CROP ROTATIONS and SOIL PRODUCTIVITY

L. E. Thatcher and C. J. Willard



Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station Wooster, Ohio



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
LITERATURE REVIEW 2 Rotations Influence Yield 2 Rotations Influence Soil Nitrogen Balance 3 Leguminous and Non-leguminous Green Manure Crops 4 The Equilibrium Concept 8
SOME GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS9Crop Rotation Experiments and The Time Element9Choosing the Rotation9Length of Rotation10Crop Sequence10Crop Variety Adaptation10Good Rotations Benefit Soil Physical Properties11Crop Rotations May Control Plant Diseases, Insect Pests, and Weeds11Crop Rotations, Soil Erosion and Soil Productivity11
THE FRY FARM CROP ROTATION EXPERIMENT12The Fertility Program for Period I14Crop Management Period I15The Potato Rotations16Crop Yield Comparisons, Period I18The Method of Statistical Analysis18The Check Groups Period I19Soil Nitrogen Analyses24Nitrogen in Crops Harvested24Limitations on Interpretation of Results25Crop Management Period II25The Fertility Program for Period II26
CORN YIELD TRENDS IN A FORTY-YEAR TEST
DISCUSSION AND COMPARISON OF ROTATIONS30Crops Grown Continuously30Two-year Rotations46The Three-year Rotations47The Four- and Five-year Rotations48
NITROGEN CONTENT OF THE SOILS
COMPARISONS OF CROP YIELDS IN VARIOUS ROTATIONS
SUMMARY: PRINCIPLES OF CROP ROTATION IN OHIO
APPENDIX
LITERATURE CITED

7

ž

CROP ROTATIONS AND SOIL PRODUCTIVITY

L. E. Thatcher¹ and C. J. Willard²

This bulletin is a report of what were known as the Fry Farm rotations,³ the Five-year Rotation Corn-Agronomy Experiment No. 1, and Continuous Corn Culture-Agronomy Experiment No. 2, at the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.

In the early history of agronomic research much emphasis was placed upon determining the role of the major nutrients in plant nutrition. In the majority of soil fertility experiments the crops used were limited to a few relatively simple crop rotations, the greater number of variables being assigned to the fertility treatments. The role of legumes in nitrogen fixation and the removal of nutrients by different crops were appreciated as factors in soil fertility. However, as time went on, it became evident that crop rotation itself was a factor in soil productivity, through its influence upon soil structure and other physical properties, upon the soil organic matter supply, and upon the activity of soil microorganisms.

The effect of rotations upon soil productivity, therefore, received increasing attention from agronomists during the first half of this century.

¹ Professor of Agronomy, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, retired.

² Professor of Agronomy, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, retired, and The Ohio State University, emeritus.

³ The Fry Farm Crop Rotation Experiments were planned by C. G. Williams, at that time Chief of the Agronomy Department of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station at Wooster. The original plans were revised with the 1936 crop year under the direction of R.M. Salter, who had succeeded Director Williams as Chief of the Agronomy Department. Mr. Thatcher had the entire responsibility for these experiments beginning in 1938. He prepared a report of them before his retirement in 1953. For various reasons publication was delayed and it has become necessary to rewrite the comparisons of rotations and the conclusions. This has been the sole connection of the junior author with the experiment. The tables, literature review and general considerations are substantially as Mr. Thatcher prepared them. Important conclusions from these rotations were published in the article "Crop rotations and soil nitrogen", by J. L. Haynes and L. E. Thatcher, in Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proceedings, 19:324-327, 1955. Two other Ohio bulletins on rotations, Bulletin 847, "Rotation experiments in Paulding, Henry, and Madison Counties", by C. J. Willard, 1959, and Bulletin 839, "Experiments on the use of sweet clover for green manure", by C. J. Willard and E. E. Barnes, 1959, combine with this bulletin to summarize the older Ohio Experiments on crop rotation.

The Five-year rotation corn and continuous corn culture experiments were started in 1894 by Charles E. Thorne, Director, the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.

Investigations bearing on many phases have been carried on. In many of these projects where field experiments were used, treatments with supplementary soil amendments were held at a minimum: the variables consisting largely of different kinds of crops, crop sequences and length of rotation. This has been a basic weakness of many of these tests, since a rotation which drains the soil heavily is necessarily penalized when less nutrients are returned than are obviously needed.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Rotations Influence Yields

One of the oldest crop rotation and fertility experiments in the United States is the one on Sanborn Field in Missouri. Smith (49) summarized the 50-year results and among other things pointed out:

Crop rotations have given better returns than continuous cropping.

- Short rotations gave larger economic returns but longer rotations were more effective in maintaining soil productivity.
- The length of rotation or the sequence of crops in a rotation has a less important effect on crop values or on the return from the land than soil treatments or different systems of soil management.
- The greater the length of time a thick-growing crop is on the land and the less frequent the cultivation of the soil the less rapid is the decline in soil nitrogen.
- The soil building value of fertilizer treatments or cropping systems is reflected in the changes in soil nitrogen. The most evident phase of soil exhaustion is the decline in soil nitrogen.
- Crop rotations alone without the necessary nutrient additions from manure, lime and commercial fertilizers to supply a balanced nutrient medium for plants cannot indefinitely maintain profitable yields of high quality crops.

Page and Willard (39) reported the effects of 10 years of cropping with different rotations upon the physical properties and associated crop yields upon a heavy lacustrine soil, Paulding clay (now Latty clay), in Northwestern Ohio. This soil, originally highly productive, became relatively unproductive due largely to the loss of its granular structure with an accompanying decrease in pore space. Applications of fertilizer were relatively ineffective in increasing crop yields. A restoration of desirable soil structure and physical properties was accomplished by the use of a 4-year rotation of corn, oats, and 2 years of alfalfa or alfalfa-bromegrass mixture harvested for hay. Crop yields increased significantly as the rotation got under way and without the use of commercial fertilizer or manure. Continuous corn resulted in a gradual decline of yields for the period and a further decrease in porosity. These studies show that poor soil physical conditions may seriously limit plant growth.

Neal (35) reported an experiment at the Marlboro Field Conservation Experiment Station in Monmouth County, New Jersey, in which the effects of land resting on the conservation and productivity of vegetable-growing soils were studied. The plan consisted of following 3 years of intensive cultivation of vegetable crops by a year of "land resting" during which the land was held in a cover crop unharvested and followed by a period of vegetable production as before. The year of land resting increased the production of the following vegetable crops enough to justify the practice. Sweetcorn yields were increased 29 to 66 percent. There was an improvement in the soil physical properties of volume weight, air pore space and aggregation but these disappeared after 3 years of cultivation in vegetable crops.

Nissen, Meyer, and Richer (37) made a statistical analysis of the yields of corn, oats, wheat, and hay on the Jordan fertility plots in Pennsylvania as influenced by different fertility and manure treatments over a 56-year period. It was stated that yield trends over a period of time are as important as the average yields for the period in determining the significance of various treatments. Yield trends were studied by means of linear regression equations. It was shown that manure treatments and also complete fertilizer treatments maintained the yields over a 56-year period and indicated that this level of yield may be maintained indefinitely. Crop yields varied from season to season. The authors found that annual varietion in crop yields attributed to the weather were generally from 20 to 40 percent of the average yield with maximum variations from 40 to 80 percent. Large annual variations in crop yields were not only unpredictable but difficult to explain on the basis of existing weather records. There was no correlation between the annual yields of corn grain, wheat grain and oats grain; a good or poor harvest in one of these crops meant nothing in regard to the yield of the other crops.

Rotations Influence Soil Nitrogen Balance

Many experiments have shown that the addition of manure, crop residues, or nitrogen fertilizers may not result in appreciable storage of nitrogen in the soil as organic matter under some conditions, because such additions may be used up in greater crop production. The term "nitrogen turnover" has long been applied to this process. Volkerding and Stoa (55) reported on a long-time crop rotation and fertility test in North Dakota. There was an average loss of 20 percent in soil nitrogen during the 25-year period 1923-1948. They point out that a considerable portion of the nitrogen supplied by manure and crop residues is readily utilized by the crops grown and is reflected in higher yields rather than in the maintenance of high soil nitrogen levels. Crop yields were increased on the plots treated with manure, crop residues and fertilizer.

Pinck, Allison, and Gaddy (41) (42) reported a pot test with Sassafras sandy loam soil maintained at a reaction of $_{\rm p}{\rm H}$ 6.5 and treated with 0-15-6 fertilizer at the rate of 2,000 pounds an acre plus 40 pounds of magnesium sulfate. Straw was added at the rates of none, two and four tons an acre and, in the soybean series, each rate was treated with urea at the rates of none, 25, and 100 pounds an acre. In the wheat series and the Sudangrass series

urea was applied at the rates of none, 25, 100, and 200 pounds an acre. Wheat and soybeans were grown in the winter months, and Sudangrass and soybeans were grown as summer crops. Each crop was followed by a gleaning crop of Sudangrass, and nitrogen was determined in both crops. Extra nitrogen in the Sudangrass series increased the total nitrogen in the crop; the effect was linear. However, the extra nitrogen increased the yield of dry matter at a decreasing rate with successive increments of nitrogen. It was pointed out that carbonaceous crop residues can be utilized satisfactorily by direct application to the soil without preliminary rotting if adequate nitrogen is added to meet the needs of the microorganisms active in their decomposition. This microbial nitrogen will be released later for crop use. In the soybean series, applications of straw significantly decreased the soybean yields. Adding urea increased the yields but not the total nitrogen content. The addition of urea nitrogen was accompanied by a reduced fixation of nitrogen. A single crop of soybeans fixed up to 165 pounds of nitrogen per acre; less when urea was added and more when straw was added. If the proportion of straw and added urea was such as to produce a favorable carbon:nitrogen ratio there was no marked effect on nitrogen fixation. One hundred pounds of urea more than counteracted the effect of two tons of straw but not of four tons. In general, the data show that nitrogen fixation by soybeans is favored by a low level of soil nitrogen. Even 25 pounds of fertilizer nitrogen per acre apparently lowered the fixation in the absence of straw but not in its presence.

Alderfer (1), reporting on some of the results obtained from the Jordan fertility plots in Pennsylvania, referred to a 4-year rotation of corn, oats, wheat and mixed clover-timothy hay fertilized with phosphate-potash (Plot 29) and carried on for 14 rotation rounds. During this time the average yields were: corn 61.5 bushels, wheat 21.7 bushels and mixed hay 4440 pounds per acre. When 144 pounds of nitrogen per acre were added to PK (Plot 28) the average yields were increased 5.1 bushels of corn, 2.0 bushels of oats, 7.7 bushels of wheat and only 5 pounds of hay. In a "steel rim" experiment the same rotation and treatments were carried on for 8 years. When nitrogen was added to the PK plot the clover fixed less nitrogen than it did with no nitrogen added.

Leguminous and Non-leguminous Green Manure Crops

Green manure crops may play an important role in maintaining soil productivity through their effect on the soil organic matter storage and nutrient supply. Their effectiveness is influenced by their carbon:nitrogen ratios (legumes vs non-legumes, mature vs immature development) since these have an influence on decomposition rates, nitrogen mineralization and contribution to the soil humus supply. Green manure crops are also frequently useful in preventing the loss of soil nitrogen through leaching.

Pieters (40) reviewed the results of green manuring experiments in considerable detail. There are many conflicting results due to the different kinds of crops, soil climatic differences, and cultural practices.

McKaig, Carns, and Bower (32) studied the effect of green manure crops in a 3-year rotation of legumes, corn and cotton on Norfolk coarse sand in South Carolina. It was observed that the maximum benefit of a green manure crop was obtained by storing organic matter with its nutrients during the soil improvement period and then releasing the nutrients by the decomposition of the organic matter at a time they are most beneficial to the following

-4-

crop. If decomposed during the late fall or in the winter on sandy soils or in southern climates with open winters, the released nutrients are likely to be lost by leaching. The cover crop of rye trapped some nitrogen that would otherwise have been lost.

Odland and Knoblauch (38) reported on results in Rhode Island of using cover crops in continuous corn culture over a 40-year period. The fertilizer applied was at the rate of 1200 pounds of 5-8-7 per acre per year. Winter rye seeded at the last cultivation of the corn increased corn yields 6 bushels an acre over no cover crop during the 34-year period. Doubling the nitrogen in the fertilizer to 120 pounds an acre per year increased the corn yields 12 bushels but the leguminous cover crops were the most effective in maintaining the yields of corn. The total soil nitrogen showed a decline for the period on all sections, but cover crops reduced the loss.

Sprague (51) reported the 5-year results obtained with winter green manure crops in New Jersey. Seven kinds of green manure crops were planted in standing corn in August and plowed under the following spring. Winter wheat and rye made satisfactory stands and growth when seeded in corn on August 1, but according to this report had little value as sources of available nitrogen and even depressed the yields of subsequent crops. The legumes increased corn yields from 13.7 to 27.8 percent. Vetch headed the list.

Cover crop	Corn	Stover	Stover:grain rati o
	Bu/A	Lb/A	Lb/Bu
Vetch Crimson clover Red clover Sweetclover Alsike (poor) Wheat Rye None	40.9 37.0 36.7 36.4 33.4 31.9 30.9 32.0	4100 3679 3594 3600 3717 3585 3235 3442	100 99 98 88 111 112 106 108

The stover: grain ratio (pounds of stover per bushel of grain) of the corn following the several green manure crops was calculated from the data reported and show the following:

The effect of the nitrogen supplied by the legumes is obvious. The alsike was a near failure.

Waksman and Tenny (56) (New Jersey) reported that young rye used as a green manure was rapidly decomposed with the production of much nitrate nitrogen and little residue. Mature rye under like circumstances was much slower to decay, and in doing so, used up some nitrogen thus temporarily lowering the soil supply of available nitrogen. However, a larger residue was added to the soil supply of organic matter.

McIlvaine and Pohlman (31) reported experiments in West Virginia that showed that wheat yielded higher after potatoes than after corn. Three cultivated crops, corn, soybeans, and potatoes, plus cover crops of rye and vetch

-5-

after corn and potatoes, resulted in an ll to 13 percent loss in organic matter over a lo-year period. The green manure crops failed to supply enough organic matter to maintain the original/organic matter level in the soil.

Blair and Waksman (9) reported the effect of hairy vetch and rye on corn yields in a cylinder test in New Jersey. On a percentage basis if the corn produced by the hairy vetch cover crop to be taken as 100, no cover crop was 72 and rye cover crop was 68 percent.

Bauer (6) reported on a corn, oats, wheat rotation with and without sweet clover green manure crop and with the return of the straw and stover versus no residues returned. He concludes that non-legume residues alone had either no effect or a slight depressing effect on corn yields. Sweetclover alone gave a substantial yield increase. When sweetclover and non-legume residues were used together greater increases were obtained than with either alone. Reinforcing nitrogen-rich succulent green manure crops with high carbon residues may result (1) in preventing soil nitrogen losses from leaching and (2) in the conservation of soil humus. (It has been noted at Wooster that a sweetclover green manure crop seeded either in wheat or oats made more growth when accompanied by the return of straw and stover residues to the land than without their return.)

Coleman (16) grew cosn at three locations in Mississippi following rye, rye plus nitrogen, nitrogen fertilizer alone, and no cover crop. At all three locations the yields of corn were less following rye than where no cover crop was used. When 30 pounds of nitrogen were added to the rye, yields were increased but not as much as where nitrogen was used alone. Rye had a depressing effect upon corn yields even though nitrogen was added. At State College on a sandy loam soil as a 10-year average, corn after rye cover crop yielded 30.0 bushels an acre and corn without rye yielded 30.6 bushels, a small difference, but at least there was no benefit from the rye cover crop. Corn after hairy vetch yielded 38.1 bushels an acre for the same period.

Lipman and Blair (28) reported the results of a cylinder experiment at the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station in which nitrogen was supplied to the soil by various legume green manure crops grown between the main crops of rye, corn, potatoes and oats. It was pointed out that it may be possible to maintain crop yields at a rather high level even when the total nitrogen content of the soil is not as high as the original soil. Under such circumstances a constant turnover of readily available nitrogen is necessary.

Pohlman and Henderson (43) reported 13 years' results with cover crops in DeKalb silt loam soil on the Dairy Husbandry farm near Morgantown, West Virginia. A two-year rotation of corn silage and soybean hay was followed with cover crops seeded in the corn at the last cultivation and in the soybean plots immediately after the hay harvest. No fertilizer was used for the first nine years but 300 pounds of superphosphate per acre were applied annually for the last four years. Better growth of cover crops was obtained after soybeans than in corn. They say "It is of interest to note that a rye cover crop gave larger increases in yield than did vetch for soybeans but vetch gave higher yields of corn. This is probably accounted for by the fact that the vetch furnished more available nitrogen for corn than did rye. Since soybeans are able to take nitrogen from the air the amount of nitrogen added was not an important factor." In the Grain vs. Livestock Farming experiment at Wooster, likewise, soybeans following non-leguminous cover crops seeded in corn outyielded soybeans following leguminous cover crops seeded in corn.

The yields were as follows:

	8-year av	verage yield
	Corn	Soybeans
	Bu/A	Bu/A
After 7 good legume cover crops	86.6	24.6
After 6 good non-legume cover crops	83.3	25.4
L.S.D. 5% point	1.09	0.5

Broadbent (12) and Brcadbent and Norman (13) (Iowa) reported experiments in which the decomposition of the biologically resistant soil organic matter was studied by means of the stable isotope of nitrogen, N^{15} and carbon C^{13} . It was shown that the normally resistant soil organic matter was readily attacked by soil microorganisms when a highly active microbial population was stimulated by the addition of considerable amounts of energy material such as straw, and sufficient nitrogen was added to the energy material to meet the requirements of the soil microorganisms. This apparently resulted in an increased oxidation of the soil organic matter and the mobilization of some of its nitrogen. Rapidly decomposing green manure crops may accelerate the decomposition of the soil humus; this may account for the failure of some green manure crops to maintain the soil's organic matter supply.

In general, green manuring experiments show that green manure crops either (1) supply readily available nitrogen for crops immediately following their incorporation into the soil or (2) increase the storage of soil organic matter. It is seldom that both results can be obtained simultaneously to the extent of each alone. The soil humus supply is favored by those crop residues that are somewhat slow to decompose in the soil, whereas immature green crop residues that decompose quickly leave relatively small amounts of residue to be added to the humus supply. Thus in order for the available nutrients liberated by the green manure crop to be used effectively in increasing subsequent crop yields, the following crop must occupy the land soon after the green manure crop has been turned under. Otherwise the mineralized nitrogen may be lost through leaching or volatization. This sometimes happens when a green manure crop is early spring plowed and the planting of the following crop delayed as, for example, corn planting in a wet spring. If the wet weather has been accompanied by much leaching the nitrate nitrogen released from the green manure crop may be lost in the drainage water or carried below the root zone. On the other hand it may be undesirable to delay turning under a green manure crop because of the danger that the rapidly growing green manure crop will lower the soil moisture supply. This often happens when a green manure crop of rye or sweetclover is plowed late for corn.

The Equilibrium Concept

That the soil organic nitrogen and crop yields under a given rotation and cropping system tend to come to equilibrium is evidenced by the reports of many investigations. Jenny (25) in 1930 emphasized the tendency for soils to come to equilibrium in their nitrogen content as a result of the counterbalancing of the gains in nitrogen as a result of nitrogen fixation and additions in rainfall with the losses of nitrogen resulting from crop removal, leaching and volatization.

Bear and Prince (7), reporting on the organic matter in New Jersey soils stated that, "By good management the organic matter of the soil that has a normal value of two percent may be raised fairly rapidly to two and one-half percent but any further rise will be difficult to effect. Under poor management it may fall as low as one and one-half percent but further loss of organic matter will be very slow."

Giddens, Perkins and Collins (21) reported the results of a "land building" project at Whitehall, Georgia. A 4-year period was devoted to the growing of various legumes with lime and fertilizer all turned under and followed the fifth year with a crop of corn. The soil was analyzed for organic matter in 1940 and 1948. The authors conclude that, "Except for the plot with manure applied, there was a tendency for the cultivated soils to approach a constant level, low ones to increase and high ones to decrease."

Chen and Arny (15) in reporting some 30 years results of rotation studies in Minnesota indicated that the yield differences exhibited by the different cropping systems were brought about largely in the first 10 years or less after which the yield differences did not change appreciably.

Dodge and Jones (18) reported the 30-year results of a crop rotation and fertility test at the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station in which a 16-year rotation of 4 years of alfalfa followed by a sequence of corn, oats and wheat for 12 years was compared with a 3-year rotation of corn, cowpeas and wheat and with continuous wheat. The fertilizer treatments were none, P, PK, and NFK. All grain and hay were removed. Wheat straw and corn stover were returned except that corn stover was removed when it preceded wheat and alfalfa. There was a continual overall loss of nitrogen and carbon over the entire period regardless of the cropping systems or fertility treatments. Plots with the highest initial nitrogen content suffered the greatest loss. The speed with which the nitrogen and carbon content declined and its <u>ultimate level</u> were influenced by the cropping systems and the rotations. The soil nitrogen in the continuous wheat had nearly reached an equilibrium at the end of 20 years.

Salter and Green (45) in 1933, as a result of studies made of the crop yield trends and soil organic matter trends of some long-time fertility and rotation plots at the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station at Wooster, proposed a formula for evaluating the effect of individual crops on soil productivity. This was further enlarged and methods for calculating the soil productivity balance proposed by Salter, Lewis and Slipher (47). The use of the "soil productivity index" has been a standard procedure in evaluating different cropping systems by the Ohio Agricultural Extension Service and others. The "soil productivity index" of a crop is the percentage by which one year of that crop increases or decreases the productivity of the soil, and is described as "an approximate measure of the balance between the favorable and unfavorable effects of that

-8-

crop on soil nutrients, tilth, biological activity and organic matter content of the soil." The "soil productivity balance" of a rotation or farming system, in turn, is the algebraic sum of the indexes for the crops grown plus similar indexes for fertilizer, manure, soil erosion, etc.

They also pointed out that "It is recognized that a cropping system which yields a positive soil productivity balance if followed continuously will not continue indefinitely to increase soil productivity. Instead the effect will be to stabilize at a relatively high maximum. Similarly the use of a destructive cropping system will deplete the soil only to a certain low minimum of production where crop yields will remain fairly constant. Practically, most land will lie well within these two extremes. In this immediate region the destructive or constructive effects of different crops and management practices will be roughly proportional to the existing level of soil productivity thus justifying the use of productivity indexes stated as percentages."

Metzger (33) reported the results of crop rotations and cropping systems in the fertility project at the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station and shows that the soil nitrogen and organic matter content of the soil are influenced by the cropping system and follow the pattern laid down by Salter and Green (45). There was a high positive correlation between total crop production over the 25-year period and the total soil nitrogen. The nitrogen of the soil of the experimental field studied appeared to be approaching an equilibrium characteristic of the cropping system employed.

SOME GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Crop Rotation Experiments and The Time Element

Most agronomic research projects fall into one of two classifications with respect to the time element: (1) Those which can be expected to produce a satisfactory answer in a relatively short time and, (2) those which require many years of experimentation in order to develop such an answer. In (1) are found many cultural experiments, such as time, rate and date of seeding crops; the length of time required being one that gives a good sample of the season fluctuations for the locality. Some fertilizer experiments also may be concluded in a few years. In (2) are found those experiments which bring about gradual changes in basic soil productivity. Crop rotation studies, such as those reported in this bulletin, are definitely in the latter category. Some experiments include elements from both categories. For example, the immediate response of alfalfa to different amounts of liming materials on a given soil can be measured in a few seasons. However, the cumulative effects of lime applications upon the reaction of the subsoil and upon changes in the ionic exchange pattern of the soil are evident only after longer periods of time. Too often "quickie" experiments fail to reveal the full potentialities of a treatment.

Choosing The Rotation

The choice of a crop rotation for a given farm enterprise is based largely upon the following factors: (1) Crops required by the farm enterprise for feed, sale, or soil improvement. (2) The adaptation of the crops to the soil type, climate and length of day. (3) The effect of the crop rotation upon soil productivity and (4) The need to control plant diseases, insect pests, and weeds that are favored by some cropping systems.

Economic considerations have a profound influence upon the kind of farm enterprise carried on and hence upon the crop rotation. Different farm enterprises call for different kinds and quantities of crops, but the success and stability of the farm enterprise is governed largely by the adaptation of the cropping system to the soil.

Widely different soil types may call for the use of different crop rotations. A heavy dark-colored clay soil with a soil structure problem requires a different cropping system from that suited to a light sandy or gravelly soil: first bottom soils subject to overflow and with a weed problem need to be cropped differently from shallow, drouthy upland soils.

Length of Rotation

The length of a rotation will be determined by the number of crops required by the farm enterprise and the ease with which they form a workable pattern. Usually it is convenient to include all of the crops in one rotation but there are circumstances under which it may be desirable to divide the crops into two or three separate rotations to fit specific situations. For example, a part of the farm with upland soil and rolling topography may be given over to a rotation with much of the land in meadow and pasture crops and the bottom-land with a deep organic soil given over to the production of grain crops. A long rotation requires more fields than a short one - sometimes a disadvantage.

