

A SURVEY OF THE IMPACT OF THE INTERNATIONAL FARM YOUTH EXCHANGE
PROGRAM AT THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

By

Wade H. Andrews
and
Walter W. Miller

Department Mimeograph Bulletin in
Rural Sociology

Department of Agricultural Economics and
Rural Sociology

The Ohio State University

Conducted in Cooperation with the
National 4-H Club Foundation
May 1956

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.....	1
Purpose.....	2
Scope.....	2
Methods Used.....	2
RETURNED DELEGATES.....	4
CAMPUS APPEARANCES.....	5
Attendance.....	7
FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS.....	8
AUDIENCE COMPOSITION.....	9
AWARENESS - ATTITUDES - RETENTION.....	10
Awareness.....	10
Impact on International Relations.....	14
Retention and Time.....	15
Specific Retention.....	17
Attitude Toward the Speaker.....	18
Voluntary Comments.....	18
Type of Presentation Used.....	19
CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAD NOT HEARD A DELEGATE SPEAKER.....	19
THE CAMPUS IFYE COMMITTEE.....	21
SUMMARY.....	23
APPENDIX I - Questionnaire.....	26
APPENDIX II - List of Voluntary Comments.....	28

A SURVEY OF THE IMPACT OF THE INTERNATIONAL FARM YOUTH EXCHANGE
PROGRAM AT THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

By
Wade H. Andrews* and Walter W. Miller**

INTRODUCTION

This project is a survey of the impact of the International Farm Youth Exchange Program on the Ohio State University community. This study arose out of recognition of the need for an initial analysis of IFYE-university relationships by persons attached to the National 4-H Club Foundation and at The Ohio State University. Since many of Ohio's delegates re-enroll at Ohio State after their IFYE experience, it was felt that this university offered a suitable situation in which to carry out this project. In addition the staff at Ohio State had carried out some previous research on the IFYE program in Ohio.

The IFYE program is aimed at developing international understanding and is conducted by the Cooperative Extension Service and the National 4-H Club Foundation. It is based on the idea that sending young rural Americans to other nations and bringing young farm people from other nations to America would bring a better understanding between rural people around the world.

-
- * Assistant Professor of Rural Sociology at The Ohio State University and The Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.
** Research Assistant in Rural Sociology at The Ohio State University and a former Ohio IFYE delegate to Pakistan.

Acknowledgments - Acknowledgment is extended by the authors to the following who have contributed in an important way to the work: Dr. Mervin G. Smith, Chairman of the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, The Ohio State University; Mr. Warren E. Schmidt of the National 4-H Club Foundation; Assistant Dean John T. Mount of the College of Agriculture; and Miss Beatrice Cleveland of the 4-H Club Department of The Ohio Agricultural Extension Service. Funds for the project were made available by The Ohio State University and the National 4-H Club Foundation.

Purpose

The primary purpose of this project was to study the impact of the IFYE program on the university community. Specific objectives include a study of factors relating to the following:

- 1) The Ohio delegates who continue in college after their foreign experience.
- 2) The university groups that have heard a delegate speak.
- 3) The university groups contributing financial support to IFYE.
- 4) The types and composition of campus audiences.
- 5) The awareness, retention and attitudes of campus organization members.
- 6) The degree of acquaintance and interest of the campus organizations not having heard a delegate speak.
- 7) The origin, structure and function of the campus IFYE committee and its relationship to campus organizations.

Scope

This project was limited to a study of university students in organized groups and an analysis of activities of returned student delegates. The research phase of this study was limited to one academic quarter between January and March 1956. The study, however, included all organizations that had sponsored a talk by an IFYE delegate over the years 1953 to 1955 inclusive.

Methods Used

The initial procedure was primarily one of reference to existing recorded data. Returned delegates made individual written reports. These reports served as a source of information and included the name of the group spoken to, the time and place of each appearance and the approximate number in attendance.

The list of campus groups that had sponsored IFYE speakers provided the second means for measurement. These same groups were contacted and permission

obtained to distribute a short questionnaire to those present in a meeting during the period of this research. Sixty-four percent of the groups were reached.

All persons present were requested to fill in the questionnaire unless they had done so in another group. The questionnaire which appears in Appendix I, included questions about the respondent's background, awareness of the IFYE program, learning as related to the national culture discussed, retention of knowledge and attitudes about the program.

A third phase of the study was a survey of campus organizations that had not sponsored a delegate speaker. This was done by taking a random sample of every sixth organization from a list of all student groups recognized by the university. A total of 31 groups were contacted. The survey was made by telephone with the president or vice president and included questions about awareness, knowledge and interest.

A fourth phase included the use of college office records which were referred to for information concerning IFYE delegates who re-enrolled in the university after completing their overseas experience. Faculty advisers of these returned delegates were also contacted for information.

Some additional data regarding contributions to IFYE by various campus groups were obtained from IFYE contributions record books in the Agriculture College Office.

"Delegate" or "IFYE" as used in this report, refers to the young people from Ohio and The Ohio State University who were sent to foreign countries for several months to participate and live with farm people of another nationality and culture.

Reference to the College of Agriculture also includes the School of Home Economics.

RETURNED DELEGATES

In measuring the impact of the IFYE program on the Ohio State campus it is significant to know the number of Ohio delegates who returned to the university after their foreign experience. From the beginning of the IFYE program in Ohio in 1950 to 1955, 36 Ohio delegates have participated. Table I shows that of those 36, twenty-eight have been students at Ohio State prior to their IFYE experience and 17 have continued study after returning from abroad. These 17, at the time of this report, have included two graduate students and 15 undergraduates who either have completed or are completing their fourth and final year.

TABLE I

ALL OHIO IFYE DELEGATES AND DELEGATES RE-ENROLLED AT OHIO STATE
AFTER THE IFYE EXPERIENCE, BY SEX AND YEAR,
1950-1955

	1950		1951		1952		1953		1954		1955		Total		Grand Total
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Ohio IFYE Delegates	1	1	3	2	4	3	4	4	5	2	4	3	21	15	36
Delegates Attending Ohio State Prior to IFYE	1	1	2	2	4	2	4	3	4	0	3	2	18	10	28
Delegates Re-enrolled at Ohio State	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	2	0	1	1	9	8	17

After returning, most delegates continued in their major field of study usually having only a few requirements left to complete a degree. During the period of orientation and preparation prior to going abroad, it was found that nine students scheduled foreign languages, Rural Sociology, or International Studies courses.

There were some significant changes and additions made by delegates upon returning. These changes included such things as a delegate to a Spanish speaking country taking two additional courses in Spanish, and a delegate to Austria taking a course in the Russian language during his remaining senior year. Another delegate, after his IFYE experience in Asia, finished his undergraduate major in Agricultural Engineering and entered graduate school in Rural Sociology with an ultimate objective of foreign agricultural service. Of those students having electives remaining after returning from overseas, courses chosen were Rural Sociology, Sociology, Geography, Political Science, General Studies, History, Anthropology, and the foreign languages mentioned in the preceding paragraph.

Delegates who returned to Ohio State after their IFYE experience were all enrolled in the College of Agriculture or the School of Home Economics with the exception of one girl majoring in elementary education. Other undergraduate major areas of study of the returned delegates include Animal Science, 2; Agricultural Economics, 2; Agricultural Education, 1; Dairy Science, 2; Horticulture, 1; Agricultural Engineering, 1; and Home Economics, 7.

CAMPUS APPEARANCES

No record of campus appearances by returned delegates was available for the 1950-52 period; however, from 1953 to 1956 accurate data was available in the form of written delegate reports.

From 1953 to March 1956, a total of 33 different campus groups sponsored one or more returned IFYE delegate speakers. Of the 33 groups, four were campus classes and 29 were campus organizations. As seen in Table II over twice as many organizations and groups hearing IFYE's were in the College of Agriculture and School of Home Economics than in other colleges of

the University. In comparing the number of groups and talks given it was found that in most cases the number of talks exceeded the number of groups since some groups heard more than one delegate.

TABLE II

CAMPUS LECTURES BY IFYE DELEGATES FOR AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE* GROUPS AND NON-AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE GROUPS

A - Number of Groups

No. of Delegates on Campus	Calendar Year	Agricultural College		Non-Agricultural College		Total	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
4	1953	7	58	5	42	12	100
5	1954	16	80	4	20	20	100
2	1955	8	57	6	43	14	100
Total		31	67	15	33	46	100

B - Number of Talks

	Calendar Year	Agricultural College		Non-Agricultural College		Total	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
4	1953	11	65	6	35	17	100
5	1954	23	85	4	15	27	100
2	1955	10	56	8	44	18	100
Total		44	71	18	29	62	100

* Includes School of Home Economics

Lectures given by delegates outside the Agriculture College totaled six in 1953, dropping to four in 1954 and rising in 1955 to a high of eight. The higher number in 1955 is worthy of note because that year shows a large increase in the number of talks, per delegate, over both preceding years. That is, with only two delegates enrolled there were more talks given than for

five delegates the previous year. The increase in non-agricultural groups may be an indication of growing interest outside of the agricultural college. It may be added also, that the majority of the organizations that had heard two or more delegates were agriculture organizations and were also important financial contributors.

Attendance

The estimated total attendance in the 33 different groups and 62 meetings recorded was 2,687. Attendance at agriculture college organization meetings averaged 45.4 persons while for non-agricultural groups the average was 38.0. This difference was probably primarily because of larger membership in the agricultural groups. Attendance at meetings where delegates spoke was reported as being higher than average meeting attendance; however, there was no exact way of ascertaining this.

TABLE III
TALKS PER DELEGATE TO AGRICULTURE AND NON-AGRI-
CULTURE GROUPS, 1953-1955

Year	Agriculture	Non-Agriculture	Total
1953	2.8	1.5	4.3
1954	4.6	0.8	5.4
1955	5.0	4.0	9.0
Average	4.1	3.1	6.2

Table III shows a definite increase in talks per delegate to groups both in and out of the agriculture college, the most significant increase being with the non-agricultural groups. The average number of talks in-

creased from 4.3 in 1953 to 5.4 in 1954 and jumped to 9.0 in 1955. This reveals a three year trend of growing awareness and interest in the IFYE program as far as the university community is concerned.

FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS

Several campus organizations have made financial contributions to the IFYE program. During the 1950-55 period, 21 different campus groups contributed at least once. Of these groups, 18 were agriculture organizations, three having contributed for six consecutive years. A large part of the contributions made, totaling \$2,790, has been contributed by five of the agricultural organizations. These five have been active in the IFYE program since its beginning in Ohio: the University 4-H Club, the University Grange, Townshend Agricultural Education Society, The Ohio State IFYE Committee, and Alpha Gamma Sigma.

TABLE IV

CONTRIBUTIONS TO IFYE BY CAMPUS GROUPS BY NUMBER OF GROUPS AND AMOUNT PER MAJOR GROUP, 1950-1955

Year	Agricultural College Groups		Non-Agricultural College Groups		Total	
	Amt.	No. Groups	Amt.	No. Groups	Amt.	No. Groups
1950	\$721	10	\$ 0	0	\$721	10
1951	549	7	0	0	549	7
1952	294	5	0	0	294	5
1953	460	6	0	0	460	6
1954	809	10	5	1	814	11
1955	562	14	15	3	578	17
Total	\$3395	52	\$20	4	\$3416	56

As shown in Table IV contributions were high during the 1950-51 period, the first year returned delegates were on campus, with ten different agricultural groups contributing. The highest total annual receipts of \$814 in 1954 reflects to some extent the larger number of 1953 delegates re-enrolled in the university. The general trend from 1950 to 1955 has been a larger total number of campus groups contributing annually; however, the size of individual group contributions has tended to become smaller.

Until the last two years, 1954 and 1955, no non-agricultural college groups had contributed. Since 1954 three different groups have contributed a total of four times and even though the amount contributed was small, this type of response gives some further indication of a growing interest on the part of non-agricultural groups.

AUDIENCE COMPOSITION

The majority of the audiences hearing delegate speakers have been composed of the agricultural student body and faculty. Within the 20 different agricultural groups hearing IFYE's since 1953 only four have been audiences with approximately an equal distribution of both sexes. Of the remaining 16 groups, four were limited to females and twelve were male organizations. Most of these groups were academic major organizations (Home Economics Club, Horticultural Society, etc.). All of these groups with the exception of two academic classroom audiences included a program section in their meetings and utilized IFYE delegates as program speakers.

Thirteen non-agricultural groups have heard delegates speak since 1953. These were composed of six mixed groups including one academic course. The other seven groups included six female and only one male group (the latter one was an Air Force ROTC class). This would seem to indicate a greater in-

terest among female non-agricultural organizations than among the male groups, however, it should be noted that a greater number of female delegates have been associated with non-agricultural groups thus possibly stimulating more interest outside the agricultural college.

AWARENESS - ATTITUDES - RETENTION

Questionnaires relating to awareness or acquaintance with IFYE, attitudes toward the program and retention of information by organization members were distributed to 64 percent or 18 of the 33 organizations having heard delegate speakers. Of the groups contacted, 13 were agricultural groups and five were outside of the College of Agriculture. Of the 13 agricultural organizations contacted, two were mixed groups, nine had males only and two had females only. Of the five non-agricultural groups, four were mixed and one was made up of women only. There were 355 respondents, 318 of whom reported some acquaintance with the IFYE program. The following discussion presents an analysis of the results. The questionnaire appears in Appendix I.

Awareness

There were 318 respondents that reported having heard of the IFYE program. Of this number, 165 were freshmen and sophomores and 81 were juniors and seniors, in the agricultural college. Of the non-agricultural students who had heard, 45 were lower classmen and 27 were in the upper two classes. This pattern of reduction in numbers at the higher class ranks is to be expected since this follows the general pattern of the student body as a whole. However, it gives some indication as to the rapid turnover of those students that have been exposed to IFYE contacts in the university. The following tables are divided according to upper and lower classes to show the effect of the time dimension.

Two points stand out in regard to turnover. First of all, without a continuous renewing of the sources of information in the university the impact of the program would fade very rapidly. On the other hand, the university acts as a kind of funnel through which students pass and are exposed to the program as they go on their way toward leadership in their local communities.

As to the extent of awareness of the respondents, only 2.8 percent of those responding in the agricultural organizations had not heard of the IFYE program while 38.0 percent of those in the non-agricultural groups lacked awareness.

Table V shows that in a majority of cases initial acquaintance with the IFYE program for agriculture students with a rural background occurred some place other than on the campus; that is, they first heard of the program in their home communities. Students with an urban background either agricultural or non-agricultural reported that their first knowledge of IFYE came on campus. This result indicates that there is little known about the IFYE program in urban areas. Of the small number of agricultural students responding who had urban backgrounds, 91 percent said that their first awareness of IFYE was on campus; however, of the agricultural students with rural backgrounds, 54 percent had knowledge of IFYE prior to enrolling at Ohio State.

The major off-campus media by which the agricultural students first heard of IFYE included local 4-H clubs, churches and newspapers. Major on-campus groups were university 4-H clubs, agricultural fraternities and clubs related to academic majors.

Table V also shows the place of initial acquaintance of the respondents in non-agricultural organizations. The large majority of the non-agricultural students were of urban background and 95 percent of these people indicated that their first awareness of IFYE occurred on campus. Of the few non-agri-

cultural students from rural backgrounds 34 percent had heard of IFYE prior to coming to Ohio State.

TABLE V

PLACE OF INITIAL ACQUAINTANCE OF 318 OHIO STATE STUDENTS THAT HAD HEARD OF IFYE IN THE AGRICULTURE COLLEGE AND NON-AGRICULTURE COLLEGES BY CLASS RANK AND BACKGROUND

Agriculture College Students	Freshmen and Sophomores		Juniors and Seniors		Total			
	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural		Urban	
					No.	%	No.	%
On-Campus*	58	11	48	5	100	46	19	91
Off-Campus	91	2	28	0	119	54	2	9
Total	165		81		219	100	21	100
Non-Agriculture Students								
On-Campus	0	37	11	15	15	66	52	95
Off-Campus	8	0	0	1	8	34	3	5
Total	45		27		23	100	55	100

* This includes fraternities and organizations that are part of the campus life whether actually on the university premises or not.

The major off-campus media by which non-agricultural students first heard of IFYE include newspaper, radio and talks by delegate speakers. Most mentioned on-campus media were student newspaper, exhibits and delegate speakers at organization meetings.

Table VI reveals the distribution of students according to two specific factors, those that had heard delegates speak on campus and those reporting they had no acquaintance with the organization. The number "not acquainted" is not intended to be representative of the campus, it refers only to

those present in the organizations before which IFYE's had at one time or another given a lecture. This group would be expected to be small.

A very small number in the agricultural groups were not acquainted with the program. Among the non-agricultural groups, however, 30 persons reported non-acquaintance which emphasizes differences in awareness. The large majority of these not aware were in the lower academic ranks and were from urban areas.

Of the 142 respondents in agricultural groups that reported having heard a delegate lecture on campus almost all of them were rural and a majority of them were lower classmen. On the other hand in the non-agricultural groups of those that had heard of the program the greatest number were of urban background and most were also lower classmen. Among the freshmen and sophomores in the non-agricultural groups about as many reported no previous acquaintance as reported that they had heard a delegate.

TABLE VI

RESIDENCE AND CLASS DIFFERENCES BETWEEN AGRICULTURE COLLEGE GROUPS AND NON-AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE GROUPS FOR THOSE THAT HAD HEARD A DELEGATE SPEAK ON CAMPUS AND THOSE THAT HAD NO ACQUAINTANCE WITH THE PROGRAM

Respondents That Had Heard a Dele- gate on Campus	Freshmen and Sophomores		Juniors and Seniors		Total
	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	
Agricultural Groups	82	6	53	1	142
Non-Agricultural Groups	6	22	3	13	44
Not Acquainted With IFYE					
Agricultural Groups	5	1	1	0	7
Non-Agricultural Groups	5	22	1	2	30

Impact on International Relations

Responses relating to the effect of the IFYE program on international relations and the respondents' attitude toward the country discussed were analyzed for all students who either had their initial contact with IFYE on campus or who had heard a delegate speaker on campus. Both of these factors are campus oriented. Tables VII and VIII show the results for both agricultural and non-agricultural groups.

An overwhelming majority were favorable in their attitudes about the IFYE program. In all but six cases respondents in agricultural organizations said they thought that relations were improved as a result of IFYE.

With only one exception all respondents in non-agricultural organizations said that IFYE improved relations.

TABLE VII

EFFECT OF THE IFYE PROGRAM ON INTERNATIONAL REIATIONS BY STUDENTS
IN AGRICULTURE AND NON-AGRICULTURE COLLEGES BY CLASS RANK
AND BACKGROUND*

Agriculture College	Freshmen and Sophomores		Juniors and Seniors		Total
	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	
Improves	98	18	66	5	187
Harms	0	0	0	0	0
No Effect	0	0	2	0	2
Don't Know	0	2	0	2	4

Non- Agriculture	Freshmen and Sophomores		Juniors and Seniors		Total
	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	
Improves	8	38	10	18	74
Harms	0	0	0	0	0
No Effect	0	0	0	0	0
Don't Know	0	1	0	0	1

* Pertains only to those students whose initial acquaintance was on campus and/or to students that had heard a delegate speaker on campus.

Table VIII shows that the great majority of responses regarding the affect on the respondents attitude toward the country were being discussed in the "more favorable" category. No students indicated "less favorable." There were 24 respondents who said that their attitude toward the country discussed had remained unchanged. This pattern was generally the same for both class level and background.

TABLE VIII

AFFECT OF THE LECTURE ON THE RESPONDENTS ATTITUDE TOWARD THE COUNTRY BE-
ING DISCUSSED BY AGRICULTURAL AND NON-AGRICULTURAL STUDENTS
BY CLASS RANK AND BY BACKGROUND*

	Freshmen and Sophomores		Juniors and Seniors		Total
	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	
More Favorable	89	17	64	5	175
Less Favorable	0	0	0	0	0
Unchanged	8	2	4	0	14

Non-Agriculture

More Favorable	7	33	9	20	69
Less Favorable	0	0	0	0	0
Unchanged	1	6	1	2	10

* Pertains only to those students whose initial acquaintance was on campus and/or to students that had heard a delegate speaker on campus.

Retention and Time

Table IX presents an analysis of responses relating to the retention of material presented by delegates on campus over a period of years. The questionnaire item stated: "Do you remember anything specific that you learned about the country from the presentation?" The responses were then classified into three types, general, specific, and none. Responses classified as "general"

included such unspecific responses as "the culture," "the way of life," and "yes". The "specific" answers were those that noted a particular farming practice, culture trait or custom. Those classified as "none" included those that did not remember anything and a very few who did not fill anything in for the question.

The respondents were asked whether they remembered any specific things that they had learned about the country being discussed. In Table IX we find that a large percentage of the respondents indicated recalling something about the culture of the group the delegate had spoken about either of a general or a specific nature.

The respondents that had heard delegates in earlier years were grouped together because of the small number for each year from 1951 through 1954. It was found that in those chronological groupings there was some tendency for more of those in the earlier years to report not recalling anything, although the difference was not very great.

A more surprising result was the rather large proportion in the earlier years that reported something specific that they remembered. In fact the proportion was somewhat greater for the earlier years than for the later ones. This result showed a tendency for some specific item or items of information to stick in the minds of the listeners.

There was definite evidence of recall of information by the respondents showing learning had occurred among them as a result of the delegate presentation, although a substantial proportion of them reported general answers such as "their way of life," "customs" etc.

TABLE IX

RESPONSES RELATING TO RETENTION OF INFORMATION FOR
ORGANIZATION MEMBERS BY TYPE OF RESPONSE FOR 18
CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS, 1951-1956

Types of Responses	1951- 1954		1955		1956 (Part)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
General	11	22	26	53	36	49
Specific	22	45	16	33	21	29
None	16	33	7	14	16	22
Total	49	100	49	100	73	100

Specific Retention

Classification and frequency of "specific" responses are shown in the data presented in Table X. Items most commonly remembered were related to "farming and crops" and "food and clothing." Also "religion" and "politics" and "government" were mentioned frequently by students. The large number of "food and clothing" items in 1956 was due to a greater number of female students responding at that time.

TABLE X

SPECIFIC RESPONSES BY ORGANIZATION MEMBERS

Types of Specific Responses	Year Talk Was Given					Total
	1951-52	1953	1954	1955	1956	
Technology	0	0	4	0	0	4
Education	1	0	1	2	0	4
Religion	0	0	0	5	3	8
Politics and Government	2	0	0	1	3	6
Farming-Crops	5	2	5	4	4	20
Food & Clothing	0	0	2	3	11	16
Geography	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	8	2	12	16	21	59

Attitudes Toward the Speaker

The purpose of item 16 in the questionnaire was an attempt to probe the respondent's attitude toward the delegate speaker as an individual and the manner in which he made his presentation. All persons reported favorable impressions about the speaker and his report. All students felt that the delegate had learned a great deal from the IFYE experience, was enthusiastic about the country and left a good impression. A very small number, only two percent of the respondents, said that the delegate "described only" and did not "explain why" people behaved as they did; that is, the cultural norms which determine conduct. Four percent indicated that the delegate did not contrast what he had seen with American ways.

Voluntary Comments

At the end of the questionnaire form was a space for any additional comments. Of the total of 186 students hearing delegate speakers on campus, 58 made additional voluntary responses. There were 34 percent of the female students that completed this portion of the questionnaire, whereas only 24 percent of the male respondents made additional voluntary responses. Types of responses ranged from brief statements (great idea - a wonderful program) to several sentences expressing a high degree of interest and personal feeling. A list of these voluntary responses can be found in Appendix II. Female students tended to be more motivated to react to the type of voluntary response than male students, in general, female comments were longer and more inclusive as well. Since this request for additional information was completely unstructured and voluntary it should not be considered as representative data but perhaps as of suggestive value. The greater number of female responses may indicate more motivation on the part of women students,

however, it was impossible in this survey to detect why and under what particular circumstances this increased motivation took place.

Type of Presentation Used

The slide lecture was the predominant method of presentation used by delegate speakers on campus. Respondents indicated types of presentation in the following frequencies:

Slide Lecture	- 112
Panel	- 24
Lecture	- 20

CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAD NOT HEARD A DELEGATE SPEAKER

From a list of all campus organizations recognized by the university, a random sample of 31 organizations that had never used a delegate speaker on their campus programs was selected. The purpose of this survey was to reveal how many of these groups were acquainted with IFYE. In addition a question about potential interest in an IFYE speaker was asked. The president, or in a few cases, the vice president of each organization was interviewed by telephone.

Table XI shows that approximately 60 percent of the organizations had not heard of the IFYE program; the majority of the remaining groups were only slightly aware of its existence. There were five exceptions where groups were quite familiar with the program and had considered having a delegate speak. Two of these groups were in the agriculture college and three had members who had been IFYE delegates.

A brief explanation of IFYE was given the respondents not acquainted with the program and following this background information they were asked, "Do you think your members would be interested in hearing a delegate speak-

er?" In all but three cases the response was positive ranging in degree of interest from "yes" to "we're definitely interested - that's just what we have been looking for." Five organization presidents asked for future references for additional information concerning the program. The three cases in which the answer to the final interview question was "maybe" concerned some more specialized groups including the geology club, Society of Metallurgists and the Chemical Engineers Club. These respondents indicated they were interested in specialized programs related to their field.

TABLE XI

RESPONSES OF LEADERS OF 31 DIFFERENT CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS REGARDING AWARENESS AND INTEREST CONCERNING THE IFYE PROGRAM

Responses	(1) Are you acquainted with the International Farm Youth Exchange Program?	(2) Have you ever considered having an IFYE delegate appear before your group?	(3) Do you think your members would be interested in hearing a delegate speaker?
Yes	12	4	28
No	19	27	0
Maybe*	xxxx	xxxx	3

* Applies only to Question Number 3.

From the data obtained in this survey it appears that IFYE has been largely limited to the agriculture college groups. However, the interest shown by these non-agricultural organizations indicate a wide area for IFYE expansion on campus.

THE CAMPUS IFYE COMMITTEE

The IFYE program began in 1948. One year later, in 1949, 4-H extension leaders moved to activate the program in Ohio. At that time three groups, the University 4-H Club, Grange, and Farm Bureau were asked to send representatives to meet with members of the Extension 4-H Club department and the Junior Dean of the College of Agriculture. This group of students and administration representatives formed a committee that became The Ohio State University IFYE Committee. Most of the officers of the committee are chosen from among the students; the treasurer is a representative from the College of Agriculture administration while the IFYE project leader in the Agricultural Extension 4-H department coordinates the program between the National 4-H Club Foundation and Ohio groups. The purpose of the committee was to stimulate interest in IFYE and to raise enough money to send Ohio's first delegates. Through the efforts of the IFYE committee in the first year enough contributions were made to provide adequate financial support for sending two Ohio delegates in 1950.

Functionally the group is an IFYE coordinating committee composed of interested agricultural college organizations and students as well as extension and college officials. Since its origin the committee has been an unofficial body in the College of Agriculture. Each organization in the college is extended an invitation to send an official representative to committee meetings; however, participation is open to any university student wishing to participate. Several past IFYE's are currently committee members.

The activities of the committee have expanded since 1949 to include not only money raising projects but also an extensive public relations program. Individual contacts are made by members of the IFYE committee to past and potential contributors both on and off campus. Appeals for contributions

are made by the student members themselves. In addition to contacts outside of the university, the committee sponsors money raising activities of its own such as cake walks and lunch stands at various campus occasions.

The committee has been responsible for the writing and printing of a brochure explaining in illustrated form the organization and operation of the Ohio IFYE program. Also a publicity poster has been prepared by committee members for display purposes at various campus functions.

Apart from financial and publicity functions, the committee has a voice in Ohio IFYE policy. Each year the committee presents recommendations concerning the number and selection of Ohio delegates to members of the selection board who interview IFYE applicants. At least one student member of the IFYE committee serves on the interviewing board each year. Student members also assist the state extension IFYE project leader with the incoming foreign exchangees whenever possible throughout the year. Exchangees are often met by members who also assist in arranging overnight accommodations in fraternities, dormitories and rooming houses where committee members live.

The committee elects officers annually for a one-year term. Offices include chairman, vice chairman, recording secretary, corresponding secretary, and treasurer. Meetings are bimonthly excluding summer quarter when the committee as a group is inactive. Current active membership is 35, including nine official representatives of organizations.

The committee has no constitution or by-laws and there is no dues or membership fees. It is felt that this informal structure and the voluntary nature of the IFYE committee offers a means by which interested Ohio State students can actively participate in the Ohio IFYE program. It has been observed by those associated with the program that students interested in becoming foreign delegates have come to acknowledge informally that activity

on this committee can be a helpful means for training and orientation as well as in getting into the program.

Further study might be made to investigate the affect that committee participation may have on students toward taking courses in the social sciences that would better prepare them for the IFYE experience in cross-cultural associations and community leadership at home.

SUMMARY

Ohio IFYE delegates have been predominantly Ohio State students or alumni. Nearly half of the delegates return to the campus after their experience abroad. Many returned delegates schedule elective courses of international flavor and one after graduation changed his major to a social science. All but one of the delegates from the university have been enrolled in the College of Agriculture or School of Home Economics.

Because of the returned delegates close contacts with the agricultural college and the corresponding interest which lies there, most campus IFYE talks have been made before agricultural college groups. Financial contributions by agriculture organizations make up 99.4% of all campus contributions; however, an increasing number of non-agricultural groups are hearing delegate speakers at program meetings, an indication of growing interest.

Audience composition has been primarily agricultural students and faculty. Special interest groups in the agricultural college that have heard returned delegates speak have been predominantly male while non-agricultural audiences have been composed primarily of female students. The student population has a rapid turnover which makes for a continuous need to tell new students about the program. However, the rapid changes in students means that many students can be reached who will return to local areas and as local leaders.

With regard to the initial acquaintance of Ohio State students with IFYE, there is a definite correlation between students background and place of initial acquaintance. Most students with rural background said that they had heard of IFYE in their own communities prior to coming to Ohio State. The majority of urban students said that their initial acquaintance with IFYE was on campus. These responses seem to indicate the absence or unawareness of IFYE in the urban communities from which these students came.

Only 2.8 percent of the agriculture students surveyed said they had never heard of IFYE while 38 percent of the students outside of the College of Agriculture indicated they were unaware of IFYE.

Of the organizations surveyed having sponsored a delegate speaker, about 55 percent of the current members had heard a delegate speak on campus. Most of these were male students and on the basis of responses by class rank, the majority of current members in these organizations appear to be in the Freshman and Sophomore classes.

Respondents almost unanimously indicated that they felt IFYE improved international relations and that their attitude toward the foreign countries discussed was more favorable after than before the presentation.

About half of the students hearing delegate speakers on campus seemed to remember more general items, such as "the way of life," rather than specific things the speaker mentioned. However, a substantial number reported specific things. Of the specific things remembered students mentioned items relating to agriculture and food and clothing most frequently.

A greater proportion of the female respondents added additional voluntary comments than male students when completing the questionnaire. Female comments were generally longer and more inclusive.

The most common method used by delegate speakers to convey their IFYE experience on campus was the slide lecture presentation.

Of the sample of 31 leaders of organizations not having sponsored a delegate speaker, the majority were unaware of IFYE. However, after being given a brief explanation of the program most of these unacquainted groups were interested in utilizing delegate speakers on campus.

This study has revealed that IFYE has had a definite effect on the university community as indicated by student and organization interest, by awareness, attitude and retention responses, and by the financial support of campus groups. The continuing active participation of students in the campus IFYE committee is further evidence of the impact of IFYE on the campus.

However, from the analysis of the data obtained from this survey it appears that IFYE has been largely confined to agricultural college organizations. Nevertheless, the interest shown by non-agricultural groups, particularly from the results of the telephone survey, indicates a wide area for IFYE expansion and influence on the Ohio State campus. One means for accomplishing this would be by wider use of the delegates in the class rooms, bringing to the attention of students the variations in the way of life and the application of technology and knowledge in other cultures as well as the reasons for this behavior. Particular attention might well be given to understanding the important value patterns and symbols which a society stresses in order to give meaning to their behavior. These would be of great value in bringing about better understanding.

APPENDIX I

Name of Organization _____
1956

The Ohio State University
Dept. of Agr. Econ. and
Rur. Soc. Research Project

INTERNATIONAL FARM YOUTH EXCHANGE STUDY

Answer these questions only once. Do not answer if you have filled this questionnaire out in any other group.

1. Have you ever heard of the International Farm Youth Exchange Program (IFYE)?
Yes _____ No, _____
2. In what way did you first hear of the IFYE program?

3. From what you have heard, what kind of affect does the program have on international relations?
(a) improves relations _____ (c) no effect _____
(b) harms relations _____ (d) don't know _____
4. Your college _____ Major _____
5. Class rank _____
6. Male _____ Female _____
7. Your background: Farm _____ Rural nonfarm _____ Urban _____
8. Have you heard a delegate speak? Yes _____ No, _____
9. Where did you hear the presentation? _____
10. If a university group, what specific organization sponsored it? (Name)

11. Approximate date of meeting (month and year) _____
12. What country did he (or she) talk about? _____
13. Type of presentation:
1. Lecture _____ 3. Slide lecture _____
2. Panel discussion _____ 4. Other (specify) _____
14. After hearing the delegate speak did you find that your attitude toward the people of the country was:
1. More favorable _____ 2. Unchanged _____
3. Less favorable _____

15. Do you remember any specific thing that you learned about the country discussed from the presentation? _____
16. What impression did you receive from the speaker about the country he (or she) visited? How did you feel about the speaker concerning the following:
- a. Do you think he had learned a great deal from his experience?
Yes ___ No ___
 - b. Was he enthusiastic about the culture he had visited? Yes ___ No ___
 - c. Did he leave a good impression with you? Yes ___ No ___
 - d. Did he merely describe what he had seen or the pictures he had taken or did he explain reasons why people behave the way they do?
1. Described only ___ 2. Explained ___
 - e. Did he contrast what he had seen with American ways? Yes ___ No ___
17. Any additional comments:

APPENDIX II

SELECTED EXAMPLES OF VOLUNTARY COMMENTS

1. A good impression left by speaker.
2. Interesting explanation of cultural contrasts.
3. The delegate explained contrasts and why they existed, he had a basic understanding of the people and their problems.
4. Very good program - should be promoted.
5. I remember a fine statement the delegate closed with, "If we can learn to play together, we can learn to live together."
6. Our family was host to an IFYE for six weeks - a wonderful experience and sure hope I have the opportunity of being and IFYE some day and spreading the feelings and attitudes of Americans to others.
7. The IFYE committee gives a wonderful opportunity for students to take an active part.
8. I'm very interested in the program and am considering the possibility of applying.
9. All the IFYE's I have ever talked with say their tri p was the most important thing in their lives. I only hope our people impress foreign IFYE's as our IFYE's are impressed by their foreign hosts.
10. I am very proud of (delegate's name) and felt that she did an excellent job of informing us of her country.
11. The program is an excellent one because I strongly feel that as many Americans as possible should live, not visit, in a foreign country. Not only do they learn to respect other people's customs and ways of life but they also learn to appreciate America more.
12. I have heard and spoken with several IFYE's and I think that this program should definitely be continued. It has helped much with community relations as well as international relations.
13. An excellent talk. Customs were described so that we received a greater insight into the culture of the country.

