Optical Ranging Overview and Analysis of Calibration Data

PDV Workshop 2016

Natalie Kostinski (LLNL), Brandon La Lone (NSTec), Corey Bennett (LLNL), Marylesa Howard (NSTec), Adam Lodes (LLNL), Bruce Marshall (NSTec)

June 9, 2016



LLNL-PRES-694059

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

"Not with a Bang But a Chirp"

Barney Oliver (Bell Labs) Memorandum on high-power radar pulses

"This is the way the world ends. Not with a bang but a whimper." -T.S. Eliot



What is a chirp?

- A chirp is a signal in which the frequency increases or decreases with time
- In this talk, we mostly care about *linear chirps*:





What is Optical Ranging (OR)?

- OR is a fast interferometric technique used to measure distance to a target at periodic intervals
- Spectral interferometer:
 - light pulses in target and reference arms interfere
 - chromatic dispersion in the fiber maps spectral information into the time domain (*real-time dispersive Fourier transformation*)
 - beat waveform is recorded
- Also known as "Broadband Laser Ranging" (BLR)



Optical Frequency (or time)



Schematic of current setup



Fiber converts the interference spectrum into the time domain via *real-time dispersive Fourier transformation*

Optical Frequency (or time)

Farget Position



Idealized frequency domain description demonstrates the insensitivity to Doppler shift



```
Time Delay = Beat freq/(dF/dt)
Position = c*Time Delay/2
```



Chirped signals

The chirp is due to Third Order Dispersion (TOD) in fiber



Calibration before experiment

 The calibration establishes a correction for *dechirping* the beat waveform, and a relation between beat frequency and distance





Example calibration files

- It is the beat frequency that we care about...this will correspond to a particular distance to target
- We also care about what we call the pulse edge or start time





Analysis steps for calibration data

- Collect data at different distances (5 Pulses each at 41 distances)
- For every pulse,
 - Find envelope and subtract
 - Estimate the phase
 - Dechirp the signal
 - Find frequency of dechirped signal
- Do a linear least squares fit of signal beat frequency vs distance to get a slope – the *correction to be applied to experimental data*



Envelope detection

- For a particular distance file
 - Find the envelope and subtract from original signal





Finding the envelope with Loess smoothing



Using 200 point Loess smoothing

Mean pulse width: $64.351 \text{ ns} \pm 96 \text{ ps}$ Pulse to pulse there is variability in the envelope structure...so we find the envelope for each pulse



Before removal of envelope



FFT of a single pulse per distance



Before removal of envelope: a closer look







After removal of the envelope





Finding the envelope with a 2nd order Butterworth lowpass filter





After envelope removal





After envelope subtraction...phase estimation

- Estimate the phase $\varphi(t)$
- Methods tried include:
 - Zero crossings
 - Hilbert Transform
 - Local Oscillator
- Fit phase vs time to a quadratic function and then linearize
- Resample and interpolate data to dechirp

$$f(t) = \sin(\varphi(t))$$

$$\phi = k_0 + k_1 t + k_2 t^2$$

$$\phi = k_0 + k_1 t'$$

$$t' = t + \frac{k_2}{k_1} t^2$$

Let $a = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$

V(





After dechirping



Envelope method: 200 point Loess smoothing Phase method: Zero crossings



Before and after dechirping







Distance to beat frequency calibration slope



Fit frequency to calibration distance to get the slope to use to correct future experimental data Conversion is .217 GHz/mm or 4.607 mm/GHz



Spectrogram of calibration measurements





Another example: Sagebrush calibration measurements



Find envelope with Savitzky-Golay 220 point smoothing window





Sagebrush power spectra



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

```
nol Nuclear Security Administration
```

Sagebrush: A closer look





Sagebrush calibration analysis summary





Savitzky-Golay 220 points smoothing window

Zero crossing phase estimation Conversion: 2.271 mm/GHz



A look at real data: explosive-driven aluminum test





