# West Nile Virus: The First Pandemic of the Twenty-First Century<sup>1</sup>

Jere Boyer, Thomas File, and William Franks, Department of Research and Research Administration and Department of Infectious Diseases, Summa Health System, Akron, OH 44304 and Stark County Health Department, North Canton, OH 44709

ABSTRACT. Prior to the 1999 New York City outbreak few had ever heard of West Nile virus. That changed rapidly once the illness caused by the virus resulted in deaths, hospitalizations, and early fears of the unknown etiology of the disease. In some ways it was like Legionnaire's Disease all over again. This review, although not extensive, will cover many of the medical, biological, epidemiological, diagnostic, and public health considerations regarding this new entry onto the list of disease agents seen in the United States.

It is important that each of us is knowledgeable regarding new scientific information. It is all too easy for misinformation to be disseminated to the public by news media and word of mouth. Scientific societies must be ready to protect the public from this misinformation and to add perspective to the issue.

OHIO J SCI 102 (5):98-101, 2002

#### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this review is to provide the membership of the Ohio Academy of Science who may be unfamiliar with the biological, clinical, and preventive aspects of West Nile virus (WNV) and its infectious process in humans, information that will be both interesting and useful.

Isolation of WNV first occurred in 1937 from an infected person living in the West Nile area of Uganda (Smithburn and others 1940). Since that time, outbreaks have occurred in endemic areas that include Africa, the Middle East, southern Europe, southwest and central Asia, and Oceania (Mandell and others 2000). The virus is most commonly transmitted by mosquitoes of the genus Culex (Brooks and others 2001). After being bitten by an infected female mosquito, the virus spreads throughout the body of the human host. If symptoms appear they are typically an acute, usually mild febrile disease often accompanied by malaise, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, and headache (Table 1). Transient meningeal involvement may occur at this time. Fatal cases associated with severe neurological manifestations have been seen in the elderly or debilitated person (Table 2). Until 1999, this was our understanding of West Nile virus and the associated infection. At that time the virus caused an outbreak in New York City (Nash and others 2001). It should not be surprising that since 1999, our knowledge of West Nile virus has increased as the virus has spread across the country.

#### WHAT IS WEST NILE VIRUS?

West Nile fever is caused by an arbovirus of the family Flaviviridae and the genus *Flavivirus* (Brooks and others 2001). The virus is commonly called West Nile virus. The virus is spherical, with a diameter of about 50.0 nm. The nucleic acid is single-stranded RNA with a positive sense (that is, the viral RNA acts like a messenger RNA in host cells). The virus has a viral hemagglutinin

Table 1

Signs and symptoms during the West Nile virus epidemic, New York City, 1999\*.

Sign/Symptom	Percent Cases Observed
Fever	90
Weakness	56
Nausea	53
Vomiting	51
Headaches	47
Confusion	46
Diarrhea	27
Rash	19

\*Per Nash D and others 2001.

(E-glycoprotein) that is involved in host cell attachment. This hemagglutinin can stimulate neutralizing antibodies (Mandell and others 2000; Petersen and Marfin 2002).

WNV is closely related antigenically with Japanese encephalitis and St. Louis encephalitis. This can lead to laboratory misdiagnoses (discussed later). The virus, like other Flaviviridae viruses, replicates in the host cell's cytoplasm and virus particles assemble at the host's endoplasmic reticulum. Once whole virus is assembled, infection can spread to other cells of the host.

#### THE ENZOOTIC CYCLE

The reservoir of the virus appears to be within various bird populations. In the United States this reservoir seems to be most extensive in crows, although other birds such as Blue Jays have been implicated (MMWR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Manuscript received 15 October 2002 and in revised form 18 November 2002 (#02-20).

Table 2

Clinical presentations and laboratory values for hospitalized patients. West Nile virus encephalitis—New York City epidemic, 1999.