Crop Sequence

The order in which crops appear in the rotation has been pretty well standardized by farm experience over the years. The sequence is governed largely by the convenience of carrying on the farm operations, the distribution of labor and the relative needs of the crops for the benefit to production that follows the plowing down of a soil building crop such as a good legume or legume-grass sod or a green manure crop. Corn and other row crops usually follow the plowing down of a sod or green manure crop because corn can make efficient use of the large amount of nutrients liberated by decomposition of the residues plowed down. For the most part, the small-grain crops follow the row crops: if they follow the sod crop directly, the abundant supply of nitrogen is likely to result in rank growth and lodging. If corn followed the small-grain crops it would miss direct benefit from the soil building crop unless the small-grain crop has been seeded successfully to a leguminous green manure crop such as sweetclover. Meadow seedings are conveniently made in the small-grain crops thus avoiding the necessity of extra seedbed preparation. In fact many Ohio farmers grow small grains partly because they afford a convenient place in which to make meadow seedings. Sequence will be discussed in more detail in connection with the different rotations.

Crop Variety Adaptation

The success of a cropping system depends upon the use of crop varieties adapted to the local environment. The use of unadapted low-yielding varieties of any crop makes the rotation that much less profitable and more precarious. Unadapted clover and alfalfa may winter kill or suffer from diseases; poor corn hybrids will yield less and suffer more from insects and diseases than good ones; good wheat and oat varieties lodge less, suffer less from diseases and insects and are of better quality as well as yield.

Good Rotations Benefit Soil Physical Properties

Soil structure, aeration, drainage, and moisture holding and delivery capacity are all influenced by crop rotations. As a group the cultivated row crops tend to break down the soil aggregates or "crumb" structure which is responsible for good tilth. On the other hand, sods of the grasses or grass-legume mixtures are somewhat more effective restorers of soil tilth than the legumes alone and their effectiveness is somewhat correlated with the length of time the sod is left unplowed.

Crop Rotations May Control Plant Diseases, Insect Pests, and Weeds

Certain plant disease and insect pests may be encouraged by some crop rotations. Continuous culture and short rotations which include their host plants are frequently responsible for increasing pathogenic organisms and the buildup of insect pests. Takeall disease of wheat increased to an alarming extent in northern Ohio a few years ago as a result of wheat following wheat on many farms. Brown stem rot of soybeans, leaf spot and black root of sugarbeets are other diseases that are favored when crops are grown in short rotations. Corn root aphids are likely to increase to a serious extent with continuous corn culture. In southwestern Ohio where corn is a frequent crop on river bottom soils, the corn root worm is likely to become a serious pest.

Crop Rotations, Soil Erosion and Soil Productivity

No measurements of soil losses due to soil erosion were made in connection with the Fry farm rotation studies. However, observations showed that the row crops--continuous corn, potatoes and soybeans--resulted in appreciable soil losses from erosion.

There is abundant evidence that soil erosion losses and soil productivity losses go hand in hand. Losses in soil productivity from soil erosion are different in character from losses due to the depletion of soil nutrients or the impairment of soil structure caused by undesirable cropping systems. In the latter there is the probability that the depleted soil can be restored to a satisfactory productivity level provided the top soil has remained in place. If, however, erosion has removed all or a part of the top soil, the degree of regeneration is limited by the volume of top soil left or by the nature of the subsoil; the latter may or may not be amenable to improvement. Thus, the control of soil erosion is of prime importance to the success of any rotation.

On sloping land with soil erosion under control or on level land where soil erosion is at a minimum, losses of soil productivity are still certain to occur under undesirable cropping practices. The encouraging thing about this situation is the fact that soils tend to come to equilibrium with respect to soil productivity; yields adjust to a point where the proportion of

favorable factors balance the unfavorable ones. Exploitive cropping systems result in lowered soil productivity because of the depletion of available soil nutrients (oftentimes accompanied by an increase in soil acidity), the reduction of soil organic matter, and the loss of a favorable soil structure, limiting soil aeration and drainage. Since the texture of the top soil has not been altered appreciably as regards the proportion of clay, silt, sand and coarser particles, its ionic exchange mechanism is essentially unaltered. use of the proper crop rotations and management to restore the soil organic matter and soil tilth together with the application of the lime and fertilizer needed to build up the nutrient supply will, in time, restore the soil productivity to a level characteristic of the kind of soil involved. Thus, the exploitation of our soil resources during a period of national emergency need not result in permanent injury to the productive capacity of our soil, provided the volume of top soil is maintained. Unfortunately the intensive cropping practices followed under such circumstances make the control of soil erosion difficult.

THE FRY FARM CROP ROTATION EXPERIMENT

A crop rotation experiment was initiated at the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station at Wooster in 1915 on a recently acquired addition to the land area of the station known as the Fry Farm. This farm had been operated for many years under a mixed livestock and grain system of farming. The crops grown were largely corn, oats, wheat and mixed clover-timothy hay. The manure, for the most part, was applied to the sod land to be plowed down for corn. No lime and but little commercial fertilizer had been used on this land prior to its acquisition by the Station. The productivity of the land was good on the more level areas: soil erosion damage to a serious degree was evident only on the steeper slopes. Much of the more level land was suitable for the establishment of soils and crops experiments.

Approximately twenty-five acres of fairly uniform soil on the south side of this 200 acre farm were set aside for a crop rotation experiment. The soil in this area is classified as Wooster silt loam. A contour map of this area (Fig. 1) shows the location of the experimental sections and the elevation at 2.5 foot intervals.

A map of the experimental plots (Fig. 2) shows the arrangement of the sections and plots in detail. The land was systematically tile drained; a 4inch tile line was located in every second aisle between plots 1 and 2, 3 and 4, and so on, so that each plot was bordered on one side by a tile drain.

The plots were grouped in sections of 10 plots each and bordered by permanent roadways on the sides and ends. The plots (with a few exceptions as noted on the map) were one-tenth acre in area, $272 \ 1/4$ feet long and 16 feet wide with a 2-foot aisle separating the harvested areas.

Forty crop rotations and ten continuous cultures were established in 1915 and 1916 and continued with a few minor changes until the end of the 1935 season. The changes made are noted in the discussion of the individual rotations. This period is designated as Period I. At the end of Period I the rotation plan was revised. The period from 1936 to 1950 inclusive is designated as Period II. Only a few rotations were continued on the same plan as before. The new rotations were given the same numbers plus 100. Rotation 123, for example, was conducted on the plots previously occupied by Rotation 23.



FIGURE 1.

Contour map, Fry farm crop rotation experiments.



FIGURE 2.

Plot arrangement, Fry Farm crop rotations.

The Fertility Program for Period I

The fertilizer, manure, and lime treatments in the rotation experiment were kept as uniform as consistent with good management. This was essential since the objective of the experiment was to measure the effect of the crops and their culture upon the productivity of the soil. If the applications of fertilizer and manure were too generous, some of the effect of crops on soil productivity would be masked.

The plan followed during Period I was to use a basic treatment of manure and superphosphate fertilizer. No nitrogen fertilizer was used since it would tend to correct deficiencies brought about by a shortage of nitrogen fixation in the rotation. The only source of added nitrogen was that applied in the manure. (It is recognized that some nitrogen is added to the soil in rain and snowfall and that an unknown amount of atmospheric nitrogen may be fixed by non-symbiotic microorganisms.

The annual average application of manure and superphosphate was the same for all rotations; two tons of manure and 200 pounds of 16% superphosphate per acre. However, the manure was all applied to the first crop in the rotation. The continuous cultures got 4 tons of manure per acre every 2 years; the two-year rotations got 4 tons on the first crop, three-year rotations 6 tons, four-year rotations 8 tons, and five-year rotations 10 tons. This method of distributing the manure makes it difficult to compare directly the corn yields from a two-year rotation that got 4 tons of manure per acre with those of a five-year rotation that got 10 tons plowed down with the sod. The most legitimate comparisons are those made between rotations of the same or nearly the same length.

1. J

Lime During Period I, agricultural ground limestone was applied to the experimental area at the rate of 2 tons every 4 years until the soil had approached a reaction of pH 6.5 to 7.0: After that lime was applied as needed according to test, to maintain the pH 6.5 to 7.0 reaction.

Crop Management -- Period I

<u>Corn</u> Clarage corn, an open-pollinated variety well adapted to the growing season at Wooster, was grown on the rotation plots throughout Period I. The corn was planted at a rate to obtain approximately 11,000 plants per acre. This rate was a little too low for maximum yields from a few high-yielding rotations, but was satisfactory for most rotations.

The corn was usually check planted in hills 42 by 42 inches; occasionally it was drilled in 42 inch rows. The fertilizer was applied in the hill or row according to the planting method.

Wheat The varieties of wheat used were Ohio 9920, a Poole selection, during the 1915-1925 period and Trumbull for the 1926-1935 period. Wheat was drilled at the rate of two bushels of seed per acre: the fertilizer for wheat was drilled at the same time. The wheat was kept free from stinking smut by treatment as needed in the early years of the period with formaldehyde, and later, with copper carbonate dust. The wheat was binder harvested and shocked. When the grain was dry enough to thresh the shocks were weighed, threshed with a grain separator, and the weight of grain recorded. The difference between the total weight and the threshed grain was recorded as straw weight. Samples of grain were taken for moisture and test weight determinations.

Oats The Miami variety of oats, a medium-late maturing variety developed at the Station, was used throughout Period I. The oats were drilled at the rate of 10 pecks to the acre together with fertilizer when it was called for. The formaldehyde treatment of the seed oats was used as necessary to keep loose smut under control. The methods of harvesting, threshing and yield determinations were the same as for wheat.

<u>Soybeans</u> The varieties of soybeans used were Manchu for the 1915-1922 period and the 1929-1935 period. A selection of Manchu, Ohio 13177, was used during the 1923-1928 period. Soybeans for grain were planted in 28-inch rows, with a grain drill at one bushel of seed per acre. For hay, the soybeans were drilled solid at the rate of 7 to 8 pecks of seed per acre. One or two cultivations were made with a weeder if necessary to control weeds. Inoculated soil was used at first for inoculating the soybean seed, followed in later years by commercial cultures. The grain soybeans were binder-harvested, shocked, and threshed like the small-grain crops. Some loss of seed through shattering resulted from the binder harvesting.

The hay soybeans were mowed, partly cured in the swath, raked and cocked. When dry the cocks were weighed. The hay kept well in the cocks and was, with few exceptions, of excellent quality. Potatoes Russet Rural, a full season variety of potatoes, was used throughout Period I. Certified seed was used after it became available in 1921. The spray program followed for the control of insects and diseases was kept up-to-date with the findings of the research programs in Entomology and Plant Pathology. The quality of Certified seed improved and the spray treatments became more efficient as time went on. This may account for the gradual increase in the potato yields in all of the potato rotations. Weights were taken in the field at harvest time; the small unmarketable tubers were discarded.

<u>Meadow crops</u> The seeds of red clover and timothy were from commercial lots of domestic origin. The alfalfa was Grimm (bacterial wilt was not a problem at Wooster during Period I). Meadow seedings were made in wheat, oats, and in one rotation, alfalfa in the standing corn. On wheat, timothy was sown in the fall at wheat-seeding time. If the seeding was a mixture of timothy and clover, the clover was broadcast in March or early April, as were all of the straight seedings of clover and alfalfa in wheat. When oats were the companion crop, the seed was sown broadcast following the grain drill. The alfalfa seeding in the standing corn was made with a one-horse drill immediately after the last cultivation in July.

The alfalfa seed was inoculated with commercial cultures but the clover was dependent upon the legume bacteria in the soil. Good inoculation was obtained in both crops.

The rates of seeding per acre were: In wheat; timothy, 5 lb. (fall); timothy 3 lb. (fall), red clover 3 lb. (spring); alfalfa, 10 lb. (spring); In oats: timothy 6 lb., red clover 8 lb.; alfalfa, 10 lb.; In corn: alfalfa, 15 lb.

The meadow crops were cut, partly cured in the swath and windrowed, cocked by hand and let stand until ready for mow storage, when they were weighed. The hay was of good quality from well-made cocks. Alfalfa harvests were made 2 or 3 times a year depending upon the season. Clover and timothy meadows were harvested once and the aftermath undisturbed for the rest of the season.

Sweetclover green manure Scarified biennial white sweetclover seed at 12 lb. per acre was broadcast in wheat in March or early April. In oats it was broadcast immediately after seeding the oats.

It was a practice for the first ten years of Period I to clip the smallgrain stubble in August whenever ragweeds were bad; about one season out of two. This practice was discontinued, however, for the last ten years of the period after research had demonstrated the serious reduction of its value for green manure when sweetclover was clipped. Sweetclover used as a green manure crop would have made a better showing during Period I if no summer clipping had been done.

The Potato Rotations

Eleven of the crop rotations in Period I included potatoes. The yields were lower than yields obtained by commercial growers who apply larger quantities of fertilizer than were used in this experiment. The rotations were not planned to find out how to grow maximum crops of potatoes but rather

-16-

to measure the effects upon the yields of associated crops and upon soil productivity when potatoes were included in the common farm crop rotations either by addition to the rotation or by substitution for some crop in the rotation.

At the end of Period I, the potato crop was dropped because it was realized that if potatoes were included in a rotation, all other crops would and must be less important, and that unless a potato rotation helped to grow more potatoes, it was not helpful.

The potato crop and the soil nitrogen supply. The potato crop had an effect upon the soil nitrogen balance that differed from that of the other crops of the rotations studied at Wooster. The potato crop with yield levels as obtained in these experiments removes somewhat less nitrogen from the soil in the tubers harvested than comparable crops of corn or small grain, and, since the tops are returned to the land in the fall, a considerable quantity of nitrogen-rich residue was returned to the soil. As a result of this return and probably also because the soil has been well aerated by the harvesting operation, relatively large amounts of nitrate nitrogen and the smaller amounts of ammonia nitrogen are made available in the fall and early winter months. This abundant supply of available nitrogen is credited, many times, with causing the rank growth of wheat that follows potatoes in the rotation. Welton and Morris (58) in their studies of lodging in wheat and oats found more nitrate nitrogen in the soil following potatoes than following corn, soybeans, oats, or wheat but a little less than after clover. If the nitrate nitrogen figures for the period September 18 to October 6 (the time of seedbed preparation and wheat seeding) for the four years, as published in their tables 62 and 63, are averaged, the following amounts of nitrate nitrogen (parts per million of soil) are found: Following corn 12.6; soybeans 15.2; oats 17.3; wheat 20.8; potatoes 23.7; and clover 28.0.

Potato tops; yield and nitrogen content. Very few data are available giving the composition of potato tops. Analyses of tops from potatoes grown in a cylinder experiment are reported by Lipman and Blair (27). Hawkins (23) published several analyses of the non-tuber portions of the potato crop sampled at several stages of maturity taken from plots at the Maine Agricultural Experiment Station. At the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station at Wooster in the fall of 1952 samples of tops were obtained from three experimental plots at harvest time and the dry weight and nitrogen content determined. From these data the following table was prepared.

		Base	d on 300 bus	hel yield
Source of data	Dry	Dry	Percent	Nitrogen
Source of data	tops/ou.	WU.	in tong	returnea
		tops	TH CODS	LO SOTT
	Lb.	Lb/A		Lb/A
Ohio (Wooster)	6.5	1950	2.47	48
Maine	4.7	1410	2.65	36
New Jersey	8.5	2550	1.75	45
Average	6.56	1970	2.29	43

These data suggest that potato tops, because of their favorable carbon: nitrogen ratio, would be rapidly decomposed in the soil in the fall and early winter adding considerably to the available soil nitrogen supply. If a winter cover crop does not occupy the land a large percentage of this nitrogen may be lost through leaching.

<u>Tops:tuber ratio</u> Little is known regarding the ratio of potato tops to tubers comparable to the stover:grain or straw:grain ratios of corn and small grains. Also little is known about the variation in the carbon:nitrogen ratio of potato tops when grown under different seasonal and nutritional conditions. These factors would have a bearing on the effect of tops upon soil productivity.

Crop Yield Comparisons, Period I

Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, have been prepared for convenience in comparing the yields of the several rotations for the last 15 years of the period. This discards the first 5 years of the experiment during which time the long 5-year rotations were becoming established. The average yields are given by 5-year sub-periods and for the 15 years of the test. For purposes of statistical analysis, however, different length periods were used depending upon the length of the rotations being compared. For example in continuous corn culture, the first crop was harvested in 1915. The crop the following year, 1916, begins to register the effect of corn following corn so that a 20-year period of 1916 to 1935 inclusive is available for yield comparisons. A full round of a 5-year rotation would be completed with the harvest of 1919 so that a 15-year period from 1920 to 1935 inclusive would be available for analytical purposes. When rotations of different lengths are compared the period used was that of the longer rotation.

The Method of Statistical Analysis

The plot design as shown on the map (Fig. 2) does not lend itself to the conventional "analysis of variance" method whereby a generalized standard error is obtained with which the significance of average differences can be determined. The treatments lack replication and randomization. This limits the method of analysis to a simple one of unique comparisons of selected rotations for a given period of time using the well-known Student's test for unique samples.

The seasonal differences in yield between two selected rotations as well as the average difference are presumably the result of the different treatments (rotations) plus any residual difference in soil productivity associated with the soil of the plots. This confounding of effects is unavoidable with the plot arrangement here used. However, experience with the method as applied to the yield data of this experiment leads to the belief that the soil differences are small compared with the rotation effects and can be disregarded in most cases. Confidence in the dependability of the results of the analyses is strengthened when similar treatments in different rotations respond alike. For example, the effects upon crop yields of a sweetclover green manure crop in different rotations are similar as are the responses to a full year of clover. The superiority of the full year of clover over the sweetclover green manure crop in storing soil nitrogen has been consistent for the several comparisons. When a rotation is greatly different from expectancy the influence of some unknown factor is suspected. Such was the case with the corn crop in Rot. 11, corn, wheat, and clover, and Rot. 21, corn,oats, wheat, and clover, Period I. Corn in Rot. 11 yielded significantly less than corn in Rot. 12, corn, oats, and clover, and somewhat less than corn in Rot. 15, corn, wheat, and timothy. Corn in Rot. 21 yielded less than corn yields in Rot. 22, corn, oats, wheat, and timothy occupying adjacent plots. Corn would not be expected to yield higher after timothy than after clover. The depressing effect seems to have been limited to the corn crops. The plots in Rot. 11 and Rot. 21 lie end to end and are bordered on the west side with a grass roadway, so that greater wind and insect exposure may have been factors.

The Check Groups -- Period I

The Check Group yields are composite yields from selected rotations used as "bench marks" or reference measurements.

The objective is to have a standard yield and yield trend performance record with which to compare the performance of selected rotations under test. The Check Group yields for different numbers of years are given in Tables 14 to 19.

The Check Group corn. The corn crops from 10 rotations were selected to make up the Check Group on the basis of their average performance. They maintained a fairly level yield trend for a 21-year period and also the average soil nitrogen content of these rotations was estimated to have changed but little during the period. These were Rotations 1, 13, 15, 16, 28, 31, 33, 34, 36, and 39.

<u>Yield trends</u>. It is desirable to know if the yields of the crops in a rotation are increasing or decreasing with time as a result of the treatments as distinguished from other causes. This is difficult to measure because of the influence of season effects -- weather, disease, insects, etc. -- upon yields. The trend of the yields of a crop over a period can be shown by a regression line fitted to the distribution. A linear regression line was fitted to the Check Group corn (Fig. 3). The 21-year regression line did not differ significantly from a line drawn through the mean*. The level trend of the 21-year regression line is the result of a fortuitous distribution of seasonal influences plus such additional trend influences as may be ascribed to the 10 rotations within the group. It is thought that the latter is relatively small compared with the seasonal influences of the individual rotation effects and for the long period, at least, to represent a yield level that has changed but little. It becomes a convenient "bench mark" with which to compare the corn yields in selected rotations.

There is need for some criterion of soil productivity that is independent of the disturbing influence of season. An approach to such a criterion is that of

*Second and third degree curvilinear regression equations were also calculated for the Check Group corn but were not significantly different from the linear regression line.



Figure 3.

the soil nitrogen supply as suggested by Salter and Green (45), and Salter, Lewis and Slipher (47). Within a given soil type changes in soil productivity are shown to be correlated with changes in the total soil nitrogen supply: these changes take place within the region that lies between the stabilized upper and lower nitrogen content limits characteristic of the soil type and environment. Charting the trend of soil productivity by means of the status of the soil nitrogen supply would be largely independent of the seasonal fluctuations that are characteristic of crop yield trends.

Check Group potatoes. The Check Group is the average of Rotations 17, 18, 25,26, and 37. The 21-year average yield for the Group war 177 bushels per acre and the average soil nitrogen content at the end of the period 2600 pounds, a slight gain from the calculated original amount of 2535 pounds in 1915. The trend of the Check Group potato yields is shown in Fig. 4. A significant uptrend in the yields is indicated by the regression line (Y = 125.3 + 4.7X). This up-trend is common to potatoes in all rotations except continuous potatoes, C2,





Regression line for Check Group potatoes.

where the yields are essentially level beginning with the 1916 crop (the first crop to follow potatoes in the rotation). This general up-trend is probably partly the result of an improved spray program and to the use of better quality diseasefree seed as time went on. It is also possible that the increase was due in part to the influence of a fortuitous distribution of favorable seasons during the period.

<u>Check Group soybeans</u>. The Check Group soybeans was the average of two similar rotations, Rot. 26, potatoes, soybeans, wheat, and clover, and Rot. 27, corn, soybeans, wheat, and clover. The 17-year average soybean yields in these two rotations differed by less than a bushel per acre and the soil nitrogen as determined by the 1936 sampling at the end of Period I differed in the two rotations by only 28 pounds per acre. The Check Group soybeans showed a significant up-trend in yield with time (Fig. 5). This was characteristic of the soybeans in all of the soybean rotations and also of soybean yields in the state. This increase in yield with time is thought to be due in part to improved harvesting methods that reduced shattering losses and to the attainment of a more favorable soil reaction with time. That more favorable seasons may also have been a factor is a probability.

Check Group wheat. The Check Group is composed of the 5 rotations, 28, 31, 33, 34, and 36 (Fig. 6). The 20year regression line is essentially level: it does not differ significantly from a line through the mean at 36.3 bushels.

Check Group cats. Four rotations, 22, 28, 31, and 40 constitute the Check Group oats (Fig. 7). The 21-year regression line shows a downtrend: this downtrend is characteristic of the cats yields in all 10 rotations that contain cats.

-21-





Regression line for Check Group soybeans.



Figure 6.

Regression line for Check Group wheat.



Figure 7.

Regression line for Check Group cats.



Figure 8.

Regression line for Check Group clover.

Check Group clover. The Check Group clover consists of Rotations 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 35 (Fig. 8). The yield trend for the period is essentially level.

Soil Nitrogen Analyses

No systematic sampling of the soil of individual plots was made at the beginning of the experiment in 1915-1916. Indirect evidence from samples obtained from other sources suggest that the average soil nitrogen content at the start of the rotation experiment was in the neighborhood of 2500 pounds per acre. A figure of 2530 pounds was selected since it represents the average nitrogen content of the soil of the plots that were included in the Check Group corn and which had maintained a fairly level yield trend over the period.

Systematic sampling of the soils of the plots in the experiment was done at the close of Period I in 1936 and at the close of Period II in 1950. Eighty borings from each tenth acre plot were taken to a depth of 6 2/3 inches. The borings from each plot were composited, air dried, thoroughly mixed and a sample stored for future analysis. Just prior to analysis the sample was ball-milled, brought to uniform moisture content and analyzed for total nitrogen using the official Kjeldahl method. All analyses given in this report were made by one laboratory technician. The total nitrogen content of the soil of a rotation is the average of the plots of that rotation. The 1950 data are the averages of the sampling dates, October 1948 and February 1950. Since these two dates showed no significant difference in nitrogen contents they were combined in one population and reported as representing the nitrogen content of the soil at the end of Period II. A highly significant correlation of .738 occurred between the two sampling dates (L.S.D. 1% point .215).

Nitrogen in Crops Harvested

The nitrogen content of the crops harvested was calculated and includes all crops grown regardless of any changes that may have been made in the crops of the rotation. Average analyses as published in Morrison's "Feeds and Feeding" 21st ed. and adjusted for moisture content were used in the calculations.

The Stover: Grain and Straw: Grain Ratios

N. Jasny (24), in his book, Competition Among Grains, summarizes the results of a study of the literature on stover:grain and straw:grain ratios. He concludes that the stover:grain ratios of corn show a definite tendency to be high if the yield of corn is small and vice versa. No such tendency is observed with reference to the small grains and even the opposite may be true for them. Insufficient moisture tends to increase the stover:grain ratio and the application of nitrogenous fertilizers in the presence of sufficient moisture tends to lower it materially. In both situations the effect may be opposite on the small grains. The Check Group corn for Period I showed a negative correlation of -.73 between seasonal yields and the stover:grain ratios. The correlation was highly significant.

