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#### Abstract

Quaternary ammonium salts (chloride, bromide and iodide; QUATs) with n-alkyl chain lengths between C8 and C18 have been synthesized under optimized experimental conditions. These compounds were tested in vitro for antimicrobial activity against representative bacterial strains (Staphylococcus aureus CIP 4.83, Enterococcus hirae CIP 5855, Pseudomonas aeruginosa CIP 82118, Escherichia coli CIP 53126, Mycobacterium smegmatis CIP 7326) and fungal species (Aspergillus niger ATCC 16404, Candida albicans IP 118079, Trichophyton interdigitale IP 146583). While these compounds showed moderate antifungal activity, several of them (particularly C14-I-) may be considered as highly potential antibacterial agents against S. aureus, E. hirae and E. coli with MIC values lower than that of commercial benzalkonium chloride and ciprofloxacin used as standards. The relationship between the lipophilicity and the antibacterial activity of the tested QUATs was quantified by a multiple linear regression method.


## Introduction

The development of opportunist microorganisms and hospi-tal-acquired infections has led to an increasing need for antiseptics. It has been known for a long time that the cationic antimicrobial quaternary ammonium salt (QUATS) derivatives such as benzalkonium chloride (Domagk, 1935; O'Neil, 2006a), benzalkonium bromide (Rodier et al., 1995) and cetrimonium bromide (O'Neil, 2006b) possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity and low toxicity for their hosts. They are widely
used as disinfectants in medicine and industry for their antibacterial and antifungal properties (Holah et al., 2002; Gilbert and Moore, 2005; Wanxue et al., 2010; Banerjee et al., 2011; Yuhong et al., 2011).

QUATs are amphoteric surfactants, generally containing one quaternary nitrogen associated with at least one major hydrophobic substituent ( n -alkyl chain). They differ in the length of their n -alkyl chain (from C8 to C18). These compounds are commercially available as mixture in aqueous solution containing varying ratios of n-alkyl chains (C12, C14 and C16 for benzalkonium chloride) or as a solid form but more

[^0]or less paste-like because of their hygroscopicity. The overall activity of commercial products towards bacterial strains can be highly variable (Gilbert and Moore, 2005) because of the diversity of the $n$-alkyl chain length that affects the hydrophobicity of the compounds.

Therefore we postulated that the use of a pure product with similar biological properties could be an advance in terms of pharmaceutical quality especially as the utility of these antimicrobials is undeniable.

In this paper, we present the optimized synthesis of 3 series of QUAT derivatives with different n -alkyl chains between 8 and 18 carbons long and with different counterions: chloride, bromide and iodide. Their in vitro biological activity was tested against representative microorganisms (gram+, gram - and fungi) and the lipophilic character was determined in order to investigate the structure-activity relationships (SARs).

## Materials and methods

## Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a DSC-50 Shimadzu apparatus (Kyoto, Japan). Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT IR spectrometer (PerkinElmer, USA).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a DPX 300 spectrometer (Brüker Biosciences, USA), and peak positions are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet) or m (multiplet). Chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) values are given in parts per million. Reactions were monitored by thinlayer chromatography (TLC) using pre-coated silica gel plates 60 F-254. All yields are calculated for analytically pure materials. The microanalyses were performed in the Microanalytical Laboratory of ENSIACET in Toulouse, France, and the results obtained were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values.

The residual water content of the synthesized compounds was determined with a Karl-Fischer Titrator. Pyridine-free Hydranal-solvent and Hydranal-Titrant 5 were used as the solvent and titration media.

The determination of the capacity factor $k^{\prime}$ and lipophilic character $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values were determined using the analytical system HPLC consisting of a Hitachi (Merck) instrument, with an isocratic pump and UV detector (wavelength 214 nm ). A Nucleosil 100-5 C18-RP column (Macherey-Nagel) was used ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particles size), the column temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mobile phase consisted of acetate buffer $(0.2 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 5)$ and acetonitrile. Isocratic runs, with the flow rate of mobile phase $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, were carried out with mixtures containing increasing acetonitrile volume fractions (from $40 \%$ to $90 \%, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. Samples of QUATs ( $0.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were dissolved in distilled water.

