Horticulture and Crop Science Series No. 825

# Weed Management In Horticultural Crops

## RESEARCH RESULTS 2013





## The Ohio State University

### COLLEGE OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Douglas J. Doohan Rick Edwards

Department of Horticulture and Crop Science The Ohio State University Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center Ohio State Extension This report contains the results of research on horticultural crop weed management in Ohio for 2013. This report and other resources are available on the Internet at: <u>www.oardc.ohio-</u><u>state.edu/weedworkshop</u>

This bulletin does not constitute endorsement or specific recommendations. Apology is expressed for any inadvertent errors found in this report.

Final copies of commercial advertisement that will contain data from these results are subject to the author's approval before publication.

All publications of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center are available to clientele without regard to race, color, creed, religion, sexual orientation, national origin, gender, age, disability or Vietnam-era veteran status.

Acknowledgementsiii
Bayer Crop and Rating Codesv
Weed List and Codesvii
Chemical and Adjuvant Listviii
Alion on Apples: Weed Control and Crop Tolerance 1
Alion on Grapes: Weed Control and Crop Tolerance
Anthem in Sweet Corn 12
Apple – Weed Control with Spartan Charge Tankmixes 17
Bicyclopyrone Evaluation for Tolerance in Minor Crops 23
Crop Tolerability of 3 Varieties of Sweet Corn to Laudis
Multiflora Rose – Control with MAT 28
Pasture Grasses – Crop Tolerance and Weed Control Using MAT 28 44
Tall Ironweed - Weed Control wit MAT 28       43
Matrix on Raspberry 59
Spartan Charge in Fall/Spring Applications on Processing Tomato
Spartan Charge in Processing Tomato 71
Spartan Charge in Grapes
Timothy Grass – Tolerance to MAT-28 in Pasture

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special acknowledgement and thanks are due to the following individuals who made this work a success:

### **Experiment Stations**

Muck Crops Agric. Res. Station, Willard – Robert Filbrun and Staff North Central Agric. Res. Station, Fremont – Matt Hofelich and Staff Dept. Farm Manager, OARDC/OSU – Bruce Williams and Staff Dept. Farm Manager, OARDC/OSU – Lynn F. Ault and Staff

### **Research Associates**

Dr. Roger Downer Rick Edwards

### **Graduate Students**

Yin Chen, Katie Linder

### Post-Doctoral Researcher

Dr. Mohsen Mohseni-Moghadam

### Summer Student Interns

Steven Scaife Julia DeNiro Special acknowledgement and thanks are due to the following companies for their support of the Fruit and Vegetable Weed Research Program, Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, OARDC/The Ohio State University.

### **American Berry Cooperative**

**BASF Ag Products** 

**Bayer CropScience** 

Buurma Farms, Inc.

### **Dow AgroSciences LLC**

### E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

**FMC Corporation** 

**IR-4** Program

John F. Stambaugh & Co.

**Maurer Farms** 

**Moreland Fruit Farm** 

Nourse Farms, Inc.

**OARDC Research Enhancement Program – Competitive Grants** 

Ohio Dept. of Agriculture, Specialty Crop Block Program

Ohio Vegetable & Small Fruit Research & Development Program

**Ohio Grape Industry Committee** 

Seedway, LLC

**Rispens Seeds**, Inc.

Rupp Seeds, Inc.

**Siegers Seed Co.** 

Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.

Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.

**USDA NIFA** 

Wiers Farm Inc.

Zellers Farms, Inc.

#### LIST OF CROP BAYER CODES USED IN THIS REPORT:

AGRASS\* = Annual grasses LYPES = Tomato MABSD = Apple RUBID = Red raspberry RUBOC = Black raspberry RUBSG = Brambles (raspberries and blackberries) VACMY = Blackberry ZEAMX = Sweet corn \* not official Bayer Code.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS USED IN THIS REPORT:

AVE = Average BURN = Necrotic tissue CHLOROSIS = Yellow coloration or bleaching of foliage CONTROL = Herbicide efficacy DAT= Days after treatment DOR = Dormant DIAM = Diameter GROWTH = Annual increase in length of shoot INJURY = Composite assessment of stunting, chlorosis, and other visible effects MKTB = Marketable fruit MSP = Mid-spring NO = Number OZ = Ounces POST = Post-emergent application POSTTP = Post-transplant PRE = Pre-emergent application PRETP = Pre-transplant RACOBL = Randomized Complete Block Design UNMKTB = Unmarketable fruit; green (tomatoes), diseased or cull WAT = Weeks after treatment

### METHODS OF ASSESSING CROP INJURY, WEED CONTROL, AND DENSITY:

Unless otherwise stated, crop injury and weed control were assessed visually. The 0-100 linear scale was used, in which 0 = no crop injury/no control, and 100 = death of crop/complete weed control.

For weed density: LOW = Scattered, just a few weeds MEDIUM = 1 weed per 3 feet of row HIGH = More than 1 weed per 3 feet of row

**METEORLOGICAL DATA:** Meteorological Data for each research station may be found at www.oardc.ohio-state.edu/newweather/

### A LIST OF WEEDS WITH BAYER CODES USED IN THIS REPORT:

BAYER CODE	COMMON NAME	BOTANICAL NAME
ABUTH	velvetleaf	Abutilon theophrasti Medicus
ACCVI	Virginia copperleaf	Acalypha virginica L.
AGRASS*	foxtail, crabgrass spp.	Setaria, Digitaria spp.
AGGRE	quackgrass	Elytrigia repens (L.) Nevski
AMABL	prostrate pigweed	Amaranthus blitoides S. Wats.
AMARE	redroot pigweed	Amaranthus retroflexus L.
AMAXX	pigweed spp.	Amaranthus spp.
AMBEL	common ragweed	Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.
AMBTR	giant ragweed	Ambrosia trifida L.
CAGSE	hedge bindweed	Calystegia sepium (L.) R. Br.
САРВР	shepherd's purse	Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medicus
CARHI	hairy bittercress	Cardamine pratensis L.
CERVU	mouseear chickweed	Cerastium vulgatum L.
CHEAL	common lambsquarters	Chenopodium album L.
CIRAR	Canada thistle	Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.
CYAOV	Shagbark hickory	Carya ovata (MILL) K.KOCH
CYPES	yellow nutsedge	Cyperus esculentes L.
DACGL	orchardgrass	Dactylis glomerata L.
DAUCA	wild carrot	Daucus carota L.
DIGSA	large crabgrass	Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop.
GLEHE	ground ivy	Glechoma hederacea L.
MALNE	common mallow	Malva neglecta Wallr.
OXAST	yellow woodsorrel	Oxalis stricta L.
PANDI	fall panicum	Panicum dichotomiflorum Michx.
PLALA	buckhorn plantain	Plantago lanceolata L.
PLAMA	broadleaf plantain	Plantago major L.
POANN	annual bluegrass	Poa annua L.
POLPY	Pennsylvania smartweed	Polygonum pensylvanicum L.
POROL	common purslane	Portulaca oleracea L.
PRTQU	Virginia creeper	Parthenocissus quinquifolia (L.) Planch.
RORIS	marsh yellowcress	Rorippa islandica L.

RUBFR	bramble	Rubus fruticosis L.
RUMOB	broadleaf dock	Rumex obtusifolius L.
SETFA	giant foxtail	Setaria faberii L.
SENVU	common groundsel	Senecio vularis L.
SOLPT	Eastern black nightshade	Solanum ptycanthum Dun.
SOOCA	Canada goldenrod	Solidago canadensis L.
STEME	common chickweed	Stellaria media (L.) Vill
TAROF	dandelion	Taraxacum officinale Weber in
		Wiggers
TOXRA	poison ivy	Toxicodendron radicans (L.) Ktze.
TRFPR	red clover	Trifolium pratense L.
TRFRE	white clover	Trifolium repens L.

\* not official Bayer Code.

### HERBICIDE LIST

TRADE NAME	COMMON NAME	FORM	MANUFACTURER
AIM	carfentrazone	2 EC	FMC Corporation
Alion	indaziflan	200 SL	Bayer CropScience
Anthem ATZ	atrizine	4 SC	FMC Corporation
Authority MTZ	sulfentrazone and metribuzin	45 WG	FMC Corporation
Bicyclopyrone	N/A	200 L	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Dual Magnum	s-metolachlor	7.62 EC	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Emerion 7000	Ammonium Nonanoate	40 L	Emery Oleochemicals
Gramoxone	paraquat dichloride	2L	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Karmex	diuron	80 DF	Griffin LLC
MAT-28	N/A	50 SG	DuPont Crop Protection
Matrix	rimsulfuron	25 DF	DuPont Crop Protection
Perspective	aminocyclopyrachlor		DuPont Crop Protection
Prowl H <sub>2</sub> O	pendimethalin	3.8 L	BASF Ag Products
Pursuit	Imazethapyr	2L	BASF Corporation
Reflex	fomesafen	2L	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Rely 280	glufosinate ammonium	200 SL	Bayer CropScience
Roundup	glyphosate	4.5 L	Monsanto Company
PowerMax			
Sandea	halosulfuron-methyl	75 DF	Gowan Company
Select	clethodim	2 L	Valent U.S.A. Corp. Agr. Products
Sencor	metribuzin	75 DF	Bayer CropScience
Sinbar	terbacil	80 WP	Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.
Spartan	sulfentrazone	75 DF	FMC Corporation
Stinger	clopyralid	3 L	Dow AgroSciences LLC
Strategy	ethalfluralin+clomozone	2.1 L	Loveland Products, Inc.
Surflan	oryzalin	4L	Dow AgroSciences LLC
Treevix	saflufenacil	70 WG	BASF Ag Products
Weedone LV4	2, 4 -D ester	3.8 EC	NuFarm

### **ADJUVANT LIST**

NAME	ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION
Ammonium sulfate	AMS	Spray grade fertilizer
Crop Oil Concentrate	COC	Paraffin base petroleum oil
Induce	NIS	Nonionic surfactant
MSO	MSO	Methylated seed oil
28% N	UAN	Urea ammonia nitrate

#### Alion on Apples - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13USAMZT Protocol ID: Location: WOOSTER, OH Study Director: Project ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Asssociate Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor **Discipline:** H herbicide Trial Status: F one-year/final Trial Reliability: RELIABLE Initiation Date: Apr-30-2013 Planned Completion Date: Dec-31-2013 Trial Location City: Wooster Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.7380888 N State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.90309444 W Postal Code: 44691 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1169.00 FT Country: USA

Objectives:

**Technical Questions** 

1. Demonstrate the weed control performance from Alion-only treatment compared to the other treatments including the strengths and weaknesses.

2. What length of control did Alion provide (months)?

3. Describe the crop tolerance observed in this trial.

#### Applications/Assessments

The objective of this protocol is to demonstrate the performance of Alion alone to customers and key influencers, comparing Alion plus glyphosate or glufosinate plus glyphosate to competitive treatments listed in this protocol.

A1: 30 days after application

A2: 90 days after application

A3: 150 days after application

A4: 270 days after application

Conclusions:

At 31 days post treatment all treatments were comparable for weed control with the following exceptions. Treatment 1 (Roundup PowerMax, Rely 280) had no significant change from the untreated check for control of Green foxtail and had significantly less control of Yellow wood sorrel and White clover than the other treated plots. Also, treatment 2 (Alion, Rely 280, Roundup PowerMAX, ams) had lower efficacy for control of Green foxtail and White clover compared to other treatments (exclusive of treatment 1, as noted above). At 156 days following treatment, the Roundup PowerMAX, Rely 280, AMS treatment had no significant difference from the untreated plots for control of annual grasses, wood sorrel or clover. At that date the Prowl H2O/Treevix/Rely 280/Roundup PowerMAX treatment had significantly reduced weed control. This treatment showed reduced efficacy in control of White clover, compared to the other treatments.

The comparative weed control efficacy of the treatments in this trial would be: 1) Chateau/Prowl H20/Rely 280/Roundup PowerMax; 2) other treatments (excluding treatment 1(see below) were similar, the Matrix/Prowl H20/Rely 280/Roundup PowerMax treatment possibly with more overall control. The The Alion/Rely 280/ Roundup PowerMax treatment showed less control of clover at 38 days after treatment as well as lower control, not statistically significant, of annual grasses at the156 day assessment. The Prowl H20/ Treevix/Rely 280/Roundup PowerMax had reduced control of White clover at the 156 day after treatment. 3) The Roundup PowerMax/Rely 280 treatment (treatment 1) had the least control of all treatments in this trial.

Study Director: Doug Do Affiliation: OARDC/ Address: 1680 Ma Location: Wooster, Postal Code: 44691 Investigator: Dr. Douglas Affiliation: OARDC/The	ohan/Rick Edv The Ohio Stat dison Ave. , Ohio a J. Doohan <b>T</b> e Ohio State L	Personnel vards Title: Professor/Research Asssociate e University 'itle: Professor Jniversity			
Crop 1: MABSS Malus s Variety: Golden BBCH Scale: BDIC	o. Ap Delicious	Crop Description ple			
		Dest Description			
Pest 1 Type: W Code: S Common Name: C	SETVI Setar Green foxtail	ia viridis			
Pest 2 Type: W Code: C Common Name: C	DXAST Oxali Common yellov	s stricta w wood sorrel			
Pest 3 Type: W Code: 7 Common Name: V	RFRE Trifol	ium repens			
Pest 4 Type: W Code: F Common Name: E	PLAMA Plant Broadleaf plant	ago major ain			
Plot Width, Unit: 8 FT Plot Length, Unit: 18 FT Plot Area, Unit: 144 F Replications: 4	Experime T2 Stuc	Site and Design Site Type: ORCHAR orchard ental Unit: 1 PLOT plot Iy Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)			
		Soil Description			
Description Name: SILT % Sand: 16 % O % Silt: 72 p % Clay: 12 CE	Soil Description         Soil Description         Description Name: SILT LOAM         % Sand: 16       % OM: 3       Texture: SIL       silt loam         % Silt: 72       pH: 6.0       Soil Name: WOOSTER SILT LOAM         % Clay: 12       CEC: 14       Fert. Level: G       good         Soil Drainage: G       good				
Moisture and Weather Conditions           Overall Moisture Conditions:         NORMAL normal           Closest Weather Station: HORT UNIT 2         Distance, Unit: 1000 m					
		Application Description			
	Α				
Application Date:	Apr-30-2013				
Time of Day:	0730				
Application Method:	SPRAY				
Application Timing:	PREMEA				
Application Placement:	BROADC				
Air Temperature, Unit:	47.4 F				
% Relative Humidity:	98.5				
Wind Velocity, Unit:	0 NA				
Dew Presence (Y/N):	Y yes				
Soil Temperature, Unit:	52.2 F				
Soll Moisture:	GOOD				
% Cloud Cover:	5 May 0.0040				
Next Rain Occurred On:	May-8-2013				

		Crop Stage At Each Application
	A	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	MABSS BDIC	
Stage Scale Used:	DESC	
Stage Majority, Percent:	Mature 100	
Height, Unit:	25 FT	

## The Ohio State University Pest Stage At Each Application

	Α
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	SETVI W
Stage Majority, Percent:	03 100
Pest 2 Code, Type, Scale:	OXAST W
Stage Majority, Percent:	03 100
Pest 3 Code, Type, Scale:	TRFRE W
Stage Majority, Percent:	03 100
Pest 4 Code, Type, Scale:	PLAMA W
Stage Majority, Percent:	03 100

	Α
Equipment Type:	BACCAI
Operation Pressure, Unit:	30 PSI
Nozzle Size:	8002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	16 IN
Nozzles/Row:	4
Boom Height, Unit:	36 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2 MPH
Carrier:	WATER
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters

Γ

#### **Application Equipment**

Γ

### The Ohio State University

Alion on Apples - Bayer - 2013										
Trial ID:HP13USA Location:WOOSTER Project ID:	MZT P , OH Stud In Spons	rotocol II y Directon vestigaton or Contact	D: c: c: Dr. Dou c:	glas J. D	oohan					
Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date		W Weed SETVI Jun-7-2013	W Weed OXAST Jun-7-2013	W Weed TRFRE Jun-7-2013	W Weed PLAMA Jun-7-2013	W Weed POLPY Jun-7-2013	W Weed SENVU Jun-7-2013	W Weed GGGAN Oct-3-2013	W Weed OXAST Oct-3-2013	
Rating Type Rating Unit Pest Stage Majority Days After First/Last App Trt-Eval Interval	lic.	CONTRO % 13 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 38 38 38 DA-A	CONTRO % 156 156 156 DA-A	CONTRO % 156 156 156 DA-A	
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit									
1 Roundup Powermax Rely 280 AMS	1 QT/A 64 FL OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	0 c	30 b	23 c	98 a	75 a	98 a	8 b	13 b	
2 Alion Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	5 FL OZ/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	64 b	68 a	65 b	100 a	98 a	99 a	58 a	73 a	
3 Matrix Prowl H2O Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	4 OZ WT/A 4 QT/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	88 a	88 a	
4 Chateau Prowl H2O Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	12 OZ WT/A 4 QT/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	90 a	93 a	
5 Pindar gt Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	3 PT/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	63 a	70 a	
6 Goal 2xl Prowl H2O Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	4 PT/A 4 QT/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	88 a	68 a	
7 Prowl H2O Treevix Rely 280 Roundup Powermax AMS	4 QT/A 1 OZ WT/A 64 FL OZ/A 1 QT/A 0.25 % V/V	99 a	100 a	95 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	75 a	55 a	
8 Untreated		0 c	0 c	0 d	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean		17.0 11.6 16.45 70.31	25.9 17.6 23.58 74.69	14.8 10.1 13.87 72.81	2.6 1.8 2.03 87.19	26.3 17.8 21.23 84.06	3.0 2.0 2.31 87.03	35.8 24.3 41.65 58.44	39.4 26.8 46.84 57.19	

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates = Yates (9) Horticulture and Crop Science 4

Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date	W Weed TRFRE Oct-3-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit Pest Stage Majority	CONTRO %
Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval	156 156 156 DA-A
Trt TreatmentRateNo. NameRate Unit	
1 Roundup Powermax 1 QT/A Rely 280 64 FL OZ/A AMS 0.25 % V/V	18 bc
2 Alion 5 FL OZ/A Rely 280 64 FL OZ/A Roundup Powermax 1 QT/A AMS 0.25 % V/V	68 a
3 Matrix4 OZ WT/AProwl H2O4 QT/ARely 28064 FL OZ/ARoundup Powermax1 QT/AAMS0.25 % V/V	83 a
4 Chateau12 OZ WT/AProwl H2O4 QT/ARely 28064 FL OZ/ARoundup Powermax1 QT/AAMS0.25 % V/V	98 a
5 Pindar gt3 PT/ARely 28064 FL OZ/ARoundup Powermax1 QT/AAMS0.25 % V/V	73 a
6 Goal 2xl4 PT/AProwl H2O4 QT/ARely 28064 FL OZ/ARoundup Powermax1 QT/AAMS0.25 % V/V	63 a
7 Prowl H2O4 QT/ATreevix1 OZ WT/ARely 28064 FL OZ/ARoundup Powermax1 QT/AAMS0.25 % V/V	48 ab
8 Untreated	0 c
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean	33.9 23.0 41.08 55.95

Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

General Trial Information Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan

Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: F one-year/final Initiation Date: May-8-2013 Planned Completion Date: Nov-23-2013

Trial Location

City: Wooster State/Prov.: Ohio Postal Code: 44691

Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.779762 N Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.923947 W Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1169.00 FT

#### Objectives: Technical Questions

Describe the weed control from the Alion treatments compared to other treatments including the strengths and weaknesses.
 What length of control did Alion provide (months)?