-24-

Stover:grain and straw:grain ratios have been influenced significantly by some of the crop rotations in the Wooster experiment. The response has been similar to that reported by Jasny. These ratios may be indicative of the efficiency with which the crops are responding to the soil productivity level, but the factors resulting in a high or low proportion of straw or stover to grain are sufficiently complex so that it is difficult to isolate them in these rotations.

Limitations on Interpretation of Results

It should be pointed out that the yields obtained in these crop rotation experiments are determined largely by the balance between the soil building and soil depleting crops plus the limited use of fertilizer and other supplementary measures. Larger crop yields can be expected in most instances from these rotations if support measures are increased to bolster the weak points brought out by the rotation experiment. In most rotations, maximum yields would call for increasing the fertilizer application, substituting a complete fertilizer for P or PK goods, taking advantage of green manure crops, plowing down supplementary nitrogen fertilizer, or other similar measures.

It should also be emphasized that the results of these rotation experiments were obtained under a given set of soil, climatic and crop adaptation conditions. Extending the results to cover different conditions should be done with caution.

Crop Management, Period II

Potatoes, spring wheat, and spring barley were omitted from the Period II rotations.

Corn. Hybrid corn W17, a full season hybrid at Wooster, was planted during the 1936-1945 period. An early maturing hybrid, K35, was planted during the 1946-1950 period. The corn was drilled to obtain approximately 12,000 plants per acre. The fertilizer for the corn was drilled in the row. The corn was either binder harvested or cut by hand and shocked except for those rotations in which the corn was picked and the stalks left on the land. The corn was sampled at husking time for moisture and shelling percentage. Yields were based on 56 pounds of shelled corn per bushel at $14\frac{1}{2}$ % moisture content. The stover was bundled and reshocked to stand until the moisture content had approached equilibrium when it was weighed.

Wheat. The Trumbull variety of wheat was used throughout Period II. The wheat harvest was the same as during Period I. In the residue rotations the wheat was binder harvested, threshed and the straw spread back on the land (simulating combine harvesting).

Oats. The Miami variety of cats was used throughout Period II as for Period I. The harvesting, threshing and yield determinations were the same as for wheat.

Soybeans. The Manchu variety of soybeans was used during the 1936-1940 period and Mingo for the 1941-1950 period. The cultural practices for soybeans were the same as for Period I. The combine was used for harvesting the soybeans in Rotation 125.

<u>Meadow crops</u>. Adapted red clover (Midland in later years), was used in Period II. The timothy was commercial domestic seed. The alfalfa was Northerngrown Grimm, or Kansas-Oklahoma common until the later years of the period when the wilt-resistant Ranger was used. However, bacterial wilt was not a problem in these rotations. The rates of seeding were the same as for Period I except for the alfalfa, clover, timothy mixture which consisted of 6 pounds alfalfa, 4 pounds red clover and 3 pounds timothy (fall) or 6 pounds (spring) per acre. The hay harvests were the same as those of Period I.

. . . .

<u>Green manure crops</u>. Biennial white sweetclover was used for green manure in the 2-year rotations and in the continuous culture corn, CllO. The small-grain stubble in which sweetclover was seeded was not clipped during Period II. Rye or domestic (Oregon) ryegrass was used on the continuous corn plots ClO6. Hairy vetch was seeded in addition to the rye or ryegrass on continuous corn plots ClO7. The cover crops in the corn were seeded at the time of the last cultivation, except that rye in the early years was seeded in August between the corn rows with a one-horse drill. During the 1945-1950 period, the ryegrass was seeded at the time of the last cultivation.

The following rotations were used to form the Check Groups of Period II:

Corn. 107, 111, 114, 125, 127, 131, 134, 138 (1) Oats. 101, 102, 104, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 131, 132 Wheat. 108, 111, 114, 120, 131, 134 Soybeans. 125, 126 Clover. 111, 112, 121, 122, 124, 125, 126

See Check Group, Period I, for a discussion of methods.

The Fertility Program for Period II

The fertilizer program for Period II differed from Period I mainly in the substitution of 0-14-7 fertilizer for the manure and superphosphate of Period I. However, manure was used in certain rotations and the residues, stover and straw, were returned to the land in others in addition to the 0-14-7 fertilizer. The average annual application of fertilizer was 150 pounds per acre per year but was applied to selected crops in the rotation as indicated in the tables. The manure and residue applications are also shown. The substitution of 0-14-7 fertilizer for the manure of Period I is thought to have overcome some of the yield differences that were obtained when different amounts of manure were applied to selected crops in the rotation. Nitrogen fertilizer was omitted in order to identify more definitely the effect of nitrogen fixation by the legumes upon crop yields. Consequently the fertilizer program used was not usually the best one from the standpoint of maximum crop production but was designed to emphasize the effect of the crops themselves upon soil productivity. The soil reaction was maintained of pH 6.5-7.0 by applications of agricultural ground limestone as needed.

-26-

CORN YIELD TRENDS IN A FORTY-YEAR TEST

Five-year Rotation Corn. Agronomy Experiment No. 1, and Continuous Culture Corn. Agronomy Experiment No. 2

The Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station in 1894 established a series of crop rotations and fertility trial plots on land that was in a low state of productivity as a result of many preceding years of cash crop farming.

Agronomy Experiment No. 1 was a five-year rotation of corn-oats-wheat and two years of mixed timothy-clover hay. There were five sections of land thus permitting all crops to be grown each year. Each section contained 30 plots, ten of which were without any fertilizer or manure treatment (the check plots) and 20 which received varying amounts of fertilizer and manure. Agronomy Experiment 2 was a continuous corn culture experiment consisting of 10 plots, four of which were without treatment (check plots 1, 4, 7, and 10) and 6 which received varying amounts of fertilizer or manure. Four groups of these treatments were selected for this study as shown in the following table.

Corn crop	Av. treatment N-P ₂ 0 ₅ -K ₂ 0	Plots averaged	35 yr yie Grain	Stover: grain ratio	
	Lb/A	Numbers	Bu/A	Lb/A	Lb/Bu
Group I Continuous Group II Continuous Group III Five-year rotation Group IV Five-year rotation	None 25-15-30 None 20-20-40	1,4,7,10 2 and 3 1,4, to 28 11 and 17	13.7 30.6 24.2 47.6	1020 1890 1440 2240	74.4 61.8 59.5 47.0

(See Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 381, The Maintenance of Soil Fertility, for the details of the fertilizer treatments.)

Group I consisted of the 4 check plots in the continuous corn culture experiment which received no fertilizer or manure. Group II was the average of plots 2 and 3 which received a complete fertilizer on the corn containing 25 pounds of nitrogen per acre applied as nitrate of soda: plot 2 received a little more phosphorus and potassium than did plot 3, but the average was approximately 25 pounds of N, 15 pounds of P_2O_5 , and 30 pounds of K_2O per acre. Group III was the average of the 10 check plots in the five-year rotation. Group IV was the average of plots 11 and 17 in the five-year rotation that received approximately 20 pounds of N, 20 pounds of P_2O_5 , and 40 pounds of K_2O per acre on the corn, cats and wheat crops: no fertilizer was applied to the meadow crops.

All of the grain, straw, stover, and hay were harvested and removed from the land.

Fig. 9 shows the yield trends for the last 35 years of the 40-year period. The first 5-year period is not included because the 5-year rotation was not fully established until the beginning of the second 5-year period in 1899. The continuous corn culture yields were treated in the same way in order to be compared with the 5-year rotation yields.



Corn Yield Trends in a Forty-year Test

Figure 9. Regression lines for corn in 5-year rotation experiment and continuous corn experiment.

1

28 8 In the first 5-year period the yields of all groups are closely grouped, indicating that all groups started out under somewhat similar soil productivity conditions. Following this first period the corn yields in Group I dropped sharply with the second period and leveled off at around 12 bushels per acre. The slight drop in the regression line is not significant. The two drouth years of 1930 and 1932 pulled down the yields and influenced the slope of the regression line. The yield level attained by the Group I corn was probably determined by the nitrogen released by the oxidation of the soil organic matter plus that contained in the rainfall. Non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation might have been a factor also but no proof of that is available. The phosphorus and potassium supply from the untreated soil was also very probably limiting corn yields.

In sharp contrast to the yield trends of Group I, is that of Group IV the two plots in the 5-year rotation that received a complete fertilizer. The yields increased sharply with the second rotation and leveled off at around 47 bushels an acre. The slight drop in the regression line is not significant. Note that the regression lines for Group I and Group IV are essentially parallel. The complete fertilizer and the contribution of nitrogen to the soil by the sod crops brought the yields of corn in Group IV to a level about 35 bushels per acre above that of the Group I continuous corn check plots.

The Group III corn consisted of the average of the ten check plots in the 5-year rotation. There is a significant drop in the slope of the regression line. The F value for the reduction in the variance due to fitting the regression line is 4.31: a value of 4.14 represents the 5% point. The corn yields were gradually declining during the period. If the test had been continued, it is probable that the yields would have leveled off eventually at a point somewhat above that of the Group I corn. The contribution of nutrients by the sod crops, especially the nitrogen fixed by the clover was not sufficient to compensate for the removal by the crops harvested and for the loss of nutrients from the store in the soil.

Group II corn yield trends for the two continuous corn plots 2 and 3 that received a complete fertilizer also show a decline in yield with time comparable to that for Group III 5-year rotation check plots. The reduction in the variance from the regression line is highly significant; the F value being 22.3 whereas only F 7.47 is required for significance at the 1% point. For the 35-year period Group II corn (continuous corn plus fertilizer) outyielded the Group III 5-year corn check plots by an average of 6.2 bushels per acre, a highly significant amount. The spread between the two regression lines for Group II and Group III becomes less with time. However, this tendency is not statistically significant. One cannot say with certainty that the two groups are approaching a common level although the tendency is in that direction.

<u>The stover:grain ratio</u>. The pounds of stover per bushel of grain, the stover:grain ratio, increases with a decrease in yield of corn. When Group I continuous corn check plots are paired with Group II continuous corn fertility plots for each year the stover:grain ratio was larger for Group I--33 times in the 40 comparisons. When the Group III check plots in the 5-year rotation are compared with Group IV 5-year rotation fertility plots the Group III plot stover:grain ratio was larger in every comparison. Although Group II corn out-yielded Group III corn, the stover:grain ratio for Group III was higher only 21 times in the 39 comparisons (one stover yield was missing in Group III), a little short of significance.

-29-

When the effect of season upon the corn yields and the stover:grain ratios are examined for each group there is a highly significant negative correlation between corn yields and stover:grain ratios as follows:

			Correlation coefficient
Group	I	Continuous check plots	69
Group	II	5-year check plots	72
Group	III	Cont. corn fert. plots	72
Group	IV	5-year fert. plots	68

It thus appears that environmental conditions that depress the yields of corn grain such as seasonal conditions or low soil productivity also increase the pounds of stover per bushel of grain produced within the yield levels of this experiment.

DISCUSSION AND COMPARISONS OF ROTATIONS

Tables 1 through 5, Period I, and 6 through 11, Period II, give the numbers identifying the rotations, the crops, their treatments and yields.

In the tables and discussion, abbreviations for the crops are as follows: C = corn, S = soybeans, P = potatoes, W = wheat, O = oats, B = barley, Cl = clover, A = alfalfa, T = timothy, Swcl = sweetclover, (swcl) = sweetclover green manure. The constituents of the meadow mixtures are shown by combining the abbreviations, as A,Cl,T = alfalfa, clover, timothy mixture, etc.

When a crop appears more than once in a rotation, identification is made by the notations (1), (2) or (3) following the crop name or abbreviation, as corn(1), corn(2), wheat, clover, or C(1), C(2), W, Cl.

Crops Grown Continuously

<u>Continuous corn</u>. Like other experiments in which corn has been grown continuously with little or no fertilization, the yield of corn in Period I first dropped sharply and then continued at a somewhat uniform low level. A regression line calculated for the entire period sloped slightly downward. This was largely the reflection of the sharp early drop. This system illustrates the tendency of any cropping system to vary around a norm which is determined by the original condition of the soil, the climate, the crops, and the treatment. With two tons of manure per acre per year and some superphosphate this normal yield on the Wooster soil seems to have been between 25 and 30 bushels per acre. In Period II when the manure was omitted and only a small amount of 0-14-7 put on, this normal yield dropped to between 10 and 15 bushels with a corresponding reduction in stover.

The tendency to approach equilibrium is particularly well brought out in the second period when five plots of continuous corn were started on land in good condition and Plot Cl, which had been in continuous corn since 1915,

Table 1 - Crops Grown in Continuous Culture, Period I

The continuous culture plots of Period I received manure at the rate of 4 tons per acre every odd year (1915, 1917...) and 400 pounds per acre of 0-16-0 every even year (1916, 1918...)

<u></u>		Yie	ld,	Acr	e yields	by 5-ye	ar perio	ds exclu	sive of	first cr	rop	Average yield	
Cultu	are Crop	first 19	crop 15	1916-	1920	1921-	1925	1926 -	1930	1931 -	1935	per 1916-	acre 1935
		Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover
		or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or
		tubers	straw	tubers	_straw	tubers	straw	tubers	straw	tubers	straw	tubers	straw
No.		Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
Cl	Corn	78.6	3310	36.0	2100	25.0	1600	26.8	1930	29.2	2030	29.2	1910
C2	Potatoes	215	• • • •	59	••••	78	••••	98	• • • •	78		78	••••
C3	Soybeans*	22.3	3220	10.3	1770	13.4	1700	12.3	1630	16.4	1520	13.1	1650
C4	Oats(swcl)	77.0	3030	56.6	2280	49.5	2240	54.9	2890	36.0	2420	49.2	2460
C5	Wheat(swcl)	(1916) 38.2	4240	(1917- 34.5	1920) 2600	36.6	3120	38.9	3680	33.9	3460	36.0	3210

*Cultivated rows.

Table 2		Two-year	Rotations,	Period I
---------	--	----------	------------	----------

	Four	tons	of	manure	applied	to	the	first	crop.	
--	------	------	----	--------	---------	----	-----	-------	-------	--

			Acre yi	elds by	5-year	periods		Average	yield
		1921	-1925	1926	-1930	1931 - 1	9 35	per 1921-	acre 1935
Rota	tion Crops	<u></u>	Stover		Stover		Stover	Grain	Stover
		Grain	straw	Grain	straw	Grain	straw	or .	straw
			or hay		or hay		or hay	tubers	or hay
No.	~	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	. dل	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
1	Corn Red clover	(6.3	2970 Elever	74.2 n failur	3410 es in 21	69.3 1 years	3730	(3.3	3370 590
0	Corn	73.1	2800	75.8	3350	77.8	3920	75.6	3360
2	Sweetclover hay		Nine f	ailures	in 21 y	years		••••	990
3	Corn	53.l	2250	53.2	2420	59.1	2820	55.1	2500
J	Soybeans (grain)	17.2	2380	17.8	1700	21.7	1480	18.9	1850
						1	931	(192	1-1931)
},	Corn	66.0	2500	69.6	2900	63.4	3120	67.4	2740
4	Spring wheat(swcl)	17.4	2160	14.5	2180	12.9	3120	15.5	2260
	Corn	64.3	2530	65.3	2900	69.7	3510	66.4	2980
5	Oats(swcl)	49.9	1940	62.2	2220	38.1	2190	50.1	2120
	Corn	61.0	2520	64 0	2900	67.0	3400	64.0	2940
6	Wheat(swcl)	30.5	2380	37.0	2690	29.6	3300	32.4	2790
	Potatoes	ነገሥ		170		185		156	
7	Wheat(swcl)	35.2	3030	41.6	3180	31.9	3470	36.2	3230
	(main)	ק קו	0500	01 7	0070	05 0	1060	01 5	2180
8	Wheat(swcl)	24.3	2900 1980	28.3	2070	29.2	2980	27.5	2420
		J					-		
	T D		0500		1.000	<u> </u>	1-1932	<u>(1921</u>	<u>-1932)</u>
a	Wheat Red clover +	31.1	3500	41.(4000	32.2	4320	39.1	4000
9	vol. bluegrass	a • • •	3950	• • • •	4350	• • • •	4060	••••	4150
						193	1-1932	(1921	-1932)
10	Wheat	39.2	3680	43.0	4410	$\frac{-2}{31.4}$	4090	41.1	4040
TO	Sweetclover hay	••••	4980	• • • •	4830	• • • •	5010		4900

Table 3 - Three-year Rotations, Period I

Six tons of manure applied to the first crop

		·····	Acre yi	lelds by	5-year	periods		Averag	e yield
		_ 1921	-1925	1926	-1930	1931	-1935	1921	1935
Rota	ation Crops	Grain	Stover straw or hay						
No.	φηλική _{δυτ} ογιατίζεται το το θαια το μογοριατογίας το μετά το πολογιατικο Παριστροποιο το πορογιατικό που πορογια	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
_11	Corn Wheat Red clover	69.1 36.3	2880 2990 3660	67.8 39.8	3380 3250 2970	62.1 38.2	3280 4390 4690	66.3 38.1	3180 3540 3770
12	Corn Oats Red clover	76.5 59.4	2910 2560 4180	71.3 67.7	3470 2870 3340	68.4 36.8	3460 1990 3810	72.1 54.6	3280 2470 3780
13	Corn Wheat Sweetclover hay	79.0 35.3	3060 2680 5180	71.5 40.3	3600 3210 5310	79.0 36.4	4050 4260 5080*	76.5 37.3	3570 3380 5200
14	Corn Wheat Alfalfa	74.7 37.6	3200 3160 4370	75.3 42.3	3780 3560 5150	81.5 35.0	4050 4460 6930	77.2 38.3	3680 3730 5480
15	Corn Wheat Timothy	74.3 31.7	3640 2480 3360	63.8 36.9	3350 3140 3650	65.1 33.4 	3270 4280 3850	67.7 34.0	3420 3300 3620
16	Corn Barley Re¢clover	68.9 22.7*	2580 1610* 4070	59.3 33.9	3080 1770 3500	65.0 25.5	3430 2030 4180	64.4 27.7	3030 1820 3920
17	Potatoes Wheat Red clover	111 38.5	3360 3840	214 47.3	3840 2960	210 38.1	4280 4100	178 41.3 	3830 3630
18	Potatoes Oats Red clover	144 58.9	2470 4250	197 68.2	2590 3570	219 36.6	1950 3600	187 54.6	2340 3810
19	Soybeans (grain) Wheat Red clover	21.0 35.8	2250 2790 3630	20.2 37.5	2110 3140 2970	27.6 39.3	1910 4020 4020	22.9 37.5	2090 3320 3540
20	Soybeans (grain) Potatoes Wheat(swcl)	20.9 115 39.3	2110 2840	21.0 152 33.6	2960 	27.0 126 31.8	1780 2960	23.0 131 34.9	1950 2940

*Four years only.

š

.

Table /	4.	- Four-year	Rotations,	Period	Ι
		0			

Rotation Crops		Acre yields by 5-year periods						Average yield	
		1921-1925		1926-1930		1931-1935		per acre 1921-1935	
		Grain	Stover • straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay
No.		Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
21	Corn Oats Wheat Red clover	65.1 59.4 36.3	2700 2360 3100 3500	64.7 68.5 41.5	3290 2380 3710 2490	56.2 45.3 38.3	3270 1950 3970 4280	62.0 57.7 38.7	3090 2230 3590 3420
22	Corn Oats Wheat Timothy	74.4 59.7 38.1	2880 2500 2950 3830*	72.8 72.6 38.3	3540 2740 3970 4090	64.5 44.5 33.1	3520 2000 3880 3870	70.6 58.9 36.5	3310 2410 3600 3940
23	Corn Corn Wheat Red clover	75.3 52.1 32.2	3070 2140 2570 3530	67.3 58.1 33.5	3430 2800 2950 2380	56.0 57.6 34.7	3230 2940 3880 4650	66.2 55.9 33.5	3240 2630 3130 3520
24	Corn Potatoes Wheat Red clover	76.6 105 37.5	2950 3260 3700	73.0 134 45.9	3720 3900 2380	63.5 180 34.9	3330 4280 4710	71.0 140 39.4	3330 3810 3600
25	Potatoes Corn Wheat Red clover	153 55.0 34.9	2430 2910 3540	225 63.3 38.0	2910 3160 2240	223 68.5 36.0	3230 4100 4530	200 62.3 36.3	2860 3390 3440
26	Potatoes Soybeans (grain) Wheat Red clover	145 18.9 31.7	2690 2470 3480	231 20.2 33.4	2330 2890 2460	211 28.7 37.2	2610 3680 4680	196 22.6 34.1	2540 3010 3540
27	Corn Soybeans (grain) . Wheat Red clover	69.2 17.9 29.0	2880 2230 2260 3100	70.7 21.3 28.4	3350 2370 2310 1960	60.8 26.9 34.6	3160 2160 3460 4150	66.9 22.0 30.7	3130 2250 2680 3070
28	Corn Oats Red clover Wheat(swcl)	72.0 57.3 41.4	2710 2280 3900 4180	76.8 68.9 44.3	3430 2510 2780 4340	74.7 44.8 34.7	3520 2570 3920 3980	74.5 57.0 40.1	3220 2450 3530 4170
29	Corn Oats(swcl) Corn Wheat(swcl)	69.5 53.3 58.4* 32.2	2980 2190 2330* 2830	76.8 73.2 56.8 33.3*	3060 2420 2570 2740*	72.4 40.9 58.7 34.1	3300 2200 2650 3820	72.9 55.8 57.9 33.2	3110 2270 2530 3160
30	Corn Alfalfa Alfalfa Alfalfa	79.6* 	3370* 1710+ 5010 4460	78.9 	3510 1330+ 4370 5480	77.0	3900 1480‡ 7290 7720	78.4	3610 1510 5560 5890