All chemicals used for the synthesis were purchased from Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France).

Some of these tested compounds were commercially available, in particular the chloride QUATs, but with variable levels of hydration, so we synthesized them to obtain a homogeneous series.

## General procedure for the synthesis of compounds $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C1}^{-18 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} / \mathrm{Br}^{-} \text {(Scheme 1, Method a) }}$

100 mL of butanone, 13.5 g ( 0.1 mole ) of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbenzyl amine and 0.1 mol of the appropriate alkyl halide were added successively to an Erlenmeyer flask fitted with a reflux condenser.

The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 12 h . Then $0.1 \mathrm{~mol}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ for QUATs-Cl ${ }^{-}$or $0.2 \mathrm{~mol}(3.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ for QUATs-$\mathrm{Br}^{-}$of water was added and the solution was stirred under reflux for 6 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was placed in a freezer at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude product was collected by filtration and dried under reduced pressure at room temperature. If necessary, the products were recrystallized from dry butanone ( 10 g of ammonium compound in 50 mL butanone) to give a white product.

## General procedure for the synthesis of compounds C8 to C18 I' (Scheme 1, Method b)

In an Erlenmeyer flask fitted with a reflux condenser, 27 g ( 0.02 mol ) of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbenzylamine in 100 mL of butanone was poured into a solution of 0.02 mol of alkyl iodide in 100 mL of butanone. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 18 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was placed in a freezer at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude product was collected by filtration and dried under reduced pressure at room temperature.

The spectroscopic data were similar for all compounds. We present also here the data of some representative structures. (All spectroscopic data are reported in Supplementary material.)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ spectra were in accordance with the literature data for $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 18 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$(Kuka et al., 2004) and C8-C18 I(Watanabe et al., 1988). For $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 18 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ compounds, the corresponding protons of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ appeared as a broad singlet integrating for 2 protons, between 3.70 ppm for compound $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and 4.00 ppm for compound $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; between 2.70 ppm for compound $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$and 1.78 ppm for compound $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$.

Benzyl-octyl-dimethylammonium chloride $\mathrm{CB}_{-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: ~ \mathrm{IR}}$ (KBr), $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 3432(\mathrm{OH}) ; 2955,2925,2856\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1621$, 1488, $1471(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{N}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : $13.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.31-28.94\left(5 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.37\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.48$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.24\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.12\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.51,128.83$, 130.24, 133.03 (Ar).

Benzyl-dodecyl-dimethylammonium chloride $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : IR (KBr), $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3414(\mathrm{OH})$; 2956, 2924, 2853 ( $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1638,1617,1469,1456(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.88$ (t, 3H, CH ${ }_{3}$ ), $1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.89$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 14.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $22.57-29.49\left(9 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.79\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.08\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.34\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.55$, 129.03, 130.42, 133.11 (Ar).

Benzyl-tetradecyl-dimethylammonium chloride $\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 3422(\mathrm{OH}) ; 2954,2921,2852\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1638,1617,1460,1456(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.88$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.89$ (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-N), $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 14.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.57-29.57\left(11 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.80\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.07\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.32\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.56$, 129.01, 130.40, 133.11 (Ar).

Benzyl-dodecyl-dimethylammonium bromide $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ IR (KBr), $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : 3457, 3416 (OH); 2956, 2924, 2853 (CH, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1638,1616,1475(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.81$ (t, 3H, CH ${ }_{3}$ ), $1.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.96$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ ), $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 14.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.56-29.46\left(9 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.77\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $49.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.58\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.24\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.30$, 129.05, 130.54, 133.14 (Ar).

