomm RENCES IDICES ix A Bu	ffle Position	
5.45.55.65.7REFERAPPENAppendAppend	Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Inlet Bar Efficience Recomn RENCES IDICES ix A Bur ix B Exp	ffle Position ffle Height ffle Angle cy and Geometric Design of Separation Tank nendations for Future Research	173 173 174 175 176

LIST OF PUBLICATION

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Oil and grease concentrations in wastewater of selected industries	12
Table 2.2	Hydraulic characteristics of oil/water separator tanks (Matko et al., 1996)	23
Table 2.3	Results from standard method 5520B test with various oil types (Aziz, 2010)	41
Table 3.1	Types of laboratory experimental test	52
Table 3.2	Experimental studies of the effects of boundary proximity and velocity shear on Acoustic Doppler Velocimetry data in open channels (from Chanson, 2008)	62
Table 3.3	List of experiments for different inlet baffle locations in separation tank (using palm oil/water)	68
Table 4.1	Laboratory experimental tests for determination of best end baffle location	102
Table 4.2	Laboratory experimental tests for inlet baffle location	107
Table 4.3	Laboratory experimental tests for inlet baffle location mixed oil/water	112
Table 4.4	Inlet and outlet experimental results of oil concentration and removal efficiency	114
Table 4.5	Laboratory experimental tests for height of inlet baffle (clear water)	117
Table 4.6	Laboratory experimental tests for angle of inlet baffle	121
Table 4.7	Volume of circulation zone in different end baffle location d_e/L : (a) 0.46, (b) 0.61, (c) 0.76, and (d) 0.93	138
Table 4.8	Circulation volume percentage for different location of the inlet baffle	141
Table 4.9	Simulated kinetic energy in different inlet baffle positions in x/L sections	146
Table 4.10	Hydraulic parameters and geometric design of oil/water separation tank	163

Table 4.11	Mesh number for three cases of geometric of separation tank	166
Table 4.12	Volume of circulation zone for different flow rate with inlet baffle location <i>di/L</i> =0.12 and different geometric design	166

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Sewer problems that arise due to wastewater quantity and quality	12
Figure 2.2	Force action on oil and grease droplet (Clark, 2009; Crittenden et al., 2012)	15
Figure 2.3	Drag coefficient for varying magnitude of Reynolds numbers (Crittenden et al., 2012)	18
Figure 2.4	Schematic for continuous quiescent floatation (Aziz, 2010)	20
Figure 2.5	Standard API gravity separation tank (Mohr and Jenks, 1998)	25
Figure 2.6	Sketch of flow pattern in rectangular separation tanks: (a) bottom density current, (b) surface density current (Taebi-Harandy and Schroeder, 2000)	27
Figure 2.7	General flow pattern trends as a function of flow rate and distributor (Rodríguez López et al., 2008)	34
Figure 2.8	Relationship between oil concentration and removal efficiency	38
Figure 3.1	Parameters in oil/water separation tank: (a) Cross section of separation tank; (b) Plan of the separation tank	46
Figure 3.2	Flow chart of experimental and numerical work	49
Figure 3.3	(a) Schematic diagram of the separator tank; (b) a plate of end and inlet baffle in the separator tank; (c) a plate of end and inlet baffle in the separator tank through operation	54
Figure 3.4	Electromagnetic flow meter	55
Figure 3.5	(a) ADV in rectangular separator tank; (b) Down-looking head of ADV with four receivers	56
Figure 3.6	ADV beams intersect each other 50 mm from the transmitter	57
Figure 3.7	Dimensional details of ADV (Nortek, 2004)	58
Figure 3.8	Location of experimental measurements points in: (a) End	59

Figure 3.9	Type of ADV in laboratory experiments (from Chanson, 2008)	60
Figure 3.10	Schematic view of different end baffle location in separation tank	63
Figure 3.11	Flow paths in the rectangular reparation tank: (a) Without inlet baffle; (b) Inlet baffle at best location	64
Figure 3.12	Schematic view of different inlet baffle location in separation tank with end baffle 100 cm from the inlet of separation tank	65
Figure 3.13	Schematic view of different inlet baffle height in separation tank	66
Figure 3.14	Schematic view of different inlet baffle angle in separation tank	67
Figure 3.15	Schematic diagram of the separation tank in oil/water concentration measurement	69
Figure 3.16	Realistic view of inlet structure of the rectangular separator tank	70
Figure 3.17	Palm oil used in physical model	70
Figure 3.18	Oil/Water mixing tank (5% oil and 95% water)	71
Figure 3.19	Mixer and Masterflux pump system for deliver oil/water emulsion	72
Figure 3.20	(a) The instrument for taking sample without inlet baffle; (b) The instrument for taking sample with inlet baffle; (c) Saving sample in beaker at inlet of separation tank; (d) Saving sample in beaker at outlet of separation tank	74
Figure 3.21	Conical flask	75
Figure 3.22	(a) Separatory funnels; (b) 40/60 petroleum ether solvent	76
Figure 3.23	Lower layer released into the beaker	77
Figure 3.24	Separated layer pass through a filter paper	78
Figure 3.25	Evaporated contents in water bath at 70°C	78
Figure 3.26	(a) Oven dry (b) Sartorius BSA224S scale with 0.1 mg precision used for gravimetric measurements	79
Figure 3.27	Small Element of fluid	85