Eight tons of manure applied to the first crop

*Four years only - +Two failures - +Three failures

-34-

ŝ
Table 5 - Five-year Rotations, Period I

ς

Ten tons of manure applied to the first crop

Rotation 1921-1925 1926-1930 1931-1935 1931-1935 1931-1935 No. Crops Stover Stover Stover Stover Stover Stover Stover Or hay Octs 62:0 24:30 61.1 22:0 35:0 67.0 37:60 70.1 32:0 1 Wheat 38:5 35:50 41.0 37:60 37.3 4:20 36:9 34:0 Red clover-timothy 49:00 36:00 4:50 Vineat 34:9 26:30 29:0 33:30 37:9 4:30 7: 4:30 2 Red clover-timothy 3:400 4:30 4:30 3:10 7:3 3:20 3:1.0 3:60 2 Red clover-timothy 4:00 : 3:70 1:4:1.4:33:0 3:6.0 2:00 3:	<u></u>		Ac	re yield	s by 5-	year per	riods		Averag per	e yield	
BO. Utops Stover Grain straw or hay Stover or hay or hay Stover or hay <th>Rota</th> <th>tion</th> <th>1921</th> <th><u>-1925</u></th> <th>1926</th> <th><u>-1930</u></th> <th>1931</th> <th><u>-1935</u></th> <th></th> <th>-1935</th>	Rota	tion	1921	<u>-1925</u>	1926	<u>-1930</u>	1931	<u>-1935</u>		-1935	
Or hay Or hay <th colspan<="" th=""><th>NO</th><th>. Crops</th><th>Cross i re</th><th>Stover</th><th>() mo i m</th><th>Stover</th><th>Creation</th><th>Stover</th><th>(mo i m</th><th>Stover</th></th>	<th>NO</th> <th>. Crops</th> <th>Cross i re</th> <th>Stover</th> <th>() mo i m</th> <th>Stover</th> <th>Creation</th> <th>Stover</th> <th>(mo i m</th> <th>Stover</th>	NO	. Crops	Cross i re	Stover	() mo i m	Stover	Creation	Stover	(mo i m	Stover
Bu. Db. Bu. Lb. Bu. <th></th> <th></th> <th>Gratu</th> <th>SULAN or par</th> <th>Grain</th> <th>SULAW</th> <th>Gratu</th> <th>Sulaw or her</th> <th>Grafu</th> <th>on hav</th>			Gratu	SULAN or par	Grain	SULAW	Gratu	Sulaw or her	Grafu	on hav	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	
Outs 62.0 2430 61.1 2100 10.2 2140 51.2 2280 31 Wheat 38.5 3550 41.0 3760 37.3 4220 38.9 38.9 Red clover-timothy 4030* 4030 3010 3670 Corn 80.4 3190 74.8 3870 75.1 4100 76.8 3720 22 Red clover-timothy 4030 4320 4430* 4170 Timothy 3610* 4320 4320 4320 33 Wheat 30.5 3180 69.6 3460 66.5 3440 72.3 3360 34 Mheat 29.1 2410 29.8 2570 34.0 3860 31.0 260 70rn 69.1 2510 63.5 2870 34.0 3863 36.0		Com	75 0	2750	68 2	2250	67.0	2760	70 1	3000	
31 Wheat 38.0 25.0 37.0 41.0 37.60 37.2 4220 38.00 38.00 Red clover-timothy 4030* 4030 4650 41.50 Timothy 4030* 4030 4650 41.50 Wheat 34.9 2830 29.0 3330 37.9 4390 37.3 3520 32 Red clover-timothy 4030* 4040 4170 Timothy 3860 31.0 79.1 3360 33.0 37.9 4390 37.3 3520 32 Red clover-timothy 3360 3260 4170 4170 Timothy 3360 52.870 34.0 38.80 31.0 2960 33 Wheat 29.1 24.400 29.8 2550 34.0 38.80 31.0 2960 34 Mheat 36.6 3300 42.			62.0	2120	61 1	2220		2100	10.1 55 8	2290	
31 miles i 30.0 miles i 30.0 miles i 30.1 miles i 30.0 miles i	21	Uals When t	38 5	2550		3760	44.C 37 3	2490	38.0	2200	
Timothy 4030* 4030 3010 3670 Wheat 34.9 2830 29.0 3330 37.9 4390* 4100 Timothy 3610* 3520 37.9 4390* 4130* 2 Red clover-timothy 3610* 3520 2720 3260 32 Red clover-timothy 3610* 3520 2720 3260 33 Wheat 29.1 2140 29.8 2550 34.0 3880 31.0 2960 34 Wheat 29.1 2240 29.8 2550 34.0 3880 1.0 2960 71mothy 4150* 4710 3890 4260 Corn 81.0 3260 74.3 3770 68.4 3760 7.7 3440 34 Wheat 36.6 3300 42.1 3920<	ЪТ	Red clover-timoth	JO.J	3000	41.0	3820	21.2	4220 4650	JU • 9	」 山150	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Timothy	,	4030*	• • • •	4030	• • • • •	3010	••••	3670	
32 Wheat $3^{4}.9$ 28_{00} 29.0 3330 37.9 4390 37.3 5520 32 Red clover-timothy 3360 3520 3130 69.8 3460 3140 72.3 3360 3610* 3520 2720 3320 63.0 2900 3330 63.0 2900 2720 3360 33 Wheat 29.1 2440 29.8 2550 34.0 3800 31.0 2960 34 Mheat 29.1 2440 29.8 2570 34.0 3800 31.0 2960 74.3 3770 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 3833 38.3 3850 74.4 3270 3770 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 38.3 38.3 38.3 3850 74.4 3300 42.1 3920 36.1 4330 37.7 3460 700 77.6 3160 69.3 3670 62.7		Corn	80.4	3190	74.8	3870	75.1	4100	76.8	3720	
32 Red clover-timothy 4090 4940 4370 4370 Timothy 3600° 4940° 4370° 4390° 3 Gorn 60.5° 3180° 69.8° 3460° 66.5° 3440° 72.3° 3360° 33 Wheat 29.1° 2440° 22.8° 2550° 34.0° 3860° 31.0° 2900° 34 Wheat 29.1° 2440° 22.8° 3770° 68.4° 3760° 74.6° 3600° $^{\circ}$ 4260° 34 Wheat 36.6° 330° 42.1° 3920° 36.1° 330° 38.3° 38.5° 38.5° 38.5° 38.5° 38		Wheat	34.9	2830	29.0	3330	37.9	4390	37.3	3520	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	Red clover-timothy		4090		4040	• • • •	4430*	••••	4170	
Timothy 3610^* 3520 2720 3260 Corn 60.5 3180 69.8 3460 66.5 3440 72.3 3360 Wheat 29.1 2440 29.8 2550 34.0 3880 31.0 2960 Red clover-timothy 4100 3270 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 1 Minothy 4150^* 4710 3800 4260 Corn 81.0 3260 74.3 3770 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 900 105 $$ 460 $$ 4260 $$ 4260 Corn 81.0 3260 74.3 3770 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 700 1950 $$ 5240 $$ 4230 $$ 4270 500 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 69.2 3160		Timothy	• • • •	3860	• • • •	4940	• • • •	4370	• • • •	4390	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Timothy	• • • •	3610*	• • • •	3520	••••	2720	••••	3260	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Corn	80.5	3180	69.8	3460	66.5	3440	72.3	3360	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Corn	64.1	2510	63.5	2870	61.4	3320	63.0	2900	
Red clover-timothy 4100 $32/0$ 4360 3910 Timothy 4150^* 4710 3890 4260 Corn 81.0 3260 74.3 3770 68.4 3760 74.6 3600 34 Wheat 36.6 3300 42.1 3920 36.1 4330 38.3 3850 Red clover-timothy 4050 5000 4790 Timothy 4320^* 5240 4790 Corn 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 69.2 3160 67.4 2790 Soybeans (grain (straw) (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) $$ 3220 Corn 80.5 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 Red clover 3370 173 157 <td>33</td> <td>Wheat</td> <td>29.1</td> <td>2440</td> <td>29.8</td> <td>2550</td> <td>34.0</td> <td>3880</td> <td>31.0</td> <td>2960</td>	33	Wheat	29.1	2440	29.8	2550	34.0	3880	31.0	2960	
Inmothy 4150^* 4710 3690 4200 Corn81.0326074.3377068.4376074.63600Potatoes10516116414334Wheat36.6330042.1392036.1433038.33850Red clover-timothy4050524047304790Timothy4320*524047304790Corn60.0318069.3367062.7353070.73460Corn67.4247065.7273069.2316067.4279035Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay)What27.2225032.9290038.3422032.83120Red clover33702300398036Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay)(hay)yNeat28.4236040.2307038.5438035.7327036Soybeans (grain)9.1250173157yNeat28.4236040.2307038.5438035.7327037Potatoes153<		Ked clover-timothy		4100	• • • •	3270	• • • •	4360	• • • •	3910	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Timothy	••••	4150*	• • • •	4710	• • • •	3090	• • • •	4260	
Potatoes10516116414334Wheat36.63300 42.1 392036.1 4330 38.33850Red clover-timothy 4320^* 5240 4730 4210 Timothy 4320^* 5240 4730 4790 Corn 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 69.2 3160 67.4 2790 35Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay) 5280 wheat 27.2 2250 32.9 2900 38.3 4220 32.8 3120 Red clover 3370 2300 3980 3220 Corn 80.5 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 Potatoes119180 173 157 36Soybeans (grain(straw)(hey)(hay) 157 0 r hay) 19.1 2520 4170^* 5220 37 Potatoes 153 210 188 184 37 Potatoes 92 137 1280 29.3 2420 24.6 2220 37 Potatoes 92		Corn	81.0	3260	74.3	3770	68.4	3760	74.6	3600	
34 Wheat 36.0 3300 42.1 3920 36.1 4330 36.3 36.0 Red clover-timothy 4320* 5240 4730 4790 Corn 80.0 3180 69.3 3670 62.7 3530 70.7 3460 Corn 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 69.2 3160 67.4 2790 35 Soybeans (grain (straw) (hay) (hay) (hay) 4230* 5280 wheat 27.2 2250 32.9 2900 38.3 4220 32.8 3120 Red clover 3370 2300 3980 3220 Corn 80.5 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 Potatoes 119 180 173 157 Wheat 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5<	- 1.	Potatoes	105	2200	TOT	2000	104 26 1	1.220	143 29 2	2950	
Net clover clinb day 4320^* 5240 4730 4790 Timothy 4320^* 5240 4730 4790 Corn 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 62.7 3530 70.7 3460 35Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay)or hay) 19.5 2340 4230^* 5280 Wheat 27.2 2250 32.9 2900 38.3 4220 32.8 3120 Red clover 3370 2300 3980 3220 Corn 80.5 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 Potatoes119 180 173 157 36Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay) 3710 Wheat 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5 4380 35.7 3270 Red clover 3530 210 188 3710 7Potatoes153 210 188 3710 8Soybeans (grain) 22.0 2260 22.4 1930 29.3 2420 24.6 2200 37Potatoes 92 137 188 3820 <td>34</td> <td>Pod alouron timoth</td> <td>30.0</td> <td>3300</td> <td>42.1</td> <td>3920</td> <td>30.T</td> <td>4330 5080</td> <td>30.3</td> <td>3050</td>	34	Pod alouron timoth	30.0	3300	42.1	3920	30.T	4330 5080	30.3	3050	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		The crover-tracting the		4050)1320*	• • • •	5210	• • • •)1730	• •, • •	4210 Ju700	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			••••	4520"	••••)240	• • • •	-120	• • • • •	+190	
Corn 67.4 2470 65.7 2730 69.2 3160 67.4 2790 35Soybeans (grain(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay)or hay) 19.5 2340 $4230*$ 5280 Wheat 27.2 2250 32.9 2900 38.3 4220 32.8 Red clover 3370 2300 3980 Soybeans (grain 65.7 $(straw)$ (hay) (hay) 19.5 or hay) 19.1 2520 173 157 $Meat$ 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5 4380 35.7 Red clover 3530 2820 4780 $Meat$ 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5 4380 35.7 3270 Red clover 3530 2820 4780 3710 7 Potatoes 153 210 188 184 37 Potatoes 92 137 142 22420 24.6 2200 37 Potatoes 92 137 188 384 37 Potatoes 92 137 142 2420 38 73410 44.9 3650 36.8		Corn	80.0	3180	69.3	3670	62.7	3530	70.7	3460	
35Soybeans (grain or hay)(straw) 19.5(hay) 2340(hay) 4230*(hay) 5280(hay) 5280Wheat Red clover27.2225032.9290038.3422032.83120Red clover3370230039803220Corn Potatoes80.5330076.8386066.5369074.6362036Soybeans (grain or hay)(straw)(hay) (hay)(hay)15736Soybeans (grain or hay)(straw)(hay)(hay)(hay)37Potatoes Potatoes153210188371037Potatoes Potatoes15321018818437Potatoes Potatoes15321018812437Potatoes Potatoes9213714212438Corn63.3236060.6262056.9306060.3268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268039Wheat31.4254034.3 <td></td> <td>Corn</td> <td>67.4</td> <td>2470</td> <td>65.7</td> <td>2730</td> <td>69.2</td> <td>3160</td> <td>67.4</td> <td>2790</td>		Corn	67.4	2470	65.7	2730	69.2	3160	67.4	2790	
or hay)19.52340 4230^* 5220 Wheat27.2225032.9290038.3422032.83120Red clover3370230039803220Corn80.5330076.8386066.5369074.63620Potatoes11918017315736Soybeans (grain or hay)19.125204170*5220Wheat28.4236040.2307038.5438035.73270Red clover353028204780371037Potatoes15321018818437Potatoes92137142124387341044.9365036.8407040.13710Red clover379027804900382038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268039Wheat31.42	35	Soybeans (grain	10 5	(straw)		(hay)		(hay)			
wheat 27.2 2250 32.9 2900 30.5 4220 32.0 3120 Red clover \dots 3370 \dots 2300 \dots 3980 \dots 3220 Corn 80.5 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 36Soybeans (grain or hay) $(straw)$ (hay) (hay) 157 \dots $Meat$ 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5 4380 35.7 Red clover \dots 3530 \dots 2820 \dots 4780 \dots 37 Potatoes 153 \dots 210 \dots 188 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 24.6 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 3820 Corn 63.3 2360 68.5 3320 60.5 3310 67.7 3820 Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 38 Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 38 Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 38 Corn 61.5		or nay)	19.5	2340	20.0	4230*	•••• 202	5200	20.8	21.00	
Red clover 3370 3300 76.8 3860 66.5 3690 74.6 3620 36Soybeans (grain or hay) $(straw)$ (hay) (hay) (hay) 157 \dots 36Soybeans (grain or hay) $(straw)$ (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) $Mheat$ 28.4 2360 40.2 3070 38.5 4380 35.7 3270 $Red clover$ \dots 3530 \dots 2820 \dots 4780 \dots 3710 37 Potatoes 153 \dots 210 \dots 188 \dots 184 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 124 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 124 \dots 37 Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 124 \dots 37 Wheat 38.7 3410 44.9 3650 36.8 4070 40.1 3710 38 Corn 63.3 2360 60.6 2620 56.9 3060 60.3 2680 38 Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 38 Corn 61.5 2470 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940 38 Corn 61.5 2470 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1		Wheat Rod alorrow	21.2	2270	32.9	2900	30.3	4220	32.0	3120	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ked GTOVEL	• • • •	2210	••••	2300	••••	2900	••••	5220	
Potatoes11918017315736Soybeans (grain or hay) $(straw)$ (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) (hay) wheat Red clover28.4236040.2307038.5438035.73270Red clover353028204780371037Potatoes Soybeans (grain)22.0226022.4193029.3242024.6220037Potatoes Wheat Red clover9213714212438Corn74.1298068.5332060.5331067.7320038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.12680Wheat Red clover31.4254034.3262033.5365033.1294039Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.1268039Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.12680Wheat31.4254034.3262033.5365033.1294023702380238023802380237023802370		Corn	80.5	3300	76.8	3860	66.5	3690	74.6	3620	
36Soybeans (grain or hay)(straw)(hay) $4170*$ (hay) 5220 (hay) 5220 Wheat Red clover 28.4 2360 2360 40.2 3630 38.5 2820 4380 4780 35.7 3270 3710 Potatoes Soybeans (grain) 153 22.0 22.0 2260 22.4 1930 22.4 188 29.3 2420 184 24.6 2200 37Potatoes Potatoes 92 Wheat Red clover 153 22.0 2260 22.4 1930 29.3 29.3 2420 24.6 24.6 2200 37Potatoes Potatoes 92 Red clover 2260 22.4 22.4 1930 2780 184 4900 38 7 3410 44.9 3650 3650 36.8 4070 40.1 3710 380 7 3410 44.9 3650 3320 60.5 3310 67.7 3200 60.3 3260 38Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.5 3650 33.1 2940		Potatoes	1 19	••••	180	••••	173	••••	157		
or hay)19.12520 4170^* 5220 Wheat28.4236040.2307038.5438035.73270Red clover3530282047803710Potatoes153210188184Soybeans (grain)22.0226022.4193029.3242024.6220037Potatoes92137142124Wheat38.7341044.9365036.8407040.13710Red clover379027804900382038Corn63.3236060.6262056.9306060.3268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.12680Wheat31.4254034.3262033.5365033.12940	36	Soybeans (grain		(straw)		(hay)		(hay)			
Wheat Red clover 28.4 \dots 2360 3530 40.2 2820 3070 30.5 36.5 4360 4360 35.7 4780 3270 3710 Potatoes Soybeans (grain) 153 22.0 210 2260 188 22.4 184 1930 184 29.3 184 2420 24.6 24.6 37Potatoes Potatoes 92 137 Red clover 137 142 124 124 124 124 124 124 13710 36Corn 63.3 38.7 3410 44.9 44.9 3650 36.8 36.8 4070 40.1 3710 38Corn 63.3 2360 68.5 60.6 2620 56.9 3060 67.7 3200 60.3 2680 38Corn 61.5 2470 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 33.1 2940 38Corn 81.4 2540 34.3 2620 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940		or hay)	19.1	2520	••••	41.70*	····	5220		••••	
Red clover \dots 3530 \dots 2020 \dots 4700 \dots 3710Potatoes153 \dots 210 \dots 188 \dots 184 \dots Soybeans (grain)22.0226022.4193029.3242024.6220037Potatoes92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 124 \dots Wheat38.7341044.9365036.8407040.13710Red clover \dots 3790 \dots 2780 \dots 4900 \dots 382038Corn63.3236060.6262056.9306060.3268038Corn61.5247058.1264063.6293061.12680Wheat31.4254034.3262033.5365033.1294022802280 2280 2370 2280 2280 2280 2280		Wheat	28.4	2360	40.2	3070	30.5	4300	35•7	3270	
Potatoes 153 \dots 210 \dots 188 \dots 184 \dots 37Potatoes 92 2260 22.4 1930 29.3 2420 24.6 2200 37Potatoes 92 \dots 137 \dots 142 \dots 124 \dots Wheat 38.7 3410 44.9 3650 36.8 4070 40.1 3710 Red clover \dots 3790 \dots 2780 \dots 4900 \dots 3820 38Corn 63.3 2360 60.6 2620 56.9 3060 60.3 2680 38Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 Wheat 31.4 2540 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940		Red Clover	••••	3530	• • • •	2020	• • • •	4700	••••	3110	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Potatoes	153	0060	210	••••	188		184 24	••••	
31Foracces 92 1.1 137 1.1 142 1.1 124 1.1 Wheat 38.7 3410 44.9 3650 36.8 4070 40.1 3710 Red clover $$ 3790 $$ 2780 $$ 4900 $$ 3820 Corn 63.3 2360 60.6 2620 56.9 3060 60.3 2680 38Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 Wheat 31.4 2540 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940	27	Boydeans (grain)	22.0	2200	22.4 127	1930	29.3	242U	24.0 10)	2200	
Wheat 30.1 3410 44.9 300 50.0 4010 40.1 31.4 Red clover \dots 3790 \dots 2780 \dots 4900 \dots 3820 Corn 63.3 2360 60.6 2620 56.9 3060 60.3 2680 38Corn 61.5 2470 58.1 2640 63.6 2930 61.1 2680 Wheat 31.4 2540 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940	31	Potatoes	92 38 7	2/10	1010	3650	36.8	2070	124)/O 1	2710	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Red clover	JU•1	3790	++•9	2780		4900		3820	
(4.1) (2980) (68.5) (3320) (60.5) (3310) 67.7 (3200) Corn (63.3) (2360) (60.6) (2620) (56.9) (3060) (60.3) (2680) 38Corn (61.5) (2470) (58.1) (2640) (63.6) (2930) (61.1) (2680) Wheat (31.4) (2540) (34.3) (2620) (33.5) (3650) (33.1) (2940) Pad alouar (2370) (2380) (1070) (2570)		~	- 1-	0000	(0 -		(0070	(-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Corn	(4.1 62 2	2980	60.5	3320	60.5	3310	60 2	3200	
01.7 2410 05.1 2040 05.0 2950 01.1 2000 Wheat 31.4 2540 34.3 2620 33.5 3650 33.1 2940 Bod alowor 2270 2280 1070 2570	28	Corn	61 5	2300 21170	58 I	2020	62 6	2020	00.3 61 1	2000	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	Wheat	ידר ארי	2410 2540	27 S	2620	22.5	250	22 1	2000 2000	
		Red clover		3370	ر• ب ر	2380		4970		3570	

		А	cre yiel	.ds by 5	-year pe	riods		Averag per	e yield acre
		1921-1925		1926	-1930	1931	-1935	1921	1935
Rotation No. Crops		Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay
		Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
39	Corn Oats(swcl) Corn Wheat Red clover	77.5 63.4 70.8 35.1	3140 2530 2790 3090 3410	74.1 70.2 69.4 37.4	3630 2920 3280 3180 2770	64.0 45.3 73.5 33.3	3570 2860 3400 4090 5140	71.9 59.6 71.2 35.3	3450 2770 3160 3450 3770
40	Corn Oats Alfalfa Alfalfa Alfalfa	83.7 65.0	3240 2620 5270 5640 6200	84.0 75.0	3780 2940 4910 7020 6490	78.5 48.6	4030 2890 5590 8850 10,830	82.1 62.9 	3680 2820 5260 7170 7840

*Four years only.

. .

ł

Table 6. Continuous Culture, Period II

				Average yields by 3 and 4-year periods							15-3	year	
Culture	Crop	Manure	Fertil-	1936- 3-1	-1938 /r.	1939 4-	-1942 yr.	1943 4-	-1946 yr.	1947· 4-3	-1950 yr.	ave: 1936-	rage -1950
No.	-	per acre	izer 0-14-7	Grain	Stover or straw	Grain	Stover or straw	Grain	Stover or straw	Grain	Stover or straw	Grain	Stover or straw
		n galanta Manaya da Angara ya Angara da Angara da Katala ya ya ya ya ya ya ya kata ya kata ya kata ya kata ya y	Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
ClOl	Corn	None	150	17.2	1290	6.8	1460	11.2	1310	14.6	1290	12.1	1340
C102	Corn*	2 Т.	150	27.4	1450	13.4	1810	24.2	1630	14.6	1290	22.2	1620
C103	Soybeans	None	150	16.5	2490	10.1	1130	10.7	1430	10.9	1500 ¹	11.8	1590 ²
C104	Oats	None	150	50.5	2400	44.7	2220	36.5	1800	25.5	1420	38.6	1930
C105	Wheat	None	150	32.1	4020	27.8	2480	26.3	2460	20.7	1840	26.4	2680

(Continued from Period I with some changes in treatment)

*Followed potatoes in Period I.

¹ 2-yr. average ² 13-yr. average

Table 7. Continuous Corn, Period II

(Started on productive soil, 1936)

					Ave		14-	year			
Culture No.	Crop	Cover crop or manure per acre	Fertil- izer 9-14-7	4-y 1937 Grain	ear -1940 Stover	5-year 1941-1945 Grain Stover		5-ye 1946- Grain	ar 1950 Stover	ave <u>1937</u> Grain	rage -1950 Stover
			Lb/A	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
C106	Corn	Rye or ryegrass	150	35.1	2190	25.3	1950	27.0	1840	28.7	1980
C107	Corn	Rye or ryegrass and hairy vetch	150	42.3	2650	37.6	2420	46.4	2420	42.1	2490
C108	Corn	2 tons manure	150	45.9	2750	38.5	2350	39.7	2120	41.0	2380
C109	Corn	None	150	40.4	2440	30.3	2140	29.7	1830	33.0	2120
C110	Corn	Sweetclover	150	44.7	2490	34.2	2130	32.6	1780	36.6	2110 -

				Acre yields by 4-year periods							year
Ro-	Crops	Crop residues	Ferti- lizer	1939	-1942	1943	-1946	1947	-1950	yi 1939	elds -1950
00,01011		or manure	0-14-7	Grain	Stover or	Grain	Stover or	Grain	Stover or	Grain	Stover or
No.		P01 0010	Lb/A.	Bu.	<u>straw</u> Lb.	 Bu.	<u>straw</u> Lb.		<u>straw</u> Lb.	 Bu	<u>straw</u> Lb
101	Corn	4 T. manure	150	69.6	3760	57.8	3540	69.7	3200	65.7	3500
	Oats(swcl)	None	150	64.1	2800	55.7	2120	44.1	2140	54.6	2350
102	Corn** Oats(swcl)#	Oats straw Corn stover	150 150	69.8 63.2	••••	59.7 55.1	••••	73.0 39.2	••••	67.5 52.5	••••
103	Corn	None	150	59.2	3310	46.9	2920	59.5	2640	55.2	2950
	Oats(swcl)	None	150	54.4	2500	43.0	1480	38.1	1760	45.2	1920
104	Corn	None	150	64.4	3460	49.7	3040	62.1	2860	58.8	3120
	Oats(swcl)	None	150	59.6	2660	49.7	1680	40.3	1960	49 . 9	2100
105	Corn	None	150	49.8	2880	36.4	2380	50.2	2450	45.4	2570
	Oats	None	150	44.7	1910	42.3	1370	33.7	1640	40.3	1640
106	Corn	None	150	50.0	2990	33.9	2420	51.7	2330	45.2	2580
	Wheat	None	150	22.7	1720	22.4	1640	23.1	1890	22.7	1750
107	Corn	None	150	59.6	3400	46.3	3000	68.2	3080	58.1	3160
	Wheat(swcl)	None	150	22.9	2420	27.6	2010	28.6	2470	28.7	2300
108	Corn** Wheat(swcl)#	Wheat straw Corn stover	150 150	64.3 32.8	••••	48.6 31.1	. 	74.1 29.4	• • • •	62.3 31.1	• • • •
109	Corn	4 T. manure	150	70.4	3980	53.0	3580	74.5	3450	66.0	3670
	Wheat(swcl)	None	150	31.5	3070	36.2	2820	33.9	3120	33.9	3000
110	Corn¢	None	150	65.7	3600	50.3	3330	73.3	3380	63.1	3440
	Wheat(swcl)	None	150	31.7	2810	29 . 2	2160	31.4	2760	30.8	2580

Table 8. Two-year Rotations, Period II

.

%Influenced by previous rotation of Period I.
**Corn picked.
#Small grain combined.

المتحجين المادية بالمحديد والمادين مراجع المتحوي والهما والمعادية

۴

4

-39-

۰.