***Comparative Population,
Agricultural and Industrial
Data for Ohio Counties,
1940-1950***

By
WADE H. ANDREWS
and
LORENZO H. SNOW

Agricultural Economics
Mimeograph AD 248

**OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
WOOSTER, OHIO**

COMPARATIVE POPULATION, AGRICULTURAL AND INDUSTRIAL DATA FOR
OHIO COUNTIES, 1940-50

by Wade H. Andrews ¹
and
Lorenzo H. Snow ²

This compilation of facts concerning certain population, agricultural, and industrial information for Ohio has been developed through the resources of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station by the research sociologists and is published in the present form as a mimeographed bulletin by the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station and the Ohio Agricultural Extension Service. The material included here was developed as a part of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station state project "Trends in Population and Social Change in Ohio" which is being done in cooperation with the North Central Regional Project N.C.18.³

This information will be useful to those planning programs for Agricultural Extension, schools, churches, community development and for other economic and social institutions.

The data were taken from U.S. Census reports and has been analyzed and put in this special comparative form. It is given without detailed explanation or interpretation because it was felt that the tables would be sufficiently understandable to be of value to leaders of rural social organizations in its present form.

The information in these tables is based on the 1940 and 1950 Censuses of Population, Agriculture, and Housing and the American Medical Directory for 1940 and 1950. Comparisons are made between 1940 and 1950 as well as between the

¹ Wade H. Andrews is Assistant Professor of Rural Sociology in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station and Ohio State University.

² Lorenzo H. Snow is Research Assistant in Rural Sociology in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.

³ Acknowledgment is extended to the U.S. Bureau of the Census, Farm Population and Rural Life Branch of the U.S.D.A., and the Technical Committee N.C.18 of the North Central Region.

counties themselves. Each table has been ranked by counties for both 1950 and 1940. This makes it possible to observe the trends of changes in counties as well as observing differences between counties. In a few instances the data were available for one year only, so that the observation of differences between counties for that year is all that can be made.

A few explanatory remarks seem necessary so that the data may be more accurately understood and interpreted. The Bureau of the Census changed the definition of urban in 1950. The primary purpose of this change was to include in the urban category the large and rapidly growing group of people who lived in the suburban fringes of the larger cities. These people lived under typically urban conditions, but were outside the incorporated places of 2,500 or more inhabitants. This change in definition removed them from the rural nonfarm and rural farm categories and placed them in the urban category. Since some of the 1950 tabulations were made in terms of both the 1940 definition and 1950 definition, it is important to note which definition the data is based on in each particular table using rural or urban residence as a factor. A footnote will indicate this for each of these tables.

In those cases where more than one county had the same percentage, they were arrayed alphabetically. Thus, even though they carried a lower number they should be considered to have the same actual rank as the first county with that percentage value. An example of this can be seen in Table 1 where both Crawford and Stark Counties have the same percentage value in 1950, but where Crawford County is ranked in the 12th position and Stark County is ranked in the 13th position.

In 1940 college students were enumerated at their parental home, whereas, in 1950 they were enumerated at their college residence. This accounts for the great amount of change in rank for the tables on sex ratio in the urban population for certain counties between 1940 and 1950. (See Tables 21 and 22.) The counties most affected are non-metropolitan counties with larger institutions such as Athens, Wood, Portage, Butler, and Delaware. As a result of this change in definition the

urban sex ratio in these counties favored the males over the females in 1950. The ratios relative positions of the majority of the other counties are not greatly affected. It is not likely that the rural nonfarm and rural farm population was affected by this change in enumeration.

There is a table of subject headings which provides a ready reference to the tables.

The tables include information in four main areas. First, population including rural-urban residence, age, sex, education, and health facilities. Second, agriculture with size of farms, farm tenancy, employment in agriculture, value of farm products sold, rural land-man ratio, and other selected factors. Third, industry includes employment in industries, family income, volume of trade.

The analysis of population information made here adds to the recent Research Bulletin 737 of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, titled "Rural-Urban Population Change and Migration in Ohio 1940-1950."

The county profile sheet has been developed by Dr. Harold R. Capener, Extension Sociologist, as a suggested method for making practical use of the data contained in the tables. The profile graphically portrays the conditions in a particular county as to its changes and trends, along with the position occupied in relation to other counties. All tables in the profile are computed on the basis of the total county population. Additional breakdowns of the data may be added to the profile by including figures from additional segments of the population such as the rural, rural nonfarm or urban classifications. The profile appears in the first section following the tables of contents.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Page
The County Profile	1
	5

Subject Headings of Tables

Table
Number

I Population

Rural - Urban Residence	1
Age	1
Sex Ratio	21
Education	29
Health Facilities	36

II Agriculture

Size of Farms	38
Farm Tenancy	44
Employment in Agriculture	47
Value of Farm Products Sold	52
Rural Land-Man Ratio	55
Other Farm Characteristics	56

III Industry

Employment in Industry	59
Family Income	61
Volume of Trade	64

IV Index

Farm Operator Level of Living Index	65
---	----

THE COUNTY PROFILE

Harold R. Capener
 Extension Rural
 Sociologist

	State Total		County Total		Rank Among All Counties	
	1940	1950	1940	1950	1940	1950
Total Population						
Percent Urban.						
Percent Rural Nonfarm						
Percent Farm						
Percent under 5 years of age						
Percent under 15 years of age						
Percent of persons 15-64 years of age						
Percent of persons 65 years old or over						
Percent of dependent population, those under 15 years of age and those 65 years old or over.						
Sex ratio for persons 20-24 years old**						
Sex ratio for persons 65 years old or over**						
Percent 16-17 year olds enrolled in school						
Median years of school completed persons 25 years old and over .						
Ratio of hospital beds to population**						
Ratio of Physicians to population**.						
Average size of farms						
Percent of farms under 10 acres . .						
Percent of farms under 30 acres . .						

**Definitions provided as footnotes on tables.

THE COUNTY PROFILE

	State Total		County Total		Rank Among All Counties	
	1940	1950	1940	1950	1940	1950
Percent of farms 500 acres or more .						
Average value of farm land and buildings in dollars per farm .						
Average value of farm land and buildings in dollars per acre .						
Percent of farm operators classified as tenants						
Percent of farm operators working off the farm 100 days or more .						
Average age of farm operators.						
Percent of hired farm workers among total farm workers**.						
Percent employed in agriculture (males and females)						
Percent of males in agriculture of total number of males						
Average value per farm of farm products sold						
Percent of farms which reported no products sold of total farms reporting						
Percent of farms reporting \$10,000 or more products sold of farms reporting sales						
Rural land-man ratio**						
Percent of farms classified as commercial**.						
Distance in miles to farm trading center visited most frequently.						
Percent of farms on hard surface roads of farms reporting.						
Percent of total employed engaged in industry other than agriculture**						

**Definitions provided as footnotes on tables

Table 1. Rank of Counties by Percent of Urban Population*
of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 66.4% - 1940 66.8%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	97.7	1	97.2	45. Delaware	39.0	50	33.4
2. Hamilton	86.1	2	87.6	46. Wyandot	38.7	46	35.9
3. Summit	82.3	3	86.3	47. Guernsey	38.3	38	38.8
4. Franklin	82.2	4	85.0	48. Wood	38.2	64	25.6
5. Lucas	78.2	5	83.4	49. Coshocton	37.5	41	37.6
6. Mahoning	76.5	6	82.0	50. Ross	37.0	39	38.6
7. Clark	70.3	8	73.9	51. Athens	36.0	48	34.5
8. Lorain	69.0	11	70.3	52. Washington	36.0	51	33.4
9. Marion	67.7	12	68.6	53. Highland	35.4	54	33.0
10. Butler	67.0	10	70.3	54. Champaign	34.8	53	33.0
11. Montgomery	65.9	7	75.8	55. Knox	34.5	56	32.6
12. Crawford	64.3	14	64.0	56. Lawrence	33.3	49	33.9
13. Stark	64.3	9	70.4	57. Logan	32.7	52	33.1
14. Allen	60.9	13	65.2	58. Medina	32.3	55	32.9
15. Erie	60.7	18	57.6	59. Gallia	31.6	57	31.4
16. Columbiana	59.9	15	60.7	60. Hocking	30.6	59	28.7
17. Hancock	59.0	20	54.8	61. Meigs	30.6	58	28.8
18. Seneca	58.5	19	56.5	62. Pickaway	29.7	60	28.6
19. Richland	56.4	16	59.3	63. Clinton	28.9	63	26.5
20. Tuscarawas	54.6	22	54.4	64. Warren	26.0	62	28.1
21. Muskingum	54.4	23	53.7	65. Perry	24.8	66	22.3
22. Trumbull	54.0	17	57.7	66. Henry	23.8	68	21.2
23. Defiance	53.6	28	50.4	67. Madison	23.4	67	21.5
24. Lake	53.6	30	48.5	68. Carroll	22.3	65	22.5
25. Ashtabula	53.5	26	50.9	69. Darke	21.2	70	19.9
26. Licking	52.3	27	50.5	70. Union	20.6	69	20.2
27. Miami	51.2	21	54.4	71. Mercer	20.1	72	18.4
28. Sandusky	51.2	29	49.9	72. Ottawa	18.8	71	18.5
29. Ashland	50.9	37	41.8	73. Harrison	15.8	74	13.8
30. Scioto	50.1	24	53.7	74. Preble	15.7	73	15.2
31. Jefferson	49.3	25	51.2	75. Fulton	13.7	75	12.8
32. Van Wert	48.8	34	44.4	76. Putnam	11.7	87	0.0
33. Belmont	48.1	31	47.3	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Huron	46.9	32	46.0	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Fayette	46.8	35	44.0	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Fairfield	46.4	33	45.2	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Jackson	43.9	36	43.8	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Hardin	42.3	61	28.1	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Portage	41.0	45	36.7	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Shelby	40.3	42	37.6	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Greene	40.1	44	36.8	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Auglaize	39.2	40	38.4	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Wayne	39.1	43	37.2	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Williams	39.1	47	35.7	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on 1940 definition of Urban

Table 2. Rank of Counties by Percent of Rural Nonfarm Population* of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 22.9% - 1940 17.7%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Clermont	68.4	10	42.2	45. Champaign	34.6	38	28.6
2. Geauga	63.7	9	42.5	46. Wayne	34.3	40	28.3
3. Ottawa	61.1	4	48.2	47. Meigs	34.1	47	26.9
4. Paulding	61.0	2	48.8	48. Henry	33.8	49	26.1
5. Harrison	54.8	3	48.6	49. Auglaize	32.2	53	25.1
6. Perry	51.6	6	45.9	50. Fairfield	32.1	46	26.9
7. Pike	51.4	8	42.8	51. Miami	32.1	64	21.5
8. Vinton	50.6	5	46.4	52. Richland	31.9	56	23.5
9. Warren	49.3	25	33.7	53. Williams	31.4	62	22.4
10. Morrow	48.9	12	40.8	54. Muskingum	30.7	54	25.0
11. Brown	48.2	13	40.3	55. Montgomery	30.6	73	17.9
12. Morgan	47.1	1	61.3	56. Tuscarawas	29.9	44	27.2
13. Preble	46.7	22	36.1	57. Jackson	29.8	43	28.0
14. Adams	45.9	11	40.9	58. Stark	29.3	69	20.1
15. Greene	45.9	26	33.6	59. Delaware	29.2	58	22.8
16. Fulton	45.4	24	34.1	60. Erie	29.0	51	25.8
17. Noble	45.4	19	37.1	61. Hardin	28.3	30	32.5
18. Madison	45.1	48	26.5	62. Sandusky	28.0	63	22.3
19. Athens	44.4	16	38.3	63. Licking	27.3	57	23.4
20. Jefferson	44.2	15	38.6	64. Ashtabula	26.9	59	22.7
21. Lawrence	44.1	27	33.5	65. Huron	26.9	66	21.1
22. Monroe	42.8	20	36.7	66. Shelby	26.9	65	21.4
23. Putnam	42.7	7	44.1	67. Allen	26.4	71	18.6
24. Pickaway	41.9	61	22.5	68. Wyandot	25.9	70	18.7
25. Wood	41.0	14	40.2	69. Columbiana	25.8	67	20.6
26. Mercer	40.7	33	29.9	70. Butler	25.7	72	18.4
27. Ross	40.4	36	29.3	71. Fayette	25.2	76	15.0
28. Logan	40.0	32	31.4	72. Highland	24.8	60	22.7
29. Holmes	39.8	17	37.6	73. Ashland	24.1	55	24.3
30. Lake	39.8	18	37.1	74. Gallia	23.1	68	20.2
31. Medina	39.7	50	25.9	75. Clark	22.7	78	13.8
32. Clinton	39.4	28	33.4	76. Van Wert	22.7	75	15.7
33. Belmont	38.9	23	36.0	77. Lorain	22.6	74	16.0
34. Darke	38.8	34	29.7	78. Seneca	20.0	77	14.3
35. Guernsey	38.8	29	32.7	79. Mahoning	19.5	82	12.9
36. Hocking	38.6	21	36.1	80. Lucas	19.3	81	13.2
37. Portage	38.0	35	29.7	81. Marion	19.3	80	13.5
38. Knox	37.2	31	31.4	82. Hancock	19.1	79	13.8
39. Union	37.2	37	28.9	83. Defiance	18.4	87	8.7
40. Coshocton	36.0	52	25.6	84. Franklin	15.6	83	11.0
41. Trumbull	35.2	42	28.1	85. Summit	15.5	85	9.7
42. Carroll	35.0	41	28.1	86. Crawford	14.4	86	9.5
43. Scioto	35.0	45	27.0	87. Hamilton	12.7	84	9.9
44. Washington	34.8	39	28.6	88. Cuyahoga	2.1	88	2.2

* Based on the 1940 definition of Urban

Table 3. Rank of Counties by Percent of Rural Farm
Population* of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 10.7% 1940 15.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Holmes	60.2	3	62.4	45. Jackson	26.3	58	28.2
2. Monree	57.2	1	63.3	46. Huron	26.2	50	32.9
3. Noble	54.6	2	62.9	47. Ashland	25.0	47	33.9
4. Adams	54.1	6	59.1	48. Warren	24.7	37	38.2
5. Morgan	52.9	35	38.7	49. Perry	23.6	53	31.8
6. Brown	51.8	4	59.7	50. Guernsey	22.9	57	28.5
7. Morrow	51.1	5	59.2	51. Lawrence	22.6	51	32.6
8. Vinton	49.4	11	53.6	52. Ross	22.6	52	32.1
9. Pike	48.6	9	57.2	53. Hancock	21.9	54	31.4
10. Putnam	45.6	10	55.9	54. Fairfield	21.5	59	27.9
11. Gallia	45.3	22	48.4	55. Seneca	21.5	56	29.2
12. Carroll	42.7	19	49.4	56. Crawford	21.3	62	26.5
13. Henry	42.4	13	52.7	57. Portage	21.0	48	33.6
14. Union	42.2	17	50.9	58. Sandusky	20.8	60	27.8
15. Fulton	40.9	12	53.1	59. Wood	20.8	46	34.2
16. Darke	40.0	18	50.4	60. Licking	20.4	64	26.1
17. Highland	39.8	24	44.3	61. Ottawa	20.1	49	33.3
18. Mercer	39.2	15	51.7	62. Ashtabula	19.6	63	26.4
19. Paulding	39.0	16	51.2	63. Athens	19.6	61	27.2
20. Preble	37.6	21	48.7	64. Miami	16.7	65	24.1
21. Geauga	36.3	8	57.5	65. Tuscarawas	15.5	69	18.4
22. Wyandot	35.4	23	45.4	66. Muskingum	14.9	66	21.3
23. Meigs	35.3	25	44.3	67. Scioto	14.9	67	19.3
24. Shelby	32.8	30	41.0	68. Columbiana	14.3	68	18.7
25. Delaware	31.8	26	43.8	69. Greene	14.0	55	29.6
26. Clinton	31.7	32	40.1	70. Belmont	13.0	72	16.7
27. Clermont	31.6	7	57.8	71. Marion	13.0	70	17.9
28. Madison	31.5	14	52.0	72. Allen	12.7	74	16.2
29. Hocking	30.8	44	35.2	73. Richland	11.7	71	17.2
30. Champaign	30.6	36	38.4	74. Trumbull	10.8	76	14.2
31. Williams	29.5	27	41.9	75. Erie	10.3	73	16.6
32. Hardin	29.4	34	39.4	76. Lorain	8.4	77	13.7
33. Harrison	29.4	39	37.6	77. Butler	7.3	79	11.3
34. Washington	29.2	38	38.0	78. Clark	7.0	78	12.3
35. Auglaize	28.6	41	36.5	79. Lake	6.6	75	14.4
36. Van Wert	28.5	33	39.9	80. Jefferson	6.5	80	10.2
37. Pickaway	28.4	20	48.9	81. Stark	6.4	81	9.5
38. Knox	28.3	42	36.0	82. Mahoning	4.0	83	5.1
39. Defiance	28.0	31	40.9	83. Montgomery	3.5	82	6.3
40. Fayette	28.0	29	41.0	84. Lucas	2.5	86	3.4
41. Medina	28.0	28	41.2	85. Franklin	2.2	84	4.0
42. Logan	27.3	43	35.5	86. Summit	2.2	85	4.0
43. Wayne	26.6	45	34.5	87. Hamilton	1.2	87	2.5
44. Coshocton	26.5	40	36.8	88. Cuyahoga	0.2	88	0.6

* Based on the 1940 definition of Urban

Table 4. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 5
Years of Age of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 10.7% - 1940 7.3%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Greene	12.9	64	7.6	45. Adams	10.7	75	7.2
2. Holmes	12.8	2	10.5	46. Hocking	10.7	14	8.8
3. Geauga	12.5	33	8.3	47. Jefferson	10.7	15	8.7
4. Warren	12.2	23	8.6	48. Stark	10.7	72	7.5
5. Putnam	12.1	11	8.9	49. Wyandot	10.7	36	8.2
6. Lake	11.9	73	7.4	50. Erie	10.6	82	6.9
7. Vinton	11.8	3	10.4	51. Henry	10.6	24	8.5
8. Mercer	11.7	17	8.7	52. Ross	10.6	7	9.4
9. Paulding	11.7	22	8.6	53. Williams	10.6	45	8.0
10. Shelby	11.7	30	8.4	54. Wood	10.6	34	8.3
11. Summit	11.6	85	6.9	55. Clinton	10.5	63	7.6
12. Defiance	11.5	9	9.0	56. Columbiana	10.5	53	7.8
13. Fulton	11.5	55	7.8	57. Crawford	10.5	68	7.5
14. Jackson	11.5	8	9.1	58. Fairfield	10.5	32	8.3
15. Lawrence	11.5	4	9.8	59. Hancock	10.5	70	7.5
16. Lorain	11.5	74	7.3	60. Logan	10.5	60	7.7
17. Pike	11.5	1	10.7	61. Morgan	10.5	20	8.6
18. Wayne	11.5	25	8.5	62. Muskingum	10.5	35	8.2
19. Allen	11.4	50	7.8	63. Van Wert	10.5	62	7.7
20. Auglaize	11.4	67	7.5	64. Ashtabula	10.4	81	6.9
21. Clermont	11.4	59	7.7	65. Coshocton	10.4	54	7.8
22. Montgomery	11.4	71	7.5	66. Highland	10.4	38	8.1
23. Portage	11.4	77	7.2	67. Morrow	10.4	28	8.4
24. Sandusky	11.4	48	7.9	68. Tuscarawas	10.4	40	8.1
25. Carroll	11.3	51	7.8	69. Ashland	10.3	37	8.1
26. Miami	11.3	39	8.1	70. Franklin	10.3	86	6.6
27. Preble	11.3	56	7.8	71. Knox	10.3	65	7.6
28. Seneca	11.3	57	7.8	72. Lucas	10.3	80	7.0
29. Butler	11.2	42	8.0	73. Cuyahoga	10.1	88	6.2
30. Champaign	11.2	26	8.4	74. Harrison	10.1	18	8.6
31. Huron	11.2	75	7.2	75. Mahoning	10.1	84	6.9
32. Ottawa	11.2	79	7.1	76. Union	10.1	49	7.9
33. Trumbull	11.2	66	7.6	77. Washington	10.1	41	8.1
34. Fayette	11.1	13	8.8	78. Belmont	10.0	46	7.9
35. Medina	11.1	78	7.1	79. Brown	10.0	58	7.7
36. Clark	11.0	52	7.8	80. Hamilton	10.0	87	6.6
37. Hardin	11.0	27	8.4	81. Licking	10.0	76	7.2
38. Richland	11.0	61	7.7	82. Noble	10.0	21	8.6
39. Darke	10.9	31	8.3	83. Monroe	9.9	19	8.6
40. Madison	10.9	43	8.0	84. Delaware	9.8	69	7.5
41. Marion	10.9	47	7.9	85. Pickaway	9.6	44	8.0
42. Scioto	10.9	6	9.7	86. Gallia	9.5	10	8.9
43. Meigs	10.8	16	8.7	87. Athens	9.2	12	8.8
44. Perry	10.8	29	8.4	88. Guernsey	9.0	83	6.9

Table 5. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under
15 Years of Age of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 25.8% - 1940 22.9%

County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Vinton	33.5	3	30.6	45. Pickaway	27.6	48	24.4
2. Holmes	33.3	2	30.6	46. Seneca	27.6	60	23.6
3. Pike	33.3	1	32.4	47. Union	27.6	61	23.6
4. Geauga	31.0	28	25.2	48. Logan	27.5	68	23.1
5. Lawrence	31.0	4	30.3	49. Noble	27.5	19	26.0
6. Jackson	30.7	8	28.2	50. Portage	27.5	54	23.8
7. Putnam	30.7	9	27.7	51. Trumbull	27.5	40	24.7
8. Warren	30.3	22	25.8	52. Fairfield	27.4	34	25.1
9. Adams	30.2	6	28.6	53. Jefferson	27.3	18	26.0
10. Paulding	30.1	14	26.5	54. Marion	27.3	50	24.3
11. Scioto	30.1	5	29.8	55. Tuscarawas	27.3	29	25.2
12. Clermont	29.7	32	25.1	56. Clinton	27.2	79	22.5
13. Meigs	29.7	20	25.9	57. Ottawa	27.2	75	22.8
14. Carroll	29.5	26	25.3	58. Columbiana	27.1	46	24.4
15. Hocking	29.5	7	28.3	59. Williams	27.1	65	23.4
16. Preble	29.5	51	24.2	60. Crawford	27.0	74	22.8
17. Morrow	29.4	38	24.8	61. Ashland	26.9	62	23.5
18. Mercer	29.3	11	27.1	62. Butler	26.9	25	25.5
19. Shelby	29.3	17	26.1	63. Coshocton	26.9	49	24.3
20. Champaign	29.2	45	24.4	64. Muskingum	26.9	39	24.7
21. Fulton	28.9	42	24.5	65. Allen	26.8	64	23.4
22. Defiance	28.8	33	25.1	66. Lorain	26.7	77	22.7
23. Gallia	28.7	12	26.8	67. Van Wert	26.7	55	23.8
24. Ross	28.7	13	26.8	68. Richland	26.6	80	22.4
25. Greene	28.6	57	23.7	69. Washington	26.6	36	24.9
26. Darke	28.5	41	24.5	70. Ashtabula	26.5	81	22.3
27. Fayette	28.5	35	25.0	71. Clark	26.3	72	22.9
28. Perry	28.5	15	26.4	72. Wood	26.3	31	25.2
29. Wayne	28.4	30	25.2	73. Stark	26.2	69	23.1
30. Henry	28.2	23	25.7	74. Belmont	26.1	27	25.2
31. Lake	28.2	63	23.5	75. Knox	26.1	76	22.7
32. Brown	28.0	44	24.4	76. Montgomery	26.1	84	21.8
33. Miami	28.0	52	23.9	77. Summit	26.1	70	23.1
34. Harrison	27.9	16	26.1	78. Erie	25.8	85	21.5
35. Huron	27.9	71	23.0	79. Delaware	25.7	56	23.7
36. Morgan	27.9	24	25.6	80. Hancock	25.7	73	22.9
37. Medina	27.8	78	22.7	81. Licking	25.7	82	22.1
38. Monroe	27.8	10	27.3	82. Athens	24.8	21	25.8
39. Wyandot	27.8	37	24.9	83. Lucas	24.6	83	21.8
40. Hardin	27.7	43	24.5	84. Mahoning	24.5	67	23.3
41. Highland	27.7	58	23.7	85. Hamilton	23.9	87	20.8
42. Madison	27.7	47	24.4	86. Guernsey	23.7	66	23.3
43. Sandusky	27.7	53	23.9	87. Franklin	23.5	86	20.8
44. Auglaize	27.6	59	23.6	88. Cuyahoga	23.4	88	19.9

Table 6. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15 Years of Age of Urban Population, Ohio,*1940-1950

State total - 1950 25.5% - 1940 21.1%

County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Greene	39.2	28	22.4	45. Fulton	24.8	67	19.9
2. Lawrence	32.6	3	24.5	46. Crawford	24.7	50	21.1
3. Montgomery	32.3	55	20.9	47. Harrison	24.7	38	21.9
4. Putnam	31.1	87	0.0	48. Tuscarawas	24.7	18	22.9
5. Trumbull	29.2	16	23.0	49. Williams	24.7	60	20.7
6. Lake	29.0	23	22.6	50. Wyandot	24.7	31	22.4
7. Richland	28.0	58	20.8	51. Ashtabula	24.6	52	20.9
8. Pickaway	27.6	2	24.7	52. Hancock	24.5	35	22.1
9. Defiance	27.4	10	23.5	53. Columbiana	24.4	26	22.5
10. Stark	27.4	43	21.7	54. Erie	24.4	51	21.0
11. Lucas	27.2	62	20.5	55. Mercer	24.3	29	22.4
12. Scioto	27.1	1	25.1	56. Ottawa	24.3	71	19.6
13. Jackson	26.9	5	24.2	57. Franklin	24.2	69	19.8
14. Portage	26.8	45	21.4	58. Hardin	24.1	39	21.8
15. Shelby	26.8	24	22.6	59. Jefferson	24.0	13	23.2
16. Butler	26.7	6	24.1	60. Carroll	23.9	61	20.6
17. Summit	26.6	27	22.5	61. Ashland	23.8	48	21.2
18. Champaign	26.5	25	22.5	62. Licking	23.8	56	20.8
19. Marion	26.5	8	24.1	63. Preble	23.8	74	18.8
20. Meigs	26.4	57	20.8	64. Wayne	23.7	47	21.3
21. Perry	26.2	9	24.0	65. Cuyahoga	23.4	68	19.8
22. Madison	26.1	7	24.1	66. Guernsey	23.2	53	20.9
23. Clark	26.0	37	22.0	67. Knox	23.2	72	19.6
24. Fairfield	25.9	4	24.3	68. Union	23.2	73	19.6
25. Sandusky	25.8	30	22.4	69. Darke	23.0	63	20.4
26. Warren	25.8	17	23.0	70. Washington	22.6	66	20.0
27. Wood	25.8	12	23.3	71. Clinton	22.4	70	19.6
28. Allen	25.6	19	22.8	72. Hocking	22.4	40	21.8
29. Auglaize	25.6	34	22.2	73. Coshocton	22.3	64	20.3
30. Huron	25.6	44	21.4	74. Delaware	21.0	32	22.3
31. Miami	25.6	36	22.1	75. Gallia	20.7	75	17.9
32. Belmont	25.5	22	22.6	76. Athens	18.0	49	21.1
33. Mahoning	25.4	20	22.8	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Muskingum	25.4	21	22.8	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Henry	25.3	33	22.3	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Seneca	25.3	11	23.3	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Hamilton	25.2	65	20.0	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Fayette	25.1	14	23.0	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Medina	25.0	59	20.7	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Ross	25.0	15	23.0	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Highland	24.9	54	20.9	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Logan	24.9	46	21.3	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Lorain	24.9	41	21.8	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Van Wert	24.9	42	21.8	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on the 1950 definition of Urban

Table 7. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons under 15
Years of Age of Rural Nonfarm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 25.1% - 1940 26.7%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Jackson	35.0	3	33.6	45. Muskingum	28.7	22	27.5
2. Warren	33.8	13	28.6	46. Paulding	28.7	49	25.2
3. Fayette	33.7	38	26.0	47. Perry	28.7	40	26.0
4. Vinton	33.6	9	30.6	48. Williams	28.7	78	23.4
5. Pike	33.4	5	31.8	49. Morrow	28.6	68	24.3
6. Hocking	32.7	8	30.7	50. Van Wert	28.6	69	24.3
7. Meigs	32.7	11	29.2	51. Allen	28.5	71	24.0
8. Scioto	32.6	1	36.7	52. Harrison	28.5	17	28.0
9. Carroll	31.9	29	26.8	53. Henry	28.3	75	23.8
10. Lorain	31.7	30	26.8	54. Washington	28.3	26	27.1
11. Miami	31.7	28	26.9	55. Clinton	28.2	86	22.5
12. Columbiana	31.5	14	28.4	56. Erie	28.1	83	22.7
13. Crawford	31.3	67	24.3	57. Licking	28.1	80	23.0
14. Defiance	31.3	35	26.3	58. Lake	28.0	39	26.0
15. Shelby	31.2	24	27.4	59. Fulton	27.9	79	23.3
16. Marion	30.9	50	25.1	60. Holmes	27.9	31	26.6
17. Hardin	30.8	60	24.8	61. Union	27.9	52	25.1
18. Medina	30.8	48	25.5	62. Portage	27.7	27	27.0
19. Ashland	30.7	62	24.6	63. Adams	27.6	43	25.7
20. Geauga	30.7	59	24.8	64. Butler	27.6	7	31.1
21. Jefferson	30.7	10	29.3	65. Logan	27.4	87	22.4
22. Preble	30.7	57	24.9	66. Athens	27.3	6	31.5
23. Hancock	30.6	85	22.6	67. Clark	26.7	36	26.2
24. Champaign	30.6	58	24.8	68. Noble	26.7	70	24.2
25. Clermont	30.6	46	25.5	69. Wood	26.4	34	26.5
26. Seneca	30.5	61	27.8	70. Belmont	26.3	19	27.7
27. Gallia	30.4	4	32.4	71. Knox	26.3	84	22.7
28. Sandusky	30.4	45	25.7	72. Pickaway	26.3	23	27.4
29. Mercer	30.1	32	26.6	73. Brown	25.8	88	21.7
30. Tuscarawas	30.1	12	28.6	74. Monroe	25.6	56	24.9
31. Wyandot	30.0	65	24.5	75. Morgan	25.2	73	23.9
32. Huron	29.9	81	22.8	76. Madison	24.8	77	23.4
33. Delaware	29.8	66	24.4	77. Trumbull	24.6	16	28.4
34. Coshocton	29.5	25	27.2	78. Summit	23.9	21	27.7
35. Highland	29.5	47	25.5	79. Richland	23.0	74	23.9
36. Wayne	29.5	41	26.0	80. Stark	22.7	33	26.6
37. Ashtabula	29.4	54	24.9	81. Cuyahoga	21.9	82	22.7
38. Ross	29.2	37	26.1	82. Guernsey	21.9	53	25.0
39. Putnam	29.1	63	24.6	83. Mahoning	20.8	42	25.8
40. Auglaize	28.9	76	25.0	84. Franklin	19.9	18	27.8
41. Fairfield	28.9	44	25.7	85. Greene	19.8	55	24.9
42. Ottawa	28.9	64	24.5	86. Hamilton	15.1	20	27.7
43. Darke	28.7	72	24.0	87. Lucas	13.8	15	28.4
44. Lawrence	28.7	2	34.0	88. Montgomery	12.6	51	25.1