3. Please describe the crop tolerance observed in this trial.

#### Assessments:

A1: 30 days after application A2: 90 days after application A3: 150 days after application A4: 270 days after application

#### Conclusions:

All treatments had similar weed control at 30 days after treatment, except the standard (Chateau) which showed comparatively reduced control of Virginia pepperweed. Phytotoxicity assessment was not recorded, however no damage to the vines in any plot was noted at subsequent observations.

At 96 days after treatment, all treatments showed similar control of Canada thistle (73-88%), Canada horseweed (63-95%) and Virginia pepperweed (3-30%). The Alion/Rely 280/Roundup WeatherMax treatment showed significantly greater control of the monocots (Yellow foxtail, Crabgrass and Perenial Ryegrass) as well as Dandelion and White clover than the other treatments. However, at this time, due to the high incidence of Virginia pepperweed and Yellow foxtail in all plots, an increased incidence of thistle and crabgrass in most plots, plots were mowed and no further assessments for weed control were taken.

The rate of efficacy of treatments was in this order 1) Alion/Rely 280/Roundup WeatherMax 2)Rely 280/Roundup WeatherMax and 3) Chateau.

There did not appear to be any phytotoxic effect on the grape vines or leaves.

Contacts
Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate
Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University
Address: 1680 Madison Ave.
City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio
Postal Code: 44691
Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Crop Description
Crop Description
Variety: Traminette BBCH Scale: BGRA

#### Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards
2	Sponsor Contact:

Pest 1 Type: W Code: POASS Poa sp. Common Name: Bluegrass	Pest Description
Pest 2 Type: W Code: CAPBP Capsella bursa-pastoris Common Name: Shepherd's purse	
Pest 3 Type: W Code: LEPBO Lepidium bonariense Common Name: Pepperweed	
Pest 4 Type: W Code: ERICA Conyza canadensis Common Name: Canada horseweed	
Pest 5 Type: W Code: TRFRE Trifolium repens Common Name: White clover	
Pest 6 Type: W Code: CERVU Cerastium fontanum vulgare Common Name: Mouse-ear chickweed	

 Site and Design

 Treated Plot Width: 8 FT

 Treated Plot Length: 18 FT

 Treated Plot Area: 144 FT2

 Replications: 4

 Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)

	A			
Application Date:	May-8-2013			
Appl. Start Time:	1300			
Application Method:	SPRAY			
Application Placement:	BROSOI			
Air Temperature, Unit:	66.9 F			
% Relative Humidity:	65.5			
Wind Velocity, Unit:	4 MPH			
Wind Direction:	NE			
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no			
Soil Temperature, Unit:	60.9 F			

		Crop Stage At Each Application
	Α	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	VITSS BGRA	
Stage Scale Used:	BBCH	
Stage Majority, Percent:	07 100	

#### Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1 Protocol ID: Project ID: Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

		Pest Stage At Each Application
	Α	
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	POASS W	
Stage Majority, Percent:	07 100	
Pest 2 Code, Type, Scale:	CAPBP W	
Stage Majority, Percent:	10 100	
Pest 3 Code, Type, Scale:	LEPBO W	
Stage Majority, Percent:	10 100	
Pest 4 Code, Type, Scale:	ERICA W	
Stage Majority, Percent:	10 100	
Pest 5 Code, Type, Scale:	TRFRE W	
Stage Majority, Percent:	10 100	
Pest 6 Code, Type, Scale:	CERVU W	$\overline{I}$
Stage Majority, Percent:	12 100	

	1
	Α
Equipment Type:	BACCAI
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	30 PSI
Nozzle Size:	8002
Nozzles/Row:	1
% Coverage:	100.0
Boom Height, Unit:	36 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2 MPH
Carrier:	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters

#### Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year:						
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan						
Project ID:	Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards						
	Sponsor Contact:						

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Appl Trt-Eval Interval	ic.		POASS VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	CAPBP VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	LEPBO VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	ERICA VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	TRFRE VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	CERVU VITSS BGRA Jun-7-2013 CONTRO 0-100 30 30 30 DA-A	SETPU VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	CIRAR VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 Untreated		А	0.0 b	0.0 b						
2 Rely 280 roundup weathermax AMS	64 fl oz/a 1 qt/a 0.25 % v/v	A A A	100.0 a	100.0 a	99.4 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 b	72.5 a
3 alion rely 280 roundup weathermax ams	5 fl oz/a 64 fl oz/a 1 qt/a 0.25 % v/v	A A A A	100.0 a	100.0	97.4 a	97.5 a	92.5 a	100.0	85.0 a	87.5 a
4 chateau	12 oz wt/a	Α	97.5	100.0 a	88.4 a	82.5 a	97.9 a	100.0 a	17.5 b	72.5 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	12.78t 7.99t 13.53	15.08 9.43 13.47	15.66t 9.79t 15.93	0.00 0.00 0.0	28.74 17.97 70.13	57.56 35.99 61.91

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

#### Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards
	Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Appl Trt-Eval Interval	ic.		TRFRE VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	ERICA VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	LOLSS VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	LEPBO VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	DIGSS VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A	TARSS VITSS BGRA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO 0-100 96 96 96 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Untreated		А	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 b
2 Rely 280 roundup weathermax AMS	64 fl oz/a 1 qt/a 0.25 % v/v	A A A	40.0 b	65.0 a	14.6 b	2.0 a	0.0 b	25.0 b
3 alion rely 280 roundup weathermax ams	5 fl oz/a 64 fl oz/a 1 qt/a 0.25 % v/v	A A A A	97.5 a	95.0 a	98.7 a	6.8 a	25.2 a	72.5 a
4 chateau	12 oz wt/a	А	17.5 b	62.5 a	38.5 b	0.8 a	0.8 b	25.0 b
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			32.54 20.34 52.5	37.72 23.58 42.4	41.42t 25.90t 71.83	1.27t 0.79t 194.57	0.84t 0.53t 125.82	23.58 14.74 48.14

Horticulture and Crop Science

Alion on Grapes - Bayer - 2013

Trial ID: HP13NARMZ1	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Ye	ear:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan	
Project ID:	Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwar	ds
-	Sponsor Contact:	

Pest Code POASS, Poa sp., = US CAPBP, Capsella bursa-pastoris, = US LEPBO, Lepidium bonariense, = US ERICA, Conyza canadensis, = US TRFRE, Trifolium repens, = US CERVU, Cerastium fontanum vulgare, = US SETPU, Setaria pumila, = US CIRAR, Cirsium arvense, = US LOLSS, Lolium sp., = US DIGSS, Digitaria sp., = US DIGSS, Taraxacum sp., = US <u>Crop Code</u> VITSS, BGRA, Vitis sp., = US <u>Rating Type</u> CONTRO = control / burndown or knockdown <u>Rating Unit</u> 0-100 = 0-100 index/scale-percent

#### 2013/SWEET CORN/ANTHEM/ANTHEM ATZ/

Trial ID: FLUT.SCOR.13.JPR.03 Location: Fremont, Ohio Project ID: Protocol ID: FLUT.SCOR.13.JPR.03 Study Director: Doug Doohan Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

General Trial Information Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan

Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: F one-year/final Initiation Date: Jun-19-2013 Trial Reliability: Reliable Planned Completion Date: Dec-31-2013 Trial Location City: Fremont State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: 41.35028 N State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: 83.12194 W Postal Code: 43420 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 636.00 FT Country: USA United States

Objectives:

OBJECTIVE: Observe FMC herbicides in sweet corn weed control programs.

TREATMENTS: See Attached Treatment List

TIMING: There are two timings in this protocol:

A = APBCPR = At Plant Broadcast Pre-Emergence

B = EPOST = Post Emergence Broadcast, no later than V-4 when weeds are 2 to 4 inches tall.

PARAMETERS:

Weed Control Ratings taken 7, 14, 30, 60 and 90 days after sweet corn emergence for At-Plant Pre emergence Applications and again after Post emergence applications.

Sweet Corn Injury Ratings taken 14, and 30 days after crop emergence and then again after postemergence applications.

Conclusions:

All treatments provided good weed control, compared to the untreated check. This trial location experience an unusual abundance of rain during the growing period from June through July. Replicate 1 was severely stunted by water and was not used in this trial. The later part of summer saw a return to warm weather with normal rainfall. The crop performed well, although there was some stunting in some plots. Most of this damage is seen in plot 205, and this appeared to be not significant, and can be attributed to poor drainage in that area of the field.

Personnel

Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate Affiliation: OARDC/The Ohio State University Address: 1680 Madison Ave. Location: Wooster, Ohio Postal Code: 44691 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Cooperator: Matt Hofelich Organization: North Central Agricultural Research Address 1: 1165 County Road 43 City: Fremont State/Prov: OH Postal Code: 43420

Crop	Description	

Crop 1: ZEAMS Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn Variety: SV90125D **BBCH Scale: BCOR** Planting Date: Jun-19-2013 Planting Method: PLANTD Row Spacing, Unit: 9 IN planted IN Harvest Date: Sep-3-2013

Pest 1 Type: W Code: POROL Portulaca oleracea **Common Name:** Common purslane

**Pest Description** 

Site and Design Plot Width, Unit: 5 FT Plot Length, Unit: 25 FT Plot Area, Unit: 125 FT2 Tillage Type: NOTILL no-till Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB) **Replications:** 4

Field Prep./Maintenance:	
Date	

#### **Description of Operation**

10/11/2012	sprayed Roundup Powermax @ 32 oz/A to edges of soybean stubble
10/12/2012	Ripped with JD 6190R and Landol Ripper
4/9/2013	worked plot area with Landall Finish-all
5/6/2013	spread fertilizer 200 lbs / acre of 46-0-0, 150 lbs / acre of 10-52-0, 300 lbs / acre of 0- 0-60, and 7 lbs / acre of 14% Boron, double spread
6/19/2013	planted trial with 4 row MonoStem planter 1 varietey from Seminis include: SV90125D Roundup Ready with an in row seed spacing of 9 inches

		Soil Description
Description Name:	Fremont	
% Sand: 50	% OM: 2.5	Texture: FSL fine sandy loam
% Silt: 40	pH: 7	Soil Name: Kibble
% Clay: 10	CEC: 9.3	Fert. Level: G good
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

**Moisture and Weather Conditions** 

**Application Description** 

Overall Moisture Conditions: VERWET very wet

	A	В
Application Date:	Jun-19-2013	Jul-8-2013
Application Method:	SPRAY	SPRAY
Application Timing:	ATPLAN	POEMCR
Application Placement:	BROADC	BROADC
Air Temperature, Unit:	66.3 F	73.4 F
% Relative Humidity:	74.14	88
Wind Velocity, Unit:	0 MPH	4.98 MPH
Wind Direction:		S
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	64.5 F	72.8 F
Soil Moisture:	NORMAL	NORMAL
1		

		Cror
	Α	B
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	ZEAMS BCOR	ZEAMS BCOR
Stage Scale Used:	BBCH	BBCH
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	15

Γ

## The Ohio State University

Pest Stage At Each Applicatio
-------------------------------

		Α			В	
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	POR	OL	W	POF	ROL	W
Stage Majority, Percent:	11	10	)	14	10	0

	Α	В
Equipment Type:	BACCAI	BACCAI
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	30 PSI	30 PSI
Nozzle Size:	8002	8002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	16 IN	16 IN
Nozzles/Row:	4	4
Boom Height, Unit:	36 IN	36 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2 MPH	2 MPH
Carrier:	WATER	WATER
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters	2 liters

#### 2013/SWEET CORN/ANTHEM/ANTHEM ATZ/

Trial ID: FLUT.SCOR.13.JPR.03 Location: Fremont, Ohio Project ID: Protocol ID: FLUT.SCOR.13.JPR.03 Study Director: Doug Doohan Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name		W Weed POROL Portulaca oleracea Common purslane		W Weed POROL Portulaca oleracea Common purslane	
Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name Crop Name Part Pated	ZEAMS BCOR Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn PLANT		ZEAMS BCOR Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn PLANT		ZEAMS BCOR Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn
Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Sample Size, Unit Days After First/Last Applic.	Jul-18-2013 DAMAGE 0-100 1 PLOT 29 10 20 DA	Jul-18-2013 CONTRO % 1 PLOT 29 10	Aug-14-2013 DAMAGE 0-100 1 PLOT 56 37 27 DA P	Aug-14-2013 CONTRO % 1 PL 56 37 27 DA P	Sep-9-2013 MRKTBLE NUMBER 1 EAR 82 63 62 DA P
Trt Treatment Rate No. Name Rate Unit	29 DA-A	29 DA-A	57 DA-В	37 DA-B	03 DA-В
1 UNTREATED	0 b	0 b	0 a	0 b	31 a
2 ANTHEM ATZ 32 OZ/A	0 b	97 a	23 a	92 a	27 a
3 ANTHEM         8 OZ/A           AATREX         32 OZ/A           COC         1 % V/V	0 b	67 a	0 a	90 a	27 a
4 DUAL II MAGNUM 1.2 PT/A CADET 0.6 OZ/A COC 1 % V/V	3 ab	82 a	0 a	70 a	31 a
5 DUAL II MAGNUM         1.2 PT/A           CADET         0.6 OZ/A           AATREX         32 OZ/A           COC         1 % V/V	5 a	98 a	0 a	57 a	31 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean Bartlett's X2 P(Bartlett's X2) Friedman's X2 P(Friedman's X2)	2.7 1.4 82.81 1.67 0.0 6.667 0.155	51.9 27.6 40.13 68.67 15.385 0.002* 7.267 0 122	34.0 18.1 387.3 4.67 0.0 0.667 0.955	46.3 24.6 39.89 61.67 5.761 0.124 6.467 0.167	4.4 2.3 7.95 29.4 4.577 0.333 6.867 0.143

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name Crop Name Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Sample Size, Unit Days After First/Last Applic.	ZEAMS BCOR Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn Sep-9-2013 UNMRKTBLE NUMBER 1 EAR 82 63
Trt-Eval Interval	63 DA-B
Trt Treatment Rate No. Name Rate Unit	
1 UNTREATED	4 a
2 ANTHEM ATZ 32 OZ/A	1 a
3 ANTHEM 8 OZ/A AATREX 32 OZ/A COC 1 % V/V	2 a
4 DUAL II MAGNUM 1.2 PT/A CADET 0.6 OZ/A COC 1 % V/V	3 а
5 DUAL II MAGNUM         1.2 PT/A           CADET         0.6 OZ/A           AATREX         32 OZ/A           COC         1 % V/V	1 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean Bartlett's X2 P(Bartlett's X2) Friedman's X2	3.4 1.8 81.52 2.2 3.592 0.464 6.533 0.402

Γ

## The Ohio State University

2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT
Trial ID: Location: Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Sponsor Contact:
General Trial Information Study Director: Doug Doohan Title: Professor Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor
Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: I one-year/interim Trial Reliability: Reliable
Trial Location
City: Wooster Country: USA United States State/Prov.: Ohio Postal Code: 44691
Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.779762         N           Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.923947         W USAOH 42.3271331         - 38.4034194           Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1092.00 FT         -80.5184478         - 84.8203125
<b>Objectives:</b> OBJECTIVES: Observe various sulfentrazone + carfentrazone tankmixes for weed control in apples.
TARGETS: Grasses, Broadleaves such as lambsquarters, marestail, morninigglories, mugwhort, poison ivy and others as well as yellow nutsedge.
PARAMETERS: Use the appropriate weed control rating timimng and note any phytotoxicity.
Study Director: Doug Doohan       Title: Professor         Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University         Address: 1680 Madison Ave.         City+State/Prov: Wooster, OH         Postal Code: 44691
Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor
Crop Description
Crop 1: MABSS Malus sp. Apple BBCH Scale: BDIC
Site and Design       Treated Plot Width: 10 FT     Site Type: ORCHAR orchard       Treated Plot Length: 20 FT     Experimental Unit: 2     TREE       Treated Plot Area: 200 FT2     Treatments: 5       Replications: 4     Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
Field Prep./Maintenance: Trial was maintained by the OARDC Hort and Crop Science Manager as outlined in 2011 OSU Treee Fruit Spray Guide.
Onil Deceminátion
Soli Description         Soli Description         Description Name: Unit 2 HCS OARDC         % Sand: 11       % OM: 3.0       Texture: SIL       silt loam         % Silt: 75       pH: 6.99       Soil Name: WOOSTER SILT LOAM         % Clay: 14       CEC: 8.3       Fert. Level: G       good         Soil Drainage: G       good

#### 2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

: Location: Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Application Description				
	A	E		
Application Date:	Apr-26-2013			
Appl. Start Time:	1200			
Application Method:	SPRAY			
Application Timing:	APRIL			
Application Placement:	BROADC			
Air Temperature, Unit:	51.3 F			
% Relative Humidity:	49.97			
Wind Velocity, Unit:	4.5 MPH			
Wind Direction:	ESE			
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no			
Soil Temperature, Unit:	47.3 F			
Next Moisture Occurred On:	Apr-27-2013			

Crop Stage At Each Application					
	Α	В			
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	MABSS BDIC	MABSS BDIC			

	Α	В
Appl. Equipment:	SPRAY	
Equipment Type:	BACKPK	
Operation Pressure, Unit:	40 PSI	
Nozzle Type:	FLATFAN	
Nozzle Size:	8001 VS	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	15 IN	
Nozzles/Row:	4	
Band Width, Unit:	60 IN	
Boom Height, Unit:	18 IN	
Ground Speed, Unit:	3.3 MPH	
Carrier:	WATER	
Spray Volume, Unit:	10 GPA	
Mix Size, Unit:	1 liters	
Propellant:	CO2	

