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## INTRODUCTORY NOTE


#### Abstract

Several of the papers in the volume were presented at the l5th Pacific Science Congress, Dunedin, in February 1983. They are those by Don Laycock, Les Bruce, Michael Chlenov, Stephen Wurm, Graham Scott and Walter Seiler. They have been teamed with others offered recently for publication to form a broad general overview of linguistic work in progress in the New Guinea area. Contributions to this series are always welcome.


# PAPUAN LANGUAGES AND THE POSSIBILITY OF SEMANTIC CLASSIFICATION <br> D.C. Laycock 


#### Abstract

Languages may be compared, and hence classified, by any of the features that go to make up a language. Traditionally, genetic classification - or subgrouping - has in recent years been based solely on shared lexicon, with the additional refinement of shared innovative or retentive features in the phonology. Comparison of purely phonological features has been largely confined to discussion of diffusion in areal linguistics (the presence of palatals in Rumanian, for instance, or of tone in south-east Asia), or else has formed part of 'typological' comparison of languages, which aims at establishing linguistic universals and implicational hierarchies. Typological comparison is usually based on syntactic features. The one element of language that has been almost totally ignored for comparative purposes would therefore seem to be semantics.


Semantic 'features' of a language can be hard to define, for the purposes of comparison. There is a grey area where semantics overlaps into syntax, or even morphology. If a language has a noun-classification system, for instance, do we want to call this a syntactic feature, or a semantic feature? Existential verbs pose the same problem, and even a syntactic category like switch reference is concerned with meaning (or at least with pragmatics). I think a reasonable approach would be to regard the collective meanings of each of the noun-classes in a language as a semantic feature, but the existence of a class-system, and the entire morphosyntactic system of cross-reference, I would call syntactic. Similarly with existential verbs: the presence of existential verbs is a syntactic feature, but the specification of which verbs go with which nouns is a semantic feature.

Semantic features in the lexicon are however more easily recognised. This paper examines an area of the lexicon that $I$ have called 'semantic conflations' - that is, the possibility of using the same 'word' (or lexical item) to refer to two quite distinct concepts.

The question of 'distinct concepts' is, I hope, not too ethnocentrically biased. We tend to recognise distinct concepts only when we have distinct words for them. To us, a 'fish' is a 'fish', but to a speaker of the Buin language of south Bougainville, the creature is either a 'freshwater fish' (topi) or a 'marine fish' (iiana). 'Finger' and 'toe' are not necessarily distinct concepts just because English happens to distinguish them; many languages in the world do not. English also conflates 'father's sister' and 'mother's sister' into 'aunt', a conflation unthinkable in most, if not all, Pacific languages. The position taken here is that concepts are different if any language distinguishes them.

[^0]© D.C. Laycock.

There is of course no argument about many of the conflations. There is surely a very real difference between 'head hair' and 'leaf', or between 'tree' and 'fire' - but these are common conflations in Papuan languages.

Conflation of two concepts into a single lexical item does not of course mean that speakers of the language are incapable of distinguishing the concepts. Sometimes a modifier may be added, in the way that we distinguish 'head hair' from 'body hair'. Or the conflation may exist alongside lexical items that distinguish the concepts. For instance, English may in many instances use the word 'hair' in speaking of animals ('the cat's hair is falling out', 'the possum does not have much hair on its tail') - but there also exists the separate lexical item 'fur', specifically for the 'body hair' of most mammals.

Initially, principal conflations in Papuan - and other Pacific languages were identified from a number of sources. Many derive from the author's collecting of wordlists in over 200 languages over a period of more than 20 years. Some can be found in dictionaries and grammars, and some were suggested by colleagues. Clues to possible conflations are also provided by examination of semantic shifts between related languages; thus, in many languages of the SepikRamu Phylum (SERP), the word for 'bird' in some languages is cognate with the word for 'cassowary' in others. I would argue that this points to the conflation of 'bird' and 'cassowary' at some stage in the history of the languages involved. Similar shifts have been observed between 'dog' and 'pig', and between 'sun' and 'moon', although the phenomenon of 'cognacy between opposites', as discussed by O'Grady (1979) for Australian Aboriginal languages, has not yet been observed to any large extent in the New Guinea area.

Previously published works dealing directly with the semantics of Papuan and other Pacific languages are few in number. The only general treatment is Holmer (1966) - but this is rather too general, in that it attempts to deal with semantic features of Australian Aboriginal languages, Austronesian languages, and Papuan languages, within the compass of a small volume. McElhanon (especially 1975) has written on idiomaticity in a Papuan language. Laycock (1970) has analysed standard lexicostatistical lists from the point of view of the semantics of Papuan languages, and has written on number systems and semantics (1975). Franklin (1981) has edited a volume on the overlap between syntax and semantics in languages of Papua New Guinea. Ethnotaxonomy has received some attention, particularly in papers by Bulmer (1967, 1968) and Franklin (1971), but the common semantic features of Papuan languages remain still to be identified.

Even if semantic features can be specified for various languages of the Pacific, however, the question of what kind of conclusions can be drawn from the data will remain. I suspect that semantic features will turn out to be something like phonological features - that is, reasonably stable in geneticallyrelated groups of languages, but also subject to borrowing over geographical areas. Certainly there is no a priori reason why a group of languages should not retain a semantic 'habit' - such as the distinguishing between 'head hair' and 'body hair' over long periods, even when the lexical items themselves change and are no longer cognate. But the stability of semantic conflations can only be investigated when more data is available.

Certainly some semantic features are so stable - or so widespread - as to be almost linguistic universals. One such feature is the conflation of the terms expressing 'the pupil of the eye' and 'a favoured or student child'. 'Pupil' itself (pupilla in Latin) is one such example; so is 'apple of the eye' (German Augapfel), with parallels in many other European languages, and also in Buin,
where we have tuutuu 'pupil (of eye); suckling child'. (The semantic connection is by way of the small image, or homunculus, seen in the pupil of the eye of another.)

Appended to this paper is a wordlist for identifying conflations in Papuan languages, with instructions for completion. Any reader of this paper is invited to complete the list for any Pacific language (non-Papuan languages will be used for comparison) and send it to the author. The results will be published in a later paper.

The wordlist is preliminary only, and could certainly be refined. Suggestions for additional items are welcome - one such might be 'netbag'/'uterus' (Tok Pisin bilum). In the originally distributed copies of this paper, a few errors and omissions occurred, which slightly affect the data at present to hand. These are: Set XVIII - add 'shark'. Set XXI, group 5: add 'smell'. Set XXI, group 7: add 'distant'. Set XXI, last group: for 'arm' read 'elbow'. These corrections have been made on the list which accompanies this paper.

To date I have the list completed only for the following languages:
Mumeng (Austronesian (AN), Oceanic)
Tahitian (AN, Polynesian)
Selepet (Trans-New Guinea Phylum (TNGP), Finisterre-Huon Stock)
Yagaria (TNGP, East New Guinea Highlands Stock)
Foi (Foe) (TNGP, Kutubuan Stock)
Momoona (Somahai) (TNGP, Central and South New Guinea Stock)
Buin (East Papuan Phylum (EPAP), Bougainville Stock).
This is a somewhat random assortment: two AN languages (one from Papua New Guinea, one from Polynesia), four TNGP languages from quite different stocks, and one EPAP language. No conclusions can be drawn from such a small sample, but at least any characteristics shared by, say, all the Papuan languages, would be indicative of widespread Papuan semantic characteristics.

I shall now comment briefly on the sets in the wordlist, and explain the rationale for each, with some of the data from the completed wordlists.

## SET I

In the completed lists, the conflation 'child/human being' (observed in a number of SERP languages) does not show up, and only Tahitian uses the word for 'man' to mean 'human being'. Both Selepet and Tahitian show the common conflations of 'man/husband' and 'woman/wife' - and Tahitian also conflates 'father/mother' into 'parent' (distinguished as 'male parent' and 'female parent').

SET I I
The extension of the word for 'bird' to mean 'animal' seems confined to AN languages - and is reflected here in Tahitian. Yagaria uses its term for 'bird' to apply to bats - as does Warapu, a language of the Sko Phylum. Other expected conflations did not appear here: some SERP languages have no separate word for 'fish', only 'water-game'; and the 'cassowary/bird' and 'pig/dog' conflations have already been mentioned. The extension of the Tok Pisin term kapul 'possum' to mean 'animal' suggests that this is a conflation that might also occur in Papuan languages, but I have not yet encountered it.

SET III
This is a particularly complex set, and languages divide up the 'hair/fur/ feather' group in very different ways. The greatest number of conflations so far is made by Foe, which can use the same term for all the words from 'head hair' to 'leaves, foliage'; Momoona and Yagaria conflate all except 'leaf'. Mumeng differentiates 'head hair' from 'body hair/fur/feathers', but 'head hair' is the same as 'leaf', which seems a common AN feature. Buin has the least conflations in this group; a single word is normally used for 'head hair', 'body hair', 'fur', and 'down feathers', but there are many specific words meaning 'pubic and axillary hair', 'shaved hair', and 'facial hair'; 'feather' (meaning single feather) is not the same as any of these, but is the same as one of the words for 'flower'. The word for 'fibre' (on coconut husk, for example) is etymologically related to the word for 'hair'; the other words are unconnected.

## SET IV

The main conflation to look for here is that of 'tree' and 'fire' - via the intervening concept 'firewood'. It is found in Foe, and is reported to be common in TNGP languages. The next question is whether any specific tree is also the generic word for tree. In all Ndu Family languages (SERP) the word for 'tree' is also specific for 'garamut tree (Vitex cofassus)', as well as the slitgong (Tok Pisin garamut) made therefrom. In Torricelli Phylum (TP) languages, the word for 'tree' often appears related to the word for 'sago'.

## SET V

Probably the commonest conflation in Papuan languages is that of 'water' and 'river', which is found in all five Papuan languages currently tested. The distinction between 'sea' and 'fresh water' is usually made in AN languages (and also in Buin), but is often lacking in Papuan languages (where, of course, the word for 'sea' is also often lacking). 'Salt' more often than not uses the same root as 'sea', except in areas where salt is obtained from burning leaves soaked in salt wells.

## SET VI

The 'skin' set is almost as complicated as the 'hair' set. Conflations of 'skin', 'peel' and 'bark' can be regarded as normal, and occur to some extent in all the languages for which data is currently available. Only Foe can also use the word for 'skin' to mean 'body', but this conflation is also evidenced (through semantic shifts in related languages) in SERP languages.

## SETS VII and VIII

These two sets investigate the origins of the terms for 'black' and 'white', and the other connotations these words may have. The word for 'white' is very commonly related to the word for 'white cockatoo' (so Buin, Yagaria, Mumeng), but in the Sepik River language Iatmul (SERP) the word for 'white heron' is used instead. One dialect of Boiken (SERP) uses 'fog' for 'white', while the Foe word for 'white' means 'pale'. For 'black', Buin uses the same root as for
'night', while Selepet 'black' can also mean 'ground, dirty'. A derivation of 'black' from 'ash' or 'charcoal' has been encountered, but I cannot at the moment cite a specific example.

## SET IX

Two separate semantic fields are covered here: 'round objects' and 'items connected with generation'. Foe conflates the full list except 'testicle', Yagaria conflates none; Buin conflates 'egg', 'fruit', and (occasionally) 'seed'. Selepet, Mumeng, Momoona and Tahitian also all conflate 'egg' and 'seed' - which makes this particular conflation especially widespread.

## SET X

The conflation of 'hand' (of person) and 'foreleg' (of animal) was one I expected to be almost universal in the New Guinea area, but it does not occur in Mumeng (nor in Tahitian). A bird's 'wing' is also normally 'hand', in my experience - but in the present lists this conflation only occurs in Foe and Buin. 'Claw' and 'fingernail' are conflated in all the languages except Mumeng and Foe. Buin uses a reduplicated form of its word for 'hand' to mean 'handle', while one of the Foi terms for 'handle' also means 'branch'.

## SET XI

This set was designed to get information not only on the near-universal conflation of words relating to space and time in languages from all over the world (and in the current set, the term for 'at' (space/time) is conflated in all the languages except the somewhat perverse Mumeng), but also to find out how widespread might be the practice, in Papuan languages (and Tok Pisin) of regarding the future as lying 'behind' one, and the past as lying 'before'. (English uses both sets of concepts: the future consists of those things which lie before us, or which come after us, while past events are those which have occurred before our time, and now lie behind us.) In the present data, all the languages but Yagaria have the 'after/behind' conflation, but only Buin and Yagaria clearly have the 'previous/in front of' conflation. This is probably a set which needs to be discussed with the completers of the lists; syntactic data may also be required.

## SET XII

This set attempts to establish whether a language has any of the two commonest existential verbs ('stand' and 'sit'), and whether either of these, or some other word, is the verb of 'live/stay'. Interestingly enough, all the present languages except Buin base their principal existential verb on 'sit', which is also 'stay'; Buin however uses the verb for 'stand'. All the languages except Foe equate 'live' and 'dwell', and for Momoona, Buin and Tahitian this is the same as 'stay/be'.

## SET XIII

The heart is the seat of the emotions in Momoona and Foe, while the lungs are in Buin; otherwise, this set did not yield any information. Tok Pisin uses
both lewa 'liver' and bel 'stomach' as the seat of the emotions, and this concept must also exist in Papuan languages.

## SET XIV

This set investigates the source of the word for 'red'; 'blood' is common (so Mumeng, Yagaria, and many SERP languages), while 'flame' is the source in Tahitian. Conflations involving other words on the list have been suggested to me, but remain to be documented.

## SET XV

The association of 'eye' with 'opening', 'nipple' and 'prominent part' is a common AN feature discussed by Holmer (1966); here it is found in Tahitian, and, surprisingly, in Momoona ('eye' and 'nipple'). Buin can use 'eye' to mean 'opening' (of a cave, etc.); Mumeng, like English, uses 'mouth'.

## SET XVI

The association of 'garden' with 'work' is found in Selepet, Yagaria, Buin, and Mumeng; an additional word for 'garden' in Buin also mean 'enclosure', but I have not found this elsewhere.

## SET XVII

The association of 'right'(-handedness) with 'correct' and 'true' is not merely a feature of Indo-European languages, as Buin, Selepet and Foe demonstrate - the connection is that the 'right' hand is the 'true' or 'correct' hand. In eliciting wordlists, the other words in this set are also often given merely as 'good' - but that may reflect a survey situation, and not the full vocabulary of the language.

## SET XVIII

The only conflations known to me are those of Buin ('dog', 'mantis' and 'shark'), and Abelam (SERP) ('mantis' and 'cassowary'). These conflations have no significance unless they can be shown to occur somewhere else.

## SET XIX

The available lists show little of interest in this set. Tahitian, Mumeng and Yagaria conflate 'mist' and 'fog', and Mumeng adds the possibility of the same word being used for 'cloud'. Selepet conflates 'fog' and 'cloud'. Buin conflates 'smoke', 'mist', 'fog', and 'dust', distinguishing the rest.

## SET XX

Number-systems have been extensively dealt with in Laycock (1975), and nothing new can be added here. Number systems certainly do not correlate well with genetic groupings, but a greater amount of data may show some regional groupings.

SET XXI
This is a miscellaneous list of some conflations that have been observed elsewhere. Brief comments only are necessary.

Of the languages in the present sample, only Selepet permits the equation of 'sun' and 'moon' (in certain contexts), but cognates in various TP languages suggest that the equation must have occurred in that group. (One TP language, Abu', uses a singular noun for 'sun', and the same noun pluralised for 'moon'.) The 'pupil/baby' equation has been mentioned above; in the present sample it is found only in Buin. Foe (and Momoona with a modifier) conflate 'die' and 'faint'; Yagaria conflates 'die' and 'want badly'; Buin conflates all three.

With regard to 'fall', I had once been of the impression that virtually all Papuan languages distinguished 'fall over' and 'fall from height' - but Foe and Momoona at least do not.

The experiential verbs in the next group are difficult to analyse, and $I$ would prefer to wait for more data. However, both Selepet and Yagaria conflate the first five ('know', 'understand', 'hear', 'feel', and 'experience'). No languages of the present group appear to use the verb 'see' as the verb for 'try', but this is common in SERP languages.
'Salt' and 'poison' are the same lexical item in Abelam (SERP), but this may be purely local.
'Long', 'high' and 'tall' are conflated in Buin, Yagaria, Momoona and Selepet, and marginally so in Foi; the AN languages however show much less conflation (Mumeng 'long' and 'tall' only. Tahitian 'long' and 'high' only). In Buin, the same root is also used for 'distant'.

Conflations in the next two groups did not occur in the sample languages, except for Foe 'bad/rotten'. And in the final group, virtually all the languages appear to use the same word ('joint') for 'elbow' and 'knee'; the exceptions are Foe and Selepet, but in these cases the data is ambiguous owing to the original misprinting of 'elbow' as 'arm'.

## SET XXII

This set is included only to see if certain syntactic-semantic features may correlate with lexical features, or with geographical regions. However, analysis must wait till more data are available.

## CONCLUSION

This paper represents a preliminary attempt only to bring to light some of the semantic features that make up languages of the Pacific area - especially Papuan languages. Much more data are needed before any real generalisations can be made. If sufficient data can be obtained, the results will be analysed in a future paper.

## NOTE

An earlier version of this paper was given at the First International Conference on Papuan Linguistics in Goroka, September 1982.

## APPENDIX: SEMANTIC SETS

The semantic sets discussed in this paper are given below. Copies of the complete list, for eliciting purposes, can be obtained from the author (Dr D.C. Laycock, Department of Linguistics, R.S.Pac.S., The Australian National University, Canberra, A.C.T. 2601, Australia).

SET I:
human being
child
man (male)
husband
woman (female)
wife
father
mother
SET II:
animal
bird
insect
fish
possum
pig
dog
cassowary
water-game
flying animal
(bat, bird)

SET III:
head hair
(general)
single hair
body hair
(general)
chest hair
leg and arm hair
pubic hair
beard
moustache
all shaved hair
eyebrow
eyelash
fur (animal)
bristles (pig)
feathers (down)
feather (pinion)
leaf (single)
leaves (foliage)
grass
forest
fibre (husk)
flower

SET IV:
tree
wood (timber)
firewood
sago (palm)
ironwood (kwila)
garamut tree
slitgong

SET V:
water (fresh)
water (salt)
river
lake, pond
rain
sea
salt

SET VI:
skin (human)
skin (animal)
peel (taro)
peel (banana)
bark (tree)
body
self
colour
flesh

SET VII:
black
black ash
charcoal
grey ash
dirty
ground, earth
bad

SET VIII:
white
white cockatoo
white heron
clean
new
good

SET IX:
egg
eye
fruit
testicle
seed

SET X:
hand
branch
wing
foreleg
claw, fingernail
handle

SET XI:
after (ward)
behind
previous
in front of
at (time)
at (place)
SET XII:
stay
live
dwell
sit $=$ be
stand $=$ be

SET XIII:
heart (organ)
seat of emotions
liver
lungs

SET XIV:
fire
flame
red
blood
turmeric
cooked

```
SET XV:
eye
opening
nipple
prominent part
SET XVI:
garden
work
enclosure
SET XVII:
good
straight
right (hand)
correct
true
new
SET XVIII:
dog
mantis
cassowary
shark
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
SET XIX: & hear \\
steam & feel \\
smoke & experience \\
mist & see \\
fog & try \\
dust & smell \\
cloud \\
sky & salt \\
& poison \\
SET XX: & long \\
(Numbers 3,4,5,6, & tall \\
\(10,15,20)\) & big \\
& distant \\
SET XXI: & old \\
sun & bad \\
moon & rotten \\
child, baby & all \\
pupil (of eye) & many \\
die & elbow \\
want badly & knee \\
faint & joint \\
fall over & \\
fall from height & SET XXII: \\
know & (Syntactic/ \\
understand & Semantic
\end{tabular}
```
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## FROM VERB SERIALISATION TO NOUN CLASSIFICATION

Walter Seiler

## O. INTRODUCTION

Two kinds of covert noun classificatory systems are widely found in Papuan languages: nouns categorised by existential verbs and nouns classified by means of pro-verbs. Some languages display both types, e.g. Kuman, a language of the Central Family of the East New Guinea Highlands Stock. I will briefly outline these two systems in Section 1. A new and possibly unique type of noun classification has recently come to light. In Imonda, a language of the Trans-New Guinea Phylum and member of the Waris Family, reanalysis of verb serialisation has given rise to a system of noun classification. This has also happened in other languages of the same family, such as Waris. Reanalysis of serial verbs is a common phenomenon but the languages of the Waris family may well be unique in reinterpreting such verbs as classificatory affixes. At the beginning of Section 2 I will briefly illustrate some other cases of reinterpretation of serial verbs and then proceed to discuss the particular case found in Imonda.

### 1.1 Noun classifying existential verbs

Enga has seven existential verbs that depend on the habitual posture of the object as perceived by native speakers. For instance, katenge is used for NPs whose referent is tall, large, strong, such as 'men' or 'trees'; petenge occurs with NPs whose referent is small and weak such as 'women' or 'insects', etc. Kuman has three existential verbs, which are not shape classifiers and which seem to be conditioned by animacy (Piau 1981). Classificatory existential verbs occur in many Trans-New Guinea Phylum languages; another example is Imonda. There we have three such verbs which can be used as full intransitive verbs as well. When they are so used the meaning is stand, sit and lie. That they are used as existential verbs is clear from the following example:
(1) ne-na motorbike kai li-f-me 2p-poss motorbike q lie-prs-q Do you have a motorbike? [Does your motorbike exist?]

Given the full verb meaning of these existentials it is clear that they are conditioned by the characteristic posture of the objects.

Let us briefly look at the second widespread type of classification.

Papers in New Guinea linguistics No.24, ll-19.
Pacific Linguistics, A-70, 1986.
© Walter Seiler.