Clinical Characteristics of Presenting Patients

Incubation period: 3-15 days

Subclinical: 99%

Disease: Encephalitis with profound muscle weakness

Mortality: Approx. 10% (age >75)

Disease Risks: Elderly, Diabetes, Outdoor Activity

Syndromes**	Percent of Patients Presenting**	
Encephalitis with Weakness	34.0	
Encephalitis without Weakness	29.0	
Aseptic Meningitis	29.0	
Fever and Headache	8.0	
Laboratory Study**	Laboratory Value (Mean)**	
Cerebrospinal Fluid		
Protein	104 (15-45 mg/100 ml***)	
WBC	38 (0-10/cu mm***)	
Lymphocytes	47% (0.0%**)	
Glucose	79.8 (45-80 mg/dl***)	
Peripheral White Blood Cells	10,400 (4,500-10,500/cc)	

<sup>\*</sup>Per Nash D and others 2001.

2002a; O'Leary and others 2002). Most birds develop immunity once infected and rarely die from the illness. A number of other vertebrates can be infected with WNV, including chickens and horses (MMWR 2002b), as well as humans. Direct animal-to-human or human-to-human transmissions have not been well documented, except in rare transplant situations (MMWR 2002d) and, possibly, in mother's milk (MMWR 2002e). With the exception of birds, infection of other hosts appears to be coincidental.

The enzootic cycle is relatively straightforward. During the spring and summer months, female Culex sp. of mosquitoes take a blood meal from an infected vertebrate host, usually a bird. After picking up the virus they can readily transmit the virus to a susceptible host at the next blood meal. Other genera of mosquitoes and insects (sand flies and ticks) may also act as vectors (Turell and others 2001). Although mosquitoes tend to be somewhat species-specific regarding blood meals, they can become more cosmopolitan under certain circumstances. When humans become the incidental blood source for infected mosquitoes and so become infected, the outbreak gets our attention. The "bird(or other host)-to-mosquito" cycle seems to continue throughout the spring and summer months, ending as the weather gets cold and mosquito activity ceases. How the virus over-winters has been open to discussion. Studies of birds along the usual eastern and midwestern north-south flyways appear to indicate that birds carry the virus to warmer climates over the winter months (O'Leary and others 2002). The virus has been detected in birds as far north as Canada and as far south as the Caribbean.

### THE US EXPERIENCE

In late August of 1999, a number of cases of encephalitis were detected in the New York City borough of Queens (Table 2). An excellent job of public health, medical diagnosis, and environmental epidemiology eventually resulted in isolation and identification of West Nile virus from persons who contracted the disease and also from animal sources (Lopez 2002). From that time until now, WNV has spread throughout much of the United States. It is interesting to note that isolates from the US appear to be strongly related, genetically, to isolates from Israel that were prominent there from 1997 to 2000 (Giladi and others 2001). The relationship of the two sets of isolates was approximately 99.8% using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction data. Whether this Israeli homolog was the source of the virus that appeared in the US in 1999 is unknown. (This homolog appears elsewhere in the Middle East as well, but rarely is epidemiological testing done in the area.) Also unknown is how the virus got into the United States.

Another interesting aspect of the US isolates is that although they cause the same symptoms as any classical case of West Nile from the original endemic areas in Africa, Asia, the Middle East and Europe, there seems to be a slightly higher morbidity rate, although death is still very uncommon (Mostashari and others 2001). From 1 January to 4 October 2002 there have been a total of 2,631 laboratory-confirmed cases of WNV infection reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

<sup>\*\*</sup>N = 59 patients hospitalized.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Normal Values Per Wallach J 1981.

(CDC 2002b). Of this group, there were 136 deaths attributed to West Nile. Thus the apparent death rate is 52 deaths per 1000 clinical cases for all ages. Death rates approaching 200 deaths per 1000 clinical cases are not uncommon in the population over 70 years of age (Petersen and Marfin 2002). Most cases go undiagnosed, so that the above statistics are skewed.