Benzyl-tetradecyl-dimethylammonium bromide $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : IR (KBr), $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 3416(\mathrm{OH}) ; 2980,2923,2853\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; 1638, 1617, 1474, 1455 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.82(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.33$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 7.39 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.63 (m, 2H, Ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 14.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.58-29.57\left(11 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.81\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $49.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.58\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.23\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.30$, 129.07, 130.57, 133.15 (Ar).

Benzyl-hexadecyl-dimethylammonium bromide $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ IR (KBr), $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3457,3413$ (OH); 2981, 2956, 2922, 2852 (CH, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1637,1616,1470,1455(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : $0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{13}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.77$ (m, 2H, N-CH2-CH2 $), 3.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 5.06 (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-N), $7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 13.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.42-29.73\left(13 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.69\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $49.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.28\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.03\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.41$, 128.86, 130.27, 133.05 (Ar).

Benzyl-dodecyl-dimethylammonium iodide C12-I ${ }^{-}$: IR (KBr), $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : 2985, 2922, 2845 (CH, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 1633, 1621, $1474,1457(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.22$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 18 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\right), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 14.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.56-29.48(9$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.78\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.85\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.12$ ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-Ar), 127.02, 129.10, 130.68, 133.15 (Ar).

Benzyl-tetradecyl-dimethylammonium iodide C14-I ${ }^{-}$: IR (KBr), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2990,2920,2851\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; 1640, 1617, 1472, $1461(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.96$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 14.03$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.56-29.88\left(11 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.79\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $63.84\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.09\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.03,129.09,130.65$, 133.15 (Ar).

Benzyl-hexadecyl-dimethylammonium iodide C16-I-: IR (KBr), $\mathrm{vcm}^{-1}$ : 2991, 2921, 2852 (CH, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 1638, 1618, 1471, $1460(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 0.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{13}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ ), $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 13.90$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.44-29.68\left(13 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.67\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $63.59\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.93\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 127.14,128.88,130.35$, 133.16 (Ar).

## Microbiological assays

Benzalkonium chloride, ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole were used as reference compounds in the microbiological assays.

The test strains were obtained from the collection of the Pasteur Institute (Paris, France) and the American Type Culture Collection. They included five bacteria for the evaluation of water miscible antiseptic and disinfectant efficacy. Pseudomonas aeruginosa CIP 82118, Escherichia coli CIP 53126, Staphylococcus aureus CIP 4.83, Enterococcus hirae CIP 5855 and Mycobacterium smegmatis CIP 7326 were maintained on tryptic soy agar (Biomérieux, Craponne, France) and incubated in aerobic conditions at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h ( 72 h for M. smegmatis). Three fungal strains were also included in the assay: Candida albicans IP 1180 79, Aspergillus niger ATCC 16404 and Trichophyton interdigitale IP 1465 83. They were maintained on Sabouraud (Biomérieux, Craponne, France) in aerobic conditions at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h for C . albicans, 7 days for A. niger and 15-21 days for T . interdigitale to obtain spores.

Bacterial and fungal spore suspensions were freshly prepared in sterile distilled water to limit interactions with tested chemicals to obtain final inocula of $10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and $10^{5}$ spores $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for bacteria and fungi, respectively.

Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimal germicidal concentrations (MBCs or MFCs) were determined after incubation of bacterial strains at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and fungal strains at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ in the presence of serial dilutions of the test compounds (Tables 2 and 3 ).

The MIC was defined as the concentration of compound at which no macroscopic sign of cellular growth was detected in comparison to the control without antimicrobial compound. The MBC/MFC was determined by subculturing on corresponding agar plates after incubation of bacterial strains at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and fungal strains at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The MBC/MFC was defined as the concentration of compound at which no macroscopic sign of cellular growth was detected in comparison to the control without antimicrobial compound.

## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

Quaternary ammonium chlorides and bromides were synthesized by reaction of the n -alkyldimethylamine with benzyl halide (Scheme 1, Method a) according to the previously described method (Kuka et al., 2004; Rodier et al., 1995). Due to the instability and the cost of benzyl iodide, quaternary ammonium iodides were obtained by quaternization of the N , N -dimethylbenzylamine with the appropriate n -alkyl iodide (Scheme 1, Method b) (Grabowicz et al., 1989).

These different syntheses of the QUATs could be carried out in various solvents: acetone (Moss and Sunshine, 1974), chloroform (Watanabe et al., 1988), dimethylformamide (Avram, 2001), water (Grabowicz et al., 1989) or ethanol (Jada et al., 1990; Kuka et al., 2004) as previously described. We found that the most convenient solvent for this synthesis was butanone (Rodier et al., 1995). Moreover, this choice also allowed the QUATs to be recrystallized with a high degree of purity. For the chloride and bromide derivatives, the addition


Scheme 1 - Synthesis of quaternary ammonium halides.
of water to the reaction mixture enabled us to obtain stable, crystallized and non-hygroscopic monohydrates or dihydrates. In the case of the iodides, we obtained anhydrous compounds.

All the synthesized compounds: C8, C10, C12, C14, C16 and $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{X}^{-}$were characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The water content of the various compounds was measured by the Karl Fischer method and confirmed for compound C12- $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$by X-ray crystallography (Rodier et al., 1995). The physicochemical data of the compounds are reported in Table 1.

The proposed method of synthesis has several advantages: the optimized experimental conditions favour the reaction and limit the formation of undesired by-products. These QUATs were obtained in very good yields, around $95 \%$ and for bromide compounds, the yield was increased twofold compared with the previous studies (Kuka et al., 2004).

Furthermore, purification of crude products by only one recrystallization was required, instead of several recrystallizations (Moss and Sunshine, 1974; Avram, 2001) or more expensive column chromatography (Kuka et al., 2004). Consequently, these QUATs were obtained as pure products (white crystals), of defined composition, stables in ambient conditions, not hygroscopic and thus easily handled.

## Biological activities

The prepared compounds were evaluated in vitro for their antimicrobial activities against representative Gram+ and Gram- bacterial strains (S. aureus CIP 4.83, E. hirae CIP 5855, P. aeruginosa CIP 82118, E. coli CIP 53126, M. smegmatis CIP 7326) and fungal species (A. niger ATCC 16404, C. albicans IP 118079, T. interdigitale IP 146583). The determined minimal inhibitory

Table 1 - Physico-chemical data of compounds C8-C18, $\mathbf{X}^{-}$

| X |  | Molecular formula | MW | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\%) | $\mathrm{Mp}^{\mathrm{b}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | \% Hydratation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Calc ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \% Found |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | C8 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 301.90 | 89 | 72 | 5.97 | 6.10 |
|  | C10 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 348.24 | 84 | 36 | 10.35 | 10.30 |
|  | C12 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClN}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 376.02 | 96 | 40 | 9.58 | 9.50 |
|  | C14 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{ClN}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 404.08 | 95 | 52.5 | 8.92 | 8.89 |
|  | C16 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{ClN}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 432.13 | 88 | 54 | 8.34 | 8.55 |
|  | C18 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{ClN}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 460.18 | 98 | 60.5 | 7.83 | 7.97 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | C8 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 346.35 | 52 | 62 | 5.20 | 5.42 |
|  | C10 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 374.40 | 95 | 40 | 4.81 | 4.80 |
|  | C12 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 402.46 | 95 | 45 | 4.50 | 4.70 |
|  | C14 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 430.51 | 92 | 50 | 4.20 | 4.45 |
|  | C16 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 458.57 | 47 | 56.5 | 3.90 | 3.83 |
|  | C18 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{BrN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 486.62 | 53 | 62 | 3.70 | 3.48 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | C8 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{IN}$ | 375.34 | 36 | 72.5 | - | - |
|  | C10 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{IN}$ | 403.39 | 89 | 70.2 | - | - |
|  | C12 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{IN}$ | 431.44 | 83 | 61.5 | - | - |
|  | C14 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{IN}^{\text {N }}$ | 459.50 | 85 | 72.6 | - | - |
|  | C16 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{IN}$ | 487.55 | 89 | 72.5 | - | - |
|  | C18 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{IN}$ | 515.60 | 89 | 84.6 | - | - |