				Acre yi		12 - y	ear				
Ro- tation	Crops	Crop residues	Ferti- izer	1939	-1942	1943	-1946	1947	-1950	aver yiel 1939	age ds -1950
		or manure per acre	0-14-7	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay
No.			Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
111	Corn Wheat Clover	None None None	150 300	68.6 38.2	3670 3330 5100	54.4 37.9	3630 3300 4160	77.0 37.9	3560 3460 4640	66.7 38.0	3620 3360 4630
112	Corn Wheat Clover	бТ. manure None None	150 300	74.3 36.8	4010 3920 5890	62.7 46.1	3990 4000 4450	79.9 39.9	3730 4380 5070	72.3 41.0	3910 4100 5140
113	Corn Wheat Alfalfa	6 T. manure None None	150 300	72.9 38.3	4130 4000 6510	59.5 44.7	3970 3870 5200	79.7 40.7	3670 4340 5780	70.7 41.2	3920 4070 5830
114	Corn Wheat Alfalfa	None None None	150 300	69.3 36.0	3620 3520 5460	56.7 43.4	3530 3580 5340	72.2 37.6	3470 3770 5260	66.1 39.0	3540 3620 5350
115	Corn Wheat Timothy	None None None	150 300	56.3 33.6	3180 2760 3840	49.6 37.4	3100 3000 3980	70.3 32.9	3220 3190 2800	58.7 34.6	3170 2990 3540
117	Corn Wheat Alf-clo-tim	None None None	150 300	62.1 33.3	3540 2970 5380	50.7 42.8	3480 3740 5830	76.9 35.3	3440 3520 6080	63.2 37.1	3490 3410 5760
118	Corn Wheat Sweetclover	None None Not removed pd. for corn	150 300	70.5 38.4	3890 306 0	52.3 40.8	3430 3560	78.1 36.8	3490 3570	67.0 38.7	3600 3400
119	Corn#	Nate straw	150	60.0	* * * *		••••	••••	• • • •	••••	• • • •
	Oats## Alfalfa	Corn stover None	300	64.0	6640	50.5 60.0	6080	79.9 44.8	 6920	65.6 56.1	•••• 6540
120	Corn Oats Alfalfa	None None None	150 300	62.3 62.6	3540 3150 6460	47.7 61.8	2850 2500 5340	76.4 47.4	3500 2260 5760	62.1 57.3	3290 2640 5860

Table 9. Three-year Rotations, Period II

#Corn picked ##Oats combined

ę.

¥

-04-

ببو

٠

					Acre yields by 4-year periods					l2-year average yields	
Ro-	Crops	Crop	Fertil-	1939 - 1	.942	<u>1943-1</u>	946	1947-1	950	1939	-1950
tation		residues or manure per acre	0-14-7	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or bay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay
No.			Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
121	Corn Oats Wheat Clover	None None None None	150 150 300	57.0 64.1 38.5	2970 2800 3100 3660	45.4 56.1 34.9	2840 2040 2720 4320	68.0 48.7 35.3	3030 2080 3180 3860	56.8 56.3 36.2	2950 2300 3000 3940
122	Corn Oats Wheat	6 tons manure None (2 tons manure) (top dr. winter)	150 150 300	66.3 67.2 37.2	3580 3140 3240	57.3 58.4 38.5	3190 2380 3030	- 76.7 50.2 35.7	3330 2340 3360	66.8 58.6 37.1	3360 2620 3210
123	Clover Corn Oats Alf,Cl,T. Wheat(swcl)	None None None None None	150 150 300	64.6 66.3 ,39.6	4400 3370 2900 5030 4280	52.8 58.0 37.8	4900 3090 2180 6220 3900	70.8 49.4 	5400 3230 2280 4970 3650	62.7 57.9 37.0	4900 32 30 2450 5410 3940
124	Corn Soybean hay Wheat Clover	None None None None	150 150 300	61.5	3280 5660 2680 3290	54.5 39.5	3050 3760 3120 3970	73.3 34.4	3150 4 <u>340</u> 1/ 3000 5110	63.1 36.6	3160 4610 <u>2</u> , 2930 4120
125	Corn Sovbeans.	None (Combined	150	69.6	3540	54.0	3080	73.3	3250	65.7	3290
. •	combined Wheat Clover	straw) None None	150 300	23.3 34.5	2420 4450	17.5 37.2	2820 4580	1 6.5 <u>1</u> 32.4	/ 2820 4960	19.3 ² 37.4	2/ 2680 4660
126	Corn	None	150	64.0	3440	55.1	3230	74.2	3310	64.4	3330
	Soybeans, binder hvst. Wheat Clover	None None None	150 300	20.4 31.0	2820 2440 3470	14.¢ 33.4	2200 2600 4260	16.4 29.2	1960 <u>1</u> / 2620 4560	17.0 31.2	2360 ² / 2560 / 4100
128	Corn Wheat Alfalfa (1) Alfalfa (2)	Straw Stover None None	150 450	77.5 38.4	 6590 9280	55.0 42.4	5760 8300	79.6 43.2	5160 8110	70.0 41.1	5840 8560

Table 10. Four-year Rotations, Period II

4

₩,

¥.

÷

-14-

Table 10 (continued)

• <u>••••••</u> ••• <u>•</u> ••• <u>•</u> •• <u>•</u> •• <u>•</u> •• <u>•</u> ••		Crop		Acre yields by 4-year periods							12-year average	
Ro-	Crops	residues	Fertil-	1939-	1942	1943-	1946	1947-	1950	1939-	1ds 1950	
tation		or manure per acre	izer 0-14-7	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	
No.			Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	
129	Corn Wheat	6 tons manure 2 tons manure winter top dr	150 450	77.9 39.4	4280 3970	57.5 44.2	3490 4060	78.1 41.4	3320 4590	71.1 41.7	3700 4200	
	Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2)	None None		••••	7420 9940	••••	5830 8 400	••••	4260 7440	••••	5840 8590	
130	Corn Wheat Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2)	None None None None	150 450	72.7 37.1	3910 3700 4200 7040	51.3 44.5 	3230 3860 4390 6860	74.4 40.1	3020 4440 3520 5880	66.1 40.6	3380 4000 4040 6590	

-42-

 $\frac{1}{3-yr}$. average $\frac{2}{11-yr}$. average

Bo-		Cron	Fertil-		Acre y	ields by	4-year	periods		12- ave	year rage
tation	Crops	residues	izer	1939	-1942	1943	-1946	1947	-1950	1939	-1950
		or manure per acre	0-14-7	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay	Grain	Stover straw or hay
No.			Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
131	Corn Oats Wheat Clover,timothy Timothy	None None None None	150 150 450	59.4 61.3 37.6	3160 3020 3530 4220 5590	52.3 57.7 31.1	3200 2400 3640 3860 4680	74.1 49.4 29.2	3340 2220 3190 4950 3200	61.9 56.1 32.6	3230 2540 3150 4340 4490
132	Corn Oats Wheat	6 tons manure None 4 tons manure winter ton dr	150 150 450	74.9 61.5 39.1	4360 3180 4140	61.0 56.2 35.8	3600 2700 3240	79.2 53.5 31.1	3640 2620 3720	71.7 57.1 35.3	3860 2840 3700
	Clover,timothy Timothy	None None		••••	4840 6540	••••	4290 5620	••••	6000 3980	••••	5040 5380
133	Corn Wheat Alf,Clo,T Alf,T Alfalfa,timothy	None None None None	150 450 150	74.0 39.7	4240 3530 5650 5960 8450	60.3 40.6	3910 3980 4800 6330 7880	76.5 41.3 	3670 4340 5390 4030 5570	70.3 40.5	3940 3950 5280 5440 7300
134	Corn Wheat Clover,timothy Timothy Timothy	None None None None None	150 450 150	66.3 36.1	3870 3280 4730 4400 4610	56.3 40.3	3600 3780 4360 5460 4880	76.5 40.4	3370 4150 4840 3920 3100	66.4 38.9 	3610 3740 4640 4590
136	Corn Wheat	6 tons manure 4 tons manure	150 450	75.5 39.4	4530 4540	61.8 44.2	4070 4600	79.4 37.8	3810 4480	72.3 40.5	4140 4540
	Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2) Alfalfa(3)	winter top dr. None None None	150	••••	7420 9600 9710	••••	5870 8220 8480	••••	7490 <u>1</u> / 8260 <u>1</u> / 9440 <u>1</u> /	••••	6870 ² / 8730 ² / 9190 ² /

Table 11. Five-year Rotations, Period II

5

÷

-43-

Table 11 (continued)

				Acre yields by 4-year periods							year erage lds
Ro- tation	Crops	Crop residues or manure per acre	Fertil- izer 0-14-7	1939 Grain	-1942 Stover straw or hay	1943 Grain	-1946 Stover straw or hay	<u>19</u> 47 Grain	-1950 Stover straw or hay	<u>1939</u> Grain	-1950 Stover straw or hay
No.		·	Lb/A.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.	Bu.	Lb.
137	Corn(1) Corn(2) Wheat Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2)	None None None None	150 150 450	71.2 67.9 32.3	4020 3460 2380 6950 9490	56.6 55.4 35.8	3360 3210 2820 5000 6990	77.7 69.7 26.0	3590 3020 2620 4050 7210	68.5 64.3 31.4	3660 3230 2610 5340 7900
138	Corn(l) Corn(2) Corn(3) Wheat Alfalfa	None None None None None	150 150 150 300	68.8 63.1 50.7 20.4	3670 3200 2910 1560 9340	45.5 52.3 42.1 26.5	2880 2710 2680 1720 3820	73.2 60.6 46.2 26.5	3450 2710 2360 1880 5000	62.5 58.7 46.3 24.5	3330 2880 2650 1720 6520
139	Corn Wheat Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2) Alfalfa(3)	Straw Stover None None None	150 450 150	79.3 42.6 	6600 9190 9540	62.1 44.4 	5670 6980 8710	80.3 38.9	5960 <u>1</u> / 7670 9330 <u>1</u> /	73.9 42.0	6080 ² / 7970 9180 ² /
140	Corn Wheat Alfalfa(1) Alfalfa(2) Alfalfa(3)	None None None None	150 450 150	76.9 42.6 	4130 4070 4250 6840 7700	60.6 43.7	3720 4280 3470 5960 6990	80.5 41.4 	3660 4220 5030 <u>1</u> / 7350 <u>1</u> / 7910 <u>1</u> /	72.7 42.6	3840 4190 41802/ 66602/ 7500 ² /

<u>l</u>/ 3-yr. average <u>2</u>/ ll-yr. average

ť

-1/1/-

. . . .

was continued as Plot ClO1. In Period I, despite the manure that was added, Plot Cl lost more nitrogen from the soil than any other by a wide margin. In the Period II, this was true of plots ClO6 to Cl10 but Plot ClO1, already at a low level, lost comparatively little. On the depleted soil, two tons of manure per acre per year (Plot ClO2) gave an average of 10 bushels per acre more corn than unfertilized with nitrogen.

On productive soil, two tons per acre of manure (Plot ClO8) gave an average increase of 8 bushels of corn. The only green manure crop to produce more corn than this was ryegrass and hairy vetch and this difference, l.l bushels, was not significant. Corn after ryegrass yielded less than corn with no cover crop by over 4 bushels per acre, a result which is quite general with either rye or ryegrass not supplemented with liberal amounts of nitrogen. The low value of sweetclover, especially white sweet clover, sown in the summer in corn by ordinary methods is indicated by the less than 4-bushel increase obtained as a 14-year average.

<u>Continuous potatoes</u>. The plot (C2) in continuous potatoes merely demonstrated that one cultivated crop is much like another in its effects on soil nitrogen. Its yield was almost level after the first crop, and its similarity to continuous corn was much more noticeable than the differences. The failure of continuous potatoes on these slightly fertilized plots does not mean that continuous or nearly continuous potatoes are necessarily a failure when well fertilized and cared for.

Continuous soybeans. During Period I we were learning better how to grow soybeans in Ohio. This, or unknown factors, resulted in increasing yields of the Check Group soybeans and of soybeans on farms in the state. A slight upward tendency of the regression line for continuous soybean yields probably reflects the same factors. In Period II the yield of continuous soybeans slowly declined. Presumably because of the small root system of the soybean, soybeans in rows were not appreciably different from the corn or other cultivated crops in building up nitrogen in the soil. During Period I, only three plots lost more nitrogen than the continuous soybean plot and one of those was the corn-soybean rotation. Nitrogen in the soil in Plot Clo3 was in equilibrium during Period II (Table 13). It is not clear why continuous soybeans should yield less than soybeans in rotation with the same fertility treatment, but they have done so in all experiments in Ohio. The possibility that soil-borne diseases are a factor is worth studying here.

<u>Continuous cats</u>. Continuous cats with a sweetclover green manure crop yielded 9.9 bushels per acre less than the check group but the same amount of straw. In Period II there was no sweetclover catch crop and the continuous cats not only yielded 18.3 bushels less grain than the Check Group but also 700 pounds per acre less straw. The soil of the plots, which gained in nitrogen in Period I, lost in nitrogen in Period II. These changes reflect the loss of the nitrogen in the sweetclover and the manure. As with soybeans, the reason for the much lower yields of continuous cats than the cats in rotation in Period I is not clear. The difference may be associated with diseases, although there was no evidence of it. It hardly seems possible that the difference lay in soil fertility effects. The variety Miami, used here, dropped in yield in a good rotation in the variety test over the same period, at the annual rate of 1.6 bushels per acre.

Continuous wheat. In all studies of continuous cropping, wheat has shown smaller proportionate reductions in yield than most crops. This was especially notable in Period I when a catch crop of sweetclover sown with the wheat very nearly maintained the wheat yield at the same point as the Check Group wheat. The sweetclover catch crop furnished almost, if not actually, enough nitrogen for maximum wheat yields. When no legume was sown, in Period II, continuous wheat averaged 10.5 bushels per acre less than the Check Group wheat. The loss would have been even greater, except that volunteer black medic* made considerable growth on the plot, covering the ground and producing the indicated small gain in soil nitrogen. During both periods, continuous wheat yielded from 1/4 to 1/3 more pounds per acre of grain than continuous oats.

Two-year Rotations

In Period I there were ten two-year rotations (Table 2). Not more than half of these can be considered feasible in Ohio farming. In Period II this was recognized and all ten rotations consisted of variants of two that were in the original series.

Rot. 1, C-Cl, and 2, C-Swcl, involved attempting to obtain a red clover or sweetclover stand for hay in the corn. With four tons of manure, the corn yields were fully equal or slightly superior to the Check Group yield, but corn was grown in only one year out of two, and a quarter to a third of a ton of low grade hay was only return in the other year.

Rot. 9, W-Cl, and 10, W-Swcl, paired wheat with the same two legumes. These rotations produced excellent yields of both wheat and hay but they would not ordinarily be profitable.

Rot. 8, S-W(swcl) demonstrated early what the Madison County experiments (Bul. 847**) later showed in detail, that soybeans were not increased in yield being preceded by a sweetclover catch crop. At the same time the wheat yields were not equal to those following corn in Rot. 6, C-W(swcl). In the Madison County experiments, wheat in S-W(swcl) outyielded wheat in C-W(swcl) by a considerable margin, as one would anticipate.

Rot. 7, P-W(swcl), when compared to Rot. 6, confirmed the general opinion that wheat yields more following potatoes than following corn, but the rotation, though possible, is not adapted to present-day conditions.

In Rot. 4, C-SpW(swcl), spring wheat is an excellent companion crop for sweetclover but, as always in Ohio, yielded rather less than half as much as winter wheat, so that by no stretch of the imagination could it be called a profitable crop. Corn was barely significantly better than in Rot. 6, C-W(swcl). This presumably reflects the better sweetclover usually obtained in spring grain.

Rot. 3, C-S, is the familiar "succotash rotation" of Northwestern Ohio. Here as in other experiments (Bul. 847) it is a poor rotation, with yields of both corn and soybeans significantly under the Check Group yields. However, both yields are significantly higher than continuous corn or continuous soybeans.

*Medicago lupulina

^{**}Throughout the remaining discussion there will be occasional references in this form to "Rotation Experiments in Paulding, Henry, and Madison Counties", Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 847, (1959) and "Experiments on the Use of Sweetclover for Green Manure", Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 839, 1959.

This leaves Rot. 5 and 6, the two rotations including corn followed by a small grain with a sweetclover catch crop, as the two most satisfactory and (except for Rotation 3) widely used two-year rotations and the only ones studied in Period II. The yields of corn and stover are significantly lower than the Check Group yields in both rotations as are the yields of wheat and oats straw. The fact that the stubble was clipped in August for over half of the 20-year period was a factor in this showing. The yield of corn in Rot. 5 is significantly greater than that in Rot. 6, although the difference is only 2.3 bushels. This reflects, in turn, the fact that the sweetclover stands were consistently better in oats than in wheat.

The two-year rotations in Period II were all studies of the corn, small grain rotation with or without a sweetclover catch crop (Bul. 839). Five were corn, wheat, and five were corn, oats. In each group there was one rotation in which there was no sweetclover, one in which the sweetclover catch crop was supplemented with four tons of manure per acre, and one in which the residues were returned. Corn yields equal to or above the Check Group yields were obtained when the sweetclover was supplemented with manure or residues. Manure gave the highest yields in the corn, wheat rotation, but residues outyielded manure (non-sig.) in the rotation. There is no significant difference between the yields of corn in the oats rotations and the wheat rotations as a group. The sweetclover green manure catch crop added twelve or thirteen bushels to the corn yield over corn, oats, or corn, wheat, alone.

The Three-year Rotations

The yields of ten 3-year rotations in Period I are reported in Table 3. Rot. 11, C-W-Cl, consistently gave significantly lower yields of corn than 12, C-O-Cl, 13, C-W-Swcl, or 14, C-W-A. Since the yields of clover were almost identical in 11 and 12, something other than the nitrogen supply from the legume crop must have affected the corn crop (Page 33).

The corn yields in Rot. 12, 13, and 14 are not significantly different. Sweetclover has long since been given up as a second year hay crop. Corn, small grain, alfalfa, almost an unknown rotation in Ohio when these rotations were begun, is now very important, a close second to C-W-Cl. It is probable that corn after one year of alfalfa usually slightly outyields corn after one year of red clover under Ohio conditions, but the difference is less than is often thought.

Corn after timothy yields less than corn following the legumes but again the differences are smaller than might be expected. Six tons of manure per acre on the timothy sod helped to erase the differences as did volunteer clover in the timothy. However, all rotation experiments in Ohio emphasize the high value of timothy as a crop to precede corn if the corn can obtain sufficient nitrogen from soil, manure, or fertilizer. Unless treated with herbicides there will almost always be volunteer legumes in timothy to fix considerable nitrogen.

In view of the fact that the red clover in Rot. 16, C-B-Cl, yielded as much as that in Rot. 12, it is hard to see why the yield of corn should be nearly 8 bushels less. The yields of barley are poor, as they frequently are following corn because of scab. Scab (<u>Gibberella zeae</u> (Schw.) Pitch) affects corn and corn is the most important source of infection in barley. A reciprocal effect, with a clover crop between, does not seem likely.

Three rotations including potatoes, 17, P-W-Cl, 18, P-O-Cl, and 20, S-P-W, are as non-realistic in practice as the other potato rotations. Naturally the yield

۲. ۲

of potatoes is least following soybeans. Manure was not applied to the potatoes in this rotation, as it was in Rot. 17 and 18. Potatoes, small grain, clover, is an excellent potato rotation but more fertilizer than was applied here is required to get good potatoes from this soil. However, we might remind ourselves that the average yield of potatoes in Ohio in the decade 1909-1918 was 81 bushels per acre, against 233 bushels for the period 1949-1956.

Rot. 19, S-W-Cl, is a satisfactory rotation so far as the yield of the crops involved is concerned, but there are few Ohio farms that can get along without corn, and soybeans following a legume is wasteful of the nitrogen accumulated. Comparing Rot. 19 with 8, S-W(swcl), the yield of wheat is nearly 10 bushels greater in the former - apparently, since the soybean yields are almost identical, a carry-over of more nitrogen from the clover sod than from the sweetclover green manure.

In Period II, the study was concentrated on alfalfa in the rotation. Rot. 14 was continued as were 11 and 15. A rotation devoting a year to unharvested sweetclover for green manure, 118, C-W-Swcl, produced no more corn or wheat than 114, C-W-A, which furnished nearly 3 tons of alfalfa hay. This result agrees with all other experiments on this system.

Corn in Rot. 112, C-W-Cl, and 113, C-W-A, plus 6 tons of manure on corn in each, outyielded 111, C-W-Cl, and 114, C-W-A, with no manure, by about 5 bu./A. The corn yields following alfalfa and clover were the same and those following the A,Cl,T mixture (Rot. 117) now generally recommended, were not significantly different.

Returning straw and stover for corn in a C-O-A rotation (Rot. 119) increased the yield somewhat over taking them off (Rot. 120), but Rot. 119 was not thought of as or intended to be a practical farm rotation. There was no advantage in corn yields for C-O-A over C-W-A, but the former produced, non-significantly, more alfalfa. On other soil types, alfalfa following oats is much surer and higheryielding than alfalfa after wheat (Bul. 847 and 588) - so much so that the A,Cl,T mixture is generally recommended when sowing hay in wheat.

Rot. 115, C-W-T, with no manure added in Period II, continued to give good yields of corn although they were lower than those following legumes.

The Four- and Five-year Rotations

The yields of ten 4-year rotations in Period I are given in Table 4 and of ten 5-year rotations in Table 5. Many of these rotations are most conveniently discussed together.

Rot. 21, C-O-W-Cl, has already been discussed (Page 19). This is a long-time standard rotation in the Wooster area, frequently lengthened to 5 or 6 years by leaving the meadow down for 2 (Rot. 31) or 3 years. There is no reason to believe that the corn yields in this rotation should be less than those in Rot. 23, C-C-W-Cl, as they are by 4 bu/A (just on the line of significance), so one suspects some unknown factors reducing the yields. C-O-W-Cl is not a very profitable rotation, but gives time to prepare for and harvest each crop, an important point on heavy soils in wet seasons. The data for Rot. 31, C-O-W-Cl, T-T, are similar. There is nothing wrong with these rotations except that there are too many low-profit crops in them.

Rot. 22, C-O-W-T, and 32, C-W-Cl,T-T-T, are very similar, and the corn yields, as in Rot. 15, C-W-T, are excellent - considerably the product of the 8 and 10 tons of manure applied, to be sure, but still emphasizing the value of grass sods on heavy soils. Rot. 33, C-C-W-Cl, T-T, and 34, C-P-W-Cl,T-T, also have good yields of first-year corn after timothy - there are no exceptions.

The four- and five-year potato rotations are subject to the same limitations as the shorter ones. Rot. 24, C-P-W-Cl, and 25, P-C-W-Cl, are identical except for order. By putting corn first we obtained 9 bushels more corn; by putting potatoes first, 60 bushels more potatoes; if one must choose between these rotations, it is obvious that potatoes should come first - an illustration of the general principle that the crop which produced the most economic return from the good tilth developed and nitrogen accumulated by the sod crop should follow it.

Potatoes came first in Rot. 25, in 26, P-S-W-Cl, and 37, P-S-P-W-Cl, and after corn or soybeans in 24, 34, C-P-W-Cl,T-T, 36, C-P-S-W-Cl, and 37. The average potato yield of the first group was 193 bu/A; of the second, 141 bu/A; a further example of the principle above.

Wheat yields after potatoes were consistently higher than after corn; 37.9 bu/A in six rotations following potatoes and 33.2 bu/A in ll rotations following corn. The higher or lower wheat yields after corn are largely traceable to the nitrogen available to the wheat, and could be considerably modified in practice by nitrogen applications, though infection with scab often reduces the yield and quality of wheat in C-W rotations.

Looking back from 1960, it seems extraordinary that only four of these rotations included corn after corn. Rot. 32, C-W-Cl,T-T-T, 33, C-C-W-Cl,T-T, and 38, C-C-C-W-Cl, studied 1/5 to 3/5 corn with 3/5 to 1/5 meadow. Second and third-year corn did not equal first year corn + 10 tons of manure, of course; The remarkable feature is that the yields held up as well as they did. In Rot. 23, C-C-W-Cl, second-year corn yielded less than third-year corn in Rot. 38; another example of plot variation. The low yields of first-year corn in Rot. 23 and 38 testify to exhaustion of nitrogen under heavy use and low supply.

Rot. 27, C-S-W-Cl; is a rotation that has stood the test of time. Here, because of insufficient fertility for so intensive a rotation, the average corn yields are low.

Rot. 28, C-O-Cl-W(swcl), is the rotation originally suggested by C. G. Hopkins*. It gave good yields, but not enough higher than simpler 4-year rotations to justify the extra labor.

In Rot. 29, C-O(swcl)-C-W(swcl), two good short rotations are combined into a four-year rotation. The same is done in Rot. 39, C-O(swcl)-C-W-Cl, to make a five-year rotation. One wonders why the second corn yielded so poorly in Rot. 29, and so well in Rot. 39. There seems to be more difference than the presence of one sod crop in five years would account for, but perhaps not. Certainly Rot. 29 makes one of the poorest showings for sweetclover of any Station experiment (Bul. 839).

*Soil Fertility and Permanent Agriculture, P. 228. Ginn and Co. 1910. 653 pages.

-49-

Rot. 30, C-A-A-A, is a rotation tried before its time, but its failure did much to stimulate studies of sowing alfalfa in corn. In 7 years out of the 15, alfalfa stands were failures and the first season after corn had to be used in establishing the crop. Even so, the nitrogen accumulated resulted in higher corn yields than any other rotation except Rot. 40, C-O-A-A-A. This last rotation points the way to successful alfalfa and corn production in Ohio. As it stands here, this rotation produced more total digestible nutrients for livestock than any other rotation studied. It would have done still better as a 7-year rotation with 3 years of corn and more fertilizer.

