02002

Morpoc

1979

PICKLING CUCUMBER CULTIVAR EVALUATION TRIALS

Dale W. Kretchman and Mark A. Jameson

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE

OHIO AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
U.S. 250 and Ohio 83 South
Wooster, Ohio 44691

CL90 P180 This page intentionally blank.

PICKLING CUCUMBER CULTIVAR EVALUATION TRIALS - 1979

Dale W. Kretchman and Mark A. Jameson Department of Horticulture Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center

The pickling cucumber cultivar evaluation trials were conducted at the OARDC Vegetable Crops Branch near Fremont. Seventeen cultivars or lines were evaluated in the replicated trial and 7 lines were evaluated in the non-replicated observational trial.

Cultural Information

The soil is classed as a sandy loam. A broadcast application of 10-20-20 at 1000 lb/A was made and incorporated prior to planting. The plants were seeded on June 11 using a Stan-Hay seeder which seeds 4 to 5 seed per ft. of row. Plants were thinned to 3 single plants per foot of row on July 3. Rows were 30 ft. long on 30-in. centers. Cultivars were replicated 4 times in the replicated trial. Vegiben 2E at 2 lb/A was applied broadcast immediately after planting. One active hive of honey bees was placed in the plot area when the plants started to bloom. All other cultural practices during the growing season were according to standard recommendations. Weed control was excellent and no serious problems with insects or diseases developed during the season.

The plots were harvested by hand and the cucumbers were graded and sized using a commercial sizer. Fruits were classed into the following sizes and values placed on each size according to the following values:

	Size	\$	Ton*
		PCIC	Ohio
	Less than 1 1/6 in.	120	240
2.	$1 \frac{1}{6}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ in.	60	120
3.	1 1/2 to 2 in.	40	60
4.	2 to 2 1/4 in.	20	10

^{*} PCIC values established by the Pickling Cucumber Improvement Committee of Pickle Packers International. Ohio values based upon estimated average prices in 1973-77 period.

Time and labor limitations prevented harvesting each cultivar or line when it had reached optimum maturity for maximum returns (a few over-sized fruits in each plot). Therefore, the first harvest was made when a few over-sized fruits were present in the total plot area. This undoubtedly influenced the first harvest yields and values, but it was felt that data from subsequent harvests would compensate for the lack of correct timing of the first harvest. Harvest started on July 30 and continued through August 13.

Growing conditions were generally good throughout the season. Rainfall from planting on June 11 to the end of June was 2.54 in.; July rainfall was 3.86 in.; rainfall to August 13 was 1.59 in.

Duplicate samples of fruit from size 3 of the first harvest were placed in a commercial brine tank with the cooperation of the H.J. Heinz Co., Fremont, Ohio. The samples were removed on November 1 and evaluated for percent recovery and firmness, as measured with a Magness-Taylor Pressure Tester fitted with a 5/16 in. tip. Recovery was based upon an estimate of the area of the fruit devoid of gas pockets or other separations and would give high quality slices when sliced.

The author wishes to express appreciation to the seed companies and others who provided the seed for the trials. These included Joseph Harris Co., Inc., Rochester, NY; Northrup, King and Co., Minneapolis, MN; Asgrow Seed Co., Kalamazoo, MI; Ferry-Morse Seed Co., Mountain View, CA; Niagara Div. FMC Crop., El Macero, CA; Petoseed Co., Inc., Saticoy, CA; Department of Horticulture, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI; Keystone Seed Co., Holister, CA; Agr. Canada, Harrow, Ontario; Dessert Seed Co., Brooks, OR.

TABLE 1.--First Harvest Yield from Replicated Trial of Pickling Cucumber Cultivars - 1979.