2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

#### Trial ID: Location: Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code				W Weed CHEAL	W Weed TRFRE	W Weed TARSS	W Weed SOLPT	W Weed AMACH
Pest Scientific Name				Common lambsqu>	White clover	Taraxacum sp.	Solanum ptycan>	Amarantnus nyb>
Crop Code			MABSS	Common lamboqu		Danacion	Edotom black -	omootii pigweed
BBCH Scale			BDIC					
Crop Scientific Name			Malus sp.					
Crop Name Part Rated								
Rating Date			May-30-2013	May-30-2013	May-30-2013	May-30-2013	May-30-2013	May-30-2013
0					,	5	,	,
Rating Type				PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN
Rating Unit			PHYGEN	0-100	0-100 34 34	0-100 34 34	0-100 34 34	0-100 34 34
Trt-Eval Interval			34 DA-A	34 DA-A	34 DA-A	34 DA-A	34 DA-A	34 DA-A
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl						
No. Name	Rate Unit	Code	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 oz/a	Α	7.0 a			90.0 a		
	16 oz/a	A						
AMS	22 02/a 25 % v/v	A						
2 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 oz/a	A	12.9 a	100.0	100 0 a	95 0 a	100.0	95 0 a
ALION	5 oz/a	A	12.0 4	100.0	100.0 4	00.0 4	100.0	00.0 u
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a	Α						
AMS	2.5 % v/v	A						
3 SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a	A	5.5 a					
	22 oz/a	A						
AMMONIUM SULFATE	2.5 % v/v	A						
SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a	В						
	1 oz/a	В						
	6.07/2	<u>۵</u>	030		025.2			
ALION	5 oz/a	A	0.5 a	•	92.5 a		•	
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a	A						
AMMONIUM SULFATE	2.5 % v/v	A						
SPARTAN CHARGE	6 0Z/a	B						
NIS	0.25 % v/v	B						
5 Untreated Check			0.6 a	0.0	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0	0.0 b
LSD (P=.05)			13.78t		25.94	51.87	-	44.92
Standard Deviation			8.94t		2.89	5.77		5.00
CV			77.44		4.5	9.36	•	10.53
Renlicate F			1 305		0 167	0 167		0 333
Replicate Prob(F)			0.2919		0.9083	0.9083		0.8183
Treatment F			2.821		1489.000	343.000		722.000
Treatment Prob(F)			0.0732		0.0183	0.0382		0.0237

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Yates=12,15,16; Average=3,4,6

2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

#### Trial ID: Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: Trial Year:

Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan	
Study Director:	
Sponsor Contact:	
	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type			W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed
Pest Code			GGGAN	CIRAR	AMBEL	GGGAN	TRFRE	TARSS
Pest Scientific Name			Annual grasses	Cirsium arvense	Ambrosia artem>	Annual grasses	White clover	Taraxacum sp.
Cron Code			Annual grasses		Common ragweed	Annual grasses	write clover	Danuellon
BBCH Scale								
Crop Scientific Name								
Crop Name								
Part Rated			May 20 0040	May 20 0010	May 20 0040	0 -+ 4 0040	0+1 0010	0++ 4 0040
Rating Date Rating Type							DEDCEN	DCL-4-2013 DEDCEN
Rating Unit			0-100	0-100	0-100	0-100	0-100	0-100
Days After First/Last Applic.			34 34	34 34	34 34	161 161	161 161	161 161
Trt-Eval Interval			34 DA-A	34 DA-A	34 DA-A	161 DA-A	161 DA-A	161 DA-A
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl						
No. Name	Rate Unit	Code	7	8	9	10	11	12
1 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 oz/a	A				35.0	25.0 bc	37.0 b
	16 oz/a	A						
AMS	2202/a 25% v/v	A						
2 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 07/2	Δ	100.0	90.0	100.0	85 0 a	825 a	854 a
ALION	5 oz/a	Â	100.0	50.0	100.0	00.0 a	02.0 a	00.4 a
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a	A						
AMS	2.5 % v/v	А						
3 SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a	А				70.0 a	82.5 a	90.6 a
KARMEX	3.8 lb/a	A						
	22 oz/a	A						
SPARTAN CHARGE	2.5 % %	R						
MATRIX	1 oz/a	В						
NIS	0.25 % v/v	В						
4 SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a	А	90.0			85.0 a	52.5 ab	96.2 a
ALION	5 oz/a	А						
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a	A						
AMMONIUM SULFATE	2.5 % v/v	A						
SPARTAN CHARGE	6 0Z/a	B						
NIS	0.25 % v/v	В						
5 Untreated Check			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 b	0.0 c	0.0 c
LSD (P=.05)						18.47	38.44	26.77t
Standard Deviation						11.55	24.95	17.20t
CV						19.25	51.44	33.61
Deplicate F						0 500	0.000	0.000
Replicate F						2.500	2.386	0.620
Treatment F						49 500	8 406	14 450
Treatment Prob(F)						0.0001	0.0018	0.0002

#### 2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

Trial ID:	Location:	Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. I	Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director:	•
	Sponsor Contact:	

Pest Type		W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed
Pest Code		PLAMA Plantago major	CHEAL Chenopodium al>	SOLPT	AMAAL
Pest Name		Broadleaf plan>	Common lambsqu>	Eastern black >	Tumbleweed ama>
Crop Code		Diodaloa plai	o o nini o na no oqu		
BBĊH Scale					
Crop Scientific Name					
Crop Name					
Part Rated Pating Date		Oct_4_2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013
Rating Type		PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN
Rating Unit		0-100	0-100	0-100	0-100
Days After First/Last Applic.		161 161	161 161	161 161	161 161
Trt-Eval Interval		161 DA-A	161 DA-A	161 DA-A	161 DA-A
Trt Treatment	Rate Appl				10
No. Name	Rate Unit Code	13	14	15	16
1 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 oz/a A	39.5 b	42.5 b	100.0 a	18.5 b
	16 0Z/a A				
AMS	25 % v/v A				
2 SPARTAN CHARGE	10 oz/a A	97.4.2	100.0 a	100.0.2	974 a
ALION	5 oz/a A	57.4 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	57. <del>4</del> a
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a A				
AMS	2.5 % v/v A				
3 SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a A	61.5 b	87.5 a	83.3 a	30.9 b
KARMEX	3.8 lb/a A				
	22 oz/a A				
SPARTAN CHARGE	2.5 % V/V A 6 oz/a B				
MATRIX	1 oz/a B				
NIS	0.25 % v/v B				
4 SPARTAN CHARGE	6 oz/a A	98.7 a	95.0 a	100.0 a	99.1 a
ALION	5 oz/a A				
ROUNDUP POWERMAX	22 oz/a A				
	2.5 % v/v A				
SPARTAN CHARGE	002/а В 1 от/а В				
NIS	0.25 % v/v B				
5 Untreated Check		0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 b	0.0 b
I SD (P=05)		20 15t	32 53	18 19	32 96t
Standard Deviation		13.08t	21.11	11.55	21.18t
CV		25.67	32.48	15.06	47.19
Replicate F		2.462	1.869	0.833	0.069
Replicate Prob(F)		0.1127	0.1886	0.5056	0.9/51
Treatment Prob(F)			0.014	0.005 0.001	0.0005
		0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0005

#### 2013/APPLES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

Trial ID:	Location:
Protocol ID:	Investigator:
Project ID:	Study Director:
	Sponsor Contact:

Location: Trial Year: vestigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan ly Director:

 Pest Type

 W, Weed, G-BYRW7, G-WedStg = Weed or volunteer crop

 Pest Code

 CHEAL, Chenopodium album, = US

 TRFRE, Trifolium repens, = US

 SOLPT, Solanum ptycanthum, = US

 AMACH, Amaranthus hybridus, = US

 GGGAN, Annual grasses, = US

 CIRAR, Cirsium arvense, = US

 AMBEL, Ambrosia artemisiifolia, = US

 PLAMA, Plantago major, = US

 AMAAL, Amaranthus albus, = US

 Crop Code

 MABSS, BDIC, Malus sp., = US

 Part Rated

 PLANT = plant

 C = Crop is Part Rated

 Rating Type

 PERCEN = percent

 Rating Unit

 0-100 = 0-100 index/scale-percent

#### Bicyclopyrone evaluation for tolerance in minor crops

Trial ID: HBI960A3-2013US Location: Project ID:

Protocol ID: HBI960A3-2013US Study Director: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Rick Edwards/ Yin Chen Title: Research Associate/PhD Student Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan

Longitude of LL Corner °: 82.7307 W

**Discipline:** H herbicide Trial Status: F one-year/final Initiation Date: Aug-19-2013 Latitude of LL Corner °: 41.0049 N

**Trial Location** 

Postal Code: 44890 Country: USA United States

City: Willard State/Prov.: Ohio

Objectives:

On potential minor crops for a bicyclopyrone label, Determine if crop has acceptable tolerance to bicyclopyrone when 1. applied PRE, POST, or POST Directed. Evaluate weed control from bicyclopyrone. 2. 3. Compare performance (weed control and crop injury) to a local standard.

Conclusions:

At 16 days after treatment A (PRE emergent) those plots that were treated at this time all showed significantly better weed control compared to the non-treated checks. There was no damage noted to any of the crops. At that time, the POST treatment plots were not evaluated as they had not received an application. At 7 days after treatments B/C (POST/POST directed) there was significant crop damage noted on all plots treated with both rates of A16003 as a broadcast POST emergent application. The POST directed and PRE emergent treatments showed no crop damage. The weed control of A16003 was significantly better in the POST and POST directed treatments plots compared to the pre-emergent and non treated check plots. At 14 days after treatment B/C (POST/POST directed) there was still significant damage seen in all crops which received the POST application. There also was some damage noted on the POST directed as well as the PRE emergent treated plots in radish. Statistically there was no difference in any of the treated or untreated plots for damage in radish. However, it can be seen that there is a diminishing amount of damage seen between the POST broadcast treatments to the POST directed and the PRE treated plots. Weed control at the 14 Day after treatment B/C was still effective forthe POST and POST directed plots, while there was little or no control in the PRE plots.

At 28 Days after treatment B/C the trend continued showing that the POST broadcast treatment had the most damage to the crops. The POST directed and PRE treatments showed very little damage to crops. The weed control in the POST directed plots at this time was statistically better than the POST and the PRE treated plots.

Study Director: Rick Edwards/ Yin C Affiliation: OARDC/The Ohio S Address: 1680 Madison Ave Location: Wooster Postal Code: 44691 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Affiliation: OARDC/The Ohio Stat	Chen <b>Title:</b> Research / tate University e University	Personnel Associate/PhD Student
Cooperator: Robert Filburn Organization: OARDC/Muck Crops City: Willard State/Prov: OH	Role: Farm Manager	Cooperator/Landowner

	Crop Description				
Variety: New Belt	Common leek				
BBCH Scale: BVBT	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
Planting Method: SEEDED seeded					
Seed Bed: VERFIN very fine					
	Emergence Date: Aug-29-2014				
Crop 2: AFEGR Anethum graveolens	Dill				
Variety: Dukat					
BBCH Scale: BDIC	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
Row Spacing, Unit: 16 IN					
	Emergence Date: Aug-29-2013				
Crop 3: DAV/SA Destinant sativa	Parenin				
Variety: Lancer					
BBCH Scale: BDIC	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
Seed Bed: VERFIN verv fine					
	Emergence Date: Aug-30-2013				
Crep 4. DADEN. Dephenue estivue ver piger	Cordon radiah				
Variety: Crimson Giant	Garden radish				
BBCH Scale: BVRT	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
Row Spacing, Unit: 16 IN	Emergence Date: Aug.23-2013				
	Emergence Date. Aug 20-2010				
Crop 5: DAUCS Daucus carota subsp. sativus	s Garden carrot				
Variety: Scarlet Nantes BBCH Scale: BVRT	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
Row Spacing, Unit: 16 IN	running butor Adg to 2010				
	Emergence Date: Aug-29-2013				
<b>Crop 6:</b> ALLXS Allium cepa (direct-seeded)	Direct seeded onion				
Variety: Tokyo Long					
BBCH Scale: BVB1 Row Spacing Unit: 16 IN	Planting Date: Aug-19-2013				
	Emergence Date: Aug-29-2013				
Pest 1 Type: W Code: POROL Portulaça olarac	Pest Description				
Common Name: Common purslane					
Common Name: Smooth pigweed	riaus				
	Common Name. Ontoon pigweed				
Pest 3 Type: W Code: ABUTH Abutilon theophrasti					
Common Name: velvetleat					
	Site and Design				
Plot Width, Unit: 20 FT	Site Type: FIELD field				
Plot Longth Unit: 75 FT Exporing	ntal Unit: 1 DI OT plot				

each block
)

No.	Date	Maintenance Treatment Name	Rate	Rate Unit
1.	Aug-19-2013	Diazinon	1.5	QT/A

Comment: Applied to onion rows

#### Field Prep./Maintenance:

Γ

Field was planted in sweet corn on 5/16/2013. The corn was cut down and residue was plowed on 8/15/2013. On 8/16 and 8/18/2013 field was disked and beds were formed. This trial was planted on 8/19/2013. On 8/26/2013 the trial was irrigated for 1 hour at 0.75 inch.

Moisture and Weather Conditions

Overall Moisture Conditions: SLIWET slightly wet

Horticulture and Crop Science

			Applica
	A	В	C
Application Date:	Aug-21-2013	Sep-6-2013	Sep-6-2013
Time of Day:	1300	1300	
Application Method:	SPRAY	SPRAY	SPRAY
Application Timing:	PREMCR	POEMCR	POEMCR
Application Placement:	BROADC	BROADC	BRODIR
Applied By:	R. Edwards	R. Edwards	Yin Chen
Air Temperature, Unit:	86 F	70.8 F	70.8 F
% Relative Humidity:		45	45
Wind Velocity, Unit:	9 MPH	3 MPH	3 MPH
Wind Direction:	SSE	SSE	SSE
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:		81 F	81 F
Soil Moisture:	SLIWET	SLIDRY	SLIDRY
Next Rain Occurred On:	Aug-23-2013	Sep-12-2013	Sep-12-2013

				Cro	p Sta	ge At Eac
		Α		В		С
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	ALLF	PO BVBT	ALLP	O BVBT	ALLP	O BVBT
Stage Scale Used:	BBCI	4	BBCH	1	BBCH	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80
Crop 2 Code, BBCH Scale:	AFE	GR BDIC	AFEG	R BDIC	AFEG	GR BDIC
Stage Scale Used:	BBC	4	BBCH	1	BBCH	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80
Crop 3 Code, BBCH Scale:	PAVS	SA BDIC	PAVS	SA BDIC	PAVS	SA BDIC
Stage Scale Used:	BBCI	4	BBCH	1	BBCH	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80
Crop 4 Code, BBCH Scale:	RAP	SN BVRT	RAPS	SN BVRT	RAPS	SN BVRT
Stage Scale Used:	BBCI	4	BBCH	1	BBCH	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80
Crop 5 Code, BBCH Scale:	DAU	CS BVRT	DAU	CS BVRT	DAU	CS BVRT
Stage Scale Used:	BBCI	4	BBCH	1	BBCH	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80
Crop 6 Code, BBCH Scale:	ALLX	S BVBT	ALLX	S BVBT	ALLX	S BVBT
Stage Scale Used:	BBCI	4	BBCH	1	BBCF	1
Stage Majority, Percent:	00	100	11	80	11	80

Pest Stage At Each Application							
	A	В	С				
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	POROL W	POROL W	POROL W				
Stage Majority, Percent:	00 100	11 80	11 80				
Pest 2 Code, Type, Scale:	AMACH W	AMACH W	AMACH W				
Stage Majority, Percent:	00 100	11 80	11 80				
Pest 3 Code, Type, Scale:	ABUTH W	ABUTH W	ABUTH W				
Stage Majority, Percent:	00 100	11 80	11 80				

ασΑ					
	Α	В	C		
Equipment Type:	BACCAI	BACCAI	BACCAI		
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	30 PSI	30 PSI	30 PSI		
Nozzle Size:	8002	8002	8002		
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	16 IN	16 IN			
Nozzles/Row:	4	4	1		
Boom Height, Unit:	36 IN	36 IN			
Ground Speed, Unit:	2 MPH	2 MPH			
Carrier:	WATER	WATER	WATER		
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters	2 liters	2 liters		

Trt NoTreatment Application Comment6PLOT 404 was misapplied. Will not be evaluated.

#### Bicyclopyrone evaluation for tolerance in minor crops

Trial ID: HBI960A3-2013US Location: Project ID:

Protocol ID: HBI960A3-2013US Study Director: Investigator:Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code					
Crop Code		AFEGR	PAVSA	RAPSN	DAUCS
BBCH Scale	N1	BDIC	BDIC	BVRI	BVRI
Crop Scientific	: Name	Anethum graveolens	Pastinaca sativa	Raphanus sativus var. niger	Daucus carota subsp. sativus
Rating Date		Sep-13-2013	Sep-13-2013	Sep-13-2013	Sep-13-2013
Rating Type		PHYGEN	PHYGEN %	PHYGEN	PHYGEN %
Davs After Fin	st/Last Applic	23 7	23 7	23 7	23 7
Trt-Eval Interv	al	7 DA-B	7 DA-B	7 DA-B	7 DA-B
Trt Treatmen	t Rate				
No. Name	Rate Unit				
1		0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b
2 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b
3 A16003	50.0 g Al/ha	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b
4 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	66 a	93 a	53 a	94 a
5 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	69 a	90 a	50 a	95 a
6 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	0 b	0 b	4 b	0 b
7 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	0 b	0 b	4 b	1 b
8 Dual EC	1.0 L/ha	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b
LSD (P=.05)		9.1	5.1	7.7	6.3
Standard Dev	ation	6.1	3.5	5.2	4.3
CV		36.25	15.13	37.82	18.04
Grand Mean		16.93	22.86	13.78	23.78

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates = Yates (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28) Horticulture and Crop Science 27 The Ohio State University
Pest Type Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name	ALLXS BVBT Allium cepa (direct-seeded)	W Weed POROL	W Weed AMACH	W Weed ABUTH	W Weed POROL	W Weed AMACH	W Weed ABUTH
Rating Date	Sep-13-2013	Sep-6-2013	Sep-6-2013	Sep-6-2013	Sep-13-2013	Sep-13-2013	Sep-13-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval	PHYGEN % 23 7 7 DA-B	CONTRO % 16 16 16 DA-A	CONTRO % 16 16 16 DA-A	CONTRO % 16 16 16 DA-A	CONTRO % 23 7 7 DA-B	CONTRO % 23 7 7 DA-B	CONTRO % 23 7 7 DA-B
Trt Treatment Rate No. Name Rate Unit							
1	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 c	0 b
2 A16003 37.5 g Al/ha	0 b	46 a	46 a	100 a	0 b	0 c	0 b
3 A16003 50.0 g Al/ha	0 b	45 a	36 a	95 a	6 b	6 bc	6 b
4 A16003 37.5 g Al/ha NIS 0.25 % V/V	58 a				75 a	83 a	78 a
5 A16003 50.0 g Al/ha NIS 0.25 % V/V	46 a				71 a	71 a	64 a
6 A16003 37.5 g Al/ha NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 b				74 a	73 a	63 a
7 A16003 50.0 g Al/ha NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 b				91 a	91 a	84 a
8 Dual EC 1.0 L/ha	0 b	76 a	78 a	100 a	19 b	21 b	15 b
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation	17.3 11.7	26.5 16.6	34.3 21.5	4.6 2.9	17.4 11.8	15.3 10.4	27.8 18.9
CV Grand Mean	90.38	39.55 41.88	53.68 40.0	3.91 73.75	28.0 42 11	24.0 43.21	48.89 38.6