[^1]| Compounds |  | Microorganisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S. aureus CIP 4.83 |  | E. hirae CIP 5855 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { P. aeruginosa CIP } \\ 82118 \end{gathered}$ |  | E. coli CIP 53126 |  | M. smegmatis CIP 7326 |  |
|  |  | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | C8 | 312 | 2500 | 2500 | 5000 | 5000 | >5000 | 312 | 312 | 156 | 625 |
|  | C10 | 4.8 | 312 | 156 | 156 | 1250 | 1250 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 9.7 | 78.1 |
|  | C12 | 2.4 | 39 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 312 | 312 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 4.8 | 19.5 |
|  | C14 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 78.1 | 312 | 4.8 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 |
|  | C16 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 156 | 1250 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 1.2 | 9.7 |
|  | C18 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 625 | >5000 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 4.8 | 19.5 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | C8 | 312 | 1250 | 2500 | 5000 | 5000 | >5000 | 156 | 312 | 78.1 | 1250 |
|  | C10 | 19.5 | 312 | 156 | 156 | 1250 | 1250 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 19.5 | 156 |
|  | C12 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 156 | 156 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 0.6 | 19.5 |
|  | C14 | 2.4 | 19.5 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 78.1 | 156 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 0.037 | 19.5 |
|  | C16 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 312 | 2500 | 19.5 | 39 | 9.7 | 39 |
|  | C18 | 39 | 39 | 19.5 | 78.1 | 1250 | >5000 | 312 | 2500 | 19.5 | 78.1 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | C8 | 156 | 1250 | 2500 | 2500 | 5000 | >5000 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 625 |
|  | C10 | 19.5 | 312 | 156 | 312 | 1250 | 1250 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 19.5 | 312 |
|  | C12 | 9.7 | 39 | 19.5 | 39 | 625 | 625 | 39 | 39 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
|  | C14 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.037 | 0.037 | 78.1 | 156 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.037 | 1.2 |
|  | C16 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 9.7 | 625 | 2500 | 39 | 39 | 1.2 | 4.8 |
|  | C18 | 39 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 39 | 2500 | $>5000$ | 625 | 1250 | 39.5 | 39.5 |
| Benzalkonium $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ Ciprofloxacin |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  |  | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.15 | 0.3 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

## Table 3 - In vitro antifungal activity of QUATs (MIC and MFC in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

| Compounds |  | Microorganisms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A. niger ATCC 16404 |  | C. albicans IP 118079 |  | T. interdigitale IP 146583 |  |
|  |  | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | C8 | 5000 | 5000 | 2500 | 5000 | 156 | 5000 |
|  | C10 | 625 | 1250 | 312 | 625 | 9.7 | 625 |
|  | C12 | 78.1 | 156 | 39 | 78.1 | 4.8 | 78.1 |
|  | C14 | 19.5 | 39 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 39 |
|  | C16 | 156 | 78.1 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 1.2 | 19.5 |
|  | C18 | 156 | 312 | 19.5 | 39 | 4.8 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | C8 | 5000 | 5000 | 2500 | 2500 | 78.1 | 5000 |
|  | C10 | 625 | 625 | 156 | 312 | 19.5 | 625 |
|  | C12 | 78.1 | 156 | 39 | 78.1 | 9.7 | 156 |
|  | C14 | 19.5 | 39 | 19.5 | 39 | 0.6 | 39 |
|  | C16 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 9.7 | - |
|  | C18 | 312 | 312 | 19.5 | 39 | 19.5 | - |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | C8 | 5000 | 5000 | 1250 | 2500 | 2500 | 5000 |
|  | C10 | 625 | 625 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 625 |
|  | C12 | 156 | 156 | 39 | 78.1 | 156 | 312 |
|  | C14 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 19.5 | 78.1 |
|  | C16 | 312 | 312 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 156 |
|  | C18 | 625 | 625 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 39 | - |
| Benzalkonium $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ |  | 78.1 | 78.1 | 39 | 39 | $\mathrm{NT}^{\text {a }}$ | NT |
| Ketonazole |  | 19.5 | 39 | 9.7 | 19.5 | NT | NT |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NT: not tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 - Values of chromatographic parameters $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ of QUATs at $0 \%$ acetonitrile.