		Lot			To	ons/A			
Cultivar	Source	No. Size	= 1	2	3	4	Total	Culls	_
NCX-5011	Niagara	1284	.19	.60	1.41	.50	2.70	.32	
Lucky Strike	Peto Seed	3591000	-	1.10	2.82	.99	5.22	.57	
Peto Triplemech	Peto Seed	106-1000		1.35	3.16	.78	5.61	.62	
Multipik	Peto Seed	3761002	.23	.99	3.17	.20	4.59	.69	
Harrow 77.01	Agr.Canada			1.30	2.25	.23	4.38	.41	
MSU 79	M.S.U.	7036-41	.22	. 97	3.02	.32	4.53	.79	
Spiffy	Keystone		.42	1.22	2.90	.99	5.53	.41	
NCX-5014	Niagara	762	.23	1.27	4.30	.74	6.54	.64	
C589	Harris	PW48	.41	1.41	2.46	.54	4.82	.27	
FX-4153	Ferry-Morse	7822405	.38	1.19	2.85	.20	4.62	.32	
EXP-824	Northrup King	38031-76800	.36	1.47	3.03	.51	5.37	.51	\
Pioneer	Northrup King	37559-75700	.48	1.35	3.40	.51	5.74	.53	/
XPH-1225	Asgrow	VGL-8048	.35	1.25	2.46	.00	4.06	.21	
XPH-1191	Asgrow	VGL-8049	.36	1.09	3.27	1.19	5.91	.69	
Premier	Asgrow	97547	.37	1.06	2.39	.63	4.45	.33	
Pioneer	Dessert	B6-20P	. 25	.68	1.12	.20	2.25	.24	
Carolina	Ferry-Morse	10920-13811	.23	1.06	2.03	.61	3.93	.77	
		LSD .05 =	.20	.44	.82	.27	1.24	.24	

TABLE 2.--Yield from Replicated Trial of Pickling Cucumber Cultivars - 1979

		Y.i.	eld at 7	Harvest	Dates -	Tons/A		
Cultivar	7/30	8/1	8/3	8/6	8/8	8/10	8/13	Total
NCX-5011	2.70	.41	.65	1.78	1.02	.84	.88	8.28
Lucky Strike	5.22	.56	1.54	2.37	2.02	1.60	1.06	14.37
Peto Triplemech	5.61	.38	1.90	2.87	1.65	1.62	1.51	15.54
Multipik	4.59	.41	1.62	2.40	1.28	1.35	1.07	12.72
Harrow 77.01	4.38	.91	2.08	2.42	2.60	1.59	1.35	15.33
MSU 79	4.53	.59	1.31	2.43	1.22	1.28	•90	12.26
Spiffy	5.53	.37	1.66	2.40	1.53	1.17	1.29	13.95
NCX-5014	6.54	.37	1.74	2.42	1.95	1.36	1.16	15.54
C 589	4.82	.44	1.78	2.28	1.47	1.41	.79	12.99
FX-4153	4.62	.73	1.53	2.77	1.42	1.50	.97	13.54
EXP-824	5.37	.46	1.67	2.26	2.01	1.09	1.25	14.11
Pioneer	5.74	.47	1.98	2.43	1.36	1.47	.97	14.42
XPH-1225	4.06	.51	1.61	2.47	2.24	1.38	1.28	13.55
XPH-1191	5.91	.66	1.82	2.54	1.47	1.16	1.19	14.75
Premier	4.45	.48	1.62	2.49	1.35	1.44	1.15	12.98
Pioneer	2.25	.63	1.08	1.68	1.13	.85	.86	8.48
Carolina	3.93	.36	1.52	2.59	1.62	1.40	1.00	12.24

TABLE 3.--Values of Harvested Cucumbers from Replicated Trial Based on PCIC Values - 1979

			Valu	e of 7	Harvest	Dates ·	- \$/A	
Cultivar	7/30	8/1	8/3	8/6	8/8	8/10	8/13	Tota]
			_					
NCX-5011	125	33	50	117	70	61	63	519
Lucky Strike	235	45	123	161	130	106	81	881
Peto Triplemech	260	31	144	205	107	115	103	965
Multipik	218	40	129	173	91	89	83	823
Harrow 77.01	245	67	153	162	160	101	98	986
MSU 79	212	37	97	155	86	91	69	747
Spiffy	259	30	119	154	102	84	80	828
NCX-5014	291	30	139	172	118	88	79	917
C589	242	38	138	157	100	99	61	835
FX-4153	234	57	119	186	90	118	78	882
EXP-824	263	36	127	163	129	85	91	894
Pioneer	285	37	135	167	87	89	67	867
XPH-1225	216	36	126	155	144	97	96	870
XPH-1191	263	49	147	178	111	87	89	924
Premier	216	38	129	161	95	105	81	825
Pioneer	119	51	80	122	71	65	59	567
Carolina	184	28	117	1.70	108	103	79	789
LSD .05 =				6.	0			157