Figure 3.28	Forces on infinitesimally small, moving fluid element	85
Figure 3.29	Typical values of the VOF function near free surface (Barkhudarov, 2004)	88
Figure 4.1	Experimental x-velocity component for different end baffle location (d_e/L) at sections x =20, 30, 45, 75, 90, 105, 122 cm (u_{in} is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	104
Figure 4.2	Experimental z-velocity component of different end baffle location (d_e/L) at sections x =20, 30, 45, 75, 90, 105, 122 cm (u_{in} is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	106
Figure 4.3	Cross section of gravity separation tank with end and inlet baffles	108
Figure 4.4	Experimental <i>x</i> -velocity component for different inlet baffle locations (d_i/L) at sections $x=10, 25, 45, 60, 75,$ and 90 cm for inlet baffle height $(H_{ib}/H=0.24)$ $(u_{in}$ is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	110
Figure 4.5	Experimental <i>z</i> -velocity component of different inlet baffle location (d_i/L) at sections x =10, 25, 45, 60, 75, and 90 cm for inlet baffle height (H_{ib}/H =0.24) (u_{in} is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	111
Figure 4.6	Oil removal efficiency for different baffle locations from the inlet to tank length ratios	114
Figure 4.7	Oil layer on the surface of the separation tank after test one case of inlet baffle structure	115
Figure 4.8	Top view before and after end baffle in oil/water separation tank	115
Figure 4.9	Distribution of oil/water emulsion at different time step at 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, and 50 sec from injection	116
Figure 4.10	Experimental x-velocity component of different inlet baffle height (H_{ib}/H) at sections $x=10, 25, 45, 60, 75,$ and 90 cm for inlet baffle location $(d_i/L=0.12)$ $(u_{in}$ is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	118
Figure 4.11	Experimental z-velocity component of different inlet baffle height (H_{ib}/H) at sections $x=10, 25, 45, 60, 75,$ and 90 cm for inlet baffle location $(d_i/L=0.12)$ $(u_{in}$ is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	120

Figure 4.12	Experimental x-velocity component of different inlet baffle angles at sections $x=10$, 25, 45, 60, 75, and 90 cm for inlet baffle location ($d_{i}/L=0.12$) (u_{in} is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	122
Figure 4.13	Experimental <i>z</i> -Velocity component of different inlet baffle angles at sections $x=10$, 25, 45, 60, 75, and 90 cm for inlet baffle location ($d_i/L = 0.12$) (u_{in} is inlet velocity and z is transverse distance from the bed)	124
Figure 4.14	Research showing; (a) The curve is fitted to the 5 times measured velocity at section $x=90$ cm and; (b) The standard deviation of the x -velocity (z is transverse distance from the bed and $u_{\rm in}$ is inlet velocity)	126
Figure 4.15	Distribution of velocity measurement in x , z , y direction in separation tank at section 25, 45, and 75 cm: a) cross section; b) plan view	128
Figure 4.16	Experimental x-velocity value for different y-direction points in separation tank (a) $x=25$ cm; (b) $x=45$ cm; (c) $x=75$ cm (z is transverse distance from the bed and $u_{\rm in}$ is inlet velocity)	129
Figure 4.17	Comparison of x and z velocity components for the case end baffle at d_e/L =0.76 with k- ϵ and RNG turbulence model (z is transverse distance from the bed and u_{in} is inlet velocity)	130
Figure 4.18	<i>x</i> -velocity profile for one and two phase flow models for end baffle height ($H_{eb}/H=0.75$) at d_e/L of (a) 0.46 (b) 0.76	131
Figure 4.19	<i>z</i> -velocity profile for one and two phase flow models for end baffle height ($H_{eb}/H=0.75$) at d_e/L of (a) 0.46 (b) 0.76	132
Figure 4.20	Comparison between experimental and simulated velocity component for end baffle in separation tank at $d_e/L=0.76$ (a) x -velocity component; (b) z -velocity component (z is transverse distance from the bed and $u_{\rm in}$ is inlet velocity)	133
Figure 4.21	Comparison between experimental and computational velocity component for end baffle and inlet baffle in separation tank at d_i/L =0.12 (a) x -velocity component; (b) z -velocity component (z is transverse distance from the bed and $u_{\rm in}$ is inlet velocity)	134
Figure 4.22	Standard deviation of <i>x</i> -velocity across the separator tanks with different end baffle locations	136

