

PROCEEDINGS BOOK

XVIII International Plant Nutrition Colloquium

with Boron and Manganese Satellite Meetings

19-24 August 2017

Copenhagen· Denmark

ISBN 978-87-996274-0-0

www.ipnc2017.org

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
FACULTY OF SCIENCE

#IPNC2017cph

PROCEEDINGS BOOK

Editors

Andreas Carstensen - Kristian Holst Laursen - Jan Kofod Schjoerring

How to cite this e-Book:

The full reference to this e-book should be:

Proceedings Book of the XVIII International Plant Nutrition Colloquium with Boron and Manganese Satellite Meetings (2017). University of Copenhagen, Denmark. ISBN 978-87-996274-0-0

Example reference:

Husted S, Schmidt SB, Pedas PR, Jensen PE, Laursen KH and Schjoerring JK (2017) Effective strategies to diagnose and correct manganese deficiency in cereals. *In:* Proceedings Book of the XVIII International Plant Nutrition Colloquium with Boron and Manganese Satellite Meetings (A Carstensen, KH Laursen and JK Schjoerring, eds), pp 939-940. University of Copenhagen, Denmark. ISBN 978-87-996274-0-0. Retrieved from: www.ipnc2017.org.

ISBN 978-87-996274-0-0 (e-book)

Published by Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Bülowsvej 17, DK-1870 Frederiksberg (http://plen.ku.dk/english/).

Nutrient resorption in two co existing Nothofagus species in southern Patagonia

Héctor A. Bahamonde, Victoria Fernández, Francisco Mattenet, Pablo Peri

INTRODUCTION

Nutrient resorption understood as the "movement" of nutrients from leaves prior to abscission towards other tissues or internal stores has been suggested to be a key component of nutrient conservation in deciduous forest species (Ares and Gleason 2007). This strategy allows plants to use these nutrients for new growth or store, hence decreasing their dependence on soil nutrient availability. Native forests in southern Argentine Patagonia cover approximately 1.2 million ha, corresponding more than 95% of these forests to the southern beeches *Nothofagus pumilio* (lenga) and *Nothofagus antarctica* (ñire) (Peri et al. 2016). In general, both deciduous species occupy places with different environmental conditions. *N. pumilio* forest are mostly found as pure stands in well-drained soils, while *N. antarctica*, a more plastic and rustic species, is displaced to unfavourable site conditions including rocky or poorly drained soils, and more xeric zones in the limit with the Patagonian steppe. Nevertheless, there are small transitional areas where both species can co-exist using the same sources of resources. The objective of this study was to compare nutrient resorption of both species growing together in two contrasting situations of environmental conditions and forest productivity.

METHODS

Study sites

The study sites represent contrasting environmental conditions with altitude (A), mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) as main variables, which resulted in different dominant height of mature trees (H) (as indicator of productivity). The higher productive site (HPS) had 233 m.a.s.l., 610 mm and 7.2 °C of A, MAP and MAT, respectively. The lower productive site (LPS) had 550 m.a.s.l., 440 mm and 5.9 °C of A, MAP and MAT, respectively. The mean H in HPS were 12.3 m for ñire and 18.5 m for lenga, while in LPS the H were 7.2 m for ñire and 12.6 m for lenga.

Leaves sampling and analyses

In the summer (peak growth period), around 1000 fully sunlit mature green leaves were collected randomly from five trees (200 leaves per tree) of both studied species (*N. pumilio* and *N. antarctica*), at each of the two sites. In autumn (late May), senescing leaves (yellow color) were collected from the same selected trees. For both species and dates, samples were immediately taken to the lab in closed plastic bags and kept at 3 °C in the refrigerator until processing. Tissue was carefully washed with 0.1% detergent to remove surface contaminants and rinsed with abundant tap and then distilled water. They were subsequently oven dried at 70 °C for 2 days, weighed and ground prior to mineral element (N, P and K) determination. Nutrients concentrations in green (Ngr) and senesced (Nsen) leaves were used to calculate nutrient resorption efficiency (NRE), according to the following formula:

$$NRE = ((Ngr - Nsen) + Ngr) x\, MLCF\ x\, 100$$

Where MLCF is the mass loss correction factor, specifically the ratio of the dry mass of senesced leaves and the dry mass of green leaves. To evaluate differences among species and sites, data were analysed with ANOVA.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrogen concentration decreased significantly from green to senesced leaves in both species and for the two studied sites. However, differences among species only were detected for senesced