Pest Type Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific	c Name	ALLPO BVBT Allium porrum	AFEGR BDIC Anethum graveolens	PAVSA BDIC Pastinaca sativa	RAPSN BVRT Raphanus sativus var. niger	DAUCS BVRT Daucus carota subsp. sativus
Rating Date		Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit Days After Fin Trt-Eval Interv	st/Last Applic. /al	PHYGEN % 30 14 14 DA-C	PHYGEN % 30 14 14 DA-C	PHYGEN % 30 14 14 DA-C	PHYGEN % 30 14 14 DA-C	PHYGEN % 30 14 14 DA-C
Trt Treatmen No. Name	t Rate Rate Unit					
1		0 c	0 b	0 c	0 a	0 b
2 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 C	0 b	0 C	3 а	0 b
3 A16003	50.0 g Al/ha	0 c	0 b	0 c	18 a	0 b
4 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	90 a	78 a	100 a	60 a	95 a
5 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	80 b	76 a	85 b	55 a	95 a
6 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	0 c	1 b	1 c	13 a	0 b
7 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	3 c	0 b	0 c	23 a	0 b
8 Dual EC	1.0 L/ha	0 c	0 b	0 c	0 a	0 b
LSD (P=.05)		7.8	11.9	12.7	45.8	6.4
Standard Dev	ation	5.3	8.0	8.6	31.2	4.3
CV Crand Moon		24.00	41.72	37.08	140.09	18.23
Granu wear		21.50	19.29	23.21	21.25	23.75

Pest Type Pest Code			W Weed POROL	W Weed AMACH	W Weed ABUTH		
Crop Code		ALLXS				ALLPO	AFEGR
BBCH Scale		BVBT				BVBT	BDIC
Crop Scientific	c Name	Allium cepa (direct-seeded)				Allium porrum	Anethum graveolens
Rating Date		Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Sep-20-2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013
Rating Type		PHYGEN	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO	PHYGEN	PHYGEN
Rating Unit	-+/ +	% 20.14	%	%	%	44 00	44 00
Trt-Eval Interv	al	14 DA-C	14 DA-C	14 DA-C	14 DA-C	44 28 28 DA-C	44 28 28 DA-C
Trt Treatmen	t Rate						
No. Name	Rate Unit						
1		0 b	0 c	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 a
2 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 b	0 c	0 b	0 b	0 b	25 a
3 A16003	50.0 g Al/ha	0 b	3 c	3 b	3 b	0 b	8 a
4 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	48 a	81 b	65 a	88 a	49 a	45 a
	0.23 % 0/0	45 -	70 -	70 -	70 -	45	04.5
5 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/na 0.25 % V/V	45 a	760	76 a	76 a	45 ab	64 a
6 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 b	80 b	81 a	77 a	0 b	-2 a
7 416003	50.0 g Al/ba	0 h	03 a	96 a	85 a	0 b	0.2
NIS	0.25 % V/V	0.0	55 a	50 a	00 0	0.0	04
8 Dual EC	1.0 L/ha	0 b	0 c	0 b	0 b	0 b	11 a
LSD (P=.05)		8.5	9.2	25.1	20.2	30.0	42.3
Standard Dev	iation	5.8	6.2	17.0	13.7	20.3	28.6
CV		49.65	15.0	42.44	33.32	173.88	152.29
Grand Mean		11.61	41.58	40.09	41.04	11.7	18.81

Pest Type Pest Code						W Weed POROL
Crop Code		PAVSA	RAPSN	DAUCS	ALLXS	
BBCH Scale	Nome	BDIC BDIC	BVKI Denhanus estimus var sizar	BVKII	BVBI	
Crop Scienting	; Name	Pasunaca sauva	Raphanus salivus var. niger		Allium cepa (direct-seeded)	
Rating Date		Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013	Oct-4-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit		PHYGEN	PHYGEN	PHYGEN	PHYGEN	CONTRO
Days After Fire	st/Last Applic.	44 28	44 28	44 28	44 28	44 28
Trt-Eval Interv	′al .	28 DA-C	28 DA-C	28 DA-C	28 DA-C	28 DA-C
Trt Treatment No. Name	t Rate Rate Unit					
1		0 b	4 b	0 c	0 a	-1 c
2 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 b	0 b	0 c	0 a	0 c
3 A16003	50.0 g Al/ha	14 b	20 ab	5 c	0 a	0 c
4 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	49 ab	29 ab	55 b	20 a	43 b
5 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	61 a	45 a	82 a	26 a	60 ab
6 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	25 ab	16 ab	-3 c	За	63 ab
7 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	5 b	0 b	0 c	0 a	78 a
8 Dual EC	1.0 L/ha	3 b	18 ab	13 c	0 a	0 C
LSD (P=.05)		34.7	26.9	26.3	19.5	23.9
Standard Devi	iation	23.5	18.3	17.7	13.2	16.1
CV		120.2	111.13	93.37	214.0	53.22
Grand Mean		19.55	16.43	19.01	6.19	30.34

Pest Type Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific	W Weed AMACH	
Rating Date		Oct-4-2013
Rating Type		CONTRO
Rating Unit Days After Fir Trt-Eval Interv	44 28 28 DA-C	
Trt Treatmen	t Rate	
No. Name	Rate Unit	
1		1 b
2 A16003	37.5 g Al/ha	0 b
3 A16003	50.0 g Al/ha	0 b
4 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	40 a
5 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	38 ab
6 A16003 NIS	37.5 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	64 a
7 A16003 NIS	50.0 g Al/ha 0.25 % V/V	60 a
8 Dual EC	1.0 L/ha	0 b
LSD (P=.05) Standard Dev CV Grand Mean	iation	26.4 17.9 70.47 25.34

Crop Tolerability of 3 Varieties of Sweet Corn Using Laudis - 2013
Trial ID: HP13USABLV       Location: Fremont, Ohio Trial Year: 2013         Protocol ID: HP13USABLV       Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan         Project ID:       Study Director: Doug Doohan         Sponsor Contact:       Sponsor Contact:
General Trial Information Study Director: Doug Doohan Title: Professor Investigator: Rick Edwards Title: Research Associate
Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: R reviewed and reported Trial Reliability: LOW Initiation Date: Jun-19-2013 Completion Date: Sep-10-2013
Trial Location City: Fremont Country: USA United States State/Prov.: Ohio
<b>Objectives:</b> This trial was maintained as weed free to minimize variance between plots using an un-safened pre-emerge herbicide for weed control (Define + atrazine).
APPLICATION: Select locally grown hybrids or inbreds. Plant 4 or more hybrids/inbreds per trial.
Timing: At application, record crop and target growth stages.
ASSESSMENT: Please provide labeled digital photographs of all treatments and the checks.
Crop Tolerance: PE11NC1, crop phyto, UTC should be 0.
A2 - 7 days after application (range 6-10 days) A3 - 14 days after application (range 11-18 days) A5 - 35 days after application (range 26-44 days)
Conclusions: Due to unusually heavy rain during late June through July of this season, two of the replicates were washed out. Therefore, the statistical analysis of the remaining two replications are of limited value. There was not a harvest conducted.
Contacto
Study Director: Doug Doohan       Title: Professor         Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University         Address: 1680 Madison Ave.         City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio         Postal Code: 44691
Investigator: Rick Edwards Title: Research Associate Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University Address: 1680 Madison Ave. City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio Postal Code: 44691
Cooperator: Matt Hofelich Role: Manager
Organization: North Central Agricultural Research Address 1: 1165 County Road 43 City: Fremont State/Prov: OH Postal Code: 43420

### Crop Tolerability of 3 Varieties of Sweet Corn Using Laudis - 2013

Trial ID: HP13USABLV Protocol ID: HP13USABLV Project ID:	Location: Fremont, Ohio Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact:
--	--

			Soil Description
Description Nam	e: Fremont		
% Sand: 50	% OM: 2.5	Texture: FSL fine sandy loam	
% Silt: 40	pH: 7	Soil Name: Kibble	
% Clay: 10	CEC: 9.3	Fert. Level: G good	

Overall Moisture Conditions: VERWET very wet

Moisture and Weather Conditions

			Applica	ation Description	on		
	A	]					
Application Date:	Jul-12-2013						
Application Method:	SPRAY						
Application Timing:	ACCRST						
Application Placement	BROADC						
		-					
			Crop Stage	e At Each Appli	ication		
	A						
Crop 1 Code BBCH Sc	ZEAMS F	3COR					

ZEAMS BCOR
BBCH
00
ZEAMS BCOR
ZEAMS BCOR
BBCH

#### Crop Tolerability of 3 Varieties of Sweet Corn Using Laudis - 2013

Trial ID: HP13USABLV Protocol ID: HP13USABLV Project ID:	
Protocol ID: HP13USABLV Project ID:	

Location: Fremont, Ohio Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type					N N	/ Weed			\	N Weed
Pest Code						POROL				POROL
Pest Scientific Name					Portula	ca oler>			Portula	aca oler>
Pest Name				75	Common p	ourslane		754440	Common	purslane
Crop Code				ZEAMS				ZEAMS		
BBCH Scale			700 000	BCOR			700 000	BCOR		
Crop Name			Zea mays	sacci>						
Part Rated						NOT n				
Rating Date			Jul-	17-2013	Jul-	17-2013	Ju	1-17-2013	Aug	-14-2013
Rating Type			F	HYGEN	C	ONTRO		LENGTH	(	ONTRO
Rating Unit				%		%		cm		%
Sample Size, Unit			1	PLOT	1	PLOT	1	SHOOT	1	PLOT
Trt-Eval Interval				5 DA-A		5 DA-A		5 DA-A		33 DA-A
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl								
No. Name	Rate Unit	Code	1		2			3	4	
1 Untreated Check V1		Α	2.3	а	30.0 a	l	81.0		25.6	
2 Laudis V1	3 fl oz/a	А	0.0	а	40.0 a	l	83.0		25.6	
MSO	1 % v/v	А								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
3 Laudis V1	6 fl oz/a	А	0.0	а	48.3 a	l	85.5		48.9	
MSO	1 % v/v	A								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
4 Untreated Check V2		А	2.3	а	22.5 a	l	80.0		28.3	
5 Laudis V2	3 fl oz/a	А	1.4	а	77.5 a	l	85.0		100.0	
MSO	1 % v/v	A								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
6 Laudis V2	6 fl oz/a	A	10.0	а	77.5 a	l	86.0		100.0	
MSO	1 % v/v	A								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
7 Untreated Check V3		A	0.0	а	18.3 a		86.5		17.0	
8 Laudis V3	3 fl oz/a	A	1.4	а	40.0 a	l	89.0		25.6	
MSO	1 % v/v	A								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
9 Laudis V3	6 fl oz/a	A	0.0	а	35.0 a	l	83.5		28.3	
MSO	1 % V/V	A								
UAN 28%	1 qt/a	A								
LSD (P=.05)				0.98t		76.33				
Standard Deviation				0.430		41.33		•		•
υv				133.68		95.58				•
Replicate F				1 321		0 500				
Replicate Prob(F)				0 2820		0.5697				
Treatment F				1.408		0.810				
Treatment Prob(F)				0.3199		0.6117				

Pest Type W, Weed, G-BYRW7, G-WedStg = Weed or volunteer crop Pest Code POROL, Portulaca oleracea, = US <u>Crop Code</u> ZEAMS, BCOR, Zea mays saccharata, = US <u>Part Rated</u> PLOT = plot PLANT = plant C = Crop is Part Rated p = Pest is Part Rated <u>PHYGEN = phytotoxicity - general / injury</u> CONTRO = control / burndown or knockdown LENGTH = length <u>Rating Unit</u> % = percent cm = centimeter PLOT = total plot SHOOT = shoot

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Average=2 Excluded replicate 2 in column 3

		Crop Tolerability of 3 Varieties of Sweet Corn Using Laudis - 2013
Trial ID: H		BLV Location: Fremont, Ohio Trial Year: 2013
Project ID:	111000	Study Director: Douglas of Donan Sponsor Contact:
Crop 1: ZEA	MS	Crop Description Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn
Variety: SV9	014SB	BBCH Scale: BCOR Planting Method: DRILLE drilled
S	eed Bed	: SMOOTH smooth
Crop 2: ZEA Variety: SV9	MS 010SA	Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn BBCH Scale: BCOR
		Planting Date: Jun-19-2013 Planting Method: DRILLE drilled
Row Spaci	ng, Unit	:9 IN
Crop 3: ZEA	MS	Zea mays saccharata Sweet corn
variety: 5v9	01250	BBCH Scale: BCOR Planting Date: Jun-19-2013
		Planting Method: DRILLE drilled
Treated Blo	t Width.	Site and Design
Treated Plot	Length:	e m 6 m 24 m2 Transfer 0 Tillers Times NOTILL es fill
Repli	ot Area: cations:	4 Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
Field Dress (M		
Date	Field	D Description of Operation
10/12/2012	CS	Ripped with JD 6190R and Landol Ripper
4/2/2013	CS	worked plot area with JD 6125R and Landall Finish-all
5/6/2013	CS	flagged for spreading fertilizer
5/6/2013	CS .	spread fertilizer 200 lbs / acre of 46-0-0, 150 lbs / acre of 10-52-0, 300 lbs / acre of 0-0-60, and 7 lbs / acre of 14%
Boron, double	e spread	worked plot area with Landall Finish all
5/22/2013	C3 CS	worked plot area with kongskide and packer
6/19/2013	CS	worked plot area with kongskilde and packer
6/19/2013	CS	laved out staked and drove for planting
6/19/2013	CS	planted trial with 4 row MonoStem planter 3 varieties from Seminis include:SV9014SB, SV9010SA, SV9012SD all are
Roundup Rea	ady with a	an in row seed spacing of 9 inches
6/20/2013	CS	set out plot stakes
6/25/2013	CS	trial received .5" rain and pea sized hail
6/27/2013	CS	trial received 1.85" rainfall
6/28/2013	CS	trial received .4" rainfall
6/29/2013	CS	trial received 2.4" raintall
1/1/2013	65 CS	una receiveu 2.7 mones on raim trenched water off of trial
7/4/2013	CS CS	trial received 4" rainfall
7/5/2013	CS	trial received .8" rain
7/8/2013	CS	trial received .7 inches
7/9/2013	CS	trial received .8 inches
7/10/2013	CS	Gibbs applied sevinXLR Plus @ 32oz/A
7/10/2013	CS	trial received 1.6" rainfall
7/11/2013	CS	trial received .25" rainfall
//12/2013	CS	applied post treatments # 2&3 corn was at V5-V6 sprayed reps 1 & 3
1/18/2013	CS CS	applied Koundup powermax @ 32 oz/A, Choice@ 80z/A,
8/7/2012	(S)	ulai received con Inches of Falman
8/12/2013	CS	applied Lanale with purch trial received 65 inches of rainfall
8/14/2013	CS	applied Spintor @ 8 oz/A
8/22/2013	CS	applied Coragen @ 5 oz/A
8/23/2013	CS	trial received .6 inches of rain
9/3/2013	CS	Doug Doohan released trial for destruct,
9/10/2013	CS	disked trial under

#### Multiflora Rose - Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: Location:Wooster, Ohio Project ID:

Protocol ID: Study Director: Doug Doohan and Scott Wolfe Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Doug Doohan Title: Professor Investigator: Scott Wolfe Title: Research Assistant **Discipline:** H herbicide Trial Status: F one-year/final Trial Reliability: Reliable Initiation Date: May-30-2012 Planned Completion Date: May-30-2013 Trial Location City: Wooster Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.76185 Ν State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.90262222 W Postal Code: 44691 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1093.00 feet Country: USA United States

#### Objectives:

The trial has 2 objectives:

1) Efficacy of 2 aminocyclopyrachlor products at 2 rates each.

2) Crop safety of aminocyclopyrachlor products.

This trial was located in a hilly area that years ago was a pasture, with good multiflora rose pressure.

The "crop" was pasture grass species consisting of orchardgrass, timothy, and velvetgrass.

The "target weed" is multiflora rose, sprayed before bloom.

Crop injury and weed control were assessed visually. The 0-100 linear scale was used, in which 0 = no crop injury/no control, and 100 = death of crop/complete weed control.

Conclusions:

At 30 DAT, treatment 6 (Crossbow) and treatment 4 (RDQ98 low rate) had the best multiflora control at 80% and 53.3% respectively. All treatments had good control of all other weeds and minimal grass injury.

At 62 DAT, treatment 6 had 100% control of multiflora and treatments 2, 3, and 5 all had good control as well at 71.7%, 85.0%, and 73.3% respectively. All other weeds were controlled with minimal damage to the grass species.

At 90 DAT, all treatments had equal control of the multiflora, although RDQ98 at the high and low rate had the lowest control at 53.3% and 50% respectively. MAT28 had good control at high (88.3%) and low (80%) rates. The Crossbow had 100% multiflora control. The Crossbow and high rate of MAT28 had the best blackberry control at 100% and 96.7% respectively. All other weeds were controlled equally by all treatments and minimal grass species injury.

At one year after treatment there was no statistically significant differences in control of multiflora rosa or blackberry with any treatment. There was no damage seen in the grass species at the one-year assessment.