* Based on the 1950 definition of Urban

Table 8. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15
Years of Age of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 29.6% - 1940 26.1%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Holmes	36.8	2	33.0	45. Meigs	29.5	23	27.2
2. Scioto	34.2	1	33.4	46. Washington	29.5	22	27.6
3. Ross	34.0	5	32.0	47. Fayette	29.4	28	26.9
4. Wayne	33.9	14	28.6	48. Monroe	29.4	13	28.7
5. Lawrence	33.5	4	32.5	49. Pickaway	29.4	81	22.9
6. Gallia	33.4	10	30.1	50. Allen	29.1	57	25.1
7. Vinton	33.3	8	30.6	51. Highland	29.1	63	24.8
8. Pike	33.2	3	32.9	52. Auglaize	28.9	60	25.0
9. Madison	33.1	59	25.1	53. Clark	28.9	62	24.9
10. Hocking	32.5	6	31.1	54. Muskingum	28.8	37	26.3
11. Adams	32.4	7	30.7	55. Harrison	28.6	58	25.1
12. Paulding	32.4	21	27.7	56. Licking	28.6	76	23.9
13. Jackson	32.1	11	29.1	57. Williams	28.6	47	25.7
14. Putnam	32.0	9	30.2	58. Miami	28.5	15	28.5
15. Athens	31.8	75	23.9	59. Sandusky	28.5	56	25.2
16. Geauga	31.6	50	25.5	60. Trumbull	28.5	71	24.3
17. Fulton	31.5	35	26.3	61. Fairfield	28.4	45	25.7
18. Crawford	31.2	39	26.1	62. Portage	28.2	77	23.7
19. Darke	31.2	32	26.4	63. Van Wert	28.2	46	25.7
20. Mercer	31.0	12	29.1	64. Noble	28.2	24	27.1
21. Logan	30.9	49	25.6	65. Hancock	28.2	67	24.5
22. Tuscarawas	30.9	29	26.9	66. Belmont	28.1	26	27.0
23. Champaign	30.7	44	25.7	67. Lorain	28.0	82	22.6
24. Perry	30.7	17	28.4	68. Warren	28.0	52	25.4
25. Shelby	30.7	16	28.5	69. Clermont	27.8	66	24.6
26. Stark	30.7	40	26.0	70. Ashtabula	27.7	80	22.9
27. Seneca	30.5	19	27.8	71. Delaware	27.7	70	24.3
28. Morgan	30.3	31	26.6	72. Lucas	27.7	33	26.4
29. Preble	30.3	51	25.5	73. Erie	27.4	85	21.5
30. Columbiana	30.2	41	25.8	74. Guernsey	27.4	64	24.7
31. Morrow	30.2	55	25.2	75. Greene	27.3	74	24.0
32. Clinton	30.1	69	24.3	76. Wood	27.2	61	25.0
33. Huron	30.1	53	25.3	77. Butler	27.1	54	25.2
34. Brown	30.0	34	26.3	78. Medina	27.0	83	22.5
35. Coshocton	29.9	38	26.2	79. Carroll	26.7	30	26.7
36. Defiance	29.9	27	26.9	80. Marion	26.3	68	24.5
37. Hardin	29.8	36	26.3	81. Montgomery	26.3	78	23.5
38. Henry	29.8	18	28.0	82. Summit	26.2	73	24.1
39. Richland	29.7	42	25.8	83. Franklin	25.7	79	23.3
40. Wyandot	29.7	25	27.1	84. Mahoning	25.5	65	24.7
41. Union	29.6	72	24.3	85. Ottawa	24.8	84	22.2
42. Knox	29.5	48	25.6	86. Lake	23.8	88	20.2
43. Ashland	29.5	43	25.7	87. Hamilton	23.3	87	20.3
44. Jefferson	29.5	20	27.7	88. Cuyahoga	20.8	86	21.3

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 9. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 15 - 64
Years of Age of Total Population, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 65.3% - 1940 69.3%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	68.9	1	74.4	45. Sandusky	61.5	33	66.2
2. Franklin	68.1	3	71.5	46. Scioto	61.5	56	64.5
3. Mahoning	67.8	4	71.4	47. Van Wert	61.2	46	65.3
4. Summit	67.3	2	71.9	48. Auglaize	61.0	40	65.4
5. Hamilton	67.0	6	71.1	49. Huron	60.8	39	65.5
6. Lucas	66.8	5	71.2	50. Shelby	60.8	63	64.1
7. Montgomery	66.6	7	70.9	51. Warren	60.8	65	64.0
8. Butler	65.8	20	67.3	52. Mercer	60.7	70	63.5
9. Lorain	65.5	8	70.1	53. Williams	60.7	44	65.4
10. Stark	65.3	9	69.9	54. Defiance	60.6	51	64.9
11. Richland	65.2	10	69.5	55. Henry	60.6	64	64.0
12. Trumbull	65.0	11	69.5	56. Washington	60.6	73	63.3
13. Jefferson	64.8	15	68.4	57. Harrison	60.5	69	63.6
14. Clark	64.6	14	68.4	58. Hardin	60.3	62	64.1
15. Lake	64.5	12	68.9	59. Clermont	60.2	68	63.6
16. Greene	64.4	28	66.5	60. Clinton	60.2	54	64.8
17. Portage	64.3	22	67.3	61. Gallia	60.2	72	63.3
18. Erie	64.2	13	68.7	62. Lawrence	60.2	79	62.4
19. Athens	64.1	53	64.8	63. Logan	60.1	47	65.2
20. Allen	64.0	17	67.7	64. Perry	59.9	60	64.2
21. Wood	64.0	49	65.1	65. Union	59.9	52	64.9
22. Belmont	63.1	23	67.2	66. Wyandot	59.9	71	63.4
23. Delaware	63.0	55	64.8	67. Darke	59.8	61	64.1
24. Licking	63.0	24	67.1	68. Fulton	59.8	59	64.3
25. Ashtabula	62.9	16	68.0	69. Carroll	59.7	57	64.3
26. Columbiana	62.9	25	67.0	70. Fayette	59.6	58	64.3
27. Ottawa	62.7	21	67.3	71. Geauga	59.6	43	65.4
28. Hancock	62.6	30	66.4	72. Champaign	59.4	66	63.8
29. Marion	62.6	29	66.5	73. Hocking	59.2	18	67.5
30. Madison	62.4	32	66.2	74. Preble	59.2	74	63.2
31. Muskingum	62.4	37	65.7	75. Highland	59.1	67	63.7
32. Medina	62.3	19	67.4	76. Morrow	59.0	76	63.0
33. Ross	62.3	45	65.3	77. Brown	58.9	77	62.9
34. Fairfield	62.2	42	65.4	78. Paulding	58.7	75	63.1
35. Pickaway	62.2	38	65.7	79. Putnam	58.5	80	62.4
36. Knox	62.1	31	66.4	80. Noble	58.4	81	62.2
37. Miami	61.9	35	66.1	81. Jackson	58.3	82	62.1
38. Ashland	61.8	34	66.1	82. Meigs	58.0	78	62.9
39. Coshocton	61.8	41	65.4	83. Monroe	57.8	84	61.2
40. Seneca	61.8	48	65.1	84. Morgan	57.8	83	61.8
41. Tuscarawas	61.8	36	66.1	85. Holmes	57.7	86	60.6
42. Wayne	61.7	50	65.0	86. Adams	57.4	85	60.9
43. Crawford	61.6	26	66.8	87. Pike	56.9	88	59.7
44. Guernsey	61.6	27	66.6	88. Vinton	55.7	87	60.4

Table 10. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 15-64
Years of Age of Urban Population* Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 65.3% - 1940 71.7%							
County by Rank	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Athens	72.4	27	69.1	45. Scioto	62.9	31	68.9
2. Cuyahoga	68.8	1	74.6	46. Hardin	62.8	63	65.0
3. Jefferson	68.0	10	71.5	47. Henry	62.8	59	66.2
4. Delaware	67.8	68	65.4	48. Huron	62.8	48	67.3
5. Gallia	67.7	4	72.4	49. Sandusky	62.8	52	67.1
6. Lorain	66.9	13	71.3	50. Shelby	62.8	53	67.1
7. Franklin	66.8	3	72.6	51. Union	62.8	28	69.0
8. Summit	66.5	2	72.7	52. Lucas	62.7	6	72.2
9. Mahoning	66.3	5	72.3	53. Perry	62.7	55	66.9
10. Wayne	66.0	32	68.9	54. Belmont	62.6	20	69.7
11. Ottawa	65.7	11	71.5	55. Madison	62.6	72	64.7
12. Erie	65.5	18	69.8	56. Harrison	62.4	58	66.5
13. Butler	65.2	34	68.7	57. Van Wert	62.4	51	67.2
14. Licking	65.1	25	69.5	58. Darke	62.3	61	66.1
15. Portage	65.1	24	69.7	59. Carroll	62.2	30	68.9
16. Allen	64.9	29	68.9	60. Trumbull	62.2	9	71.6
17. Ashtabula	64.9	15	70.3	61. Richland	62.1	14	71.1
18. Columbiana	64.9	26	69.3	62. Champaign	61.9	67	65.4
19. Medina	64.9	23	69.7	63. Auglaize	61.8	57	66.5
20. Coshocton	64.8	22	69.7	64. Wyandot	61.8	69	65.3
21. Hamilton	64.8	7	71.8	65. Defiance	61.6	62	66.1
22. Ross	64.7	35	68.6	66. Meigs	61.1	42	67.8
23. Wood	64.6	64	65.8	67. Fayette	61.0	66	65.5
24. Mercer	64.5	43	67.8	68. Preble	60.9	73	64.2
25. Fairfield	64.3	46	67.6	69. Jackson	60.7	71	64.9
26. Clinton	64.2	40	68.1	70. Highland	60.4	65	65.6
27. Clark	64.1	21	69.7	71. Fulton	59.7	70	65.2
28. Knox	64.1	19	69.8	72. Pickaway	59.5	74	63.7
29. Ashland	63.9	33	68.7	73. Putnam	59.3	87	0.0
30. Guernsey	63.7	17	69.9	74. Montgomery	58.3	8	71.8
31. Lake	63.7	16	70.1	75. Lawrence	54.8	50	67.2
32. Muskingum	63.7	41	68.1	76. Greene	51.9	45	67.7
33. Warren	63.7	60	66.2	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Hancock	63.6	54	67.0	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Seneca	63.6	56	66.6	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Washington	63.6	47	67.5	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Marion	63.5	49	67.3	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Miami	63.5	44	67.8	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Tuscarawas	63.4	39	68.3	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Crawford	63.3	37	68.4	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Hocking	63.3	75	61.5	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Logan	63.1	38	68.3	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Stark	63.1	12	71.4	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Williams	63.0	36	68.5	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on 1950 definition as Urban

Table 11. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 15-64
Years of Age of Rural Nonfarm Population*,
Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 66.2% - 1940 64.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Montgomery	82.3	3	68.4	45. Auglaize	59.5	33	63.8
2. Lucas	81.0	7	66.9	46. Fulton	59.0	44	63.0
3. Hamilton	78.7	10	66.6	47. Perry	58.9	25	64.5
4. Greene	75.1	19	65.1	48. Miami	58.8	72	60.9
5. Franklin	73.5	18	65.1	49. Ashland	58.7	34	63.6
6. Mahoning	72.3	4	67.6	50. Paulding	58.6	55	62.2
7. Cuyahoga	71.3	1	70.5	51. Washington	58.6	68	61.2
8. Richland	71.0	2	69.2	52. Henry	58.3	40	63.2
9. Stark	70.2	9	66.7	53. Huron	58.3	37	63.5
10. Summit	70.1	8	66.9	54. Marion	58.3	47	62.7
11. Trumbull	69.0	11	66.6	55. Delaware	58.2	28	64.0
12. Butler	66.8	51	62.5	56. Union	58.1	78	60.5
13. Pickaway	65.2	84	59.5	57. Darke	58.0	64	61.6
14. Lake	65.1	6	67.0	58. Carroll	58.0	42	63.0
15. Clark	64.4	21	64.9	59. Seneca	58.0	62	61.8
16. Madison	64.4	61	61.8	60. Warren	58.0	76	60.7
17. Portage	64.3	32	63.9	61. Morgan	57.9	52	62.3
18. Belmont	63.7	15	65.8	62. Morrow	57.9	73	60.9
19. Lawrence	63.6	80	60.3	63. Van Wert	57.9	60	61.9
20. Wood	63.5	30	64.0	64. Clinton	57.7	56	62.1
21. Allen	63.2	16	65.7	65. Preble	57.7	77	60.5
22. Ross	63.0	12	66.5	66. Noble	57.6	26	64.3
23. Erie	62.5	5	67.0	67. Shelby	57.6	74	60.9
24. Lorain	62.3	13	66.3	68. Brown	57.5	35	63.6
25. Jefferson	61.8	17	65.2	69. Defiance	57.5	67	61.4
26. Ottawa	61.5	14	66.0	70. Logan	57.4	46	62.9
27. Muskingum	61.4	41	63.1	71. Putnam	57.4	49	62.7
28. Knox	61.3	20	65.1	72. Crawford	57.3	59	61.9
29. Scioto	60.7	87	58.8	73. Gallia	57.1	88	58.0
30. Harrison	60.2	54	62.2	74. Hocking	57.1	66	61.5
31. Licking	60.2	29	64.0	75. Monroe	56.8	58	62.0
32. Guernsey	60.1	24	64.5	76. Champaign	56.5	79	60.4
33. Coshocton	60.0	53	62.2	77. Pike	56.5	82	59.8
34. Holmes	60.0	57	62.1	78. Hancock	56.4	45	62.9
35. Wayne	60.0	50	62.6	79. Williams	56.4	75	60.9
36. Athens	59.9	69	61.0	80. Fayette	56.3	83	59.7
37. Medina	59.9	31	63.9	81. Adams	56.2	65	61.5
38. Ashtabula	59.8	27	64.0	82. Hardin	56.0	63	61.7
39. Columbiana	59.8	36	63.5	83. Jackson	56.0	86	59.4
40. Tuscarawas	59.8	39	63.3	84. Meigs	55.4	71	60.9
41. Fairfield	59.8	43	63.0	85. Wyandot	55.4	85	59.5
42. Sandusky	59.7	22	64.8	86. Highland	55.3	81	60.2
43. Clermont	59.6	38	63.3	87. Vinton	54.8	70	61.0
44. Mercer	59.6	48	62.7	88. Geauga	40.3	23	64.5

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 12 Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 15-64 Years of Age of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 60.6% - 1940 64.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	63.7	1	70.0	45. Preble	60.5	38	64.8
2. Hamilton	65.3	18	66.4	46. Brown	60.4	69	62.4
3. Summit	65.2	5	68.8	47. Fayette	60.4	42	64.6
4. Lake	64.6	3	69.4	48. Highland	60.4	51	64.2
5. Mahoning	64.2	19	66.4	49. Licking	60.4	34	65.0
6. Marion	64.1	20	66.1	50. Seneca	60.4	60	63.7
7. Butler	63.8	17	66.5	51. Stark	60.3	27	65.5
8. Ottawa	63.8	12	67.0	52. Columbiana	60.2	55	64.0
9. Franklin	63.7	11	67.3	53. Darke	60.1	36	64.9
10. Montgomery	63.6	7	68.0	54. Morrow	60.1	49	64.4
11. Greene	63.5	16	66.7	55. Clinton	60.0	31	65.0
12. Lucas	63.3	24	65.8	56. Harrison	59.9	40	64.4
13. Warren	63.1	29	65.2	57. Tuscarawas	59.9	59	63.8
14. Miami	62.8	15	66.8	58. Union	59.9	23	65.9
15. Medina	62.5	8	67.9	59. Carroll	59.8	67	62.8
16. Wood	62.3	22	66.0	60. Champaign	59.8	35	64.9
17. Lorain	62.2	6	68.5	61. Coshocton	59.8	64	63.4
18. Williams	62.2	30	65.2	62. Mercer	59.8	68	62.5
19. Hancock	62.0	15	66.8	63. Muskingum	59.8	70	62.3
20. Portage	62.0	9	67.5	64. Belmont	59.7	63	63.4
21. Ashtabula	61.9	14	66.8	65. Huron	59.7	52	64.2
22. Van Wert	61.9	40	64.8	66. Putnam	59.5	71	62.1
23. Erie	61.7	10	67.4	67. Madison	59.4	4	68.9
24. Richland	61.7	39	64.8	68. Washington	59.4	76	61.0
25. Trumbull	61.7	13	67.0	69. Crawford	59.1	37	64.8
26. Delaware	61.5	32	65.0	70. Noble	59.0	75	61.0
27. Auglaize	61.4	25	65.5	71. Perry	58.8	72	61.9
28. Clermont	61.4	58	63.9	72. Paulding	58.7	57	64.0
29. Allen	61.3	28	65.3	73. Monroe	58.5	77	60.7
30. Clark	61.3	45	64.4	74. Adams	58.4	78	60.5
31. Hardin	61.1	41	64.7	75. Lawrence	58.2	86	59.6
32. Henry	61.1	61	63.5	76. Meigs	58.1	74	61.0
33. Sandusky	61.1	26	65.5	77. Athens	57.9	50	64.3
34. Fairfield	61.0	54	64.1	78. Hocking	57.9	79	60.5
35. Shelby	61.0	65	63.1	79. Morgan	57.8	73	61.5
36. Defiance	60.9	56	64.0	80. Scioto	57.6	83	59.8
37. Pickaway	60.9	2	69.7	81. Wayne	57.5	66	62.9
38. Wyandot	60.9	62	63.5	82. Pike	57.2	87	59.6
39. Guernsey	60.8	43	64.5	83. Ross	57.1	81	60.2
40. Jefferson	60.8	47	64.4	84. Jackson	56.8	80	60.3
41. Fulton	60.7	33	65.0	85. Gallia	56.6	84	59.7
42. Ashland	60.6	44	64.4	86. Vinton	56.6	82	59.9
43. Knox	60.5	48	64.4	87. Holmes	56.2	85	59.7
44. Logan	60.5	53	64.2	88. Geauga	40.6	21	66.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 13. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 65 Years
Old and Over of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 8.9% - 1940 7.8%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Guernsey	14.7	37	10.1	45. Seneca	10.8	38	10.1
2. Monroe	14.4	14	11.5	46. Vinton	10.8	62	9.0
3. Morgan	14.3	4	12.6	47. Muskingum	10.7	54	9.6
4. Noble	14.1	8	11.8	48. Astabula	10.6	51	9.7
5. Highland	13.2	3	12.6	49. Defiance	10.6	39	10.0
6. Brown	13.1	1	12.7	50. Fairfield	10.4	55	9.5
7. Washington	12.8	9	11.8	51. Pickaway	10.2	44	9.9
8. Clinton	12.6	2	12.7	52. Clermont	10.1	18	11.3
9. Union	12.5	15	11.5	53. Marion	10.1	61	9.2
10. Adams	12.4	28	10.5	54. Miami	10.1	40	10.0
11. Logan	12.4	10	11.7	55. Ottawa	10.1	43	9.9
12. Meigs	12.3	20	11.2	56. Columbiana	10.0	69	8.6
13. Wyandot	12.3	11	11.7	57. Erie	10.0	47	9.8
14. Williams	12.2	21	11.2	58. Mercer	10.0	59	9.4
15. Van Wert	12.1	24	10.9	59. Madison	9.9	58	9.4
16. Hardin	12.0	17	11.4	60. Medina	9.9	42	9.9
17. Fayette	11.9	26	10.7	61. Shelby	9.9	49	9.8
18. Knox	11.8	23	10.9	62. Wayne	9.9	50	9.8
19. Darke	11.7	16	11.4	63. Pike	9.8	72	7.9
20. Hancock	11.7	27	10.7	64. Wood	9.7	53	9.7
21. Harrison	11.6	34	10.3	65. Geauga	9.4	57	9.4
22. Morrow	11.6	6	12.2	66. Allen	9.2	63	8.9
23. Perry	11.6	60	9.4	67. Clark	9.1	67	8.7
24. Auglaize	11.4	22	11.0	68. Hamilton	9.1	70	8.1
25. Champaign	11.4	7	11.8	69. Holmes	9.0	66	8.8
26. Crawford	11.4	31	10.4	70. Ross	9.0	73	7.9
27. Ashland	11.3	29	10.4	71. Warren	8.9	36	10.2
28. Coshocton	11.3	33	10.3	72. Lawrence	8.8	77	7.3
29. Delaware	11.3	12	11.5	73. Lucas	8.6	81	7.0
30. Fulton	11.3	19	11.2	74. Stark	8.5	82	7.0
31. Hocking	11.3	65	8.8	75. Franklin	8.4	74	7.7
32. Huron	11.3	13	11.5	76. Scioto	8.4	85	5.7
33. Licking	11.3	25	10.8	77. Portage	8.2	64	8.9
34. Preble	11.3	5	12.6	78. Richland	8.2	71	8.1
35. Henry	11.2	35	10.3	79. Jefferson	7.9	86	5.6
36. Paulding	11.2	32	10.4	80. Lorain	7.8	80	7.2
37. Athens	11.1	56	9.4	81. Cuyahoga	7.7	84	5.7
38. Gallia	11.1	41	9.9	82. Mahoning	7.7	87	5.3
39. Jackson	11.0	52	9.7	83. Trumbull	7.5	83	5.8
40. Tuscarawas	10.9	68	8.7	84. Butler	7.3	79	7.2
41. Belmont	10.8	75	7.6	85. Lake	7.3	76	7.6
42. Carroll	10.8	30	10.4	86. Montgomery	7.3	78	7.3
43. Putnam	10.8	45	9.9	87. Greene	7.0	48	9.8
44. Sandusky	10.8	46	9.9	88. Summit	6.6	88	5.0

Table 14. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 65 Years
Old and Over of Urban Population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 9.2% - 1940 7.2%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Fulton	15.5	2	14.9	45. Ashtabula	10.5	51	8.8
2. Preble	15.3	1	17.0	46. Warren	10.5	24	10.8
3. Darke	14.7	3	13.5	47. Shelby	10.4	33	10.3
4. Highland	14.7	4	13.5	48. Ross	10.3	54	8.4
5. Hocking	14.3	26	10.7	49. Wayne	10.3	41	9.8
6. Union	14.0	16	11.4	50. Erie	10.1	45	9.2
7. Carroll	13.9	28	10.5	51. Lucas	10.1	65	7.3
8. Fayette	13.9	13	11.5	52. Medina	10.1	44	9.6
9. Washington	13.8	5	12.5	53. Hamilton	10.0	59	8.2
10. Wyandot	13.5	9	12.3	54. Marion	10.0	53	8.6
11. Clinton	13.4	7	12.3	55. Ottawa	10.0	49	8.9
12. Guernsey	13.1	46	9.2	56. Scioto	10.0	70	6.0
13. Hardin	13.1	6	12.4	57. Clark	9.9	56	8.3
14. Coshocton	12.9	37	10.0	58. Richland	9.9	61	8.1
15. Harrison	12.9	11	11.6	59. Fairfield	9.8	60	8.1
16. Pickaway	12.9	12	11.6	60. Athens	9.6	40	9.8
17. Knox	12.7	27	10.6	61. Putnam	9.6	87	0.0
18. Van Wert	12.7	20	11.0	62. Wood	9.6	23	10.9
19. Auglaize	12.6	17	11.3	63. Allen	9.5	55	8.3
20. Lawrence	12.6	57	8.3	64. Stark	9.5	69	6.9
21. Meigs	12.5	15	11.4	65. Montgomery	9.4	66	7.3
22. Jackson	12.4	22	10.9	66. Franklin	9.0	63	7.6
23. Ashland	12.3	34	10.1	67. Greene	8.9	38	9.9
24. Williams	12.3	25	10.8	68. Trumbull	8.6	72	5.4
25. Crawford	12.0	29	10.5	69. Mahoning	8.3	74	4.9
26. Logan	12.0	32	10.4	70. Lorain	8.2	68	6.9
27. Belmont	11.9	62	7.7	71. Butler	8.1	67	7.2
28. Hancock	11.9	21	10.9	72. Portage	8.1	50	8.9
29. Henry	11.9	14	11.5	73. Jefferson	8.0	73	5.3
30. Tuscarawas	11.9	52	8.8	74. Cuyahoga	7.8	71	5.6
31. Champaign	11.6	10	12.1	75. Lake	7.3	64	7.3
32. Gallia	11.6	42	9.7	76. Summit	6.9	75	4.8
33. Huron	11.6	18	11.3	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Sandusky	11.4	30	10.5	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Madison	11.3	19	11.2	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Delaware	11.2	8	12.3	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Mercer	11.2	39	9.8	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Licking	11.1	43	9.7	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Perry	11.1	48	9.1	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Seneca	11.1	36	10.1	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Defiance	11.0	31	10.4	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Miami	10.9	35	10.1	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Muskingum	10.9	47	9.1	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Columbiana	10.7	58	8.2	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 15. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 65 Years Old and Over of Rural Nonfarm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 8.7% - 1940 8.8%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Guernsey	18.0	49	10.5	45. Ashland	10.6	34	11.8
2. Monroe	17.6	22	13.1	46. Coshocton	10.5	47	10.6
3. Morgan	16.9	16	13.8	47. Wayne	10.5	39	11.4
4. Brown	16.7	7	14.7	48. Mercer	10.3	45	10.7
5. Adams	16.2	26	12.8	49. Hocking	10.2	68	7.8
6. Noble	15.7	38	11.5	50. Carroll	10.1	52	10.2
7. Highland	15.2	14	14.3	51. Pike	10.1	64	8.4
8. Logan	15.2	8	14.7	52. Tuscarawas	10.1	67	8.1
9. Williams	14.9	2	15.7	53. Wood	10.1	59	9.5
10. Wyandot	14.6	1	16.0	54. Belmont	10.0	79	6.5
11. Clinton	14.1	3	15.4	55. Fayette	10.0	13	14.3
12. Union	14.0	12	14.4	56. Muskingum	9.9	60	9.4
13. Morrow	13.5	6	14.8	57. Sandusky	9.9	58	9.5
14. Putnam	13.5	28	12.7	58. Clermont	9.8	42	11.2
15. Van Wert	13.5	17	13.8	59. Geauga	9.6	44	10.7
16. Henry	13.4	24	13.0	60. Ottawa	9.6	57	9.5
17. Darke	13.3	11	14.4	61. Miami	9.5	33	12.2
18. Hardin	13.2	20	13.5	62. Erie	9.4	51	10.3
19. Fulton	13.1	18	13.7	63. Medina	9.3	43	10.6
20. Washington	13.1	36	11.7	64. Jackson	9.0	72	7.0
21. Hancock	13.0	10	14.5	65. Clark	8.9	63	8.9
22. Champaign	12.9	4	14.8	66. Columbiana	8.7	66	8.1
23. Athens	12.8	69	7.5	67. Pickaway	8.5	23	13.1
24. Paulding	12.7	29	12.6	68. Allen	8.3	50	10.3
25. Gallia	12.5	56	9.6	69. Warren	8.2	46	10.7
26. Knox	12.4	31	12.2	70. Portage	8.0	62	8.8
27. Perry	12.4	61	9.3	71. Ross	7.8	70	7.4
28. Holmes	12.1	41	11.3	72. Lawrence	7.7	83	5.7
29. Delaware	12.0	37	11.6	73. Jefferson	7.5	84	5.5
30. Meigs	11.9	54	9.9	74. Stark	7.1	77	6.7
31. Huron	11.8	19	13.7	75. Lake	6.9	73	7.0
32. Licking	11.7	25	13.0	76. Mahoning	6.9	78	6.6
33. Auglaize	11.6	27	12.7	77. Cuyahoga	6.8	76	6.8
34. Preble	11.6	9	14.6	78. Scioto	6.7	88	4.5
35. Vinton	11.6	65	8.4	79. Franklin	6.6	71	7.1
36. Seneca	11.5	21	13.4	80. Trumbull	6.4	86	5.0
37. Crawford	11.4	15	13.8	81. Hamilton	6.2	82	5.7
38. Fairfield	11.3	40	11.3	82. Lorain	6.0	74	6.9
39. Harrison	11.3	55	9.8	83. Richland	6.0	75	6.9
40. Defiance	11.2	30	12.3	84. Summit	6.0	85	5.4
41. Shelby	11.2	35	11.7	85. Butler	5.6	81	6.4
42. Ashtabula	10.8	43	11.1	86. Lucas	5.2	87	4.7
43. Madison	10.8	5	14.8	87. Greene	5.1	53	10.0
44. Marion	10.8	32	12.2	88. Montgomery	5.1	80	6.5

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 16. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons 65 Years Old and Over of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 9.8% - 1940 9.4%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Noble	12.8	3	11.9	45. Trumbull	9.8	64	8.7
2. Meigs	12.4	5	11.8	46. Auglaize	9.7	39	9.5
3. Belmont	12.2	37	9.6	47. Crawford	9.7	51	9.1
4. Monroe	12.1	19	10.6	48. Jefferson	9.7	77	7.9
5. Morgan	11.9	2	11.9	49. Morrow	9.7	25	10.4
6. Guernsey	11.8	13	10.8	50. Pickaway	9.7	84	7.4
7. Lake	11.6	24	10.4	51. Allen	9.6	36	9.6
8. Harrison	11.5	21	10.5	52. Brown	9.6	9	11.3
9. Hamilton	11.4	1	13.3	53. Columbiana	9.6	27	10.2
10. Licking	11.4	7	11.4	54. Hocking	9.6	72	8.4
11. Ottawa	11.4	14	10.8	55. Marion	9.6	44	9.4
12. Jackson	11.1	18	10.6	56. Pike	9.6	83	7.5
13. Washington	11.1	8	11.4	57. Champaign	9.5	42	9.4
14. Licking	11.0	12	11.0	58. Wyandot	9.4	47	9.4
15. Erie	10.9	10	11.1	59. Adams	9.2	58	8.8
16. Clermont	10.8	6	11.5	60. Defiance	9.2	52	9.1
17. Delaware	10.8	17	10.7	61. Greene	9.2	48	9.3
18. Fairfield	10.6	28	10.2	62. Mercer	9.2	73	8.4
19. Franklin	10.6	43	9.4	63. Preble	9.2	35	9.7
20. Cuyahoga	10.5	60	6.7	64. Tuscarawas	9.2	50	9.3
21. Highland	10.5	11	11.0	65. Williams	9.2	53	9.1
22. Medina	10.5	38	9.6	66. Butler	9.1	75	8.3
23. Perry	10.5	34	9.7	67. Hardin	9.1	54	9.0
24. Union	10.5	33	9.8	68. Henry	9.1	67	8.5
25. Wood	10.5	55	9.0	69. Seneca	9.1	69	8.5
26. Astabula	10.4	26	10.3	70. Geauga	9.0	66	8.5
27. Sandusky	10.4	49	9.3	71. Lucas	9.0	80	7.8
28. Athens	10.3	4	11.8	72. Stark	9.0	70	8.5
29. Coshocton	10.3	23	8.9	73. Paulding	8.9	76	8.3
30. Mahoning	10.3	57	8.9	74. Ross	8.9	81	7.8
31. Fayette	10.2	65	8.5	75. Warren	8.9	46	9.4
32. Huron	10.2	22	10.5	76. Darke	8.7	61	8.7
33. Montgomery	10.1	68	8.5	77. Miami	8.7	79	7.9
34. Vinton	10.1	41	9.5	78. Logan	8.6	30	10.2
35. Gallia	10.0	29	10.2	79. Wayne	8.6	71	8.5
36. Knox	10.0	31	10.0	80. Richland	8.6	45	9.4
37. Ashland	9.9	32	9.9	81. Summit	8.6	86	7.1
38. Clinton	9.9	15	10.7	82. Putnam	8.5	82	7.7
39. Van Wert	9.9	40	9.5	83. Lawrence	8.3	78	7.9
40. Carroll	9.8	20	10.5	84. Shelby	8.3	74	8.4
41. Clark	9.8	15	10.7	85. Scioto	8.2	87	6.8
42. Hancock	9.8	63	8.7	86. Fulton	7.8	62	8.7
43. Lorain	9.8	56	8.9	87. Madison	7.5	88	6.0
44. Portage	9.8	59	8.8	88. Holmes	7.0	85	7.3

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 17. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15 Years of Age and 65 Years of Age and Over (Dependent Population) of Total Population, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 34.7% - 1940 30.7%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Vinton	44.3	2	39.6	45. Crawford	38.4	64	33.2
2. Pike	43.1	1	40.3	46. Guernsey	38.4	63	33.4
3. Adams	42.6	4	39.1	47. Wayne	38.3	40	35.0
4. Holmes	42.3	3	39.4	48. Ashland	38.2	54	33.9
5. Monroe	42.2	5	38.8	49. Coshocton	38.2	47	34.6
6. Morgan	42.2	6	38.2	50. Seneca	38.2	41	34.9
7. Meigs	42.0	13	37.1	51. Tuscarawas	38.2	56	33.9
8. Jackson	41.7	7	37.9	52. Miami	36.1	55	33.9
9. Noble	41.6	8	37.8	53. Knox	37.9	60	33.6
10. Putnam	41.5	10	37.6	54. Fairfield	37.8	48	34.6
11. Paulding	41.3	15	36.9	55. Pickaway	37.8	53	34.3
12. Brown	41.1	11	37.1	56. Medina	37.7	71	32.6
13. Morrow	41.0	14	37.0	57. Ross	37.7	44	34.7
14. Highland	40.9	23	36.3	58. Madison	37.6	57	33.8
15.ocking	40.8	12	37.1	59. Muskingum	37.6	52	34.3
16. Preble	40.8	16	36.8	60. Hancock	37.4	59	33.6
17. Champaign	40.6	24	36.2	61. Marion	37.4	62	33.5
18. Fayette	40.4	32	35.7	62. Ottawa	37.3	69	32.7
19. Geauga	40.4	49	34.6	63. Ashtabula	37.1	73	32.0
20. Carroll	40.3	31	35.7	64. Columbiana	37.1	65	33.0
21. Darke	40.2	27	35.9	65. Delaware	37.0	37	35.2
22. Fulton	40.2	33	35.7	66. Licking	37.0	66	32.9
23. Perry	40.1	30	35.8	67. Belmont	36.9	67	32.8
24. Union	40.1	39	35.1	68. Allen	36.0	72	32.3
25. Wyandot	40.1	19	36.6	69. Wood	36.0	42	34.9
26. Logan	39.9	43	34.8	70. Athens	35.9	35	35.2
27. Clermont	39.8	21	36.4	71. Erie	35.8	76	31.3
28. Clinton	39.8	36	35.2	72. Portage	35.7	70	32.7
29. Gallia	39.8	17	36.7	73. Greene	35.6	61	33.5
30. Lawrence	39.6	9	37.6	74. Lake	35.5	77	31.1
31. Hardin	39.7	28	35.9	75. Clark	35.4	71	31.6
32. Harrison	39.5	22	36.4	76. Jefferson	35.2	75	31.6
33. Defiance	39.4	38	35.1	77. Trumbull	35.0	79	30.5
34. Henry	39.4	25	36.0	78. Richland	34.8	78	30.5
35. Washington	39.4	18	36.7	79. Stark	34.7	80	30.1
36. Mercer	39.3	20	36.5	80. Lorain	34.5	81	29.9
37. Williams	39.3	50	34.6	81. Butler	34.2	68	32.7
38. Huron	39.2	51	34.5	82. Montgomery	33.4	82	29.1
39. Shelby	39.2	29	35.9	83. Lucas	33.2	84	28.8
40. Warren	39.2	26	36.0	84. Hamilton	33.0	83	28.9
41. Auglaize	39.0	46	34.6	85. Summit	32.7	87	28.1
42. Van Wert	38.8	45	34.7	86. Mahoning	32.2	85	28.6
43. Sandusky	38.5	58	33.8	87. Franklin	31.9	86	28.5
44. Scioto	38.5	34	35.5	88. Cuyahoga	31.1	88	25.6