Rot. 35, C-C-S-W-Cl, is the only rotation in Period I with any application to soybean culture today, and here the soybeans were harvested as hay for the last ten years. When these rotations were planned, soybeans were thought of as - a soil-building legume. Its deficiencies in that regard, and its use as a cash grain crop, were both to appear 20 years later.

In Period II, the emphasis was shifted, as in the 3-year rotations, to working alfalfa into the rotation. Also, management and manure variables were introduced, but no commercial fertilizer variables were included.

Three four-year rotations studied soybeans in the rotation, but studied only method of harvesting. All were C-S-W-Cl, but in Rot. 124 the soybeans were harvested for hay, in 125 with a combine and 126 with a binder. The significance of the latter today is to study the value of the straw for crop production, since it was removed by the binder harvest. The corn yields varied little, but the wheat yield was larger following combine harvest by 6.2 bu/A, a highly significant difference. The wheat following soybean hay was essentially equal to that following the combined soybeans.

The rotation selected in the late '30's as the best soil-conserving rotation in Ohio, C-W-A-A, was not studied in Period I, but three treatments of it were included in Period II: Rot. 128, with corn stover and wheat straw returned; 129, with 6 tons manure plowed down for corn and 2 tons topdressed on the wheat during the winter; and 130, with no special treatment. Corn with 6 tons manure made 5 bu/A more than without, a significant difference. Returning the straw and stover was essentially equal to the manure, but the straw application for corn is not feasible in farm practice, and with large corn crops the mechanical difficulty of seeding wheat in corn stalks often results in losses instead of gains. Here the wheat yields were not different. The alfalfa yields were about $l\frac{1}{2}$ tons higher in Rot. 128 and 129 than in 130, presumably because of the potash returned by the manure and residues.

Rot. 121, C-O-W-Cl, continued Rot. 21, and like it had lower corn yields than seem normal. Rot. 122 was the same with 6 tons manure on corn and 2 tons winter top-dressed on wheat. The corn indicated a gain of 10 bu/A for 6 tons of manure, a larger gain than is usual, which again indicates that Rot. 121 was basically inferior in some factor or factors. The mulch on the wheat produced a highly significant increase in clover hay - 960 lb/A.

When these two rotations are compared with the corresponding 3-year rotations, lll and ll2, the 3-year rotations produced significantly more corn and stover, both with and without manure. This reflects the greater nitrogen supply with a legume one year in three. In fact the 3-year rotation without manure (lll) produced as much corn as the manured corn in the 4-year rotation (122).

Rot. 123, C-O-A,Cl,T-W(swcl), is the Hopkins rotation improved by using the alfalfa mixture instead of clover. The mixture produced a highly significant 3/4 ton more hay than clover in Rot. 121, and corn was a significant 5.9 bushels higher, but wheat and oats yields were not different. Again, and as in the test in Henry County (Bul. 847), the rotation has failed to justify itself.

In the 5-year rotations, there was the same comparison of treatments on the C-W-A-A-A rotation that there was with C-W-A-A in the 4-year rotation. Rot. 140 had no manure or residue, 139 had the straw and stover returned for the corn crop, and 136 received manure - 4 tons winter top-dressing on the wheat here, and 6 on corn. The wheat yields were similar in the three rotations and similar to those of the 4-year rotations. The winter-topdressing, as in the 4-year rotations, produced large increases in alfalfa - almost a ton per acre per year more than Rot. 140, but the potash furnished by the manure and residues may also be a factor here. The manure produced more alfalfa than the straw and stover. The corn yields were alike; for the only time in this series, manure plowed down has not given an increase in the corn crop. Was nitrogen, then, not the limiting factor?

If we compare Rot. 113, 129, and 136 as a group (C-W-A for 1, 2, or 3 years, manured) with Rot. 114, 130, and 140 (same, not manured) the corn yields are the same for the manured set, 71-72 bushels, but reach that figure only after three years of alfalfa in the unmanured set. It seems that for the combination of soil, climate, and cultural practices used here, some factor other than nitrogen was holding corn yields to a 71-73 bushels per acre maximum. Dr. Haynes* feels that too low a rate of planting was a major factor; potash deficiency may also be a factor.

Rot. 131 and 132, C-O-W-Cl,T-T, differ in having 6 tons manure on corn and 4 on wheat in 132, and none on 131. Here the manure produced about 10 bu/A more c orn than no manure, and the same yield as Rot. 136, C-W-A-A-A plus manure. The cats yields in Rot. 131 and 132 were not different; the wheat yields in 132 were slightly higher; again the winter mulch in wheat added appreciably to the hay crop.

Rot. 133 is C-W-A,Cl,T-A,T-A,T. It produced essentially (diff. non-sig.) as much corn, wheat, and hay as the alfalfa rotation, 140. Compared to Rot. 134, C-W-Cl,T-T-T, Rot. 133 produced a trifle (non-sig.) more corn and wheat and considerably more hay (sig. 3rd year).

The Period I study of 1/5, 2/5 and 3/5 of the land in corn was repeated with alfalfa as the sod crop in Period II. Rot. 140 had 1/5 the land in corn, 3/5 in alfalfa; Rot. 137 had 2/5 of the land in alfalfa and in corn; Rot. 138 had 3/5 of the land in corn, 1/5 in alfalfa. Wheat was the small grain, and showed extraordinary differences in yield. Wheat in Rot. 140 yielded 11.3 bu/A (highly sig.) more than 137, and in Rot. 137, 6.9 bu. (sig.) more than 138. The first-year hay yields are highest in Rot. 138, next in 137, and poorest in 140, reflecting the wheat in which they were sown - the poorer the wheat, the better the hay. First year corn yielded 10.2 bu/A (highly sig.) more in Rot. 140 than in 138; 137 was intermediate. The third-year corn in 138 yielded a fourth less than the first year, and only 64% of the first year yield in Rot. 140. Considering that no nitrogen was applied anywhere in any form these decreases seem moderate.

*Personal communication.

NITROGEN CONTENT OF THE SOILS

Table 12, Period I, and Table 13, Period II, give data on the gains and losses of nitrogen from the soil for each of these rotations. By pointing off two places, the figures for pounds of nitrogen per acre can be read as pounds of organic matter per acre 6 2/3 inches. E.g., 1530 pounds nitrogen per acre equals 15.3 tons organic matter per acre in the top soil.

The L.S.D. at 5% between nitrogen contents of the 2-year rotations was 320 pounds; of the 3-year rotations, 260 pounds; of the 4-year rotations, 220 pounds; and of the 5-year rotations, 220 pounds. The figures in general are extremely consistent and logical, as the following summary suggests:

·····	1	.936	1950			
Biennial or perennial legumes or grasses in the rotation	NumberPoundsrotationsnitrogenaveragedper acrein top soil		Number rotations averaged	Pounds nitrogen per acre in top soil		
None; continuous row crops	3	1640	3	1560		
Sweetclover catch crop only	9	2360	8	2290		
l year sod in 5 years l year sod in 4 years	4 6	2370 2500	1 6	2310 2410		
l year sod in 2 and 3 years	7	2740	9	2620		
2 years sod in 4 or 5 years	4	2600	9	2590		
years	3	2760	2	2740		

Likewise, the percent of intertilled crops in the rotation affects the nitrogen content of the soil:

Percent of intertilled crops	Number rotations avgd.	Pounds nitrogen per acre in soil 1936	Number rotations avgd.	Pounds nitrogen per*acre in soil 1950
100	4	1680	3	1570
67	l	2290	0	-
60	3	2390	l	2310
50	13	2410	10	2330
50, no legume		-	2	2030
40	4	2510	l	2420
33	9	2790	9	2630
25	4	2510	7	2470
20	3	2690	7	2660
0	4	2670	2	2570

Systems ClO6 to Cll0 were not included in the above tables. The two rotations in 1950 with half intertilled crops but no legume (C-O and C-W) had conspicuously heavy losses of nitrogen - significantly different from the same rotations with legumes.

These nitrogen studies combine with the yields to support the principle of equilibrium. The cropping systems, whatever variants are introduced, rapidly reach or move toward a level of yield and nitrogen content of the soil, and then tend to remain there.* The period 1936 to 1950 was too short for equilibrium to be reached in many rotations.

COMPARISONS OF CROP YIELDS IN VARIOUS ROTATIONS

Tables 14 to 20 (in the Appendix) compare the yields of different crops in the different rotations in which they were grown in Period I, giving the yields, the difference in yields, and the least significant difference at the 5% or 1% point for both grain and straw or stover. The comparisons are arranged by the number of the rotation, but in such a way that the differences in grain yields are always positive. The only negative differences occur in the straw or stover yields or in comparisons with Check Group yields which are placed second in every instance, and hence lead to some negative differences. These tables are for quick reference if one wishes to know how the yield of a crop in one rotation compares with that of another. Of course, despite the number of comparisons, it does not represent all possible comparisons, which would not be feasible. The comparisons are those which are most likely to be of agro-e economic interest. Tables 21 to 25 give similar data for Period II. When more years are available for comparison than those given in Tables 1 through 11, they have been used in these tables.

*This is discussed in detail, from these rotations, with several tables, by Haynes, J. L., and Thatcher, L. E., Crop Rotation and Soil Nitrogen, Soil Sci. Soc. of Amer. Proc. 19: 324-327. 1955.

	Rotation	Est. N per acre per year	Nitrogen per acre	Gain or loss(-)	Rank in
No.	Crops	in crops harvested*	1936 sample	nitrogen for the period	gain of N
		Lb.	Lb.	Lb.	
Cl	Cont. C	40	1530	-1000	45
C2	Cont. P	16	1590	-940	44
C3	Cont. S	52	1800	-730	42
C4	Cont. O(swcl)	44	2750	220	7
C5	Cont. W(swcl)	50	2420	-110	29
l	C-Cl	48	2560	30	18
2	C-Swel	51	2420	-110	28
3	C-S	55	1780	-750	43
4	C-Sp. W(swcl)		2260	-2'/0	40
2	C-O(SWCL)	60	2210	-320	41
6	C-W(swcl)	60	2320	-210	36
7	P-W(swcl)	42	2360	-170	33
8	S-W(swcl)	59	2380	-150	31
9	W-Cl	57	2760	230	4
10	W-Swcl		2760	230	5
11	C-W-Cl	76	2890	360	l
12	C-O-C1	63	2650	120	11
13	C-W-Swel	74	2620	90	13
14	C-W-A	32	2620	90	14
12	C-w-T	うう	2540	10	21
16	C-B-Cl	57	2600	70	16
17	P-W-Cl	52	2810	280	3
10	P-O-CL	48	2750	220	6
79	$S = W = C \perp$	63).g	2740	210	8
20	D-F-W(SWCL)	40	2290	-240	39
21	C-O-W-Cl	67	2460	-70	26
22	C = O = W = U	55	2330	-200	35
23	C(1)-C(2)-W-C1	63	2440	-90	27
25	P-C-W-Cl	55	2520	-10	24 23
06		c (0550		-
20 27		50	2550	20	20
28	C = O = C = -C = -C = -C = -C = -C = -C	60	2720		22
29	C(1) = O(swel) = C(2) = W(swel)	60	2300	-230	38
30	C-A(1)-A(2)-A(3)	84	2850	320	2

Table 12. Nitrogen Relations of Rotations, Period I, 1915-1935

Estimated soil nitrogen at start of Period I, 2530 pounds per acre

-54-

No.	Rotation Crops	Est. N per acre per year in crops harvested*	Nitrogen per acre 1936 sample	Gain or loss(-) nitrogen for the period	Rank in gain of N
		Lb.	Lb.	Lb.	
31	C-O-W-Cl,T-T	55	2630	100	12
32	C-W-Cl,T-T-T	53	2730	200	9
33	C(1)-C(2)-W-Cl,T-T	58	2600	70	17
34	C-P-W-Cl,T-T	54	2610	80	15
35	C(1)-C(2)-S-W-Cl	68	2470	- 60	25
36	C-P-S-W-Cl	60	2340	-190	34
37	P(1)-S-P(2)-W-Cl	52	2370	-160	32
38	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-W-Cl	64	2300	-230	37
39	C(1)-O(swcl)-C(2)-W-Cl	63	2550	20	19
40	C-O-A(1)-A(2)-A(3)	102	2710	180	10

Table 12, continued

*Based on standard analyses, not those of the crops removed.

					Gain or lo for the pe	oss(-) eriod
No.	Rotation	Est. N per acre per year in crops harvested	Nitrogen 1936 sample	per acre 1950 sample	N per acre	Rank in gain of N
		Lb.	Lb.	Lb.	Lb.	-
C101	Cont. C	28	1530	1400	-130	29
C102	Cont. C, manure	28	1590	1530	-60	25
C103	Cont. S	46	1800	1760	-40	21
C104	Cont. O	35	2750	2620	-130	30
C105	Cont. W(vol. black medic)	42	2420	2530	110	7
C106	Cont. C(rye or ryegrass)	41	2510	1940	-570	47
C107	Cont. C(ditto + vetch)	56	2340	2130	-210	36
C108	Cont. C, manure	54	2340	2030	-310	43
C109	Cont. C	45	2430	1900	-530	46
C110	Cont. C(swcl)	48	2470	2120	-350	45
101	C-O(swcl), manure	67	2560	2320	-240	40
102	C-O(swcl), residues	42	2420	2250	-170	33
103	C-O(swcl)	56	1780	2170	390	1'
104	C-O(swcl)	60	2260	2040	-220	39
105	C-O	51	2210	1940	-270	41
106	C-W	49	2320	2110	-210	37
107	C-W(swcl)	59	2360	2190	-170	34
108	C-W(swcl), residues	40	2380	2350	-30	18
109	C-W(swcl), manure	72	2760	2540	-220	38
110	C-W(swcl)	64	2760	2450	-310	44
111	C-W-Cl	70	2890	2610	-280	42
112	C-W-Cl, manure	77	2650	2690	40	13
113	C-W-A, manure	91	2620	2700	80	10
114	C-W-A	83	2620	2560	-60	24
115	C-W-T	52	2540	2480	-60	26
116 117 118 119 120	C-W-A,Cl,T C-W-Swcl C-O-A, residues C-O-A	76 47 73 83	2810 2750 2740 2290	2650 2720 2720 2410	-160 -30 -20 120	32 20 15 6
121 122 123 124 125	C-O-W-Cl C-O-W-Cl, manure C-O-A,Cl,T-W(swcl) C-S(hay)-W-Cl C-S(combined)-W-Cl	59 65 67 72 67	2460 2330 2440 2520 2520	2320 2460 2390 2340	-140 130 -50 -180 -20	31 4 23 35 16

Table 13. Nitrogen Relations of Rotations, Period II, 1936-1950

Table 13, continued

		Est. N per			Gain or lo for the pe	oss(-) eriod
	Rotation	acre per year	Nitrogen	per acre		Rank
No.	Crons	in crops	1936	1950	N	in
1.0.	01050	harvested	sample	sample	per acre	gain
<u> </u>				O•	LD.	01 10
126 127	C-S(binder)-W-Cl	65	2550	2450	-100	28
128	C-W-A(1)-A(2), residues	92	2390	2490	100	8
129	C-W-A(1)-A(2), manure	109	2300	2470	170	3
130	C-W-A(1)-A(2)	91	2850	2820	-30	19
131	C-O-W-Cl,T-T	54	2630	2590	-40	22
132	C-O-W-Cl,T-T,manure	62	2730	2710	-20	17
133	C-W-A,Cl,T-A,T-A,T	86	2600	2660	60	11
134 135	C-W-Cĺ,T-T-T	65	2610	2510	-100	27
136	C-W-A(1)-A(2),A(3), manure	123	2340	2640	300	2
137	C(1)-C(2)-W-A(1)-A(2)	94	2370	2420	50	12
138	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-W-A	77	2300	2310	10	1 4
139	C-W-A(1)-A(2)-A(3), residues	100	2550	2670	120	5
140	C-W-A(1)-A(2)-A(3)	98	2710	2810	100	9

-57-

SUMMARY: PRINCIPLES OF CROP ROTATION IN OHIO

Summarizing the preceding discussion and combining with conclusions from Ohio Agr. Expt. Station Bulletins 839 and 847, some principles of crop rotation on silt loam, silty clay loam and clay soils in Ohio may be stated.

In this discussion we have used "H" or "hay" rather than any specific legume or mixture. This hay in practice should be the most desirable mixture for the particular soil and the use for which planned. In Ohio it should include at least one legume, partly alfalfa if the soil permits, and necessarily alfalfa if profitable hay is to be had for more than one year, and one grass, timothy, smooth bromegrass, or orchardgrass, again depending on the situation. Because the Ohio soil-climate combination is not always favorable to alfalfa and because such a large percent of our meadows are sown in wheat, we favor the alfalfa, clover, grass mixture rather than alfalfa, grass in most Ohio situations.*

Is rotation necessary? Recently, strident voices have been raised declaring that rotations are out-of-date, unnecessary. The alternative, of course, is continuous cropping to a high value crop or crops, using heavy fertilizer applications. How feasible is this?

These experiments, unfortunately, do not throw much direct light on this problem. In company with practically all other rotation experiments of this period in the United States, they were planned on the tacit assumption that nitrogen could not profitably be purchased for field crops. Some belated attempts (Bul. 847) were made to apply enough fertilizer for continuous corn, but ordinary farm practice has already gone ahead of them.

Rotation is usually desirable when both grain and roughage for livestock are to be produced on one farm. Rotation permits one not to "put all his eggs in one basket". Insects, plant diseases, and weeds may <u>compel</u> rotation and have often done so, but chemical methods of controlling these pests are steadily reducing that compulsion.

For soil conservation the first situation in which rotation is essential is on sloping lands. Any overcropped soil can be reclaimed, <u>if the soil is still</u> <u>there</u>; but with our rainfall pattern, continuous cultivated crops on sloping soils are an invitation to land ruin. On considerably sloping lands, 6 to 8 percent slopes and above, the continuous cropping should be of sod crops. If these can, like some English pastures, be fertilized so as to remain unplowed for 400 years, so much the better.

For strip cropping on steep slopes in Ohio it is hard to find a rotation better than C-W-H-H. By proper selection of the stripped areas, half the land can be pastured after the wheat is harvested without fencing the separate strips, which avoids one of the serious objections to strip cropping. Certainly, one year in four is as often as one can permit intertilled crops on 5 to 6 percent slopes.

*See Handbook of Experiments in Agronomy, Ohio Agr. Exp. Sta. Special Cir. 53, 1938, Table 52, page 44.

On the broad, nearly level areas which in Ohio and the Midwest produce most of the grain, continuous corn or grain is feasible for a long time - indefinitely on some soils. The unanswered question concerning rotation versus continuous cropping is the effect of continuous cropping on the physical structure of heavy soils. Without heavy fertilization, we have abundant evidence of the disastrous effects of continuous cropping on heavy soils. It is claimed that by returning with abundant nitrogen the grain crop residues from heavy fertilization this physical deterioration can be prevented. We do not, today, have evidence sufficient to prove or disprove this claim.

Experimentally, so far in Ohio, we have not produced in continuous cropping as large corn yields as in a rotation with alfalfa, red clover, or sweetclover, but long-time heavy fertilization tests are lacking. The present tentative answer would be that the more clay there is in the soil, the less feasible is continuous corn over a 10- to 100-year period. On some fine sands, silts, and silt loams with a low proportion of clay it seems that continuous corn is entirely feasible. In the thought of the present writers, it is not feasible on clays or heavy silty clay loams.

However, continuous corn can be produced on these soils as long as it is economically profitable without permanent injury, provided the soil itself stays in place. The physical condition of soils injured by over-cropping to row crops can be brought back by greater use of the same grass-legume mixtures which would have prevented their breakdown.

Rotations for Ohio farms. All successful rotations in these experiments follow the general order cultivated crop--small grain-hay or forage. Any one of these components may continue from part of a year to several years, but always in that order. Attempts to go direct from cultivated crop to forage crop have been unsuccessful except for cover and green manure use, where frequent mediocre results can be tolerated. New methods and equipment may yet solve this problem.

The most common rotation in Ohio a few years ago was C-W-H, and it is still a good one. There is no evidence in Ohio experiments that there is any advantage in soil conservation or crop production on level land for C-W-H-H over C-W-H. C-O-H will give better alfalfa than C-W-H, and for a dairy-hog farm using considerable hay, the rotation C-C-C-O-H-H will give as much hay (or more, since second-year alfalfa usually outyields first-year) as two rounds of C-O-H. In effect, C-C-C-O-H-H substitutes a corn crop for an cats crop. It also saves the expense of one forage seeding.

Soybeans are now generally included in grain rotations in Ohio, though their cash return per acre is practically always less than that of corn. Corn yields per acre are generally about three times those of soybeans, so that an equal acre gross return would require a soybean price per bushel nearly three times that of corn, which has not been true recently. However, soys can be planted later and harvested earlier than corn. Wheat follows soys better than corn (Bul. 847). The labor distribution with some land in soybeans is better than with all corn. Soybeans should always follow corn in the rotation, at least they should not follow sod crops, since corn is more profitable there. Corn does well after soybeans, as do soybeans again - the objection is too many depleting crops. Soybeans are not a soil-building crop. If we assume that some forage sod crops are necessary in the rotation, we do not know how often they must appear. Tentatively, it seems that with sufficient fertilizer, sod crops one year in four or perhaps five may maintain even a moderately heavy soil in good condition. This would include such rotations as C-S-W-H, C-C-S-W-H, C-C-W-H, etc. This was not a sufficiently high percentage of sod crop for highest corn yields in these Ohio experiments, but they received only small amounts of fertilizer.

The "Hopkins rotation", C-O-A(swcl), did not give sufficiently better results in corn and small grain yields than simpler rotations to justify it.

If wheat and oats are in the same rotation, oats should precede wheat, as dictated by every consideration of seed-time and harvest.

The use of 2 to 4 tons per acre of manure, the strawiest available, on wheat any time before sowing legumes is one of the best ways to improve legume stands and yields after wheat.

Sweetclover is unexcelled as a catch crop to accumulate nitrogen after a small grain crop. Sweetclover, in the C-W(swcl) or C-O(swcl) rotation, will produce as much corn as 6 tons of manure per acre or commercial nitrogen up to 100 pounds per acre.

At present prices of nitrogen, we cannot afford to use an entire crop year just to fix nitrogen from the air, but the livestock producer, by growing large crops of legume hay, feeding it profitably and hauling out the manure, can increase his grain yields more economically than anyone who depends entirely on the fertilizer sack.