#### **CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS**

The incubation period for West Nile is 3 to 15 days after infection of the human host. Most patients infected with WNV are asymptomatic and therefore have no clinical evidence of disease (Table 2). Symptoms appear in about 20% of those infected and usually last 3 to 6 days. These usually appear as the mild form of the infection-West Nile fever. Persons with West Nile fever usually present with a sudden onset of some or all of the following symptoms: anorexia, eye pain, headache, lymphadenopathy, nausea and/or vomiting, malaise, and myalgia (Mostashari and others 2001). The frequency of various symptoms and signs associated with WNV from the New York outbreak is shown in Table 1. More than 90% of patients hospitalized during this outbreak had fever. Nausea, vomiting, and headache were also common during this outbreak. A skin rash was evident in a minority of patients and was usually described as erythematous, macular, or morbilliform in nature. Only about one person in 150 cases will get the severe neurologic disease. This is about 0.7% of cases. Thus, if 71 deaths have indeed occurred from 1,571 confirmed cases of West Nile infection, a large number of people (50,000 to 100,000 persons) have actually been infected, but with inapparent or no symptoms. Advanced age, especially over 70 years of age, is still the most common risk factor in cases of death from West Nile infection (Nash and others 2001).

A small number of patients have presented with an acute flaccid paralysis associated with a peripheral demyelinating process (Guillain-Barre Syndrome) (MMWR 2002c). The exact etiology of this process has not been well investigated. Research that has been done thus far suggests that the acute flaccid paralysis involves the anterior horn cells of the spinal cord and motor axons, not unlike that seen with acute poliomyelitis (Ohry and others 2001). The appearance of a polio-like syndrome has been reported for other flavivirus infections as well (Solomon and others 1998).

Another recent development regarding WNV has been the appearance of the agent in organ donor tissue (MMWR 2002c) transplanted into recipients and in transfusion recipients. These situations are currently under public health scrutiny and are rather worrisome. At least four persons received transplanted tissue from one WNV infected donor. One of these recipients died of WNV disease and the others appear to be recovering. The CDC has reports of patients receiving blood products that may have been infected with WNV (MMWR 2002c).

There are two viruses that are somewhat similar to WNV, and should be mentioned at this time. St. Louis encephalitis (SLE) is very similar to WNV in that it is in the same family as WSN (Flaviviridae) and causes similar

symptoms. The vector (*Culex* mosquitoes) and the reservoirs are similar to WNV. About 125 cases of SLE are reported per year. Although outbreaks of SLE occur throughout the United States, most occur in the Midwest and South (CDC 2002c). WNV and SLE can be differentiated through serological testing. The second virus that is similar to WNV is La Crosse encephalitis (LCE). However, LCE is a member of the family Bunyaviridae. This family differs structurally, antigenically, and in the way it replicates from the Flaviviridae. LCE causes outbreaks of encephalitis most commonly in the Mississippi and Ohio River valleys (CDC 2002d). The vector is usually woodland mosquitoes (for example, Aedes spp.). Small mammals, such as squirrels, chip-munks and rabbits, are the reservoir. As such, most outbreaks are not urban in nature but rural. Only about 100 cases are reported each year and most of these in persons under 20 years of age. Interestingly, La Crosse is related to the Hantavirus group. WNV and LCE differ serologically.

## DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT, AND PREVENTION

Diagnosis is initially made by identification of symptoms and confirmed by laboratory workup. Laboratory diagnosis consists of tests to detect antibodies against virus or viral nucleic acid in serum and/or spinal fluid. The optimal serologic method to detect these antibodies is an IgM antibody capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (MAC-ELISA). Persons infected less than 8 days prior to the test may not be positive. Persons with a prior exposure (within about six months) to WNV may also be positive, as well as those vaccinated for or infected with a different flavivirus, such as yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, or dengue. A plaque reduction neutralization test may be useful in identifying false-positive MAC-ELISA test specimens (Martin 2002). Results of nucleic acid amplification (PCR) testing have been positive in up to 55% of CSF samples and in 10% of serum samples from patients with WNV (NYDH 2001). The CDC may perform a plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) on specimens under certain conditions, to assist in a confirmatory diagnosis (CDC 2002a). Persons who present with a four-fold increase in acute versus convalescent serum titers of antibody against WNV antigen are considered confirmed cases (CDC 2002e).