Figure 4.23	Computed velocity vectors for different end baffle position (d_e/L) : (a) 0.46, (b) 0.61, (c) 0.76, and (d) 0.93	137
Figure 4.24	Computed streamlines for different end baffle position d_e/L : (a) 0.46, (b) 0.61, (c) 0.76, and (d) 0.93	138
Figure 4.25	Standard deviation of x-direction velocity across the separator tanks with different inlet baffle locations ($d_{i}/L=0.04$, 0.12, 0.15, 0.23, 0.30, and no inlet baffle)	140
Figure 4.26	<i>x</i> -direction velocity contours for inlet baffle in separation tank at (a) d_i/L =0.04 (b) d_i/L =0.12 (c) d_i/L =0.15 (d) d_i/L =0.23 (e) d_i/L =0.30 and (f) No inlet baffle	142
Figure 4.27	<i>z</i> -direction velocity contours for inlet baffle in separation tank at (a) d_i/L =0.04 (b) d_i/L =0.12 (c) d_i/L =0.15 (d) d_i/L =0.23 (e) d_i/L =0.30 and (f) no inlet baffle	143
Figure 4.28	Computed streamlines for inlet baffle cases (a) d_i/L =0.04 (b) d_i/L =0.12 (c) d_i/L =0.15 (d) d_i/L =0.23 (e) d_i/L =0.30 and (f) no inlet baffle	144
Figure 4.29	Computational results, comparing no inlet baffle case (f) and inlet baffle at $d_i/L=0.12$ case (b) at section $(x/L)=0.08,\ 0.2,\ 0.46,\ \text{and}\ 0.58$	147
Figure 4.30	Kinetic energy contour for cases $d_i/L=0.12$ case (b) and no inlet baffle case(f)	148
Figure 4.31	Standard deviation of <i>x</i> -direction velocity across the separator tanks with different inlet baffle height ratio ($H_{ib}/H=0.24$, 0.28, 0.33, and 0.38	149
Figure 4.32	Computed vectors and streamlines for different inlet baffle height H_{ib}/H for inlet baffle location $(d_i/L=0.12)$ a) 0.24 b) 0.28 c) 0.33 d) 0.38 (x is longitudinal distance from beginning of inlet slot, z is transverse distance from the bed)	151
Figure 4.33	Circulation volume percentage for different inlet baffle height size H_{ib}/H =0.24, 0.28, 0.33, and 0.38	152
Figure 4.34	Standard deviation of x-direction velocity across the separator tanks with different inlet baffle angle = 45° , 60° , 90° , 120° , and 135°	154

Figure 4.35	Computed streamlines for different angle of inlet baffle a) 45^0 b) 60^0 c) 90^0 d) 120^0 and e) 135^0 at inlet baffle location $(d_i/L=0.12)$ and inlet baffle height $(H_{ib}/H=0.24)$ (x is longitudinal distance from beginning of inlet slot, z is transverse distance from the bed)	156
Figure 4.36	Circulation volume percentage for different inlet baffle angle $0f\ 45^0$, 60^0 , 90^0 , 120^0 , and 135^0	157
Figure 4.37	Computed velocity vectors for different angle of inlet baffle a) 45^0 b) 60^0 c) 90^0 d) 120^0 and e) 135^0 at inlet baffle location $(d_i/L=0.12)$ and inlet baffle height $(H_{ib}/H=0.24)$ (x is longitudinal distance from beginning of inlet slot, z is transverse distance from the bed)	159
Figure 4.38	Computed contour of kinetic energy for different angle of inlet baffle a) 45^0 b) 60^0 c) 90^0 d) 120^0 and e) 135^0 at inlet baffle location ($d_i/L=0.12$) and inlet baffle height ($H_{ib}/H=0.24$) (x is longitudinal distance from beginning of inlet slot, z is transverse distance from the bed)	160
Figure 4.39	Oil removal efficiency at various inlet baffle location (d_i/L) for end baffle Location d_e/L =0.76, inlet baffle height H_{ib}/H =0.24, and angle of inlet baffle =90 ⁰	161
Figure 4.40	Relationship of inflow rate with length and inlet baffle location in separator tank	164
Figure 4.41	Simulated velocity streamline of different geometric design with inlet baffle location $d_i/L=0.12$ for flow rate cases of 1) 2 L/s 2) 0.35 L/s 3) 11.3 L/s	167
Figure 4.42	Computed contour of kinetic energy at different geometric design at inlet baffle location $d_i/L=0.12$ for flow rate cases at 1) 2 L/s 2) 0.35 L/s 3) 11.3 L/s	169
Figure 4.43	Standard deviation of x -velocity across the separation tanks with different inlet baffle locations in case prototype 3 (P3)	170