| Parameter Compound | $k^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ |
| C8 | 9.19 | 10.81 | 10.14 | 0.093 | 0.127 | 0.107 |
| C10 | 18.56 | 21.33 | 18.96 | 0.099 | 0.102 | 0.089 |
| C12 | 42.08 | 46.92 | 41.10 | 0.088 | 0.085 | 0.067 |
| C14 | 94.91 | 107.42 | 59.93 | 0.068 | 0.061 | 0.055 |
| C16 | 111.09 | 96.01 | 84.51 | 0.056 | 0.035 | 0.023 |
| C18 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |

concentrations (MIC) and minimal germicidal concentrations (MBC or MFC) are reported in Tables 2 and 3. Benzalkonium chloride, ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole were used as reference drugs in the antibacterial and antifungal assays.

## Antibacterial activity

The values of the MIC/MBC against the microorganisms tested are reported in Table 2. The values show significant inhibition with all the C14 and C16 compounds against S. aureus, E. hirae and E. coli. Among them, C14-I ${ }^{-}$was found to be the most potent against S. aureus (MIC/MBC: $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), E. hirae (MIC/ MBC: $0.037 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and E. coli (MIC/MBC: $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), with an activity higher than the chloride and bromide analogues and than that of benzalkonium chloride and ciprofloxacin. Against M. smegmatis, the best results were obtained with $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$or C14-I- (MIC: $0.037 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

Against P. aeruginosa, all the C14 compounds, independently of the counter-ion, showed weak activity (MIC/MBC: $78.1 \mu \mathrm{M} / 156 \mu \mathrm{M})$; this insensitivity has already been reported (Gilbert and Moore, 2005).

## Antifungal activity

All the synthesized C14 QUATs showed weak inhibitory activity against A. niger, similarly to reference drugs (MIC: $19.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The optimum activity was observed with C14-Iwhich was the most potent against C. albicans (MIC/MFC: 0.3/ $1.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$, while $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$and $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$showed significant activity. T. interdigitale was the most sensitive to C 14 and $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{Br}^{-}$.

## Structure-activity relationships

## Lipophilic parameters

The evaluation of the lipophilicity in a series of derivatives is a fundamental step for the optimization of their design and
biological application. The classical "shake-flask" method is usually used for the experimental determination of lipophilicity (octanol-water partition coefficient, $\log P$ ). Liquid chromatography techniques (classical or reversed-phase TLC and HPLC) are employed as alternative methods to obtain the values of $R_{f}$, and of the capacity factor $k^{\prime}$, which are well correlated with the measured or calculated $\log P$ values (Hafkenscheid and Tomlinson, 1983). HPLC offers some advantages in respect to other techniques, in particular for the sensitivity of the analyses. The chromatographic retention or capacity factor $k^{\prime}$ is usually applied: $k^{\prime}=\left(R_{t}-R_{0}\right) / R_{0}$, where $R_{t}$ is the retention time of the analyte and $R_{0}$ is that of the unretained solvent.