Table 10. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15 Years of Age and 65 Years of Age and Over (Dependent Population) of Urban Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State total - 1950 34.7 - 1940 28.3							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Greene	48.1	31	32.3	45. Lake	36.3	60	29.9
2. Lawrence	45.2	24	32.8	46. Muskingum	36.3	36	31.9
3. Montgomery	41.7	69	28.2	47. Warren	36.3	16	33.8
4. Putnam	40.7	87	0.0	48. Ashland	36.1	42	31.3
5. Pickaway	40.5	1	36.3	49. Clark	35.9	53	30.3
6. Fulton	40.3	5	34.8	50. Knox	35.9	58	30.2
7. Highland	39.6	10	34.4	51. Clinton	35.8	35	31.9
8. Jackson	39.3	4	35.1	52. Fairfield	35.7	30	32.4
9. Preble	39.1	2	35.8	53. Mercer	35.5	33	32.2
10. Fayette	39.0	9	34.5	54. Wood	35.4	12	34.2
11. Meigs	38.9	32	32.2	55. Ross	35.3	41	31.4
12. Defiance	38.4	14	33.9	56. Coshocton	35.2	54	30.3
13. Auglaize	38.2	17	33.5	57. Hamilton	35.2	68	28.2
14. Wyandot	38.2	6	34.7	58. Allen	35.1	44	31.1
15. Champaign	38.1	7	34.6	59. Ashtabula	35.1	61	29.7
16. Richland	37.9	62	28.9	60. Columbiana	35.1	50	30.7
17. Trumbull	37.8	67	28.4	61. Medina	35.1	55	30.3
18. Carroll	37.8	45	31.1	62. Licking	34.9	51	30.5
19. Darke	37.7	13	33.9	63. Portage	34.9	56	30.3
20. Harrison	37.6	18	33.5	64. Butler	34.8	43	31.3
21. Van Wert	37.6	25	32.8	65. Erie	34.5	57	30.2
22. Belmont	37.4	52	30.3	66. Ottawa	34.3	66	28.5
23. Madison	37.4	3	35.3	67. Wayne	34.0	47	31.1
24. Lucas	37.3	70	27.8	68. Mahoning	33.7	71	27.7
25. Perry	37.3	20	33.1	69. Summit	33.5	74	27.3
26. Hardin	37.2	11	34.2	70. Franklin	33.2	73	27.4
27. Henry	37.2	15	33.8	71. Lorain	33.1	63	28.7
28. Huron	37.2	26	32.7	72. Gallia	32.3	72	27.6
29. Sandusky	37.2	22	32.9	73. Delaware	32.2	8	31.6
30. Shelby	37.2	23	32.9	74. Jefferson	32.0	65	28.5
31. Union	37.2	48	31.0	75. Cuyahoga	31.2	75	25.4
32. Scioto	37.1	46	31.1	76. Athens	27.6	49	30.9
33. Williams	37.0	40	31.5	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Logan	36.9	37	31.7	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Stark	36.9	64	28.6	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Crawford	36.7	39	31.6	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Hocking	36.7	28	32.5	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Tuscarawas	36.6	38	31.7	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Marion	36.5	27	32.7	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Miami	36.5	34	32.2	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Hancock	36.4	21	33.0	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Seneca	36.4	19	33.4	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Washington	36.4	29	32.5	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Guernsey	36.3	59	30.1	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 19. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15 Years of Age and 65 Years of Age and Over (Dependent Population) of Rural Non Farm Population*, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 33.8% - 1940 35.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Vinton	45.2	20	39.0	45. Mercer	40.4	41	37.3
2. Highland	44.7	8	39.8	46. Geauga	40.3	64	35.5
3. Meigs	44.6	14	39.1	47. Sandusky	40.3	67	35.2
4. Wyandot	44.6	5	40.5	48. Ashtabula	40.2	59	36.0
5. Hardin	44.0	26	38.3	49. Columbiana	40.2	52	36.5
6. Jackson	44.0	3	40.6	50. Fairfield	40.2	46	37.0
7. Adams	43.8	23	38.5	51. Tuscarawas	40.2	51	36.7
8. Fayette	43.7	6	40.3	52. Athens	40.1	19	39.0
9. Hancock	43.6	43	37.1	53. Medina	40.1	57	36.1
10. Williams	43.6	18	39.1	54. Coshocton	40.0	34	37.8
11. Champaign	43.5	10	39.6	55. Holmes	40.0	33	37.9
12. Pike	43.5	7	40.2	56. Wayne	40.0	39	37.4
13. Monroe	43.2	31	38.0	57. Guernsey	39.9	65	35.5
14. Gallia	42.9	1	42.0	58. Harrison	39.8	35	37.8
15. Hocking	42.9	24	38.5	59. Licking	39.8	61	36.0
16. Crawford	42.7	29	38.1	60. Scioto	39.3	2	41.2
17. Logan	42.6	44	37.1	61. Knox	38.7	71	34.9
18. Putnam	42.6	42	37.3	62. Muskingum	38.6	48	36.9
19. Brown	42.5	55	36.4	63. Ottawa	38.5	75	34.0
20. Defiance	42.5	22	38.6	64. Jefferson	38.2	72	34.8
21. Noble	42.4	63	35.7	65. Lorain	37.7	76	33.7
22. Shelby	42.4	17	39.1	66. Erie	37.5	83	33.0
23. Clinton	42.3	32	37.9	67. Ross	37.0	77	33.5
24. Preble	42.3	11	39.5	68. Allen	36.8	73	34.3
25. Morgan	42.1	37	37.7	69. Wood	36.5	62	36.0
26. Morrow	42.1	16	39.1	70. Lawrence	36.4	9	39.7
27. Van Wert	42.1	30	38.1	71. Belmont	36.3	74	34.2
28. Carroll	42.0	45	37.0	72. Portage	35.7	58	36.1
29. Darke	42.0	25	38.4	73. Clark	35.6	68	35.1
30. Seneca	42.0	28	38.2	74. Madison	35.6	27	38.2
31. Warren	42.0	13	39.3	75. Lake	34.9	84	33.0
32. Union	41.9	12	39.5	76. Pickaway	34.8	4	40.5
33. Delaware	41.8	60	36.0	77. Butler	33.2	38	37.5
34. Henry	41.7	49	36.8	78. Trumbull	31.0	79	33.4
35. Huron	41.7	53	36.5	79. Summit	29.9	82	33.1
36. Marion	41.7	40	37.3	80. Stark	29.8	80	33.3
37. Paulding	41.4	36	37.8	81. Richland	29.0	87	30.8
38. Washington	41.4	21	38.8	82. Cuyahoga	28.7	88	29.5
39. Ashland	41.3	54	36.4	83. Mahoning	27.7	85	32.4
40. Miami	41.2	15	39.1	84. Franklin	26.5	69	34.9
41. Perry	41.1	66	35.5	85. Greene	24.9	70	34.9
42. Fulton	41.0	47	37.0	86. Hamilton	21.3	78	33.4
43. Auglaize	40.5	56	36.2	87. Lucas	19.0	81	33.1
44. Clermont	40.4	50	36.7	88. Montgomery	17.7	86	31.6

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 20. Rank of Counties by Percent of Persons Under 15 Years of Age and 65 Years of Age and Over (Dependent Population) of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 39.4% - 1940 35.5							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Holmes	43.8	4	40.3	45. Logan	39.5	37	35.8
2. Gallia	43.4	3	40.3	46. Preble	39.5	49	35.2
3. Vinton	43.4	6	40.1	47. Ashland	39.4	39	35.6
4. Jackson	43.2	8	39.7	48. Fulton	39.3	56	35.0
5. Ross	42.9	7	39.8	49. Guernsey	39.2	45	35.5
6. Pike	42.8	2	40.4	50. Jefferson	39.2	42	35.5
7. Wayne	42.5	22	37.1	51. Defiance	39.1	32	36.0
8. Scioto	42.4	5	40.2	52. Pickaway	39.1	87	30.3
9. Morgan	42.2	15	38.5	53. Wyandot	39.1	27	36.5
10. Athens	42.1	38	35.7	54. Fairfield	39.0	34	35.9
11. Hocking	42.1	10	39.5	55. Shelby	39.0	23	36.9
12. Meigs	41.9	12	39.0	56. Hardin	38.9	47	35.3
13. Lawrence	41.8	1	40.4	57. Henry	38.9	26	36.5
14. Adams	41.6	9	39.5	58. Sandusky	38.9	62	34.5
15. Monroe	41.5	11	39.3	59. Allen	38.7	60	34.7
16. Paulding	41.3	33	36.0	60. Clark	38.7	40	35.6
17. Perry	41.2	16	38.1	61. Auglaize	38.6	61	34.5
18. Noble	41.0	13	39.0	62. Clermont	38.6	30	36.1
19. Crawford	40.9	48	35.2	63. Delaware	38.5	55	35.0
20. Geauga	40.6	66	34.0	64. Erie	38.3	79	32.6
21. Madison	40.6	85	31.1	65. Richland	38.3	50	35.2
22. Washington	40.6	14	39.0	66. Trumbull	38.3	77	33.0
23. Putnam	40.5	17	37.9	67. Ashtabula	38.1	73	33.2
24. Belmont	40.3	24	36.6	68. Van Wert	38.1	51	35.2
25. Huron	40.3	36	35.8	69. Hancock	38.0	74	33.2
26. Carroll	40.2	21	37.2	70. Portage	38.0	80	32.5
27. Champaign	40.2	52	35.1	71. Lorain	37.8	83	31.5
28. Coshocton	40.2	25	36.6	72. Williams	37.8	59	34.8
29. Mercer	40.2	20	37.5	73. Wood	37.7	67	34.0
30. Muskingum	40.2	18	37.7	74. Medina	37.5	81	32.1
31. Harrison	40.1	41	35.6	75. Miami	37.2	75	33.2
32. Tuscarawas	40.1	29	36.2	76. Warren	36.9	58	34.3
33. Union	40.1	65	34.1	77. Lucas	36.7	64	34.2
34. Clinton	40.0	54	35.0	78. Greene	36.5	72	33.3
35. Darke	39.9	53	35.1	79. Montgomery	36.4	82	32.0
36. Morrow	39.9	44	35.6	80. Franklin	36.3	78	32.7
37. Columbiana	39.8	31	36.0	81. Butler	36.2	71	33.5
38. Stark	39.7	63	34.5	82. Ottawa	36.2	76	33.0
39. Brown	39.6	19	37.6	83. Marion	35.9	68	33.9
40. Fayette	39.6	46	35.4	84. Mahoning	35.8	70	33.6
41. Highland	39.6	35	35.8	85. Lake	35.4	86	30.6
42. Licking	39.6	57	35.0	86. Summit	34.8	84	31.2
43. Seneca	39.6	28	36.3	87. Hamilton	34.7	69	33.6
44. Knox	39.5	43	35.6	88. Cuyahoga	31.3	88	30.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban.

Table 21. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 20-24 Years of Age of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State Ratio 1950 - 91.0 - Ratio 1940 - 96.8							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Ross	136.1	4	128.1	45. Warren	94.2	55	103.1
2. Athens	135.3	64	100.3	46. Fayette	94.1	47	105.3
3. Richland	123.1	1	145.5	47. Licking	93.9	57	102.8
4. Portage	122.3	22	112.4	48. Shelby	92.9	9	119.6
5. Hardin	118.7	45	105.5	49. Sandusky	92.1	65	100.1
6. Wood	114.7	59	102.7	50. Paulding	92.0	10	117.3
7. Monroe	112.6	16	115.2	51. Ottawa	91.6	30	109.8
8. Morrow	112.0	18	113.9	52. Tuscarawas	91.2	50	104.7
9. Vinton	107.2	5	125.8	53. Crawford	91.1	38	107.9
10. Knox	106.7	58	102.7	54. Guernsey	91.1	17	115.0
11. Madison	106.7	8	119.8	55. Lawrence	91.1	42	106.0
12. Delaware	106.6	35	108.6	56. Jackson	90.9	46	105.5
13. Mercer	106.3	6	124.6	57. Lucas	90.4	86	89.4
14. Greene	106.0	2	137.2	58. Preble	90.2	14	115.9
15. Henry	105.9	29	110.5	59. Meigs	90.0	27	111.3
16. Putnam	105.3	31	109.5	60. Jefferson	89.9	68	99.4
17. Wyandot	103.9	26	112.0	61. Muskingum	89.8	79	92.9
18. Brown	103.2	32	109.4	62. Champaign	89.6	67	99.4
19. Clinton	102.5	52	103.8	63. Van Wert	89.3	72	98.7
20. Carroll	100.0	24	112.0	64. Erie	89.0	37	108.0
21. Holmes	99.7	28	111.0	65. Hancock	88.9	63	100.4
22. Clermont	99.2	23	112.2	66. Mahoning	88.8	61	100.8
23. Harrison	99.0	33	109.1	67. Columbiana	88.6	73	98.1
24. Pickaway	98.7	12	116.6	68. Adams	88.0	34	108.7
25. Wayne	98.5	51	104.1	69. Medina	87.8	25	112.0
26. Huron	98.4	39	107.5	70. Fairfield	87.4	74	96.7
27. Franklin	98.2	87	88.8	71. Geauga	87.2	7	122.4
28. Noble	97.9	15	115.7	72. Highland	87.2	75	96.7
29. Defiance	97.8	40	106.9	73. Stark	87.1	77	93.9
30. Hocking	97.8	13	116.3	74. Clark	86.9	81	92.4
31. Seneca	97.8	43	106.0	75. Allen	86.0	78	93.8
32. Lorain	97.3	53	103.5	76. Williams	86.0	49	105.0
33. Perry	97.2	11	116.9	77. Belmont	85.6	62	100.4
34. Ashland	97.1	76	96.6	78. Cuyahoga	85.2	83	90.5
35. Butler	97.1	80	92.7	79. Lake	84.8	54	103.1
36. Pike	97.0	3	131.5	80. Summit	84.6	84	90.4
37. Auglaize	96.3	20	113.4	81. Marion	84.4	70	99.1
38. Washington	96.2	71	98.9	82. Coshocton	84.2	44	105.7
39. Gallia	96.0	48	105.3	83. Hamilton	83.9	88	85.7
40. Morgan	95.6	21	113.1	84. Trumbull	83.9	66	99.9
41. Ashtabula	95.5	41	106.7	85. Montgomery	83.6	85	90.2
42. Darke	95.5	36	108.5	86. Miami	83.2	56	102.9
43. Logan	95.0	69	99.1	87. Scioto	83.1	82	91.2
44. Fulton	94.4	19	113.5	88. Union	81.7	60	102.2

** Number of Males per 100 Females

Table 22. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 20-24 Years of Age of Urban Population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State Ratio 1950 - 88.0 - Ratio 1940 - 89.6							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Athens	166.6	73	79.6	45. Pickaway	83.4	12	96.6
2. Portage	130.3	55	86.2	46. Hamilton	83.3	58	84.6
3. Hardin	130.0	75	76.6	47. Mercer	83.3	23	93.6
4. Wood	125.6	71	80.1	48. Montgomery	83.3	49	87.7
5. Delaware	107.1	40	90.0	49. Lawrence	83.2	16	95.0
6. Greene	103.4	1	157.4	50. Allen	82.9	53	86.9
7. Butler	100.6	41	89.8	51. Champaign	82.8	56	85.7
8. Wyandot	99.3	61	83.8	52. Licking	82.4	13	95.9
9. Franklin	98.7	52	87.0	53. Shelby	81.8	9	98.2
10. Defiance	98.2	28	91.4	54. Marion	81.1	20	94.3
11. Warren	98.2	57	84.9	55. Trumbull	81.1	35	90.4
12. Lorain	97.9	14	95.8	56. Muskingum	80.7	63	82.4
13. Seneca	95.4	19	94.6	57. Knox	80.4	60	83.9
14. Ashland	95.1	68	81.2	58. Union	79.7	43	89.4
15. Ashtabula	94.9	21	94.2	59. Fulton	78.7	59	83.9
16. Huron	94.8	36	90.2	60. Jackson	78.7	17	94.9
17. Clinton	94.5	33	90.6	61. Guernsey	78.6	10	96.9
18. Washington	94.5	66	81.7	62. Fairfield	78.5	67	81.3
19. Hocking	92.9	27	91.8	63. Belmont	78.3	48	87.8
20. Henry	92.8	74	76.7	64. Gallia	78.2	22	94.2
21. Wayne	90.6	72	79.7	65. Williams	77.7	50	87.6
22. Logan	90.2	54	86.3	66. Van Wert	76.8	51	87.1
23. Lucas	89.9	42	89.4	67. Preble	76.2	2	107.1
24. Madison	89.2	15	95.3	68. Miami	76.1	18	94.8
25. Auglaize	88.7	4	106.0	69. Medina	75.1	44	89.2
26. Sandusky	88.4	34	90.6	70. Scioto	74.6	65	81.7
27. Jefferson	88.1	29	91.4	71. Highland	73.4	64	82.1
28. Perry	87.7	7	100.3	72. Meigs	73.0	3	106.2
29. Mahoning	87.6	8	99.5	73. Carroll	70.8	69	81.1
30. Ross	87.3	70	81.0	74. Coshocton	70.6	24	93.3
31. Clark	86.3	37	90.1	75. Putnam	66.9	87	0.0
32. Crawford	86.3	25	93.1	76. Harrison	64.8	6	100.8
33. Richland	86.2	30	91.4	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Ottawa	85.8	62	83.7	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Stark	85.5	32	90.8	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Fayette	85.3	11	96.6	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Cuyahoga	85.1	39	90.1	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Tuscarawas	84.7	26	92.7	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Darke	84.6	31	90.8	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Lake	84.6	5	102.5	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Columbiana	84.5	38	90.1	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Hancock	84.4	47	88.3	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Erie	84.0	45	89.2	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Summit	83.6	46	88.8	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

** Number of Males Per 100 Females

* Based on 1950 definition of urban

Table 23. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 20-24 Years of Age of Rural Nonfarm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State Ratio 1950 - 92.4 - Ratio 1940 99.1							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Richland	233.8	1	335.7	45. Seneca	88.9	30	98.8
2. Ross	191.4	2	223.0	46. Delaware	88.7	45	95.1
3. Knox	124.4	28	99.5	47. Huron	88.5	31	98.7
4. Madison	110.6	40	96.2	48. Ashland	88.0	76	88.6
5. Greene	109.9	16	104.9	49. Jefferson	88.0	25	100.5
6. Licking	106.6	72	89.3	50. Marion	88.0	62	91.0
7. Portage	104.9	44	95.3	51. Athens	87.9	13	106.2
8. Wayne	104.3	52	93.6	52. Jackson	87.8	50	93.9
9. Mercer	104.2	19	103.7	53. Scioto	87.3	69	89.7
10. Fayette	102.7	10	111.3	54. Shelby	87.3	4	124.1
11. Hardin	99.6	27	100.3	55. Columbiana	87.1	38	96.9
12. Putnam	99.1	82	84.6	56. Hamilton	87.1	57	91.8
13. Henry	98.8	49	93.9	57. Champaign	87.0	48	94.8
14. Pickaway	98.6	46	95.0	58. Darke	86.9	65	89.9
15. Morrow	97.9	88	78.7	59. Auglaize	86.4	59	91.6
16. Noble	97.5	36	97.3	60. Mahoning	86.4	42	95.9
17. Erie	97.1	3	137.4	61. Carroll	85.9	39	96.6
18. Harrison	97.0	84	83.9	62. Stark	85.4	74	89.1
19. Clermont	95.8	73	89.1	63. Fairfield	85.3	21	101.8
20. Clinton	95.5	77	88.2	64. Crawford	85.0	43	95.7
21. Logan	95.0	75	89.0	65. Preble	85.0	78	87.4
22. Perry	94.7	7	114.4	66. Washington	84.7	63	90.8
23. Monroe	93.9	53	93.1	67. Lawrence	84.2	29	99.5
24. Gallia	93.4	17	104.6	68. Miami	83.8	81	85.2
25. Fulton	93.1	41	96.1	69. Pike	83.8	8	113.6
26. Guernsey	92.7	11	110.0	70. Meigs	83.5	51	93.7
27. Cuyahoga	92.5	23	100.6	71. Lake	83.4	60	91.3
28. Muskingum	92.3	67	89.9	72. Defiance	83.2	5	123.2
29. Hocking	92.0	9	113.4	73. Morgan	83.1	55	92.4
30. Tuscarawas	92.0	22	101.4	74. Warren	82.9	37	97.1
31. Vinton	91.8	12	106.6	75. Brown	82.2	80	86.1
32. Holmes	91.6	66	89.9	76. Butler	82.2	79	86.3
33. Van Wert	91.3	24	100.6	77. Hancock	81.9	56	92.3
34. Lucas	91.0	87	79.0	78. Clark	81.5	86	79.0
35. Paulding	90.6	33	97.8	79. Montgomery	81.3	64	90.4
36. Belmont	90.4	14	105.2	80. Ashtabula	80.8	68	89.8
37. Franklin	90.1	61	91.2	81. Medina	79.5	54	92.4
38. Lorain	89.7	35	97.3	82. Trumbull	79.4	26	100.4
39. Ottowa	89.7	15	105.1	83. Coshocton	77.1	20	103.3
40. Summit	89.6	34	97.8	84. Highland	76.7	71	89.4
41. Allen	89.5	32	98.4	85. Williams	76.1	70	89.7
42. Wyandot	89.4	6	121.9	86. Geauga	75.9	85	79.7
43. Wood	89.3	47	94.9	87. Adams	73.9	83	83.9
44. Sandusky	89.0	58	91.8	88. Union	65.1	18	104.1

** Number of Males per 100 Females

* Based on 1950 definition of urban.

Table 24. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 20-24 Years of Age of Rural Farm Population,* Ohio, 1940-1950

State Ratio 1950 - 118.9 - Ratio 1940 - 138.5							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Muskingum	146.5	30	144.5	45. Hancock	118.8	59	132.0
2. Richland	143.0	13	153.8	46. Williams	118.7	54	134.3
3. Carroll	142.9	27	145.7	47. Meigs	118.4	65	130.7
4. Harrison	138.6	9	159.1	48. Perry	118.4	41	137.5
5. Lawrence	137.3	69	129.8	49. Seneca	117.9	43	137.3
6. Coshocton	136.3	79	124.0	50. Athens	117.1	47	136.3
7. Morrow	133.7	22	147.6	51. Van Wert	117.1	85	114.4
8. Mahoning	133.0	16	151.7	52. Shelby	116.8	29	145.3
9. Clinton	131.7	57	132.9	53. Ashland	116.6	46	136.7
10. Jefferson	131.4	15	152.9	54. Hocking	116.4	24	146.6
11. Brown	131.0	61	131.8	55. Pike	116.4	20	148.3
12. Vinton	131.0	17	150.5	56. Darke	116.2	68	130.0
13. Medina	129.6	12	154.4	57. Crawford	116.1	6	161.9
14. Portage	129.2	1	179.6	58. Summit	115.9	81	123.5
15. Monroe	129.0	70	129.5	59. Hardin	115.6	53	135.0
16. Hamilton	128.9	88	106.8	60. Belmont	113.5	42	137.4
17. Licking	128.0	52	135.2	61. Geauga	112.4	2	169.3
18. Trumbull	127.9	7	160.7	62. Franklin	112.1	40	137.5
19. Auglaize	127.6	35	140.0	63. Morgan	112.0	62	131.6
20. Ashtabula	127.0	4	166.0	64. Lucas	111.9	31	141.6
21. Putnam	127.0	60	131.9	65. Montgomery	111.9	66	130.7
22. Jackson	126.7	25	146.1	66. Defiance	111.2	64	130.9
23. Mercer	125.7	14	153.4	67. Sandusky	110.2	76	128.0
24. Delaware	125.3	45	136.9	68. Miami	109.8	26	146.1
25. Ross	124.9	75	128.6	69. Butler	109.7	71	129.3
26. Wyandot	124.6	44	137.2	70. Clermont	109.1	10	158.7
27. Pickaway	124.5	39	137.7	71. Wayne	109.1	11	156.3
28. Warren	124.5	67	130.3	72. Preble	108.0	23	146.7
29. Guernsey	124.1	8	159.3	73. Greene	107.9	19	149.4
30. Scioto	123.2	49	135.6	74. Ottawa	107.6	48	135.6
31. Fairfield	122.9	51	135.3	75. Fayette	106.7	86	113.4
32. Henry	122.8	37	139.2	76. Union	106.6	87	107.9
33. Tuscarawas	122.6	5	163.4	77. Holmes	106.0	77	125.1
34. Huron	122.4	21	148.0	78. Champaign	104.5	82	118.9
35. Knox	122.3	56	133.0	79. Logan	103.8	89	124.0
36. Wood	122.0	38	138.2	80. Adams	103.2	58	132.6
37. Lorain	121.8	3	167.9	81. Fulton	103.2	55	133.2
38. Columbiana	121.7	36	139.5	82. Erie	102.7	18	150.0
39. Stark	121.0	33	140.5	83. Marion	100.0	74	128.7
40. Gallia	120.2	83	116.2	84. Noble	98.3	72	129.0
41. Washington	120.2	73	129.0	85. Allen	98.1	78	124.9
42. Madison	120.1	28	145.6	86. Lake	97.1	32	140.7
43. Clark	119.8	63	131.4	87. Paulding	95.1	34	140.1
44. Highland	119.0	84	115.7	88. Cuyahoga	94.1	50	135.3

** Number of Males Per 100 Females

* Based on 1950 definition of urban

Table 25. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 65 Years of Age and Over of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State Ratio 1950 - 89.1 - Ratio 1940 - 92.9							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Pike	124.7	2	117.8	45. Hardin	94.4	25	103.3
2. Jefferson	111.7	17	106.0	46. Auglaize	94.3	47	100.0
3. Adams	107.7	6	113.3	47. Ross	93.7	46	100.3
4. Geauga	107.4	1	123.8	48. Ashtabula	93.5	42	100.6
5. Perry	106.9	12	109.0	49. Preble	93.5	48	99.6
6. Vinton	106.2	29	103.1	50. Logan	93.2	44	100.5
7. Trumbull	105.8	24	103.7	51. Huron	93.0	58	97.2
8. Holmes	105.3	4	114.0	52. Highland	92.5	72	93.4
9. Morgan	104.9	31	102.9	53. Crawford	92.4	60	96.8
10. Henry	104.7	18	105.8	54. Delaware	92.2	39	100.3
11. Monroe	104.7	32	102.8	55. Fulton	92.0	47	99.5
12. Morrow	103.0	8	110.4	56. Fairfield	91.9	64	96.6
13. Mercer	102.9	3	114.5	57. Fayette	91.6	55	97.8
14. Paulding	102.8	5	113.4	58. Licking	91.6	61	96.8
15. Guernsey	102.1	14	107.7	59. Clinton	91.3	71	93.9
16. Noble	101.7	7	112.7	60. Wyandot	91.2	37	101.6
17. Portage	101.7	33	102.6	61. Darke	90.7	23	104.1
18. Belmont	100.8	20	104.7	62. Stark	90.2	79	91.6
19. Hocking	100.8	21	104.4	63. Lake	90.1	65	96.1
20. Putnam	100.7	10	109.1	64. Summit	89.6	83	90.0
21. Jackson	100.1	59	97.1	65. Erie	89.4	77	92.8
22. Meigs	100.1	19	105.1	66. Warren	89.4	50	99.2
23. Ottawa	100.1	9	109.4	67. Williams	89.1	56	97.6
24. Lawrence	99.4	45	100.4	68. Knox	89.0	69	94.4
25. Union	99.3	38	101.0	69. Columbiana	88.9	76	92.9
26. Madison	99.1	15	107.0	70. Allen	88.2	43	100.5
27. Champaign	98.8	26	103.2	71. Greene	88.0	70	94.1
28. Mahoning	98.4	40	100.8	72. Richland	88.0	85	88.4
29. Brown	97.5	53	98.3	73. Muskingum	87.8	80	91.0
30. Carroll	97.0	11	109.0	74. Seneca	87.8	82	90.7
31. Tuscarawas	96.4	52	98.4	75. Van Wert	87.2	35	102.4
32. Gallia	96.3	28	103.1	76. Butler	86.8	75	93.0
33. Defiance	96.2	57	97.2	77. Marion	86.8	68	94.5
34. Medina	96.0	22	104.3	78. Sandusky	86.8	66	96.1
35. Athens	95.6	30	103.0	79. Cuyahoga	86.6	86	85.2
36. Pickaway	95.5	27	103.2	80. Clark	85.3	74	93.2
37. Lorain	95.4	51	98.9	81. Hancock	85.2	54	97.9
38. Shelby	95.4	41	100.7	82. Ashland	85.1	67	95.0
39. Scioto	95.1	62	96.8	83. Lucas	85.0	81	90.8
40. Coshocton	95.0	16	106.1	84. Miami	84.9	78	92.1
41. Harrison	95.0	34	102.5	85. Washington	84.9	63	96.8
42. Wayne	94.8	73	93.4	86. Montgomery	84.4	84	89.4
43. Clermont	94.6	36	101.6	87. Franklin	79.3	87	81.0
44. Wood	94.6	13	108.2	88. Hamilton	75.0	88	76.7

** Number Males Per 100 Females

Table 26. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 65 Years of Age and Over of Urban population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State - 1950 Ratio 81.1 - 1940 Ratio 82.0							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Jefferson	98.3	3	94.1	45. Muskingum	76.4	57	77.4
2. Trumbull	96.7	14	88.3	46. Franklin	76.3	61	76.6
3. Mahoning	95.3	2	95.6	47. Fairfield	76.1	65	75.6
4. Henry	90.7	49	79.9	48. Hardin	76.0	29	83.3
5. Mercer	88.2	1	103.0	49. Columbiana	75.7	50	79.0
6. Lorain	87.6	9	90.5	50. Putnam	75.3	87	0.0
7. Summit	86.6	24	84.6	51. Carroll	74.3	73	71.0
8. Belmont	86.2	10	89.9	52. Greene	74.0	68	72.9
9. Cuyahoga	86.0	28	83.9	53. Van Wert	73.9	16	87.8
10. Wood	85.7	20	85.4	54. Wyandot	73.7	42	81.4
11. Lake	85.5	12	88.5	55. Sandusky	73.6	66	74.7
12. Guernsey	84.7	6	92.2	56. Coshocton	73.5	8	91.2
13. Portage	84.7	35	82.4	57. Hamilton	73.5	67	73.3
14. Defiance	84.3	33	82.9	58. Hancock	73.1	26	84.0
15. Union	84.3	64	75.7	59. Knox	72.9	71	71.6
16. Stark	84.2	21	85.3	60. Highland	72.8	59	76.8
17. Madison	83.9	39	81.7	61. Erie	72.7	58	77.1
18. Crawford	83.3	18	85.7	62. Ashland	72.6	31	82.9
19. Tuscarawas	83.2	27	84.0	63. Gallia	72.2	48	80.0
20. Jackson	83.1	34	82.5	64. Pickaway	72.1	43	81.1
21. Lucas	83.0	13	86.3	65. Miami	70.6	54	78.4
22. Meigs	82.9	5	92.9	66. Williams	70.6	53	78.8
23. Ashtabula	82.1	17	86.4	67. Clinton	70.3	74	70.2
24. Champaign	81.8	32	82.9	68. Fulton	70.3	72	71.1
25. Huron	81.2	55	78.5	69. Medina	70.2	40	81.7
26. Montgomery	81.2	30	83.1	70. Warren	70.1	44	80.8
27. Auglaize	81.1	36	82.3	71. Delaware	69.0	70	71.9
28. Perry	80.8	4	93.0	72. Ross	68.8	46	80.1
29. Butler	80.3	25	84.4	73. Preble	68.4	60	76.8
30. Richland	80.0	63	76.0	74. Washington	67.6	56	78.0
31. Scioto	79.9	41	81.4	75. Harrison	65.3	75	69.4
32. Shelby	79.8	45	80.6	76. Darke	63.5	69	72.1
33. Lawrence	79.7	38	81.8	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Licking	79.2	37	81.9	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Clark	79.1	23	84.7	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Ottawa	79.0	11	89.2	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Marion	78.9	22	85.1	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Allen	78.1	15	87.8	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Wayne	78.0	62	76.1	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Seneca	77.3	47	80.1	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Fayette	76.9	19	85.4	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Hocking	76.8	52	78.9	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Logan	76.6	51	79.0	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Athens	76.4	7	91.3	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