Personnel

 Study Director: Doug Doohan
 Title: Professor

 Affiliation: The Ohio State University
 Location: Wooster, Ohio

 Postal Code: 44691
 E-mail: doohan.1@osu.edu

 Investigator: Scott Wolfe
 Title: Research Assistant

 Affiliation: The Ohio State University
 Location: Wooster, Ohio

 Postal Code: 44691
 E-mail: wolfe.529@osu.edu

#### Seed Bed: COMPAC compacted

**Crop Description** 

	Site	and Design
Plot Width, Unit: 10 FT	Site Type: FIELD	field
Plot Length, Unit: 16 FT	Experimental Unit: 1	PLOT plot
Plot Area, Unit: 160 FT2	Tillage Type: NOTILL	no-till
Replications: 3	Study Design: RACOBI	Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
	Untreated Arrangement: INCLUD	ED single control randomized in each block

**Application Description** 

	A
Application Date:	May-30-2012
Time of Day:	11:00 am
Application Method:	SPRAY
Application Timing:	MAY
Application Placement:	BROADC
Applied By:	Scott Wolfe
Air Temperature, Unit:	73.5 F
% Relative Humidity:	54.64
Wind Velocity, Unit:	4.81 MPH
Wind Direction:	W
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	70.4 F
Soil Moisture:	DRY
% Cloud Cover:	0
Next Rain Occurred On:	Jun-1-2012

	1	Application Equipment
	A	
Appl. Equipment:	Handheld	
Equipment Type:	MANCAI	
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	40 PSI	
Nozzle Type:	TTJ60	
Nozzle Size:	11002	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 inch	
Nozzles/Row:	4	
Nozzle Calibration, Unit:	25.6 oz/min	
Band Width, Unit:	72 inch	
% Coverage:	100.0	
Row Sides Applied:	1	
Boom Length, Unit:	54 inch	
Boom Height, Unit:	18 inch	
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.64 mph	
Carrier:	WATER	
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac	
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters	
Propellant:	COMCO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y yes	

#### AOV Means Table Page 3 of 11

### The Ohio State University

#### Multiflora Rose - Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: Location: Wooster, Ohio Project ID:

Protocol ID: Study Director: Doug Doohan and Scott Wolfe Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan

Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date		W Weed RUBSS Jun-29-2012	W Weed ROSMU Jun-29-2012	W Weed VENAL Jun-29-2012	W Weed POATR Jun-29-2012	W Weed AGRRE Jun-29-2012	W Weed ACHDI Jun-29-2012	W Weed FESSS Jun-29-2012
Rating Type Rating Unit		Damage %						
Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		30 30 30 DA-A						
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit							
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	_	0 b	0 d	0 c	0 a	0 a	0 b	0 a
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 OZ AI/A 7.60 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	73 ab	13 cd	87 a	0 a	3 a	100 a	0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	2.0 OZ AI/A 15.20 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	87 ab	40 bc	97 a	0 a	0 a	100 a	0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	80 ab	53 ab	100 a	0 a	3 a	100 a	0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.128 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	40 ab	37 bc	53 b	0 a	0 a	67 ab	0 a
6 CROSSBOW	4.5 LB AI/A	100 a	80 a	100 a	0 a	3 а	67 ab	0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		59.0 32.5 51.24	28.1 15.5 41.52	30.0 16.5 22.67	0.0 0.0 0.0	8.1 4.5 268.33	63.6 35.0 48.41	0.0 0.0 0.0
Grand Mean		63.33	37.22	72.78	0.0	1.67	72.22	0.0

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Horticulture and Crop Science 39

Pest Type		W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed	W Weed
Pest Code		PHLPB	HPPVU	RUBSS	ROSMU	VENAL	FESSS	DACGL
Rating Date		Jun-29-2012	Jun-29-2012	Jul-31-2012	Jul-31-2012	Jul-31-2012	Jul-31-2012	Jul-31-2012
Rating Type Rating Unit		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage
Trt-Eval Interval		30 DA-A	30 DA-A	62 DA-A	62 DA-A	62 DA-A	62 DA-A	62 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	00 BAT	00 D/(/(	02 Brtr	02 BRT	02 BRT	02 BRT	02 DATA
1 UNTREATED CONTRO	L	0 a	0 b	0 b	0 c	0 b	0 a	0 a
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 OZ AI/A 7.60 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	60 a	63 ab	72 ab	93 a	0 a	0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	2.0 OZ AI/A 15.20 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	100 a	100 a	85 ab	100 a	0 a	0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	3 а	97 a	90 a	52 b	100 a	0 a	0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.128 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	63 a	47 ab	73 ab	97 a	0 a	0 a
6 CROSSBOW	4.5 LB AI/A	0 a	87 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	0 a	0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation		4.3 2.4	40.6 22.3	53.7 29.5	32.3 17.7	8.8 4.8	0.0	0.0 0.0
Grand Mean		424.20	67.78	66.67	63.61	81.67	0.0	0.0

Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date		W Weed HPPVU Jul-31-2012	W Weed PHLPB Jul-31-2012	W Weed POATR Jul-31-2012	W Weed DAUCA Jul-31-2012	W Weed ASCSY Jul-31-2012	W Weed ROSMU Aug-28-2012	W Weed BONCH Aug-28-2012
Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic		Damage %	Damage % 62 62	Damage % 62 62	Damage %	Damage	Damage % 90 90	Damage % 90 90
Trt-Eval Interval		62 DA-A	90 DA-A	90 DA-A				
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit							
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	_	0 b	0 a	0 a	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 OZ AI/A 7.60 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	57 a	3 а	0 a	100 a	100 a	80 a	100 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	2.0 OZ AI/A 15.20 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	0 a	0 a	100 a	100 a	88 a	100 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	3 а	0 a	100 a	100 a	50 a	100 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.128 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	3 а	0 a	100 a	100 a	53 a	100 a
6 CROSSBOW	4.5 LB AI/A	100 a	0 a	0 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a
LSD (P=.05)		30.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	0.0
Standard Deviation		16.5 21.68	3.2 189.74	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.1	0.0
Grand Mean		76.11	1.67	0.0	83.33	83.33	61.94	83.33

Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date		W Weed VACMY Aug-28-2012	W Weed FESSS Aug-28-2012	W Weed ASTPI Aug-28-2012	W Weed PHLPB Aug-28-2012	W Weed POATR Aug-28-2012	W Weed HPPVU Aug-28-2012	W Weed ASCSY Aug-28-2012
Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		Damage % 90 90 90 DA-A						
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit							
1 UNTREATED CONTRO	L	0 b	0 a	0 b	0 a	0 a	0 c	0 b
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 OZ AI/A 7.60 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	67 ab	0 a	100 a	0 a	0 a	83 ab	100 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	2.0 OZ AI/A 15.20 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	97 a	0 a	100 a	0 a	0 a	100 a	100 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	83 ab	0 a	100 a	0 a	0 a	85 ab	67 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.128 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	57 ab	0 a	100 a	0 a	0 a	33 bc	100 a
6 CROSSBOW	4.5 LB AI/A	100 a	0 a	100 a	33 a	0 a	100 a	100 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		61.0 33.5 49.91	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	42.9 23.6 424.26	0.0 0.0 0.0	44.9 24.7 36.89	42.9 23.6 30.3
Grand Wean		67.22	0.0	83.33	5.56	0.0	66.94	//./8

Pest Type Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		W Weed DAUCA Aug-28-2012 Damage % 90 90 90 DA-A	W Weed VENAL Aug-28-2012 Damage % 90 90 90 DA-A	W Weed RUBSS May-23-2013 Damage % 358 358 358 DA-A	W Weed ROSMU May-23-2013 Damage % 358 358 358 DA-A	W Weed POATR May-23-2013 Damage % 358 358 358 DA-A	W Weed ASCSY May-23-2013 Damage % 358 358 358 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit						
1 UNTREATED CONTRO	L	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 a	0 a	0 b
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 OZ AI/A 7.60 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	70 a	53 a	0 a	100 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	2.0 OZ AI/A 15.20 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	70 a	53 a	0 a	100 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	100 a	43 a	87 a	0 a	100 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.128 LB AI/A 0.25 % V/V	100 a	87 a	47 a	60 a	0 a	100 a
6 CROSSBOW	4.5 LB AI/A	100 a	100 a	87 a	93 a	0 a	100 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean		0.0 0.0 0.0 83 33	17.2 9.4 11.62 81.11	32.1 17.7 33.48 52.78	65.6 36.1 62.46 57.78	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 83.33

#### Wild Mustard - DuPont 2013

Trial ID: #US 490/13/01 Location: Wooster, Ohio Project ID:

Protocol ID: #US 490/13/01 Study Director: Doug Doohan Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Assistant Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: S setup Initiation Date: Aug-15-2013 Trial Location Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.7787 N City: Wooster State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.9308 W Postal Code: 44691 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 311.00 m Country: USA United States

### Objectives:

OBJECTIVE: Determine the level of grass crop tolerance and weed control with various rates of tribenuron and thifensulfuron in combination with MAT28 in common cool season grass pasture grasses and native rangeland grasses.

Evaluate Crop Response at 7, 14, 30, 60 and 90 DAT. Must identify and rate each grass specie in the test.

Record crop response data as: % INJUR.

Evaluate weed control at 7, 14, 30, 60 and 90 DAT. Also report any "extra" weed populations in the test site with consistent, ratable populations.

Record Weed Control data as: PESTCODE % CNTRL.

Critical to record in comments environmental conditions (temperature, moisture, soil conditions, sunlight duration, etc.) at time of application. PLEASE NOTE: Also must record date and amount of first significant rainfall after application.

### Conclusions:

At 8 days after treatment the 58 OZ/A rate of RRW97 showed the best control of mustard of all the treatments. The lower rate of 24 OZ/A also showed good control. The other treatments all had statistically similar effects on mustard control. except for the Milestone treatment, which showed the least efficacy of all treatments,

At 32 days after treatment there was no statistical differences of mustard control in any of the treatments. At this time many of the leaves had already turned brown and seed heads were all formed. It was noted by the technician that the mustard in the control plots appeared to have more green in the leaves.

At 48 days after treatment the 58 OZ/A RRW97, the 24 OZ/A RRW97 and the 2.44 OZ/A MAT28 with M6316 (at both 0.551 and 0.306 OZ/A) treatments were statistically superior in control to the 1 OZ/A MAT 28 alone, and the Milestone treatments. The other treatments had statistically similar effects at this stage. It was noted at this stage that in those plots where weed control was rated as less effective the stems and leaves of the mustard plants appeared to have stayed green. Also, there seemed to be some areas in the field where Fall panicum had overtaken the other plants, both mustard and volunteer annual grasses.

In conclusion, either a 58 OZ/A or a 24 OZ/A formula of RRW97, as well as a 2.4 OZ/A formulation of MAT28 and M6316 are all effective in the control of black mustard in pasture grasses with no observed damage to the volunteer annual grasses. There was not a significant degree of separation in the other treatments.

Cooperator: Marsha Martin

Cooperator/Landowner

Crop 1: BRSNI Brassic BBCH Scale: BDIC Planting Method: SEEDE	ca nigra Bla ED seeded	Crop Description ack mustard
Pest 1 Type: W Code: C Common Name: A	GGGAN Annua Annual grasses	ll grasses
		Site and Design
Plot Width, Unit: 10 FT Plot Length, Unit: 15 FT Plot Area, Unit: 150 F Replications: 3	T2 Study De	sign: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
Overall Moisture Conditi Closest Weather Station	ons: : OARDC, Wo	Moisture and Weather Conditions SLIDRY slightly dry oster
		Application Description
	Α	
Application Date:	Aug-15-2013	
Time of Day:	12:00	
Application Method:	SPRAY	
Application Timing:	AUGUST	
Application Placement:	BROADC	
Applied By:	R. Edwards	
Air Temperature, Unit:	68 F	
% Relative Humidity:	57.8	
Wind Velocity, Unit:	2.3 MPH	
Wind Direction:	SW	
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	
Soil Temperature, Unit:	68.8	
Soil Moisture:	NORMAL	
% Cloud Cover:	10	
Next Rain Occurred On:	Aug-23-2013	
		Crop Stage At Each Application

		Α
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	BRS	SNI BDIC
Stage Scale Used:	BBC	ЭН
Stage Majority, Percent:	61	50
Stage Minimum, Percent:	51	20
Stage Maximum, Percent:	64	30
Height, Unit:	2	FT
Height Minimum, Maximum:	1	3

			Pest Stage At Each Application
		Α	
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	GGG	GAN W	$\overline{J}$
Stage Majority, Percent:	63	60	
Stage Minimum, Percent:	51	20	
Stage Maximum, Percent:	65	50	
Height, Unit:	2	FT	

Γ

# The Ohio State University Application Equipment

		Α	
Appl. Equipment:	Handheld		
Equipment Type:	MA	NCAI	
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	40	PSI	
Nozzle Type:	TTJ	60	
Nozzle Size:	110	02	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18	in	
Nozzles/Row:	4		
Band Width, Unit:	72	IN	
% Coverage:	100	.0	
Boom Length, Unit:	54	IN	
Boom Height, Unit:	18	IN	
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.5	MPH	
Carrier:	WA	TER	
Spray Volume, Unit:	25	gal/ac	
Mix Size, Unit:	2	liters	
Propellant:	CO	MCO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Yye	es	

#### Wild Mustard - DuPont 2013

Trial ID: #US 490/13/01 Project ID:

Protocol ID: #US 490/13/01 Location: Wooster, Ohio Study Director: Doug Doohan Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code		W Weed BRSNI		W Weed BRSNI		W Weed BRSNI	
Pest Scientific Name		Brassica nigra		Brassica nigra		Brassica nigra	
Crop Code		DIACK ITIUSIAIU	GGGAN	Diack mustaru	GGGAN	Diack mustaru	GGGAN
BBCH Scale			BGWE		BGWE		BGWE
Crop Scientific Name			Annual grasses		Annual grasses		Annual grasses
Crop Name			Annual grasses		Annual grasses		Annual grasses
Part Rated		PLATOT P	PLATOT C	PLATOT P	PLATOT C	PLATOT P	PLATOT C
Rating Date		Aug-23-2013	Aug-23-2013	Sep-16-2013	Sep-16-2013	OCt-2-2013	OCt-2-2013
Raling Type		CONTRO %	DAMAGE	CONTRO %	DAMAGE		DAIVIAGE
Sample Size Unit		1 PLOT	1 PLOT		1 PLOT	- PLOT	- PLOT
Days After First/Last	Applic.	8 8	8 8	32 32	32 32	48 48	48 48
Trt-Eval Interval		8 DA-A	8 DA-A	32 DA-A	32 DA-A	48 DA-A	48 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit						
1 DPX-RRW97	24 FL OZ/A	47 b	15 a	47 a	0 a	67 a	3 a
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
2 DPX-MAT28	1 OZ AI/A	22 cd	2 a	65 a	10 a	50 abc	7 a
DPX-M6316	0.125 OZ AI/A						
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
3 DPX-MAT28	1.02 OZ AI/A	27 bcd	15 a	63 a	20 a	47 abc	13 a
DPX-M6316	0.23 OZ AI/A						
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
4 DPX-MAT28	1 OZ AI/A	23 cd	12 a	50 a	13 a	30 a-d	12 a
DPX-L5300	0.125 OZ AI/A						
		20 ad	10 -	47.5	10 -	07 o d	10 -
5 Perspective	2.5 OZ WI/A	22 C0	12 a	47 a	13 a	37 a-d	10 a
		07 had	0.5	70 a	20.0	10 aha	7.0
0 DPA-RDQ90	2.5 OZ WI/A	27 bcu	oa	70 a	20 a	40 abc	/ a
	1 07 41/4	22 od	2.0	60.0	2.0	12 od	2.0
NIS	0 25 % V/V	23 CU	5 d	00 a	2 a	13 Cu	Ja
8 PP\//07	58 EL 07/A	63.2	15 a	77 2	10 a	70 a	0.2
NIS	0.25 % V/V	05 a	15 a	i i a	10 a	70 a	υa
	2 444 07 41/4	27 hcd	15 a	67 2	13 a	70 a	10 a
DPX-M6316	0.306 OZ AI/A	27 000	15 a	0/ a	15 a	70 a	10 a
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
10 DPX-MAT28	2 449 OZ AI/A	23 cd	18 a	77 a	10 a	63 ab	13 a
DPX-M6316	0.551 OZ AI/A		10 0				
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
11 DPX-MAT28	2.444 OZ AI/A	33 bc	15 a	73 a	20 a	47 abc	3 a
DPX-L5300	0.306 OZ AI/A						
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
12 Milestone	7 FL OZ/A	8 de	0 a	60 a	8 a	23 bcd	0 a
NIS	0.25 % V/V						
13 Untreated Contro		0 e	0 a	0 b	0 a	0 d	0 a
LSD (P=.05)		14.0	13.8	28.9	16.9	26.1	12.8
Standard Deviation		8.3	8.2	17.2	10.1	15.5	7.6
Crand Moon		31.35	81.85	29.56	93.35	36.16	120.46
		20.34	10.0	50.08	10.77	42.82	0.28

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Horticulture and Crop Science 47

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID: Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact: Marsha Martin

 

 Study Director: Doug Doohan Investigator: Scott Wolfe
 Title: Professor Title: Research Associate

 Discipline: H
 herbicide

 Trial Statute: M
 multi vegr/interim

 Trial Status: M
 multi-year/interim
 Trial Reliability: RELIABLE

 Initiation Date: Jun-28-2012
 Planned Completion Date: Jun-28-2013

**Trial Location** 

City: Wooster State/Prov.: Ohio Postal Code: 44691

Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.7597111 N Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.90311944 W Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1101.00 feet

#### Objectives:

The objectives are twofold:

1) Efficacy of two aminocycolpyr products at two rates each

2) Crop safety of aminocyclopyr products

The "crop" is pasture grasses, consisting of roughstalk bluegrass, tall fescue, timothy, and velvetgrass.

The "target weed" is tall ironweed.

Crop injury and weed control were assessed visually. The 0 - 100 linear scale was used , in which 0 = no crop injury/no control, and 100 = death of crop/complete weed control.

#### Conclusions:

At 28 DAT, all treatments had significant control of all weed species present. Tall ironweed control ranged from 77% with the low rate of MAT 28 and 2,4-D AMINE to 92% with high rate of RDQ98, Timothy and Orchard grass were not evenly distributed throughout the trial and there was minimal physical damage to those grasses present.

At 61 DAT, tall ironweed had over 90% control with all rates of RDQ98 and MAT28, but only 67% control with Crossbow. The treatments had no damage on the grass species present and all had good control of all other weed species present.

At 96 DAT, all treatments had 100% control of the tall ironweed and no damage to any grass species. There was good weed control for all other species as well.

At 426 DAT all treatments had significant residual control of tall ironweed with no damage to any grass species.