### 1.2 Noun classifying pro-verbs

In many Trans-New Guinea Phylum languages we find a predication type that consists of one of a small number of verbs plus an adjunct. The details vary from language to language and $I$ will briefly illustrate this type of construction with an example from Enga. The meaning of the predication is carried by the adjunct, which, with the exception of a handful of nouns, cannot occur independently, i.e. outside the predication construction. The accompanying proor auxiliary verb functions mainly as a peg to show tense, aspect and so on:
(2) yokó dúpa ápu le -ly -ámi-no
leaf they dry utter-pres-3pl-dec
The leaves are drying.
(3) baa-nyá mókó dóko pakélyó si-ly -á -mo
he-poss leg the scar hear-pres-3sg-dec
His leg is scarred.
(4) baa-mé yamé pi-ly -á -mo
he-Ag cover hit-pres-3sg-dec
He is covering it.
(Examples 2-4 from Lang 1975:86)
Most adjuncts are nouns and only occur with one pro-verb; so the noun classes in these languages are established on the basis of which pro-verb the nouns occur with (whether this type of construction is in fact an instance of noun classification is questionable but I will not discuss this here). These few remarks must suffice to show the nature of the adjunct plus pro-verb noun classificatory system. (For more discussion and references see Lang 1975 and Piau 1981.)

Having briefly outlined the two well-known classification systems we can now turn to the third type, where serial verbs were reinterpreted as noun classifiers. By way of comparison let us first look at a couple of other constructions involving reanalysed serial verbs.

### 2.1 From serialisation to prepositions or cross-reference marking

In African linguistics there has been a lengthy debate about how serial verb constructions are best interpreted synchronically (see Givón for a discussion of this case and for further references). Let us look at two Yoruba examples:
(5) mo mu' i'we' wa' fu'n o, I took book came gave you
I brought the book for you.
(Givón 1975:83)
(6) mo so, fu'n o,

I said gave you
$I$ said to you.
(Givón 1975:83)
In the first case (5) the element fu'n could still be interpreted literally as a full verb, whereas in the second example (6) this is impossible. After stating the case for a reanalysis of originally full verbs as prepositions in Niger-Congo languages, Givón takes up the question of how such a change may be expected to happen; this we can ignore here.

In South-East Asian languages the same phenomenon is found. Here are two examples from Vietnamese:
(7) Lan chạy vào vưòn
run (go)into garden
Lan ran into the garden.
(Clark 1978:110)
(8) Lan nhìn vào cưa-só
look window
Lan looked into the window. (Clark 1978:111)
The element vào in example (7) could be regarded as a verb yielding the translation Lan ran, entering the garden. This is however impossible in (8); here vào has to be interpreted as a preposition.

In Papuan languages too, we find reinterpreted serial verbs. In many languages the verb 'give' functions as a benefactive marker. In Abelam, a member of the Ndu language family, we find kway, which can occur as an independent verb 'give', in the following example:
(9) yatə -kway-k^ -wtə -kw^
carry Ben fut lst non-past
I will carry (it) for you. (Laycock 1965:55)
There are two ways of viewing the Abelam case; either we state that there is a benefactive marker that is phonetically identical with the verb 'give' and occupies the same slot as the latter would in serial constructions, or we do not keep the two forms apartand regard examples such as (9) as extensions of the meaning of 'give'. The situation in Awtuw, a member of the Ram family, is analogous:
(10) wan-e yiyte ka -lowpa-kow-nem!
lst-O gate imp-open -ben-pl
Open the gate for me.'
(H. Feldman, personal communication)
(11) Awtiy-re tey aeye rokra-kow-ka

Awtiy-0 3fs food cook -ben-pf
She's cooked food for Awtiy.
(H. Feldman, personal communication)

The Ben marker -kow- occurs as an independent verb 'give', but the meaning of 'give' need not be present in (11) and is certainly absent in (10).

Whether we call cases such as Abelam or Awtuw instances of extension or reanalysis is a matter of terminology.

Having looked at a couple of cases of reanalysis of serial verbs as prepositions or benefactive markers, we will now turn to the case of Imonda.

### 2.2 Reanalysis of serial verbs as noun classifiers General characteristics of Imonda

Like most Papuan languages Imonda is verb final. It exhibits simple noun morphology and has complications in the verbal morphology. S/DO/IO are crossreferenced on the verb. It has a case system which is conditioned by the syntactic status and semantic content of the NPs as well as lexical properties of certain verbs. Verb stems can be strung together to form serialisations. Apart from number marking and some aspect markers that do not have a verbal
base, nothing can intervene between the different verb stems. In Imonda we only find 'nuclear' serialisation. By this term Foley and Olson refer to conjoined predicates that share all core arguments and aspect marking. This is in contrast to core serialisation where the individual verbs select the core arguments independently (see Foley and Olson (1985:28) for a discussion of these two types with examples from Barai, another Papuan language). Nuclear serialisation consists of at least two verbs, one of which belongs to an open class and the second to a restricted class of verbs. They establish a hierarchy of what sort of verbs are most likely to occur in the restricted slot. Active intransitive motion verbs are most eligible for the restricted slot; this type occurs in Imonda:
(12) tobto wai-səh -wol-wagl-f
fish acc-search-pl-go-pres
She goes along looking for fish (with her child).
I cannot go into any details of their hierarchy and the interested reader is referred to their article. The top position in the hierarchy is occupied by certain Papuan languages, which allow multiple open slots. Barai and Yimas display serialisation with two or more transitive verbs, as shown in the following Barai example:
(13) a na ine tua kore-j-ie
you I stick break off throw-trans-2sg
You broke off and threw a stick at me.
Now, in Imonda we find examples that seem entirely parallel to this pattern, i.e. serialised transitive verbs (note the use of double quotes hereunder):
if ka-m fət -ai -h -u
breadfruit I -o remove from fire-give-rec-imp
"Remove the breadfruit from the fire and give it to me."
(15) sa ka-m pot-ai -h -u
coconut I-o pick-give-rec-imp
"Pick the coconut and give it to me."
e-nei pwis-ai -h -u
prox-dem cut-give-rec-imp
"Cut this and give it to me."
(17) e-nei pwis-ne-u
prox-dem cut-eat-imp
"Cut this and eat it."
At first glance we seem to have straightforward examples of serialisation. fət, pot and pwis all occur as independent verbs. The second verb in (17) also occurs independently:

```
e-nei ne-u
    prox-dem eat-imp
```

Eat this.
The element ai however is not an independent verb; it shows up only in serial constructions. It is odd that a language should not have an independent verb 'give'; in fact, a bit of testing soon reveals that examples (14) through (16) do not mean anything else but 'give'. The meaning of the first verbs in
the constructions, i.e. fot remove from fire, pot pick a hanging fruit and pwis cut is not present. A breadfruit or a coconut may be lying on the ground or on a table and yet (14) and (15) have to be used if we want someone to give either of these objects to us. Likewise in (17) pwis-ne-u only means eat, no cutting is involved. The difference between (17) and (18) is that in the former we have some idea what sort of food is being talked about whereas this is not so in the latter case. This additional information is carried by pwis, the element which at first seemed to be a full verb taking part in a serial construction. Note that pwis (fət and pot) does occur as free verb cut but has lost its verbal status in constructions such as the ones above. In other words, pwis has been reanalysed as a noun classifier. The common factor of all objects referred to by the nouns that trigger pwis on the verb is that they are usually cut up before being eaten, such as meat. Likewise the common factor of objects that take fat is that people put them into the fire, such as breadfruit or firewood. This shows that the range of objects a given classifier takes is determined by the original meaning of the verb from which it derived.

A question that arises concerns the number of these classifiers. Given their verbal origin and assuming that ai was a full verb at an earlier stage of the language it is to be expected that there is a large number of classifiers as one can do many different things to objects and then pass them on. This is exactly what is found in Imonda. So far I have found about 60 classifiers. Not all of them are on the same footing however. Many are parallel to the ones given above, i.e. they have a related verb that is phonetically identical and native speakers can readily perceive the connection between the two. However, a number of the most frequently occurring classifiers do not have any related verb at all, or if they do, then the connection is opaque to the speaker because of phonological reduction. Here are some of the more important of these opaque classifiers.
flaui ka-m f-ai $\quad$-h-u
axe
I-o class-give-rec-imp Give me the axe.
(20) jahaf ka-m l-ai -h -u gnetum I-O class-give-rec-imp Give me some gnetum leaves.
(21) sa ka-m pwi-ai -h -u coconut I-o class-give-rec-imp Give me the coconut.
(22) tobto ka-m w-ai -h -u
fish I-o class-give-rec-imp Give me the fish.
(23) po ka-m i(j)-ai -h -u water I-O class-give-rec-imp
Give me some water.
(24) toh ka-m t-ai -h -u firewood I-o class-give-rec-imp Give me firewood.
(25) sue ka-m g-ai -h -u
fire I-o class-give-rec-imp
Give me fire.
(26)
poi ka-m s-ai -h -u
pitpit I-o class-give-rec-imp
Give me pitpit (saccharum).
Let us briefly look at these classifiers one by one:
(20) 1-

This classifier collocates with greens, i.e. leaves; it may be derived from the verb lesf put on top of each other, but native speakers do not see any link between the two. Interestingly, the loan word 'book' can also take this classifier.
(21) pwi-

In this case the classifier seems to be related to the verb pwiwalf break in two. The range of objects is defined accordingly, i.e. whether they are normally broken or not. The introduced item 'biscuit' is subsumed under this category.
(22) $\mathrm{w}^{-}$

For this classifier I was unable to find a related verb. The objects that go with it are small animals such as fish and frogs. So, presumably, this verb (dropped out of use?) must have meant something like capture or kizl.

## (23) i(j)-

There is a related verb if scoop water out. But this does not refer to the ordinary fetching of drinking water, but rather to the specific case of scooping water out of an area dammed up for the purpose of collecting fish. So the semantic link between the classifier and the verb is not transparent to the native speaker.
(24) t-

There is the verb tof break and the range of objects accordingly consists of breakable objects. But again the verb has been reduced to a single consonant, thereby obscuring its origin.
(26) s-

The related verb here is sef remove from ground. Again the verb is reduced to a single consonant.
$(19)+(25) \mathrm{f}-+\mathrm{g}-$
These two classifiers are by far the most frequently occurring ones. There is absolutely no hope of finding verbs from which they might have been derived, given the disparate sort of objects they collocate with. Quite often, and especially so in the case of $f-$, these classifiers can replace others. It is therefore not surprising to learn that the vast majority of introduced items can co-occur with them.

The above classifiers give a clue as to why reinterpretation could take place. Phonological reduction seems to have played a major role. As pointed out, these classifiers occur very frequently and so it is not surprising that they should have undergone reduction, thereby obscuring their verbal status. Where no reduction took place, such as in the case of pot pick fruit or pwis cut, reinterpretation must have happened by analogy.

Given that Imonda does not possess an independent verb 'give', i.e. no verb 'give' without prefixed noun classifiers, it is interesting to see what the language does with loan items.

### 2.2.1 Integration of loan items into the classificatory system

Imonda has two options for the integration of borrowed nouns into the classificatory system. Either the co-occurring verb takes one of the two semantically least marked classifiers, i.e. f-or $g-$, or the new object triggers one of the more specific classifiers if native speakers can associate the introduced item with one of their traditional culture because of similarity in shape or use. Most of the introduced items can occur with one of these two classifiers, f-being more widely used. However there are some which do not:
(27) kopwi ka-m i(j)-ai -h -u
coffee I-o class-give-rec-imp
Give me coffee (liquid).
The use of faihu or gaihu would render the above sentence ungrammatical. Although it has not been tested thoroughly it is my impression that most loan words can take one or the other of these semantically unmarked prefixes. Sometimes an informant would hesitate and would not be sure whether a given construction is acceptable or not:
(28) ? bia ka-m g-ai -h -u
beer I-o class-give-rec-imp
Give me some beer.
It appears that in this example the classifier logw-, related to the verb 'fill in' is preferred.

The use of more transparent classifiers is more revealing as far as reinterpretation is concerned. Here we get additional support for the view that erstwhile full verbs were semantically depleted and reanalysed as classifiers.

One of our first examples involved the classifier pot, which has a related verb of identical phonemic shape pick fruit. Now, the introduced item 'ball' also takes this classifier. It is clear that the link between ball and coconut is shape. This, incidentally, was the main evidence for Brown to treat the classifiers as conditioned by shape (Brown 1981). Brown was the first to draw attention to the classifiers in the Waris languages. He noted a set of 15 verbal prefixes and analysed them as being conditioned by the shape of the object of the verb. He also mentioned that many of these prefixes seemed to have related verbs. However, he did not discuss the link between verb and classifier and instead dedicated his attention to establishing the semantic domains of the 'shape classifiers'. As we have seen the range of objects of a given classifier is determined by the meaning of the full verb from which it was derived, i.e. it is not shape that it is conditioned by. However, examples such
as pot show that some reinterpretation in direction of classification on the basis of shape may be going on. The next example presents another apparent shape-based choice:

```
(29) we/ban ka-m kul-ai -h -u
    rope/belt I-o class-give-rec-imp
    Give me the rope/belt.
```

The verb associated with the classifier kul- is kulof coil up. We have already seen another case of shape-based choice of classifier, namely that of 'book' which was classified together with 'greens', presumably due to its having many leaves, i.e. pages, put on top of one another. Often one cannot decide whether it is shape or use which was the basis of the choice; so for instance, introduced containers usually take the same classifiers as those of traditional origin. A typical example of use-based choice is the classification of shot-gun along with the traditional bow.

### 2.2.2 Some remarks on the verbs that take the classifiers

The classifiers generally appear with verbs for which it would make sense to enter into serial constructions with the source verbs of the classifiers. We therefore find fot < remove from fire prefixed to verbs such as 'give', 'go', 'come', 'throw', 'eat', but not to verbs such as 'see' or 'like'. As is to be expected, the classifiers do not appear with verbs from which they are derived. Nor are they used with verbs that denote activities that have to be performed before an item can be given/put/carried.

We have seen above that some of the verbs that take classifiers such as nef eat can occur independently. Other examples are 'come' and 'go':
(30) e -nei pwis-wagl-u
prox-dem cut -go -imp
Carry this. [< cut this and go.]
Given the loss of verbal status of pwis we now have to interpret wagl go as a different lexical item 'carry' when it is prefixed with the noun classifiers.

Other verbs that take the classifiers such as 'give' do not occur independently. Other examples are 'put', 'throw' and 'get'. There are various peculiarities and irregularities associated with these classifier + verb constructions, which however I cannot discuss here. I would like to mention just one point. Some of the verbs are phonologically very reduced in some forms. The most extreme case we find with -iaf get, which disappears altogether in the imperative:

```
(31) sa pst-ia -u
    coconut class-get-imp
    Get a coconut!
        sa pot-abt -u
        coconut class-dual-imp
    Get two coconuts!
```

Where the object is dual as in (31) the verb 'get' drops out completely. Phonological reduction of what used to be the second verb in serialisation and its dropping out of use as independent verb clearly helped to obscure the twoverb status of these constructions and thus furthered reanalysis.
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## SERIALISATION: THE INTERFACE OF SYNTAX AND LEXICON

## Les Bruce

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Serial verb constructions in Alamblak ${ }^{1}$ form part of a structural continuum between syntactic units and lexical items. Semantically, these constructions are governed by the constraint that only roots expressing commonly associated ideas may be serialised. ${ }^{2}$ This semantico-pragmatic constraint is considered to be only a special case of a general lexicalisation rule, which is at once both motivating and restraining, that only commonly associated ideas tend to be lexicalised.

Complex Alamblak verbs are composed of serialised roots including verb, noun, adjective and time word roots. ${ }^{3}$ These constructions range from highly productive (multiple) serial structures on the one hand, to syntactic and idiomatic compounds and derived causative and benefactive verb stems on the other. The least word-like of the serial constructions structurally are the highly productive, clearly analysable, and paraphrasable serials. Productivity, analysability and paraphrasability are reduced in varying degrees in other compound or derived verbs which developed from serial verbs historically.

Linguists have already debated how best to describe derived words and compounds, whether the lexicon or syntax with its generative rules or even a distinct morphological level is most appropriate. ${ }^{4}$ This study expands the interface to even more syntactic-like structures. These 'serial' constructions earn a place in the continuum between syntax and lexicon by their semanticosyntactic features and by being the source of certain derived verbs and compounds whose historical development can be traced in several steps in the synchronic grammar. The paper avoids arguing for either the lexicon or syntax but tacitly implies that these forms are best understood as a bridge between the two.

## 2. SERIAL VERB ROOTS

The Alamblak verb stem comprises a nucleus with optional derivational and aspectual affixes, the nucleus may be manifested by a single or a sequence of juxtaposed roots or root plus embedded stem. The stem may be bounded by numerous inflectional affixes.

[^1]Examples of verbs with serial root nuclei are given in (1). ${ }^{5}$
(1) a muh -hambrë -më -r -m
climb-search.for-R.PST-3SM-3PL
He climbed (it) searching/and searched for them.
b wa -ha -muh -hita-tañ-n -m -ko
IMPER-DE.CAUS-climb-put -CPL-2S-3PL-ELEV
Lift them up and leave them up there.
The verb roots in example (1) are temporally related as either simultaneous or sequential events. Certain sequences of events are open to the interpretation of being in a cause-effect relationship. In the clearest cases of a cause-effect relationship the Undergoer of the first root is the Actor of the second root, such as in (2).
(2) tat-noh-më -an-r
hit-die-R.PST-1S-3SM
I killed him (by hitting him).
Certain verb roots have apparently acquired derivational functions as causatives or benefactives. These cases will be discussed in Section 5.

Root sequences may optionally be interpreted to have a head-modifier relationship where a stative, action, or process verb root modifies the main verb root as in (3). A sequential, temporal relationship is always a possible
(3) dbëhna-noh-më -r
sick -die-R.PST-3SM
He was deathly sick/sick and died.
Certain verb roots may function as aspectual markers as well as basic verb roots. Thus timbë cut in (4)a is glossed as cessative in (4)b where it functions as an aspect parallel with over 30 aspectual aspects which do not (any longer?) function as verb roots.
(4)a tir piñaft timbhë-më -r -t
hand appendage cut -R.PST-3SM-3SF
He cut his finger.
b tirt kipta-timbhë -më -r -t hand wash -cessative-R.PST-3SM-3SF He stopped washing his hand.

Reduplication may occur within the stem with roots of the nucleus which may be conjoined by a ligature as in (5) a and b. Reduplication has the effect of intensifying a quality or event or of combining a sequence of events as a single continuous or repetitive event.
(5)a duka -mimo -ba -mimo -më -r
think-irrational-LIG-irrational-R.PST-3SM
He was very confused.
b hita-ba -hita-ha -na -hatë
put -LIG-put -DE.CAUS-come-SA
Having distributed (them) as he brought (them).
chip -hip -w -a
perspire-perspire-IMPF-1S
I am continuing to perspire.
Having briefly described constructions with serial verb roots, we now proceed to compare these with juxtaposed predicates (syntactic constructions). We will see that while they share certain syntactic features, serial root constructions exhibit word-like features in contrast to conjoined clauses, placing them somewhere along a continuum between compound phrases and compound words.

## 3. SERIAL VERB ROOTS AND JUXTAPOSED PREDICATES

Juxtaposed predicates which most resemble serial root constructions are those manifested by repeated verb roots or stems as in (6).
(6) a yi, yi, yi, yi
go go go go
Going and going and going and going.
b yak-hay -ni, yak-hay -ni, yohr fur -kih -më -r
get-CAUS-go get-CAUS-go string.bag MOTION-fuZl-R.PST-3SM
Getting and taking, getting and taking, the string bag filled up.
Although structurally similar to serial root constructions, the sequences of roots and stems in (6) are not phonological words. In contrast to serial root constructions they carry rising, i.e. non-final, intonation and may be separated by pause. They are intonationally marked as distinct events and are apparently conceptualised as such. We will, therefore, refer to these sequences as juxtaposed clauses.

Serial root constructions are similar to repeated clauses manifested by verb roots or stems in that the individual serialised roots generally must have the same Actor. Certainly with reduplicated roots they necessarily have the same Actor. This similarity does not distinguish serial constructions from lexical compounds, however. The feature that relates serial constructions more closely to juxtaposed clauses than to compound words is that serialised roots individually bear a role relationship with NP's in the clause which is often the same role that the complex as a whole bears with those NP's (cf. Section 4).

All other sequences of clauses separate verb roots to increasingly greater degrees than those in (6) which interpose merely an intonational juncture.
(7) a Yakti-hambrë -hañ, ri -fakti-ni-më -r -r, nëthonr touch-search.for-PROG ELEV-touch-go-R.PST-3SM-3SM forest.spirit Feeling around, he reached across and touched him, the forest spirit, and went on.
b Nëf -hañ, Nakor nita -buga-ni, metm rhu-katëh-wë strain-PROG sago.palm pulverise-all -PROG.EVENT women sit-stand-IMPF-
-nëm-m

- 1PL-3PL
(The women) straining (the sago pulp), (the men) pulverising all of the sago palm, we wait for the women.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { c Tita } & -\begin{array}{ll}\text { kifït } & \text { nea -fek-r -t } \\ \text { come-get-3SM-3SF }\end{array} \\ \text { carry.on.shoulder- }\end{array}$
He come and got her to carry her on his shoulders.
d Yent mi -yak-hatë, tita -r -t
girl ELEV-get- SA carry.on. shoulder-3SM-3SF
Having gotten the girl down there, he carried her on his shoulders.
e a -i-kah-t -n tita -rah-r -t
HORT-go- IRR-3SF-G.DEP carry. on. shoulder-FUT-3SM-3SF
Let her go, and if/when she does, he will carry her.
f Fek-r -t, tita -r -t
get- 3SM-3SF carry. on. shoulder-3SM-3SF
He got her, (and) carried her on his shoulders.
g Dbëhna-më -w -t. Ndinatpnë tita -r -t
sick -R.PST-IMPF-3SF therefore carry.on.shoulder-3SM-3SF
She has been sick. Therefore he carried her on his shoulders.
The sequences of clauses in (7) may be compared with the serial root (SR) construction in (8).
(8) Yënt mi -ak -tita -r -t
girl ELEV-get-carry.on.shoulder-3SM-3SF
He got (a/the) girl down there, carried her on his shoulders.
The serial root construction in (8) is similar to the juxtaposed clause in (7)d in that it expresses a transparent complex of sequential events. The same transparency is absent in compound and idiomatic compound verbs (cf. Section 4). Furthermore, each verb root in (8) bears a semantic role relationship with the actor and undergoer of the clause. These relationships are exactly the same as those in the sentential paraphrase (7)d. Again, the discussion in the next section will show to what degree pure compounds lack these relationships.