** Number males per 100 females

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 27. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 65 Years of Age and Over of Rural Nonfarm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State - 1950 Ratio 99.0 - 1940 Ratio 96.0							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Cuyahoga	135.1	1	130.7	45. Richland	97.0	22	102.9
2. Jefferson	122.8	3	115.6	46. Montgomery	96.7	11	109.3
3. Erie	119.4	25	102.3	47. Union	96.2	62	91.5
4. Lorain	117.0	14	108.0	48. Hamilton	96.1	68	90.5
5. Trumbull	116.5	4	114.8	49. Wayne	96.0	54	93.1
6. Belmont	115.8	9	111.3	50. Licking	95.8	53	93.8
7. Scioto	115.3	13	108.6	51. Ottawa	95.8	32	100.9
8. Lawrence	113.7	24	102.5	52. Hancock	95.4	48	95.0
9. Tuscarawas	112.9	19	105.6	53. Madison	94.8	64	91.0
10. Perry	111.4	33	100.8	54. Huron	94.6	74	88.6
11. Columbiana	110.0	40	99.1	55. Geauga	94.5	39	99.5
12. Jackson	108.7	18	105.9	56. Adams	94.4	80	84.0
13. Fayette	107.7	30	101.3	57. Champaign	93.7	44	97.2
14. Hocking	106.4	5	113.4	58. Auglaize	93.4	56	92.7
15. Pike	106.3	60	91.7	59. Muskingum	93.2	70	90.1
16. Ross	106.3	65	90.8	60. Wyandot	93.0	67	90.7
17. Guernsey	106.1	34	100.5	61. Ashtabula	92.5	43	97.3
18. Lucas	106.0	29	101.5	62. Meigs	92.1	71	89.9
19. Coshocton	105.8	23	102.7	63. Harrison	92.0	28	101.9
20. Summit	105.1	7	113.3	64. Holmes	91.8	63	91.2
21. Portage	104.8	47	95.3	65. Greene	91.7	55	92.8
22. Pickaway	104.5	2	118.0	66. Shelby	91.7	50	94.6
23. Mahoning	104.1	10	109.9	67. Clinton	91.5	84	80.9
24. Hardin	103.8	26	102.2	68. Preble	91.4	69	90.4
25. Allen	103.5	6	113.3	69. Lake	91.1	61	91.6
26. Stark	103.2	49	94.8	70. Ashland	90.9	81	82.3
27. Henry	102.8	20	104.5	71. Paulding	90.7	52	93.9
28. Clark	102.4	36	99.8	72. Warren	90.7	66	90.8
29. Franklin	102.2	35	100.1	73. Vinton	89.8	79	85.7
30. Crawford	101.9	8	113.2	74. Wood	89.6	12	108.7
31. Marion	101.4	27	102.2	75. Darke	89.0	41	98.4
32. Mercer	101.0	31	101.0	76. Gallia	88.9	76	87.5
33. Butler	100.2	16	106.3	77. Williams	87.9	85	80.5
34. Carroll	99.1	15	107.4	78. Brown	87.7	87	76.6
35. Defiance	98.5	83	81.1	79. Fulton	87.6	73	89.0
36. Sandusky	98.3	21	103.7	80. Knox	87.3	59	91.9
37. Miami	98.0	51	94.5	81. Van Wert	86.7	58	92.4
38. Athens	97.8	17	106.2	82. Morrow	84.4	57	92.5
39. Delaware	97.2	38	99.4	83. Morgan	84.1	88	71.4
40. Medina	97.2	42	98.0	84. Noble	83.6	82	81.6
41. Putnam	97.2	46	96.0	85. Highland	83.0	78	86.0
42. Seneca	97.1	75	87.9	86. Washington	83.0	77	86.8
43. Fairfield	97.0	37	99.7	87. Clermont	82.9	72	89.5
44. Logan	97.0	45	96.3	88. Monroe	81.8	86	79.0

** Number males per 100 females

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 28. Rank of Counties by Sex Ratio** for Persons 65 Years of Age and Over of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio 1940-1950

State - 1950 Ratio 127.2 - 1940 Ratio 132.2							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Jefferson	152.7	66	126.7	45. Miami	126.7	14	140.5
2. Pike	149.5	9	145.9	46. Harrison	126.0	79	120.9
3. Mahoning	142.7	25	136.9	47. Delaware	125.9	27	136.7
4. Hocking	140.1	54	129.2	48. Crawford	125.8	71	124.5
5. Champaign	139.6	12	141.6	49. Van Wert	125.5	47	132.3
6. Summit	139.6	8	146.2	50. Clinton	125.2	18	139.7
7. Morgan	138.9	34	134.6	51. Auglaize	124.9	26	136.8
8. Scioto	138.0	41	133.4	52. Pickaway	124.9	87	115.1
9. Williams	137.6	11	144.9	53. Licking	124.7	44	132.7
10. Monroe	137.4	72	124.3	54. Wyandot	124.7	22	138.4
11. Highland	137.2	85	117.9	55. Knox	124.4	67	126.4
12. Ross	137.1	7	147.4	56. Noble	124.4	30	135.7
13. Geauga	136.9	4	152.5	57. Washington	123.9	52	129.5
14. Paulding	136.4	5	149.8	58. Holmes	123.6	13	140.7
15. Ottawa	136.1	40	133.4	59. Cuyahoga	123.1	81	120.1
16. Ashtabula	135.9	39	133.5	60. Stark	122.9	45	132.5
17. Erie	135.5	24	137.0	61. Wood	122.9	38	133.6
18. Trumbull	134.9	21	138.6	62. Hancock	122.8	16	140.4
19. Morrow	134.6	49	131.6	63. Butler	122.6	42	133.3
20. Tuscarawas	134.5	17	140.0	64. Clermont	122.6	80	120.3
21. Lawrence	134.4	73	123.6	65. Clark	122.5	28	136.5
22. Medina	133.7	46	132.4	66. Lorain	122.5	51	129.7
23. Columbiana	132.4	35	134.0	67. Fairfield	122.4	62	127.4
24. Greene	132.2	36	133.7	68. Montgomery	121.8	76	122.6
25. Hamilton	132.0	2	154.6	69. Franklin	121.7	31	135.4
26. Jackson	131.6	82	119.0	70. Lucas	121.0	48	132.1
27. Adams	131.4	3	154.0	71. Fulton	120.3	61	127.8
28. Madison	131.3	1	163.4	72. Huron	120.3	10	145.2
29. Shelby	131.3	33	135.2	73. Preble	120.0	58	128.0
30. Athens	131.2	88	113.9	74. Seneca	119.1	74	123.1
31. Perry	131.2	32	135.4	75. Henry	118.9	70	124.6
32. Portage	131.2	15	140.5	76. Ashland	117.8	60	127.8
33. Wayne	131.1	78	121.0	77. Fayette	117.5	86	116.6
34. Guernsey	131.0	19	139.6	78. Warren	117.5	57	128.7
35. Belmont	130.7	29	135.8	79. Allen	116.5	20	139.4
36. Vinton	129.6	83	119.0	80. Logan	116.1	43	133.3
37. Hardin	128.9	53	129.3	81. Carroll	115.9	37	133.6
38. Coshocton	128.3	68	126.0	82. Mercer	115.7	50	131.5
39. Defiance	128.0	59	127.9	83. Putnam	115.7	55	129.2
40. Gallia	128.0	56	128.7	84. Brown	115.5	77	122.2
41. Richland	127.8	84	118.9	85. Sandusky	115.2	6	149.8
42. Meigs	127.7	75	123.0	86. Union	114.8	69	125.2
43. Muskingum	127.7	64	127.1	87. Lake	113.6	63	127.1
44. Darke	127.3	23	137.4	88. Marion	112.2	65	112.2

** Number males per 100 females

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 29. Rank of Counties by Percent 16-17 Year Olds Enrolled in School of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 81.4% - 1940 79.0%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Union	90.7	7	84.6	45. Athens	81.7	66	72.5
2. Wood	90.7	17	82.5	46. Columbiana	81.3	30	79.6
3. Washington	90.2	56	75.1	47. Sandusky	81.1	21	81.5
4. Henry	88.7	65	72.6	48. Clark	80.9	45	76.6
5. Van Wert	88.7	26	80.6	49. Butler	80.7	24	80.7
6. Defiance	88.3	37	78.0	50. Paulding	80.6	64	72.7
7. Fulton	87.9	31	79.6	51. Monroe	80.4	68	71.0
8. Medina	87.0	6	85.2	52. Morrow	80.2	14	83.1
9. Trumbull	87.0	19	82.0	53. Belmont	79.8	40	77.3
10. Hancock	86.9	5	85.4	54. Darke	79.8	61	73.4
11. Preble	86.5	32	79.5	55. Franklin	79.8	51	75.5
12. Miami	86.4	27	80.3	56. Wayne	79.5	36	78.1
13. Williams	86.4	43	76.9	57. Hardin	79.4	44	76.8
14. Ashland	86.1	39	77.5	58. Montgomery	79.1	34	78.8
15. Putnam	85.8	76	67.4	59. Richland	78.9	49	76.1
16. Huron	85.7	18	82.1	60. Hocking	78.6	70	70.1
17. Summit	85.6	2	87.6	61. Perry	78.1	63	72.2
18. Lucas	85.5	20	81.8	62. Hamilton	77.6	48	76.3
19. Knox	85.4	29	79.9	63. Champaign	77.2	55	75.1
20. Ottawa	85.3	46	76.5	64. Coshocton	77.1	57	75.0
21. Lake	85.2	8	84.0	65. Mercer	77.1	75	69.0
22. Lorain	85.2	3	86.4	66. Tuscarawas	77.1	33	79.2
23. Cuyahoga	84.7	13	83.1	67. Highland	76.9	52	75.5
24. Jefferson	84.7	35	78.6	68. Muskingum	76.5	71	70.0
25. Noble	84.7	23	80.8	69. Guernsey	76.4	59	74.7
26. Mahoning	84.3	10	83.7	70. Morgan	75.6	54	75.4
27. Portage	84.2	4	86.2	71. Geauga	75.0	47	76.4
28. Auglaize	83.6	38	77.9	72. Jackson	74.6	72	69.6
29. Carroll	83.5	60	71.2	73. Fairfield	74.4	67	71.0
30. Licking	83.5	50	75.6	74. Ross	74.1	79	65.8
31. Wyandot	83.5	42	77.0	75. Harrison	73.3	62	72.9
32. Crawford	83.3	12	83.3	76. Madison	72.3	82	63.7
33. Marion	83.2	25	80.6	77. Scioto	72.2	78	66.1
34. Erie	83.1	16	82.7	78. Brown	71.4	81	64.5
35. Delaware	83.1	53	75.4	79. Lawrence	71.1	74	69.0
36. Stark	83.1	9	83.9	80. Clermont	69.2	80	65.7
37. Ashtabula	83.0	15	83.0	81. Fayette	68.0	77	66.3
38. Logan	83.0	1	87.7	82. Vinton	67.9	86	56.5
39. Greene	82.6	11	83.5	83. Adams	67.7	87	53.1
40. Shelby	82.3	69	71.0	84. Warren	67.4	58	74.9
41. Allen	82.1	22	80.9	85. Gallia	66.1	85	57.1
42. Seneca	82.1	41	77.0	86. Holmes	61.4	88	51.3
43. Meigs	81.9	73	69.2	87. Pike	59.3	84	57.6
44. Clinton	81.8	28	80.2	88. Pickaway	56.7	83	61.3

Table 30. Rank of Counties by Percent of 16-17 Year Olds Enrolled in School of Urban Population*, Ohio 1940-1950.

State total - 1950 82.7 - 1940 81.3%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Fulton	100.0	2	90.7	45. Clark	82.6	63	75.4
2. Preble	100.0	46	80.0	46. Columbiana	82.6	27	82.8
3. Wood	94.1	32	82.4	47. Ashtabula	82.3	15	85.2
4. Auglaize	94.0	40	81.2	48. Medina	81.6	17	84.5
5. Washington	93.5	30	82.6	49. Richland	81.5	43	80.9
6. Union	92.3	6	88.1	50. Seneca	81.1	26	82.9
7. Champaign	91.7	48	79.6	51. Sandusky	81.0	23	83.2
8. Defiance	91.5	45	80.6	52. Williams	81.0	28	82.8
9. Darke	90.9	34	82.0	53. Carroll	80.0	55	77.1
10. Van Wert	90.7	37	81.8	54. Franklin	80.0	62	75.5
11. Hocking	90.6	21	83.3	55. Pickaway	80.0	73	65.6
12. Delaware	90.2	5	88.5	56. Montgomery	79.8	49	79.0
13. Clinton	89.7	57	77.0	57. Lawrence	79.6	19	83.6
14. Henry	89.7	1	91.7	58. Marion	79.6	51	78.6
15. Ashland	89.5	67	72.1	59. Greene	78.9	58	76.7
16. Hancock	88.6	11	85.7	60. Butler	78.7	47	79.7
17. Knox	88.2	33	82.3	61. Highland	78.6	50	78.8
18. Shelby	87.9	52	77.9	62. Fairfield	78.3	68	72.1
19. Huron	87.8	8	86.7	63. Belmont	77.7	39	81.4
20. Licking	87.6	65	74.4	64. Hamilton	77.5	59	76.7
21. Miami	86.6	36	82.0	65. Scioto	77.0	64	74.5
22. Lorain	86.3	10	86.5	66. Putnam	76.9	87	0.0
23. Lucas	86.3	35	82.0	67. Ross	76.5	71	71.0
24. Trumbull	86.1	25	83.0	68. Wyandot	75.5	41	81.1
25. Lake	85.8	12	85.6	69. Guernsey	75.3	56	77.1
26. Logan	85.7	9	86.7	70. Muskingum	75.0	70	71.0
27. Summit	85.6	7	87.7	71. Mercer	67.6	22	83.3
28. Wayne	85.5	4	88.9	72. Warren	66.7	69	72.1
29. Erie	85.3	44	80.7	73. Fayette	64.9	74	61.4
30. Coshocton	85.1	42	80.9	74. Harrison	61.5	60	76.6
31. Allen	85.0	38	81.7	75. Madison	60.7	72	69.1
32. Athens	85.0	66	73.8	76. Gallia	56.5	75	52.1
33. Perry	85.0	29	82.6	77. Adams	0.0	76	0.0
34. Hardin	84.9	54	77.4	78. Brown	0.0	77	0.0
35. Jefferson	84.9	53	77.8	79. Clermont	0.0	78	0.0
36. Cuyahoga	84.6	24	83.1	80. Geauga	0.0	79	0.0
37. Stark	84.4	14	85.4	81. Holmes	0.0	80	0.0
38. Crawford	84.1	18	84.2	82. Monroe	0.0	81	0.0
39. Jackson	83.9	61	75.8	83. Morgan	0.0	82	0.0
40. Portage	83.9	3	90.7	84. Morrow	0.0	83	0.0
41. Meigs	83.8	31	82.4	85. Noble	0.0	84	0.0
42. Mahoning	83.5	20	83.6	86. Paulding	0.0	85	0.0
43. Ottawa	83.3	16	85.0	87. Pike	0.0	86	0.0
44. Tuscarawas	82.7	13	85.6	88. Vinton	0.0	88	0.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 31. Rank of Counties by Percent of 16-17 Year Olds
Enrolled in School of Rural Nonfarm Population*,
Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 79.0% - 1940 77.0%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Wyandot	92.0	66	71.7	45. Erie	79.5	12	85.5
2. Washington	91.8	45	77.5	46. Highland	79.4	60	73.8
3. Cuyahoga	91.4	11	85.6	47. Shelby	79.4	78	64.6
4. Geauga	91.1	21	83.8	48. Crawford	79.3	19	84.3
5. Knox	90.5	33	80.6	49. Belmont	78.9	54	76.0
6. Defiance	90.3	39	78.9	50. Columbiana	78.9	51	76.7
7. Van Wert	89.7	32	81.2	51. Clark	78.8	37	79.4
8. Henry	89.6	42	78.3	52. Sandusky	78.7	13	85.5
9. Trumbull	89.4	30	81.7	53. Noble	78.3	5	87.4
10. Fulton	88.6	15	85.3	54. Hamilton	78.1	59	74.0
11. Union	88.6	9	86.5	55. Champaign	77.5	67	71.4
12. Mahoning	87.8	7	87.1	56. Franklin	77.4	63	72.6
13. Medina	87.8	8	86.8	57. Morgan	77.4	58	74.1
14. Ottawa	87.5	35	79.9	58. Madison	77.0	64	72.5
15. Licking	86.9	53	76.5	59. Huron	76.9	23	83.0
16. Marion	86.8	25	82.9	60. Montgomery	76.6	50	76.8
17. Monroe	86.8	43	78.0	61. Tuscarawas	76.6	52	76.6
18. Greene	86.7	4	87.4	62. Delaware	75.9	86	58.3
19. Ashtabula	86.3	2	86.7	63. Muskingum	75.8	71	69.8
20. Seneca	86.0	17	84.7	64. Perry	75.8	65	71.9
21. Williams	86.0	29	82.0	65. Carroll	75.0	27	82.3
22. Wood	85.8	20	84.0	66. Brown	74.5	70	70.2
23. Portage	84.3	18	84.5	67. Hocking	74.0	75	67.3
24. Jefferson	84.2	57	74.3	68. Fayette	73.8	73	68.7
25. Mercer	83.6	36	79.7	69. Holmes	73.8	69	70.9
26. Wayne	83.6	31	81.7	70. Guernsey	73.5	56	74.3
27. Butler	83.5	24	82.9	71. Allen	72.9	38	79.1
28. Summit	83.5	6	87.3	72. Darke	72.7	49	77.2
29. Logan	83.3	1	88.8	73. Coshocton	72.6	72	69.3
30. Morrow	83.3	16	85.3	74. Harrison	72.1	55	74.5
31. Ashland	83.0	14	85.3	75. Adams	71.7	81	62.6
32. Miami	83.0	41	78.6	76. Hardin	71.2	47	77.4
33. Lake	82.9	28	82.3	77. Clermont	70.8	74	68.0
34. Vinton	82.9	83	59.4	78. Gallia	70.6	87	57.7
35. Putnam	82.6	48	77.3	79. Richland	69.8	77	64.7
36. Preble	82.2	44	77.5	80. Clinton	69.4	46	77.4
37. Hancock	82.0	3	88.5	81. Lawrence	69.2	82	60.3
38. Auglaize	81.3	40	78.8	82. Ross	69.0	88	54.8
39. Meigs	81.3	79	64.5	83. Fairfield	68.9	76	65.5
40. Stark	81.2	22	83.7	84. Scioto	67.1	84	59.2
41. Lorain	80.6	10	86.3	85. Pike	62.7	85	59.1
42. Athens	80.2	68	70.9	86. Warren	62.3	61	73.7
43. Lucas	80.2	26	82.5	87. Jackson	58.3	80	63.9
44. Paulding	79.7	34	80.6	88. Pickaway	33.7	62	73.0

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 32. Rank of Counties by Percent of 16-17 Year Olds Enrolled in School of Rural Farm Population*, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 79.4% - 1940 72.8%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Marion	95.2	6	85.7	45. Seneca	81.0	75	63.
2. Summit	94.7	4	86.2	46. Darke	80.9	60	69.
3. Wood	92.4	19	81.0	47. Logan	80.8	1	87.
4. Lake	92.3	14	82.5	48. Columbiana	80.7	50	73.
5. Union	91.5	13	82.7	49. Pickaway	80.4	85	55.
6. Williams	90.9	55	71.0	50. Guernsey	80.2	53	72.
7. Miami	90.4	26	76.8	51. Ashtabula	80.0	34	76.
8. Medina	90.0	7	84.9	52. Harrison	79.5	58	70.
9. Putnam	90.0	79	61.7	53. Shelby	79.5	61	69.
10. Carroll	88.9	59	69.5	54. Ottawa	79.3	69	67.
11. Clinton	88.9	10	83.7	55. Ross	78.6	64	68.
12. Mahoning	88.9	29	77.7	56. Fairfield	78.5	32	76.
13. Butler	88.3	12	82.9	57. Hamilton	78.3	52	72.
14. Belmont	88.1	56	70.7	58. Jackson	77.8	70	66.
15. Noble	87.8	31	77.1	59. Stark	77.8	41	75.
16. Wyandot	87.8	37	76.2	60. Auglaize	77.6	45	74.
17. Henry	87.7	73	64.2	61. Morrow	77.6	15	81.
18. Huron	87.7	35	76.2	62. Knox	77.2	28	77.
19. Lorain	87.5	2	86.5	63. Perry	76.9	63	68.
20. Preble	87.1	21	80.7	64. Monroe	76.6	68	67.
21. Hancock	87.0	9	83.8	65. Coshocton	76.3	51	73.
22. Jefferson	86.0	47	74.5	66. Mercer	75.8	81	59.
23. Van Wert	86.0	24	79.2	67. Warren	75.3	30	77.
24. Washington	86.0	62	68.8	68. Highland	75.0	46	74.
25. Franklin	85.7	16	81.3	69. Hocking	75.0	74	63.
26. Trumbull	85.6	25	79.0	70. Montgomery	75.0	18	81.
27. Portage	84.3	11	83.4	71. Morgan	74.5	40	75.
28. Fulton	84.1	43	75.2	72. Licking	74.3	33	76.
29. Allen	84.0	22	79.7	73. Madison	73.1	82	57.
30. Greene	84.0	8	84.8	74. Clark	72.3	23	79.
31. Crawford	83.9	17	81.2	75. Scioto	71.1	84	55.
32. Ashland	83.6	27	78.1	76. Wayne	69.6	66	67.
33. Delaware	83.3	20	80.9	77. Brown	69.4	78	61.
34. Lucas	83.1	39	75.9	78. Gallia	69.1	80	59.
35. Defiance	83.0	42	75.3	79. Fayette	66.7	57	70.
36. Sandusky	82.9	36	76.2	80. Clermont	66.3	76	63.
37. Erie	82.8	5	85.8	81. Champaign	66.0	48	74.
38. Hardin	82.5	38	76.0	82. Tuscarawas	65.1	67	67.
39. Paulding	82.4	71	66.3	83. Adams	64.9	87	47.
40. Richland	81.7	44	75.0	84. Lawrence	62.5	72	65.
41. Meigs	81.4	77	63.2	85. Geauga	57.5	54	72.
42. Athens	81.3	49	73.4	86. Vinton	56.5	86	54.
43. Cuyahoga	81.3	3	86.2	87. Holmes	55.6	88	42.
44. Muskingum	81.1	65	68.3	88. Pike	55.4	83	56.

* Based on 1950 definition of Urban

Table 33. Rank of Counties by Median Years of School Completed by
Persons 25 Years of Age and Over for Total Population, Ohio,
1940-1950

State total Median Years 1950 - 9.9 - Median Years 1940 - 8.6						
County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940	Median Years 1940	County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940
1. Greene	11.6	5	9.0	45. Butler	9.3	52
2. Lake	11.5	2	9.4	46. Hamilton	9.3	42
3. Franklin	11.3	1	9.6	47. Van Wert	9.3	38
4. Delaware	11.1	4	9.1	48. Clinton	9.2	34
5. Hancock	11.1	16	8.8	49. Hardin	9.1	43
6. Portage	10.9	7	9.0	50. Athens	9.0	64
7. Medina	10.8	3	9.2	51. Columbiana	9.0	53
8. Logan	10.7	17	8.8	52. Muskingum	9.0	45
9. Geauga	10.6	15	8.8	53. Paulding	9.0	70
10. Ashland	10.5	14	8.8	54. Shelby	9.0	61
11. Knox	10.5	6	9.0	55. Warren	9.0	49
12. Summit	10.5	13	8.9	56. Wyandot	9.0	50
13. Cuyahoga	10.4	25	8.7	57. Carroll	8.9	65
14. Lorain	10.4	18	8.8	58. Clermont	8.9	66
15. Montgomery	10.4	12	8.9	59. Darke	8.9	54
16. Ashtabula	10.3	8	8.9	60. Fayette	8.9	55
17. Clark	10.3	9	8.9	61. Henry	8.9	67
18. Licking	10.3	11	8.9	62. Madison	8.9	44
19. Allen	10.2	22	8.7	63. Mercer	8.9	58
20. Marion	10.2	19	8.8	64. Morgan	8.9	59
21. Miami	10.2	20	8.8	65. Perry	8.9	60
22. Wood	10.2	33	8.7	66. Putnam	8.9	71
23. Crawford	10.1	24	8.7	67. Tuscarawas	8.9	62
24. Erie	10.1	26	8.7	68. Washington	8.9	63
25. Richland	10.1	21	8.8	69. Guernsey	8.8	74
26. Sandusky	10.1	28	8.7	70. Highland	8.8	57
27. Trumbull	10.1	30	8.7	71. Jefferson	8.8	78
28. Huron	10.0	10	8.9	72. Pickaway	8.8	80
29. Lucas	10.0	37	8.6	73. Ross	8.8	72
30. Defiance	9.8	35	8.6	74. Belmont	8.7	77
31. Morrow	9.8	27	8.7	75. Brown	8.7	73
32. Seneca	9.8	29	8.7	76. Harrison	8.7	56
33. Wayne	9.8	32	8.7	77. Hocking	8.7	75
34. Fairfield	9.7	36	8.6	78. Holmes	8.7	68
35. Preble	9.7	47	8.5	79. Noble	8.7	76
36. Champaign	9.6	23	8.7	80. Jackson	8.6	83
37. Ottawa	9.6	46	8.5	81. Lawrence	8.6	87
38. Stark	9.6	48	8.5	82. Meigs	8.6	84
39. Coshocton	9.5	40	8.5	83. Vinton	8.6	86
40. Fulton	9.5	41	8.5	84. Adams	8.5	81
41. Mahoning	9.5	69	8.3	85. Gallia	8.5	82
42. Union	9.5	31	8.7	86. Monroe	8.5	79
43. Williams	9.5	39	8.6	87. Scioto	8.5	85
44. Auglaize	9.3	51	8.4	88. Pike	8.3	88

Table 34. Rank of Counties by Median Years of School Completed by Persons 25 Years of Age and Over for Rural Nonfarm Population,* Ohio, 1940-1950.

State total Median Years 1950 - 9.3 - Median Years 1940 - 8.5							
County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940	Median Years 1940	County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940	Median Years 1940
1. Lake	12.0	2	9.9	45. Henry	9.0	58	8.4
2. Cuyahoga	11.4	6	9.3	46. Mercer	9.0	59	8.4
3. Geauga	11.4	1	9.9	47. Morgan	9.0	32	8.6
4. Greene	11.2	3	9.6	48. Muskingum	9.0	44	8.5
5. Portage	10.9	9	9.0	49. Paulding	9.0	67	8.3
6. Ashtabula	10.7	5	9.3	50. Putnam	9.0	45	8.5
7. Medina	10.7	4	9.5	51. Carroll	8.9	71	8.2
8. Clark	10.6	21	8.7	52. Champaign	8.9	27	8.6
9. Delaware	10.6	14	8.8	53. Clinton	8.9	39	8.5
10. Licking	10.6	7	9.2	54. Columbiana	8.9	64	8.3
11. Erie	10.4	22	8.7	55. Cochocton	8.9	55	8.4
12. Logan	10.4	23	8.7	56. Holmes	8.9	31	8.6
13. Montgomery	10.4	20	8.8	57. Union	8.9	37	8.6
14. Huron	10.3	8	9.0	58. Van Wert	8.9	49	8.5
15. Lorain	10.3	24	8.7	59. Washington	8.9	70	8.3
16. Mahoning	10.3	18	8.8	60. Brown	8.8	54	8.4
17. Morrow	10.3	11	8.9	61. Darke	8.8	56	8.4
18. Franklin	10.2	15	8.8	62. Hardin	8.8	30	8.6
19. Hamilton	10.1	42	8.5	63. Madison	8.8	43	8.5
20. Miami	10.1	19	8.8	64. Noble	8.8	66	8.3
21. Summit	10.1	12	8.9	65. Perry	8.8	68	8.3
22. Trumbull	10.1	36	8.6	66. Shelby	8.8	69	8.3
23. Allen	10.0	52	8.4	67. Tuscarawas	8.8	74	8.2
24. Hancock	10.0	29	8.6	68. Warren	8.8	60	8.4
25. Knox	10.0	10	8.9	69. Wyandot	8.8	61	8.4
26. Marion	9.8	25	8.7	70. Athens	8.7	77	8.0
27. Richland	9.8	46	8.5	71. Fayette	8.7	78	8.0
28. Wood	9.8	51	8.5	72. Harrison	8.7	57	8.4
29. Ashland	9.7	13	8.8	73. Highland	8.7	65	8.3
30. Fulton	9.7	16	8.8	74. Jefferson	8.7	85	7.8
31. Seneca	9.7	35	8.6	75. Monroe	8.7	72	8.2
32. Crawford	9.6	28	8.6	76. Vinton	8.7	75	8.2
33. Defiance	9.6	40	8.5	77. Adams	8.6	62	8.3
34. Preble	9.6	34	8.6	78. Guernsey	8.6	80	8.0
35. Sandusky	9.6	47	8.5	79. Hocking	8.6	82	7.9
36. Williams	9.6	26	8.7	80. Ross	8.6	76	8.1
37. Fairfield	9.5	41	8.5	81. Belmont	8.5	84	7.8
38. Lucas	9.5	17	8.8	82. Gallia	8.5	79	8.0
39. Ottawa	9.5	33	8.6	83. Meigs	8.5	81	8.0
40. Clermont	9.2	38	8.5	84. Lawrence	8.4	88	7.5
41. Stark	9.2	48	8.5	85. Pike	8.4	83	7.9
42. Wayne	9.2	50	8.5	86. Scioto	8.4	86	7.7
43. Auglaize	9.1	53	8.4	87. Jackson	8.3	87	7.6
44. Butler	9.0	63	8.3	88. Pickaway	8.3	73	8.2

*Based on 1950 definition of urban.

Table 35. Rank of Counties by Median Years of School Completed by Persons 25 Years of Age and Over for Rural Farm Population,* Ohio, 1940-1950

State total Median Years 1950 - 8.8 - Median Years 1940 - 8.2							
County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940	Median Years 1940	County by Rank 1950	Median Years 1950	Rank 1940	Median Years 1940
1. Delaware	10.7	2	8.7	45. Fayette	8.9	48	8.2
2. Franklin	10.5	3	8.7	46. Lucas	8.9	62	8.1
3. Hancock	10.5	10	8.5	47. Mahoning	8.9	51	8.2
4. Lake	10.4	1	8.8	48. Sandusky	8.9	39	8.3
5. Logan	10.4	7	8.6	49. Williams	8.9	31	8.4
6. Lorain	10.2	12	8.5	50. Carroll	8.8	59	8.1
7. Greene	9.9	5	8.6	51. Columbiana	8.8	45	8.2
8. Knox	9.9	11	8.5	52. Darke	8.8	46	8.2
9. Licking	9.8	6	8.6	53. Madison	8.8	63	8.1
10. Clark	9.7	4	8.6	54. Morgan	8.8	52	8.2
11. Medina	9.7	13	8.5	55. Muskingum	8.8	53	8.2
12. Wood	9.7	32	8.4	56. Ottawa	8.8	65	8.1
13. Ashland	9.6	18	8.4	57. Perry	8.8	55	8.2
14. Portage	9.6	37	8.3	58. Seneca	8.8	40	8.3
15. Summit	9.6	29	8.4	59. Shelby	8.8	66	8.1
16. Champaign	9.5	8	8.5	60. Stark	8.8	57	8.2
17. Erie	9.5	21	8.4	61. Wayne	8.8	58	8.2
18. Trumbull	9.5	15	8.5	62. Athens	8.7	68	8.0
19. Cuyahoga	9.4	9	8.5	63. Clermont	8.7	60	8.1
20. Marion	9.4	25	8.4	64. Guernsey	8.7	71	8.0
21. Morrow	9.3	14	8.5	65. Hamilton	8.7	72	8.0
22. Preble	9.3	28	8.4	66. Henry	8.7	73	8.0
23. Union	9.3	16	8.5	67. Highland	8.7	50	8.2
24. Allen	9.2	17	8.4	68. Mercer	8.7	64	8.1
25. Miami	9.2	26	8.4	69. Noble	8.7	74	8.0
26. Montgomery	9.2	27	8.4	70. Putnam	8.7	56	8.2
27. Ashtabula	9.0	33	8.3	71. Tuscarawas	8.7	67	8.1
28. Clinton	9.0	19	8.4	72. Belmont	8.6	69	8.0
29. Crawford	9.0	20	8.4	73. Brown	8.6	70	8.0
30. Defiance	9.0	47	8.2	74. Harrison	8.6	49	8.2
31. Fairfield	9.0	22	8.4	75. Hocking	8.6	77	7.9
32. Fulton	9.0	35	8.3	76. Washington	8.6	75	8.0
33. Geauga	9.0	23	8.4	77. Gallia	8.5	76	7.9
34. Hardin	9.0	36	8.3	78. Holmes	8.5	61	8.1
35. Huron	9.0	24	8.4	79. Jefferson	8.5	82	7.8
36. Paulding	9.0	54	8.2	80. Meigs	8.5	78	7.9
37. Pickaway	9.0	84	7.7	81. Ross	8.5	83	7.8
38. Richland	9.0	38	8.3	82. Adams	8.4	81	7.8
39. Van Wert	9.0	41	8.3	83. Monroe	8.4	79	7.9
40. Warren	9.0	30	8.4	84. Vinton	8.4	80	7.9
41. Wyandot	9.0	42	8.3	85. Jackson	8.3	85	7.6
42. Auglaize	8.9	43	8.2	86. Pike	8.3	87	7.4
43. Butler	8.9	44	8.2	87. Lawrence	8.2	86	7.5
44. Coshocton	8.9	34	8.3	88. Scioto	8.2	88	7.4

* Based on 1950 definition of urban.