APPENDIX

Table 14. Comparative Yields of Corn

Period	Ι,	Fry	Farm	rotations
--------	----	-----	------	-----------

Rota	tions	,		Grain	,				Stover				
<u>comp</u>	pared (h)	Period	Bushe	els per	acre	L.S.	D.	Poun	ds per	acre	<u> </u>	5.D.	7
(a) 2 3 4 5 12	(b) L CL 5 6 5	20 16 13 19 18 _	(a) 74.0 55.7 67.8 66.4 71.9	(b) 71.9 27.0 65.8 64.1 66.1	(a-b) 2.1 28.7 2.0 2.3 5.8	2% 4.2 3.5 1.8 7.9	 6.6 	(a) 3240 2540 2760 2940 3220	(b) 3250 1880 2740 2910 2920	(a-b) -10 660 20 30 300	5% 160 - 340 155 300		ō
12 12 12 12 13	15 16 23C(1) 38C(1) 6	18 15 17 17 18	71.9 72.1 73.0 73.0 75.9	67.2 64.4 68.3 69.1 64.1	4.7 7.7 4.7 3.9 11.8	3.8 6.7 6.7 5.0	- - - 9.5	3220 3280 3270 3270 3480	3100 3100 3260 3220 2900	120 180 10 50 580	235 230 240 235	- - 335	5
13 13 14 14 14 14	12 15 12 13 15	18 18 18 18 18	75.9 75.9 77.0 77.0 77.0	71.9 67.2 71.9 75.9 67.2	4.0 8.7 5.1 1.1 9.8	5.6 - 5.9 3.6 -	4.7 - 7.1	3480 3480 3610 3610 3610	3220 3100 3220 3480 3100	260 380 390 130 510	335 - 345 190 -	340 - 340)
14 22 23C(2) 24 24	30 15 38c(2) 23c(1) 27	17 17 16 17 17	78.6 72.0 59.8 72.6 72.6	78.3 68.8 56.5 68.3 68.4	0.3 3.2 3.3 4.3 4.2	6.1 7.5 8.6 4.2	- - 4.1	3670 3320 2670 3330 3340	3490 3160 2680 3260 3110	180 160 -10 70 230	320 300 280 165 235		
24 25 27 28 29C(1)	25 23C(2) 23C(1) 12 39C(1)	16 16 17 17 16	71.2 62.2 68.4 75.3 72.1	62.2 56.5 68.3 73.0 71.4	9.0 5.7 0.1 2.3 0.7	9.0 4.0 4.1 9.9 7.4	- - - -	3330 2880 3110 3220 2430	2880 2680 3260 3270 3470	450 200 -150 -50 -1040	370 - 155 395 -	185 - 835	5
32 32 32 33C(1) 33C(1)	3 1 33C(1) 38C(1) 31 38C(1)	16 16 16 16 16	75.7 75.7 75.7 71.6 71.6	69.3 71.6 67.7 69.3 67.7	6.4 4.1 8.0 2.3 3.9	- 3.4 7.0 3.0 6.7	5.0 - - -	3720 3720 3720 3370 3370	3280 3370 3250 3280 3250	440 350 470 90 120	- 275 380 270 315	290)
33C(2) 34 34 36 38C(1)	38C(2) 31 33C(1) 35C(1) 23C(1)	15 16 16 16 17	63.0 73.5 73.5 74.3 69.1	60.2 69.3 71.6 70.2 68.3	2.8 4.2 1.9 4.1 0.8	3.5 - 3.3 3.6 4.8	3.5 - -	2900 3570 3570 3620 3220	320 328 337 346 326	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 22 \\ 0 & 29 \\ 0 & 20 \\ 0 & 20 \\ 0 & 16 \\ 0 & -4 \end{array}$	202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202	245 255 245 255 65	
38C(1) 38C(1) 38C(3) 39C(2) 40	38c(2) 38c(3) 38c(2) 29c(2) 14	15 14 14 14 16	67.7 67.7 61.1 71.7 81.4	60.2 61.1 59.5 57.9 77.9	7.5 6.6 1.6 13.8 3.5	10.5 10.0 7.6 7.2	- 7.4	3200 3240 2710 3200 3700	268 271 270 256 372	0 52 0 53 0 1 0 64 0 -2	20 30 .0 3 10 20 4	- 370 - 25	400 500 310

Table 14, continued

/

Rotat	ions		<u>, -</u>	Grain					Stover			
comp	ared	Period	Bush	els per	acre	L.S.	D.	Poun	ds per	acre	L.S	.D.
<u>(a)</u>	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	<u></u>
40 40	30 32	16 16	81.4 81.4	77.4 75.7	4.0 5.7	5.5 4.9	- `	3700 3700	3520 3720	180 -20	335 ,300	- -
Cl 2 3 5	Check Check Check Check Check	20 20 20 16 20	29.2 71.9 74.0 55.7 66.0	70.8 70.8 70.8 71.5 70.8	-41.6 1.1 3.2 -15.8 -4.8	2.3 3.8 4.5	11.7 - 10.3	1910 3250 3240 2540 2960	3230 3230 3230 3340 3230	-1320 20 10 -800 -270	- 125 160 -	395 - 255 250
6 12 13 14 15	Check Check Check Check Check	19 18 18 18 18	64.1 71.9 75.9 77.0 67.2	71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5	-7.4 0.4 4.4 5.5 -4.3	4.8 4.7 4.5 4.8	7.4 - - -	2910 3220 3480 3610 3100	3260 3260 3260 3260 3260	-350 -40 230 350 -160	- 220 280 275 330	320 - - -
16 22 23C(1) 24 27	Check Check Check Check Check	15 17 17 17 17	64.4 72.0 68.3 72.6 68.4	72.0 72.6 72.6 72.6 72.6 72.6	-7.6 -0.6 -4.3 0.0 -4.2	5.9 3.8 4.2 3.6 3.9		3330 3320 3260 3340 3110	3100 3300 3300 3300 3300	230 20 -40 40 -190	175 210 360 215 230	
28 30 31 33C(1) 34 40	Check Check Check Check Check Check	17 17 16 16 16 16	75.3 78.3 69.3 71.6 73.5 81.4	72.6 72.6 71.5 71.5 71.5 71.5	2.7 5.7 -2.2 0.1 2.0 9.9	6.1 5.5 4.3 4.4 3.5	- - - 6.7	3220 3490 3280 3370 3570 3700	3300 3300 3340 3340 3340 3340	-80 190 -60 30 230 360	250 275 195 210 170	- - - 275

I.

Period	Ι,	Fry	Farm	rotations
--------	----	-----	------	-----------

Rot	tations	Period	Bush	Grain	r acre	T. S	.D.	Pour	Straw	acre	T. 9	
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%
C5 C5 C5 6 7	6 7 8 8 6	18 18 18 18 18	35.6 35.6 35.6 30.7 35.1	30.7 35.1 27.4 27.4 30.7	4.9 0.5 8.2 3.3 4.4	3.9 3.0 - 3.1	- 4.9 - 3.4	3240 3240 3240 2720 3110	2720 3110 2360 2360 2720	520 130 880 360 390	515 415 - 315	- 570 335 -
7 7 10 13 13	8 20 9 6 15	18 16 12 17 17	35.1 35.3 39.5 37.0 37.0	27.4 34.1 38.5 31.0 33.7	7.7 1.2 1.0 6.0 3.3	5.6 1.8 -	5.5 - 4.8 2.2	3110 3140 405 0 3380 3380	2360 2860 4130 2940 3250	750 280 -80 440 130	- 375 245 645 215	455 - - - -
14 14 17 17 17	13 15 7 19 25	17 17 17 17 15	37.7 37.7 40.0 40.0 41.3	37.0 33.7 35.4 37.4 36.3	0.7 4.0 4.6 2.6 5.0	1.8 - 3.4 4.2 3.8	2.5 - -	3680 3680 3760 3760 3830	3380 3250 3170 3330 3390	300 425 590 430 440	235 - 370 365	- 220 485 -
17 17 19 19 22	26 37 8 20 15	15 13 17 15 15	41.3 41.8 37.4 37.5 36.5	34.0 40.9 27.6 34.9 34.0	7.3 0.9 9.8 2.6 2.5	3.0 5.8 4.0	5.5 3.8 -	3830 3850 3330 3320 3600	3010 3770 2410 2940 3300	820 80 920 380 300	405 - 570 590	400 - 355 -
23 23 24 24 24	27 38 23 25 27	15 14 15 15 15	33.5 34.2 39.4 39.4 39.4	30.7 33.9 33.5 36.3 30.7	2.8 0.3 5.9 3.1 8.7	3.3 1.8 4.7 4.7	- - - 8.2	3600 3610 3820 3820 3820	2680 3020 3600 3390 2680	920 590 220 430 1140	550 570 415 405 -	- - - 560
25 25 26 26 31	23 26 23 27 32	15 15 15 15 14	36.3 36.3 34.0 34.0 38.9	33.5 34.0 33.5 30.7 37.3	2.8 2.3 0.5 3.3 1.6	- 3.3 3.2 - 3.9	2.2 - 2.2 -	3390 3390 3010 3010 3820	3600 3010 3600 2680 3600	-210 380 -590 330 220	535 365 515 - 370	- - 255 -
31 31 32 32 34	33 34 33 38 33	14 14 14 14 14 14	38.9 38.9 37.3 37.3 38.6	31.8 38.6 31.8 33.9 31.8	7.1 0.3 5.5 3.4 6.8	5.6 3.7	5.0 5.4 6.1	3820 3820 3600 3600 3890	3040 3890 3040 3020 3040	780 -70 560 580 850	- 565 - -	540 - 330 565 620
36 37 38 39	35 26 33 29	13 13 14 13	35.8 40.9 33.9 35.6	32.7 33.8 31.8 31.9	3.1 7.1 2.1 3.7	2.9 5.2 3.1 4.7		3280 3770 3020 3540	3030 3000 3040 3000	150 770 -20 540	270 - - -	- 675 360 435

Rotation	3 Period	Buch	Grain	r acre	т. 9	 П	Poun	Straw	acre	т. с	ת
$\frac{compare}{(a)}$ (b	$\overline{)}$ years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%
C5 Chec 6 Chec 9 Chec 10 Chec 17 Chec	x 19 x 18 x 15 x 12 x 17	36.0 30.7 35.6 39.5 40.0	36.4 35.7 35.3 36.8 36.1	-0.4 -5.0 0.3 2.7 3.9	2.7 - 3.3 3.6 3.4	4.2 - -	3250 2720 3840 4050 3760	3480 3460 3420 3600 3540	-230 -740 420 450 220	300 - 520 220	- 470 - -
 22 Check 23 Check 24 Check 25 Check 27 Check 28 Check 	x 15 x 15 x 15 x 15 x 15 x 15 x 15	36.5 33.5 39.4 36.3 30.7 39.9	36.9 36.9 36.9 36.9 36.9 36.9	-0.4 -3.4 2.5 -0.6 -6.2 2.8	2.8 3.3 3.5 3.8 - 3.8	- - 5.0	3600 3600 3820 3390 2680 4150	3620 3620 3620 3620 3620 3620	-20 -20 200 -230 -940 510	180 360 190 330 - 470	- - 395

Rot	ations		- Josef Bree States - Josef and	Grain		Straw						
Co	mpared	Period	Bushels per acre			L.S	L.S.D. Pounds p			racre L.S.D.		
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(Ъ)	(a-b)	5%	1%
5	C4	19	51.4	49.5	1.9	4.3	-	2100	2470	-370	-	288
12	5	17	54.3	49.4	4.9	4.9	-	2525	2100	430	420	-
18	12	17	54.6	54.3	0.3	3.6	-	2420	2520	-100	245	-
28	12	16	57.9	56.1	1.8	5.1	-	2450	2560	-110	550	-
39	29	15	59.6	55.8	3.8	6.3	-	2770	2270	500	-	260
C4	Check	20	49.3	59.2	-9.9	_	5.5	2460	2450	10	220	-
5	Check	19	51.4	59.7	-8.3	-	6.5	2100	2460	-360	-	220
12	Check	17	54.3	57.6	-3.3	3.7	-	2520	2470	50	350	-
18	Check	17	54.6	57.6	-3.0	3.9	-	2420	2470	-50	345	-
28	Check	16	57.9	59.6	-1.7	2.3	-	2450	2520	-70	275	-

Period I, Fry Farm Rotations

Table 17. Comparative Yields of Potatoes

Pe	riod	Ι,	Fry	Farm	Rotations	
----	------	----	-----	------	-----------	--

Rotations compared (a) (b)		Period years	Po Bushe (a)	otatoe els pe (b)	r acre (a-b)	L.S	.D. 1%
7 17 18 25 25	20 7 17 17 24	17 18 18 17 16	152 173 180 197 198	129 149 173 174 137	23 24 7 23 61	18 17 16 18	- - - 33
25 26 26 37P(1) 37P(1)	26 17 37P(1) 17 37P(2)	17 17 16 16 14	197 189 191 178 188	189 174 178 174 126	8 15 13 4 62	12 21 18 25 -	- - - 34
C2 17 18 25 26	Check Check Check Check Check	20 18 18 17 17	78 173 180 197 189	175 180 180 183 183	-97 -7 0 14 6	17 10 10	28 - 9 -

Table 18. Comparative Yields of Soybeans

Rotations compared		Period	Bush	Grain els pe	r acre	L.S	.D.	Poun	Straw ds per	acre	L.S	.D.
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%
3	C3	16	18.5	13.4	5.1	_	3.3	1830	1600	230	350	
19	8	18	21.6	19.8	1.8	2.4	-	2080	2110	-30	250	-
19	20	15	23.0	23.0	0.0	1.5	-	2090	1950	140	135	-
26	27	16	22.4	21.5	0.9	1.9	-	2580	2340	240	265	-
37	26	15	24.6	22.6	2.0	2.3	-	2200	2540	-340	375	-
C3	Check	20	13.1	20.1	-7.0	-	4.5	1660	2430	-770	-	470
3	Check	16	18.5	22.0	-3.5	2.9	-	1830	2460	-630	-	385
20	Check	18	21.6	20.9	0.7	2.7	-	2000	2440	-440	-	365

Period I, Fry Farm rotations

Table 19. Comparative Yields of Clover Hay Period I, Fry Farm rotations

Rot	ations	······		Hay			
<u></u>	mpared	Period	Pour	lds per	acre	L.S.	D.
<u>(a)</u>	<u>(b)</u>	years	<u>(a)</u>	(b)	(a-b)	<u> </u>	1%
12 12 12 16 17	2 3 28 38 12 19	17 15 17 13 16	3780 3780 3780 3770 3830	3570 3530 3640 3630 3690	210 250 140 140 140	750 480 885 815 265	
17 17 18 18 23	25 26 12 17 25	14 14 16 16 14	3510 3510 3990 3990 3460	3 40 0 3480 3900 3830 3400	110 30 90 160 60	425 495 550 515 235	- - - -
23 24 24 24 24 26	27 23 25 27 23	14 14 14 14 14 14	3460 3540 3540 3540 3540 3480	3000 3460 3400 3000 3460	460 80 140 540 20	- 230 260 - 205	355 - 370 -
26 26 36 37 37	25 27 35 17 26	14 14 12 12 12	3480 3480 3680 3840 3840	3400 3000 3060 3550 3500	80 480 620 290 340	240 - 550 435	- 270 340 -
38	23	17	3640	3570	70	350	-
9 12 17 23 24	Check Check Check Check Check	14 16 16 14 14	3350 3900 3830 3460 3540	3840 3530 3530 3340 3340	-490 370 300 120 200	1015 765 390 180 220	
25 26 27 28	Check Check Check Check	14 14 14 15	3400 3480 3000 3530	3340 3340 3340 3400	60 140 -340 130	225 160 585	- 215 -

1

-66-

Table 20. Comparative Yields of Mixed Hay

Rota com	Rotations compared Period		Pour	nds per	L.S.D.		
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%
		[Clover- Lst. yr.	timothy meadow			
31 34 34	33 31 33	13 13 13	3970 4100 4100	3740 3970 3740	230 130 360	580 590 370	- -
		<u>,</u> 2	Timor 2nd. yr.	thy meadow			
33 34 34	31 31 33	12 12 12	4180 4930 4930	3600 3600 4180	580 1330 750	670 890	- 1170 -

Period I, Fry Farm rotations

.

Table	21.	Comparative	Yields	of	Corn

Rota	tions			Grain					Stover	,		
	pared (b)	Period	Bush	els pe	r acre		5.D.	Pour	ids per	· acre		.D.
C102 C107 C107 C107 C107 C107	C101 C106 C108 C109 C110	15 14 14 14 14 14 14	22.2 42.1 42.1 42.1 42.1 42.1	12.1 28.7 41.1 33.0 36.6	10.1 13.4 1.1 9.1 5.5	- - 5.0 - 4.7	4.4 9.6 - 8.8	1620 2490 2490 2490 2490 2490	1340 1980 2380 2110 2120	280 510 110 380 370	240 280	120 295 340
C108 C108 C108 C109 C110 C110	C106 C109 C110 C106 C106 C109	14 14 14 14 14 14 14	41.0 41.0 41.0 33.0 36.6 36.6	28.7 33.0 36.6 28.7 28.7 33.0	12.3 8.0 4.4 4.3 7.9 3.6	- 4.3 3.5 - 3.3	7.5 4.2 - 7.2 -	2380 2380 2380 2110 2120 2120	1980 2110 2120 1980 1980 2110	400 270 260 130 140 10	- - 160 180 130	200 230 255 - -
101 101 101 102 102	103 104 105 101 103	24 24 24 24 24 24	67.0 67.0 67.0 68.3 68.3	56.7 59.6 47.5 67.0 56.7	10.3 7.4 19.5 1.3 11.6	- - - -	5.6 3.2 3.7 2.1 4.8	3560 3560 3560 -	2940 3090 2540 -	620 470 1020 -	- - - -	390 275 310 -
102 102 103 104 104	104 105 105 103 105	14 14 14 14 14 14	68.3 68.3 56.7 59.6 59.6	59.6 47.5 47.5 56.7 47.5	8.7 20.8 9.2 2.9 12.1	2.7	3.6 4.8 7.4 5.2	- 2940 3090 3090	- 2540 2940 2540	- 400 150 550	- - 145 -	- 320 - 220
107 108 108 109 109	106 106 107 106 107	14 14 14 14 14 14	60.4 64.5 64.5 67.7 67.7	47.0 47.0 60.4 47.0 60.4	13.4 17.5 4.1 20.7 7.3	- - - -	6.7 8.7 3.9 7.0 4.0	3190 - 3660 3660	2580 - 2580 3190	610 - 1080 470	- - - -	335 - 415 305
109 109 110 110 110	108 110 106 107 108	14 14 14 14 14 14	67.7 67.7 64.9 64.9 64.9	64.5 64.9 47.0 60.4 64.5	3.2 2.8 17.9 4.5 0.4	3.1 2.9 - 2.8	- 7.1 4.5 -	- 3660 3410 3410	- 3410 2570 3190 -	- 250 840 220 -	- - -	- 210 395 215 -
111 111 111 111 111	114 115 117 120 121	13 13 13 13 12	67.8 67.8 67.8 67.8 66.7	66.8 59.9 65.0 63.7 56.8	1.0 7.9 2.8 4.1 9.9	3.6 7.0 4.7 6.7	- - - 7.9	3620 3620 3620 3620 3620	3520 3175 3510 3320 2940	100 445 110 300 680	275 360 255 335 -	- - - 420
111 111 112 112 112	123 131 111 113 122	12 12 13 13 12	66.7 66.7 73.2 73.2 72.4	62.7 61.6 67.8 71.7 66.8	4.0 5.1 5.4 1.5 5.6	5.0 6.7 3.0 4.7	- 3.2 -	3620 3620 3900 3900 3910	3230 3240 3620 3900 3360	390 380 280 0 550	300 375 - 135 -	- 240 - 420

Period II, Fry Farm rotations

Rotations				Grain					Stover					
com	pared	Period	Bush	els pe	r acre	L.S	<u>b.D.</u>	Pour	ids per	acre	L.S	5.D.		
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	<u>т%</u>	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	%		
112 113 113 113 113 113	132 109 111 114 129	12 13 13 13 12	72.4 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.2	71.7 67.8 67.8 66.8 70.7	0.7 3.9 3.9 4.9 0.5	4.0 3.0 3.2 4.7 4.7	- - - -	3910 3900 3900 3900 3700	3860 3700 3620 3520 3920	50 200 280 380 -220	410 285 265 - 500	- 360		
114 114 114 114 114 114	107 115 117 120 130	13 13 13 13 12	66.8 66.8 66.8 66.8 66.1	59.6 59.9 65.0 63.7 66.1	7.2 6.9 1.8 3.1 0	5.5 6.3 4.9 8.0 6.7		3520 3520 3520 3520 3540	3150 3180 3510 3320 3380	370 340 5 200 160	375 260 260 440 530			
114 117 118 118 118 118	135 115 107 111 114	12 13 13 13 13	66.1 65.0 67.9 67.9 67.9	63.8 59.9 59.6 67.8 66.8	2.3 5.1 8.3 0.1 1.1	7.3 5.6 3.3 3.8	- 6.1 -	3540 3510 3590 3590 3590	3430 3180 3150 3620 3520	110 330 440 -30 70	280 370 235 265	- 350 -		
119 119 119 120 122	102 111 120 104 121	13 13 13 13 12	69.4 69.4 69.4 63.7 66.8	68.8 67.8 63.7 60.0 56.8	0.6 1.6 5.7 3.7 10.0	5.6 6.0 5.2 8.2	- - 4.1	- 3320 3360	- 3120 2940	- - 200 420	- - 410	- - 195		
123 124 125 125 125	121 121 121 124 126	12 12 12 12 12 12	62.7 63.1 65.7 65.7 65.7	56.8 56.8 56.8 63.1 64.4	5.9 6.3 8.9 2.6 1.3	4.5 - 4.6 4.2	4.1 5.6 -	3230 3160 3290 3290 3290	2940 2940 2940 3160 3320	290 220 350 130 -30	180 - 155 130	175 225 -		
126 126 128 128 128 128	121 124 119 121 127	12 12 12 12 12 12	64.4 64.4 70.0 70.0 70.0	56.8 63.1 67.8 56.8 67.5	7.6 1.3 2.2 13.2 2.5	2.4 5.1 - 3.9	4.2 - 9.7	3320 3320 - -	2940 3160 - -	380 160 - -	180	365 - - - -		
128 129 129 129 129 129	130 121 122 128 130	12 12 12 12 12 12	70.0 71.2 71.2 71.2 71.2	66.1 56.8 66.8 70.0 66.1	3.9 14.4 4.4 1.2 5.1	3.7 6.9 3.7	12.3 - 4.0	3700 3700 3700	2940 3360 - 3380	- 760 340 - 320	- 560 555 -	- - 235		
130 131 131 131 132	121 121 138(2) 138(3) 131	12 12 11 10 12	66.1 61.6 61.5 62.1 71.7	56.8 56.8 58.6 46.0 61.6	9.3 4.8 2.9 16.1 10.1	8.2 4.7 10.0	- 14.6 7.4	3380 3240 3190 3180 3860	2940 2940 2840 2610 3240	440 300 250 570 620	515 235 445 480	- - 455		
132 133 133 133 134	122 117 131 134 115	12 12 12 12 12 12	71.7 70.3 70.3 70.3 66.4	66.8 63.3 61.6 66.4 58.7	4.9 7.0 8.7 3.9 7.7	4.5 - 6.6 5.0 5.4	6.6 - -	3860 3840 3940 3940 3940	3360 3480 3240 3610 3170	500 460 700 330 440	-	390 310 605 290 430		

Table 21, continued

Rotat	ions			Grain			·····		Stover			
comp	ared (b)	Period .	Bush	els pe	r a cre	L.S	.D.	Poun	ds per	acre	L.S	.D.
134 135 135 136 136	131 116 131 113 129	12 12 12 12 12 12 12	66.4 63.8 63.8 72.3 72.3	61.6 61.1 61.6 70.7 71.2	4.8 2.7 2.2 1.6 1.1	4.0 6.2 4.2 3.0 5.4		3430 3270 3430 4140 4140	3240 3250 3240 3920 3700	190 20 190 210 440	315 260 315 300 430	
136 136 137(1) 137(2) 127 (1)	131 132 131 131 131 137(2)	12 12 12 11 11	72.3 72.3 68.5 64.2 68.5	61.6 71.7 61.6 61.5 64.2	10.7 0.6 6.9 2.7 4.3	- 3.9 6.9 10.3 5.5	9.6 - - -	4140 4140 3660 3210 3590	3240 3860 3240 3190 3210	900 280 420 20 380	- 430 425 525 325	710 - - - -
137(1) 137(2) 138(1) 138(1) 138(1) 138(1)	138(1) 138(2) 131 138(2) 138(3)	12 11 12 11 10	68.5 64.2 62.5 62.3 61.8	62.5 58.6 61.6 58.6 46.0	6.0 7.6 0.9 3.7 15.8	- 6.7 8.0 11.7	5.5 3.8 - -	3660 3210 3340 3280 3180	3340 2840 3240 2840 2610	320 370 100 440 570	255 320 465	235 435 -
138(2) 139 139 139 139 139	138(3) 119 128 131 136	10 12 12 12 12	57.9 73.9 73.9 73.9 73.9 73.9	46.0 67.8 70.0 61.6 72.3	11.9 6.1 3.9 12.3 1.6	- 5.3 4.9 - 2.9	9.5 - 12.0	2740 - - -	2610 - - - -	130 - - -	265 - - - -	- - -
139 140 140 140 140	140 114 116 130 131	12 12 12 12 12	73.9 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7	72.7 66.1 61.1 66.1 61.6	1.2 6.6 11.6 6.6 11.1	2.0 4.9 - -	- 5.9 4.9 8.4	- 3840 3840 3840 3840	- 3540 3250 3380 3240	- 300 590 460 605	200 445	- 295 - 540
140 140 140 140 140	133 134 136 137(1) 138(1)	12 12 12 12 12	72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7	70.3 66.4 72.3 68.5 62.5	2.4 6.3 0.4 4.2 10.2	3.8 6.9 3.2 4.4	- - 7.4	3840 3840 3840 3840 3840	3940 3610 4140 3660 3340	-100 230 -300 180 505	105 210 145 -	- 245 - 330
101 102 104 106 108	Check Check Check Check Check	14 14 14 14 14 14	67.0 68.3 59.6 47.0 64.5	65.7 65.7 65.7 65.7 65.7	1.3 2.6 -6.1 -18.7 -1.2	5.1 4.6 4.6 4.6	- - 5.8 -	3560 - 3090 2580 -	3390 - 3390 3390 -	170 - -300 -810 -	345 315 -	- - 340 -
109 111 114 115 117	Check Check Check Check Check	14 13 13 13 13	67.7 67.8 66.8 59.9 65.0	65.7 65.4 65.4 65.4 65.4	2.0 2.4 1.4 -5.5 -0.5	3.9 2.6 3.1 5.5 3.7		3660 3620 3520 3180 3510	3390 3410 3410 3410 3410	270 210 110 -230 100	270 180 185 260 225	- - - -
Table 22. Comparative Yields of Wheat