Differences between serial root constructions and sentential constructions include contrasts of scope for elevationals, negatives, illocutionary force and the agent role of the clause. In the first case, SR constructions are more compact than sentences but more analysable than compound words. The elevational prefix relates to all roots in the stem unless an elevational suffix occurs. In that case the prefix applies to the first root(s) and the suffix applies to the last root. In subordinate clauses, by contrast, an elevational prefix applies only to the verb of which it is a constituent part and does not extend to the next predicate as in (9)c.

```
(9)a wa -rim -ak -hëta-n -m
    IMPER-ELEV-get-put -2S-3PL
    Get them (and) put them on a level plane away from me.
    b wa -yarim-ak -hëta-n -m -ko
    IMPER-ELEV -get-put -2S-3PL-ELEV
    Get them on a level plane toward me (and) put them up (there).
```

c wa -rim -ak-kah-n -n, wa -rim -hëta-n -m IMPER-ELEV-get-IRR-2S-G.DEP IMPER-ELEV-put -2S-3PL Get (them) on a level plane away from me, and if/when you do, put them on a level plane away from me.

Secondly, only one negative word may occur with a SR construction (emphasising that the SR is a single word) but its scope may cover any one or any combination of verb roots (emphasising the analysable nature of the SR). This is illustrated in (10) where sentence a may be followed by any of the sentences $b-g$ which clarify just which verb root(s) the negative in sentence a applies to.

```
(10)a ritm fiñji tandhi-ak -ni-r -më -t -m
    insects NEG roast -get-go-IRR-R.PST-3SF-3PL
    She did not roast (and) get the insects (and) go.
    (Negative on roast)
    b nifrim haynimëtm
    new she.took.them
        (uncooked)
        (Negative on get)
    c tandhihëtatañhatë yimët
    having.roasted. (and). left.(them) she.went
        (Negative on go)
    d yohre tandhiyakitëhhasiwtm
        still she.is.roasting.(and).holding.them
        (Negative on roast and get)
    e nifrim hëtatañhatë yimët
        new SA.having.left.(them) she.went
        (Negative on get and go)
f tandhihat\ddot{ershasëmët}
        SA.having.roasted. (them) she.was.remaining
        (Negative on all three roots)
g yohre tandhitwëtm
    still she.is.roasting. them
```

At the sentence level, by contrast, the scope of the negative extends only to the clause boundary and must be repeated for each verb in successive clauses. Furthermore, it appears that verbs of subordinate clauses which do not take the irrealis suffix cannot host the negative word in the subordinate clause.

Thirdly, regarding illocutionary force, all roots in a serial construction must exhibit the same illocutionary force; thus the command in example (9)a applies to both 'getting' and 'putting'. While this is also a feature of most complex sentences, there is one subordinate clause form which may manifest a different illocutionary force than its associated independent clause. Number (7) e contains an example of such a clause, which manifests both a hortative marker indicating the category of obligation and a present tense irrealis marker indicating conditionality.

Fourthly, the roots in a serial construction are restricted to one case frame as a whole. An Actor of one of the roots must be a plausible actor for the whole construction and an Actor or Undergoer of the other root must be interpretable as an appropriate Undergoer for the whole. This means that serial roots must have the same Actor (marked by the Actor suffix) unless one of two different Actors may be considered to be the Undergoer, such as the causee in a cause-effect relátionship. There are further restrictions mirrored in morphological causative constructions, which will be discussed in Section 5.

In general, interclausal relations do not specify that clauses of a complex sentence exhibit the same Actor. Even those subordinate clauses which are either marked with a so-called 'same Actor' sequence marker or those which tend to exhibit the same Actor as the independent clause (e.g. the Purpose clause) are not as strict in this requirement as are serial root constructions. A subordinate clause manifested by a verb stem (without tense or subject and object markers) tends to have the same subject as the matrix clause (e.g. (7)a). From a text describing the division of labour between men and women, example (7)b contravenes this tendency; the first two subordinate clauses have different Actors even though neither of them indicates what their Actor is, either by NP or by a subject marker on the verb.

The serial construction is most dramatically like lexical items in contrast to combinations of clauses in that collocational constraints restrict serial roots to those which express culturally determined commonly associated events. Thus, any sequence of events may be talked about in juxtaposed clauses (e.g. (ll)a) but not every sequence of events may be described by a serial construction (e.g. (ll)b, (12)b).
(11) hodayrt yak-hatë, yoht fët -hatë yi-më -t axe get-SA string.bag string.from.head-SA go-R.PST-3SF Having gotten the axe, having strung the string bag from her head, she went.
b *hodaryt yoht yak-fët -ni-më -t -t axe string.bag get-string.from.head-go-R.PST-3SF-3SF

The ungrammaticality of (ll)b and (l2)b is apparently due to semanticopragmatic reasons rather than a morpho-syntactic constraint such as the doubling of objects. Case frames of serial root constructions allow for double objects as illustrated in (12)a. Furthermore, when an ungrammatical construction is modified to satisfy the ethno-semantic condition, it becomes acceptable (cf. (12)b and (13)).
(12)a miyt ritm muh -hambray -an-m tree insects climb-search.for-1S-3PL
The tree I climbed and looked for insects./The tree I climbed, looking for insects.
b *miyt guñm muh -hiti-an-m tree stars climb-see -1S-3PL

Jude Mengumari of Amongabi village provided a mother-tongue speaker reaction of (ll)b and (12)b. Initially, both seemed unnatural to him, requiring a paraphrase like the construction in (7)d. Upon further reflection, he would allow (ll)b with some reservation. (12)b seemed more clearly ungrammatical to him not only because it is unusual for the two events to occur together, but because there is no apparent reason for them to occur together since stars are
observable from the ground. Once (12)b was modified as in (13), Jude's reaction was immediately favourable since it indicated a rationale for putting these two events together in a close-knit sequence.
(13) m+yt guñm muh -hiti-marña-an-m tree stars climb-see -well -1S-3PL I climbed the tree (and) saw the stars clearly.

Based on Jude's comments, we can modify our semantico-pragmatic constraint to read:

Serialisation of roots in a verb stem is restricted to sequences of events which are commonly associated culturally or for which there is a culturally based or pragmatic reason for their close association.

This form of the constraint leads toward a characterisation of what are typical 'commonly associated' events. Events with pragmatic reasons for their association include at least event-purpose and event-result (including causeeffect) sequences. These are interpretations, of course, which can only be defined specifically according to the world view of a given culture. This explains the culturally based nature of the judgements of acceptability of examples (11)-(13).

With this type of contraint on serial constructions, we are clearly moving toward a semantic characterisation typical of lexical semantics in contrast to morpho-syntactic constraints. Note that the problem before us is not that a non-professional's reaction to grammaticality (i.e. acceptability) must always include a semantic component. We grant that point for all structural levels. In this case, however, the semantic content of an acceptable construction on the sentence level may not be acceptable on the word level in a serial construction. The question is not one of the logical content of something like 'colourless green ideas', but of the appropriateness of a tight-knit serial construction versus a more syntagmatic combination of clauses for certain semantic content. The judgements of appropriateness of form are rather like the processes involved in forming compound words from frequently occuring or specialised noun phrases (cf. Fries 1970:ll3) which are both syntactically grammatical and semantically meaningful.

As Fries points out, there is variation among native speakers of English as to whether certain phrases are treated as compound words or as phrases. Similar dynamics appear to be operating with serial constructions in the Alamblak case. Serial constructions are related to more cohesive, i.e., unitary compounds and idiomatic compounds in the next section.

## 4. SERIAL VERB ROOTS, SYNTACTIC COMPOUNDS AND IDIOMATIC COMPOUNDS

In the previous section, serial root constructions were compared with sequences of clauses in complex sentences where their word-like features were highlighted. In this section and the next, serial constructions show a gradation from syntactic-like morphologically productive words to non-productive complex lexical items all of which appear to have derived historically from serial root constructions.

Syntactic compounds and idiomatic compounds exhibit essentially the same formal structure as serial constructions with differences of paraphrasability and semantic analysability. An example of a syntactic and an idiomatic compound are given below in (14) and (15) respectively.
(14) këfra-e fëh-r tu -finah -an-r spear-INS pig-3SM throw-arrive-1S-3SM I speared a pig with a spear.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\text { fak-yirona }}{\text { get-feel.pain-R.PST-3S }}-\mathrm{t}  \tag{15}\\
& \text { She had birth pangs. }
\end{align*}
$$

Precise definitions of the notion 'compound word' are difficult to find. As Matthews (1974:Chapter IX) indicates, criteria have been used from phonology, morphology, syntax and semantics often with contradictory conclusions. In Fries' (1970:113) discussion of compound nouns in English, he speaks of a compound as the end result of a compounding process, in other words, a lexicalisation process. 'Varying degrees of cohesion' characterise constructions along a continuum from phrase to compound word.

The Alamblak data supports Fries' claim, indicating a progression from a marginal lexical status for serial constructions to an unequivocal lexical status for idiomatic compounds. We will examine the analysability and productivity of each type of construction, illustrated by (16)-(18).

This will be done in three ways. We will first attempt to paraphrase each verb with a two-clause expression using the same forms, in an effort to demonstrate the analysability of the complex verb conceptually. Then we will consider whether or not the NPs of the clause bear internal case relationships with each root of the stem. Finally we will decide to what degree the semantic relationship between the serial roots is either transparent, vague or has disappeared entirely. That is, to what degree does each verb root contribute to the meaning of the compound? In the latter case, the case frame of the compound cannot be derived from the combined case frames of each predicate in the paraphrased expression.
(16) met -t hohora-m fak-yirona -më -t -m woman-3SF thorn -3PL get-feel.pain-R.PST-3SF-3PL The woman got/held the thorns (and) felt pain.
(17) këfra-e fëh-r tu -finah -an-r spear-INS pig-3Sm throw-arrive-1S-3SM
I speared a pig.
(18) met -t fak-yirona -më -t woman-3SF get-feel.pain-R.PST-3SF
A woman had birth pangs.

### 4.1 Analysability

The differing degrees of analysability of the serial roots in (16) and (17) can be illustrated by their paraphrases in (19) and (20). The lack of a potential paraphrase for (18) indicates the synthesised nature of its serial root construction.

## (19)

met -t hohora-m fak-më -t -m. Yirona -më -t. woman-3SF thorn -3PL get-R.PST-3SF-3PL feel.pain-R.PST-3SF A woman got/held the thorns. She felt pains.
këfra-t tu -an-t. Fëh-r rim -finah -t -r. spear-3SF throw-1S-3SF pig-3SM ELEV-arrive-3SF-3SM
I threw a spear. It arrived over at the pig.
These paraphrases employ the same elements that are present in the related clauses (16) and (17). The possibility of such paraphrases indicates that the concepts conveyed by these serial roots are transparently complex.

This transparency exists in part because each verb root in (16) and (17) bears a case relationship with a noun in the clause. The serial construction in (16) is the more semantically transparent, however, because the (semantic) case relationships are the same as those for the two-clause paraphrase in (19). The 'woman' is the Actor of 'get' and Experiencer of 'feel pain', and 'thorns' is the Patient of 'get' in both (16) and (19).

The case relationships in (17), however, are not entirely the same as those for its paraphrase in (20). The Actor of 'throw' (I) is the same for both. The 'spear' changes from an Instrument of the whole serial construction in (17) to the Patient of 'throw' and the Actor of 'arrive' in (20). The 'pig' is the Patient of the whole in (17) and is the Goal of 'arrive' in (20).

It is possible to recognise with minimal adjustment the close association between the events described in (17) and (20). The 'spear' is plainly the Patient of 'throw' in (17) and in (20). However, with the superimposition of its Instrument role in the complex event of (17), its relationship to 'arrive' is not so clearly Actor as it is in (20). The compound form in (17) is not a clear case of the two related events in (20). The relationship, if it is there at all, is only vaguely reminiscent of that of a simple temporal sequence, and thus is only weakly analysable by this criterion.

The impossibility of finding an exact paraphrase here is not due to the complexity of case frame relationships. It is possible, as in (2l)a and b, to express with a serial root construction a two-clause expression which exhibits the same case relationships as those in (20).
(21) a na miy-t foh -an-t. Miy-t team -f f -tat-t -f ${ }^{6}$ 1S tree-3SF fell-1S-3SF tree-3SF coconut-3D I.PST-hit-3SF-3D
I felled a tree. The tree hit two coconut palms.
b na miy-t team -f foh-tat-an-f
lS tree-3SF coconut-3D fell-hit-1S-3D
I felled a tree and it hit two coconut palms.
The case frame of the serial construction in (21)b may be derived directly from a combination of the case frames of the two clauses in (2l)a. In both cases 'tree' is the Patient of 'fell' and the Actor of 'hit'; likewise 'coconut palm' is the Patient of 'hit' and the speaker is the Actor of 'fell'.

### 4.2 Productivity

The syntactic nature of the serial form in (16) has been alluded to by its analysability. It is also highly productive compared to those in (17) and (18).

The roots 'get' and 'feel pain' in (16) equally contribute to the meaning of the whole, manifesting the semantic relationship of temporal succession as expressed in its paraphrase in (19). Either root may be substituted for by numerous verb roots, such as 'step on', 'sit on', 'touch', and 'take', 'show', 'burn', etc., restricted only by the general lexical constraint of common association. The serial root construction in (16) is clearly the most syntactic of the three.

The syntactic compound in (17) is not as productive as the serial construction in (16) but is more so than the idiom in (18). The roots 'throw' and 'arrive' suggest a sequence, but both verb roots do not equally contribute to the meaning of the whole. The first root is clearly basic and may be substituted for by verbs such as tasu cut through. The second root may be replaced by such verbs as tasë cut, lance but others such as tass move (transitive) and tirna miss function adverbially (e.g. tass, indicating a fatal blow, or finah arrive, indicating here a solid or direct hit).

By contrast, the serial construction in (18) is clearly an idiomatic compound. In addition to lacking paraphrasability, there is no (retrievable) semantic relationship between the verb roots. It is not expressing a transparently complex concept; they together express a specialised meaning which cannot be derived from the meanings of the two roots.

The second root 'feel pain' cannot be substituted for without adding another participant in the clause to function as the Patient of 'get'. In a sense the first root 'get' can be substituted for but only at the expense of creating a completely different meaning from the idiom.

Compounds and idioms appear to have derived from serial constructions in Alamblak. The lexicalisation process at this stage could be described as follows: those serial constructions which occur frequently enough may undergo streamlining changes or changes of focus of interest, which alter the semantic roles of NP participants. The result is a more purely lexical compound.

## 5. SERIAL AND DERIVED CAUSATIVES AND BENEFACTIVES

In Sections 2-4, serial constructions were introduced as complex verbs with roots semantically related temporally, causatively or by a headmodifier function. The temporal relationship was traced through the various surface forms from complex sentences, to idiomatic compounds. In this section, the causative and benefactive relationships are traced through surface forms from serial or compound constructions to derived verbs. In the previous discussion with temporally related roots, the essential semantic motivation for the development of lexical items was the common association of events. The common association of cause-effect has been mentioned. This and the close relationship of reason-benefit events gives rise to serial and derived constructions. Some of the derived forms manifest transparent verb roots functioning as derivational formatives. Others are purely formatives bearing nosynchronic relation to verb roots.

### 5.1 Causatives

Serial causatives comprise certain combinations of two verb roots, the first of which is either hay give or one of five classes of non-transitive verb roots, and the second of which is one of the same five classes of verb roots.

Hay in this position may at times (with uncontrolled experiencer verbs) be interpreted abstractly as a causative formative as in (22)c.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (22) a wifërt fir -gënngi-më -t -a } \\
& \text { wind MOTION-cold -R.PST-3SF-1S } \\
& \text { The wind blew me cold. }
\end{aligned}
$$

b yimar fërpam hay -noh $\quad-m \ddot{\quad} \quad-r \quad-a$
man potion give-unconscious-R.PST-3SM-1S
The man gave me a potion (causing) me (to become) unconscious.
c nandëmr -hay -fëhtas -më $-r$-a
snake give-startle-R.PST-3SM-1S
The snake startled me./He gave me a snake startling me.
Two of the four types of derivational causative verbs comprise certain combinations of verb roots, the first of which is either kak get or hay give and the second is one of five classes of non-transitive verbs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (23) a yarmuthat fak-kkah-më } \quad \text {-t -a } \\
& \text { blanket get-hot -R.PST-3SF-lS } \\
& \text { The blanket got me warm. }
\end{aligned}
$$

b hinut doht hay -ni-më -t -t
flood canoe $\overline{g i v e}-\overline{g o}-\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{PST}-3 \mathrm{SF}-3 \mathrm{SF}$
The flood took (literally: cause to go) the canoe.
These derivational causatives differ from the serial causatives in two ways. Semantically the first verb roots in (23)a and b are referentially empty and function purely as causative formatives. Secondly, there are only two verb roots that manifest that position with that function.

The other two derived causative verbs manifest one of two causative prefixes plus one of three non-transitive verb class roots.

$$
\text { (24)a ha -fkne -më } \quad-r \quad-m
$$

DE.CAUS-enter-R.PST-3SM-3PL
He caused them to enter (by entering with them).
b ka -fkne -më -r -m
DP.CAUS-enter-R.PST-3SM-3PL
He caused them to enter (by physically taking them).
The first two derivational prefixes (in (23)) are transparently verb roots. The second two prefixes (in (24)) are not relatable to verb roots synchronically, although their similarity in form to the two verb roots hay give and kak get as well as their function in the system may make it possible to derive them from the same source historically.

Serial causatives express an indirect causation where the effect need not immediately follow the cause, and the participants need not be at the same place when the effect occurs. Derived causatives express more direct causation with ha 'Direct Event Causative' requiring the causer and causee to participate in the same event and ka 'Direct Physical Causative' requiring physical contact between the causer and causee.

### 5.2 Benefactives

Some benefactives (as well as some Causatives) are used in a serial as well as a derivational function. There appear to be none that are purely serial benefactives. This complex benefactive comprises two verb roots the first of which may be any verb which does not host a benefactive role in its basic case frame, and the second of which is hay give.
(25) na yawyt yimam wikna-hay -më -an-m

I dog people buy -give-R.PST-1S-3PL
I bought a dog and gave it to the people./I bought a dog for the people.
Note that example (25) may be interpreted as a serial root construction or as a derived benefactive. In the first case, the actor had given the dog to the people at the time of the utterance; in the second case, he had not yet given the dog to them.

When co-occurring with certain verb roots, the root hay cannot be interpreted in the serial sense with the referential meaning of 'give'. In these collocations hay has the sense of give benefit and is thus abstracted as a benefactive formative.
(26) na yawyt yimam tat-hay-më -an-m

I dog people hit-BEN-R.PST-1S-3PL
I hit the dog, affecting the people.
The purely derivational benefactive suffix nho cannot be identified with a verb root, but it occurs in the same slot as the benefactive verb root hay with a slight shift in meaning.
(27) suh -nho -an-r
fall-D.BEN-1S-3SM
I fell purposely with him for his benefit.
The direct benefactive formative indicates that the actor voluntarily participates in the same event or imitates him in the same type of event that the benefactee participates in either voluntarily or involuntarily.

### 5.3 Analysability and productivity of derived verbs

In Section 4 we described in some detail the progression from marginal to unequivocal lexical status for serial, compound, and idiomatic compound verbs. A similar progression is evident for serial and derived causative and benefactive verbs in Alamblak.

Serial causatives illustrated by examples (22) a and b are fully analysable and productive. These constructions are paraphrasable by two-clause expressions using the same elements in the same case relationships they have in the serial expressions. Example (28) is a paraphrase of (22)b.
(28) yimar fërpam hay -më -r -a. Ndinatpne noh -më -a person potion give-R.PST-3SM-1S therefore unconscious-R-PST-1S The man gave me a potion. Therefore I become unconscious.

Each verb root of the serial construction equally contributes to the meaning of the whole in a transparent way.

Serial causatives which manifest an uncontrolled experiencer verb root ${ }^{7}$ (example (22)c) may be interpreted in two ways. If interpreted as fully analysable the two verb roots maintain their full lexical meaning and bear a semantic case relation with a noun in the clause. The construction expresses a transparently complex event paraphrasable as in (29).
(29) nandëmr hay -më -t -r -a fëhtas -më -a
snake give-R.PST-DA-3SM-1S startle-R.PST-1S
He gave me a snake (different actor following) I was startled.
The same serial construction may also be interpreted as a derived verb. In that case, like the derived verbs in example (23), the construction is not analysable as a compound verb. The derivational roots hay give and kak $\sim$ fak get in examples in (23) do not retain any sense of their lexical meaning which occurs in other contexts. As such they do not bear any semantic case relation with any noun in the clause.

These roots clearly derive from verb roots, however, inasmuch as they have the same form and follow the same morphological and phonological rules that these roots do when functioning as verbs. Furthermore, hay give when serialised with uncontrolled experiencer verbs (e.g. (22)c) may be interpreted either as a lexical or as a derivational formative. They are fossilised evidence of the lexicalisation process from serial compound to derived verb.

Since these causative formatives in (22)c and (23) clearly derive from verb roots, the causative prefixes in (24) may be related to serial construction by extrapolation. Even if those derived verbs do in fact derive from serial constructions, there is no longer any basis synchronically to analyse them as lexical compounds. They are fully lexicalised derived verbs.

In terms of productivity the same progression from serial compound to lexical derivative is evident. The combinations of roots in the serial causatives in (22)a and b are highly productive. Either root may be substituted for freely by verbs of one of the five non-transitive classes such as, 'sleep', 'laugh', 'enter', 'be angry', etc.

The derived causative verbs illustrated by examples in (23) are restricted in productivity in the first verb root to the two derivational roots hay give and kak $\sim$ fak get. The second root, which provides the lexical content, may be substituted for by many non-transitive verb roots of five classes of verbs. The derived causative verbs illustrated by the examples in (24) are slightly less productive, allowing for a smaller selection of verb roots in the second position from verbs of only three classes.