Table 36. Ratio of Physicians to Population**, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total Ratio 1950 - 13.6 - Ratio 1940 15.4							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Union	30.9	6	15.5	45. Geauga	7.9	56	9.3
2. Logan	24.9	10	13.5	46. Lake	7.8	31	11.2
3. Cuyahoga	23.5	1	23.7	47. Miami	7.7	32	11.2
4. Hamilton	19.8	3	20.8	48. Ross	7.7	22	12.1
5. Franklin	18.0	2	21.1	49. Shelby	7.7	47	10.0
6. Lucas	14.2	5	15.7	50. Trumbull	7.6	76	7.1
7. Allen	13.4	8	13.9	51. Pike	7.5	80	6.2
8. Mahoning	12.6	13	12.8	52. Fulton	7.4	41	10.2
9. Summit	12.5	16	12.6	53. Highland	7.4	53	9.6
10. Montgomery	11.9	4	16.1	54. Jefferson	7.4	57	9.3
11. Clark	11.5	28	11.2	55. Mercer	7.4	65	8.4
12. Erie	11.2	11	13.2	56. Van Wert	7.4	59	9.3
13. Richland	11.1	12	12.9	57. Columbiana	7.3	74	7.5
14. Clinton	10.9	9	13.7	58. Guernsey	7.3	68	8.2
15. Marion	10.6	17	12.5	59. Auglaize	7.2	23	11.8
16. Butler	10.5	54	9.5	60. Perry	7.2	72	7.7
17. Huron	10.4	7	14.7	61. Henry	7.1	44	10.1
18. Hardin	10.1	21	12.2	62. Wyandot	7.1	48	9.9
19. Licking	9.9	18	12.4	63. Darke	7.0	63	8.5
20. Stark	9.9	25	11.7	64. Morrow	7.0	87	3.8
21. Fairfield	9.8	43	10.1	65. Harrison	6.8	69	7.9
22. Knox	9.6	15	12.6	66. Ottawa	6.8	62	8.6
23. Wayne	9.5	27	11.5	67. Coshocton	6.7	60	8.8
24. Madison	9.4	39	10.5	68. Lawrence	6.7	71	7.7
25. Scioto	9.4	52	9.7	69. Belmont	6.6	70	7.7
26. Gallia	9.2	30	11.2	70. Paulding	6.6	50	9.7
27. Lorain	9.2	34	11.0	71. Preble	6.6	37	10.7
28. Washington	9.2	55	9.4	72. Meigs	6.5	67	8.3
29. Williams	9.2	24	11.8	73. Portage	6.4	75	7.5
30. Seneca	9.1	26	11.5	74. Brown	6.3	79	6.5
31. Hancock	9.0	33	11.0	75. Defiance	6.2	61	8.6
32. Medina	8.9	49	9.7	76. Fayette	6.2	64	8.4
33. Crawford	8.8	29	11.2	77. Morgan	6.2	66	8.4
34. Tuscarawas	8.7	58	9.3	78. Holmes	5.9	88	2.8
35. Ashland	8.5	42	10.1	79. Jackson	5.8	82	5.6
36. Ashtabula	8.5	45	10.0	80. Pickaway	5.8	51	9.7
37. Athens	8.5	40	10.2	81. Hocking	5.6	71	7.0
38. Clermont	8.3	38	10.6	82. Adams	5.4	78	6.9
39. Putnam	8.3	35	10.8	83. Monroe	5.2	81	5.9
40. Champaign	8.2	19	12.3	84. Noble	4.3	83	5.5
41. Greene	8.2	20	12.3	85. Warren	3.6	73	7.7
42. Sandusky	8.2	84	4.6	86. Carroll	3.2	86	4.0
43. Wood	8.1	36	10.8	87. Vinton	2.8	85	4.3
44. Delaware	7.9	14	12.7	88. Muskingum	1.7	46	10.0

** Number of physicians per 10,000 persons.

Source: American Medical Directory for 1940-1950

Table 37. Ratio of Hospital Beds to Population**, Ohio, 1940-1950

State - 1950 Ratio 30.2 - 1940 Ratio 33.2							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Allen	55.5	12	33.7	45. Tuscarawas	15.2	40	15.0
2. Hamilton	55.3	3	63.3	46. Fairfield	14.9	69	0.0
3. Lucas	42.8	7	46.2	47. Ottawa	14.6	80	0.0
4. Franklin	40.5	4	48.2	48. Ashland	14.5	53	10.1
5. Cuyahoga	39.3	6	47.5	49. Wayne	13.8	1	139.0
6. Muskingum	38.9	14	33.0	50. Darke	13.2	57	7.2
7. Butler	38.0	8	39.9	51. Delaware	13.2	68	0.0
8. Hardin	37.7	33	17.0	52. Lawrence	13.2	18	26.1
9. Knox	34.0	15	32.9	53. Defiance	13.1	43	14.4
10. Huron	32.3	17	27.6	54. Washington	12.2	47	12.4
11. Richland	31.3	11	34.2	55. Athens	12.0	54	8.7
12. Gallia	30.1	24	21.7	56. Ashtabula	11.4	39	15.6
13. Summit	29.6	9	36.6	57. Meigs	9.5	75	0.0
14. Marion	29.2	21	22.3	58. Henry	8.9	59	6.2
15. Miami	28.9	23	21.8	59. Pickaway	7.8	52	10.4
16. Stark	28.8	22	22.0	60. Clinton	6.3	56	7.5
17. Montgomery	28.7	10	34.5	61. Williams	6.1	58	6.3
18. Medina	28.0	31	17.6	62. Greene	3.4	55	8.4
19. Crawford	27.6	28	18.6	63. Wood	1.8	60	4.6
20. Jefferson	27.5	30	17.8	64. Adams	0.0	63	0.0
21. Columbiana	26.6	27	19.4	65. Auglaize	0.0	64	0.0
22. Mahoning	26.6	13	33.0	66. Brown	0.0	65	0.0
23. Erie	26.3	19	25.7	67. Carroll	0.0	66	0.0
24. Lake	25.3	5	48.0	68. Clermont	0.0	67	0.0
25. Clark	24.2	2	64.1	69. Fayette	0.0	70	0.0
26. Licking	23.4	34	17.0	70. Geauga	0.0	71	0.0
27. Mercer	23.0	32	17.1	71. Harrison	0.0	72	0.0
28. Belmont	22.7	44	14.3	72. Jackson	0.0	73	0.0
29. Scioto	22.3	20	23.8	73. Logan	0.0	62	2.0
30. Lorain	21.5	16	29.9	74. Madison	0.0	74	0.0
31. Van Wert	21.1	36	16.4	75. Monroe	0.0	76	0.0
32. Shelby	20.4	41	14.6	76. Morgan	0.0	77	0.0
33. Sandusky	20.0	35	16.6	77. Morrow	0.0	78	0.0
34. Fulton	19.9	26	19.5	78. Noble	0.0	79	0.0
35. Trumbull	19.6	48	11.9	79. Paulding	0.0	81	0.0
36. Coshocton	19.3	42	14.4	80. Perry	0.0	82	0.0
37. Guernsey	19.0	25	19.8	81. Pike	0.0	83	0.0
38. Highland	17.7	49	11.8	82. Preble	0.0	84	0.0
39. Hancock	17.2	38	15.7	83. Putnam	0.0	85	0.0
40. Portage	17.2	50	10.7	84. Ross	0.0	51	10.7
41. Hocking	16.9	37	16.3	85. Union	0.0	86	0.0
42. Seneca	16.8	29	17.9	86. Vinton	0.0	87	0.0
43. Holmes	16.0	45	14.0	87. Warren	0.0	61	2.7
44. Champaign	15.7	46	13.9	88. Wyandot	0.0	88	0.0

** Number of hospital beds per 10,000 persons.

Source: American Medical Directory for 1940-1950

Table 38. Rank of Counties by Average Size of Farms, Ohio, 1940-1950.

State total - 1950 105.2 Acres - 1940 93.7 Acres							
County by Rank 1950	Acres 1950	Rank 1940	Acres 1940	County by Rank 1950	Acres 1950	Rank 1940	Acres 1940
1. Madison	206.9	1	185.5	45. Auglaize	109.2	46	98.2
2. Fayette	185.4	3	160.4	46. Jackson	108.8	53	94.3
3. Pickaway	184.3	2	161.2	47. Licking	107.7	48	97.0
4. Paulding	163.0	4	139.6	48. Morrow	107.1	39	100.8
5. Ross	149.9	6	129.6	49. Preble	107.1	41	100.4
6. Marion	143.8	5	130.7	50. Monroe	105.9	63	87.1
7. Harrison	141.1	13	118.3	51. Meigs	105.6	67	82.3
8. Wyandot	139.4	8	123.8	52. Warren	105.4	49	96.3
9. Hardin	136.8	17	115.4	53. Perry	105.2	56	92.0
10. Coshocton	135.7	11	121.3	54. Tuscarawas	104.0	45	98.6
11. Clinton	133.6	7	123.8	55. Jefferson	103.7	55	92.2
12. Pike	132.3	9	122.9	56. Butler	102.8	54	92.7
13. Van Wert	130.3	31	105.7	57. Washington	102.8	59	88.0
14. Seneca	130.2	12	119.2	58. Fairfield	102.1	52	95.0
15. Hocking	128.6	14	117.5	59. Richland	101.4	43	98.7
16. Defiance	126.7	20	111.8	60. Erie	98.7	65	83.4
17. Champaign	125.5	10	122.4	61. Brown	98.0	58	89.8
18. Logan	125.2	25	109.5	62. Fulton	96.9	62	87.7
19. Crawford	125.0	15	117.1	63. Gallia	96.8	57	91.0
20. Morgan	124.8	24	110.1	64. Wayne	96.8	60	87.8
21. Union	124.7	19	112.7	65. Belmont	95.8	69	79.5
22. Highland	124.5	21	111.3	66. Miami	92.7	66	82.6
23. Hancock	124.2	22	110.5	67. Geauga	92.6	64	84.0
24. Vinton	123.9	32	105.5	68. Franklin	90.3	76	73.0
25. Knox	121.8	29	107.2	69. Allen	89.0	61	87.7
26. Huron	121.3	16	116.8	70. Ottawa	86.6	68	81.7
27. Clark	120.5	38	101.5	71. Scioto	86.6	80	67.8
28. Noble	118.9	33	105.0	72. Ashtabula	86.4	71	75.8
29. Greene	118.0	23	110.3	73. Darke	84.8	70	78.4
30. Muskingum	117.6	47	97.6	74. Medina	84.4	73	74.6
31. Carroll	117.3	28	107.5	75. Lorain	81.4	77	72.8
32. Guernsey	116.6	40	100.4	76. Columbiana	80.1	75	73.3
33. Williams	115.9	30	106.9	77. Clermont	78.5	72	75.2
34. Adams	115.7	18	113.4	78. Portage	77.0	74	74.2
35. Wood	115.5	35	103.6	79. Lawrence	74.7	81	65.8
36. Holmes	114.1	27	108.4	80. Trumbull	74.3	78	72.7
37. Shelby	113.7	26	109.5	81. Mahoning	74.2	79	68.6
38. Sandusky	112.7	44	98.6	82. Stark	66.1	82	60.1
39. Putnam	112.4	37	102.1	83. Montgomery	65.8	83	56.4
40. Henry	111.0	36	103.3	84. Lucas	59.4	85	50.9
41. Delaware	110.7	50	96.1	85. Hamilton	54.7	86	47.5
42. Athens	110.3	51	95.4	86. Lake	53.6	84	52.1
43. Ashland	110.2	34	103.6	87. Summit	43.6	87	45.3
44. Mercer	109.9	42	99.5	88. Cuyahoga	32.0	88	32.6

Table 39. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms Under 10 Acres, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 9.4% - 1940 9.9%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	35.4	2	33.6	45. Delaware	7.8	43	7.8
2. Summit	32.9	1	34.0	46. Portage	7.7	31	9.4
3. Lucas	22.3	3	24.6	47. Van Wert	7.7	57	6.6
4. Hamilton	22.0	6	21.8	48. Warren	7.7	33	9.3
5. Lake	20.6	4	22.5	49. Ashtabula	7.6	17	12.1
6. Montgomery	20.0	7	19.2	50. Huron	7.6	60	6.3
7. Franklin	17.8	5	22.3	51. Sandusky	7.6	51	6.9
8. Stark	17.6	8	19.1	52. Pickaway	7.4	69	5.6
9. Clark	14.9	9	17.6	53. Licking	7.3	35	8.9
10. Allen	13.5	39	8.2	54. Clinton	7.2	54	6.7
11. Jefferson	12.9	12	14.5	55. Geauga	7.0	29	9.6
12. Mahoning	12.9	16	12.3	56. Athens	6.9	22	11.1
13. Scioto	12.5	10	17.2	57. Union	6.9	74	5.4
14. Butler	12.3	11	15.8	58. Muskingum	6.5	26	10.2
15. Miami	11.6	36	8.8	59. Williams	6.5	87	3.6
16. Greene	11.5	32	9.3	60. Jackson	6.4	18	11.7
17. Trumbull	11.2	21	11.3	61. Madison	6.4	63	6.1
18. Fairfield	10.5	34	9.1	62. Mercer	6.4	72	5.4
19. Lorain	10.5	19	11.5	63. Ashland	6.3	46	7.3
20. Columbiana	10.3	20	11.3	64. Fulton	6.2	68	5.8
21. Belmont	10.1	13	14.3	65. Defiance	6.1	67	5.9
22. Erie	10.0	24	11.0	66. Meigs	6.1	15	12.9
23. Champaign	9.8	42	7.9	67. Coshocton	6.0	80	4.8
24. Lawrence	9.7	14	13.0	68. Guernsey	6.0	59	6.3
25. Henry	9.4	50	6.9	69. Paulding	6.0	45	7.5
26. Putnam	9.3	70	5.6	70. Hardin	5.9	56	6.6
27. Ross	9.3	27	10.0	71. Morrow	5.9	73	5.4
28. Wyandot	9.3	76	5.2	72. Perry	5.9	38	8.7
29. Clermont	9.2	25	10.3	73. Hancock	5.8	75	5.2
30. Darke	9.2	71	5.5	74. Adams	5.7	84	4.1
31. Wayne	9.2	28	9.7	75. Gallia	5.6	47	7.3
32. Logan	9.1	30	9.6	76. Knox	5.6	65	6.0
33. Tuscarawas	8.8	61	6.3	77. Highland	5.4	44	7.8
34. Crawford	8.7	55	6.7	78. Holmes	5.4	83	4.3
35. Marion	8.6	40	8.2	79. Washington	5.4	41	8.1
36. Wood	8.5	58	6.6	80. Vinton	5.2	52	6.8
37. Auglaize	8.3	53	6.7	81. Harrison	4.9	37	8.7
38. Preble	8.3	49	7.0	82. Brown	4.8	62	6.1
39. Seneca	8.2	78	5.1	83. Hocking	4.8	77	5.1
40. Shelby	8.2	88	3.5	84. Pike	4.3	81	4.8
41. Medina	8.1	23	11.1	85. Monroe	4.2	79	5.0
42. Ottawa	8.1	64	6.1	86. Carroll	3.6	85	4.1
43. Fayette	7.9	48	7.1	87. Morgan	3.5	82	4.6
44. Richland	7.9	66	6.0	88. Noble	3.2	86	4.1

Table 40. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms Under 30 Acres, Ohio, 1940-1950.

State total 1950 21.7% - 1940 22.4%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	70.0	1	65.9	45. Marion	17.6	54	15.9
2. Summit	61.2	2	57.8	46. Sandusky	17.6	49	16.3
3. Lake	51.7	4	52.4	47. Union	17.6	68	14.2
4. Hamilton	51.3	3	53.0	48. Perry	17.4	34	20.6
5. Lucas	45.7	5	47.4	49. Crawford	17.3	75	13.0
6. Montgomery	40.4	7	38.6	50. Wyandot	17.2	77	12.9
7. Franklin	37.7	6	41.6	51. Wood	16.9	71	13.9
8. Stark	37.5	8	37.6	52. Muskingum	16.6	28	22.7
9. Lorain	30.9	12	30.0	53. Mercer	16.5	69	14.1
10. Clark	30.7	10	34.9	54. Morrow	16.4	74	13.3
11. Mahoning	30.2	13	29.4	55. Neigs	16.3	16	28.4
12. Scioto	29.8	9	37.5	56. Fayette	16.2	57	15.7
13. Trumbull	29.7	17	28.4	57. Jackson	16.1	30	22.1
14. Lawrence	27.5	11	33.9	58. Pickaway	16.1	78	12.6
15. Allen	27.0	44	18.3	59. Clinton	15.8	63	15.1
16. Butler	26.3	15	28.7	60. Gallia	15.7	41	18.9
17. Portage	26.3	24	26.0	61. Putnam	15.6	79	12.4
18. Erie	26.1	21	27.1	62. Williams	15.2	88	7.8
19. Columbiana	26.0	20	27.1	63. Ashland	15.1	53	16.0
20. Jefferson	25.7	18	28.3	64. Knox	15.1	64	15.1
21. Miami	25.6	29	22.3	65. Washington	15.0	38	19.8
22. Clermont	25.4	25	25.7	66. Seneca	14.9	85	10.4
23. Geauga	23.8	26	25.0	67. Hardin	14.7	50	16.2
24. Greene	23.7	35	20.5	68. Madison	14.5	67	14.3
25. Belmont	23.3	14	29.3	69. Guernsey	14.4	51	16.1
26. Darke	22.9	48	16.3	70. Vinton	14.4	43	18.9
27. Fairfield	22.7	39	19.7	71. Fulton	14.1	58	15.7
28. Medina	21.9	23	26.0	72. Van Wert	14.1	59	15.7
29. Ross	21.8	27	24.0	73. Henry	14.0	76	13.0
30. Champaign	21.7	45	17.2	74. Harrison	13.8	40	19.0
31. Ashtabula	21.5	19	27.9	75. Highland	13.8	52	16.1
32. Wayne	20.9	32	21.4	76. Pike	13.4	65	14.8
33. Ottawa	20.8	42	18.9	77. Adams	13.0	84	10.4
34. Warren	20.7	36	20.5	78. Defiance	13.0	66	14.5
35. Logan	20.4	31	22.1	79. Hancock	13.0	86	10.4
36. Athens	20.3	22	26.4	80. Paulding	12.7	56	15.8
37. Delaware	20.1	33	20.8	81. Brown	12.6	62	15.1
38. Richland	19.8	60	15.5	82. Coshocton	12.6	80	12.3
39. Auglaize	19.0	61	15.3	83. Hocking	12.3	72	13.5
40. Preble	18.9	46	16.9	84. Monroe	11.3	70	14.0
41. Licking	18.7	37	20.4	85. Holmes	10.9	87	10.4
42. Tuscarawas	18.7	47	16.9	86. Morgan	10.2	73	13.4
43. Shelby	18.2	83	11.1	87. Carroll	9.9	82	11.2
44. Huron	18.0	55	15.8	88. Noble	9.3	81	11.5

Table 41. Rank of Counties by Per Cent of Farms 500 Acres or More,
Ohio, 1940-1950

State total % 1950 .81 - % 1940 .47							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Madison	6.03	1	4.45	45. Defiance	.63	43	.33
2. Fayette	5.43	2	3.64	46. Tuscarawas	.60	53	.25
3. Pickaway	4.86	3	3.05	47. Lake	.59	24	.62
4. Ross	4.11	4	2.97	48. Miami	.59	41	.35
5. Clark	2.75	6	1.71	49. Butler	.57	42	.34
6. Pike	2.30	5	2.23	50. Perry	.57	45	.33
7. Harrison	2.15	11	.99	51. Hamilton	.56	71	.14
8. Vinton	2.08	8	1.45	52. Belmont	.55	57	.20
9. Hocking	2.02	14	.86	53. Carroll	.54	79	.10
10. Wyandot	1.80	19	.73	54. Mercer	.51	72	.14
11. Paulding	1.79	12	.91	55. Lucas	.49	66	.17
12. Marion	1.63	7	1.58	56. Wayne	.49	49	.26
13. Greene	1.46	17	.74	57. Hancock	.43	63	.18
14. Coshocton	1.40	13	.88	58. Richland	.43	46	.28
15. Clinton	1.26	10	1.04	59. Lawrence	.42	33	.43
16. Champaign	1.24	9	1.07	60. Shelby	.42	48	.27
17. Logan	1.20	20	.72	61. Erie	.39	73	.13
18. Muskingum	1.20	36	.38	62. Ashland	.38	65	.17
19. Hardin	1.16	21	.68	63. Putnam	.38	83	.10
20. Franklin	1.10	32	.43	64. Washington	.38	75	.13
21. Scioto	1.10	23	.63	65. Henry	.35	69	.16
22. Morgan	1.08	38	.37	66. Ashtabula	.34	60	.19
23. Union	1.05	25	.60	67. Preble	.34	70	.15
24. Adams	1.00	15	.82	68. Sandusky	.33	52	.25
25. Highland	.98	26	.57	69. Allen	.31	59	.19
26. Knox	.98	27	.55	70. Monroe	.31	81	.10
27. Athens	.94	16	.79	71. Trumbull	.31	55	.22
28. Guernsey	.93	51	.25	72. Columbiana	.30	78	.11
29. Jackson	.93	18	.74	73. Lorain	.28	64	.18
30. Crawford	.88	29	.49	74. Montgomery	.28	82	.10
31. Jefferson	.82	22	.65	75. Ottawa	.26	61	.19
32. Licking	.81	44	.33	76. Holmes	.24	74	.13
33. Meigs	.79	34	.41	77. Portage	.24	77	.12
34. Seneca	.79	30	.47	78. Clermont	.23	88	0.0
35. Huron	.72	28	.53	79. Williams	.23	68	.17
36. Noble	.71	47	.27	80. Mahoning	.22	85	.04
37. Gallia	.69	37	.37	81. Morrow	.22	67	.17
38. Auglaize	.68	50	.25	82. Cuyahoga	.19	76	.12
39. Delaware	.68	35	.40	83. Brown	.14	62	.18
40. Fairfield	.68	40	.36	84. Fulton	.12	84	.07
41. Geauga	.68	31	.44	85. Medina	.11	80	.10
42. Wood	.68	56	.21	86. Stark	.10	86	.04
43. Van Wert	.67	54	.25	87. Summit	.08	58	.20
44. Warren	.64	39	.37	88. Darke	.02	87	.02

Table 42. Rank of Counties by Average Value of Farm Land and Buildings
in Dollars per Farm, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total \$ 1950 14,575 - \$ 1940 6,176							
County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940	County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940
1. Madison	35,517	1	13,822	45. Lorain	14,772	41	6,915
2. Fayette	30,072	2	13,030	46. Fairfield	14,570	44	6,496
3. Pickaway	28,746	3	12,274	47. Huron	14,342	52	5,905
4. Van Wert	28,507	10	9,587	48. Ross	13,829	46	6,378
5. Wood	26,894	9	9,952	49. Summit	13,520	43	6,548
6. Henry	26,077	8	9,963	50. Medina	13,183	50	6,054
7. Paulding	25,456	5	10,988	51. Licking	12,415	53	5,654
8. Cuyahoga	24,682	4	11,364	52. Richland	12,408	57	5,120
9. Wyandot	22,700	22	8,100	53. Holmes	12,390	51	5,905
10. Hancock	21,895	20	8,320	54. Clermont	12,044	61	4,726
11. Greene	21,726	11	9,520	55. Portage	11,870	58	4,950
12. Clinton	21,702	14	9,110	56. Stark	11,707	49	6,126
13. Marion	21,525	17	8,756	57. Highland	11,700	55	5,277
14. Putnam	21,213	16	8,847	58. Morrow	11,570	60	4,769
15. Clark	20,722	13	9,338	59. Mahoning	11,153	54	5,559
16. Hardin	20,702	21	8,160	60. Knox	10,885	59	4,840
17. Lucas	20,648	32	7,471	61. Ashland	10,698	56	5,219
18. Hamilton	20,283	6	10,725	62. Trumbull	9,963	63	4,299
19. Butler	20,182	18	8,741	63. Columbiana	9,558	66	3,773
20. Shelby	19,892	39	7,001	64. Ashtabula	9,357	65	4,106
21. Mercer	19,704	28	7,544	65. Tuscarawas	9,310	64	4,178
22. Champaign	19,618	15	8,923	66. Coshocton	8,802	62	4,363
23. Union	19,403	36	7,354	67. Jefferson	8,658	69	3,677
24. Fulton	19,290	30	7,477	68. Brown	8,217	68	3,686
25. Sandusky	19,184	19	8,437	69. Pike	7,924	75	3,073
26. Franklin	18,705	12	9,342	70. Muskingum	7,645	70	3,642
27. Miami	18,686	29	7,497	71. Harrison	7,461	67	3,696
28. Allen	18,273	38	7,263	72. Carroll	7,356	71	3,512
29. Erie	18,014	37	7,332	73. Belmont	7,295	72	3,351
30. Montgomery	17,877	33	7,423	74. Perry	6,968	73	3,123
31. Ottawa	17,814	23	7,895	75. Adams	6,924	74	3,102
32. Seneca	17,799	27	7,662	76. Guernsey	6,646	82	2,462
33. Preble	17,699	24	7,893	77. Washington	6,565	76	2,783
34. Defiance	17,303	26	7,751	78. Athens	6,239	77	2,758
35. Williams	17,223	40	6,957	79. Scioto	6,097	84	2,291
36. Crawford	17,143	34	7,419	80. Morgan	6,070	81	2,489
37. Auglaize	17,128	31	7,474	81. Meigs	6,022	85	2,287
38. Warren	16,764	25	7,826	82. Gallia	5,967	80	2,512
39. Darke	16,746	48	6,290	83. Hocking	5,916	79	2,624
40. Logan	16,548	47	6,327	84. Lawrence	5,660	86	2,147
41. Geauga	16,450	35	7,384	85. Noble	5,625	78	2,694
42. Wayne	16,398	42	6,793	86. Jackson	5,564	83	2,434
43. Delaware	15,725	45	6,402	87. Vinton	4,578	88	1,648
44. Lake	15,141	7	10,389	88. Monroe	4,395	87	2,055

Table 43. Rank of Counties by Average Value of Farm Land and Buildings in Dollars Per Acre, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total \$ 1950 136.34 - \$ 1940 65.91							
County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940	County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940
1. Cuyahoga	747.08	1	348.13	45. Trumbull	142.12	54	59.15
2. Hamilton	379.60	2	225.75	46. Union	138.26	46	65.23
3. Lucas	339.16	4	146.82	47. Defiance	137.70	40	69.32
4. Summit	326.46	5	144.45	48. Williams	137.09	47	65.11
5. Lake	308.33	3	199.29	49. Delaware	135.61	44	66.54
6. Montgomery	280.45	6	131.62	50. Seneca	135.29	48	64.30
7. Henry	220.26	10	96.48	51. Fairfield	133.39	41	68.34
8. Wood	220.16	11	96.05	52. Crawford	132.25	50	63.38
9. Franklin	216.93	7	127.91	53. Logan	125.31	54	57.81
10. Ottawa	213.10	9	96.69	54. Columbiana	115.84	58	51.49
11. Miami	205.90	15	90.79	55. Licking	112.73	53	58.29
12. Allen	203.87	23	82.86	56. Huron	112.29	59	50.55
13. Van Wert	199.39	16	90.67	57. Ashtabula	111.03	56	54.16
14. Fulton	195.68	22	85.26	58. Holmes	109.92	55	54.49
15. Butler	190.47	13	94.26	59. Richland	109.50	57	51.88
16. Darke	186.61	28	80.20	60. Morrow	105.88	63	47.32
17. Lorain	185.59	12	95.02	61. Ashland	101.17	60	50.40
18. Putnam	185.52	19	86.67	62. Highland	93.15	62	47.42
19. Greene	183.59	20	86.29	63. Ross	92.61	61	49.22
20. Clark	183.05	14	92.03	64. Tuscarawas	90.36	65	42.38
21. Geauga	175.32	18	87.88	65. Knox	88.17	61	45.17
22. Sandusky	175.05	21	85.54	66. Jefferson	85.35	68	39.89
23. Stark	172.68	8	101.95	67. Brown	80.63	67	41.06
24. Erie	172.33	17	87.91	68. Lawrence	76.29	74	32.62
25. Hancock	168.44	35	75.28	69. Scioto	75.94	72	33.82
26. Mercer	168.15	34	75.84	70. Belmont	74.95	66	42.13
27. Preble	166.74	30	78.63	71. Perry	69.00	71	33.94
28. Medina	166.39	26	81.12	72. Gallia	66.03	79	27.51
29. Wayne	165.48	31	77.33	73. Carroll	65.53	73	32.66
30. Shelby	165.39	49	63.94	74. Muskingum	65.42	69	37.29
31. Auglaize	165.03	33	76.12	75. Coshocton	63.17	70	35.96
32. Clinton	162.70	37	73.58	76. Adams	60.90	80	27.35
33. Fayette	160.29	25	81.25	77. Pike	59.38	83	25.00
34. Warren	159.56	24	81.31	78. Washington	59.08	75	31.63
35. Madison	158.85	36	74.51	79. Athens	56.76	77	28.92
36. Paulding	157.32	29	78.70	80. Meigs	55.44	78	27.78
37. Mahoning	156.34	27	81.03	81. Jackson	55.14	81	25.79
38. Clermont	156.28	51	62.85	82. Guernsey	54.84	84	24.53
39. Champaign	151.32	38	72.92	83. Harrison	53.26	76	31.24
40. Portage	149.55	43	66.71	84. Morgan	51.55	86	22.60
41. Hardin	146.53	39	70.68	85. Noble	47.89	82	25.65
42. Marion	143.52	42	67.00	86. Hocking	45.51	87	22.33
43. Pickaway	143.09	32	76.15	87. Monroe	41.00	85	23.60
44. Wyandot	142.81	45	65.42	88. Vinton	34.74	88	15.62

Table 44. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farm Operators Classified as Tenants, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total % 1950 17.9 - % 1940 26.3							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Madison***	37.9	1	45.2	45. Holmes	15.4	54	23.1
2. Fayette	37.2	5	41.4	46. Morrow	15.4	31	30.3
3. Henry	35.6	6	41.3	47. Licking	15.1	48	24.9
4. Pickaway	33.4	2	43.2	48. Huron	14.6	42	26.9
5. Seneca	33.2	3	42.7	49. Ashland	14.3	45	25.6
6. Clinton	32.5	9	38.8	50. Perry	14.1	59	20.5
7. Wood	31.6	13	38.0	51. Knox	14.0	51	23.6
8. Hancock	30.9	8	40.0	52. Hocking	13.5	60	20.4
9. Miami	30.9	10	38.8	53. Clermont	13.1	47	24.9
10. Paulding	30.7	7	41.0	54. Lucas	13.0	49	24.7
11. Hardin	30.6	15	37.6	55. Richland	12.8	44	26.5
12. Van Wert	29.9	16	37.3	56. Hamilton	12.3	58	20.5
13. Fulton	29.7	21	35.0	57. Pike	12.3	56	20.7
14. Greene	29.7	14	37.7	58. Athens	12.0	67	18.3
15. Champaign	29.5	12	38.5	59. Morgan	11.7	73	17.7
16. Darke	29.4	4	41.6	60. Noble	11.7	84	14.7
17. Sandusky	28.5	17	37.1	61. Belmont	11.5	57	20.6
18. Putnam	27.5	20	35.2	62. Harrison	11.3	62	20.2
19. Wyandot	27.4	22	34.9	63. Geauga	10.7	50	24.3
20. Preble	26.7	11	38.7	64. Scioto	10.7	63	19.3
21. Williams	26.1	18	36.1	65. Coshocton	10.1	83	14.8
22. Logan	25.9	24	33.4	66. Muskingum	10.1	71	18.0
23. Defiance	25.2	26	32.8	67. Monroe	10.0	77	17.2
24. Shelby	24.9	19	35.6	68. Stark	9.8	68	18.3
25. Auglaize	24.6	32	29.4	69. Jefferson	9.7	64	19.1
26. Marion	24.1	33	28.8	70. Lawrence	9.4	72	17.8
27. Ross	23.7	38	27.9	71. Medina	9.4	76	17.2
28. Highland	23.5	25	33.1	72. Washington	9.3	65	18.9
29. Crawford	22.6	37	28.3	73. Tuscarawas	9.0	78	16.6
30. Brown	22.2	23	34.8	74. Guernsey	8.9	85	14.3
31. Butler	22.2	30	30.5	75. Jackson	8.5	82	14.9
32. Adams	22.1	34	28.6	76. Gallia	8.3	74	17.4
33. Clark	22.0	29	31.0	77. Lorain	8.3	66	18.8
34. Mercer	21.9	35	28.6	78. Meigs	8.0	87	13.7
35. Allen	21.6	27	32.1	79. Vinton	7.8	70	18.1
36. Union	20.9	36	28.5	80. Summit	7.7	55	20.8
37. Warren	19.8	28	31.6	81. Carroll	7.6	80	15.1
38. Ottawa	19.3	43	26.9	82. Cuyahoga	6.7	52	23.1
39. Montgomery	18.3	40	27.6	83. Portage	6.7	81	15.0
40. Wayne	18.3	39	27.8	84. Columbiana	6.3	69	18.1
41. Fairfield	18.1	53	23.1	85. Lake	6.0	75	17.3
42. Franklin	16.1	41	27.1	86. Mahoning	5.7	86	14.1
43. Erie	15.8	61	20.2	87. Trumbull	5.7	88	13.4
44. Delaware	15.4	46	25.2	88. Ashtabula	5.4	79	15.8

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 45. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farm Operators Working Off the Farm 100 Days or More, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total % 31.5 - % 1940 21.3							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Summit ***	63.0	1	52.0	45. Guernsey	30.3	47	17.6
2. Trumbull	55.1	7	36.8	46. Delaware	30.1	41	18.6
3. Lake	51.2	4	39.5	47. Fairfield	29.6	46	17.7
4. Cuyahoga	50.8	2	44.6	48. Ottawa	29.5	66	12.4
5. Mahoning	49.3	9	35.6	49. Knox	29.4	60	13.6
6. Portage	49.2	15	31.6	50. Huron	29.1	62	12.6
7. Stark	48.2	8	36.0	51. Gallia	28.2	42	18.6
8. Jefferson	47.7	6	37.6	52. Morrow	27.9	70	11.4
9. Scioto	47.0	3	43.6	53. Morgan	27.5	39	20.4
10. Lawrence	45.9	12	33.1	54. Preble	27.2	58	15.4
11. Geauga	45.4	20	29.8	55. Wayne	26.4	49	17.6
12. Tuscarawas	44.8	29	26.4	56. Monroe	26.3	52	17.1
13. Hamilton	44.4	14	31.6	57. Greene	25.7	53	16.7
14. Ashtabula	44.1	27	26.7	58. Noble	24.7	54	16.5
15. Montgomery	43.6	5	37.9	59. Champaign	24.1	59	13.6
16. Lucas	43.5	11	34.5	60. Crawford	23.9	68	12.0
17. Columbiana	43.0	19	30.0	61. Logan	23.7	56	15.4
18. Lorain	43.0	24	28.0	62. Sandusky	22.8	69	11.6
19. Richland	42.4	37	21.3	63. Darke	22.3	75	10.8
20. Hocking	42.2	17	30.7	64. Marion	21.5	57	15.4
21. Clermont	41.6	31	25.4	65. Shelby	21.4	80	10.0
22. Vinton	40.2	10	35.1	66. Wood	21.4	72	11.1
23. Medina	37.9	28	26.6	67. Auglaize	21.3	81	9.4
24. Athens	37.8	18	30.6	68. Holmes	21.0	61	12.8
25. Jackson	37.6	25	27.4	69. Clinton	19.9	65	12.5
26. Carroll	36.8	33	25.1	70. Fulton	19.8	71	11.2
27. Harrison	36.7	34	24.1	71. Defiance	19.5	83	8.9
28. Muskingum	36.4	30	25.6	72. Seneca	19.4	48	17.6
29. Butler	36.2	23	28.2	73. Union	19.3	63	12.6
30. Perry	36.2	16	31.1	74. Mercer	18.9	51	17.3
31. Belmont	35.5	36	22.6	75. Williams	18.6	84	8.3
32. Warren	35.4	35	22.9	76. Paulding	18.5	74	10.9
33. Franklin	35.0	13	31.9	77. Brown	18.3	77	10.2
34. Licking	34.7	44	18.4	78. Wyandot	17.9	82	9.2
35. Ross	34.5	32	25.3	79. Highland	17.8	67	12.3
36. Pike	33.3	26	27.4	80. Pickaway	17.8	79	10.1
37. Ashland	32.3	45	18.0	81. Hancock	15.9	86	7.3
38. Coshocton	31.9	38	20.4	82. Madison	15.4	64	15.4
39. Allen	31.8	50	17.4	83. Adams	15.3	73	11.0
40. Clark	31.6	22	28.8	84. Van Wert	15.0	85	7.1
41. Meigs	31.5	21	29.1	85. Fayette	14.9	76	10.5
42. Washington	31.3	40	19.1	86. Hardin	14.4	78	10.1
43. Erie	30.9	55	16.0	87. Putnam	13.9	88	5.9
44. Miami	30.4	43	18.5	88. Henry	12.6	87	7.1