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADV Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter

APHA American public health association

API America petroleum institute

BOD Oxygen demand

CFD Computational fluid dynamics

CEBL Clear water end baffle location

CIBL Clear water inlet baffle location

C.W.B Clear water without inlet baffle

CIBH Clear water inlet baffle height

CIBA Clear water inlet baffle angle

EPA United states Environmental Protection Agency

EU European union

FAVOR Fractional area volume obstacle representation

FLOSS Flow simulator for separators

FOG Fat, oil, and grease

GIT Grease interceptor traps

HRT Hydraulic retention time

LDA Laser Doppler Anemometry

OIBL Oil/water inlet bafflr location

O.W.B Oil/water without inlet baffle

PDA Phase doppler anemometry

RANS Reynolds-averaged navier–stokes

Re Reynolds number

RNG Renormalization-group

SD Standard deviation

SG Specific gravity

SNR Signal strength

SSOs Sanitary sewer overflows

TSS Total suspended solids

TLEN Turbulent length scale

VOF Volume of fluid

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A Cross section area of the tank

 A_d Cross sectional area of the droplet

 A_x Area of fraction for flow in the x direction

 A_z Area of fraction for flow in the z direction

a Acceleration of the droplet

C Concentration of oil

 C_{in} Inlet oil concentration

C_{out} Outlet oil concentration

 $C_{(oil)}$ Concentration of oil in inlet slot

 $C_{(oil)F}$ Concentration of oil in main flume

 $C_{(oil)p}$ Concentration of oil in emulsion pipe

CNU Constant parameter

 C_d Drag coefficient

CDIS1, CDIS2, CDIS3 Dimensionless user-adjustable parameters

Diffusion of dissipation

 D_d Droplet diameter

 d_e End baffle distance

 d_i Inlet baffle distance

 d_{oil} Palm oil diameter

Empirical roughness parameter

 ε_T Turbulent energy dissipation

F Force balance

 F_b Buoyant force

 F_g Gravitational force

 F_d Drag force

Fr Froude number

 Fr_{in} Froude number at the inlet slot

f Volume fraction of the continuous phase

 f_x, f_z Viscous accelerations

g Gravity acceleration

 G_x , G_z Body accelerations

 G_T Buoyancy production

H Depth of water

 H_{in} Height of inlet opening

 H_{ib} Inlet baffle height

 H_w Height of weir

 H_1 Distance from the tank bottom to the inlet opening

Length of oil/water separator tank

 L_P Length of the separation tank prototype

 L_m Length of the separation tank model

k Von Kármán constant

 K_T Turbulent kinetic energy

k- ε Kinetic energy

 μ Dynamics viscosity

m Mass of droplet

n Dimensional variables

p Instantaneous total pressure

 P_T Turbulent kinetic energy production

$ ho_o$	Palm oil density
ρ	Fluid density
$ ho_d$	Density of droplet
$ ho_w$	Density of water
$ ho_f$	Constant
$ar{ ho}$	Volume-weighted average density
Q	Flow rate
Q_F	Discharge of main flume inlet slot in separation tank
Q_p	Flow rate of oil/water emulsion injected
R_p	Average particle radius
и	Fluid velocity components in x direction
u_i	Instantaneous velocity
u_{in}	Inlet velocity
u_{τ}	Wall-friction velocity
U*	Local shear velocity
u_r	Relative velocity
\overline{u}	Volume average velocity
V_d	Volume of droplet
V_F	Volume of fractional
V_s	Initial sample volume in liter
V_m	Velocity of the model
V_p	Velocity of prototype
v	Kinematic viscosity
v_t	Terminal velocity
v_H	Horizontal velocity