Serial benefactive verbs are considerably less productive than serial causatives and fewer of them are fully analysable as compound verbs. Those cases of a verb root plus hay give which may be interpreted as a serial construction are fully analysable with both verbs contributing their full lexical meaning to the meaning of the whole construction with the roots bearing a case relationship with nouns in the clause.

Every benefactive verb interpretable as a serial compound may also be interpreted as a derived benefactive verb. In these cases the benefactive formative hay give is obviously the verb root 'give'. With these, and other cases which allow only a derived benefactive interpretation (example (26)), their analysability stops with identifying the benefactive formative as the
verb root hay give. The meaning of the root is completely abstracted as a derivational suffix and neither contributes any of its original lexical meaning to the meaning of the whole nor bears any case relationship with any noun in the clause.

Those verbs formed with the purely derivational suffix nho are not analysable as a compound in any sense (example (27)). They are fully lexical verbs. The 'direct' benefactive suffix is not identifiable with any verb root. The suffix does occur in the same position and follows the same constraints as the other formative hay give which are the constraints of all serial root constructions. This suggests that even these verbs are the final stage of a lexicalisation process operating on serial constructions.

The few serial benefactive verbs that there are are only partially productive. The second root hay give may not be substituted for by any other verb root if the constructive is to remain a benefactive. The first verb root may be freely substituted for with any root which does not require a benefactive role in its basic case frame. The other derived benefactives are productive in an ad hoc way as derived words typically are.

### 5.4 Constraints on causative and benefactive constructions

Certain semantico-syntactic constraints on causative and benefactive verbs derive directly from constraints on serial constructions. The most startling thing about morphological causatives in Alamblak is that only characteristically intransitive verbs may be causativised and the characteristically transitive verbs cannot be. The constraints are semantic rather than syntactic, viz, the doubling of agent or benefactive roles in the same clause is not allowed. As was mentioned, two roots in a serial construction may have different Actors as long as both are not agents. Similarly, since a causative formative adds an agent (causer) to the construction (with one exception) ${ }^{7}$ it cannot co-occur with a verb root which already hosts an agent role in its case frame.

With causatives or other serial constructions, two different actors cannot co-exist as a double subject in the clause; one must be marked as the Undergoer (i.e. direct object). One might suspect that the constraint is against the syntactic doubling of grammatical relations so that (30) is ungrammatical due to the doubling of the object relation in the clause.


The constraint is more clearly seen with benefactives, however, because the doubling of objects is possible as long as there is no doubling of agents or benefactees in the clause.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Subj. In.Obj. Out.Obj. Out.Obj. A U } \\
& \text { na yima -r yemrë-m nëngay-t këmbri -hay-më -an-r }  \tag{31}\\
& \text { 1S person-3SM meat -3PL plate-3SF put.into-BEN.R.PST-1S-3SM } \\
& \text { I put meat into the/a plate for the/a man. }
\end{align*}
$$

The crucial factor in the unacceptability of (30) is that both the man and the friend are in competition for the role of agent in the clause and neither can be relegated to the object position which encodes other roles. There are three objects in (31), but the crucial factor there is that there is only one

Agent ('I') and only one Benefactive ('person'). The other objects bear 'Patient' and 'Locative' relations with the verb according to the potential of its basic case frame. Verbs which already host a Benefactive role in their case frames cannot accept another introduced by the Benefactive formative, (32)a, even though double objects present no problem grammatically, b.

| (32) a | Sub | In. Obj. | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *na | yifem -r | yën -t | gëbrërna-hay-më | an-r | rampa-m |
|  | 1 S | father-3Sm | child-3SF | rub -BEN-R. | -1S-3SM | medicine-3PL |
|  | *I rub | d medicin | (on) a | l for (her) fa |  |  |

Subj. In.Obj. Out.Obj. Out.Obj. INS
b na yën -r wura-t hëhrampa-m rmëntha-e gëbrërna-më -an-r 1S child-3SM leg -3SF medicine-3PL cloth -INS rub -R.PST-1S-3SM I rubbed medicine (on) the leg (of) the child with a cloth.

In (32)a 'father' and 'child' are competing Benefactive roles. In (32)b the 'child' is the only Benefactive; other objects are a Locative (or Patient) 'leg' and a Patient 'medicine'.

The constraints here are precisely those which operate for serial root constructions. They apparently derive from the constraints on serial constructions from which they developed. Derived causatives and benefactives in Alamblak represent the final stage in a lexicalisation drift of commonly associated events through gradations of gradually more cohesive serial and compound structures.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Serial root constructions in Alamblak appear to represent the first stage in a lexicalisation process which is controlled or motivated by the semanticopragmatic principle of the common association of events. That is, commonly associated events tend to be expressed by lexical items. They form part of a structural continuum between phrase and word from which (pure) compounds and idiomatic compounds, derived causatives and benefactives develop.

## NOTES

l. Alamblak, a so-called Papuan or non-Austronesian language, is spoken by 1,200 people in the East Sepik Province of Papua New Guinea.
2. Sapir (1911) originally discussed noun incorporation with North American languages in terms of commonly associated objects and events. Lord (1973: 269) and Longacre (1976:150ff) make reference to this and a similar notion with respect to serial verbs and interclausal relations.
3. See Bruce (1984:206:277) for a detailed description of Alamblak verbs. Incorporation of noun, adjective and time word roots into the verb stem is described there as a part of the general serialisation process but only serialised verb roots are discussed in this paper.
4. cf. Chomsky (1965:184-192), Fries (1970:113) and Matthews (1974:Chapter IX).
5. Abbreviations used in this paper are as follows:

| BEN | benefactive | INF | infinitive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAUS | causative | INS | instrument |
| COND | conditional | I.PST | immediate past tense |
| CPL | completive | IRR | irrealis |
| D | dual | LIG | ligature |
| D.CAUS | direct causative | M | masculine |
| DA | different actor | NEG | negative |
| DE | direct event | PL | plural |
| DEP | dependent | PROG | progressive |
| DP | direct physical | PUR | purpose |
| ELEV | elevational | R.PST | remote past tense |
| E/R | emphatic reflexive | SA | same actor |
| F | feminine | S | singular |
| G | general | SIM | simultaneous |
| GEN | genitive | Subj. | subject |
| HORT | hortative | 1 | first person |
| IMPER | imperative | 2 | second person |
| IMPF | imperfective | 3 | third person |

6. The Immediate Past tense prefix appears here before the stem but is not manifested preceding the $f$-initial stem in (2l)b.
7. When hay give is serialised with a controlled experiencer verb, its abstract interpretation is as a transitiviser rather than a causative formant (example (33)). The added participant is interpreted as a Patient or Referent rather than a Causer (Actor) because controlled experiencer verbs already have a highly agentive Actor in their basic case frame. Since, as explained in 5.4, serial constructions allow only one Actor hay give plus controlled experiencer verb-roots cannot be interpreted as causatives as it would add a second Actor, the causer to the case frame.
(33) nandëmr hay -ninge-më -an-r snake give-laugh-R.PST-1S-3SM I laughed at the snake.
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# NORTH HALMAHERA LANGUAGES: A PROBLEM OF INTERNAL CLASSIFICATION <br> M.A. Chlenov 

1. North Halmahera languages* (NHL) represent a distinct family of the West Papuan Phylum, and are spoken in the northern part of the Molucca islands (Capell 1975:668-715). They consist of the following idioms: Loda (LD), Galela (GL), Tobelo (TBL), Madole, or Modole (MD), Pagu, or Isam (PG), Tololiku (TLK), Tabaru, or Tobaru (TBR), Sahu (SH), Waioli (WI), Ternate (TRN), Tidore (TDR), Makian Luar, or West Makian (ML). The idiom of Ibu (IBU), known only from a very short wordlist collected in the late l9th century, is now extinct (Fortgens 1904).

NHL are surrounded by Austronesian languages, their nearest neighbour being the so-called South Halmahera-West New Guinea language group (SHWNG) : the idioms of Buli, Maba, Weda, Petani, Sawai, Gane, Makian Dalam. In course of the last several centuries the area of NHL expanded due to the ousting of the SHWNG group from the central and northern parts of Halmahera island, the spread of NHL to some depopulated parts of other Northern Molucca islands (Obi, Bacan, etc.), and the use of Tidore and especially Ternate as lingue franche of Eastern Indonesia and the coastal part of Western New Guinea. Now most of the speakers are NHL-Malay bilingual.
2. The comparative study of NHL practically has not yet begun, although their non-Austronesian character was first hypothesised in the end of the 19th century (Robidé van der Aa 1872), and clearly proved in the early 20th century (Veen 1915). A preliminary study anticipating the reconstruction of Proto-NHL was recently published by Y. Wada (1980), who put forward a concept of the preservation of archaic sounds in Galela. The internal classification of the NHL has not yet been worked out, although it was repeatedly suggested in studies made during the end 19 th-beginning 20 th centuries period, that they should be divided into two parts: TRN and TDR versus all other NHL (ML was still unknown at that time).
3. The following Table 1 shows the lexicostatistical evaluation of NHL based on the analysis of a modified l00-items Swadesh list. In the lower left part of the table are presented the percentages of shared radical cognates; in the upper right part - the K -factor of language proximity, introduced by the author elsewhere (Chlenov 1976:198-202).
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Table 1: Cognate percentages in the NHL

|  | LD | GL | TBL | TBR | PG | MD | SH | TRN | TDR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LD | - | 122 | 129 | 124 | 116 | 122 | 089 | 084 | 069 |
| GL | 86 | - | 112 | 106 | 111 | 105 | 082 | 095 | 075 |
| TBL | 88 | 79 | - | 120 | 121 | 123 | 088 | 084 | 069 |
| TBR | 82 | 74 | 81 | - | 121 | 129 | 097 | 085 | 072 |
| PG | 82 | 78 | 84 | 83 | - | 134 | 100 | 083 | 068 |
| MD | 86 | 75 | 88 | 89 | 92 | - | 097 | 087 | 074 |
| SH | 63 | 59 | 63 | 69 | 72 | 69 | - | 094 | 082 |
| TRN | 60 | 65 | 61 | 62 | 59 | 63 | 67 | - | 122 |
| TDR | 49 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 53 | 58 | 78 | - |

3.1 This lexicostatistical presentation proves the view that NHL represent a closely related compact group of languages. According to the data it seems plausible to distinguish three subgroups:
(a) Halmahera subgroup (HS), which include LD, GL, TBL, TBR, MD, PG, TLK (the latter differ only slightly from PG).
(b) Jailolo subgroup (JS) includes SH and almost identical WI. The scanty data about IBU suggest that this idiom was most probably also part of this group.
(c) Island subgroup (IS) which consists of TRN and TDR.

Using the K-factor (Chlenov 1976:207-209) we may define the NHL as a stock (critical K -factor 050). If we take $\mathrm{K}=080$ as the 'language limit', there will be only one language in HS (so all the idioms will constitute dialects of this language); two languages in JS - SH and IBU (the latter known too inadequately); one language in IS with TRN and TDR as its dialects. It should be noted, however, that the speakers themselves consider all the mentioned idioms as separate languages.
3.2 The recently discovered ML demonstrates more distant relations to all other NHL than they have inside the North Halmahera stock (NHS). Still the data on ML published until now are not sufficient enough for definite conclusions about the nature of its external relations.

Table 2: Lexicostatistical characteristics of ML

|  | TDR | TRN | SH | TBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | 35 | 33 | 29 | 26 |
| K | 049 | 041 | 036 | 033 |

The percentages are much lower than those between the members of the NHS. The highest cognate rates with members of the IS may be partly accounted for borrowings from the neighbouring idiom of TDR and the lingua franca TRN, and so do not necessarily point to a closer genetical relationship. Still the relationship itself between ML and NHS is quite evident. When compared with the Austronesian idiom Makian Dalam, or East Makian, spoken on the eastern part of the same tiny islet of Makian, ML has only $5 \%$ of shared cognates ( $K=006$ ). Clearly there is no trace of genetic relationship between ML and its closest neighbour language of Makian Dalam. The given value of $5 \%$ is due to language interference and common borrowings in both Makian idioms from TRN. If we take $K=020$ as the 'family limit', we may consider both NHS and ML as two members of the North Halmahera family (NHF), where ML represents a stock-level language. It should be noted that the concept of NHL as 'stock-level family' was elaborated before the discovery of ML (Capell 1975), so now this concept might be abandoned and the NHL be considered simply as a family.
4. The internal classification based on comparative methods is until now of a very preliminary character. Y. Wada has offered 27 phonological correspondences of consonants, not less than five of them occurring only in Austronesian borrowings. So we can use the following 18 correspondences: *p-1, *p-2, *b, *m, *W, *t, *d, *d, *n, *l-1, *l-2, *r-1, *r-2, *s-l, *h, *k-1, *g-1, *ng (Wada 1980:503), and to add four more consonant and six vocal correspondences.

Table 3: Additional correspondences of NL

|  | GL | LD | TBL | TBR | PG | MD | TLK | SH | IBU | TRN | TDR | ML |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *mp | p | w, h | b | b | b | b | b | b | ? | b | b | p |
| *g- | 9 | 9 | g | $g$ | g | 9 | g | k | ? | k | k | ? |
| * C | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | c | ? | c | c | ? |
| *ny | n | $n, n^{\prime}$ | $n$ | $n$ | n | n | n | $n, n^{\prime}$ | ? | $n^{\prime}$ | $n^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ |
| *a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| *O | 0 | - | o | o | - | o | - | o | o | $\bigcirc$ | - | - |
| *u | $u$ | u | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | u, i | $u$ | u,i |
| *i | i | i | i | i | i | i | i | i, e | i | i | i | i,e |
| *e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | e |
| *ê | e | e | e | e | e | e | e | o | ? | - | ? | ? |

The Proto-NHL shows traces of adstratic and possibly even substratic influence of Austronesian languages, and phonologically it resembles very much the Proto-Austronesian. The notion of HS might be conformed by a number of specific lexical items and common phonological innovations. Particularly all HS-idioms have merged *c with *t, *g-l with *g-2, and *ny with *n.
*(g-21) cipir fingernail

| GL | gitipi |
| :--- | :--- |
| LD | gitiwiri |
| TBL | gitihiri |


| PG | gitiwil |
| :--- | :--- |
| MD | gitiwili |
| TBR | gitiwiri |

TLK gitiwi
LD gitiwiri
TBL gitihiri
TBR gitiwiri
SH kiciwidi
TRN kicifi/kulcifi
*ngutuk root

| GL | ngutu |
| :--- | :--- |
| LD | ngutuku |
| TBL | ngutuku |


| PG | ngutuk | TLK | ngutuku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MD | ngutu'u | TRN | utu |
| TBR | ngutuku | TDR | utu |
|  |  | ML | utu |

* (g-l) umin rope

| GL gumi | PG | gumin | TLK | gumin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LD | gumini | TBR | gumini | TRN |
| TBL | gumini |  |  |  |

*nyawok fish

| GL | nawo | PG | naok | IBU | nauo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LD | naoko | TBR | naoko | TRN | n'au |
| TBL | nauoko | TLK | nauk | TDR | n'au |
| MD | nao'o | SH | n'ao'o | ML | yao |

Common innovations for other subgroups, namely JS and IS are not so obvious. One characteristic feature is their common reflex of $\hat{e}$.
*ngêkom way

| GL | ngeko | PG | ngekom | TLK | ngekom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LD | ngekomo | MD | nge'omo | SH | ngo'omo |
| TBL | ngekomo | TBR | ngekomo | TRN | ngoko |

Another feature is the layer of specific lexical items. But still it cannot be stated yet that comparative linguistic analysis does confirm the distinguishing of JS and IS as separate subgroups.
5. Combining the results of both lexicostatistical and comparativistic evaluations the internal classification of NHL may be represented in the following way (see Table 4).

Table 4: The internal classification of NHL
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# THE BOSAVI LANGUAGE FAMILY 

R. Daniel Shaw

## 1. INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

'Discovered' in 1935 by Jack Hides, who described it as the 'Papuan Wonderland', the vast region surrounding Mt Bosavi has changed little in the intervening years. Characterised by swamps and marshy plains rising to undulating ridges, plateaus and foothill ranges, the region is covered with heavy rain forest watered by over 500 cm of rainfall per year. The leached out soil supports a meagre population averaging less than one person per square kilometre. ${ }^{1}$ The region was the last portion of Papua New Guinea (PNG) to be derestricted due to the prevalence of cannibalism. Raiding and counterraiding continue in remote parts of the area to the present day. The Government post and airstrip at Nomad River has, since 1963, been the last outpost of civilisation, introducing the peoples of the region to the wonders of the 20 th century: health care, education, and of course, governmental control, epitomised by the patrol officer and his entourage of policemen with ever-present guns. Made famous by the notorious Bedamini, well known for their resistance to control, the region today is, nevertheless, an important part of the Western Province and a general awareness of the linguistic situation is of interest to government, missions, and researchers alike.

This paper seeks to make linguistic data from throughout the region available for the first time, and place those data into the context of surrounding languages. ${ }^{2}$ The data were made available through surveys conducted by the author in December 1979, and June 1981. ${ }^{3}$ The material should be viewed as an attempt to present data, not to provide a detailed or definitive analysis; it is preliminary at best. It is also crucial that it be made available to the linguistic and anthropological community in order to encourage further research and bring the world to a greater understanding of this fascinating area.

The paper discusses these non-Austronesian languages with respect to the phonological data, lexical data, statistical data (which are compared to an earlier, more restricted survey, Shaw 1973) and cultural data which support the linguistic findings and suggest some reasons for them.


[^2]

MAP: BOSAVI LANGUJGE FAMILY AND NEIGHBOURING LANGUAGES

A word about methodology is necessary at the outset. For the most part, and often of necessity, the lists (see Appendix) were collected monolingually. Lists from the various locations were compared to determine language/dialect relationships and these discrete groupings were then compared with all other similar lists in order to determine cognate relationships. Cognates were determined by simple inspection discussed by Gudschinsky (1956), elaborated on by McElhanon (1967) and refined for Papua New Guinea by Sanders (1977). An adapted form of the Swadesh 100-word list was used, thereby conforming to the environmental and cultural factors relevant to the region.

Owing to the nature of collecting such material from isolated house sites the idea of boundary comes into question. Geographical boundaries such as rivers, ridges, or mountains are often obvious, and, as in many parts of PNG, act as barriers to linguistic usage. However, in comparing lists, one quickly notices gradations such that each linguistic group (however defined) is closely related to those it borders and is related to each successive group to a lesser degree. Such 'chaining' has been the subject of a considerable literature (e.g. McElhanon 1970, Tryon 1977) and affects the analysis of this paper as will be discussed shortly.

### 1.1 Phonological data

Recognising the tentative stage of analysis, Table 1 displays a partially phonemicised inventory for the 14 languages of the Bosavi region. The basic phone inventory appears quite consistent throughout the data. This uniformity may, in part, reflect the fact that the data was collected by the same person. It may also be conditioned by personal familiarity with languages spoken on the Strickland Plain and extrapolated to the surrounding areas. The data appear to distribute into three general regions within the larger context: the languages of the Strickland Plain, those of the Papuan Plateau, and those on the watershed of Mt Bosavi (see map for geographical relationships between these groups). The analytical concerns for such a distribution will be discussed, but for ease of presentation the abbreviations Plain, Plateau, and Watershed will be used.

A cursory phonological examination appears to indicate the following. /p/ and /f/ have an interesting distribution, shifting for the various regions. Most of the languages on the Plain lack $a / p /$ but have an /f/. Languages in the southern portion of the Watershed area (Bainapi and Kamula) have no /f/ but do have /p/, while those in the north of the Watershed have both /p/ and /f/, as do the Plateau languages. Thus we note a progression from the presence of only /p/ in languages to the south, to both /p/ and /f/ in the middle, and only /f/ in the north.

With respect to other consonants, Sunia and Kasua of the Watershed are the only languages to manifest /g/. Similarly, only the Watershed languages use $/ r /$ while on the Plateau this phoneme is manifest as /1/ and it does not exist on the Plain. Kasua is the only language in the region to have $a / z /$ and then only in the word medial, syllable initial position. The data are too limited to state precise phonological rules and it is strongly suspected that such analysis will prove this cursory description quite inadequate.

The vowels are also evenly distributed with three back vowels /u/, /o/, and /o/ present in all regions except the Plateau where /o/ is conspicuously absent. However, $/ a /$ and $/ \Lambda /$ act as full phonemes only on the plateau.

Table 1：Phonemic inventories for Bosavi Family languages

|  |  | p | t | k | b | d | 9 | $f$ | s | h | m | n | 1 | $Y$ | y | w | i | e | a | $u$ | O | J | supra－segmental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 苮 } \end{aligned}$ | Konai | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | X | x | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x＾ | $\times$ | X | $x$ | N，LB，OS＊＊ |
|  | Agala | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | X | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x＾ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{LB}, \mathrm{OS}$ |
|  | Samo | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | N，LB，OS |
|  | Kalamo | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | X | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{OS}$ |
|  | Hesif | $\emptyset$ | X | x | x | X | $\times$ | x | X | $x$ | X | x | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | x | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{OS}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \text { 胃 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { は } \end{aligned}$ | Bedamini | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | メæ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | N，LN，CS |
|  | Etoro | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times \wedge$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | N，LN，CS |
|  | Onabasulu | $\times$ | x | $x$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | X | x | x | x | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\wedge$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | x | N，LN，CS |
|  | Kaluli | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | N，OS |
|  | Sunia | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | X ${ }^{\text {＊}}$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\wedge$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | N，LN，CS |
|  | Kasua | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | X | x9 | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $r$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\wedge$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | N，LN，OS |
|  | Aimele | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | N，LN，CS |
|  | Kamula | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $r$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\wedge$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $x$ | N，OS |
|  | Bainapi | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | r | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\emptyset$ | x | N，OS |

＊Where an $x$ and another symbol co－occur，the second is a phonetic manifestation which is included for comparison．

| $* * N$ | $=$ nasalisation |
| ---: | :--- |
| $L B$ | $=$ labialisation |
| $O S$ | $=$ open syllable |
| LN | $=$ length |
| $C S$ | $=$ closed syllable |

The supra-segmental phonemes of vowel nasalisation and length, and open versus closed syllables, all play a role in the phonemic inventory of the region. Nasalisation of vowels is a prominent feature throughout the data. All the Plateau languages exhibit vowel length and have closed syllables, while none of the Plain languages have either and the Watershed languages are evenly split. Interestingly, length and a closed syllable pattern appear to coincide in this data. The Plain languages are the only ones to manifest labialisation as a feature of their phonologies. In fact, what appears to be labialisation may, however, be a complex sequence of vowels which elide in rapid speech to resemble labialisation as is the case in Samo (Shaw and Shaw 1977). Whether this is true of all the languages on the East Strickland Plain requires further investigation. The Watershed languages exhibit greater diversity among themselves than do the languages of the other two areas. This may be affected by more recent migrations, various types of contact, etc.