Period	II,	Fry-Farm	rotations
--------	-----	----------	-----------

Concept from the local data												
Rota	tions	.		Grain		та	T	Da	Straw		та	T
com	pared	Period	Bush	eis pe	$\frac{r \text{ acre}}{(a,b)}$	S	<u>שר</u>	Pour	ds per	acre	<u></u>	<u>.</u> D.
$\frac{(a)}{107}$	106	years	<u>(a)</u>		<u>(a-b)</u>	570	<u> </u>		1800	(a=b)	510	<u> </u>
108	106	<u>ተ</u> 4 ገ	29.0	24.2 24.2	4.0 6.8	-	4•3 ፲ 7	2390	T 050	510	-	430
108	107	14	31.0	29.0	2.0	2.8	-	-	-	-	.	_
109	106	14	34.3	24.2	10.1	-	4.9	31.40	1820	1320	-	575
109	107	14 14	34.3	29.0	5.3	-	3.1	3140	2390	750	-	350
109	108	14	34.3	31.0	3.3	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
109	110	14	34.3	31.6	2.7	2.2	. –	3140	2740	400	-	385
110	106	14	31.6	24.2	7.4	-	4.3	2740	1820	<u>920</u>	-	620
110	108	14 1)	31.6	29.0	2.6	2.0		2740	2390	350		325
TTO	100	74	JT • U	0. ـدر	0.0	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
111	115	11	37.6	34.6	3.0	3.1	-	3270	2940	330	330	-
111	117	11	37.6	36.9	0.7	4.1	-	3270	3400	-130	440	-
111	121	10	37.0	35.8	1.2	4.5	-	3250	3020	230	550	-
	151	10	37.0	35.0	1.2 5.2	4.3		3250	3020	230	550 610	
		10			2.0	0.0	_		0000	T 10	040	
115			40.8),	37.0	3.2 2.8	3.4) 5	_	4050	3270	.780 780	-	505
112	132	10	41.1	34.7	6.4	7.2	_	4020	3600	420	555	-
113	109	11	41.6	33.4	8.2	, •= -	7.7	4000	2960	1040	-	580
113	111	11	41.6	37.6	4.0	3.9	-	4000	3270	730	565	-
113	112	11	41.6	40.8	0.8	2.8	-	4000	4060	-60	300	- ,
113	114	11	41.6	39.1	2.5	-	2.4	4000	3560	440	-	350
113	136	11	41.6	40.6	1.0	4.5	-	4000	4540	-540	590	-
114	107	11	39.1	27.9	11.2). 	7.1	3560	2180	1380	-	600
<u>тт</u>	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-L.L	79.1	51.0	1.5	4.0	-	3900	5210	290	470	***
114	115	11	39.1	34.6	4.5	3.3	-	3560	2940	620	440	-
114 ነገቢ	118	<u>⊥⊥</u> ٦٦	39.1	30.9 38 h	2.2	2.7	-	3560	3400	180	310 235	-
114	135	11	39.1	37.9	1.2	3.2	-	3560	3390	170	630	
116	135	11	40.3	37.9	2.4	3.9	-	3780	3390	390	685	-
118	107	11	38.4	27.9	10.5	-	6.6	3380	2180	1200	_	555
118	107	11	38.4	27.9	10.5	-	7.9	3380	2180	1200	-	560
118	111	11	38.4	37.6	0.8	3.4	-	3380	3270	110	400	-
121	125	10	35.8	34.2	1.6	4.1	-	3020	2720	300	390	-
151	120	10	35.0	30.4	5•4	4.3	-	3020	2520	500	390	-
121	131	10	35.8	31.8	4.0	4.6	-	3020	3080	-60	325	-
122 /	150 TST	10	51.5	35.0 21.7	⊥.5 2 6	上.O ル つ	-	3240 2210	3020	220	-	195
123	121	10	36.6	35.8	0.8	4.3	-	3840	3020	820	51) -	610
124	121	10	36.2	35.8	0.4	4.1	-	2950	3020	, -70	425	_
124	125	10	36.2	34.2	2.0	2.1	_	2950	2720	230	_	200
124	126	10	36.2	30.4	5 . 8		3.0	2950	2520	430	. 320	
125	126	10	34.2	30.4	3.8	-	2.1	2720	2520	200	-	180
128	121	10	40.6	35.8	4.8	-	4.5	4000	3020	980	_	900
T58	TT3	77 1	4L•7	4⊥.6	0.1	2.5	-	4120	4000	T50	445	~

Rotations				Grain					Straw		 			
$\frac{comp}{(a)}$	(b)	years	Busn (a)	eis pe (b)	r acre (a-b)	<u>5%</u>	<u>.D.</u> 1%	$\frac{Poun}{(a)}$	as per (b)	$\frac{acre}{(a-b)}$	<u> </u>	<u>.D.</u> 1%		
129 129 129 129 129 130	121 128 130 136 114	10 11 11 11 11 11	42.1 41.7 41.7 41.7 41.7 41.2	35.8 40.1 41.1 40.6 39.1	6.3 1.6 0.6 1.1 2.1	- 2.2 1.8 3.7 1.9	5.1 - - -	4140 4160 4160 3930	3020 3930 4540 3560	1120 	- 235 400 510	780		
130 130 131 131 132	121 128 137 138 131	10 11 10 9 10	41.3 41.1 31.8 29.5 34.7	35.8 40.1 31.1 25.3 31.8	5.5 1.0 0.7 4.2 2.9	4.4 2.2 4.5 6.2 2.8		3920 - 3080 3000 3610	3020 2640 1760 3070	900 440 1240 540	650 - 530 -	- - 690 335		
133 133 133 134 135	117 131 134 131 131	11 10 11 10 10	40.6 40.9 40.6 38.6 37.8	36.9 31.8 38.5 31.8 31.8	3.7 9.1 2.1 6.8 6.0	6.5 6.5 3.6 7.3 6.8	- - - -	3900 3980 3900 3700 3350	3400 3080 2630 3080 3080	500 900 270 620 270	465 670 290 810 780	- - - -		
136 136 137 139 139	131 132 138 128 131	10 10 11 11 10	41.4 41.4 31.1 41.9 41.5	31.8 34.7 24.8 40.2 31.8	9.6 6.7 6.3 1.7 9.7	5.3 3.0	9.5 6.4 - 6.5	4520 4520 2600 4170 -	3080 3610 1700 4060	1440 910 900 110 -	- - 320 -	750 565 360 -		
139 140 140 140 140	136 114 130 131 133	11 11 11 10 11	41.9 42.7 42.7 42.2 42.2	40.6 39.1 41.2 31.8 40.6	1.3 3.6 1.5 10.4 2.1	4.2 3.3 3.3 - 3.2	- - 7.5	- 4100 4100 4080 4100	- 3560 3930 3080 3900	- 540 170 1000 200	- 540 475 - 445	- - 915 -		
140 140 140 140 140	134 136 137 138 139	11 11 11 11 11	42.7 42.7 42.7 42.7 42.7	38.5 40.6 31.1 24.8 41.9	4.3 2.1 11.6 17.9 0.8	3.6 4.0 - 2.5	- 4.7 6.6	4100 4100 4100 4100	3630 4540 2600 1700	470 -440 1500 2400	400 - - -	- 335 420 555		
Check Check Check Check Check	C105 106 107 108 109	15 14 14 14 14 14	36.9 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2	26.4 24.2 29.0 31.0 34.3	10.5 13.0 8.2 6.2 2.9	- - 2.9	4.7 4.2 3.9 -	3400 3440 3440 - 3440	2680 1810 2390 - 3140	720 1630 1050 - 300	- - - -	690 515 390 - 245		
Check Check Check Check	111 114 115 117	11 11 11 11	36.4 36.4 36.4 36.4	37.6 39.1 34.6 36.9	-1.2 -2.7 1.8 -0.5	2.5 2.4 2.4 3.2	- - -	3110 3110 3310 3310	3270 3560 2940 3400	-160 -250 370 -90	260 320 270 300	- - · -		

ج.

Table 23. Comparative Yields of Oats

Rotat	ions	Pomiod	Bugh	Grain	r gare	T. S	ת	Pour	Straw		т с	
(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	5%	1%
101 101 101 101 102	102 103 104 105 103	14 14 14 14 14 14	55.8 55.8 55.8 55.8 55.8 53.7	53.7 45.9 50.3 40.5 45.9	2.1 9.9 5.5 15.3 7.8	3.4 - - -	4.3 4.3 6.3 6.2	- 2520 2520 2520	- 2060 2250 1660 -	- 460 270 860 -		- 320 215 430 -
102 102 103 104 104	104 105 105 103 105	14 14 14 14 14 14	53.7 53.7 45.9 50.3 50.3	50.3 40.5 40.5 45.9 40.5	3.4 13.2 5.4 4.4 9.8	3.4 - - -	6.7 4.9 3.8 3.8	- 2060 2250 2250	- 1660 2060 1660	- 400 190 590	- - -	- 375 180 360
119 120 120 121 122	102 104 119 131 121	11 11 11 11 11	55.6 56.8 56.8 55.7 57.5	50.9 48.6 55.6 54.7 55.7	4.7 8.2 1.2 1.0 1.8	7.2 8.3 2.3 5.0 2.8	- - -	- 2620 - 2510 2600	- 2070 - 2280 2280	- 550 - 230 320	405 - 620 -	- - 300
122 123 132	132 121 131	11 11 11	57•5 56•6 55•9	55.9 55.7 54.9	1.6 0.9 1.0	6.5 1.5 3.8	-	2600 2400 2840	2840 2280 2510	-240 120 330	1195 245 310	- - -
Check Check Check Check Check	C104 101 102 104 105	14 14 14 14 14 14	55.6 55.6 55.6 55.6 55.6	37.3 55.8 53.7 50.3 40.5	18.3 -0.2 1.9 5.3 15.1	4.2 3.7 4.0	3.0 _ 5.8	2580 2580 - 2580 2580	1880 2520 - 2250 1660	700 60 - 330 920	235 - -	320 - 280 295

Period II, Fry Farm rotations

-73-

•

Table 24. Comparative Yields of First-year Meadows

Rotat	tions pared		op	Period	Pour	Hay Ids per	acre	L.	S.D.
$\frac{(2)}{111}$ 111 111 112 112 112	(5) 115 121 131 111 122	(a) Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl	() T Cl Cl,T Cl Cl	13 12 11 13 13 12	(a) 4960 4630 4640 5440 5140	3620 3940 4560 4960 4900	(a-b) 1340 690 80 480 240		1295 - - -
113 113 113 113 113 114	111 112 114 129 111	A A A A A	Cl Cl A A(1) Cl	13 13 13 12 13	6020 6020 6020 5840 5480	4960 5440 5480 5840 4960	1060 580 540 0 520	1020 1060 610 1200 970	-
114 114 114 116 116	115 130 140 111 114	A A A A A	T A(l) A(l) Cl A	13 10 10 13 13	5480 5580 5580 5820 5820	3620 4300 4300 4960 5480	1860 1280 1280 860 340	1540 930 - - 850	- 1220 715
116 116 117 117 117	130 140 111 114 133	A A A,Cl,T A,Cl,T A,Cl,T	A(1) A(1) Cl A A,Cl,T	12 10 13 13 11	5430 5450 6040 6040 5780	4040 4300 4960 5480 5280	1390 1150 1080 560 500	- 820 - 910 925	1055 1080
119 119 119 119 119 120	111 120 128 139 111	A A A A A	Cl A A(l) A(l) Cl	13 13 12 10 13	6680 6680 6540 6220 6110	4960 6110 5840 5850 4960	1720 570 700 370 1150	- 445 635 770 -	1250 - - 970
120 122 123 124 124	114 121 121 121 121 126	A Cl A,Cl,T Cl Cl	A Cl Cl Cl Cl	13 12 12 12 12 12	6110 4900 5410 4120 4120	5480 3940 3940 3940 4100	630 960 1470 180 20	940 - 570 425	- 590 1390 -
125 125 125 126 128	121 124 126 121 129	Cl Cl Cl Cl A(l)	Cl Cl Cl Cl A(l)	12 12 12 12 12	4660 4660 4660 4100 5840	3940 4120 4100 3940 5840	720 540 560 160 0	- 550 410 400 1010	615· - -
128 129 129 131 132	130 113 130 121 112	A(1) A(1) A(1) Cl,T Cl,T	A(1) A(1) A(1) Cl Cl	12 10 12 11 11	5840 5840 5840 4560 5260	4040 5820 4040 4020 5120	1800 20 1800 540 140	- 1460 - 910 750	1045 1385 -

Period II, Fry Farm rotations

ų.

Table 24, continued

Ţ

Rotations				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Hay	<u> </u>		
<u>comp</u> (a)	(b)	$\frac{Cr}{(a)}$	op (b)	<u>Period</u> ·years	Poun (a)	ds per (b)	acre (a-b)	<u> </u>	<u>.D.</u>
132 132 133 133 133	122 131 131 134 140	Cl,T Cl,T A,Cl,T A,Cl,T A,Cl,T A,Cl,T	Cl Cl,T Cl,T Cl,T Cl,T A(1)	11 11 11 11 11 11 10	5260 5260 5280 5280 5270	4980 4560 4560 4760 4300	280 700 720 520 970	855 - 1065 - 990	620 - 855 -
134 134 134 136 136	115 131 140 113 129	Cl,T Cl,T Cl,T A(l) A(l)	T Cl,T A(l) A A(l)	11 · 11 10 10 10	4760 4760 4700 6640 6640	3480 4560 4300 5820 5840	1280 200 400 820 800	- 565 1190 700 1140	1245 - - -
136 136 136 137 137	131 139 140 131 140	A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1)	C1,T A(1) A(1) C1,T A(1)	10 10 10 11 10	6640 6640 6640 4910 5180	4550 5850 4300 4560 4300	2090 790 2340 345 880	- 735 - 1140 1110	1155 - 910 -
138 138 138 139 139	131 137 140 128 140	A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1)	Cl,T A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1) A(1)	11 10 10 10 10	5180 5220 5220 5850 5850	4560 5180 4300 5680 4300	620 40 920 170 1550	1130 1050 790 610	1080
140	130	A(1)	A(1)	10	4300	4300	0	900	-

.

Table 25. Comparative Yields of Second-year and Third-year Meadows

Deted						U.C.T.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
com	bions	Cro	מכ	Period	Pour	ds per	acre		L.S	S.D.
(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	years	(a)	(b)	(a-b)		5%	1%
				Second Year						
128	130	A(2)	A(2)	12	8560	6590	1970			940
129 129	130	A(2)	A(2)	12	8590	6590	2000	-	ヂアち ー	- 1035
129	136	A(2)	A(2)	10	8330	8260	70	(950	-
130	140	A(2)	A(2)	lO	6600	6300	300	8	330	· _
132	131	Т А П	Т Ш	11	5260	4360	900		-	725
133	134	A,T A.T	T T	11	5500	4300	990	1/	295	-
134	131	T	T	11	4510	4360	150	10	060	-
136	131	A(2)	Т	10	8260	4580	3680	•	-	1755
136	139	A(2)	A(2)	lO	8260	7420	840	(530	-
136	140 121	A(2)	A(2)	10	8260	6300	1960	•	-	1190
137	140	A(2)	A(2)	10	7580	4300	1280	•	-	-137 500
139	140	A(2)	A(2)	10	7420	6300	1120		-	915
140	133	A(2)	A,T	10	6300	5790	510	19	575	-
140	134	A(2)	T,Cl	10	6300	4670	1630	1	310	-
				Third Year						
1 2 2	101	٨	-		Colio	haha				
133 133	134 140	А,Т А.Т	т А(З)	10	6940 7260	4040 7080	2900	זר	- 130	1795
136	139	A(3)	A(3)	10	8830	8660	170	l	510	-
136	140	A(3)	A(3)	10	8830	7080	1750	•	-	930
739	140	A(3)	A(3)	TO	8660	080	1580	-	•	470
140	134	A(3)	Т	10	7080	4130	2950	-	-	2160
			·····							

Ť

Period II, Fry Farm rotations

-76-

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Alderfer, R. B. 1948. Soil structure. In Science for the Farmer, Pennsylvania Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 502, 61st Annual Report, p. 42.
- 2. Albrecht, W. A. 1936. Methods of incorporating organic matter with the soil in relation to nitrogen accumulations. Missouri Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 240.
- 3. Allison, F. E. 1947. Azotobacter inoculation of crops. I. Historical. Soil Science 64: 413-429.
- 4. Batchelor, H. W., and Curie, I. H. 1936. The nitrogen-fixing flora of the five-year rotation fertility plots. Ohio Agr. Expt. Bul. 470, 49th Annual Report, pp. 41-44.
- 5. Bay, Clyde, E., and Hull, Harold H. 1952. Losses of nitrogen and water from Fayette silt loam as measured by monolith lysimeters. Agron. Jour. 44: 78-82.
- 6. Bauer, F. C. 1942. Nitrogen problems in the Midwest. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 7: 305-308.
- 7. Bear, F. E., and Prince, A. L. 1951. Organic matter in New Jersey soils. New Jersey Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 757.
- 8. Bizzell, J. A. 1944. Lysimeter experiments: VI. The effect of cropping and fertilization on the losses of nitrogen from the soil. New York (Cornell) Agr. Expt. Sta. Memoir 256.
- 9. Blair, A. W., and Waksman, S. A. 1938. Soil organic matter and the living plant. New Jersey Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 653.
- 10. Bracken, A. F., and Greaves, J. E. 1941. Losses of nitrogen and organic matter from dry-land farm soils. Soil Sci. 55: 1-15.
- ll. Broadbent, F. E. 1951. Denitrification in some California soils. Soil
 Sci. 72: 129-137.
- Broadbent, F. E. 1947. Nitrogen release and carbon loss from soil organic matter during decomposition of added plant residues. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 12: 246-249.
- Broadbent, F. E., and Norman, A. G. 1946. Some factors affecting the availability of organic nitrogen in the soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 11: 264-267.
- 14. Chapman, H. D., Liebig, G. G., and Rayner, D. S. 1949. Lysimeter investigations of nitrogen gains and losses under various systems of cover cropping and fertilization and a discussion of error sources. Hilgardia 19(3): 57-128.
- 15. Chen, H. Y., and Arny, A. C. 1941. Crop rotation studies. Minnesota Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 149.
- 16. Coleman, Russel. 1939. The value of legumes for soil improvement. Mississippi Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 336.

-77-

- 17. Collison, R. C., Beattie, H. G., and Harlan, J. D. 1933. Lysimeter investigations: III. Mineral and water relations and fixed nitrogen balance in legume and non-legume crop rotations for a period of 16 years. New York State Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 212.
- 18. Dodge, Donald A., and Jones, H. E. 1948. The effect of long-time fertility treatments on the nitrogen and carbon content of a prairie soil. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 40: 778-785.
- 19. Fred, Edwin B., Baldwin, Ira L., and McCoy, Elizabeth. 1932. Root nodule bacteria and leguminous plants. Univ. of Wisconsin Studies in Science, No. 5.

\₁.

- 20. Gainey, P. L. 1949. Effect of inoculating soil with Azotobacter upon plant growth and nitrogen balance. Jour. Agr. Res. 78: 405-411.
- 21. Giddens, Joel, Perkins, F. H., and Collins, W. O. April, 1951. Can soil organic matter be accumulated? Better Crops with Plant Food 25(4): 25,26,42, 43.
- 22. Hall, A. D. 1917. The Book of the Rothamsted Experiments. E. P. Dutton and Company, New York.
- 23. Hawkins, Arthur. 1946. Rate of absorption and translocation of mineral nutrients by potatoes in Aroostook County, Maine, and their relation to fertilizer practices. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 38: 667-681.
- 24. Jasny, N. 1940. Competition Among Grains. Food Research Institute, Stanford University, 660 pages. (p. 204).
- 25. Jenny, Hans. 1930. A study of the influence of climate upon the nitrogen and organic matter content of the soil. Missouri Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 152.
- 26. Karraker, P. E., Bortner, Chas. E., and Fergus, E. N. 1950. Non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation and effect of crops on soil nitrogen in lysimeters as affected by growing Kentucky bluegrass and certain legumes separately and together. Kentucky Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 557.
- 27. Lipman, J. G., and Blair, W. W. 1921. Nitrogen losses under intensive cropping. Soil Sci. 12: 1-19.
- 28. Lipman, J. G., and Blair, A. W. 1916. Cylinder experiments relative to the utilization and accumulation of nitrogen. New Jersey Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 289 (appendix).
- Lyon, T. L., and Blair, A. W. 1921. A comparative study of the value of nitrate of soda, leguminous green manures and stable manure in cylinder experiments. (N. J. Agr. Expt. Sta.) Jour. Agr. Sci. 11.
- 30. Lyon, T. L., and Bizzell, J. A. 1934. A comparison of several legumes with respect to nitrogen accretions. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 26: 653-656.
- 31. McIlvaine, T. C., and Pohlman, G. G. 1943. Crop rotation experiments in the Ohio valley (1925-1936). West Virginia Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 306.

- 32. McKaig, Nelson, Jr., Carnes, W. A., and Bower, A. B. 1940. Soil organic matter and nitrogen as influenced by green manure crop management on a Norfolk coarse sand. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 32: 842-852.
- 33. Metzger, W. H. 1935. Nitrogen and organic carbon of soils as affected by crops and cropping systems. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 28: 228-236.
- 34. Morse, F. W. 1936. Evidence of non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation: A study in soil nitrogen. Massachusetts Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 333.
- 35. Neal, R. O. 1952. Effects of land resting on conservation and productivity of vegetable-growing soils. Agron. Jour. 44: 362-364.
- 36. Nelson, C. I. 1952. Nitrogen fixers that work alone. North Dakota Agr. Expt. Sta. Bimo. Bul. 15: 22-24.
- 37. Nissen, Oivind, Meyer, H. A., and Richer, C. C. 1950. Trends and variations in yields from the Jordan fertility plots. Pennsylvania Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 533.
- 38. Odland, T. E., and Knoblauch, H. C. 1938. The value of cover crops in continuous corn culture. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 30: 22-29.
- 39. Page, J. B., and Willard, C. J. 1946. Cropping systems and soil properties. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 11: 81-88.
- 40. Pieters, A. J. 1927. Green Manuring. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., N.Y.
- 41. Pinck, L. A., Allison, F. E., and Gaddy, V. L. 1945. The nitrogen requirements in the utilization of carbonaceous residues in the soil. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 38: 410-420.
- 42. Pinck, L. A., Allison, F. E., and Gaddy, V. L. 1945. The effect of straw and nitrogen on the yield and quantity of nitrogen fixed by soybeans. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 38: 421-431.
- 43. Pohlman, G. G., and Henderson, H. O. 1936. Thirteen years results with cover crops. West Virginia Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 275.
- 44. Prince, A. L., Toth, S. J., Blair, A. W., and Bear, F. E. 1941. Forty years studies of nitrogen fixation. Soil Sci. 52: 247-262.
- 45. Salter, R. M., and Green, T. C. 1933. Factors affecting the accumulation and loss of nitrogen and organic carbon in cropped soils. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 25: 622-630.
- 46. Salter, R. M., and Schollenberger, C. J. 1939. Farm manure. Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 605: 15-22.
- 47. Salter, R. M., Lewis, R. D., and Slipher, J. A. 1941. Our heritage--the soil. Ohio Agr. Extn. Bul. 175 (rev.).
- 48. Schreiner, Oswald, and Brown, B. E. 1938. Soil nitrogen. In Soils and Men, U.S.D.A. Yearbook of Agriculture, pp. 361-376.

- 49. Smith, G. E. 1942. Sanborn field. Missouri Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 458.
- 50. Smith, H. V. 1944. A lysimeter study of the nitrogen balance in irrigated soils. Arizona Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 102: 255-308.
- 51. Sprague, H. B. 1936. The value of green manure crops. New Jersey Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 609.

r

x-1

- 52. Terman, G. L. 1949. Green manure crops and rotations for Maine potato soils. Maine Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 474.
- 53. Vandecaveye, S. C., and Anderson, S. 1933. Longevity of Azotobacter in soils treated with lime and superphosphate. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 26: 353-364.
- 54. Vandecaveye, S. C., and Villaneaueva, B. R. 1934. Microbial activity in soil: I. Nitrogen fixation by Azotobacter and activity of various groups of microbes in Palouse silt loam. Soil Sci. 38: 191-205.
- 55. Volkerding, C. C., and Stoa, T. E. 1947. What is happening to our soil fertility? North Dakota Agr. Expt. Sta. Bimo. Bul. 10: 3-12.
- 56. Waksman, S. A., and Tenny, F. G. 1927. The composition of natural organic materials and their decomposition in the soil: II. Influence of age of plant upon the rapidity and nature of its decomposition. Rye plants. Soil Sci. 24: 317-333.
- 57. Wildson, H. B., and Ali, B. 1922. Nitrogen fixation in arid climates. Soil Sci. 14: 127-133.
- 58. Welton, F. A., and Morris, V. H. 1931. Lodging in oats and wheat. Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 471.
- 59. White, J. W., Holben, F. J., and Richer, A. C. 1945. Maintenance of level of nitrogen and organic matter in grassland and cultivated soils over periods of 54 and 72 years. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 37: 21-31.

This page intentionally blank.

1

P

This page intentionally blank.

1

٢

.