 v_k Diffusion coefficient

W Width of the separation tank

w Fluid velocity components in z direction

wsx Wall shear stress in x-direction

wsz Wall shear stress in z-direction

y⁺ Dimensionless wall distances

 θ Inlet baffle installation angle

 δ_{ij} Kronecker-delta

 δx Side of fluid element in x-direction

 δy Side of fluid element in y-direction

 δz Side of fluid element in z-direction

 σ_{ij} Total stress tensor causes internal forces

 γ_{ij} Strain rate

u', *v'*, *w'* Velocity fluctuation (turbulent) in different direction

 τ_w Wall shear stress

PEMODELAN HIDRAULIK TATARAJAH SESEKAT KEATAS KECEKAPAN PENYINGKIRAN UNTUK TANGKI PEMISAHAN MINYAK/AIR

ABSTRAK

Pembuangan lemak, minyak dan gris ke dalam sistem retikulasi menyebabkan penyumbatan saluran paip dan limpahan pembentungan pembersihan. Rekabentuk tangki pemisahan minyak/air berdasarkan graviti digunakan dengan meluas di dalam unit rawatan. Pengunaan sesekat di dalam tangki pemisahan dan penilaian kesannya keatas kecekapan hidraulik adalah suatu bidang yang mencabar yang dijalankan oleh penyelidik. Kajian ini melibatkan penilaian kesan lokasi sesekat hujung, lokasi sesekat masuk, ketinggian sesekat masuk dan sudut pemasangan sesekat masuk ke atas corak aliran dan kecekapan penyingkiran tangki pemisah minyak/air menggunakan ujian makmal dan penyelakuan berangka. Meterhalaju Akustik Doppler (ADV) digunakan untuk mengukur medan halaju di dalam tangki pemisahan minyak/air di dalam makmal dengan tatarajah sesekat yang berlainan jenis untuk menentukan susuk halaju. Ujian makmal dijalankan untuk mengukur kecekapan penyingkiran tangki pemisahan minyak/air dengan berlainan tatarajah sesekat masuk. Penyelakuan berangka termasuk corak aliran dan ciri-ciri hidraulik tangki pemisahan minyak/air dengan tatarajah sesekat yang berlainan dijalankan untuk menentukan lokasi sesekat hujung dan sesekat masuk, ketinggian sesekat masuk dan sudut sesekat masuk. Hasil keputusan ujian makmal dan penyelakuan berangka menunjukan, lokasi sesekat hujung $d_e/L = 0.76$, lokasi sesekat masuk $d_i/L = 0.12$, nisbah ketinggian tenggelam sesekat masuk $H_{ib}/H = 0.24$, dan sudut sesekat masuk 90° , mencapai kecekapan penyingkiran yang maksima. Tatarajah sesekat tersebut menyebabkan isipadu zon sirkulasi dan tenaga kinetik yang minima, keseragaman susuk halaju yang tinggi, muka itu kecekapan penyingkiran yang tinggi di dalam tangki pemisahan minyak/air. Rumus untuk menentukan kecekapan penyingkiran berdasarkan lokasi sesekat masuk diperolehi daripada keputusan ujian makmal.

HYDRAULIC MODELLING OF BAFFLE CONFIGURATION ON THE REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF A RECTANGULAR OIL/WATER SEPARATION TANK

ABSTRACT

The particular release of fat, oil, and grease (FOG) into collection systems ultimately brings about the blockage of conduits and subsequent sanitary sewer overflows. Designing oil and water rectangular separation tank due to gravitation is extensively applied in treatment units. Using baffles in separation tanks and measuring its effects on hydraulic efficiency is a challenging subject that researchers have investigated. In this study, the effects of end baffle location, inlet baffle location, inlet baffle height, and inlet baffle angle installation on the flow pattern and removal efficiency of oil/water separation tanks are investigated using experimental test and numerical simulation. The velocity field in the laboratory separation tank with various types of baffles configuration was measured by Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV) to determine the velocity profile. In addition, experimental tests are conducted to measure the removal efficiency of separation tanks equipped at different inlet baffle. Numerical simulation, including the modelling of flow pattern and hydraulic characteristics of separation tanks with different baffle configurations, was performed to determine the best end and inlet baffle location, height of inlet baffle and angle of inlet baffle. Based on the results of experimental tests and numerical models, end baffles location $d_e/L=0.76$, with an inlet baffle position $d_i/L=0.12$, inlet baffle submersible depth ratio $H_{ib}/H=0.24$, and inlet baffle angle

installed at 90°, achieve the highest removal efficiency. This configuration produced minimum circulation zone volume and kinetic energy, the most uniform velocity profiles, and thus highest removal efficiency in the separation tank. Finally a formula to determine the removal efficiency as a function of inlet baffle location is obtained from laboratory test results.