The basic sound shifts and phonological features can be applied to determining cognates throughout the region. An example is the word for 'man' which on a north-south axis progresses as follows:

| Konai | Agala | Samo | Bainapi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nu$ | 0 | oso | sau |

Cognates are also affected by outside borrowing, or long past relationships with neighbouring languages. For example, languages at the far western and eastern borders of the region (Pare and Namumi, respectively) affect the languages between them. In Pare the lexeme for nome is hi whereas in Namumi it is iyanu. These apparent non-cognates can be spotted by noting the manifestations of intervening forms: hu or hũti, among the Plain languages while the Plateau and Watershed languages manifest this lexeme as hi or wi. Words within the region contribute to forms in other languages of the area as well. For example the concept of 'tree bark' combines the Plateau word for tree, i, and the Plain word for skin, kolo, yielding ikolof, idokof, biakolo, etc. These are more than phonological shifts, however. They relate directly to the lexical data upon which this analysis is based.

### 1.2 Lexical data

Words take their meaning from the context in which they are used, leaving isolated words taken monolingually and scratched on a note pad somewhat suspect. Thus, it falls to the analyst of such data to demonstrate their validity by noting consistencies such as the above example for 'bark'. Table 2 demonstrates semantic and phonological relationships that follow throughout the region, while Table 3 supports the phonological data demonstrating the diversity between the cluster of subgroups. As with the phonological data, the watershed tends to be more diverse lexically, and overlap between the subgroups is readily evident. This, however, represents a realistic picture of the data and of the region itself, as real situations are seldom clear-cut and neat. This is not a laboratory experiment in a test tube, but rather an attempt to come to some understanding of the linguistic situation in this complex and heretofore nearly unreported portion of Western Province. ${ }^{4}$

Table 2：Family wide comparison

|  |  | Objects |  |  |  |  | Abstracts |  | Events |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | nose | sun | water | root | string bag | Zong | green | eat | give |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 云 } \\ & \underset{4}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Konai | mok ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a | $\wedge$＾3 | hwei | t＾f＾la | yẽ | sコg | g†hẽ | nolu | neli |
|  | Agala | migi | $\wedge$ ヘธ | hwoze | tefe | ess | sコg | may | nolu | nei |
|  | Samo | mĩnǐ | วังวั | คõ | tofe | eso | sago | mõlowo | nãla | nẽla |
|  | Kalamo | mudu | osigõ | ควัพธั | tu | $\bigcirc$ | sage | moluws | nãye | neye |
|  | Hesif | modu | csugo | hวัพธั | kulo | $\bigcirc$ | sage | wenadعi | nelaabug | nei |
|  | Bedamini | mi | ess | halo | tiofi | esa | sada | gamurubu | naha | ima |
|  | Etoro | $m i g \wedge$ | ess | วัtã | $t i f i$ | esa | sedade | mẽlabai | nahã | im̃ |
|  | Onabasulu | mí | holo | hans | efots | alu | sedale | imoblu | namana | mema |
|  | Kaluli | migi | of | hõn | tif | as | sãb | imolo | maya | dimina |
|  | Sunia | miki | of | mว | tef | is | $s \wedge b \wedge$ | imul＾ | mena | $\operatorname{dim} \wedge n \wedge$ |
|  | Kasua | mi | วbs | h＾nว | wařofo | － | sen＾ts $\mathrm{Y}_{0}$ | ımun＾ | mยnẽ | nem＾ |
|  | Aimele | $m i g i$ | ofo | hãni | tefe | esi | sada | imõla | mayă | diminã |
|  | Kamula | mũ | s＾Yi | yu | toYวs＾＾Yつ | － | seřem＾ľeni | t＾lipusuk＾lu | t＾Edoma | h＾mima |
|  | Bainapi | deimu | male | daia | baba | bitia | memeli | katotopa | na－ | mina－ |

Table 3：Subgroup comparisons

|  |  | Objects |  |  |  |  | Abstracts |  | Events |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | woman | Zouse | stone | arm | fire | yellow | hot | good | come |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Z } \\ \text { 岂 } \\ \hline}}{ }$ | Konai | s＾sai | วับ | yo | debußõ | dou | diye | $d \wedge f i$ | bonofi | hugwa |
|  | Agala | s＾bつsãi | วับ | you | debugさ̃ | dou | biyẽ | defi |  | hugulu |
|  | Samo | sobo | où | yo | debe | dolo | biye | dəfi |  | hugala |
|  | Kalamo | sobo | où | yo | di | dou | mesiyã | dedus | sowads | sibaye |
|  | Hesif | subs | गu | yo | de | dou | wãd $\varepsilon^{\text {i }}$ | debis | tigefo | sibeye |
|  | Bedamini | uda | imu | igi＊ | nabu | nalu | puae | hau | tefeya | misa |
|  | Etoro | udia | imũ | $i g i$ | $n \wedge b>$ | nulu | w＾noi | heigit | hedebi | maasipe |
|  | Onabasulu | ids | fẽ | iki | sone | ti | wanolu | halofe | nafulu | mila |
|  | Kaluli | kesali | fẽ | u | tagi | de | wanalo | จfo | nafa | mina |
|  | Sunia | $\mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{i}$ s | fir | ka | d＾b | de | $w \wedge n$ | uful | nofedi | men＾ |
|  | Kasua | kes＾le | Pfei | etew＾ | ticľe | $t e^{i}$ | elin | kuli | n＾polo | mine |
|  | Aimele | kaisale | tede | doa | debe | di | wenala | ofola | kẽlẽga | yabe |
|  | Kamula | عã | iya | ewnľ | toi | del＾p＾ | wnleni | へ1＾m＾leni | tegedre | puma |
|  | Bainapi | tawsi | pe | ko | tapi | daľa | metəpa | sitau | tikiYi | mini－ |

＊（Plain）stone club $=$ gigi

### 1.3 Statistical data

Statistical analysis provides another means for understanding the data presented here. Table 4 presents the percentages of shared basic vocabulary for languages throughout the region. Considerable overlap between the three major groupings of languages is quickly obvious, suggesting that border languages could be placed equally into either region. Following wurm and Laycock (1961), more than raw cognates must be considered. Several factors have been utilised in order to place a language into one group or the other: mutual intelligibility, multilingual use of languages between speakers at the borders, and cultural interaction such as trading, raiding, and marriage exchanges. What is clear is that there are no sharp cut-off percentages which define dialects, languages, or language groupings in these data. This problem of chaining has been dealt with at some length and recognised as widely affecting languages throughout Melanesia, and Australia (Tryon 1976). What is important for this body of data is the application of the chaining principle to the grouping of languages within the broader region.

In positing figures for the inclusion of language groupings in Vanuatu, Tryon follows Wurm and Laycock in suggesting lower figures than Swadesh (1955). The following percentages seem to more realistically support the data.

```
Approximately 81% - 100% = dialects of same language.
Approximately 50% - 80% = different language, same subgroup.
Approximately 30% - 49% = different subgroup, same group.
Approximately 20% - 29% = different group, same family.
```

The key word here is 'approximately', but such a breakdown appears to fit the data for the Bosavi region.

Elsewhere the author has demonstrated the arbitrary nature of determining dialect or language breaks for the groups on the Strickland Plain (Shaw 1973). There, mutual intelligibility combined with an application of Grimes' (1974) optimisation model, resulted in positing a language break between the dialects surrounding the Nomad Patrol Post and Konai and Agala to the north of the Carrington River. Each of the latter were also considered to be separate languages. The present data support those findings and clearly indicate the nature of a dialect/communalect chain, each group along the Strickland River showing a high percentage relationship to the next. Applying mutual intelligibility to all of the groups on the Plain results in the following distributions:

| Hesif $47 \%$ Konai | Oibae 68\% Agala | Oibae $81 \%$ Kubo |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (no communication) | (little communication; | (mutually intelligible) |

In comparing the data collected in 1971 with the present data, a significant lexical shift demonstrates the merging of these dialects (see Table 5). Such rapid change suggests a considerable amount of social interaction enhanced by relative peace established throughout the region by the administration. This stability and the resultant interaction is manifested by increased intermarriage, patrols, contact in school, trading, etc. These will be considered at greater length when discussing the cultural factors affecting linguistic distributions.

Table 4: Percentages of shared basic vocabulary of languages in the Mt Bosavi area


Population figures in parentheses

Table 5: Comparison of shared cognates on the Strickland Plain


On the Papuan Plateau and across the Bosavi Watershed, mutual intelligibility appears to be less than on the Plain, suggesting that interaction between languages is based not on mutually intelligible communication, but on multilingual communication. People at the boundaries between languages do not understand each other because their languages are similar, but because they speak each other's languages. Such bilingualism is a valuable asset when interacting with trading parties, and the occasional establishment of various types of partnerships, including alliance through marriage.

An application of Tryon's percentages to these data seems to result in three groupings defined by both the phonological and lexical materials already presented. Statistically, there is overlap between the groups, but percentages of shared cognates help make decisions when the data is by no means clear cut. Thus Hesif is classed with the Plain languages because the shared cognates appear significantly higher in that direction (at the subgroup level) than with the Plateau languages (where it compares in the group range) as indicated in the following percentage averages:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Hesif }- \text { Watershed } & =40.2 \% \\
\text { Plateau } & =38.3 \% \\
\text { Plain } & =61.6 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Grouping Kaluli with the Watershed languages rather than with the Plateau is more tentative as the following percentages show:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Kaluli }- \text { Watershed } & =56.4 \% \\
\text { Plateau } & =51.8 \% \\
\text { Plain } & =35.4 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Kaluli seems to group culturally more closely with those they regularly fought against (the Onabasulu and Etoro) to the north rather than with the Watershed languages to which they show greater linguistic affinity to the south. Clearly more than linguistic data needs to be considered. Despite the linguistic data gathered here, the conclusions are problematic and open to interpretation.

If only shared cognate percentages are considered, the distinction between the Plateau and Watershed languages is quite weak. If three language groupings are posited, the results appear as in Table 6. Classed among themselves and compared with each other there is greatest internal consistency among the Plain languages, less among the Plateau languages and least among the languages of the Watershed. The internal consistency for the Plain and Plateau is much higher than the highest percentage of overlap between these groups. This, however, is not the case between the Plateau and the Watershed.

Table 6: Comparison of average cognate percentages between three subgroups


Non-overlapping numbers indicate averages within the subgroup. Overlapping numbers indicate highest percentages between the respective groups. Boxed-in numbers indicate averages between the subgroups.

Table 7: Comparison of average cognate percentages between two groups

| A | B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plain | Plateau/Watershed |  |
| 62 | (49) | 45 |
| A |  | $\uparrow_{B}$ |

If, however, only two groupings are posited for the Bosavi Region the results would appear as in Table 7. Here there is a lower internal consistency factor, but the averages between the groups are not significantly different than those between the three groupings. It is interesting, however, that the averages between the languages are lower than the highest percentage between the major groupings. Thus Bainapi barely makes it into the grouping, but Kaluli would be the pivotal language that tied the Watershed and the Plateau together. Returning to Tryon's percentages we note that when positing two groupings, the percentages suggest a division at group level. If these data are separated into three groupings, then the percentages pattern out at the subgroup level, suggesting a better distribution with respect to cultural and geographical boundaries. The phonological data seem to support this conclusion while the semantic data are weaker and the statistical percentages are mixed.

Given the complexities of these data as well as their limited nature, it appears reasonable to posit that the languages of this region be considered a language family which divides into three subfamilies:
(1) The Strickland Plain Subfamily composed of five languages, one of which has five dialects which appear to be rapidly merging (though having no common name). Voorhoeve called this language 'Nomad' in 1975, but it is called 'Samo' here, after the central dialect which all dialects are able to use when speaking with each other.
(2) The Papuan Plateau Subfamily composed of three or four languages (depending on whether lexical or cultural data are considered more crucial). These are collectively labelled 'BED' (for Bedamini) in McElhanon and Voorhoeve (1970).
(3) The Bosavi Watershed Subfamily composed of five or six languages.

Following Voorhoeve (1968) the author called this the 'Bosavian region' in 1973 and here suggests that the entire group be called the 'Bosavi Language Family'.

Based solely on lexical data it would be very reasonable to include Pare and Namumi in the Bosavi Family as well. Pare compares with the Plains languages at an average of $23.7 \%$, the Plateau languages at $21.3 \%$ and the Watershed at 20.8\%. This is remarkably consistent and well within the range for family level genetic relationships. Namumi compares with the Watershed at $25.7 \%$, the Plateau at $32.5 \%$ and the Plain at $22.9 \%$. Again, this is well within the family cognate range. Pare and Namumi compare with each other at $16 \%$ which, though marginal, is well within reason considering the tentative nature of the data (based on only 100 words) and their mutual relationship to languages between them at much higher percentages. However, geographical boundaries as well as cultural affinities to the west and east respectively, lead me to agree with others in placing them in the Awin-Pare Family and the Kutubuan Family respectively (Voorhoeve 1970, Franklin and Voorhoeve 1973). This then establishes the boundaries of the Bosavi Family and its validity though, in fact, the relationships within the family are tentative and raise a myriad of questions.

### 1.4 Cultural factors

This region has been studied anthropologically far more than linguistically. The Kaluli (Schieffelin 1976, Feld 1981, 1982 and Feld and B. Schieffelin 1982), Etoro (Kelly 1978), Bedamini (Sorum 1980) and Samo (Shaw 1974, 1976, 1983) are the most widely known, but significant work has also been done for the Onabasulu (Ernst 1973), Kasua (Freund 1977) and most recently the Bibo/Gebusi (Knauft 1985). This allows for some excellent comparative work that could be of real benefit as the region develops.

Throughout the region people live in scattered longhouses which act as self-contained communities. House membership varies from 25 to 50 persons who form an extended family with siblings (usually brothers) acting as the core, recruiting members through marriage and birth. They make decisions about household movement throughout a designated land area where hunting and gathering, processing the sago palm, and basic horticulture provide the bulk of food. Households are related to similar units through marriage alliances established by sister exchange. Such alliances historically provided a ring of protection from encroaching enemies and a military force for launching raiding parties against enemies. Alliance also provides the network for amassing power against spiritual forces in a ceremonial context. Spirit mediums are important people who assist their fellows by using their spiritual power through seances. Seances
are a common cultural feature throughout the region, though the physical manifestations of them vary from group to group.

Raiding and cannibalism were central features throughout the region prior to government contact in the early 1960s. The lack of protein in the diet, combined with an ideology of compensation and reprisal, led to a consistent pattern of cannibal raiding. Isolation appears to have been the best protection against these enemy raids, forcing interaction between communities to be deliberate. Trading was one such activity, and trading routes on both southnorth and east-west axes were well established. Coastal materials such as the valued cowrie and melol shell necklaces moved from south to north, while stone axes and possum fur pelts from the northern ridges and forests were exchanged to the south. Earth dyes of white, yellow, and red proliferate under the thin topsoil of the Strickland Plain and these were widely traded throughout the region for tobacco, string bags, and other commodities of value. ${ }^{5}$ Trading parties, however, also acted as scouting parties giving the participants excellent opportunity to decipher the best means of gaining access to a house and subduing its members. Thus peaceful exchanges occasionally erupted into violent reprisal. A raid, when properly executed, could be devastating to a community and stories of raids (their preparation, execution, and aftermath, including the cannibalistic feast) abound to the present day. Raiding and its effects, then, appear to have drastically affected the linguistic distribution throughout the Bosavi region.

The diversity of languages especially on the Plateau and Watershed could in part be explained by excessive raiding, especially by the Bedamini who made frequent raids on the less healthy 'lowlanders'. As people sought protection through isolation and alliance with close neighbours, contact was gradually decreased, which in turn increased linguistic diversity. Since administrative contact, raiding has been reduced to an occasional attack on a remote sago camp or garden house, and the diverging languages are now experiencing more peaceful contact with each other. As already indicated, a reversal of linguistic separation is the result.

Another administrative practice affecting the linguistic distribution has been the enforced aggregation of isolated longhouses into more easily administered village sites. Aggregation has taken place, particularly in those areas most accessible from Nomad, e.g. the Plains area south of the Carrington River and the western portions of the plateau. Thus 24 Samo longhouses aggregated into seven villages between 1964 and 1970. This has had social repercussions on marriage patterns. Prior to contact, marriage alliances were established with individuals from any longhouse other than one's own. Since administration encouraged aggregation alliances are now restricted to members of villages other than one's own. This has had the effect of forcing marriages far beyond traditional lines. Interlanguage marriage has now become a necessity where once it was almost impossible due to the fears and animosities generated by the juxtaposition of enemies.

Administrative patrolling with large carrier lines further effected increased interaction and linguistic convergence. Such patrols brought traditional enemies face to face as they passed through each language group. Patrols now also assist in the trading of goods, people from the more advantaged areas close to contact points with government or mission exchanging trade store goods for increasingly less accessible traditional goods in the more remote areas.

The administration has not been the only agent of change in the area. Increasing contact with missionaries, teachers and traders has also had an effect. Community schools, churches and trade stores as well as the building of airstrips in support of these activities has served to bring people together, making them increasingly aware of their linguistic and cultural dissimilarity as well as areas of commonality.

A key example of this is the migration of most Kalamo, and a high percentage of Aimele and Doso to the airstrip at Kisigi near Wawoi Falls. Attracted by aid post, trade store, church and school, these groups have descended upon the already present Kamula to form a multilingual and culturally complex situation that will have dramatic effects upon the area: deserted homelands, excess pressure upon the land and people at Kisigi, as well as linguistic shifts as people intermarry and relate within the context of the new community (see the Doso wordlist in Appendix A).

The migration of an entire language group prior to contact is not unknown, however. The Bainapi migrated from an area west of the Wawoi River to their present location far to the south on the Aramia River in approximately 1941 (Reesink 1976). This extended the boundaries of the language family, and at the same time affected the diversity between the languages due to the influence of Aramia River peoples on the Bainapi. This may explain the low cognate percentages of Bainapi to the rest of the language family, especially with the languages on the Strickland Plain. The significantly higher cognate relationship with Bamu is a good indication of this language change process. Such rapid documented change limits the use of glottochronology to such a body of data, making any determination of time span since linguistic divergence highly speculative. Of course the nature of the contact situation has affected more rapid change than was extant aboriginally.

In the north of the region, migration of the Bogaia out of the Southern Highlands down the Burnett River Valley is affecting the Agala. Slight divergence between word lists taken from peoples to the north and south of the Burnett River were noted. The little known Konai have also been affected by migration. Feeling pressure from the south, they must have crossed the Strickland River moving into the rugged region of the 'Murray Wedge' not too long ago. Now they are being coaxed down onto the plain north of the pare speaking people, drawn by mission contact and the promise of a 'better life'.

These change situations have had a broad effect upon the total pattern of language and culture throughout the region. For the most part the fear of an enemy raid is gone. Increased contact between groups, broader alliance structures and, therefore, more broadly dispersed ceremonial, social and economic obligations all affect communication. The documented linguistic shift on the Plain from 1971 to 1981 is a possible pattern that will become increasingly evident throughout the region.

### 1.5 Broader contributions of the survey

This paper broadens the understanding of the Bosavi region, bringing together previously unavailable or unknown material and categorising it for easy comparison and analysis.

Interestingly, these data may also assist in analysing material for surrounding languages previously considered 'isolates'. Because of relationships evident here for the first time, it can be shown that Duna (McElhanon and

Voorhoeve 1970) should be placed in the Central and South New Guinea Stock of languages. Fasu, also viewed as an isolate, has been shown by Franklin and Voorhoeve (1973) to be related at the stock level to Biami (Bedamini in this data). If this is the case, then a suggestion by the present author (Shaw 1973) that Duna also be included in the Central and South New Guinea Stock is supported by the present data as shown by relationships in Table 8. Though the languages on an east-west axis are of a greater relationship than those on the north-south axis, the comparable relationships of all to the Bosavi Family suggests that they should all be similarly classed at the Stock level. Varied relationships of Duna to the three subfamilies and the higher percentages of the Plateau to both Duna and Fasu suggests possible links to the east in the vicinity of Lake Kutubu as indicated by Franklin and Voorhoeve. The high percentage between Pare and Duna is somewhat questionable, but suggests possible migration routes, and the arrival of the Pare on the western banks of the Strickland River well before the Bedamini began raiding the peoples of the East Strickland Plain. This supports an earlier hypothesis (Shaw 1973), strengthened by the comparable percentages for both Duna and Pare to the Plateau languages. This also strengthens the contention that Pare is not part of the Bosavi Family.

Table 8: Relationship of the Bosavi Family to surrounding languages


### 1.6 Conclusion

The data broadly sketched here give evidence for the existence of a family of languages which we have chosen to call the Bosavi Family. There appears to be much greater homogeneity among these groups than earlier thought (Voorhoeve 1968, Shaw 1973). Thus the languages within each geographical area are related to each other at the subfamily level and the languages of the entire region combine to form a language family. Many of the languages of what has here been called the Bosavi Watershed were known to exist, but data were insufficient to adequately demonstrate relationships. Reesink's work (1976) supplied sufficient data for him to suggest a possible link of Bainapi and Kamula with languages in the Bosavi region. Following these leads greatly assisted in the survey reported here.