An alternative isocratic parameter $R_{Q}$ has been proposed: $R_{\mathrm{Q}}=\log \left[\left(R_{\mathrm{t}}-R_{0}\right) / R_{\mathrm{t}}\right]$. This parameter of lipophilicity varies linearly with the composition of the mobile phase (Toon and Rowland, 1981).

In this study, the capacity factor $k^{\prime}$ and the lipophilic character $R_{Q}$ of the QUAT series was evaluated using isocratic reverse-phase HPLC analysis, in which the mobile phase consisted of water containing increasing amounts of acetonitrile.

For each series of QUATs, the lipophilic parameters $k^{\prime}$ and $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were compared with the minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) of the compounds to determine the relationship between lipophilicity and antimicrobial activity.

The values of the chromatographic parameters $k^{\prime}$ and $R_{Q}$ were calculated from the equations described above; values at $0 \%$ acetonitrile were obtained by extrapolation using the plots of $k^{\prime}$ and $R_{Q}$ vs acetonitrile concentration (v/v) (Table 4).

The results in Table 4 indicated that the $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ was more appropriated than $k^{\prime}$ to express the lipophilic character of the synthesized QUATs. A linear relationship was obtained between $R_{Q}$ values and the percentage of acetonitrile in the mobile phase, confirming the possibility of using such an



Fig. 1 - Plot of $k^{\prime}$ and $R_{Q}$ against concentrations of acetonitrile in the mobile phase (example for QUATs $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$).
experimental parameter for the characterization of the lipophilicity of these compounds (Fig. 1).

Relationships between $R_{Q}$ and antimicrobial activity of QUATs It is known that the lipophilicity of the molecules plays an essential role in the antimicrobial effects. This property is seen


Fig. 2 - Relationship between lipophilic properties (alkyl chain length) and antibacterial activity of QUATs against M. smegmatis.
as an important parameter related to membrane permeability in biological systems. Many of processes of drug bioavailability depend on the ability of the molecules to cross membranes and hence there is a high correlation with lipophilicity (Gilbert and Moore, 2005).

To investigate the effect of lipophilicity on antibacterial activity, we examined the correlation between antibacterial activity against the different microorganisms (except $P$. aeruginosa) and the lipophilic parameters $\left(R_{Q}\right)$ by using the multiple linear regression (MLR) method (using XLStatistics software, Rodney Carr 1997-2004). Values of $R_{Q}$ and the MIC of the QUATs were subjected to multiple regression analysis to produce equations of general form: $y=A x^{2}+B x+C$ (Table 5 and Supplementary data).

The $R_{Q}$ parameters were plotted against biological activity for the different series of compounds. A parabolic relationship was observed between n-alkyl chain length (i.e. lipophilicity) and antibacterial activity (Fig. 2).

According to the values obtained for the MIC against the tested strains (Table 2), the maximal activity was attained for the compounds with chain lengths of $n=14$ or 16 . The chromatographic $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ parameter of these compounds varied in the range of $0.05-0.06$ (Table 4). The results of correlation between antibacterial activity and the lipophilic parameter $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ led us to the $R_{\mathrm{Q} \text { calc }}$ optimal value for QUATS (Table 5). Chloride and iodide compounds were active against Gram+ and Gram - strains with the $R_{\mathrm{Q} \text { calc }}$ optimal equal to 0.03-0.04 for iodide derivatives and 0.04-0.06 for chloride derivatives. For bromide derivatives, the $R_{\mathrm{Q}}$ calc optimal was 0.035 for compounds active against Gram+ and 0.050 against Gramstrains.

Compounds with $n$-alkyl chain length of 8 were less active, which was similar for all the derivatives, independently from the nature of the counter-ion.

The mode of action of QUATS against bacterial cells is thought to involve a general perturbation of the lipid bilayer membranes of the bacteria, leading to a leakage of cytoplasmatic materials into the environment.