	Contacts	
Study Director: Doug Doohan	Title: Professor	
Organization: The Ohio State L	Jniversity	
Address: 1680 Madison Av	ve. Phone No.: 3302023593	
City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio	Mobile No.: 330-466-4023	
Postal Code: 44691 E	E-mail: doohan.1@osu.edu	
	ride - Desearch Associate	
Organization: The Obio State I	Inerreta de la constante de la	
Addrose: 1680 Madison Av	Directory Dhone No - 3302023593	
City+State/Prov: Wooster Ohio	Mobile No 330-466-4023	
Postal Code: 44691 E-n	nolfe 529@osu edu	
	Cooperator/Landowner	
Cooperator: Lynn Ault	Role: Farm Manager	
Organization: OARDC	Org. Type: Research	
Address 1: Schaffter Farm		
City: Wooster	Phone No.: 3302623178	
State/Prov: OH	Fax No.: 330-263-3887	
Postal Code: 44691	Mobile No.: 330-464-2440	
Country: USA United States	E-mail: ault.2@osu.e4du	

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID: Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact: Marsha Martin

Crop Description Crop 1: YNIGF Grassland not used in agric. Grassland not used in agric. Variety: VARIOUS SPECIES Description: 2-3' tall Seed Bed: COMPAC compacted	
Pest Description Pest 1 Type: O Code: FESAR Festuca arundinacea Common Name: Tall fescue 2 2/tell	
Pest 2 Type: W Code: GLEHE Glechoma hederacea Common Name: Ground ivy Description: 4-6" in bloom	
Pest 3 Type: O Code: PHLPR Phleum pratense Common Name: Timothy	
Pest 4 Type: O Code: POATR Poa trivialis Common Name: Rough-stalk bluegrass Description: in bloom, 2-3' tall	
Pest 5 Type: W Code: SOOCA Solidago canadensis Common Name: Canadian goldenrod Description: 14-18" tall	
Pest 6 Type: W Code: VENAL Vernonia altissima Common Name: Tall ironweed Description: less than 12" tall	
Pest 7 Type: W Code: CYPES Cyperus esculentus Common Name: Yellow nutsedge	
Pest 8 Type: O Code: HOLLA Holcus lanatus Common Name: Common velvet grass	
Pest 9 Type: W Code: ASTPI Symphyotrichum pilosum Common Name: White heath aster	

	Site and Design	
Treated Plot Width: 10 FT	Site Type: FIELD field	
Treated Plot Length: 16 FT	Experimental Unit: 1 PLOT plot	
Treated Plot Area: 160 FT2 Treatme	nts: 6 Tillage Type: NOTILL no-till	
Replications: 3	Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)	
% Slope: 0.0		
Untreated Arrangement: INCLUDED singl	e control randomized in each block	

#### Field Prep./Maintenance: None

#### Soil Description

 Description Name: LEVEL FIELD

 % Sand: 11
 % OM: 2.0

 % Silt: 75
 pH: 4.97

 % Clay: 14
 CEC: 13.9

Texture: SILsilt loamSoil Name: Canfield Silt LoamFert. Level: GgoodSoil Drainage: Ggood

Moisture and Weather Conditions						
Overall Moisture Conditions: NORMAL	normal					
Closest Weather Station: OARDC	Distance, Unit: 2 MI					

### ARM 2014.2 Site Description Page 3 of 11

### The Ohio State University

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

	A
Application Date:	Jun-28-2012
Appl. Start Time:	9:15 am
Application Method:	SPRAY
Application Timing:	JUNE
Application Placement:	BROADC
Applied By:	Scott Wolfe
Air Temperature, Unit:	75.3 F
% Relative Humidity:	61.66
Wind Velocity, Unit:	5.00 mph
Wind Direction:	SW
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	68.0 F
Soil Moisture:	VERDRY
% Cloud Cover:	15
Next Moisture Occurred On:	Jun-29-2012

Crop Stage At Each Application								
	Α							
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	YNIGF							

#### ARM 2014.2 Site Description Page 4 of 11

### The Ohio State University

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

	Α
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	FESAR O
Stage Majority, Percent:	BLOOM
Height, Unit:	FT
Height Minimum, Maximum:	23
Pest 2 Code, Type, Scale:	GLEHE W
Stage Majority, Percent:	BLOOM
Height, Unit:	IN
Height Minimum, Maximum:	46
Pest 3 Code, Type, Scale:	PHLPR O
Height, Unit:	FT
Height Minimum, Maximum:	2 3
Pest 4 Code, Type, Scale:	POATR O
Stage Majority, Percent:	BLOOM
Height, Unit:	FT
Height Minimum, Maximum:	2 3
Pest 5 Code, Type, Scale:	SOOCA W
Height, Unit:	IN
Height Minimum, Maximum:	14 18
Pest 6 Code, Type, Scale:	VENAL W
Stage Majority, Percent:	VEG
Height, Unit:	IN
Height Minimum, Maximum:	2 8
Pest 7 Code, Type, Scale:	CYPES W
Height, Unit:	IN
Height Minimum, Maximum:	0 0
Pest 8 Code, Type, Scale:	
	HULLA U
Height, Unit:	0 IN

#### ARM 2014.2 Site Description Page 5 of 11

### The Ohio State University

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

	Α
Equipment Type:	MANCAI
Operation Pressure, Unit:	40 PSI
Nozzle Type:	TTJ60
Nozzle Size:	11002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 inch
Nozzles/Row:	4
Nozzle Calibration, Unit:	25.6 oz/min
Band Width, Unit:	72 inch
% Coverage:	100.0
Row Sides Applied:	1
Boom Length, Unit:	54 inch
Boom Height, Unit:	18 inch
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.64 mph
Carrier:	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters
Propellant:	COMCO2
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y yes

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact: Marsha Martin

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		VENAL Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	FESAR Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	PHLPB Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	DAUCA Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	OXASS Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	TRFPR Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	BONCH Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	PHBPU Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	L	0.0	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 a				
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	0.625 oz ai/a 4.75 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	76.7 a	0.0 a	43.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	33.3 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 oz ai/a 7.60 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	80.0 a	0.0 a	63.3 a	90.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	86.7 a	66.7 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.048 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	85.0 a	0.0 a	56.7 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	93.3 a	100.0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	91.7 a	0.0 a	66.7 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	73.3 a	100.0 a
6 CROSSBOW	1.5 lb ai/a	90.0 a	0.0 a	65.0 a	93.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0	66.7 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		18.11 9.62 11.36	0.00 0.00 0.0	28.52 15.68 31.89	13.96 7.67 9.53	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	36.38 19.32 27.34	79.06 43.46 71.12

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Yates=35,36 Excluded replicate 1 in column 2; 1 in 10; 2 in 11

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		ACHMI Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	GLEHE Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	CYPES Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	DACGL Jul-26-2012 DAMAGE % 28 28 28 DA-A	POATR Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	FESAR Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	OXASS Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	TRFPR Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	-	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 b
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	0.625 oz ai/a 4.75 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	95.0 a	75.0 a	75.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	97.6 a	100.0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 oz ai/a 7.60 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	83.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	66.7 ab	0.0 a	0.0 a	58.7 a	100.0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.048 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	93.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	66.7 ab	0.0 a	0.0 a	93.3 a	100.0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	91.7 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
6 CROSSBOW	1.5 lb ai/a	100.0 a	50.0 a	50.0 a	66.7 ab	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		21.44 11.79 15.26	89.37 34.76 49.07	76.05 29.58 41.76	57.53 31.62 47.43	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	35.82t 19.69t 30.6	0.00 0.00 0.0

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		VENAL Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	PLAMA Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	DAUCA Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	GLEHE Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	ASCSY Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	ACHMI Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	CYPES Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	_	0.0 b						
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	0.625 oz ai/a 4.75 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	91.7 a	96.7 a	98.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	66.7 a	100.0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 oz ai/a 7.60 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	98.3 a	100.0 a	98.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.048 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	98.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	98.3 a	93.3 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
6 CROSSBOW	1.5 lb ai/a	66.7	93.3 a	90.0 a	66.7 a	66.7 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		9.72 5.16 6.68	13.15 7.23 8.97	7.91 4.35 5.36	42.88 23.57 30.3	42.88 23.57 30.3	42.88 23.57 30.3	0.00 0.00 0.0

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		ASTPI Aug-28-2012 DAMAGE % 61 61 61 DA-A	VENAL Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	DAUCA Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	FESAR Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	GLEHE Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	POATR Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	PANDI Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	ASTPI Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	-	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 b
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	0.625 oz ai/a 4.75 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	100.0 a	100.0 a	76.7 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 oz ai/a 7.60 oz ai/a 0.25 % v/v	100.0 a	100.0 a	83.3 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.048 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 lb ai/a 0.25 % v/v	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a
6 CROSSBOW	1.5 lb ai/a	100.0 a	100.0 a	83.3	0.0 a	68.3 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	100.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	27.07 14.38 19.97	0.00 0.00 0.0	28.84 15.86 20.31	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0

### ARM 2014.2 AOV Means Table Page 10 of 11

### The Ohio State University

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		TRFPR Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	TAROF Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	OXASS Oct-2-2012 DAMAGE % 96 96 96 DA-A	VENAL Aug-28-2013 DAMAGE % 426 426 426 DA-A	POATR Aug-28-2013 DAMAGE % 426 426 426 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	32	33	34	35	36
1 UNTREATED CONTROL	-	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0	0.0 a
2 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	0.625 oz a 4.75 oz a 0.25 % v/	/a 100.0 a /a v	100.0 a	93.3 a	96.7 a	0.0 a
3 MAT 28+ 2, 4-D AMINE+ NIS	1.0 oz a 7.60 oz a 0.25 % v/	/a 100.0 a /a v	100.0 a	96.7 a	88.3 a	0.0 a
4 RDQ98+ NIS	0.048 lb ai 0.25 % v/	/a 100.0 a	93.3 a	93.3 a	86.7 a	0.0 a
5 RDQ98+ NIS	0.08 lb ai 0.25 % v/	/a 100.0 a v	96.7 a	100.0 a	96.7 a	0.0 a
6 CROSSBOW	1.5 lb ai	'a 100.0 a	83.3 a	100.0 a	94.0 a	0.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		0.00 0.00 0.0	14.73 8.10 10.26	12.28 6.75 8.38	13.42 6.95 7.52	0.00 0.00 0.0

Tall Ironweed - Weed Control with MAT28 2012-2013

Trial ID: TIRONWCMAT28W2012-2013 Protocol ID: #US 565/12/01 Project ID:

Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan Sponsor Contact: Marsha Martin

Pest Code VENAL, Vernonia altissima, = US FESAR, Festuca arundinacea, = US DAUCA, Daucus carota, = US OXASS, Oxalis sp., = US TRFPR, Trifolium pratense, = US BONCH, Bongardia chrysogonum, = US ACHMI, Achillea millefolium, = US GLEHE, Glechoma hederacea, = US CYPES, Cyperus esculentus, = US DACGL, Dactylis glomerata, = US POATR, Poa trivialis, = US PLAMA, Plantago major, = US ASCSY, Asclepias syriaca, = US ASCSY, Asclepias syriaca, = US ASTPI, Symphyotrichum pilosum, = US PANDI, Panicum dichotomiflorum, = US TAROF, Taraxacum officinale, = US Rating Type Rating Type DAMAGE = damage Rating Unit % = percent

**Trial Comments** 

On 28 Aug 2013 rating of plot 206 was unable to be performed, as plot had been recently mowed down.

Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013



		Contacts	
Study Director:	Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards	Title: Professor/Research Assistant	
Organization:	OARDC/The Ohio State Unive	ersity	
Address:	1680 Madison Ave.		
City+State/Prov:	Wooster, Ohio		
Postal Code:	44691		

Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan

Crop 1: RUBID Rubus idaeus Red raspberry Variety: Nova BBCH Scale: BPER

**Crop Description** 

### Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013

Trial ID: Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Douglas Doohan Sponsor Contact:	
	Pest Description
Pest 1 Type: W Code: CIRAR Cirsium arvense Common Name: Canada thistle	
Pest 2 Type: W Code: CERVU Cerastium fontanum vulgare Common Name: Mouse-ear chickweed	
Pest 3 Type: W Code: SENVU Senecio vulgaris Common Name: Common groundsel	
Pest 4 Type: W Code: TRFRE Trifolium repens Common Name: White clover	
Pest 5 Type: W Code: TAROF Taraxacum officinale Common Name: Common dandelion	
Pest 6 Type: W Code: POASS Poa sp. Common Name: Bluegrass	
Pest 7 Type: W Code: ERICA Conyza canadensis Common Name: Canada horseweed	
[	

Treated Plot Width: 8 FT Treated Plot Length: 20 FT	Site and Design Site Type: ORCHAR orchard Experimental Unit: 1 PLOT plot
Replications: 4	Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)

	A
Application Date:	May-3-2013
Appl. Start Time:	09:40
Application Method:	SPRAY
Application Placement:	PLOT
Air Temperature, Unit:	60.2 F
% Relative Humidity:	59.71
Wind Velocity, Unit:	3.98 MPH
Wind Direction:	SE
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	56.7 F
% Cloud Cover:	60
Next Moisture Occurred On:	May-8-2013

		Crop Stage At Each Application
	A	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	RUBID BPER	

Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013

Trial ID: Protocol ID: Project ID:

	Α
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	CIRAR W
Pest 2 Code, Type, Scale:	CERVU W
Pest 3 Code, Type, Scale:	SENVU W
Pest 4 Code, Type, Scale:	TRFRE W
Pest 5 Code, Type, Scale:	TAROF W
Pest 6 Code, Type, Scale:	POASS W
Pest 7 Code, Type, Scale:	ERICA W

Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013

Trial ID:	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director: Douglas Doohan
	Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale		RUBID	CIRAR	CERVU	SENVU	TRFRE	TAROF	POASS	GLEHE
Rating Date		May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013	May-17-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit		DAMAGE	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO	CONTRO %
Days After First/Last A Trt-Eval Interval	Applic.	14 14 14 DA-A	14 14 14 DA-A	14 14 14 DA-A					
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 Untreated Control		0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 c	0.0 a	0.0 b
2 Matrix NIS	4 oz wt/a 0.25 % v/v	36.3 a	35.0 a	55.0 a	87.5 a	62.5 a	22.5 b	0.0 a	31.3 ab
3 Matrix Karmex NIS	4 oz wt/a 4 lb/a 0.25 % v/v	50.0 a	40.0 a	55.0 a	92.5 a	100.0 a	17.5 bc	0.0 a	28.8 ab
4 Matrix Sinbar NIS	4 oz wt/a 0.5 lb/a 0.25 % v/v	56.3 a	37.5 a	77.5 a	92.5 a	80.0 a	42.5 a	0.0 a	77.5 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		43.74 27.35 76.77	38.33 23.96 85.21	38.10 23.82 50.81	24.76 15.48 22.72	53.99 33.76 55.68	18.13 11.33 54.96	0.00 0.00 0.0	49.60 31.01 90.22

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Yates=10; Average=11,12,13,14 Excluded replicate 4 in column 7

Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013

Trial ID:	Location: Wooster, Ohio Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director: Douglas Doohan
	Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale		RUBID BPER	CIRAR	CERVU	ERICA	TRFRE	GLEHE
Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit		Jun-3-2013 DAMAGE %	Jun-3-2013 CONTRO %	Jun-3-2013 CONTRO %	Jun-3-2013 CONTRO %	Jun-3-2013 CONTRO %	Jun-3-2013 CONTRO %
Days After First/Last Trt-Eval Interval	Applic.	31 31 31 DA-A					
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Untreated Contro	bl	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a
2 Matrix NIS	4 oz wt/a 0.25 % v/v	65.0 a	52.5 a	30.0 b	20.0 a	60.0 a	26.7 a
3 Matrix Karmex NIS	4 oz wt/a 4 lb/a 0.25 % v/v	22.5 b	55.7 a	80.0 a	75.0 a	25.0 a	25.0 a
4 Matrix Sinbar NIS	4 oz wt/a 0.5 lb/a 0.25 % v/v	71.3 a	31.3 a	80.0 a	80.0 a	50.0 a	20.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		28.53 17.84 44.95	30.49 18.70 53.64	37.87 16.83 35.44	165.06 18.37 41.99	330.11 36.74 108.87	30.05 15.31 85.45
Raspberry - Matrix - DuPont - 2013

Trial ID <sup>.</sup>	Location: Wooster Ohio Trial Year
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director: Douglas Doohan
	Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code CIRAR, Cirsium arvense, = US CERVU, Cerastium fontanum vulgare, = US SENVU, Senecio vulgaris, = US TRFRE, Trifolium repens, = US TAROF, Taraxacum officinale, = US POASS, Poa sp., = US GLEHE, Glechoma hederacea, = US ERICA, Conyza canadensis, = US Crop Code RUBID, BPER, Rubus idaeus, = US Rating Type DAMAGE = damage CONTRO = control / burndown or knockdown Rating Unit % = percent

Trial Comments

An assessment conducted on 7/3/2013 recorded the following:

plot 101 [2]; Some control. Grasses seen were fescue, quackgrass, foxtail. Weeds seen were Canada thistle, plantain and clover

plot 102 [1]; Thistle, lambsquarter, Canada horseweed (mare's tail), clover, oxalis

plot 103 [3]; Good control. clean plot. grass, clover. Suppression of thistle.

plot 104 [4]; Control of quackgrass, foxtail and thistle, isn't controlling Canada horseweed.

plot 201 [1]; Observed quackgrass, ground ivy, thistle, horseweed, and clover

plot 202 [4]; Observed horseweed, oxalis, thistle, quackgrass, ground ivy, dandelion

plot 203 [2]; Good control. Ground ivy and thistle. Clean Plot

plot 204 [3]; Supression of thistle, quackgrass and horseweed. Has plantain.

plot 301 [2]; Control of grasses, thistle. Not good control of ground ivy.

plot 302 [1]; Control of grasses and clover, not dandelions. Some thistle

plot 303 [3]; Contol of grasses, ground ivy, plantain. Some thistle

plot 304 [4]; Dead grass. Thistle, some ground ivy.

plot 401 [3]; Good suppression of grasses, ground ivy, thistle

plot 402 [2]; Good control of grass, thistle. Didn't control ground ivy.

plot 403 [4]; Controls goldenrod, thistle, clover, foxtail, grass. Did not control perennial sowthistle.

plot 404 [1]; Quackgrass, thistle, ground ivy, horseweed, dandelion, plantain

#### 2012-2013 Fall/Spring Herbicide Applications

Trial ID: Protocol ID: Location: Study Director: Project ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

#### General Trial Information

Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor/Research Associate Title: Professor

Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: K multi-year/final

**Trial Location** 

City: WoosterLatitude of LL Corner °: 40.7787 NState/Prov.: OhioLongitude of LL Corner °: 81.9308 WPostal Code: 44691Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1020.00 FTCountry: USA United States

#### Objectives:

OBJECTIVES: Observe Spartan Charge and Authority MTZ DF applied in the fall and sequntially in the spring.

TREATMENTS: See Attached Treatment List.

TIMING: A = FALL B = SPRING = PRE-TRANSPLANT

TARGETS: Winter annual broadleaves and greasses.

PARAMETERS: Take weed control and crop response rating as per standard practice that is applicable to convey to end users.

#### Conclusions:

The objective of this study was to observe the crop response and weed control of Spartan Charge and Authority MTZ at either one or two application timings.

There was statistically better weed control between those treatments that were applied at the fall and spring timings compared to the treatments that only had a fall application applied. This was irregardless of treatment.

There was no effect on crop vigor or yield in any treatments.