The Bosavi Family is composed of 14 languages which are here divided into three linguistic subfamilies bounded by natural geographical features. Within each subfamily there is a higher genetic relationship with each other language
than to languages outside the grouping. Correspondingly the entire family exhibits greater consistency internally than externally to other groups beyond its borders. Relationships of the family to surrounding languages average 17.8\%, putting it well within stock-level relationship to its neighbours. Therefore, previous hypotheses about the placement of languages in this region within the Central and South New Guinea Stock (Voorhoeve 1968, 1970) and the Trans-New Guinea Phylum (McElhanon and Voorhoeve 1970) are confirmed and strengthened.

Implicit in all these data are the countless experiences that go along with collecting the lists: the two men at the headwaters of the Nomad River who stood in the cold stream and gave us a wordist; jumping into undergrowth when the helicopter could not land; the frustration of seeing people run away and not return (thereby reducing the possible number of lists and affecting the accuracy of the data base); and the acrobatics of collecting wordlists monolingually. All this, and more, is the human interest of such work; it is the people who speak these languages that are important, not the lists they produce for analysis. Without them the data could not exist and our understanding of these linguistic factors would be meaningless.

The Bosavi region, then, is not a hodgepodge of isolated languages spread throughout an environmentally hostile and demanding land. Nor is it a 'Papuan Wonderland' teaming with unlimited game and exotic peoples. Rather, the material presented here indicates an orderly grouping of languages exemplifying the chaining principle which helps determine broad relationships, if not specific boundaries. These languages seem to indicate 'end of the line' migrations as the people have, over a considerable length of time, filtered into the region, probably coming from the east in the vicinity of Lake Kutubu. As they responded to pressures from groups in the Southern Highlands, they were gradually 'pushed' into this backwater in the centre of the Island of New Guinea. The present condition of Agala, Konai and Bainapi all reflect this varied past and they, together with all the other languages of the region, will again be affected by yet another migration of Government officers, missionaries and traders as they enter the region in increasing numbers. Where they go, how they get there, and what they do, will continue to affect the languages and their relationships to each other, demanding ongoing research and a desire to assist the peoples of the region by making information regarding their languages and cultures available. Foreigners sensitised to the implications of their presence and effect on the continued development of the region could make a big difference for the future of the peoples who live there. Out of such concerns this material is presented.

These data warrant far more than the cursory treatment presented here. It is hoped that by making them available to the discipline at large, others can take up the challenge to refine the analysis and collect further data that will assist in understanding the particulars of the language situation in the Bosavi family: its origins, proto-language, reasons for divergence, current convergence patterns, etc. This material is here presented merely to establish the fact of the family's presence, indicate its apparent extent, and note some of the current pressures upon it. Further research can rest upon this foundation.

## NOTES

1. An aboriginal pattern of endemic disease and the adjustment of lifestyle to accommodate for it, has given way to a post contact pattern of epidemic disease which has considerably reduced the population. Several communities
have recently been abandoned, and the survivors have either joined allies (an aboriginal pattern following a raid) or consolidated and built on a new site. Thus, in spite of increased health care (Nomad has recently been upgraded to health centre status and mission trained Aid Post orderlies are ever more prevalent), the population is not at this time increasing.
2. Two little known languages are reported here: the Konai in the north-west portion of the region and the Sunia on the north-west slopes of Mt Bosavi (this may be the same as a group reported by Butler on a patrol in the Mt Bosavi area in late 1958 and early 1959 which he called Sonia). Another language, Hesif, was discovered on this survey. Located south of the Tomu River in the foothills of Mt Bosavi at the edge of the Strickland Plain, Hesif is strategic to deciphering the linguistic relationship of this region.
3. The assistance of the Jungle Aviation and Radio Service helicopter and the Papua New Guinea Survey Fund of the Summer Institute of Linguistics is gratefully acknowledged. My appreciation goes also to John Lynch of the University of Papua New Guinea, and Dick Lloyd of the Summer Institute of Linguistics, for their helpful comments on a previous draft of this report.
4. Since this survey was taken, the author has become aware of the Doso, another group inhabiting the western portion of the Watershed area, and gradually migrating southward (Wood 1982). However, no complete wordlists are available to include in this body of data. A partial list is included for comparison in Appendix A.
5. An increasing pattern today is the trading of bird of paradise plumes for kina shell and other commodities with the more distant Southern and Western Highlanders.

## APPENDIX A: WORDLISTS

The languages which have been discussed in this paper are displayed in the form of the Swadesh 100-wordlist. The wordlist is given in English and each vernacular list which follows will conform to the order of the English list.

## A. 1 English master list

1. man 2. woman 3. I 4. you 5. we 6. all 7. head 8. hair 9. eye 10. nose
2. ear 12. tooth 13. tongue 14. neck 15. mouth 16. arm 17. breast
3. belly 19. leg 20. knee 21. skin 22. blood 23. fat 24. bone 25. back
4. shoulder 27. sun 28. moon 29. star 30. cloud 31. rain 32. night
5. water 34. ground 35. stone 36. pig 37. mountain 38. fire 39. smoke
6. ashes 41. path 42. tree 43. root 44. bark 45. dog 46. tail 47. bird
7. feather 49. egg 50. fish 51. big 52. small 53. good 54. long 55. red
8. white 57. black 58. yellow 59. green 60. hot. 61. cold 62. full
9. new 64. eat 65. cassowary 66. stand 67. sit 68. speak 69. walk
10. give 71. sleep 72. lie down 73. see 74. hear 75. swim 76. come
11. flies 78. bite 79. name 80. wing 81. who 82. what 83. burn 84. louse
12. many 86. this 87. that 88. one 89. two 90. knows 91. kills 92. not
13. leaf 94. meat 95. banana 96. claw 97. father 98. seed 99. mother
14. string bag

## A． 2 The Strickland Plain Subfamily

Konai

| 1．$\bigcirc$ | 26．biyago |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．s＾sai | 27．＾sว |
| 3．ã | 28．＾gwo |
| 4．nã | 29．kwidiho |
| 5．ẽgogõ | 30．mabi |
| 6．olofe | 31．hwe ${ }^{\text {i }}$ toYu |
| 7．wudio | 32．sa nogulu |
| 8．wudio towe | 33．hwẽi |
| 9．diho | 34．m＾hĩ |
| 10．mokwã | 35．yo |
| 11．k＾hẽ | 36．wai |
| 12．mẽ | 37．bîton |
| 13．i | 38．dou |
| 14．gobagi | 39．dahai |
| 15．mogau | 40．d＾sigフ̃ |
| 16．debunõ | 41．a |
| 17．bu | 42．h＾be |
| 18．gwoks | 43．h＾be t＾f＾la |
| 19．دbวgว̃ | 44．h＾be kolo |
| 20．hogwstou | 45．so |
| 21．kolo | 46．hへbia |
| 22．kafi | 47．sio |
| 23．gw＾sige | 48．sio towe |
| 24．dio | 49．sio ho |
| 25．tabe | 50．mǐye |



75．hwêi suadi

76．hugwa
77．sio fulioli
78．wo Yu
79．Һนั
80．t＾figai
81．kวyobẽ
82．kei
83．wobolu
84．วั
85．su
86．kw＾hẽ
87．bukwẽhẽ
88．tano
89．b＾nou
90．towẽ
91．wolayo
92．mio
93．h＾be gwo
94．hw $\tilde{i}$
95．yo
96．sio kati
97．aye
98．kolo
99．dus
100．yẽ

Agala

| 1． 0 | 26．Biyago |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．s＾bつ sãi | 27．＾sフ̃ |
| 3．ame | 28．＾gwa |
| 4．name | 29．kwidiahõ |
| 5．eli | 30．mãbi |
| 6．gวัgว̃dว | 31．hwose tolu |
| 7．wodio | 32．9วsioัgว |
| 8．wodio towo | 33．hwõe |
| 9．gihã | 34．＾sว |
| 10．migiyao | 35．you |
| ll．du | 36．wai |
| 12．meyo | 37．gowule |
| 13．i | 38．dou |
| 14．gobaidio | 39．dou dahãi |
| 15．m＾ga | 40．gessgว̃ |
| 16．deb＾gปั | 41．aili |
| 17．bu | 42．hebẽ |
| 18．sasime | 43．hebẽ tefẽ |
| 19．دbวgว̃ | 44．biya kolo |
| 20．hวgowa | 45．so |
| 21．kว̃fวัya | 46．biyolu |
| 22．دyว | 47．siyo |
| 23．sefu | 48．siyo dowe |
| 24．dio | 49．siyo ho |
| 25．todio | 50．m＾yẽ |

51．kaudakũ
52．fofekũ
53． $\mathrm{d} \varepsilon$
54．sدgว
55．esî
56．วlow
57．gวsigว̃
58．biyẽ
59．may＾
60．defi
61．deyo
62．＾monu
63．dowads
64．nolu
65．diowa
66．tofolu
67．biyou
68．tวั
69．hũgwamo i
70．neida
71．tiolu
72．tiolu
73．diguya
74．duya
75．hwõe tolo ili

76．hugu Yu
77．fuluma ali
78．geyau
79．Һũ
80．siys fusi
81．kJ
82．keiba
83．tiyae
84．วัน
85．وวูวั
86．k＾me
87．kum＾hẽ
88．sisãfe
89．sisãma
90．towe
91．wiyotõke
92．moi
93．hebẽ dibi
94．hwĩ
95．$\varepsilon$
96．siys kati
97．ai
98．kว
99．＾maya
100．eso

Samo

| 1．oso | 26．गdio | 51．kau | 76．hugala |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．sobs | 27．วัธวั | 52．feãf | 77．fulumã ila |
| 3．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 28．ogw＾ | 53．de | 78．gada |
| 4．กวั |  | 54．sago | 79．hũti |
| 5．गye | 30．دgabi | 55．sbusîte | 80．alafagibi |
| 6．gõgõ | 31．hõ mũnũ | 56．دlowote | 81．kũba |
| 7．ul＾gibi | 32．ود̃ง ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 57．busîte | 82．ksboba |
| 8．ul＾gibi towo | 33．คõ | 58．biyete | 83．heyassgola |
| 9．hĩowo | 34．m＾hづi | 59．mõlowote | 84．ou |
| 10．mĩnî | 35．yo | 60．dofi | 85．gõgõ |
| 11．duli | 36．bэyว | 61．tir | 86．ke |
| 12．mธัyว | 37．gouf ${ }^{\text {da }}$ | 62．mอ̃yวtวnวัmãdว | 87．ka |
| 13．mẽnẽmãn ̌ | 38．dolo | 63．dwafi | 88．helenũ |
| 14．gวb＾gibi | 39．d＾haii | 64．nãla | 89．bẽnaũ |
| 15．mõgalu | 40．dossbu | 65．koyabi | 90．towadela |
| 16．d $\varepsilon \mathrm{b} \varepsilon$ | 41．ali | 66．tofola | 91．ola |
| 17．bu | 42．homãnẽ | 67．busla | 92．moi |
| 18．sasi | 43．tofe | 68．tõ hwãla | 93．dibi |
| 19．hวmธั | 44．bisigobu | 69．suda | 94．hwวิi |
| 20．ibulu | 45．sכfo | 70．nẽla | 95．عbع |
| 21．kolofu | 46．sofo hobe | 71．kiala | 96．sigo kali |
| 22．ayo | 47．sigo | 72．kela | 97．ade |
| 23．bフ̃กวั | 48．sigo towo | 73．دgola | 98．kolo |
| 24．kibi | 49．sigo holo | 74．dula | 99．uyo |
| 25．wagibi | 50．dio | 75．hõ tolofiyala | 100．عs |

## Kalamo

1．دloga
2．ssbs
3．ã
4．กั๊
5．daye
6．susugawa
7．wiligi
8．wudu
9．hวัพธั
10．mudu
11．dulu
12．$m \tilde{\varepsilon}$
13．i
14．gobodã
15．mว̃gว
16．di
17．to
18．sbenie
19．hวmธั
20．ebi
21．kolo
22．kegãye
23．bさ̃
24．ki
25．waki

26．ei
27．osigさ̃
28．nว̃ligว
29．giwปั
30．kaigõ
31．hวัพธ̃ sibวgu
32．gaisi
33．hธัพธั
34．mi
35．yo
36．be
37．isiyã
38．dou
39．dei
40．dou solu
41．eli
42．hom
43．homotu
44．hวmo kolo
45．so
46．دbeyo
47．sゝ？
48．sコ？wudu
49．so？ho
50．di

51．kau
52．feãaf
53．de
54．sago
5．sbusite
57．コ）r
58 bi
59 mũ
60．dofi
61．tir
62．mว̃yวtวnวัmãdว
63．dwaf
64．nâla
66．tofola
67．busla
68．tว̃ hwãla
69．suda
70．nẽla
71．kiala
72．kela
73．sgola
75．hõ tolofiyala

76．hugala
77．fulumã ila
78．gada
9．hüti
81．kũba
82．ksbっba
83．heyasogola
ou
85．وõg
87．ka
88．helenũ
89．bẽnaũ
90．towadela
91．ola
92．moi
93．dibi
94．hwวีi
95．عbع
96．sigo kali
97．ade
98．kolo
100．عs

51．towago
52．fæbugจ
53．sowads
54．sage
55．عmei i
56．hẽniye
57．da：sigẽ
58．عmesiyã
59．moluws
60．dedus
61．dasigẽ
62．－－
63．dia
64．nãye
65．kou
66．tubiye
67．buwa
68．kJYai
69．diago
70．nẽye
71．tiæye
72．tiæye
73．sgaye
74．duloye
75．tabiyaye

76．sibaye
77．fofulogo
78．bẽwiyago
79．hũ
80．fudugo
81．ugumว̃
82．ogobs
83．dou si
84．ou
85．susugwã
86．hagosวั
87．kugวsวั
88．dihว̃
89．homa kına
90．duYisว̃
91．inモ̃ye
92．mẽi
93．du
94．hwปีi
95．i
96．sコ？hวmo
97．ma
98．gokJ
99．wi
100．〕

Hesif

| 1．JYu | 26．ei |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．subs | 27．Jsugo |
| 3．na | 28．a |
| 4．nว | 29．g īw工̃ |
| 5．iy | 30．kilibs |
| 6．hihãgiss | 31．hoัwธ̃ sibวgo |
| 7．ulugi | 32．noluga |
| 8．uYu tu | 33．hธัพธั |
| 9．hวwธั | 34．mi |
| 10．modu | 35．yo |
| 11．dulu | 36．be |
| 12．mei | 37．mubi |
| 13．i | 38．dou |
| 14．gududã | 39．kumẽ |
| 15．mogolo | 40．mu |
| 16．de | 41．eli |
| 17．toั | 42．homo |
| 18．sbẽnie | 43．homo tu |
| 19．hวmว | 44．homs kuls |
| 20．ibi | 45．s |
| 21．kulo | 46．soto |
| 22．sãwธั | 47．دsigo |
| 23．bo | 48．so？to |
| 24．ki | 49．so？ho |
| 25．miligi | 50．inolubi |

51．daiya
52．febugõ
53．tigとfコั
54．sage
55．bigẽ
56．hodei
57．dosigõ
58．wãd $\mathfrak{i}$
59．wenadعi
60．debio
61．kisaisدgo
62．－－
63．－－
64．nela：bugo
65．kou
66．tobi
67．biẽ
68．－－
69．dui
70．tõnei
71．tie
72．tie
73．عge
74．dual＾
75．hธัพธั tuabeye

76．sibcye
77．ss？fusdugo
78．sゝ？anenã
79．hũ
80．sد？ad＾
81．－－
82．ogoba
83．dou si
84．गu
85．gogolu
86．hir
87．－－
88．homakəna
89．wolugu
90．gulida
91．wi
92．－－
93．hosugudu
94．hou
95．i
96．sد？kai
97．mai
98．homou
99．unoki
100．〕

## A． 3 The Papuan Plateau Subfamily

## Bedamini

1．tunu
26．kidagi
2．uda
3．na
4． ti
5．nĩnĩ
6．huruane
7．tialuna
8．hinabu
9． si
10．mi
11．kẽ
12．pese
13．koñ̃su
14．ka Yugwa
15．læ： fi
16．nabu
17．tots
18．hagวัmธั
19．emo
20．muguni
21．kadっfっ
22．hæ：Ye
23．sẽfẽ
24．kasa
25．baligi

27．esJ
28．aubi
29．kasumuni
30．mu：
31．kibu
32．kasi
33．hãYว
34．دsコbง
35．igi
36．gebs
37．kaumi
38．nalu
39．giha
40．dasubu
41．logo
42．ifa
43． $\mathrm{t} \tilde{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{fi}$
44．kadっfっ
45．wæ：me
46．nags
47．mæni
48．abs
49．Js
50．ai

51．bogade
52．forsbonadi
53．tefeya
54．sada
55．دbusi
56．suæ
57．nasدbe
58．puæ
59．gamurubu
60．hau
61．anego：gi
62．naba
63．gahebe
64．naha
65．wida
66．wama
67．fima
68．saima
69．mos＾
70．ima
71．korama
72．mita
73．p～bama
74．nabama
75．tasedabe

76．misa
77．awabe
78．kasuma
79．dio
80．hafugai
81．nãwã
82．adi
83．nelo：be
84．imu
85．गsei
86．gowe
87．kwe
88．afai
89．adunã
90．tawa
91．bコgo
92．hame
93．Yubi
94．hũ
95．kai
96．ifi
97．ada
98．tuYu
99．ame
100．esa

## Etoro

| 1. tono | 26. kitã |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. udia | 27. ess |
| 3. ne | 28. aube |
| 4. ti : | 29. sikabi |
| 5. nini | 30. kene |
| 6. susubato | 31. sege |
| 7. b~pus^ | 32. kasigi |
| 8. b~pus^ heni | 33. ว̃tã |
| 9. si | 34. naka |
| 10. mig^ni | 35. igi |
| 11. kとhe | 36. sugu^ |
| 12. prbese | 37. segebi |
| 13. eli | 38. nuYu |
| 14. kal^bago | 39. hวัbã |
| 15. nafi | 40. nisipu |
| 16. n^bo | 41. idi |
| 17. toto | 42. i |
| 18. pole | 43. tifi |
| 19. emo | 44. kof ${ }^{\text {ato }}$ |
| 20. eməgumu | 45. دgon |
| 21. k^dっfo | 46. houpe |
| 22. hi^le | 47. hay^ |
| 23. sãfẽ | 48. heyr |
| 24. kiwi: | 49. iss |
| 25. pagi | 50. seme |


| 51. edef^de | 76. ma:sipe |
| :---: | :---: |
| 52. nẽf^ni | 77. hakila |
| 53. hedebi | 78. mihĩsipẽ |
| 54. sedade | 79. eit |
| 55. b~pei | 80. augi^ |
| 56. f^lei | 81. ets |
| 57. y^bui | 82. eto suhã |
| 58. w^nวi | 83. nuluku^ |
| 59. mẽlabui | 84. imũ |
| 60. hẽigí | 85. y^fie |
| 61. kogî | 86. kowe |
| 62. wãlãsube | 87. i kowe |
| 63. kahẽ | 88. age |
| 64. nahã: | 89. agedu |
| 65. wid^ | 90. tawa |
| 66. nowemo | 91. pakowi |
| 67. miyẽ | 92. haboi |
| 68. sãsibe | 93. ipau |
| 69. mosวั | 94. hu |
| 70. im̃ | 95. kai |
| 71. ti^hã | 96. ifi |
| 72. mitič | 97. n^tد |
| 73. peyaha | 98. ik^g^ |
| 74. nab^ba | 99. neme |
| 75. tadisigai | 100. esa |

Onabasulu

| 1. inolo | 26. kilele |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. ids | 27. holo |
| $3 . \mathrm{na}$ | 28. aube |
| 4. ka | 29. sigabi |
| 5. nini | 30. kene |
| $6 . \operatorname{suni\wedge }$ | 31. sugafe |
| 7. kuni | 32. nigili |
| 8. kuni alu | 33. hano |
| 9. si | 34. hele |
| 10. mí | 35. abane |
| 11. ksheni | 36. tofene |
| 12. pese | 37. gali |
| 13. Eane | 38. ti |
| 14. gifokolo | 39. tikawa |
| 15. mofeyธั | 40. tefene |
| 16. sone | 41. eno |
| 17. bu | 42. i |
| 18. kubs | 43. efoto |
| 19. emo | 44. i pato |
| 20. emo hagวnวmว | 45. keso |
| 21. tomola | 46. tofano |
| 22. ibi | 47. haka |
| 23. sãfẽ | 48. alu |
| 24. kiwi | 49. sJ |
| 25. faiso | 50. hani |

51. bule
52. wedino
53. nafulu
54. sedale
55. kenelu
56. holu
57. yabulu
58. wanolu
59. imolulu
60. harofe
61. poti
62. wasigãnu
63. hili
64. namana
65. faiulu
66. degemela
67. mesa
68. sãma
69. afẽ
70. mẽma
71. hanema
72. hanema
73. bama
74. toma
75. tasafe
76. mila
77. hauba afe
78. mulu
79. wi
80. awi
81. nse
82. ene
83. denã basami
84. fẽ
85. bule
86. ewe
87. amo
88. agale
89. aida
90. asigibu
91. dawalife
92. tuma
93. iwalu
94. hũ
95. mabu
96. ifini
97. nas
98. ets
99. nae
100. alu