There is as yet no documented effective direct treatment for this virus—not unlike many viral infections. Treatment generally is supportive care; however, interferon alpha-2b and ribavirin have been suggested as a possible treatment in severe cases of West Nile encephalitis (Anderson and Rahal 2002). At this time there is no controlled study data to support the use of these agents. There is no vaccine currently available for human use. A vaccine does exist for horses, which are especially susceptible to West Nile infection, with a 40% mortality rate in cases where symptoms occur. Even so, most horses do recover. Other vaccines for various forms of equine encephalitis (for horses) do not protect from West Nile infection.

Obviously the best "treatment" is to never come in contact with an infected mosquito. To accomplish this, one needs to take personal care to use insect repellents (Fradin 1998) and avoid the mosquito vector's habitat regions near standing bodies of water. In a recent article, Fradin and Day (2002) indicate that DEET-based repellents may be superior to other repellents in repelling mosquitoes that carry WNV. In another article by Pollack and others (2002), the authors specifically caution against the use of botanical repellents and certain devices marketed as insect repellents or attractants. Use of screens in windows and use of clothing covering the body when outside are helpful in preventing insect bites, especially when used with appropriate repellents. Persons should watch the time of day they plan activities. Early morning or late evening activities should be avoided because of high mosquito activity at those times. Area Public Health Departments usually include periodical spraying for insects and, when possible, use of larvicides. Homeowners can assist by spraying appropriately when insects become numerous in their yards and by removing potential mosquito breeding areas such as standing water or old tires where standing water can accumulate.

#### **AFTERWORD**

Although West Nile is a new, interesting, and indeed clinically important consideration, its impact should not be over-emphasized. When people die from an infection, it is a concern to all. However, the death rates due to infections from this organism have been relatively low when compared to such viral infections as influenza. While it is certainly important to direct attention to WNV, we must not reduce our efforts to encourage appropriate immunizations for other serious infections. The national media tends to exaggerate and over-emphasize new diseases and infections (Anonymous 2002). It is up to members of scientific societies to give perspective to news accounts of such situations. Members of the Ohio Academy of Science should provide such perspective when needed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank John J. Docherty, PhD, Chairman of the Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Northeastern Ohio Universities College of Medicine, Rootstown, OH, and Joseph Myers, MD, Infectious Diseases, Summa Health System, Akron, OH, for their excellent review and suggestions regarding this paper. We also thank Mrs. Barbara Will, Department of Research Administration, Summa Health System, Akron, OH, for her clerical assistance.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson JF, Rahal JJ. 2002. Efficacy of interferon alph-2b and ribavirin against West Nile virus in vitro. Emerg Infect Dis 8:107-8.
- [Anonymous]. 2002. A health scare in the mass media [Editorial]. Lancet 359:1079.
- Brooks GF, Butel JS, Morse SA. 2001. Medical Microbiology. 22<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. p 441-8.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002a. Questions and answers about laboratory practice. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/lab\_faq.htm
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002b. West Nile virus update. Current Case Count. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ od/oc/media/wncount.htm

- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002c. CDC answers your questions about St. Louis encephalitis. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/arbor/SLE\_QA.htm
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002d. CDC Fact Sheet: La Crosse encephalitis—CDC Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/arbor/lacfact.htm
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002e. Serological and molecular assays for West Nile virus—Current Techniques and Improvements. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/conf/ppt/2b-lanciotti.ppt
- Fradin MS. 1998. Mosquitoes and mosquito repellents: a clinician's guide. Ann Intern Med 128:931-40.
- Fradin MS, Day JF. 2002. Comparative efficacy of insect repellents against mosquito bites. N Engl J Med 347:13-8.
- Giladi M, Metzkor-Cotter E, Martin DA, Siegman-Igra Y, Korczyn AD, Rosso R, Berger SA, Campbell GL, Lanciotto RS. 2001. West Nile encephalitis in Israel, 1999: the New York connection. Emerg Infect Dis. 7:659-61.
- Lopez W. 2002. West Nile virus in New York City. Amer J Pub Health 92:1218-21.
- Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R. 2000. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingston. p 1717-33.
- Martin DA, Biggerstaff BJ, Allen B, Johnson AJ, Lanciotti RS, Roehrig JT. 2002. Use of immunoglobulin M cross-reactions in differential diagnosis of human flaviviral encephalitis infections in the United States. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 9:544-9.
- [MMWR] Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002a. West Nile Virus Activity—United States, July 31-August 7, 2002, and Louisiana, January 1-August 7, 2002. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:681-3.
- [MMWR] Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002b. West Nile Virus Activity—United States, August 21-28, 2002, and Illinois, January 1-August 27, 2002. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:764-6.
- [MMWR] Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002c. Acute Flaccid Paralysis Syndrome Associated with West Nile Virus Infection— Mississippi and Louisiana, July-August 2002. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:825-8.
- [MMWR] Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002d. Update: Investigations of West Nile Virus Infections in Recipients of Organ Transplantation and Blood Transfusions. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:833-6.
- [MMWR] Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002e. Possible West Nile Virus Transmission to an Infant through Breast-feeding— Michigan, 2002. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:877-9.
- Mostashari F, Bunning ML, Kitsutani PT, Singer DA, Nash D, Cooper MJ, Katz N, Liljebjelke KA, Biggerstaff BJ, Fine AD, Layton MC, Mullin SM, Johnson AJ, Martin DA, Hayes EB, Campbell GL. 2001. Epidemic West Nile encephalitis, New York, 1999: results of a household-based seroepidemiological survey. Lancet 358:261-4.
- Nash D, Mostashari F, Fine A, Miller J, O'Leary D, Murray K, Huang A, Rosenberg A, Greenberg A, Sherman M, Wong S, Layton M. 2001. The outbreak of West Nile virus infection in the New York City area in 1999. N Engl J Med 344:1807-14.
- [NYDH] New York Department of Health. 2001. West Nile virus surveillance and control: an update for healthcare providers in New York City. City Health Information. Num 20.
- Ohry A, Karpin H, Yoeli D, Lazari A, Lerman Y. 2001. West Nile virus myelitis. Spinal Cord 39:662-3.
- O'Leary DR, Nasci RS, Campbell GL, Marfin AA. 2002. West Nile Virus Activity—United States, 2001. Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 51:497-501.
- Petersen LR, Marfin AA. 2002. West Nile virus: a primer for the clinician. Ann Intern Med. 137:173-9.
- Pollack RJ, Kiszewski AE, Spielman A. 2002. Repelling mosquitoes. N Engl J Med 347:2-3.
- Smithburn KC, Hughes TP, Burke AW, Paul JH. 1940. A neurotropic virus isolated from the blood of a native of Uganda. Amer J Tropical Med 20:471-92.
- Solomon T, Kneen R, Dung NM, Khank VC, Thuy TTN, Ha DQ, Day NPJ, Nisalak A, Vaughn DW, White NJ. 1998. Poliomyelitis-like illness due to Japanese encephalitis virus. Lancet 351:1094-7.
- Turell MJ, Sardelis MR, Dohm DJ, O'Guinn ML. 2001. Potential North American vectors of West Nile virus. Ann NY Acad Sci 951:317-24.
- Wallach J. 1981. Interpretation of diagnostic tests. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Boston: Little, Brown. p 4-21.