The relationship between the hydrophobicity of the bacterial cell surface and drug susceptibility to quaternary ammoniums QUATS has been reported previously (Gilbert and Moore, 2005). Generally, the chain lengths with optimal activity varied from organism to organism, reflecting differences in their cell-wall structures. Compounds with shorter chain lengths were more active against yeast and fungi, whereas gram negative organisms were the most susceptible to the most lipophilic compounds. This was probably a consequence of the lipophilic nature of the gram negative cell-wall and the difficulties often encountered by hydrophilic molecules in traversing it. However, in our studies we observed this phenomenon only for bromide QUATS.

Some species of bacteria, notably $P$. aeruginosa, are relatively insensitive to QUATS. The intrinsic resistance of this organism seems to be due to the lower level of permeability of the outer membrane, and to the efflux pumps which increased the efflux of antimicrobials (Nikaido, 1994).

Table 5 - Regression coefficients for the bilinear relationship ( $y=A x^{2}+B x+C$ ) between antimicrobial activity and the $R_{Q}$ lipophilic parameter of QUATs.

| Compounds | Microorganisms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S. aureus CIP 4.83 | E. hirae CIP 5855 | E. coli CIP 53126 | M. smegmatis CIP 7326 |
| QUATs $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q} \text { calc }}$ optimal | 0.059 | 0.042 | 0.060 | 0.059 |
| Function | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{Q}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(\log \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(R_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ |
| A | 0.0195 | 14.79 | 785.9 | 0.0196 |
| B | -0.6590 | 40.75 | -94.30 | -0.6651 |
| C | 5.35 | 28.05 | 3.50 | 5.69 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (4 pts) | 0.999 | 0.940 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| Sum of $\Delta\left(\right.$ MIC $_{\text {exp }}-$ MIC $\left._{\text {calc }}\right)$ | 0.021 | 0.739 | 0.008 | 0.017 |
| Quats $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q} \text { calc }}$ optimal | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.048 | 0.052 |
| Function | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(R_{Q}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{Q}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{\bigcirc}\right)$ |
| A | 295 | 327 | 0.01 | 0.0284 |
| B | -22.36 | -21.17 | -0.35 | -1.09 |
| C | 0.5385 | 0.7947 | 4.46 | 8.96 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (5 pts) | 0.995 | 0.960 | 0.958 | 0.969 |
| Sum of $\Delta\left(\right.$ MIC $_{\text {exp }}-$ MIC $\left._{\text {calc }}\right)$ | 0.263 | 0.881 | 0.339 | 0.794 |
| Quats I ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q} \text { calc }}$ optimal | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.034 |
| Function | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{\odot}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{\bigcirc}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(\log \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ | $\log$ MIC $=f\left(1 / R_{\bigcirc}\right)$ |
| A | 0.01 | 0.0161 | 900 | 0.0133 |
| B | -0.81 | -0.9469 | 2517 | -0.7785 |
| C | 8.78 | 10.97 | 1744 | 8.33 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (5 pts) | 0.809 | 0.921 | 0.974 | 0.999 |
| Sum of $\Delta$ ( MIC $_{\text {exp }}-$ MIC $\left._{\text {calc }}\right)$ | 2.293 | 1.690 | 33.5 | 0.147 |

## Conclusion

A total of 18 quaternary ammonium compounds were synthesized and tested for their antimicrobial activities in vitro.

The biological activity depended on the type of microorganism tested. These compounds had low antifungal activity but several of them (particularly C14-I- ) may be considered as highly potential antibacterial agents against S. aureus, E. hirae and $E$. coli, when compared with the commercially available benzalkonium chloride which is a mixture of compounds with different chain lengths.

Evaluation of the physico-chemical properties of the QUATS on antimicrobial activity, showed that the relationships between experimental antibacterial activity and the $R_{Q}$ lipophilic parameter were parabolic functions and maximized with $n$-alkyl chain lengths of between $n=14$ and 16 . Moreover, the presence of iodide, the most lipophilic of halides, as counter-ion enhanced the antimicrobial activity against the microorganisms tested.
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