## A． 4 The Bosavi Watershed Subfamily

Kaluli

| 1．kalu | 26．kenĩ | 51．ãlã | 76．mina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．kesali | 27．गf | 52．helu | 77．د̃bẽ talogisilap |
| 3． ni | 28．ili | 53．nafa | 78．mobulugap |
| 4．ki | 29．tami | 54．sãbo | 79．wi |
| 5．niyõ | 30．kolok | 55．kinilo | 80．fulu |
| 6．tabs | 31．hõn sindap | 56．holo | 81．kiゝb＾ |
| 7．misẽ | 32．nutap | 57．hiyo | 82．دb＾ |
| 8．misẽ fõ | 33．hõn | 58．wanalo | 83．tiwaisslap |
| 9．si | 34．hin | 59．imolo | 84．fẽ |
| 10．migi | 35．u | 60．ofo | 85．moto |
| 11．kenẽ | 36．kabo | 61．hito | 86．we |
| 12．bis | 37．misio | 62．waido | 87．ei |
| 13．eハn | 38．di | 63．hogi | 88．ãgel |
| 14．dagas | 39．di hวmõ | 64．maya | 89．ãdip |
| 15．mikof | 40．tufun | 65．kusua | 90．＾sulap |
| 16．tagi | 41．tok | 66．t＾silnp | 91．داد |
| 17．bu | 42．i | 67．misiya | 92．－－ |
| 18．kuf | 43．i tif | 68．selap | 93．i fos |
| 19．gip | 44．i dokof | 69．hamana | 94．ho |
| 20．kulau | 45．kas＾ | 70．dimina | 95．magu |
| 21．dogof | 46．tufon | 71．alima | 96．kisin |
| 22．hobo | 47．دัbẽ | 72．alima | 97．to |
| 23．saf | 48．วัbẽ fon | 73．boba | 98．i helu |
| 24．ki | 49．วัbẽ uš | 74．d＾d＾p | 99．nว |
| 25．feis | 50．ke | 75．hõn mululap | 100．as |

## Sunia

| 1．$\wedge$ sen＾ | 26．kutin |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．$n \wedge i s \supset \wedge$ | 27．of |
| 3．ne | 28．weYe |
| 4．ge | 29．themi |
| 5．niYi | 30．kelın |
| 6．togamu | 31．mปั |
| 7．eneipi | 32．unudu |
| 8．eneipi fon | 33．m̃ |
| 9．si | 34．heni |
| 10．miki | 35．ka |
| 11．ekadem | 36． $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$ |
| 12．＾nen＾ | 37．muṣi＾ |
| 13．t＾bise | 38．de |
| 14．odogu | 39．dofo |
| 15．mek＾f | 40．dufun |
| 16．d＾b | 41．tokor |
| 17．bs | 42．yep |
| 18．kuf | 43．yetef |
| 19．eisep | 44．yとbek＾f |
| 20．gut | 45．wei |
| 21．$\wedge k \wedge f$ | 46．cıssm |
| 22．h＾b＾ | 47．＾bつ |
| 23．$\wedge$ s＾f | 48．＾bว fon |
| 24．uku | 49．$\wedge t \wedge m$ |
| 25．ofos | 50．douba |


| 51．kek＾da | 76．men＾ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 52．id＾su | 77．hoYim＾p |
| 53．nofedi | 78．demed＾p |
| 54．s＾d＾ | 79．imi |
| 55．gi＾ | 80．วnว |
| 56．ho：l＾ | 81．in＾ |
| 57．sol＾ | 82．－－ |
| 58．$w \wedge n$ | 83．die men＾ |
| 59．imuY＾ | 84．fi |
| 60．uful＾ | 85．keYik＾ |
| 61．hi＾ | 86．$k \wedge Y$ i |
| 62．ug＾d＾ | 87．－－ |
| 63．hi | 88．itidi |
| 64．men＾ | 89．an i |
| 65．mon＾ | 90．Jsug＾ |
| 66．t＾sidu＾ | 91．senim＾ |
| 67．mis＾ | 92．－－ |
| 68．hein＾sed＾ | 93． $\mathrm{y} \wedge \mathrm{f} \wedge$ S |
| 69． $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ | 94．ughu |
| 70． $\operatorname{dim} \wedge n \wedge$ | 95．w＾dei |
| 71．mid＾ | 96．hos in |
| 72．mid＾ | 97．do |
| 73．bэp＾ | 98．dıファ |
| 74．dobud＾d＾ | 99．n＾ |
| 75．mutin＾p | 100．is |

Kasua


26．kenen
27．Јbว
28．kunei
29．yepisini
30．عkวpe
31．h＾nป̃ moni
32．nukruano
33．h＾nธั
34．peli＾
35．etew＾
36．kวpoYo
37．tokวmo
38．tei
39．hom＾to＾
40．teps
41．isu
42．i
43．warofo
44．i k＾po
45．k＾soro
46．itiame
47．$\varepsilon$ nim
48．＾nem f $\wedge n u$
49．ufu
50．tuřu

51．sosoyo
52．korotea
53．n＾po
54．sen＾toケว
55．kenع
56．kuy＾
57．yapuya
58．eYi＾
59．mun＾
60．kuli＾
61．bつti＾
62．waruya
63．hiYi
64．mยnẽ
65．kazu＾
66．erape
67．heřeme
68．seř＾keye
69．hวmon＾
70．nem＾
71．$\varepsilon$ nim＾
72．Enim＾
73．bobィ
74．t＾t＾өeye
75．huYuh $\wedge p \wedge$

76．mine
77．huti＾h＾p＾
78．meni
79．แกũ
80．phou
81．eb＾ibe
82．enana
83．hinakiye
84．pfei
85．hitعl＾
86．wei
87．eb＾
88．semeti
89．हYipi
90．enem $\wedge t \wedge n \wedge$
91．kuYu
92．$\varepsilon d \varepsilon$
93．i f＾lo
94．supu
95．toř
96．sinipi
97．－－
98．itho
99．－－
100．－－

Aimele

1．kolu
2．kaisale
3．ne
4．ge
5． ni
6．tobo
7．mufa
8．mufa fonว
9． si
10．migi
11．keleni
12．bisi
13．dabisẽ
14．dogole
15．mogafว̃
16．debe
17．bu：
18．kufu
19．inebi
20．gulu
21．kãfu
22．omani
23．sabe
24．ki
25．fosu

26．kede
27．of $\supset$
28．ole
29．bilimu
30．kilini
31．hãni tine
32．solodiyabe
33．hãni
34．isa
35．doa
36．kẽ
37．dama
38．di
39．dofu
40．doso
41．togolo
42．yebe
43．yebe tefe
44．ye kafe
45．ãgi
46．tufono
47．abo
48．abว fวnว
49．abo usu
50．komolu

51．hõgala
52．hodosu
53．kẽlẽga
54．sada
55．gia
56．hola
57．damẽla
58．wenala
59．imỹla
60．of ola
61．komolu
62．－－
63．hi
64．mayã
65．kosuwa
66．dasidomã
67．meseyã
68．tכyã
69．malã
70．diminã
71．alimã
72．alimã
73．bobomã
74．debamã
75．hane hemefiyã

76．yabe
77．abs togodia aneke
78．agi kalia
79．wi
80．ine
81．aiba
82．aiba ？
83．seba
84．tede
85．momeya
86．wekae
87．wẽ
88．ageli
89．ageleweli
90．holoke
91．sanemã
92．igale nake
93．yefo
94．ho
95．wade
96．abs gosene
97．na
98．kolu
99．noluwe lebe
100．esi

## Kamula

| 1．spol＾imi | 26．m＾ke | 51．p＾t〕 | 76．puma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．$\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\text {yã }}$ | 27． $\mathrm{s} \wedge$ Yi | 52．yimik＾m＾l＾ | 77．hoř＾mtuma |
| 3．$ก$ モ̃ | 28．m＾m＾ | 53．tegedř́ | 78．yeř́ma |
| 4．$W \tilde{\varepsilon}$ | 29．t＾me Yi | 54．seřem＾Y̌eni | 79．hi |
| 5．diع | 30．u＾Y＾ | 55．omt＾k＾ipele | 80．suku |
| 6．h＾polom＾ | 31．tio | 56．k＾m＾le | 81．＾n＾yを |
| 7．tokobィl＾ | 32．utJYEl＾ | 57．dikoli | 82．$\wedge p \wedge t \varepsilon$ |
| 8．kokJs＾se | 33．yu | 58．waleni | 83．uYuYuma |
| 9．in＾ma | 34． $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{Y}_{\wedge}$ | 59．t＾lipusuk＾lu | 84．iy＾ |
| 10．mũ | 35．ew＾Y＾ | 60．Nl＾m＾leni | 85．h＾pว 8m＾$^{\text {a }}$ |
| 11．molo | 36．＾Yi＾ | 61．thom＾li | 86．${ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| 12．عpe | 37．t＾m＾Yi | 62．pコs＾t＾ni | 87．Eye |
| 13． t ¢ | 38．deY＾p＾ | 63．＾mok | 88．h＾tolop |
| 14．tumuku | 39．n＾m＾Ye | 64．t＾Edフma | 89．depi＾mとtع |
| 15．m＾： t | 40．tine | 65．w＾t＾l＾ | 90．m＾l＾m＾l＾ |
| 16．to： | 41．$\wedge \mathrm{pi}$ | 66．sعrihotine | 91．yumama |
| 17．mعme | 42．t＾li | 67．yeproma | 92．h＾w＾ |
| 18．kuks | 43．tכYวs＾：Yつ | 68．yugama | 93．up＾ |
| 19．hetei | 44．k＾b＾l＾ | 69．t $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge}$ | 94．mo |
| 20．NYuma | 45．esem＾1＾ | 70．h＾mima | 95．tum＾ |
| 21．$k \wedge p \wedge 1 \wedge$ | 46．tile | 71．elema | 96．tukasi |
| 22．um＾：li | 47．tea | 72．elema | 97．？ 1 ＾ |
| 23．spi | 48．teak＾ | 73．n＾tima | 98．moks |
| 24．EYu | 49．temoks | 74．t＾l＾ma | 99．w＾i |
| 25．kJ | 50．＾m＾lo | 75．yudel＾s＾lعma | 100．－－ |

Doso This list was taken from a Kamula man who was married to a Doso woman． It was collected at Kisigi where the man was living at the time（not included in comparative data）．

| 1．haimo | 26．makæ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．dobo | 27．khikha |
| 3．anei | 28．ıři |
| 4．na | 29．wot＾ba |
| 5．ai | 30．wařa |
| 6．－ | 31．ořo |
| 7．abaki | 32．－－ |
| 8．abuluso | 33．umu |
| 9．usa | 34．bili |
| 10．bulu | 35．kJ |
| 11．apu | 36．nena |
| 12．da | 37．pi |
| 13．ithi | 38．dıki |
| 14．wagubati | 39．d＾řimi |
| 15．dimıke | 40．d＾mi＾kopa |
| 16．өعnei | 41．uzuga |
| 17．toka | 42．gu |
| 18．gomga | 43．haha |
| 19．s＾řei | 44．gub＾ři |
| 20．swã | 45．khasa |
| 21．b＾li | 46．－－ |
| 22．omani | 47．sıki |
| 23．tsæ | 48．ih |
| 24．khi | 49．wünõ |
| 25．bikhi | 50．yah |


| 51. | 76．and $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 52．－ | 77．patude |
| 53. | 78．b＾k＾le |
| 54．－ | 79．samu |
| 55. | 80．pعрє |
| 56. | 81．－－ |
| 57. | 82．－－ |
| 58．－－ | 83．diki hede |
| 59. | 84．amu |
| 60. | 85．－－ |
| 61. | 86．－－ |
| 62. | 87．－ |
| 63．－－ | 88．－ |
| 64．nane | 89．－－ |
| 65．wathwřa | 90．omtwa |
| 66．dic | 91．ok＾mane |
| 67．ipwe | 92．－－ |
| 68．õ wãřei | 93．gusu |
| 69．u！$\underbrace{\text { c }}$ | 94．uřu |
| 70．manıne | 95．b＾sei |
| 71．kořie | 96．středmiki |
| 72．kuřiei | 97．－－ |
| 73．yãnẽ | 98．alei |
| 74．duřei | 99．－－ |
| 75．－－ | 100．عz\＆be |

Bainapi

| 1．sau | 26．binapki | 51．gutute | 76．mini－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．tawsi | 27．male | 52．sia | 77．pio |
| 3．nane | 28．iliepe | 53．waleta | 78．mak＾li |
| 4．gage | 29．bepeai： | 54．memeli | 79．yo |
| 5．nini | 30．paupai | 55．balipat＾pa | 80．auakiki |
| 6．stom＾te | 31．daiagisars | 56．okat＾pa | 81．dabra |
| 7．bisikoki | 32．dapo | 57．daput＾pa | 82．yaloa |
| 8．bisi kaka | 33．daia | 58．met＾pa | 83．aro－ |
| 9．usa | 34．${ }^{\text {Pe }}$ | 59．kat＾tıpa | 84．pe |
| 10．deimu | 35．kJ | 60．sitau | 85．gutute |
| ll．kosoropa | 36．apo | 61．babit | 86．aye |
| 12．beserepa | 37．bati | 62．tapau | 87．amo |
| 13．metet＾ | 38．dařau | 63．kokalia | 88．makate |
| 14．timoku | 39．do | 64．na－ | 89．ařapa |
| 15．kalu | 40．dupu | 65．kauli | 90．nauma－ |
| 16．tapi | 41．iti | 66．dasi－ | 91．toa |
| 17．bu | 42．bosa | 67．eso－ | 92．namo |
| 18．kup＾ | 43．bosa baba | 68．mado－ | 93．isipa |
| 19．tupa | 44．bosa baua | 69．an－ | 94．moko |
| 20．gutu | 45．sapo | 70．mina－ | 95．mase |
| 21．baua | 46．kořokawa | 71．usiati－ | 96．kapa |
| 22．balipa | 47．meta | 72．usiati－ | 97．enate |
| 23．sai | 48．kwasapa | 73．boso－ | 98．ukuma |
| 24．ki | 49．kwapa | 74．apki－ | 99．enauwe |
| 25．but＾ku | 50．kaipi | 75．－－ | 100．bitia |

APPENDIX B：LANGUAGES SURROUNDING THE BOSAVI FAMILY
Bogaya

1．$\wedge m \tilde{i}$
2．$\check{i m i n}$
3．no
4．kJ
5．enu
6．konuf＾nma
7．yeY＾
8．yeY＾eYika
9．$k i: n \wedge n$
10．pfou Yu
11．hวก＾ก
12．y＾k＾i
13．ıkin
14．t＾fãn
15．minya
16．en＾n
17．M Yu
18．$s \wedge \gamma \wedge n$
19．yeh＾i
20．sm＾mukun
21．huku＾n
22．yes＾
23．mungu＾n
24．h＾ช＾Ye
25．f＾in

26．peni
27．Jwa
28．k＾iu
29．y＾Y＾g＾ĩ＾
30．niguwi
31．p＾iukufosi
32．دัmətゝkวn
33．p＾iuku
34．yũmgư＾n
35．h＾n＾
36．$\wedge p \wedge n$
37．k＾ři＾
38．toun
39．sukup＾
40．mîmu
41．hวัna
42．touィ
43．toun t＾yo
44．toua fulu
45．эүวрі
46．houe
47．pit＾k＾
48．pit＾k＾elika
49．pit＾k＾دัudi
50．hei

51．gosin
52．hitip＾
53．hวm＾g＾numun
54．uYua
55．g＾ř＾n
56．hwu Yun
57．mmusin
58．f＾wun
59．gař＾n
60．tořs
61．ヘs 〇řo
62．pekenŋ＾u
63．$s \wedge \gamma \wedge n$
64．nã
65．uguřu＾
66．seY＾b＾
67．sวyวb＾
68．n＾tosi
69．m＾メ＾
70．sif＾
71．hou Yubs
72．hou Yub＾
73．h＾y＾b＾
74．w＾keisi
75．enou $w \wedge n m \wedge \gamma \wedge$

76．fai
77．pit＾k＾moyosi
78．hilius
79．＾mĩn
80．pit＾k＾f＾Y＾n
81．kכp＾
82．kemen＾
83．toun t＾
84．fiY＾
85．ka：sinum＾n
86．duhu
87．duhu
88．mos＾kom＾
89．ef $\wedge n$
90．houn
91．holukens
92． $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$
93．tou＾iYik＾
94．pïni
95．m＾ช＾n
96．pit＾k＾kip＾
97．＾t＾
98．toun ondi
99．em＾
100．－－

Duna

1. aña
2. ima
3. no
4. kJ
5. inu
6. pilili
7. kuni
8. hini
9. le
10. kuma
11. kohane
12. ne
13. sgone
14. ma
15. habu
16. ki
17. abu
18. takane
19. tia
20. mokone
21. pulu
22. kuyila
23. hai
24. kuni
25. kana
26. paia
27. hewa
28. eke
29. yalekayi
30. pops
31. yu
32. akurua
33. yu
34. lidi
35. kuna
36. isa
37. kali
38. Iowa kiliana
39. hawe
40. emo
41. hata
42. I Iwa
43. lako
44. Iowa puru
45. yawi
46. Iana
47. heka
48. hini
49. hapa
50. wena
51. puka
52. kete
53. peli
54. ugwa
55. yetas
56. peo
57. midu
58. abwas
59. toninipurubs
60. 
61. lakale
62. din
63. koni
64. neyana
65. ukura
66. kei
67. leina
68. Iuwana
69. gana
70. ugwana
71. wana
72. wana
73. kena
74. wakina
75. Iana
76. heana
77. pakana
78. neyana
79. yaka
80. iki
81. ai
82. aki
83. kiliana
84. tete
85. rokwa
86. huna
87. hana
88. du
89. yapa
90. konei
91. teikina
92. neya
93. hini
94. pini
95. makapo
96. hodene
97. ana
98. eke
99. agia
100. nu

Pare

| 1. kobo | 26. is ene |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. wigi | 27. gine |
| $3 . \mathrm{n}$ ก | 28. abi |
| 4. go | 29. peteme |
| 5. nigi | 30. uwe |
| 6 . hanomu | 31. ume |
| 7. kiba | 32. hwiga |
| 8. ouse | 33. ume |
| 9. kinemo | 34. to |
| 10. kine | 35. iebo |
| ll. mogo | 36. mele |
| 12. male | 37. giso |
| 13. t ¢ | 38. n ¢ |
| 14. tulu | 39. ne sgwe |
| 15. padame | 40. sweksmo |
| 16. atowe | 41. วtigi |
| 17. bu | 42. |
| 18. napo | 43. ì debere |
| 19. tamakali | 44. i sia |
| 20. oumu | 45. ti |
| 21. sia | 46. hwets |
| 22. sowo | 47. tie |
| 23. sa: | 48. tige ouse |
| 24. kJ | 49. mo? |
| 25. malaks | 50. mune |

51. hiti
52. ksbokiti
53. ediage
54. sige
55. tauge
56. gogene
57. dige
58. kosagane
59. momagane
60. te:ga
61. suwiga
62. towate
63. kwane
64. denu
65. sba
66. heno
67. eneno
68. sa ade
69. kodu
70. denu
71. kiyoens
72. eno
73. dedo
74. wodalas
75. ume ᄀida
76. hadano
77. kada
78. tena
79. hi
80. akJ
81. kobs noksbu
82. naganewo
83. ne dimenu
84. kiba ? 3
85. hitiyum
86. e
87. 〕
88. stes
89. diyabo
90. nodaloyo
91. male yenu
92. amale
93. i use
94. seyi
95. Jwe
96. dage
97. de
98. $\tilde{i} \mathrm{mu}$
99. ama
100. dige

## Namumi

1. abano
2. hinamu
3. anuni
4. ni
5. su
6. abonakaiya
7. unahaie
8. unahai iti
9. $\mathrm{hi}^{7}$
10. sapasuma
11. sinæki
12. akai
13. airu
14. koropiri
15. akai kiri
16. nokanu
17. hotu
18. haripa
19. kofai
20. kukunai
21. kau
22. kakusa
23. sawi
24. kiki
25. mati
26. kinu
27. maya
28. hiki
29. iya putini
30. aku
31. iya
32. iya idi
33. hí
34. hãuaka
35. เki
36. giro
37. uri
38. ir^kupi
39. ir^ musu
40. kanaku
41. iyakarapabu
42. ira
43. ir^bikinu
44. ir^ kau
45. kasa
46. kasa kinu
47. minai
48. iti
49. hai
50. poka
51. kaia
52. pabu
53. bisai
54. horspo
55. piti
56. saufia
57. kimusa
58. saririsa
59. saisaisa
60. sisibu
61. inu
62. komurusai
63. kawi
64. nesi
65. sikina
66. t^hisi
67. asikayæ
68. sumisie
69. pusie
70. makasie
71. wara kabu
72. wari kinabu
73. asiabu
74. kai abu
75. tabusie
76. piæ
77. minaipũ
78. nisie
79. iyanu
80. noriapo
81. epare
82. yakabare
83. --
84. --
85. kaiya
86. nani
87. wari nani
88. nakasa
89. tita
90. --
91. --
92. fa
93. ir^ gu
94. maia
95. kaputa
96. kipi sikini
97. ata
98. sũ yahai
99. ama
100. ira

## Bamu

1. dubu
2. orobs
3. mo
4. oro
5. neio
6. imese
7. eputa
8. epusume
9. damari
10. wadi
ll. gare
11. ibomoro
12. stotsbe
13. dэpa
14. matagors
15. tu?u
16. amo
17. niro
18. sairs pats
19. kauhwio
20. tama
21. sawi
22. kasawo
23. soro
24. gimini
25. bena
26. saikio
27. sدgコmi
28. sari
29. tobors bubu
30. wisare
31. duws
32. دbง
33. sدpu
34. depani
35. girs
36. podo
37. mahi
38. gahuwa
39. tuws
40. gabs
41. sta
42. sipi
43. tama
44. sıka
45. kukau
46. siwi
47. musuwa
48. i spu
49. nakere
50. auwona
51. kaina?i
52. meana
53. tutulu
54. karima
55. kea sas
56. gare:
57. aguwago
58. gitigiti
59. karد
60. kukamo
61. kirotomuwa
62. oris
63. suhs
64. diware
65. stoi
66. smidirs
67. ibs aro
68. su?u
69. abisto
70. uws orobu
71. orsbu
72. iauri
73. irowidiro
74. sbs isuwa
75. sudie
76. Jdau
77. agigisi
78. masiro
79. tamu
80. etura
81. toura
82. mahirs suho
83. nimo
84. siris
85. nou?
86. iゝ?
87. kaidi
88. netewa taibs
89. umərəie
90. ro opia
91. puaie
92. puara
93. tumuna suhsma
94. kobira
95. tukupi
96. abera
97. i $\mathrm{9pu}$
98. mamu
99. --