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 46. Rank of Counties by Average Age of Farm Operator, Ohio, 1940

Average Age 1940 - 50.8

County by Rank 1940	Average Age 1940	County by Rank 1940	Average Age 1940
1. Clinton ***	55.6	45. Logan	50.8
2. Franklin	54.5	46. Pickaway	50.8
3. Guernsey	53.4	47. Highland	50.7
4. Morgan	53.4	48. Huron	50.7
5. Washington	53.4	49. Ottawa	50.7
6. Jackson	53.2	50. Warren	50.7
7. Meigs	53.1	51. Marion	50.6
8. Muskingum	53.1	52. Fayette	50.5
9. Noble	52.9	53. Geauga	50.5
10. Belmont	52.8	54. Greene	50.5
11. Athens	52.7	55. Montgomery	50.5
12. Carroll	52.7	56. Lucas	50.4
13. Coshocton	52.6	57. Auglaize	50.3
14. Hamilton	52.6	58. Richland	50.3
15. Harrison	52.6	59. Union	50.3
16. Monroe	52.6	60. Crawford	50.2
17. Perry	52.4	61. Scioto	50.1
18. Ashtabula	52.3	62. Morrow	50.0
19. Licking	52.3	63. Miami	49.9
20. Cuyahoga	52.2	64. Adams	49.7
21. Gallia	52.2	65. Butler	49.7
22. Vinton	52.1	66. Champaign	49.7
23. Columbiana	52.0	67. Wayne	49.6
24. Jefferson	52.0	68. Preble	49.5
25. Erie	51.8	69. Summit	49.4
26. Hocking	51.8	70. Allen	49.3
27. Medina	51.7	71. Sandusky	49.3
28. Stark	51.7	72. Madison	49.2
29. Tuscarawas	51.7	73. Wyandot	49.2
30. Mahoning	51.6	74. Mercer	49.0
31. Lake	51.5	75. Hancock	48.9
32. Pike	51.5	76. Seneca	48.9
33. Portage	51.5	77. Shelby	48.9
34. Trumbull	51.5	78. Wood	48.9
35. Clermont	51.4	79. Hardin	48.8
36. Fairfield	51.4	80. Van Wert	48.6
37. Lawrence	51.4	81. Darke	48.5
38. Lorain	51.4	82. Defiance	48.5
39. Ashland	51.3	83. Holmes	48.5
40. Delaware	51.3	84. Williams	48.1
41. Ross	51.3	85. Paulding	47.9
42. Clark	51.2	86. Fulton	47.7
43. Brown	50.8	87. Putnam	47.7
44. Knox	50.8	88. Henry	47.0

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 47. Rank of Counties by Percent Hired Farm Workers Are of Total Farm Workers**, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 12.1% - 1940 13.9%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Lake	37.0	2	32.7	45. Miami	10.5	55	11.4
2. Cuyahoga	34.0	5	28.5	46. Knox	10.2	77	8.4
3. Madison	32.7	3	29.5	47. Highland	10.1	44	12.4
4. Pickaway	30.3	4	29.3	48. Richland	10.1	17	18.2
5. Fayette	28.3	1	32.8	49. Coshocton	10.0	47	12.1
6. Clark	26.1	6	26.7	50. Gallia	10.0	72	8.9
7. Franklin	25.2	15	19.0	51. Logan	10.0	76	8.5
8. Greene	23.5	10	21.2	52. Trumbull	10.0	36	13.6
9. Lucas	21.0	29	15.1	53. Seneca	9.5	37	13.3
10. Ross	20.7	8	22.1	54. Ashland	9.4	51	11.8
11. Erie	20.1	7	24.3	55. Brown	9.3	70	8.9
12. Hamilton	19.8	9	21.6	56. Perry	9.0	83	7.0
13. Clinton	18.2	21	17.6	57. Washington	8.8	57	11.4
14. Summit	18.0	12	20.8	58. Wyandot	8.7	36	13.3
15. Warren	17.1	18	18.0	59. Preble	8.5	40	12.7
16. Champaign	16.9	11	21.0	60. Crawford	8.4	39	13.1
17. Geauga	15.5	22	17.4	61. Holmes	8.3	48	12.1
18. Lorain	15.5	31	14.5	62. Clermont	8.1	71	8.9
19. Fairfield	15.2	32	14.4	63. Harrison	8.1	50	11.9
20. Wayne	15.0	19	18.0	64. Jackson	8.1	52	11.7
21. Columbiana	14.9	25	15.7	65. Guernsey	7.9	79	8.1
22. Astabula	14.6	28	15.3	66. Sandusky	7.8	56	11.4
23. Delaware	13.7	34	13.8	67. Tuscarawas	7.6	33	14.0
24. Medina	13.7	26	15.5	68. Adams	7.5	80	7.7
25. Licking	13.5	23	16.6	69. Hocking	7.5	73	8.8
26. Butler	13.4	14	19.8	70. Muskingum	7.5	65	10.0
27. Stark	13.4	30	14.6	71. Mercer	7.2	75	8.7
28. Hardin	13.3	46	12.3	72. Auglaize	7.1	58	11.3
29. Huron	13.3	16	18.7	73. Putnam	7.0	84	6.8
30. Scioto	13.0	74	8.8	74. Monroe	6.7	88	5.7
31. Union	13.0	24	16.6	75. Van Wert	6.7	82	7.4
32. Marion	12.7	13	20.3	76. Henry	6.2	54	11.5
33. Montgomery	12.5	20	17.7	77. Shelby	6.2	69	9.3
34. Ottawa	12.3	61	10.6	78. Carroll	6.1	43	12.4
35. Meigs	12.2	64	10.1	79. Fulton	5.7	63	10.1
36. Mahoning	12.0	49	12.1	80. Allen	5.6	62	10.1
37. Athens	11.8	67	9.8	81. Hancock	5.5	78	8.2
38. Portage	11.5	27	15.4	82. Vinton	5.5	59	11.2
39. Jefferson	11.3	35	13.7	83. Darke	5.4	87	6.2
40. Lawrence	11.2	53	11.6	84. Morrow	5.1	66	9.9
41. Pike	11.2	42	12.5	85. Williams	4.9	60	10.8
42. Wood	11.2	45	12.4	86. Paulding	4.5	68	9.3
43. Belmont	10.6	41	12.6	87. Noble	4.1	86	6.3
44. Morgan	10.6	81	7.4	88. Defiance	3.2	85	6.5

** Total farm workers includes family and/or hired workers.

Table 48. Rank of Counties by Percent of Males Employed as Farmers and Farm Managers, Farm Laborers (Unpaid Family Workers) Farm Laborers, Except Unpaid, and Farmforeman of Total Employed of Total Population, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 6.2% - 1940 10.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Adams	47.0	1	58.6	45. Guernsey	15.6	49	24.1
2. Monroe	42.3	5	50.2	46. Ross	15.5	52	22.4
3. Brown	41.0	3	54.8	47. Wood	15.5	44	26.0
4. Noble	40.3	2	57.2	48. Medina	15.1	41	27.6
5. Holmes	38.3	4	51.9	49. Warren	15.0	43	26.9
6. Pike	36.5	11	46.5	50. Huron	14.9	48	25.0
7. Gallia	34.6	12	43.1	51. Fairfield	14.3	54	21.7
8. Morgan	34.4	9	47.8	52. Ashland	14.2	51	22.9
9. Putnam	33.0	6	50.2	53. Hocking	13.9	63	18.3
10. Morrow	32.3	7	49.1	54. Perry	13.9	62	18.7
11. Henry	31.2	10	46.9	55. Seneca	13.6	53	21.8
12. Union	31.0	13	42.6	56. Crawford	13.5	58	20.9
13. Highland	30.9	19	40.2	57. Sandusky	12.5	55	21.7
14. Pickaway	29.4	15	42.0	58. Athens	12.4	60	15.5
15. Paulding	29.3	8	48.3	59. Licking	12.4	61	19.3
16. Madison	29.1	14	42.0	60. Ottawa	12.4	46	25.4
17. Fulton	27.9	16	41.7	61. Lawrence	21.1	59	20.5
18. Darke	26.9	18	40.4	62. Greene	11.0	50	20.0
19. Hardin	26.7	22	38.4	63. Miami	10.4	64	17.0
20. Clinton	26.5	23	37.7	64. Marion	9.9	65	15.9
21. Fayette	26.0	24	37.7	65. Ashtabula	9.5	60	20.3
22. Wyandot	26.0	21	39.0	66. Portage	9.4	57	21.4
23. Mercer	25.3	20	39.3	67. Muskingum	8.0	67	13.4
24. Meigs	25.0	28	33.7	68. Tuscarawas	7.6	68	13.3
25. Vinton	24.2	30	32.6	69. Belmont	7.4	70	11.9
26. Champaign	23.9	27	34.7	70. Scioto	7.0	75	9.5
27. Preble	23.8	17	41.3	71. Allen	6.5	71	11.4
28. Williams	23.1	29	33.0	72. Columbiana	6.2	72	10.9
29. Delaware	22.1	26	37.1	73. Erie	6.2	69	13.0
30. Carroll	21.2	34	30.7	74. Richland	5.5	73	10.7
31. Defiance	20.7	32	32.3	75. Clark	4.7	77	8.3
32. Shelby	20.1	33	31.5	76. Lorain	4.2	76	8.9
33. Auglaize	19.8	35	30.5	77. Butler	4.1	79	6.8
34. Logan	19.8	37	30.1	78. Lake	4.1	74	10.2
35. Van Wert	19.7	31	32.5	79. Trumbull	3.2	78	7.1
36. Washington	19.5	38	29.7	80. Jefferson	2.9	81	4.3
37. Knox	17.3	40	28.3	81. Stark	2.6	80	4.7
38. Geauga	17.1	25	37.5	82. Mahoning	1.6	83	2.9
39. Hancock	16.8	45	25.5	83. Montgomery	1.5	82	3.2
40. Wayne	16.8	42	27.6	84. Franklin	1.4	84	2.5
41. Clermont	16.4	36	30.3	85. Lucas	1.1	85	2.1
42. Coshocton	16.2	47	25.3	86. Summit	0.8	86	1.8
43. Harrison	16.1	39	28.4	87. Hamilton	0.7	87	1.3
44. Jackson	15.8	56	21.4	88. Cuyahoga	0.3	88	0.5

Table 49. Rank of Counties by Percent of Males and Females
Employed as Farmers and Farm Managers, Farm Laborers
(Unpaid Family Workers) Farm Laborers, Except Unpaid,
and Farmforeman of Total Rural Farm Population
Employed, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total-1950 56.5% - 1940 66.2%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Adams	80.2	1	86.7	45. Greene	59.3	43	69.6
2. Madison	76.7	10	80.3	46. Sandusky	59.2	39	72.0
3. Hardin	75.9	5	82.1	47. Delaware	58.8	34	73.0
4. Brown	75.1	3	83.5	48. Miami	57.1	55	65.5
5. Highland	75.1	6	81.2	49. Ashland	55.3	51	66.8
6. Hancock	74.1	13	78.4	50. Clark	55.3	71	56.9
7. Fayette	73.8	8	80.4	51. Licking	55.0	45	68.7
8. Clinton	73.3	11	80.0	52. Perry	54.6	76	54.7
9. Monroe	72.8	37	72.7	53. Huron	54.4	41	71.7
10. Williams	72.8	19	76.8	54. Erie	53.4	52	66.7
11. Pickaway	72.2	15	77.9	55. Belmont	52.9	59	62.6
12. Putnam	72.2	2	84.7	56. Athens	51.9	68	57.7
13. Henry	71.9	4	82.6	57. Jackson	51.8	57	64.3
14. Noble	71.7	12	79.9	58. Muskingum	51.1	65	60.4
15. Holmes	70.3	16	77.4	59. Ottawa	51.0	47	68.2
16. Auglaize	69.7	20	76.6	60. Allen	50.7	53	66.4
17. Champaign	69.3	17	77.2	61. Carroll	50.3	62	61.7
18. Paulding	68.8	9	80.4	62. Medina	50.3	64	61.1
19. Wyandot	68.4	7	81.0	63. Harrison	49.9	54	65.7
20. Logan	68.1	21	76.6	64. Warren	49.9	58	62.7
21. Defiance	67.9	26	75.8	65. Butler	48.5	73	55.8
22. Darke	67.3	24	76.3	66. Tuscarawas	48.2	61	61.9
23. Mercer	67.2	29	75.4	67. Lawrence	46.7	69	57.6
24. Union	67.2	14	78.3	68. Ashtabula	46.4	56	64.8
25. Marion	67.0	35	73.0	69. Clermont	45.9	60	62.1
26. Gallia	66.9	31	74.0	70. Richland	44.7	63	61.4
27. Morgan	66.1	32	73.7	71. Geauga	44.6	66	59.5
28. Van Wert	65.8	18	77.2	72. Vinton	44.4	74	54.9
29. Shelby	65.3	23	76.4	73. Columbiana	44.0	72	56.8
30. Wayne	65.1	40	72.0	74. Scioto	43.9	77	51.7
31. Fulton	64.7	30	75.1	75. Hocking	43.6	78	51.5
32. Guernsey	64.7	36	72.7	76. Jefferson	41.9	85	41.5
33. Crawford	63.8	25	76.1	77. Lorain	41.2	67	58.4
34. Morrow	63.4	22	76.6	78. Franklin	40.9	79	51.1
35. Wood	62.9	48	67.9	79. Portage	40.5	70	57.2
36. Washington	62.7	33	73.6	80. Montgomery	40.3	83	45.4
37. Seneca	62.6	38	72.3	81. Stark	38.4	81	46.6
38. Meigs	62.4	46	68.4	82. Mahoning	36.5	80	50.0
39. Fairfield	61.9	44	69.5	83. Lucas	34.1	75	54.8
40. Coshocton	61.5	49	67.1	84. Hamilton	33.8	86	39.1
41. Pike	61.3	42	70.6	85. Lake	33.8	84	45.1
42. Preble	61.2	27	75.8	86. Trumbull	28.9	82	46.3
43. Ross	60.1	50	66.9	87. Summit	23.9	87	35.3
44. Knox	59.5	28	15.1	88. Cuyahoga	22.8	88	35.2

Table 50. Rank of Counties by Percent Employed in Agriculture
(Males and females) of Total Population Employed,
Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 6.9% - 1940 11.0%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Adams	51.5	1	59.1	45. Warren	17.1	43	28.0
2. Monroe	48.0	6	50.9	46. Medina	16.9	42	28.2
3. Brown	44.6	3	55.8	47. Wood	16.4	44	26.8
4. Noble	44.6	2	58.9	48. Ashland	16.3	50	24.0
5. Holmes	44.5	4	53.8	49. Jackson	16.2	57	22.0
6. Morgan	38.8	8	49.5	50. Ross	16.1	53	22.8
7. Pike	37.5	11	47.1	51. Fairfield	15.9	56	22.2
8. Putnam	36.7	5	51.3	52. Huron	15.7	48	25.6
9. Morrow	36.0	7	50.1	53. Perry	15.4	62	19.0
10. Gallia	35.7	13	44.1	54. Crawford	14.9	58	21.9
11. Highland	34.7	19	41.4	55. Hocking	14.9	63	18.5
12. Henry	33.4	10	48.0	56. Seneca	14.6	55	22.5
13. Union	33.3	12	44.2	57. Athens	13.8	66	16.1
14. Madison	31.5	14	43.1	58. Licking	13.7	61	20.2
15. Paulding	31.4	9	49.3	59. Sandusky	13.5	54	22.5
16. Pickaway	30.5	16	42.7	60. Ottawa	12.9	47	25.9
17. Darke	29.8	18	41.4	61. Lawrence	12.7	60	21.2
18. Fulton	29.4	15	43.0	62. Greene	12.0	51	23.6
19. Hardin	29.0	22	39.8	63. Miami	11.6	64	17.8
20. Mercer	28.6	21	40.1	64. Ashtabula	11.5	59	21.4
21. Wyandot	28.1	20	40.8	65. Portage	11.2	52	23.0
22. Clinton	27.8	26	38.3	66. Marion	10.6	65	16.5
23. Fayette	27.5	23	38.9	67. Muskingum	8.7	67	14.0
24. Meigs	26.6	28	34.4	68. Tuscarawas	8.6	68	13.6
25. Champaign	26.1	27	35.8	69. Belmont	8.2	70	12.2
26. Preble	25.6	17	42.3	70. Scioto	7.6	75	10.0
27. Vinton	25.6	32	33.0	71. Allen	7.1	71	11.8
28. Williams	25.3	29	33.7	72. Columbiana	7.1	73	11.4
29. Delaware	23.6	25	38.4	73. Erie	6.6	69	13.4
30. Auglaize	23.5	35	31.3	74. Richland	6.1	74	11.1
31. Shelby	23.5	33	32.5	75. Lake	5.2	72	11.7
32. Carroll	22.5	34	31.5	76. Clarke	5.1	77	8.8
33. Defiance	22.1	31	33.0	77. Lorain	4.6	76	9.4
34. Washington	21.4	38	30.4	78. Butler	4.4	79	7.2
35. Logan	20.8	37	30.9	79. Jefferson	3.5	81	4.5
36. Van Wert	20.3	30	33.2	80. Trumbull	3.5	78	7.4
37. Wayne	20.2	41	29.1	81. Stark	3.0	80	5.0
38. Geauga	19.7	24	38.7	82. Mahoning	1.9	83	3.1
39. Knox	19.5	40	29.1	83. Franklin	1.8	84	2.8
40. Coshocton	18.4	45	26.3	84. Montgomery	1.8	82	3.5
41. Guernsey	18.4	49	24.9	85. Lucas	1.4	85	2.4
42. Clermont	18.0	36	31.3	86. Hamilton	1.0	87	1.6
43. Hancock	17.9	46	26.1	87. Summit	1.0	86	2.0
44. Harrison	17.1	39	29.3	88. Cuyahoga	0.5	88	0.7

Table 51. Rank of Counties by Percent of Males in Agriculture of Total Number of Males, Ohio, 1940-1950.

State total - 1950 5.0% - 1940 7.3%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Adams	30.4	5	30.2	45. Fairfield	11.4	54	14.0
2. Brown	28.3	1	34.0	46. Clermont	11.3	36	19.2
3. Monroe	27.1	19	24.7	47. Harrison	11.2	42	17.2
4. Noble	26.8	6	29.3	48. Warren	10.9	40	17.4
5. Holmes	26.4	2	31.6	49. Wood	10.9	48	16.0
6. Putnam	23.5	3	30.9	50. Crawford	10.8	49	15.4
7. Morgan	23.4	10	26.6	51. Guernsey	10.8	57	12.9
8. Morrow	23.0	4	30.8	52. Seneca	10.4	52	14.8
9. Union	22.8	7	28.6	53. Ross	9.9	60	11.9
10. Highland	22.7	15	25.5	54. Sandusky	9.9	51	14.8
11. Henry	21.9	8	27.6	55. Ottawa	9.5	41	17.3
12. Fulton	20.3	9	27.5	56. Jackson	9.3	61	10.5
13. Pike	19.9	34	19.5	57. Licking	9.2	56	13.0
14. Paulding	19.8	11	26.5	58. Hocking	9.0	65	9.1
15. Darke	19.7	12	26.4	59. Perry	8.9	64	9.6
16. Mercer	19.6	16	25.5	60. Miami	8.2	59	12.4
17. Gallia	19.1	30	20.0	61. Ashtabula	7.6	55	13.4
18. Wyandot	18.9	14	25.6	62. Marion	7.5	62	10.5
19. Clinton	18.6	21	23.6	63. Lawrence	7.4	63	9.6
20. Fayette	18.6	22	23.3	64. Greene	7.3	50	14.9
21. Madison	18.5	24	22.0	65. Portage	7.0	53	14.3
22. Champaign	17.6	26	21.8	66. Athens	6.9	71	7.7
23. Hardin	17.5	20	23.7	67. Muskingum	6.3	66	8.8
24. Williams	17.5	23	22.6	68. Tuscarawas	5.6	68	7.9
25. Pickaway	17.4	25	22.0	69. Allen	5.1	69	7.8
26. Preble	17.2	17	25.3	70. Belmont	5.1	74	6.4
27. Delaware	16.0	18	25.1	71. Erie	5.1	67	8.6
28. Shelby	16.0	29	20.4	72. Columbiana	4.8	73	7.3
29. Auglaize	15.5	28	20.4	73. Scioto	4.7	77	5.1
30. Meigs	15.3	47	16.0	74. Richland	4.4	72	7.4
31. Van Wert	15.2	27	21.3	75. Clark	3.9	76	6.0
32. Defiance	14.9	31	19.9	76. Lake	3.5	70	7.8
33. Carroll	14.6	33	19.5	77. Lorain	3.4	75	6.4
34. Logan	14.1	38	18.6	78. Butler	3.2	78	4.8
35. Vinton	13.3	58	12.5	79. Trumbull	2.5	79	4.5
36. Washington	13.3	43	17.2	80. Stark	2.2	80	3.3
37. Hancock	13.1	39	17.6	81. Jefferson	2.1	81	2.7
38. Wayne	13.1	37	19.0	82. Montgomery	1.4	82	2.5
39. Geauga	12.8	13	26.1	83. Franklin	1.3	83	2.0
40. Knox	12.8	35	19.4	84. Mahoning	1.3	84	2.0
41. Coshocton	12.4	46	16.2	85. Lucas	1.1	85	1.6
42. Medina	11.9	32	19.8	86. Hamilton	0.7	87	1.2
43. Ashland	11.8	44	17.0	87. Summit	0.7	86	1.3
44. Huron	11.5	45	16.5	88. Cuyahoga	0.4	88	0.5

Table 52. Rank of Counties by Average Value Per Farm of Farm Products Sold, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total \$ 1950 3,804.93-\$ 1940 1,208.43							
County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940	County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940
1. Madison	9416.09	1	2642.05	45. Fairfield	3923.86	50	1167.51
2. Fayette	8193.65	3	2259.80	46. Allen	3871.87	42	1260.86
3. Pickaway	7561.96	2	2289.62	47. Knox	3671.49	49	1178.05
4. Clinton	6537.98	13	1774.05	48. Montgomery	3531.09	46	1214.10
5. Clark	6345.36	4	2004.82	49. Hamilton	3522.22	47	1188.12
6. Fulton	5887.61	24	1574.67	50. Medina	3497.53	51	1103.59
7. Greene	5824.86	11	1823.63	51. Licking	3486.07	53	1080.62
8. Henry	5763.50	7	1945.55	52. Ottawa	3470.20	39	1325.78
9. Cuyahoga	5738.28	17	1707.65	53. Ashland	3140.66	59	999.06
10. Marion	5572.85	8	1915.69	54. Stark	3098.49	55	1063.20
11. Champaign	5567.19	6	1945.84	55. Morrow	3038.36	63	900.81
12. Hardin	5537.38	18	1688.50	56. Geauga	3020.06	48	1178.90
13. Wood	5519.63	10	1833.36	57. Coshocton	3007.78	62	906.53
14. Wyandot	5383.72	15	1752.76	58. Mahoning	2971.84	57	1029.77
15. Putnam	5288.07	21	1626.61	59. Columbiana	2819.20	61	943.56
16. Van Wert	5268.13	12	1821.21	60. Richland	2756.16	60	967.49
17. Hancock	5070.87	19	1684.95	61. Tuscarawas	2740.41	64	868.87
18. Mercer	5053.94	37	1341.63	62. Portage	2712.52	58	1006.21
19. Auglaize	5051.00	30	1427.45	63. Ashtabula	2668.01	56	1048.29
20. Miami	5030.97	20	1650.48	64. Brown	2665.13	66	733.95
21. Sandusky	4995.62	26	1504.55	65. Muskingum	2462.01	73	631.09
22. Preble	4963.52	16	1743.26	66. Summit	2365.69	52	1083.00
23. Crawford	4936.91	14	1768.34	67. Trumbull	2232.91	65	858.98
24. Union	4923.30	27	1481.55	68. Adams	2232.13	75	616.71
25. Wayne	4915.65	22	1625.16	69. Lawrence	2204.75	86	403.86
26. Paulding	4889.16	5	1965.57	70. Carroll	2164.82	70	651.20
27. Seneca	4725.71	23	1610.42	71. Perry	2082.34	72	636.23
28. Shelby	4725.19	28	1460.70	72. Clermont	2064.76	67	671.28
29. Lucas	4633.00	25	1526.16	73. Harrison	2009.02	69	653.38
30. Franklin	4609.32	34	1390.81	74. Belmont	1962.78	68	667.91
31. Butler	4551.00	43	1251.70	75. Jefferson	1846.68	71	641.61
32. Lake	4534.53	9	1990.92	76. Pike	1810.96	74	623.80
33. Williams	4443.36	35	1385.31	77. Morgan	1795.25	77	569.12
34. Darke	4438.01	38	1331.72	78. Scioto	1687.58	83	470.26
35. Defiance	4427.69	32	1408.05	79. Noble	1679.57	79	497.10
36. Warren	4352.42	41	1282.07	80. Washington	1675.43	81	479.76
37. Logan	4307.06	33	1397.11	81. Gallia	1658.08	84	458.34
38. Erie	4293.06	29	1437.98	82. Hocking	1657.66	80	489.18
39. Ross	4208.57	44	1249.34	83. Meigs	1620.66	85	439.68
40. Lorain	4115.07	36	1383.30	84. Athens	1583.98	76	614.46
41. Holmes	4088.89	40	1290.72	85. Guernsey	1581.35	78	530.32
42. Delaware	4039.66	31	1413.12	86. Jackson	1522.74	82	475.10
43. Huron	3997.28	45	1231.65	87. Monroe	1389.86	87	378.71
44. Highland	3953.25	54	1071.38	88. Vinton	1013.44	88	320.50

Table 53. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms Which Reported No. Products Sold of Total Farms Reporting, Ohio 1940-1950.

State total - 1950 6.2% - 1940 1.8%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Summit***	19.6	19	2.7	45. Monroe	5.9	1	7.0
2. Lawrence	18.3	27	2.3	46. Clark	5.2	59	0.9
3. Hocking	17.2	9	3.7	47. Knox	4.7	17	2.8
4. Scioto	15.8	33	2.0	48. Fairfield	4.3	50	1.0
5. Jefferson	15.7	28	2.2	49. Greene	3.9	78	0.3
6. Vinton	15.6	11	3.5	50. Miami	3.6	65	0.7
7. Jackson	14.7	41	1.6	51. Holmes	3.4	49	1.1
8. Trumbull	14.4	47	1.2	52. Crawford	3.3	88	0.1
9. Lake	14.0	4	4.4	53. Erie	3.2	45	1.2
10. Athens	13.0	44	1.3	54. Clinton	3.1	66	0.6
11. Hamilton	12.8	3	4.5	55. Ashland	2.9	57	0.9
12. Belmont	12.4	8	3.7	56. Preble	2.9	56	1.0
13. Clermont	12.4	43	1.5	57. Union	2.9	62	0.9
14. Perry	11.9	25	2.5	58. Fulton	2.7	73	0.4
15. Ashtabula	11.1	14	3.1	59. Allen	2.6	63	0.8
16. Columbiana	10.7	30	2.1	60. Brown	2.6	58	0.9
17. Geauga	10.6	18	2.7	61. Huron	2.4	51	1.0
18. Portage	9.9	55	1.0	62. Wayne	2.4	42	1.6
19. Lucas	9.8	34	1.9	63. Wyandot	2.4	82	0.3
20. Muskingum	9.8	7	3.8	64. Darke	2.3	72	0.4
21. Guernsey	9.7	2	6.0	65. Sandusky	2.3	70	0.5
22. Harrison	9.5	23	2.5	66. Adams	2.2	40	1.6
23. Lorain	9.4	64	0.8	67. Fayette	2.1	83	0.2
24. Pike	9.3	36	1.9	68. Morrow	2.1	53	1.0
25. Meigs	8.8	10	3.7	69. Highland	2.0	46	1.2
26. Cuyahoga	8.6	22	2.5	70. Madison	2.0	69	0.5
27. Coshocton	8.3	20	2.6	71. Ottawa	2.0	54	1.0
28. Stark	8.2	37	1.9	72. Champaign	1.8	71	0.4
29. Noble	8.1	24	2.5	73. Marion	1.8	60	0.9
30. Gallia	7.9	26	2.3	74. Auglaize	1.7	77	0.3
31. Mahoning	7.9	31	2.1	75. Hardin	1.5	79	0.3
32. Washington	7.5	6	4.1	76. Shelby	1.5	76	0.4
33. Franklin	7.4	12	3.4	77. Wood	1.2	81	0.3
34. Tuscarawas	7.3	13	3.2	78. Pickaway	1.0	61	0.9
35. Ross	7.1	39	1.7	79. Logan	0.8	52	1.0
36. Carroll	7.0	21	2.5	80. Putnam	0.8	75	0.4
37. Warren	6.6	48	1.2	81. Seneca	0.8	85	0.2
38. Morgan	6.5	5	4.3	82. Defiance	0.7	67	0.6
39. Medina	6.4	29	2.2	83. Mercer	0.7	74	0.4
40. Delaware	6.4	15	3.0	84. Williams	0.5	87	0.2
41. Montgomery	6.4	35	1.9	85. Hancock	0.4	68	0.5
42. Richland	6.3	38	1.8	86. Henry	0.4	84	0.2
43. Licking	6.2	16	3.0	87. Van Wert	0.3	86	0.2
44. Butler	5.9	32	2.0	88. Paulding	0.0	80	0.3

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 54. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms Reporting
 \$10,000 or More Products Sold of Farms Reporting
 Sales, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 7.0% - 1940 0.5%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Madison	31.5	1	2.8	45. Allen	6.1	44	0.4
2. Fayette	26.8	5	1.9	46. Geauga	6.1	37	0.5
3. Pickaway	24.8	4	2.0	47. Defiance	6.0	47	0.4
4. Clinton	20.4	27	0.6	48. Knox	6.0	39	0.5
5. Champaign	15.8	10	1.2	49. Licking	5.8	50	0.4
6. Clark	15.4	3	2.1	50. Ottawa	5.3	60	0.3
7. Greene	14.9	8	1.3	51. Coshocton	5.2	66	0.2
8. Fulton	13.6	36	0.5	52. Columbiana	5.0	35	0.5
9. Wyandot	13.1	22	0.8	53. Mahoning	4.4	30	0.6
10. Van Wert	12.3	43	0.5	54. Ashtabula	4.2	33	0.5
11. Wood	12.3	26	0.7	55. Tuscarawas	4.2	62	0.3
12. Ross	12.1	19	0.9	56. Portage	3.9	24	0.7
13. Franklin	12.0	20	0.8	57. Stark	3.7	42	0.5
14. Cuyahoga	11.6	2	2.5	58. Ashland	3.6	55	0.3
15. Mercer	11.0	59	0.3	59. Holmes	3.6	83	0.0
16. Shelby	10.9	68	0.2	60. Pike	3.5	52	0.4
17. Preble	10.8	18	0.9	61. Morrow	3.3	76	0.1
18. Butler	10.4	34	0.5	62. Richland	3.2	67	0.2
19. Hardin	10.4	13	1.0	63. Trumbull	3.2	61	0.3
20. Crawford	10.1	7	1.4	64. Clermont	2.5	65	0.2
21. Union	9.8	21	0.8	65. Meigs	2.5	75	0.1
22. Auglaize	9.7	45	0.4	66. Scioto	2.5	78	0.1
23. Hancock	9.5	23	0.7	67. Summit	2.5	25	0.7
24. Henry	9.2	16	0.9	68. Hocking	2.3	74	0.1
25. Wayne	9.2	12	1.1	69. Brown	2.2	81	0.0
26. Delaware	9.1	48	0.4	70. Muskingum	2.2	77	0.1
27. Logan	9.1	29	0.6	71. Washington	2.2	79	0.1
28. Erie	8.6	28	0.6	72. Belmont	2.1	70	0.1
29. Sandusky	8.3	40	0.5	73. Marion	2.1	15	1.0
30. Williams	8.2	54	0.4	74. Adams	1.6	80	0.0
31. Putnam	7.9	53	0.4	75. Jackson	1.6	56	0.3
32. Miami	7.8	17	0.9	76. Harrison	1.5	72	0.1
33. Seneca	7.8	41	0.5	77. Perry	1.5	87	0.0
34. Darke	7.6	46	0.4	78. Gallia	1.4	71	0.1
35. Hamilton	7.6	11	1.2	79. Athens	1.3	63	0.2
36. Fairfield	7.4	49	0.4	80. Carroll	1.3	64	0.2
37. Lorain	6.9	14	1.0	81. Lawrence	1.3	58	0.3
38. Lucas	6.9	6	1.5	82. Morgan	1.2	85	0.0
39. Lake	6.8	9	1.3	83. Monroe	1.1	84	0.0
40. Montgomery	6.7	31	0.6	84. Jefferson	0.9	57	0.3
41. Warren	6.7	69	0.2	85. Vinton	0.9	88	0.0
42. Highland	6.6	73	0.1	86. Noble	0.8	86	0.0
43. Medina	6.4	51	0.4	87. Guernsey	0.3	82	0.0
44. Huron	6.3	38	0.5	88. Paulding	0.0	32	0.6