Personnel

Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate Affiliation: OARDC/The Ohio State University Address: 1680 Madison Ave. Location: Wooster, Ohio Postal Code: 44691 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Professor Affiliation: OARDC/ The Ohio State University

### The Ohio State University **Crop Description**

Crop 1: LYPES Solanum lycopersicum BBCH Scale: BVSO Tomato Planting Date: Jun-14-2013 Planting Method: TRAMAC transplanted - machine Harvest Date: Sep-27-2013

Pest 1 Type: W Code: CIRAR Cirsium arvense Common Name: Canada thistle

Site and Design

**Pest Description** 

Plot Width, Unit: 6 FT Plot Length, Unit: 25 FT Plot Area, Unit: 150 FT2

**Replications:** 4 Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)

No.	Date	Maintenance Treatment Name
1.	Jun-15-2013	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth
2.	Jun-20-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a
3.	Jul-3-2013	Quadris 5oz/a; Baythroid 2.8oz/a
4.	Jul-12-2014	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth
5.	Jul-15-2013	Hand weeding
6.	Jul-17-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a
7.	Jul-25-2013	Quadris 5oz/a; Baythroid 2.8oz/a
8.	Aug-2-2013	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth
9.	Aug-8-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a
10.	Aug-20-2013	Ridomil Bravo Gold SC 2pt/a
11.	Aug-30-2013	Quadris 6oz/a
12.	Aug-15-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a

Field Prep./Maintenance:

% OM: 2.8 Texture: CSL clay sandy loam **pH:** 6.4 CEC: 5.6 Fert. Level: G good Soil Drainage: E excellent Analyzed By: CLC labs, Westerville, Ohio

		Moisture and Weather Conditions
Overall Meisture Conditions:		
	GOOD youu	
	Distance Hulter ( MI	
Closest weather Station: UARDU	Distance. Unit: 1 Mil	
	,	

Soil Description

Maintenance

Г

#### Site Description Page 3 of 6

# The Ohio State University

Application Description

	A	В
Application Date:	Oct-18-2012	Jun-13-2013
Time of Day:	6:00 am	12:00 pm
Application Method:	SPRAY	SPRAY
Application Timing:	POEMCA	PRETRA
Application Placement:	BROADC	BROADC
Applied By:	Scott Wolfe	Doug Doohan
Air Temperature, Unit:	57.3 F	63.4 F
% Relative Humidity:	63.84	90.9
Wind Velocity, Unit:	5.92 MPH	7.5 MPH
Wind Direction:	SE	NNW
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	55.4 F	68.9 F
Soil Moisture:	DRY	SLIWET
% Cloud Cover:	50	

				Crop Stage At Each Application
	A		В	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	LYPES BVSO	LYPE	S BVSO	
Stage Scale Used:		BBCH	1	
Stage Majority, Percent:		14	90	
L				
	-			Dept Stage At Each Application

	Α		В
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	CIRAR	W CIR/	AR W
Stage Majority, Percent:		12	90

Application Equipment

Site Description	Page 4 of 6
Sile Description	Fage 4 01 0

	A			В
Equipment Type:	BACSF	۲R	BAC	CSPR
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	40	PSI	40	PSI
Nozzle Type:	TwinJe	t	Twi	nJet
Nozzle Size:	11002		110	02
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 IN		18	IN
Nozzles/Row:	2		2	
Nozzle Calibration, Unit:	0.2 g	/MIN	0.2	gl/MIN
Band Width, Unit:	36 IN		36	IN
% Coverage:	100.0		100	.0
Boom Height, Unit:	18 IN		18	IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.64 M	PH	2.64	MPH
Carrier:	WATE	٦	WA	TER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 g	jal/ac	25	gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	3 lite	ers	3	liters
Propellant:	COMC	02	COI	MCO2
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y yes		Y ye	es

2012-2013 Fall/Spring Herbicide Applications

Trial ID: Protocol ID: Location: Study Director: Project ID: Investigator: I Sponsor Contact:	Dr. Douglas J. Doc	han				
Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name			W Weed CIRAR Cirsium arvense	W Weed AMARE Amaranthus retroflexus		
Pest Name Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	Canada thistle	Redroot pigweed	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum
Crop Name Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type	Tomato PLANT C Jul-2-2013	Tomato PLANT C Jul-19-2013	PLOT P Jul-19-2013	PLOT P Jul-19-2013	Tomato PLATOT C Jul-19-2013	Tomato FRUMAR C Sep-26-2013
Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval Number of Decimals	% 257 19 19 DA-B	% 274 36 36 DA-B	% 274 36 36 DA-B	% 274 36 36 DA-B	NUMBER 274 36 36 DA-B	NUMBER 343 105 146 DA-B
Trt TreatmentRateNo. NameRate Unit						
1 UNTREATED	3 a	0 a	0 c	0 b	28 a	51 a
2 SPARTAN CHARGE 7.5 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A	3 а	0 a	0 c	0 b	23 a	61 a
3 SPARTAN CHARGE 7.5 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A SPARTAN CHARGE 7.5 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A	5 a	0 a	80 a	80 a	27 a	35 a
4 AUTHORITY MTZ 14 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A	8 a	0 a	45 ab	0 b	28 a	52 a
5 AUTHORITY MTZ 14 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A AUTHORITY MTZ 14 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A	11 a	0 a	80 a	88 a	24 a	37 a
6 AUTHORITY MTZ 14 OZ/A ROUNDUP POWERMAX 32 OZ/A 2,4-D LV ESTER 24 OZ/A	3 а	0 a	20 bc	28 b	23 a	55 a
7 AUTHORITY MTZ14 OZ/AROUNDUP POWERMAX32 OZ/A2,4-D LV ESTER24 OZ/AAUTHORITY MTZ14 OZ/AROUNDUP POWERMAX32 OZ/A	3 а	0 a	30 bc	78 a	25 a	44 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV	10.2 6.8 141.78	0.0 0.0 0.0	38.2 25.7 70.54	31.7 21.4 54.88	6.8 4.6 18.12	21.1 14.2 29.65

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Horticulture and Crop Science 69

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name		LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum	LYPES BVSO Solanum lycopersicum
Crop Name Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit		Tomato FRUUNM C Sep-26-2013 NUMBER	Tomato FRUMAR C Sep-26-2013 kg	Tomato FRUUNM C Sep-26-2013 kg	Tomato FRUIT - Sep-26-2013 RATIO %
Trt-Eval Interval Number of Decimals		146 DA-B	146 DA-B	146 DA-B	146 DA-B
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit				
1 UNTREATED		119 a	2.49 a	4.23 a	0.62 a
2 SPARTAN CHARGE ROUNDUP POWERMAX	7.5 OZ/A 32 OZ/A	118 a	3.20 a	4.31 a	0.75 a
3 SPARTAN CHARGE ROUNDUP POWERMAX SPARTAN CHARGE ROUNDUP POWERMAX	7.5 OZ/A 32 OZ/A 7.5 OZ/A 32 OZ/A	125 a	1.98 a	5.54 a	0.40 a
4 AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX	14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A	117 a	2.75 a	4.46 a	0.65 a
5 AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX	14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A 14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A	132 a	1.93 a	4.94 a	0.43 a
6 AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX 2,4-D LV ESTER	14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A 24 OZ/A	123 a	2.83 a	4.99 a	0.60 a
7 AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX 2,4-D LV ESTER AUTHORITY MTZ ROUNDUP POWERMAX	14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A 24 OZ/A 14 OZ/A 32 OZ/A	133 a	2.51 a	5.58 a	0.51 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		51.1 34.4 27.82	1.413 0.951 37.67	2.108 1.419 29.2	0.385 0.259 45.96

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial ID: Location: Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Doug Doohan Title: Professor Investigator: Rick Edwards Title: Research Associate Discipline: H herbicide one-year/final Trial Reliability: GOOD Trial Status: F Initiation Date: Jun-13-2013 Planned Completion Date: Nov-1-2013 Completion Date: Nov-1-2013 **Trial Location** City: Wooster State/Prov.: Ohio Country: USA United States Postal Code: 44691 Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.7787 N

Latitude of LL Corner \*: 40.7787 N Longitude of LL Corner \*: 81.9308 W USAOH 42.3271331 - 38.4034194 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1020.00 FT -80.5184478 - -84.8203125

Objectives:

OBJECTIVES: Observe Spartan, Spartan Charge and Authority MTZ DF Pre-Plant Broadcast & Incorporated

TIMING:

A = PPBC = Pre-plant Broadcast (no-incoporation)

B = PPBIC = Pre-plant Broadcast, incorporation

C = 1" weeds, apply postemergence after tomato transplants have come out of shock and weeds are no more than 1 inch tall.

TARGETS: Winter annual broadleaves and grasses.

PARAMETERS: Take weed control and crop response rating as per standard practice that is applicable to convey to end users.

Conclusions:

The objective of this study was to observe the crop response and weed control of the respective treatments and whether pre-plant broadcast or pre-plant incorporated.

There was a statistical lower level of weed control in the 6 OZ/A Spartan non-incorporated treatment at 36 days after the pre-plant treatment. This treatment also showed less weed control (not statistically significant) in both the non-incorporated and incorporated regimen, at 19 days after pre-plant treatment.

The best treatment for weed control was the Authority MTZ treatments, both non-incorporated and incorporated, showing a 97 and 98 percent control, respectively, at 36 days after treatment. This was slightly better than the Spartan Charge treatments (90% and 88% non-incorporated/incorporated respectively). There was no significant differences in crop damage or yield in any treatments.

Study Director: Doug Doohan  Title: Professor    Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University  Address: 1680 Madison Ave.    City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio  Postal Code: 44691	Contacts
Investigator: Rick Edwards Title: Research Associate Organization: OARDC/The Ohio State University Address: 1680 Madison Ave. City+State/Prov: Wooster, Ohio Postal Code: 44691	

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial ID: Location: Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Sponsor Contact:
Crop Description
Crop 1: LYPES Solanum lycopersicum Tomato
BBCH Scale: BVSO
Planting Date: Jun-13-2013
Planting Method: TRAMAC transplanted - machine
Harvest Date: Sep-26-2013
Pest Description
Pest 1 Type: W Code: CIRAR Cirsium arvense Common Name: Canada thistle
Site and Design
Treated Plot Width: 5 FT
Treated Plot Length: 20 FT Experimental Unit: 1 PLOT plot
Treated Plot Area: 100 FT2 Treatments: 7 Tillage Type: CONTIL conventional-till
Replications: 4    Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
Maintenance

-							
No.	Date	Maintenance Product Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	
1.	May-22-2013	Roundup	3	AS	1	QT/A	
2.	Jun-15-2013	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth					
3.	Jun-20-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a					
4.	Jul-3-2013	Quadris 5oz/a; Baythroid 2.8oz/a					
5.	Jul-12-2014	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth					
6.	Jul-15-2013	Hand weeding					
7.	Jul-17-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a					
8.	Jul-25-2013	Quadris 5oz/a; Baythroid 2.8oz/a					
9.	Aug-2-2013	Tanos 8oz/a; Kocide 2lbs/a; Manzate 1.5lbs/a;Bayth					
10.	Aug-8-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a					
11.	Aug-20-2013	Ridomil Bravo Gold SC 2pt/a					
12.	Aug-30-2013	Quadris 6oz/a					
13.	Aug-15-2013	Bravo Weather Stix 2pt/a					

Field Prep./Maintenance:

% OM: 2.9 pH: 6.0 CEC: 6.2

Texture: CSL clay sandy loam

**Soil Description** 

Fert. Level: G good Soil Drainage: E excellent

Analyzed By: CLC Labs, Westerville, Ohio

**Moisture and Weather Conditions** Overall Moisture Conditions: EXCELL excellent Closest Weather Station: OARDC Distance, Unit: 1 MI

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial	ID:
Protocol	ID:
Project	ID:

Location: Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Application					
	A	В	С		
Application Date:	Jun-13-2013	Jun-13-2013	Jun-21-2013		
Application Method:	SPRAY	SPRINC	SPRAY		
Application Timing:	PRETRA	PRETRA	POEMW1		
Application Placement:	BROADC	BROADC	BROADC		
Applied By:	Doug Doohan	Doug Doohan	Doug Doohan		
Air Temperature, Unit:	63.4 F	63.4 F	79.1 F		
% Relative Humidity:	90.9	90.9	58		
Wind Velocity, Unit:	7.5 MPH	7.5 MPH	4.3 MPH		
Wind Direction:	NNW	NNW	E		
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no	N no		
Soil Temperature, Unit:	68.9 F	68.9 F	71.9 F		
Soil Moisture:	SLIWET	SLIWET	SLIDRY		
Next Moisture Occurred On:	Jun-16-2013	Jun-16-2013	Jun-26-2013		

Crop Stage At Each Application					
	Α	В	С		
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	LYPES BVSO	LYPES BVSO	LYPES BVSO		
Stage Scale Used:			BBCH		
Stage Majority, Percent:			15		

		At Each Application			
	Α	В	С		
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	CIRAR W	CIRAR W	CIRAR W DESC		
Stage Majority, Percent:			12 90		
Height, Unit:			1 IN		

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial I	D:
Protocol I	D:
Project I	D:

Location: Trial Year: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Applicati			
	Α	В	С
Equipment Type:	BACSPR	BACSPR	BACSPR
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit:</b>	40 PSI	40 PSI	40 PSI
Nozzle Type:	TwinJet	TwinJet	TwinJet
Nozzle Size:	11002	11002	11002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 IN	18 IN	18 IN
Nozzles/Row:	2	2	2
Nozzle Calibration, Unit:	0.2 gl/MIN	0.2 gl/MIN	0.2 gl/MIN
Band Width, Unit:	36 IN	36 IN	36 IN
% Coverage:	100.0	100.0	100.0
Boom Height, Unit:	18 IN	18 IN	18 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.64 MPH	2.64 MPH	2.64 MPH
Carrier:	WATER	WATER	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac	25 gal/ac	25 gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	3 liters	3 liters	3 liters
Propellant:	COMCO2	COMCO2	COMCO2
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y yes	Y yes	Y yes

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial ID:	Location:	Trial Year:
Protocol ID:	Investigator: Dr.	Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director:	-
-	Sponsor Contact:	

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Upt Rating Unit Rating Timing Days After First/Last Appl Trt-Eval Interval Number of Decimals	ic.		LYPES BVSO PLOT - Jul-2-2013 PHYGEN % A1 19 11 19 DA-A	CIRAR PLOT - Jul-2-2013 CONTROL % 19 11 11 DA-C	LYPES BVSO Jul-19-2013 PHYGEN % 36 28 28 DA-C	CIRAR Jul-19-2013 CONTROL % 36 28 28 DA-C	LYPES BVSO PLATOT - Jul-19-2013 NUMBER 36 28 28 DA-C	LYPES BVSO FRUMAR C Sep-26-2013 NUMBER 105 97 97 DA-C	LYPES BVSO FRUUNM - Sep-26-2013 NUMBER 105 97 97 DA-C	LYPES BVSO FRUMAR C Sep-26-2013 kg 105 97 97 DA-C 2
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 UNTREATED			18.8 a	0.0 a	2.5 a	0.0 c	21.0 a	63.0 a	59.5 a	3.54 a
2 SPARTAN SENCOR MATRIX NIS	6 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	A C C C	10.0 a	10.0 a	2.5 a	25.0 b	22.0 a	69.8 a	73.8 a	3.70 a
3 SPARTAN CHARGE SENCOR MATRIX NIS	7.5 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	A C C C	17.5 a	50.0 a	0.0 a	93.3 a	21.5 a	66.5 a	66.1 a	4.07 a
4 AUTHORITY MTZ SENCOR MATRIX NIS	12 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	A C C C	10.0 a	66.7 a	0.0 a	98.9 a	22.3 a	77.5 a	80.8 a	5.11 a
5 SPARTAN SENCOR MATRIX NIS	6 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	B C C C	21.3 a	31.3 a	0.0 a	92.5 a	22.3 a	74.8 a	117.5 a	4.31 a
6 SPARTAN CHARGE SENCOR MATRIX NIS	7.5 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	B C C C	10.0 a	62.5 a	0.0 a	90.6 a	22.3 a	85.5 a	68.7 a	5.11 a
7 AUTHORITY MTZ SENCOR MATRIX NIS	12 oz/a 2 oz/a 1 oz/a 0.25 % v/v	B C C C	28.8 a	65.0 a	2.5 a	99.4 a	21.8 a	79.3 a	95.0 a	4.78 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			14.16 9.53 57.4	65.94 43.47 106.62	4.77 3.21 299.79	22.82t 15.05t 25.05	3.51 2.36 10.81	27.33 18.40 24.95	0.22t 0.15t 7.92	1.647 1.108 25.35

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Average=2,4

#### 2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial ID: Protocol ID:	Location: Investigator: Dr	Trial Year: Douglas J. Doohan
Project ID:	Study Director:	0
-	Sponsor Contact:	

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Rating Timing Days After First/Last Appl Trt-Eval Interval Number of Decimals	ic.			LYPES BVSO FRUUNM C Sep-26-2013 kg 105 97 97 DA-C 2	LYPES BVSO FRUIT - Sep-26-2013 % 105 97 97 DA-C 2
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate	Rate Unit	Appl Code	9	10
1 UNTREATED				2.22 a	1.55 a
2 SPARTAN SENCOR MATRIX NIS	6 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	A C C C	2.61 a	1.43 a
3 SPARTAN CHARGE SENCOR MATRIX NIS	7.5 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	A C C C	2.56 a	1.76 a
4 AUTHORITY MTZ SENCOR MATRIX NIS	12 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	A C C C	3.48 a	1.49 a
5 SPARTAN SENCOR MATRIX NIS	6 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	B C C C	4.86 a	1.00 a
6 SPARTAN CHARGE SENCOR MATRIX NIS	7.5 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	B C C C	2.52 a	2.01 a
7 AUTHORITY MTZ SENCOR MATRIX NIS	12 2 1 0.25	oz/a oz/a oz/a % v/v	B C C C	4.15 a	1.17 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV				0.217t 0.146t 23.84	0.202t 0.136t 34.62

2013/SPARTAN CHARGE/TOMATOES/OH/MI/

Trial ID: Location: Trial Year: Protocol ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Project ID: Study Director: Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code CIRAR, Cirsium arvense, = US <u>Crop Code</u> LYPES, BVSO, Solanum lycopersicum, = US <u>Part Rated</u> PLOT = plot PLATOT = plant - total FRUMAR = fruit - marketable FRUUNM = fruit - unmarketable FRUUNM = fruit C = Crop is Part Rated <u>Rating Type</u> PHYGEN = phytotoxicity - general / injury <u>Rating Unit</u> % = percent NUMBER = number kg = kilogram <u>Rating Timing</u> A1 = 1st Assessment According to Trial Schedule

#### 2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID: Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Study Director: Doug Doohan Title: Professor Investigator: Rick Edwards Title: Research Associate	General Trial Information
Discipline: H herbicide Trial Status: S setup Trial Reliability: GOOD	
City: Wooster Country: USA United States State/Prov.: Ohio Postal Code: 44691	Trial Location
Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.740624 N Longitude of LL Corner °: 81.905408 W Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1020.00 FT	

#### Objectives:

Observe various sulfentrazone + carfentrazone tankmixes for weed control in grapes.

TIMING:

A = EPRE = Late March through Early April

B = SUCKER = Timing for Sucker Control = Suckers must be 8 inches or taller

C = Last POST Timing

TARGETS: Grasses, Broadleaves such as lambsquarters, marestail, morninigglories, mugwhort, poison ivy and others as well as yellow nutsedge.

#### CROPS: Grapes

Conclusions:

The objective of this trial was to observe weed control with sulfentrazone and carfentrazone applied in three treatment timings: In the EPRE treatment(A), mixtures of Spartan (sulfentrazone) at 10 OZ/A with Matrix and Karmex (treatment 1), or Spartan at 6OZ/A with Prowl H2O (treatment 2 and 3) were combined in tankmixes with Roundup Powermax. The second timing was when suckers were at 8". These treatments consisted of AIM and POAST (treatment 1), AIM with Gramoxone Inteon (treatment 2) or Spartan Charge (treatment 3). The third timing was intended to be applied as a late POST, which was to be Gramoxone Inteon and Karmex for treatment 3 only. Due to continued weed control from the other two treatments, it was determined that this POST treatment was not needed.

At 10 days after treatment A, all treatments had similar efficacy of weed control, although the high rate of Spartan/Matrix/Karmax treatment showed statistically less control of Bluegrass. That same treatment also showed statistically better control of White clover.

At 14 days following the SUCKER treatment, (application timing B) there was good control of suckers withhout damage to the vines. Treatment 3 (which was Spartan Charge at 7.5 OZ/A at the application B timing) showed a statistically lower control of marestail (74% compared to 95% and 91%) and for White clover (79% compared to 100% and 95%) compared to treatments 1 and 2.

At 55 days after the SUCKER treatment, there was overall good weed control through all plots. However, for control of White clover there were statistical differences seen. The best control of White clover was seen with treatment 1 at 98%, then treatment 2 at 83% and finally treatment 3 at 60%.