TABLE 4.--Values of Harvested Cucumbers from Replicated Trial Based on Estimated Ohio Values - 1979

7/30	8/1	8/3	8/6	8/8	8/10	8/13	materi
					0/.10	0/13	Total
0.7.5	<i>c</i>	20	004	3.07	101		004
217	65	98	224	137	121	122	984
						160	1666
459	62	287	403	206	227	201	1845
370	81	256	341	181	173	164	1566
458	132	303	315	307	194	194	1903
381	68	194	301	167	180	135	1426
450	60	237	294	200	163	153	1047
509	58	279	338	226	169	155	1734
440	7 5	273	306	195	192	120	1601
433	113	238	360	168	231	154	1697
47 5	68	251	318	253	169	176	1710
516	74	269	324	168	170	1.31	1652
408	70	250	302	280	188	190	1688
446	94	293	352	220	171	176	1752
383	75	257	311	185	208	157	1576
220	98	160	238	138	130	116	1100
323	54	232	330	211	203	156	1509
	403 459 370 458 381 450 509 440 433 475 516 408 446 383 220	403 87 459 62 370 81 458 132 381 68 450 60 509 58 440 75 433 113 475 68 516 74 408 70 446 94 383 75 220 98	403 87 244 459 62 287 370 81 256 458 132 303 381 68 194 450 60 237 509 58 279 440 75 273 433 113 238 475 68 251 516 74 269 408 70 250 446 94 293 383 75 257 220 98 160	403 87 244 316 459 62 287 403 370 81 256 341 458 132 303 315 381 68 194 301 450 60 237 294 509 58 279 338 440 75 273 306 433 113 238 360 475 68 251 318 516 74 269 324 408 70 250 302 446 94 293 352 383 75 257 311 220 98 160 238	403 87 244 316 252 459 62 287 403 206 370 81 256 341 181 458 132 303 315 307 381 68 194 301 167 450 60 237 294 200 509 58 279 338 226 440 75 273 306 195 433 113 238 360 168 475 68 251 318 253 516 74 269 324 168 408 70 250 302 280 446 94 293 352 220 383 75 257 311 185 220 98 160 238 138	403 87 244 316 252 204 459 62 287 403 206 227 370 81 256 341 181 173 458 132 303 315 307 194 381 68 194 301 167 180 450 60 237 294 200 163 509 58 279 338 226 169 440 75 273 306 195 192 433 113 238 360 168 231 475 68 251 318 253 169 516 74 269 324 168 170 408 70 250 302 280 188 446 94 293 352 220 171 383 75 257 311 185 208 220 98 160 238 138 130	403 87 244 316 252 204 160 459 62 287 403 206 227 201 370 81 256 341 181 173 164 458 132 303 315 307 194 194 381 68 194 301 167 180 135 450 60 237 294 200 163 153 509 58 279 338 226 169 155 440 75 273 306 195 192 120 433 113 238 360 168 231 154 475 68 251 318 253 169 176 516 74 269 324 168 170 131 408 70 250 302 280 188 190 446 94 293 352 220 171 176 383 75 257

TABLE 5.--First Harvest Yield from Observation Trial of Pickling Cucumbers - 1979

		Lot		Total T/A		
Line	Source	No. Size	= 1 2	3 4	Total	Culls
NCX 5013	Niagara	1289	.02 .42	1.28 1.28	3.00	.19
XPH 1225	Asgrow	VGL 8048	.11 2.31	4.71	7.13	.94
PSX 12876	Petoseed		.33 1.39	3.52 .89	6.13	.61
PSX 3774	Petoseed	3801001	.14 1.45	4.35 1.17	7.11	.61
12AC2	Harris	PW-1508	.11 .05		.16	.08
MC89	Harris	PW-7097	.36 1.39	1.25 .14	3.15	.11
Pickmaster	Northrup King	37616-73800	.17 .45	1.03 .78	2.43	.11