Table 55. Ranks of Counties by Rural Land-Man Ratio**, Ohio, 1940-1950

State - 1950 Ratio 15.6 - 1940 Ratio 14.6							
County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940	County by Rank 1950	Ratio 1950	Rank 1940	Ratio 1940
1. Paulding	35.3	1	26.6	45. Allen	15.7	43	15.3
2. Fayette	33.7	2	25.8	46. Butler	15.3	52	14.1
3. Pickaway	31.6	17	19.1	47. Licking	15.2	25	18.0
4. Madison	31.2	6	22.1	48. Richland	15.2	42	15.4
5. Van Wert	27.6	13	20.3	49. Adams	14.4	55	13.8
6. Wyandot	27.0	7	21.9	50. Noble	13.2	47	14.5
7. Hancock	26.5	12	20.3	51. Wayne	13.1	56	13.7
8. Hardin	26.2	9	21.3	52. Lorain	12.7	62	12.2
9. Marion	26.2	3	25.3	53. Cuyahoga	12.6	83	8.2
10. Defiance	25.1	22	18.4	54. Perry	12.5	61	12.8
11. Wood	24.6	33	17.1	55. Tuscarawas	12.3	49	14.3
12. Clinton	23.9	4	22.7	56. Holmes	12.2	45	14.8
13. Williams	23.6	23	18.4	57. Carroll	12.1	57	13.6
14. Henry	22.3	30	17.7	58. Clermont	12.1	60	13.3
15. Seneca	22.2	20	18.6	59. Harrison	12.1	51	14.2
16. Champaign	22.0	10	20.4	60. Medina	12.1	67	11.4
17. Crawford	21.9	11	20.4	61. Muskingum	12.1	48	14.3
18. Union	21.7	8	21.6	62. Montgomery	12.0	70	9.9
19. Auglaize	21.6	18	18.8	63. Guernsey	11.4	44	15.2
20. Highland	21.3	5	22.3	64. Pike	11.4	68	11.1
21. Clark	21.1	38	15.9	65. Ashtabula	11.1	64	11.8
22. Putnam	21.1	27	17.9	66. Jefferson	10.5	76	9.3
23. Logan	20.6	16	19.1	67. Belmont	10.3	66	11.5
24. Greene	20.5	29	17.8	68. Jackson	10.1	54	14.1
25. Shelby	20.3	21	18.5	69. Columbiana	9.7	72	9.7
26. Mercer	20.1	34	17.0	70. Lucas	9.7	82	8.4
27. Sandusky	19.7	35	16.2	71. Stark	9.7	73	9.7
28. Brown	18.5	15	19.5	72. Mahoning	9.5	77	9.3
29. Huron	18.5	19	18.6	73. Portage	9.2	74	9.6
30. Preble	18.5	26	17.9	74. Hocking	9.1	69	10.3
31. Delaware	18.3	28	17.8	75. Monroe	8.9	63	12.2
32. Fulton	18.2	41	15.7	76. Morgan	8.7	46	14.8
33. Miami	18.2	39	15.8	77. Geauga	8.4	80	8.8
34. Ottawa	18.2	58	13.5	78. Trumbull	7.9	71	9.8
35. Knox	17.7	14	19.6	79. Washington	7.7	75	9.5
36. Darke	17.6	40	15.7	80. Athens	7.6	81	8.6
37. Fairfield	17.6	31	17.2	81. Summit	7.5	86	6.5
38. Erie	17.5	53	14.1	82. Meigs	7.4	78	9.1
39. Franklin	17.5	50	14.2	83. Vinton	7.4	79	8.9
40. Ashland	17.0	36	16.1	84. Lake	7.3	84	7.3
41. Warren	16.7	37	16.1	85. Hamilton	7.2	88	5.9
42. Coshocton	16.6	24	18.0	86. Scioto	6.8	87	6.0
43. Ross	16.4	59	13.4	87. Gallia	6.7	65	11.6
44. Morrow	16.1	32	17.2	88. Lawrence	4.9	85	6.7

** Total cropland to rural farm population

Table 56. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms Classified as Commercial**, Ohio, 1950

State total - 1950 67.4%			
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	County by Rank 1950	% 1950
1. Henry	91.1	45. Erie	69.0
2. Van Wert	90.9	46. Butler	67.9
3. Putnam	90.1	47. Licking	67.7
4. Hancock	89.6	48. Coshocton	66.9
5. Hardin	88.9	49. Noble	66.4
6. Mercer	88.7	50. Medina	65.8
7. Madison	88.5	51. Franklin	64.3
8. Paulding	88.0	52. Richland	62.5
9. Seneca	87.1	53. Morgan	61.0
10. Williams	87.1	54. Montgomery	60.8
11. Fulton	86.7	55. Lorain	60.0
12. Wood	86.4	56. Carroll	59.7
13. Fayette	86.3	57. Lucas	57.2
14. Wyandot	86.3	58. Ross	56.8
15. Defiance	85.8	59. Guernsey	56.4
16. Shelby	85.3	60. Muskingum	55.9
17. Pickaway	85.1	61. Tuscarawas	55.7
18. Auglaize	85.0	62. Harrison	55.4
19. Marion	84.8	63. Gallia	55.0
20. Darke	84.0	64. Columbiana	54.6
21. Sandusky	83.9	65. Monroe	54.0
22. Union	83.6	66. Stark	53.9
23. Crawford	82.9	67. Mahoning	53.3
24. Clinton	81.7	68. Washington	52.6
25. Holmes	81.5	69. Clermont	52.4
26. Brown	80.8	70. Perry	52.3
27. Highland	80.7	71. Geauga	52.2
28. Wayne	79.8	72. Belmont	51.9
29. Preble	79.2	73. Portage	51.6
30. Champaign	79.1	74. -Ashtabula	50.5
31. Logan	78.5	75. Meigs	49.7
32. Ottawa	77.6	76. Pike	47.4
33. Miami	76.4	77. Hamilton	46.3
34. Huron	76.2	78. Trumbull	44.7
35. Adams	75.7	79. Athens	44.0
36. Greene	75.6	80. Jackson	44.0
37. Allen	74.8	81. Cuyahoga	41.9
38. Knox	74.6	82. Hocking	41.9
39. Morrow	74.6	83. Lake	41.4
40. Delaware	73.5	84. Jefferson	40.1
41. Ashland	72.6	85. Scioto	34.6
42. Clark	71.3	86. Lawrence	33.5
43. Fairfield	70.7	87. Vinton	32.9
44. Warren	69.2	88. Summit	30.7

** In general, farms were classified as commercial when the value of the products sold amounts to \$1,200 or more.

Table 57. Rank of Counties by Average Distance in Miles
From Farms to Trading Center Visited Most
Frequently, Ohio, 1950

State total - 1950 6 miles			
County by Rank 1950	Miles 1950	County by Rank 1950	Miles 1950
1. Lawrence***	10	45. Belmont	5
2. Coshocton	8	46. Columbiana	5
3. Gallia	8	47. Crawford	5
4. Guernsey	8	48. Cuyahoga	5
5. Jefferson	8	49. Defiance	5
6. Muskingum	8	50. Fairfield	5
7. Pike	8	51. Franklin	5
8. Scioto	8	52. Greene	5
9. Fayette	7	53. Hamilton	5
10. Hocking	7	54. Hancock	5
11. Jackson	7	55. Hardin	5
12. Licking	7	56. Harrison	5
13. Meigs	7	57. Huron	5
14. Monroe	7	58. Lake	5
15. Morgan	7	59. Lucas	5
16. Ross	7	60. Marion	5
17. Washington	7	61. Mercer	5
18. Ashland	6	62. Miami	5
19. Athens	6	63. Morrow	5
20. Butler	6	64. Ottawa	5
21. Carroll	6	65. Preble	5
22. Champaign	6	66. Richland	5
23. Clark	6	67. Sandusky	5
24. Clinton	6	68. Seneca	5
25. Delaware	6	69. Shelby	5
26. Erie	6	70. Stark	5
27. Geauga	6	71. Union	5
28. Highland	6	72. Van Wert	5
29. Knox	6	73. Warren	5
30. Lorain	6	74. Wyandot	5
31. Madison	6	75. Auglaize	4
32. Mahoning	6	76. Brown	4
33. Medina	6	77. Clermont	4
34. Noble	6	78. Darke	4
35. Perry	6	79. Fulton	4
36. Pickaway	6	80. Henry	4
37. Portage	6	81. Logan	4
38. Summit	6	82. Montgomery	4
39. Trumbull	6	83. Paulding	4
40. Tuscarawas	6	84. Putnam	4
41. Vinton	6	85. Wayne	4
42. Adams	5	86. Williams	4
43. Allen	5	87. Wood	4
44. Ashtabula	5	88. Holmes	3

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 58. Rank of Counties by Percent of Farms on Hard Surfaced Roads of Farms Reporting, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 58.3% - 1940 42.4%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	93.8	4	85.4	45. Portage	57.4	39	43.6
2. Hancock	93.6	9	72.1	46. Clinton	56.2	47	39.9
3. Wood	92.9	7	77.7	47. Highland	55.7	52	36.3
4. Allen	91.3	21	57.0	48. Union	55.0	46	40.5
5. Erie	90.5	1	89.7	49. Geauga	54.8	33	47.7
6. Montgomery	90.1	10	68.3	50. Fulton	53.9	43	42.6
7. Hamilton	89.4	2	88.8	51. Ross	53.6	67	24.1
8. Butler	89.2	22	56.8	52. Columbiana	53.5	58	32.1
9. Seneca	87.8	3	86.7	53. Fairfield	52.0	44	41.1
10. Lucas	87.3	6	81.5	54. Williams	50.3	54	36.0
11. Franklin	85.0	5	82.1	55. Stark	49.2	50	37.2
12. Lake	84.7	16	61.2	56. Medina	48.5	53	36.0
13. Miami	83.3	25	56.1	57. Adams	47.2	71	21.8
14. Mahoning	82.3	8	77.4	58. Richland	46.8	51	37.1
15. Henry	81.0	11	67.5	59. Scioto	46.1	66	24.8
16. Putnam	80.2	18	59.3	60. Paulding	44.9	62	29.0
17. Clark	79.8	14	62.3	61. Licking	43.6	63	27.6
18. Wyandot	79.7	23	56.8	62. Jefferson	42.5	57	32.7
19. Sandusky	78.7	12	65.3	63. Morrow	41.1	56	33.3
20. Champaign	78.1	24	56.2	64. Pike	40.7	81	16.0
21. Ottawa	77.0	17	60.5	65. Ashland	40.0	59	31.9
22. Fayette	75.7	34	46.5	66. Brown	39.9	61	29.0
23. Marion	72.7	15	61.8	67. Harrison	38.4	64	26.7
24. Hardin	72.6	41	43.0	68. Vinton	37.4	70	22.2
25. Pickaway	72.3	31	50.1	69. Jackson	36.9	68	23.1
26. Lorain	71.0	13	65.1	70. Belmont	36.8	60	29.8
27. Logan	70.8	42	42.8	71. Carroll	34.6	84	14.6
28. Huron	70.2	19	58.9	72. Knox	34.4	75	19.6
29. Madison	70.1	26	54.3	73. Meigs	34.4	82	15.3
30. Summit	70.1	32	47.8	74. Perry	34.1	78	17.6
31. Warren	69.6	30	50.4	75. Athens	33.4	65	25.4
32. Auglaize	68.6	27	53.7	76. Hocking	33.2	69	22.7
33. Van Wert	68.6	48	38.9	77. Tuscarawas	32.4	72	21.5
34. Darke	68.4	45	40.8	78. Muskingum	32.2	74	19.9
35. Delaware	67.5	38	44.0	79. Noble	30.1	77	17.8
36. Trumbull	67.3	20	57.2	80. Morgan	30.0	88	11.7
37. Crawford	65.6	29	51.3	81. Lawrence	29.7	76	19.2
38. Clermont	64.4	35	44.9	82. Gallia	28.9	83	14.9
39. Defiance	64.1	28	52.1	83. Washington	28.7	85	14.6
40. Preble	63.1	55	34.5	84. Wayne	28.0	73	21.4
41. Greene	61.6	37	44.4	85. Guernsey	26.5	79	16.8
42. Shelby	61.1	36	44.5	86. Coshocton	24.9	86	13.4
43. Mercer	59.7	49	37.7	87. Holmes	24.3	87	11.8
44. Ashtabula	58.1	40	43.0	88. Monroe	23.2	80	16.0

Table 59. Rank of Counties by Percent of Total Employed Engaged in Industry Other Than Agriculture**, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 92.0% - 1940 87.6%							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Cuyahoga	99.4	1	99.2	45. Wayne	79.1	41	69.7
2. Hamilton	98.9	2	98.3	46. Logan	78.7	44	68.9
3. Summit	98.8	3	97.8	47. Defiance	77.8	51	66.9
4. Lucas	98.4	4	97.5	48. Jackson	77.4	42	69.2
5. Montgomery	98.1	7	96.4	49. Guernsey	77.0	50	67.0
6. Franklin	97.9	5	96.9	50. Shelby	76.3	49	67.3
7. Mahoning	97.8	6	96.4	51. Auglaize	76.0	47	68.0
8. Stark	96.5	8	94.0	52. Coshocton	76.0	48	67.8
9. Trumbull	96.4	10	92.4	53. Hocking	76.0	43	69.0
10. Butler	95.5	9	92.6	54. Delaware	75.8	59	60.8
11. Clark	94.8	11	91.1	55. Washington	75.4	55	65.4
12. Lake	94.6	15	88.0	56. Williams	74.4	54	66.0
13. Lorain	94.5	12	89.7	57. Athens	73.7	57	61.6
14. Richland	93.8	14	88.9	58. Preble	73.7	70	57.0
15. Allen	92.7	16	87.8	59. Belmont	73.6	45	68.5
16. Erie	91.9	17	84.9	60. Champaign	73.6	56	63.9
17. Scioto	91.5	13	89.2	61. Fayette	71.9	61	60.6
18. Columbiana	90.1	18	84.6	62. Clinton	71.6	58	60.9
19. Marion	89.1	19	83.2	63. Carroll	71.0	62	60.2
20. Portage	88.3	32	76.2	64. Mercer	70.8	65	59.2
21. Ashtabula	88.1	24	78.1	65. Hardin	70.5	64	59.2
22. Miami	88.1	21	81.8	66. Fulton	70.4	71	56.9
23. Greene	87.9	31	76.2	67. Darke	69.7	66	58.0
24. Jefferson	87.7	22	80.9	68. Pickaway	69.3	69	57.0
25. Muskingum	87.3	20	82.2	69. Wyandot	68.9	73	56.7
26. Sandusky	85.5	30	76.4	70. Madison	68.1	72	56.7
27. Licking	85.3	23	78.2	71. Paulding	68.1	79	50.4
28. Tuscarawas	85.3	25	78.0	72. Henry	66.4	78	51.8
29. Crawford	84.9	26	77.7	73. Union	66.4	75	55.5
30. Seneca	84.5	29	76.6	74. Perry	65.6	63	59.6
31. Huron	84.1	34	74.3	75. Highland	64.4	67	58.0
32. Fairfield	83.7	27	76.9	76. Vinton	64.1	74	55.9
33. Lawrence	83.4	35	74.1	77. Morrow	63.9	80	49.7
34. Ross	83.4	28	76.7	78. Putnam	62.9	82	48.3
35. Ashland	83.1	33	75.4	79. Meigs	61.5	68	57.5
36. Wood	82.9	37	71.8	80. Pike	61.2	77	52.0
37. Warren	82.6	38	71.7	81. Harrison	59.2	81	48.3
38. Medina	82.1	39	70.0	82. Gallia	58.7	76	52.7
39. Ottawa	81.8	52	66.3	83. Brown	55.2	84	44.1
40. Clermont	81.7	46	68.2	84. Morgan	53.6	83	44.3
41. Hancock	81.6	36	72.6	85. Holmes	51.9	86	41.5
42. Geauga	80.0	60	60.8	86. Adams	47.8	87	40.5
43. Knox	79.5	40	69.7	87. Noble	45.2	88	37.2
44. Van Wert	79.3	53	66.1	88. Monroe	44.5	85	42.2

** Includes construction; manufacturing; transportation, communication, and other public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; personal services; entertainment and recreation services; professional and related services; and public administration.

Table 60. Rank of Counties by Percent of Total Employed Engaged
in Manufacturing, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total % 1950 36.6 - % 1940 33.4							
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940	County by Rank 1950	% 1950	Rank 1940	% 1940
1. Trumbull	53.9	1	53.6	45. Hocking	28.2	33	25.8
2. Lorain	49.1	5	45.2	46. Licking	27.9	34	25.8
3. Summit	48.8	2	48.9	47. Ashtabula	27.8	55	16.7
4. Butler	47.6	4	45.6	48. Marion	27.8	31	27.3
5. Columbiana	47.1	10	41.1	49. Wood	27.5	50	20.4
6. Mahoning	47.1	3	47.4	50. Morrow	26.6	62	14.7
7. Stark	46.4	6	44.6	51. Jackson	26.3	47	21.7
8. Lake	46.3	14	35.9	52. Champaign	25.7	54	17.9
9. Richland	45.2	8	44.3	53. Belmont	25.5	37	24.4
10. Montgomery	43.8	7	44.5	54. Fulton	25.1	64	13.2
11. Cuyahoga	40.3	11	38.1	55. Franklin	25.0	40	23.4
12. Erie	40.2	23	30.4	56. Guernsey	25.0	46	21.7
13. Clark	39.2	9	41.1	57. Perry	25.0	51	20.2
14. Miami	39.2	13	37.2	58. Huron	24.9	57	16.6
15. Jefferson	39.1	12	37.3	59. Williams	24.2	52	18.7
16. Warren	39.0	25	30.3	60. Ross	23.8	41	23.1
17. Lucas	38.6	15	35.7	61. Darke	23.4	60	15.1
18. Sandusky	37.9	26	29.4	62. Paulding	23.4	84	6.5
19. Ashland	37.3	19	31.7	63. Greene	21.5	56	16.6
20. Tuscarawas	37.1	21	31.5	64. Vinton	21.5	67	11.9
21. Medina	36.0	24	30.3	65. Delaware	21.1	71	9.8
22. Muskingum	36.0	22	31.2	66. Putnam	20.4	85	5.9
23. Scioto	35.9	16	35.1	67. Washington	20.3	63	14.3
24. Portage	35.6	20	31.7	68. Wyandot	19.6	66	12.2
25. Seneca	35.6	27	28.9	69. Hardin	18.9	77	9.3
26. Fairfield	35.5	18	33.8	70. Highland	18.3	59	15.4
27. Shelby	35.1	30	27.7	71. Clinton	17.1	69	11.4
28. Hamilton	34.0	17	33.9	72. Harrison	17.0	68	11.6
29. Carroll	33.7	32	27.0	73. Madison	16.7	75	9.4
30. Auglaize	32.8	35	25.5	74. Fayette	16.5	65	12.4
31. Crawford	32.8	29	28.0	75. Logan	16.5	72	9.6
32. Geauga	32.4	53	18.1	76. Brown	16.2	73	9.5
33. Coshocton	32.0	36	25.4	77. Henry	15.6	82	6.9
34. Ottawa	32.0	42	22.9	78. Holmes	15.6	79	7.1
35. Allen	31.4	28	28.4	79. Pike	15.1	78	8.4
36. Hancock	31.1	39	23.5	80. Pickaway	14.8	74	9.5
37. Knox	31.0	38	23.6	81. Union	14.4	70	10.8
38. Lawrence	30.7	45	22.4	82. Athens	11.6	76	9.3
39. Wayne	30.7	44	22.5	83. Morgan	11.1	83	6.9
40. Clermont	30.6	43	22.8	84. Adams	8.7	81	6.9
41. Mercer	30.1	49	20.5	85. Noble	7.8	88	4.1
42. Preble	29.5	61	15.0	86. Meigs	7.6	80	7.0
43. Defiance	29.3	48	20.8	87. Monroe	7.5	86	5.0
44. Van Wert	29.2	58	15.7	88. Gallia	5.4	87	4.3

Table 61. Rank of Counties by Median Income of Families and Unrelated Individuals, Ohio, 1950

State total 1950 Median Income \$3024			
County by Rank 1950	Median Income 1950	County by Rank 1950	Median Income 1950
1. Lake	3566	45. Licking	2530
2. Lucas	3516	46. Knox	2486
3. Cuyahoga	3451	47. Wyandot	2473
4. Montgomery	3384	48. Putnam	2464
5. Summit	3290	49. Wood	2449
6. Ottawa	3262	50. Darke	2442
7. Mahoning	3261	51. Muskingum	2422
8. Trumbull	3219	52. Williams	2411
9. Franklin	3205	53. Belmont	2389
10. Lorain	3201	54. Champaign	2388
11. Richland	3180	55. Coshocton	2382
12. Jefferson	3082	56. Ross	2372
13. Erie	3081	57. Carroll	2365
14. Stark	3073	58. Madison	2365
15. Geauga	3069	59. Paulding	2360
16. Butler	3024	60. Clermont	2359
17. Clark	3006	61. Scioto	2338
18. Sandusky	2997	62. Harrison	2323
19. Medina	2990	63. Lawrence	2309
20. Miami	2968	64. Pickaway	2256
21. Ashtabula	2947	65. Union	2231
22. Allen	2917	66. Fayette	2209
23. Greene	2916	67. Logan	2182
24. Hamilton	2899	68. Perry	2112
25. Warren	2831	69. Delaware	2109
26. Seneca	2797	70. Morrow	2076
27. Columbiana	2793	71. Holmes	2058
28. Mercer	2786	72. Clinton	2053
29. Huron	2732	73. Hardin	1980
30. Marion	2732	74. Washington	1974
31. Hancock	2700	75. Hocking	1960
32. Van Wert	2698	76. Guernsey	1865
33. Auglaize	2691	77. Jackson	1822
34. Fulton	2671	78. Meigs	1741
35. Tuscarawas	2668	79. Highland	1702
36. Crawford	2662	80. Morgan	1614
37. Defiance	2653	81. Noble	1597
38. Wayne	2634	82. Brown	1454
39. Shelby	2614	83. Gallia	1385
40. Preble	2599	84. Athens	1384
41. Ashland	2591	85. Adams	1360
42. Portage	2577	86. Pike	1346
43. Henry	2569	87. Vinton	1346
44. Fairfield	2548	88. Monroe	1207

Table 62. Rank of Counties by Percent of Families and Unrelated Individuals Reporting Who Had an Income of Less Than \$2,000 for Total Population, Ohio, 1950

State total - 1950 30.7%			
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	County by Rank 1950	% 1950
1. Monroe***	68.4	45. Wayne	37.7
2. Pike	68.2	46. Wyandot	37.3
3. Adams	67.4	47. Putnam	37.1
4. Gallia	64.4	48. Fairfield	37.0
5. Vinton	63.7	49. Ashland	36.6
6. Athens	62.7	50. Henry	36.2
7. Brown	62.3	51. Fulton	35.7
8. Noble	60.3	52. Huron	35.7
9. Morgan	59.3	53. Hancock	35.0
10. Highland	56.9	54. Greene	34.7
11. Meigs	55.2	55. Shelby	34.6
12. Jackson	53.5	56. Crawford	34.5
13. Guernsey	53.2	57. Warren	34.3
14. Hocking	50.9	58. Auglaize	34.2
15. Hardin	50.5	59. Tuscarawas	34.1
16. Washington	50.5	60. Defiance	34.0
17. Clinton	48.8	61. Marion	33.7
18. Holmes	48.5	62. Mercer	33.6
19. Morrow	48.4	63. Hamilton	33.4
20. Delaware	47.7	64. Seneca	33.1
21. Perry	47.0	65. Van Wert	32.6
22. Logan	45.9	66. Butler	32.5
23. Fayette	44.6	67. Allen	32.0
24. Union	44.4	68. Geauga	32.0
25. Pickaway	44.3	69. Ashtabula	31.9
26. Clermont	43.1	70. Columbiana	31.5
27. Scioto	43.0	71. Clark	30.4
28. Wood	42.7	72. Medina	29.8
29. Ross	42.2	73. Miami	29.5
30. Lawrence	42.1	74. Sandusky	28.9
31. Champaign	41.9	75. Franklin	28.1
32. Harrison	41.6	76. Erie	27.6
33. Madison	41.2	77. Jefferson	27.1
34. Williams	40.7	78. Stark	26.8
35. Muskingum	40.5	79. Richland	25.7
36. Carroll	40.4	80. Lorain	25.0
37. Paulding	40.3	81. Cuyahoga	23.7
38. Portage	39.8	82. Montgomery	23.7
39. Belmont	39.7	83. Ottawa	23.7
40. Coshocton	39.2	84. Trumbull	23.2
41. Knox	39.2	85. Lucas	22.8
42. Licking	39.1	86. Summit	22.7
43. Darke	38.8	87. Mahoning	22.6
44. Preble	37.7	88. Lake	19.3

*** No. 1 position viewed from the standpoint of the farm economy would normally be considered the least advantageous position.

Table 63. Rank of Counties by Percent of Families and Unrelated Individuals Reporting Who Had an Income of \$10,000 or More for Total Population, Ohio, 1950

State total - 1950 2.6%			
County by Rank 1950	% 1950	County by Rank 1950	% 1950
1. Cuyahoga	4.3	45. Clermont	1.4
2. Franklin	3.6	46. Fairfield	1.4
3. Lucas	3.6	47. Licking	1.4
4. Geauga	3.5	48. Auglaize	1.3
5. Hamilton	3.3	49. Greene	1.3
6. Mahoning	2.9	50. Huron	1.3
7. Montgomery	2.9	51. Knox	1.3
8. Madison	2.7	52. Muskingum	1.3
9. Lake	2.5	53. Clinton	1.2
10. Richland	2.5	54. Paulding	1.2
11. Medina	2.4	55. Ross	1.2
12. Mercer	2.4	56. Scioto	1.2
13. Jefferson	2.3	57. Seneca	1.2
14. Summit	2.3	58. Wyandot	1.2
15. Champaign	2.2	59. Ashtabula	1.1
16. Defiance	2.2	60. Crawford	1.1
17. Butler	2.1	61. Hardin	1.1
18. Stark	2.1	62. Logan	1.1
19. Wood	2.1	63. Warren	1.1
20. Erie	2.0	64. Darke	1.0
21. Henry	2.0	65. Harrison	1.0
22. Lorain	2.0	66. Shelby	1.0
23. Van Wert	2.0	67. Belmont	.9
24. Allen	1.9	68. Gallia	.9
25. Fulton	1.9	69. Holmes	.9
26. Marion	1.9	70. Jackson	.9
27. Pickaway	1.9	71. Lawrence	.9
28. Trumbull	1.9	72. Noble	.9
29. Hancock	1.8	73. Carroll	.8
30. Miami	1.8	74. Guernsey	.8
31. Portage	1.8	75. Morgan	.8
32. Putnam	1.8	76. Union	.8
33. Wayne	1.8	77. Washington	.8
34. Williams	1.8	78. Athens	.6
35. Clark	1.7	79. Highland	.6
36. Columbiana	1.7	80. Hocking	.5
37. Ottawa	1.7	81. Morrow	.5
38. Sandusky	1.7	82. Brown	.4
39. Coshocton	1.6	83. Meigs	.4
40. Delaware	1.6	84. Perry	.4
41. Preble	1.6	85. Pike	.4
42. Tuscarawas	1.6	86. Vinton	.4
43. Fayette	1.5	87. Monroe	.3
44. Ashland	1.4	88. Adams	0.0

Table 64. Rank of Counties by Retail Trade Volume in Dollars Per Capita, Ohio, 1940-1950

State total - 1950 \$927.84 - 1940 \$353.42							
County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940	County by Rank 1950	\$ 1950	Rank 1940	\$ 1940
1. Lucas	1119.82	3	418.22	45. Champaign	812.60	47	266.49
2. Cuyahoga	1053.26	4	416.04	46. Lake	793.54	13	352.80
3. Hamilton	1044.23	2	442.14	47. Coshocton	792.24	46	267.24
4. Montgomery	1025.36	5	405.78	48. Tuscarawas	788.07	38	291.81
5. Marion	1023.30	29	318.39	49. Ottawa	785.50	35	299.79
6. Clinton	1018.11	23	327.06	50. Highland	783.88	43	269.05
7. Madison	1017.85	44	268.12	51. Delaware	776.21	52	260.16
8. Allen	1013.15	8	377.24	52. Wyandot	765.33	37	293.74
9. Franklin	1006.27	1	444.99	53. Putnam	761.80	68	222.74
10. Logan	1001.53	42	287.20	54. Trumbull	736.66	41	288.28
11. Summit	983.13	6	386.48	55. Union	732.92	39	290.33
12. Mahoning	975.20	7	381.56	56. Hardin	731.00	62	243.38
13. Richland	963.26	10	368.31	57. Scioto	729.07	51	260.20
14. Fulton	957.86	33	302.84	58. Fairfield	711.76	53	258.88
15. Crawford	954.28	24	322.79	59. Wood	707.71	63	240.53
16. Preble	949.94	54	257.53	60. Ross	706.21	57	254.40
17. Stark	946.38	9	370.01	61. Pickaway	704.28	73	197.53
18. Clark	946.02	14	350.02	62. Portage	696.99	48	265.09
19. Erie	943.78	11	363.93	63. Guernsey	692.29	66	231.05
20. Defiance	943.68	40	289.24	64. Warren	688.33	61	244.70
21. Mercer	942.39	56	257.43	65. Washington	679.78	65	235.98
22. Hancock	936.07	34	300.69	66. Jackson	676.85	64	236.00
23. Ashland	933.41	15	346.01	67. Athens	655.86	60	246.72
24. Williams	928.75	31	317.64	68. Hocking	650.41	75	194.94
25. Fayette	926.98	22	329.06	69. Greene	646.45	59	249.56
26. Auglaize	920.95	58	254.34	70. Meigs	616.22	72	203.37
27. Wayne	915.25	27	320.43	71. Geauga	609.51	67	226.15
28. Darke	914.42	49	263.66	72. Harrison	600.19	81	172.70
29. Seneca	905.22	28	319.92	73. Belmont	595.78	69	214.73
30. Henry	902.91	55	257.51	74. Paulding	589.55	70	211.70
31. Medina	902.84	19	332.66	75. Perry	581.23	76	187.44
32. Butler	902.17	20	332.15	76. Morgan	581.02	83	166.37
33. Sandusky	899.90	30	318.01	77. Clermont	578.85	71	206.19
34. Knox	897.21	36	299.03	78. Lawrence	577.16	77	174.59
35. Van Wert	895.33	45	267.80	79. Morrow	537.57	82	167.65
36. Ashtabula	890.68	12	353.25	80. Carroll	537.21	80	172.79
37. Huron	885.29	26	321.64	81. Brown	527.02	78	173.35
38. Miami	882.35	25	322.73	82. Gallia	525.25	79	173.25
39. Lorain	876.25	21	330.92	83. Holmes	500.16	74	196.69
40. Columbiana	865.51	16	335.77	84. Adams	496.95	84	134.99
41. Muskingum	840.02	17	335.54	85. Noble	436.85	85	132.24
42. Licking	822.51	32	306.88	86. Monroe	400.34	87	113.46
43. Jefferson	817.72	18	334.64	87. Pike	398.64	86	126.61
44. Shelby	813.96	50	261.67	88. Vinton	326.24	88	104.21

Table 65. Rank of Counties by Farm Operator Level of Living Index, Ohio, 1940-1950*

State total - 1950 Index 148 - 1940 Index 113							
County by Rank 1950	Index 1950	Rank 1940	Index 1940	County by Rank 1950	Index 1950	Rank 1940	Index 1940
1. Fayette	189	11	137	45. Richland	153	46	120
2. Clark	179	1	145	46. Summit	153	38	125
3. Putnam	179	8	138	47. Hardin	152	48	119
4. Henry	176	4	142	48. Knox	152	59	105
5. Madison	176	31	129	49. Shelby	152	18	135
6. Cuyahoga	175	6	138	50. Columbiana	151	57	111
7. Fulton	172	15	136	51. Mercer	150	51	117
8. Pickaway	172	21	134	52. Williams	150	45	121
9. Allen	171	9	137	53. Highland	149	64	101
10. Wyandot	171	33	127	54. Paulding	149	34	126
11. Butler	169	5	138	55. Warren	149	54	114
12. Montgomery	168	16	136	56. Wayne	146	42	123
13. Champaign	167	2	144	57. Ashtabula	145	55	113
14. Hancock	167	3	142	58. Clermont	145	61	104
15. Van Wert	167	39	125	59. Ottawa	145	53	116
16. Franklin	166	7	138	60. Ross	145	65	96
17. Fairfield	164	29	129	61. Muskingum	144	63	103
18. Huron	163	26	131	62. Morrow	142	60	105
19. Lake	163	12	137	63. Trumbull	142	49	119
20. Seneca	163	14	137	64. Geauga	139	58	111
21. Miami	162	20	134	65. Carroll	136	62	103
22. Preble	162	22	134	66. Perry	136	73	85
23. Sandusky	162	44	122	67. Coshocton	134	66	95
24. Clinton	161	36	125	68. Meigs	133	78	80
25. Lorain	161	13	137	69. Hocking	129	80	73
26. Wood	161	35	126	70. Morgan	128	72	85
27. Ashland	160	43	122	71. Belmont	125	77	81
28. Crawford	160	10	137	72. Brown	125	70	86
29. Delaware	160	27	130	73. Guernsey	125	76	82
30. Greene	160	25	131	74. Tuscarawas	125	67	93
31. Logan	160	37	125	75. Athens	123	69	89
32. Hamilton	159	19	134	76. Noble	123	68	90
33. Union	159	28	130	77. Monroe	122	84	66
34. Marion	158	17	135	78. Harrison	121	75	83
35. Auglaize	157	32	128	79. Gallia	120	81	72
36. Medina	157	23	132	80. Jefferson	117	71	86
37. Stark	157	47	120	81. Washington	115	82	69
38. Darke	156	50	117	82. Scioto	114	79	76
39. Defiance	156	40	124	83. Pike	111	86	59
40. Erie	155	24	131	84. Jackson	105	83	67
41. Licking	155	56	113	85. Adams	102	85	62
42. Lucas	155	30	129	86. Lawrence	100	88	56
43. Mahoning	155	41	123	87. Holmes	97	74	84
44. Portage	153	52	117	88. Vinton	95	87	59

* Source: Margaret J. Hagood, Farm-Operator Family Level-of-Living Indexes for Counties of the United States U.S.D.A. B.A.E. May 1952.