#### 2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

		<b>D</b>	Contacts
Study Director: Doug Dooha Organization: OARDC/The Address: 1680 Madiso City+State/Prov: Wooster, Oh Postal Code: 44691	n <b>Title:</b> Ohio State Ui n Ave. io	Protessor niversity	
Investigator: Rick Edward Organization: OARDC/The Address: 1680 Madiso City+State/Prov: Wooster, Oh Postal Code: 44691	s <b>Title:</b> Ohio State Ui n Ave. io	Research Asso niversity	ociate
			Crop Description
Crop 1: VITSS Vitis sp.			
	Plant	ing Date: Apr-	1-2003
	Planting	Method: EST	ABL established
	Taiv	est Date. Out-	4-2013
			Pest Description
Pest 1 Type: W Code: TARC	F Taraxacum	officinale	
Common Name: Comn	non dandelion		
Treated Plot Width: 10 FT			Site and Design Site Type: VINEYA vineyard
Treated Plot Length: 20 FT	2 <b>T</b> reature of	Experim	nental Únit: 1 PLOT plot
Replications: 4		S. 4 Stu	dy Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
			Soil Description
Description Name: SILT LOAI	M .0 <b>Tex</b>	ture: SIL	silt loam
% Silt: 72 pH: 6	.0 Soil N	ame: WOOSTI	ER SILT LOAM
% Clay: 12 CEC: 14	4 Fert. L Soil Drain	age:G g	lood
		•	
			Moisture and Weather Conditions
Overall Moisture C Closest Weather Station: Wo	Conditions: G oster Station	OOD good Distand	ce Unit: 4 MI
		Diotain	
			Application Description
	Α	В	
Application Date:	May-6-2013	Jun-18-2013	
Appl. Start Time:	1000	1200	
Application Method:	SPRAY	SPRAY	
Application Timing:	PREMEA	SUCKER	
Application Placement:	PLOT	PLOT	
Air Temperature, Unit:	61 F	74.2 F	
% Relative Humidity:	59	79.6	
Wind Velocity, Unit:	5.1 MPH	5.9 MPH	
Wind Direction:	ESE	NE	
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no	
Soil Temperature Unit:	FGFF	70 5	
oon remperature, ont.	30.3 F	/8 F	

Next Moisture Occurred On: Oct-8-2013 Jun-25-2013

#### ARM 2014.2 Site Description Page 3 of 8

### The Ohio State University

#### 2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

			Crop Stage At Each Application
	Α	В	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	VITSS BGRA	VITSS BGRA	
Stage Scale Used:	BBCH	ввсн	
Stage Majority, Percent:	11 70	19 70	

	Α	В
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	TAROF W	TAROF W

#### Pest Stage At Each Application

	Α	В
Equipment Type:	BACCAI	BACCAI
Operation Pressure, Unit:	30 PSI	30 PSI
Nozzle Size:	8002	8002
Nozzles/Row:	1	1
% Coverage:	100.0	100.0
Boom Height, Unit:	36 IN	36 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2 MPH	2 MPH
Carrier:	WATER	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac	25 gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters	2 liters

 
 Date
 By
 Notes

 Jul-2-2013
 Edwards, R
 101 90% overall- 2-3 seedling marestail and dandelion; 103 90% overall- virtually weed free, 1 va. pepperweed; 104 80% overall some va. pepperweed; 204 85% overall some marestail, 203 85% a little clover, possibly boom height issue, water sprouts burn

 Jul-2-2013
 201 95% overall, clean; 301 90% overall; 302 60% overall, 303 90% overall watersprout stem damage, 401 70% overall; 403 100%
overall, watersprouts burnt, 404 100% overall

2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval			VITSS BGRA PLANT C May-16-2013 PHYGEN % 10 10 10 DA-A	TAROF May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A	CAPBP May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A	DAUCA May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A	POASS May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A	MEUAL May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A	CERVU May-16-2013 CONTRO % 10 10 10 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Untreated Check			0.0 a	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 c	0.0 b	0.0 b
2 SPARTAN +MATRIX +KARMEX ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +POAST +COC	10 oz/a 4 oz/a 32 oz/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A A B B B B	10.6 a	73.8 a	38.8 ab	41.3 ab	27.5 b	80.0 a	55.0 a
3 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +GRAMOXONE INTEON +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B B B	7.6 a	65.0 a	23.8 b	58.8 a	60.0 a	40.0 ab	62.5 a
4 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS SPARTAN CHARGE +COC GRAMOXONE INTEON +KARMEX +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 7.5 oz/a 1 % v/v 32 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B C C C C	6.8 a	62.5 a	67.5 a	47.5 ab	47.5 a	56.3 ab	66.3 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			2.00t 1.25t 52.16	20.40 12.75 25.35	32.54 20.34 62.6	39.38 24.62 66.77	15.20 9.50 28.15	47.32 29.58 67.14	32.33 20.21 44.0

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) t=Mean descriptions are reported in transformed data units, and are not de-transformed. Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates are included in columns:Yates=23

2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Dave After First/Last Applic		Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	AGRRE Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	HPPVU Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	MEUAL Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	CERVU Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	POASS Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	PLAMA Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %	PESGL Jul-2-2013 CONTRO %
Trt-Eval Interval		14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B	14 DA-B
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Ap Rate Unit Co	pl de 8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1 Untreated Check		0.0 c	0.0 b	0.0 c	0.0 c	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b
2 SPARTAN +MATRIX +KARMEX ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +POAST +COC	10 oz/a A 4 oz/a A 32 oz/a A 2.5 % v/v A 1.3 oz/a B 32 oz/a B 1 % v/v B	95.4 a	99.4 a	95.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
3 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +GRAMOXONE INTEON +COC	6 oz/a A 4 qt/a A 32 oz/a A 2.5 % v/v A 1.3 oz/a B 32 oz/a B 1 % v/v B	96.8 a	96.8 a	91.3 a	91.3 ab	93.8	93.8	93.8	93.8 a
4 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS SPARTAN CHARGE +COC GRAMOXONE INTEON +KARMEX +COC	6 oz/a A 4 qt/a A 32 oz/a A 2.5 % v/v A 7.5 oz/a B 1 % v/v B 32 oz/a C 32 oz/a C 1 % v/v C	53.2 b	97.9 a	73.8 b	78.8 b	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	90.0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV		25.71t 16.07t 31.5	19.30t 12.06t 19.56	11.15 6.97 10.73	15.43 9.65 14.29	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.0	18.09 11.31 15.95

2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval			TAROF Jul-2-2013 CONTRO % 57 14 14 DA-B	AMACH Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B	ERICA Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B	PORSS Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B	MEUAL Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B	LEPVI Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B	DIGSS Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1 Untreated Check			0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 d	0.0 b	0.0 b
2 SPARTAN +MATRIX +KARMEX ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +POAST +COC	10 oz/a 4 oz/a 32 oz/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A A B B B B	100.0 a	99.4 a	100.0 a	82.5 a	97.5 a	93.8	97.5 a
3 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +GRAMOXONE INTEON +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B B	93.4 a	96.2 a	87.5 a	87.5 a	82.5 b	100.0 a	92.5 a
4 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS SPARTAN CHARGE +COC GRAMOXONE INTEON +KARMEX +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 7.5 oz/a 1 % v/v 32 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B C C C	97.9 a	100.0 a	81.3 a	100.0 a	60.0 c	100.0 a	87.5 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			17.68t 11.06t 17.92	20.19t 12.62t 19.87	18.19 11.37 16.93	22.93 14.34 21.24	11.92 7.45 12.42	0.00 0.00 0.0	23.88 14.93 21.52

#### 2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID:

Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Part Rated Rating Date Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval			PESGL Aug-12-2013 CONTRO % 98 55 55 DA-B
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	23
1 Untreated Check			0.0 b
2 SPARTAN +MATRIX +KARMEX ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +POAST +COC	10 oz/a 4 oz/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A A B B B B	76.6 a
3 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS AIM +GRAMOXONE INTEON +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 1.3 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B B	82.5 a
4 SPARTAN +PROWL H2O +ROUNDUP POWERMAX +AMS SPARTAN CHARGE +COC GRAMOXONE INTEON +KARMEX +COC	6 oz/a 4 qt/a 32 oz/a 2.5 % v/v 7.5 oz/a 1 % v/v 32 oz/a 32 oz/a 1 % v/v	A A A B B C C C	87.5 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV			27.55 16.48 26.72

#### 2013/GRAPES/SPARTAN/TANKMIXES/EARLY PRE

Trial ID: SULF.GRAPE.13.JPR.01 Protocol ID: Project ID: Location: WOOSTER, OH Trial Year: 2013 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Sponsor Contact:

Pest Code TAROF, Taraxacum officinale, = US CAPBP, Capsella bursa-pastoris, = US DAUCA, Daucus carota, = US POASS, Poa sp., = US MEUAL, Melilotus alba, = US CERVU, Cerastium fontanum vulgare, = US LEPVI, Lepidium virginicum, = US AGRRE, Elymus repens, = US HPPVU, Hippuris vulgaris, = US PLAMA, Plantago major, = US PLAMA, Plantago major, = US PESGL, Pennisetum glaucum, = US AMACH, Amaranthus hybridus, = US ERICA, Conyza canadensis, = US PORSS, Portulaca sp., = US DIGSS, Digitaria sp., = US DIGSS, Digitaria sp., = US <u>Part Rated</u> PLANT = plant C = Crop is Part Rated <u>Rating Type</u> PHYGEN = phytotoxicity - general / injury CONTRO = control / burndown or knockdown <u>Rating Unit</u> % = percent

Footnote 1: 2 - 3 seedling marestail dandelion

**Trial Comments** 

Timothy Grass - DuPont 2013

Trial ID: Protocol ID: Location: Study Director: Project ID: Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Sponsor Contact:

**General Trial Information** Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Edwards Title: Professor/Research Associate Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Doohan Title: Proffesor **Discipline:** H herbicide Trial Status: E established Trial Reliability: RELIABLE Initiation Date: Sep-10-2013 Planned Completion Date: Dec-31-2013 **Trial Location** City: Wooster Latitude of LL Corner °: 40.799762 N State/Prov.: Ohio Longitude of LL Corner °: -81.9054 W Postal Code: 44691 Altitude of LL Corner, Unit: 1020.00 FT Country: USA United States

#### Objectives:

Determine the level of grass crop tolerance and yield with various rates of tribenuron and thifensulfuron in combination with MAT28 in common cool season grass pasture grasses and native rangeland grasses.

Evaluate Crop Response at 7, 14, 30, 60 and 90 DAT.

Conclusions:

The objective of this experiement was to determine crop (timothy) tolerance to various rates of tribenuron and trifensulfuron combined with MAT28. The pasture in which this trial was conducted was selected because of the predominant grass being timothy. The field was mowed on August 20, 2013. The treatments were applied on September 10th. Prior to the harvest on October 28, 2013, any broadleaved weeds in each plot were removed by hoeing.

There was no significant effect on crop tolerance in any of the herbicide treatments compared to the control plots in this trial.

	Devenuel
Study Director: Doug Doohan/Rick Affiliation: The Ohio State Un Address: 1680 Madison Ave Location: Wooster, Ohio Postal Code: 44691 Investigator: Dr. Douglas J. Dooha	Edwards <b>Title:</b> Professor/Research Associate iversity
	Cron Description
Crop 1: PHLPR Phleum pratense BBCH Scale: BGRM	Herdsgrass
Planting Method: NATPOP Soil Moisture: DRY dry	ral population
	Pest Description
Pest 1 Type: W Code: SOOVI Sol Common Name: Common g	lidago virgaurea oldenrod
	Site and Design
Plot Width. Unit: 10 FT	Site Type: PASTUR pasture
Plot Length, Unit: 15 FT	Experimental Unit: 1 PLOT plot
Plot Area, Unit: 150 FT2	Tillage Type: NA
Replications: 3	Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)
U	ntreated Arrangement: INCLUDED single control randomized in each block

Application Description

	A
Application Date:	Sep-10-2013
Time of Day:	12:00
Application Method:	SPRAY
Application Timing:	SEPEMB
Application Placement:	BROADC
Applied By:	R. Edwards
Air Temperature, Unit:	86 F
% Relative Humidity:	71
Wind Velocity, Unit:	8 MPH
Wind Direction:	SW
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no
Soil Temperature, Unit:	73 F
Soil Moisture:	DRY
% Cloud Cover:	10
Next Rain Occurred On:	Sep-12-2013

		Α	
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	PHL	.PR BO	GRM
Stage Scale Used:	BBC	Ή	
Stage Majority, Percent:	14	50	
Height, Unit:	10	IN	

Stage Majority, Percent: 14

#### **Crop Stage At Each Application**

	Α
Pest 1 Code, Type, Scale:	SOOVI W

50

#### Pest Stage At Each Application

	Α
Appl. Equipment:	Handheld
Equipment Type:	MANCAI
<b>Operation Pressure, Unit</b>	: 40 PSI
Nozzle Type:	TTJ60
Nozzle Size:	11002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 in
Nozzles/Row:	4
Band Width, Unit:	72 IN
% Coverage:	100.0
Boom Length, Unit:	54 IN
Boom Height, Unit:	18 IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	2.5 MPH
Carrier:	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit:	25 gal/ac
Mix Size, Unit:	2 liters
Propellant:	COMCO2
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y yes

Timothy Grass - DuPont 2013

Trial ID:	Protocol ID:		
Location:	Study Director:		
Project ID:	Investigator: Dr.	Douglas J. Dooh	an
	Sponsor Contact:		

Pest Type Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name	PHLPR BGRM Phleum pratense	POASS BGRM Poa sp.	AGRRE Elytrigi Elytrigia repens	DACSS Dactylis Orchardgrass	PESGL Penniset Yellow foxtail	W Weed SOOSS	W Weed TRFRE
Part Rated Rating Date	PLOT C Sep-19-2013	PLOT C Sep-19-2013	PLOT C Sep-19-2013	PLOT C Sep-19-2013	PLOT C Sep-19-2013	PLOT P Sep-19-2013	PLOT P Sep-19-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit Days After First/Last Applic	PHYGEN % 9 9	PHYGEN % 9 9	PHYGEN % 9 9	PHYGEN % 9 9	PHYGEN % 9 9	CONTRO	CONTRO % 9 9
Trt-Eval Interval	9 DA-Ă	9 DA-A	9 DA-Ă	9 DA-Ă	9 DA-Ă	9 DA-Ă	9 DA-A
Trt TreatmentRateNo. NameRateUnit							
1 DPX-RRW97 24 FL OZ/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	70 a	20 a
2 DPX-MAT28 1 OZ AI/A DPX-M6316 0.125 OZ AI/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	2 a	0 a	0 a	3 а	10 a	80 a	20 a
3 DPX-MAT28    1.02 OZ AI/A      DPX-M6316    0.23 OZ AI/A      NIS    0.25 % V/V	3 а	0 a	0 a	2 a	7 a	80 a	30 a
4 DPX-MAT28 1 OZ AI/A DPX-L5300 0.125 OZ AI/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	3 a	3 a	80 a	55 a
5 Perspective 2.5 OZ/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	3 а	3 а	0 a	3 а	7 a		40 a
6 DPX-RDQ98 2.5 OZ/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	3 а	90 a	50 a
7 DPX-MAT28 1 OZ AI/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a		
8 DPX-RRW97 58 FL OZ/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	3 а	0 a	3 a	3а	7 a	70 a	35 a
9 DPX-MAT28 2.444 OZ AI/A DPX-M6316 0.306 OZ AI/A NIS 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	3а	7 a	60 a	20 a
10 DPX-MAT28    2.449 OZ AI/A      DPX-M6316    0.551 OZ AI/A      NIS    0.25 % V/V	2 a	0 a	0 a	2 a	7 a	70 a	25 a
11 DPX-MAT28    2.444 OZ AI/A      DPX-L5300    0.306 OZ AI/A      NIS    0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	3 а	3 a	65 a	33 a
12 Milestone    7 FL OZ/A      NIS    0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	70 a	20 a
13 Untreated Check	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 b	0 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Deviation CV Grand Mean	4.6 2.7 265.68 1.03	2.7 1.6 624.5 0.26	2.7 1.6 624.5 0.26	6.4 3.8 211.98 1 79	10.7 6.4 155.31 4 1	20.7 10.4 15.52 66 82	38.0 20.9 71.91 29.03

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Student-Newman-Keuls) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL. Missing data estimates = Average (6, 7, 13) Horticulture and Crop Science 88

Pest Type Pest Code Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name	9	PHLPR BGRM Phleum pratense	POASS Poa sp. Bluegrass	AGRRE Elytrigi Quackgrass	DACSS Dactylis Orchardgrass	PESGL Penniset Yellow foxtail		
Part Rated Rating Date		PLOT C Oct-1-2013	PLOT C Oct-1-2013	PLOT C Oct-1-2013	PLOT C Oct-1-2013	PLOT C Oct-1-2013	PLOT P Oct-1-2013	YIELD C Oct-28-2013
Rating Type Rating Unit		PHYGEN %	PHYGEN %	PHYGEN %	PHYGEN %	PHYGEN %	CONTRO %	YIELD
Days After First/Last Applic. Trt-Eval Interval		21 21 21 DA-A	21 21 21 DA-A	21 21 21 DA-A	21 21 21 DA-A	21 21 21 DA-A	21 21 21 DA-A	48 48 48 DA-A
Trt Treatment No. Name	Rate Rate Unit							
1 DPX-RRW97 NIS	24 FL OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	7 a	7 a	3а	7 a	0 a	75 ab	107 a
2 DPX-MAT28 DPX-M6316 NIS	1 OZ AI/A 0.125 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	52 ab	132 a
3 DPX-MAT28 DPX-M6316 NIS	1.02 OZ AI/A 0.23 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	10 a	53 ab	95 a
4 DPX-MAT28 DPX-L5300 NIS	1 OZ AI/A 0.125 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	53 ab	140 a
5 Perspective NIS	2.5 OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	70 ab	152 a
6 DPX-RDQ98 NIS	2.5 OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	7 a	0 a	0 a	7 a	80 ab	138 a
7 DPX-MAT28 NIS	1 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	75 ab	207 a
8 DPX-RRW97 NIS	58 FL OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	3 a	90 a	178 a
9 DPX-MAT28 DPX-M6316 NIS	2.444 OZ AI/A 0.306 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	7 a	7 a	7 a	10 a	3 a	40 ab	164 a
10 DPX-MAT28 DPX-M6316 NIS	2.449 OZ AI/A 0.551 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	3 a	0 a	3 a	3 а	0 a	53 ab	154 a
11 DPX-MAT28 DPX-L5300 NIS	2.444 OZ AI/A 0.306 OZ AI/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	7 a	8 a	10 a	70 ab	161 a
12 Milestone NIS	7 FL OZ/A 0.25 % V/V	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	35 ab	174 a
13 Untreated Check		0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 a	0 b	260 a
LSD (P=.05) Standard Doviation		4.4	6.3	6.9	8.3	9.9	44.9	130.8
CV		2.6	3.8 244.1	4.1 267.06	4.9 225.46	5.9 228.75	20.0 45.19	48.93
Grand Mean		1.28	1.54	1.54	2.18	2.56	57.44	158.59