TABLE 6.--Yield from Observation Trial of Pickling Cucumbers - 1979

			Yield o	of 7 Har	rvest Da	tes To	ns/A	
Line	7/30	8/1	8/3	8/6	8/8	8/10	8/13	Total
N CX 5013	3.00	.16	.27	1.53	.56	.86	.80	7.18
XPH 1225	7.13	.36	.56	3.40	1.87	1.58	2.01	16.91
PSX 12876	6.13	.14	1.70	3.60	.83	2.01	1.84	16.25
PSX 3774	7.11	.61	1.43	2.88	1.84	1.62	2.09	17.58
12AC2	.16	.16	.58	1.59	.89	1.08	.78	5.24
MC89	3.15	.25	2.26	1.64	.81	1.55	.87	10.53
Pickmaster	2.43	.22	.75	1.51	1.09	.58	1.00	7.58

TABLE 7.-- Value of Harvested Cucumbers from Observation Trial Based on PCIC Values - 1979

	Value of 7 Harvest Dates - \$/A									
Line	7/30	8/1	8/3	8/6	8/8	8/10	8/13	Total		
NCX 5013	104	14	19	95	42	71	52	397		
XPH 1225	340	38	67	265	132	113	137	1092		
PSX 12876	282	15	153	192	70	132	120	964		
PSX 3774	301	39	121	187	114	110	148	1020		
1.2AC2	17	14	58	109	73	88	72	431		
MC89	179	20	198	91	62	111	76	737		
Pickmaster	113	18	67	100	78	48	82	506		

TABLE 8.--Additional Observations on Plant Type and Fruit Characteristics*

,		Ρ.	lant			Fruit		
			Gen.	Pot.			Gen.	Spine
Cultivar	Vigor	PF	Appear.	Yield	 L/D	Color	Appear.	Color
NCX-5001	4.0	1.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	WS
Lucky Strike	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	4.0	Ws
Peto Triplemech	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.8	2.0	4.0	2.0	WS
Multipik	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.8	3.0	4.0	3.0	WS
Harrow 77.01	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	WS
MSU 79	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	WS
Spiffy	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	BS
NCX-5014	4.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	WS
C589	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	WS
FX-4153	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	2.0	4.0	3.0	WS
EXP-824	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	WS
Pioneer	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	3.0	3.0	4.0	BS
XPH-1225	4.0	4.8	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	WS
XPH-1191	4.0	2.5	4.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	WS
Premier	4.0	2.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	3.0	5.0	WS
Pioneer	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	2.0	3.0	BS
Carolina	4.0	2.5	4.0	3.5	5.0	3.0	4.0	WS
NCX-5013	4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0				
XPH-1225	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0				
PSX-12876	4.5	2.5	4.5	4.0				
MC89	3.5	4.5	4.0	3.5				

* Subjective Ratings: Vigor: 1 = Very low vigor; 5 = Highly vigorous

General Appearance: 1 = Very poor, weak plants; 5 = vigorous plants with 3 or more fruits set per plant.
Potential Yield: 1 = low yield potential; 5 = high yield
 potential

L/D: Length/Diameter ratio

Color: 1 = very light green color; 5 = very dark green
General Appearance: 1 = very poor; 5 = excellent appearance; uniform color, moderately warty, blocky
Spine Color: WS = White Spine; BS = Black Spine

TABLE 9.--Evaluation of Brine-Stock Samples from Size 3 of Replicated Trial - 1979

	Recovery*	Firmness
Cultivar	(%)	(PSI)
NCX 5001	97.50	22.80
Lucky Strike	95.00	22. 95
Peto Triplemech	85.00	23.02
Multipik	93.12	20.50
Harrow 77.01	97. 50	20.87
MSU 79	98.7 5	19.65
Spiffy	91.87	22.37
NCX 5014	96.25	20.97
C 589	90.62	22.42
FX 4153	95.62	21.10
EXP 824	96.25	23.60
Pioneer	100.00	21.37
XPH 1225	89.37	18.95
ХРН 1191	91.87	21.75
Premier	93.75	23.55
Pioneer	98.75	22.05
Carolina	94.37	23.37

^{*} Recovery is based upon an estimate of the area of the fruit which would be devoid of gas pockets due either to carpel separation, balloon, lens or honeycomb bloating and would make usable slices when sliced.

All publications of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center are available to all on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or religious affiliation.

This page intentionally blank.

This page intentionally blank.

This page intentionally blank.