## APPENDIX C：DIALECTS OF SAMO

Samo Included here for comparison

| 1．oso | 26．odio | 51．kau | 76．hugala |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．sobs | 27．วัธวั | 52．feãวั | 77．fulumã ila |
| 3．ã | 28．ogw＾ | 53．de | 78．gada |
| 4．กวั | 29．gossmõnî | 54．sago | 79．hũti |
| 5．دye | 30．دgabi | 55．sbusîte | 80．alafagibi |
| 6．gõgõ | 31．hõ mũnũ | 56．دlowote | 81．kũba |
| 7．ul＾gibi | 32．ودัง ǐ | 57．busîte | 82．koboba |
| 8．ul＾gibi | 33．hõ | 58．biyete | 83．heyasogola |
| 9．hĩowo | 34．m＾hวัi | 59．mõไowote | 84．ou |
| 10．mĩní | 35．yo | 60．dơfi | 85．gõgõ |
| 11．duli | 36．bงyo | 61．t ${ }_{\text {i }}$ | 86．ke |
| 12．mธ̃yจ | 37．goufoda | 62．mธ̃yจtวnวัmãdว | 87．ka |
| 13．mẽnẼmãnî | 38．dolo | 63．dwafi | 88．helenũ |
| 14．gob＾gibi | 39．d＾hãi | 64．nãla | 89．bẽnau |
| 15．ms̃galu | 40．dossbu | 65．ksyabi | 90．towadela |
| 16．d\＆be | 41．ali | 66．tofola | 91．ola |
| 17．bu | 42．hวmãnẽ | 67．busla | 92．moi |
| 18．sasi | 43．tofe | 68．tõ hwãla | 93．dibi |
| 19．hวmธั | 44．bisigobu | 69．suda | 94．hwวิ |
| 20．ibulu | 45．sدfo | 70．nẽla | 95．ebe |
| 21．kolofu | 46．sofo hobe | 71．kiala | 96．sigo kali |
| 22．ayo | 47．sigo | 72．kela | 97．ade |
| 23．bフักธั | 48．sigo towo | 73．sgola | 98．kolo |
| 24．kibi | 49．sigo holo | 74．dula | 99．uyว |
| 25．wagibi | 50．dio | 75．hõ tolofiyala | 100．Eso |

Kubo

| 1． 0 | 26．odome |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．sobs | 27．õsõ |
| 3．ã | 28．ogw＾ |
| 4．nã | 29．gossmoi |
| 5．गye | 30．دgabi |
| 6．gõgõ | 31．hwï tolodo |
| 7．wodio | 32．gõsi |
| 8．wodio toi | 33．hwi |
| 9．dihõ | 34．oso |
| 10．mi | 35．yo |
| 11．du | 36．${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 12．mจyว | 37．gowufoda |
| 13．i： | 38．dou |
| 14．gobaidiu | 39．d＾hãi |
| 15．moga？u | 40．das＾gจ |
| 16．dobogo | 41．ai |
| 17．bu | 42．home |
| 18．gosio | 43．tofe |
| 19．دbフgง | 44．bio |
| 20．yubu | 45．so |
| 21．kolo | 46．so hobe |
| 22．ayo | 47．siu |
| 23．sofe | 48．siu toi |
| 24．dio | 49．siu ho |
| 25．sãgi | 50．dio |



76．hogwaio
77．fuจyさ̃io
78．ga：io
79．hũti
80．odafodio
81．komaba
82．bコ？ bba
83．hobestois
84．ou
85．gõgõ
86．ke
87．ka
88．tans
89．beãu
90．towãio
91．toio
92．moi
93．dubi
94．huwi
95．e：
96．siu kai
97．ade
98．ko
99．dua
100．ess

Honibo

| l．os | 26． l i |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．ulin | 27．õ：s |
| 3．ã | 28．دgwn |
| 4．no | 29．gosomoi |
| 5．دye | 30．دgaib |
| 6．susugab | 31．hãũ muwadau |
| 7．uligib | 32．gois |
| 8．uton | 33．hãũ |
| 9．hio | 34．mohõi |
| 10．mǐni | 35．yo |
| 11．dulu | 36．boi |
| 12．moi | 37．gok |
| 13．ili | 38．dolu |
| 14．gจf＾gib | 39．dem |
| 15．mogof | 40．dosof |
| 16．dub | 41．دli |
| 17．bu | 42．homol |
| 18．sasi | 43．motof |
| 19．hom | 44．kכlof |
| 20．ibili | 45．sวf |
| 21．kolof | 46．sof milu |
| 22．sahau | 47．si |
| 23．bõn | 48．si towo |
| 24．kib | 49．si hol |
| 25．wakib | 50．dio |



51．gau
53． $\mathrm{d} \varepsilon$
54．sagati
55．sbuste
56．olote
busite
58．buste
60．doif
61．tis̃dっ
62．məyวdวnomaimdァ
．dwaif
64．nowal
66．tof oye
67．buจye
68．tohwãye
69．suY̌ye
70．neye
71．kiaye
72．keaye
73．دgวye
75．tofuaye

76．smaye
77．fudiye
78．suaye
79．hũti
80．alof
81．kũba
82．kaba
83．hoitugweye
84．om
85．susugab
86．ke
87．ka
88．helehai
89．bẽnabugu
90．towe
91．गye
92．moi
93．dib
94．hwĩ
95．eb
96．si kai
97．mam
98．kコl
99．we
100．es

Oibae

| 1．Js | 26．गidI |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2．ulio | 27．วัs |
| 3．？ i | 28．دgว |
| 4．kea | 29．gosomoi |
| 5．J？i | 30．aip |
| 6．susugap | 31．hou mual |
| 7．ulugib | 32．goi |
| 8．otowo | 33．hou |
| 9．hio | 34．mอัควิ |
| 10．mi | 35．yว |
| 11．dulu | 36．bวย |
| 12．mวย | 37．mikJ |
| 13．ill | 38．dolu |
| 14．gวbวgว | 39．dem |
| 15．mogof | 40．dosup |
| 16．dop | 41．olo |
| 17．tõ： | 42．homols |
| 18．sais | 43．homol top |
| 19．hom | 44．kolap |
| 20．ibill | 45．sวf |
| 21．korop | 46．tuf |
| 22．s＾h | 47．sikI |
| 23．bэnธั | 48．si toup |
| 24．ki：p | 49．si kolo |
| 25．wagib | 50．dio |

51．gauhi
52．foihi
53．due
54．s＾gati
55．sbistẽ
56．دlotẽ
57．dãsวัtẽ
58．bũวtẽ
59．molotẽ
60．dofida
61．kogosida
62．mo：tomedia
63．duaif
64．nowal
65．kวyยb
66．tof owei
67．buwei
68．tohwãعi
69．suluwei
70．nei
71．kialawei
72．kiama
73．دgowei
74．duwei
75．hวu tofowei

76．som dobuga
77．fudugewei
78．sof golowei
79．hũ
80．alaf
81．kum
82．kabois
83．dotolgwia
84．om
85．Jsusugai
86．دya
87．gouti
88．hel $\varepsilon$
89．bena
90．towei
91．गwعi
92．moi
93．dip
94．hwoi
95．ebI
96．si kaili
97．mamgu
98．homว kวl
99．$w \varepsilon$
100．es

Gebusi

| 1．os | 26． 31 i | 51．gau | 76．دməiya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．uli＾ | 27．õs | 52． f æัр | 77．fudu Ya |
| 3．ãwจ | 28．ogo | 53．de | 78．sof gaYa |
| 4．no | 29．gossmoli | 54．sag | 79．hũni |
| 5．จуว | 30．دgaib | 55．วbiš | 80．alafagib |
| 6. gõgõ | 31．hõ molu | 56．seguwab | 81．kumiba |
| 7．ul＾kib | 32．gosigai | 57．bũš | 82．kaba |
| 8．o doso | 33．hõ | 58．bebelogum | 83．haisogwiya |
| 9．hiõ | 34．ossb | 59．mo | 84．om |
| 10．mina | 35．yo | 60．dof | 85．gõgõ |
| ll．dulo | 36．boi | 61．tiyo | 86．ke |
| 12．moi | 37．gogo | 62．moided＾ga | 87．ka |
| 13． ili | 38．dobu | 63．duaif | 88．hele |
| 14．gวf＾gib | 39．deim | 64．nowalaga | 89．bihinフ̃n |
| 15．m＾galu | 40．sasog | 65．koyaib | 90．duwiya |
| 16．dob | 41．دli | 66．tofoYa | 91．golumdaga |
| 17．tonu | 42．hวmõn | 67．dobuYa | 92．moi |
| 18．sæs | 43．tof | 68．tohwaiya | 93．dib |
| 19．homa | 44．bis＾gフ̃f | 69．suYa | 94．fづ |
| 20．mũgũ | 45．sof | 70．newa Yu | 95．ebs |
| 21．kolof | 46．sof tulu | 71．kiawalaga | 96．sigo kali」 |
| 22．sõho | 47．sigo | 72．keYa | 97．mama |
| 23．bد̃nธั | 48．sigo town | 73．دgวYa | 98．kolof |
| 24．kib | 49．sigo holo | 74．duYa | 99．wiy＾ |
| 25．wakib | 50．dio | 75．hõ tolofuYa | 100．es |
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# A MORPHOLOGY AND GRAMMAR OF ADZERA (AMARI DIALECT), MOROBE PROVINCE, PAPUA NEW GUINEA 

Susanne Holzknecht

## 1. HISTORICAL SUMMARY

### 1.1 Introduction

This outline of the morphology and grammar of the Amari-Adzera language was undertaken as a result of a long-term relationship between the people of the area and myself, and because of the close ties between the Adzera-speaking people and my husband's family, who have lived and worked with them since 1946. A previous grammar of Adzera had been written, but not published, by Otto Dempwolff in 1928. Since then my father-in-law, Rev. K.G. Holzknecht, has produced a dictionary, translated the New Testament into Adzera, and published articles on Adzera phonology, morphophonemics, and aspects of grammar. I hope to be able to add in a small way to the data on this language.

### 1.2 The Amari-Adzera speech community

### 1.2.1 Geography of the area

The people who speak the Adzera language, numbering about 20,000 , live in the valley of the Markham River, Morobe Province, Papua New Guinea. Some of the Adzera people also live in the valleys which are tributaries of the Markham River. Their land is mainly flat and fertile, and extends up into the hills on either side of the broad valley. Their language is Austronesian, but unlike speakers of most Austronesian languages, they are completely land-locked. They are the furthest inland of any Austronesian-speaking people (except for the Maris, who live in the Upper Ramu Valley of the Madang Province). Consequently, one does not find the usual Austronesian words for sea and sea-life, canoes and so on in the Adzera language. All Adzera speakers live below l,000 feet above sea level.

The Adzera people live in large, well-defined villages under coconut palms on the plain, near the creeks or rivers. They cultivate extensive banana gardens on the flat land, and yam gardens on the slopes. Their staple food is bananas, always cooked with coconut milk. The men of certain villages make clay pots, which are still used nowadays for cooking in, in preference to metal pots. The Adzera have great pride in their land, their culture and their language.

[^3]

### 1.2.2 Adzera, Amari and neighbouring languages

The 20,000 people who make up the speech community called, nowadays, 'Adzera', are divided politically into 10 'district groups' (as defined by Read 1946-47:98-99). These district groups are Amari, Narowapum, Tsumim, Mamarinan, Saŋaŋ, Mutsin, Oŋa, Guruf, Yarus, Leron. There has not been any linguistic survey done to determine whether these district groups are also dialect groups or not. However the language spoken by each of these groups is mutually intelligible with all the others. This study is based on the community which calls itself Amari, and consists of approximately 4,000 people, living in 14 villages which are scattered between the Umi River and the Markham-Ramu divide (see Map). Most of my work was done among the garam waran? or the people from below, the people of three villages, Waridzian, Narutumua, and Atsunas, who live on the upper or northern side of the Amari area. Throughout this account, 'Amari' is taken to mean the language of the Amari district group, and 'Adzera' to refer to the whole language group.

According to informants, there are several features which distinguish Amari speech from the speech of other Adzera groups. Firstly, they say namu for no, instead of ima? which is heard among all other Adzera-speaking groups. There are also many vocabulary differences. There are minor pronunciation differences between Amari and other groups, for example Amari say impi? for coconut-shell spoon and Kaiapit people say umpi?, although this is not a regular sound shift between the two speech communities. The Amari themselves say that their intonation pattern is different, but I am not sufficiently familiar with other groups' speech to be able to analyse this difference.

The Adzera as a whole never had a name for their widest speech community. 'Adzera' or adzra is a word from the neighbouring language further down the valley, Wampar, meaning upstream, and was taken by the earliest explorers and missionaries who heard it as designating the people who lived upstream, and the name has been used to identify the whole language group up until present times. Each Adzera district group called itself garam gar true men, as opposed to garam buman wild men, who inhabited all areas around their own political community, and may or may not speak the same language. Garam buman were not considered to be true men, but more animals, to be hunted, killed and eaten just as pigs were. The political units are all named, for example, Amari, Naruwapum, Guruf, Onga, but it is not certain how and when these names arose. None of the groups has or had a name for their own speech variety as distinct from the others. Speech or talk is nan, and that applies to all speech. Nowadays, if pressed, an Amari person might call the speech of the Amari district group nan Amari but it is not a well-used or general term.

The nearest neighbours of the Adzera are the Wampar, who inhabit the lower Markham Valley, to the south-east. They speak a related Austronesian language which is not intelligible to the Adzera, except for a few obviously related words. Fischer (1963:283) estimates the percentage of cognates between Wampar and Adzera (presumably the nearest Adzera-speaking group, Guruf or Sanjang) as 58\%.

The nearest language group to the north-west is the Mari’, who speak a related Austronesian language. Their language is not intelligible to the Adzera. To the north of the Adzera-speaking people, in the mountains, are several communities who speak non-Austronesian languages. These are Wantoat, Awara, Ufim, and the Maraboi-Yankawan group of villages whose language has been called Wasembu (McElhanon 1975:897-902). Far to the south are the very isolated Waffa? villages, whose language is part of the Eastern family, and the Gadsup and Binumarien language groups. The Adzera had little to do with these latter groups to the south,
except in occasional warfare or raids. The Adzera villages to the north of the valley and in the northern tributary valleys traded with the non-Austronesian speaking communities in the mountains closest to them. Informants nowadays claim that they always spoke Adzera with these people, and that they, in turn, learned some Adzera with which to communicate, for trading purposes. However, in spite of having trade ties with these people, the Adzera still fought with them and ate them.

### 1.2.3 Contact with other non-neighbouring languages

Since first outside contact was made in 1907, the Adzera have had extensive contact with Europeans, both English and German speaking. However, one of the most significant influences on the Adzera from outside was exerted by the Yabimspeaking evangelists and teachers of the Lutheran Church, who began coming into the Adzera area with the first German missionaries in 1916-1917. These people were from the original Yabim communities at Finschhafen, and from the related language groups of Bukaua?, Taemi, and other groups from villages as far east as Morobe. All of these evangelists and teachers had been taught Yabim, and evangelised the Adzera area using the Yabim language. Schools were set up for the children and instruction was given in Yabim. These people brought Christianity, and evidence of a different way of life to the Adzera. They, rather than the officials of the colonial administrations, pacified the Adzeras, and were responsible for their stopping warfare, cannibalism, and many other cultural practices.

The Yabim-speakers affected the Adzera language as well as the culture. When they learned Adzera, and found that it did not have some constructions which were in Yabim, they invented new ones, using Adzera words, but with Yabim constructions: for example, if clauses and question tags. They introduced many different foods and cultural items, along with the words for them, which were often changed to fit the Adzera phonology, for example, Yabim mo galam Chinese taro, became umant garam in Adzera, kasang peanut, became gantsan. Most Adzera people take a new, Yabim name at baptism.

Tok Pisin, brought into the Adzera area by returning indentured labourers after their recruitment periods ended, has had little influence on the language. One occasionally comes across an obvious Tok Pisin borrowing, such as bani kapa for fingernail, and sawi, used with Adzera.verb affixes, to mean to know. But the influence of Tok Pisin has not been great, and the influence of English has been even less, although English has been the medium of instruction in Primary (now Community) schools since the 1960s.

Most Adzera people are trilingual, in Adzera, Yabim and Tok Pisin. The younger people these days use less Yabim and more Tok Pisin than their elders. Many people, especially older people who went through Yabim schools, are literate in Yabim and in Tok Pisin, but very few people will, or can, write in their own language, Adzera. Many read what Adzera material is available in printed form, for example, Sisig> bini (Adzera New Testament), and Sunday texts for church, and some hymns. But almost nobody ever writes anything in Adzera. Between 1967 and 1971 the Summer Institute of Linguistics had a team in the area, whose aim was to conduct an adult literacy campaign. The project failed, to a large extent, and was abandoned for various reasons.

### 1.3 History of contact with outsiders, and review of literature on Adzera

This section outlines, in chronological order, the history of contact between the Adzera-speaking people and the outside world, and reviews publications about the Adzera area, people and language. These two subjects, history of contact and literature, are not easily separable because the earliest explorers, travellers and missionaries wrote about the people and the area as they discovered and studied them. Therefore they are to be considered together.

The Adzera were first contacted by outsiders in 1907, when two German explorers, gold-prospectors, and surveyors, Wilhelm Dammköhler and Otto Fröhlich, made an overland trip from where Lae is not situated, through the Markham and Ramu Valleys to Madang. They had extensive contact with the people along the way, and were received, in general, peacefully (Holzknecht 1973d). Dammköhler made two subsequent trips through the Markham Valley, with other companions (Dammöhler and Oldörp 1909). Fröhlich's report of the first journey is the most detailed (Fröhlich 1908). They learned a little of the language, in order to help their relations with the people, but did not write any of it down. Dammö̈hler was killed before he could publish any detailed accounts of his journeys and experiences.

Following hard on the heels of the first white explorers came labour recruiters, whose atrocities are well documented (e.g. Flierl 1920; Rowley 1958; Holzknecht 1974a). Then followed the Lutheran Missionaries, who had already established a Station at Gabmatsung, in the lower Markham Valley, by 1911 (Holzknecht 1973d). The first permanent European settlement among the Adzera was made by the Neuendettelsau Lutheran Missionaries in 1918, at Kaiapit. The first missionary was Fritz Örtel, and he was accompanied by evangelists and helpers from the Bukaua? area of the Huon Gulf. These people unofficially introduced the Yabim language to the Adzeras as a lingua franca, but Yabim was not used as the official mission language until 1930, after örtel had left.

The earliest language material in the Adzera language was produced by örtel. He compiled a dictionary, texts, church booklets and teaching materials. Unfortunately this was all lost during the Second World War when Kaiapit was the scene of fierce battles between Australian and Japanese troops. Only one piece of Örtel's works survived the war. This was called Anoto nang gan (God's word), and was republished in 1946 (Örtel 1946).

During Örtel's time at Kaiapit, the German linguist, Otto Dempwolff, wrote a brief phonological and grammatical study of Adzera (Dempwolff 1928). This was done partly with the intention of supporting Örtel's conviction that Adzera rather than Yabim should be used as the church language, and as a medium for education, in the Adzera area. (This study was not published, and exists today in typescript form only.) However Yabim did become the official church language in the Adzera area and has remained so until the present time.

After World War II ended, the missionaries returned to Kaiapit. Reverend Karl Holzknecht was posted to Kaiapit, and by 1947 was in residence there. He began a long-term study of Adzera, starting from scratch because all of örtel's material except for the one small booklet had been lost. Holzknecht's dictionary, begun when he arrived at Kaiapit, is still being revised, and he hopes to have it published in the near future. Three volumes of the dictionary, Adzera-GermanEnglish, English-Adzera, and German-Adzera, are in typescript form. Holzknecht has produced Sunday texts, church booklets for congregations, songs, and texts of myths and stories in Adzera. He had also had published linguistic papers on the Adzera language (Holzknecht 1973a; 1973b; 1973c). Accounts of the history of European exploration and contact in the Markham area have also been published
(Holzknecht 1973d; 1974a; 1975). A translation of the four Gospels, Sisio? Bini, was published in 1968 by the British and Foreign Bible Society, and a full translation of the New Testament in Adzera was completed and published in 1977.

In the 1950s, a German culture-historian, linguist and ethnologist, Dr Carl Schmitz, made a study of the Markham-Huon Peninsula area and published Historische Probleme in Nordost Neuguinea (Schmitz 1960). In this work Schmitz put forward his theories of prehistoric population migrations in the area, using evidence from social, linguistic, and material-cultural sources. Of the Adzera language and people he says:

The dialects of the Markham Valley, although possessing a prefix conjugation, show such marked differences in vocabulary from the other Austronesian dialects that they have always been suspected as belonging to an older stratum of the Austronesian family.
(Schmitz 1960:413)
But Schmitz decided that this relegation of Adzera to an older substratum is not possible, and allocated it to his 'Culture B', which also includes some non-Melanesian-speaking peoples, different to those non-Melanesian peoples in 'Culture A'. 'Accordingly, Culture B must be termed a pre-Austronesian culture, but younger than Culture A.' (Schmitz 1960:413). And: 'The prefix conjugation in the Markham Valley must be due to later Austronesian influences coming from the East (Jabim, Tami).' (Schmitz 1960:413).

Another German anthropologist, Hans Fischer, began working in the Markham Valley-Watut Valley area in 1958-59. His publication, Watut, which appeared in 1963, includes a brief comparison of the Watut, Wampar, and Adzera languages. His lexicostatistical analysis, based on his own word lists, shows a cognate relationship of $58 \%$ between Wampar and Adzera, and $60 \%$ between Watut and Adzera (Fischer 1963:283).

Dyen's lexicostatistical comparison of 1965 includes Adzera ('Acira') as one of the three languages of the Morobe District used in his survey (Dyen 1965). He puts 'Acira' in the 'Austronesian Linkage', and shows that Adzera has a very low percentage of cognates with the other languages he has chosen. Grace, in his review of Dyen's article, uses non-lexicostatistical data, as well as Dyen's data, and he puts Adzera into a subgroup of its own, as one of the earliest Austronesian branches (Grace 1966:22).

In 1967, an SIL team of two women, Ann Roke and Dorothy Price, began work on the Adzera language, with the aim of eventually implementing a literacy program in Adzera. However the program has been discontinued, and there are no more SIL personnel working in the Adzera area. The teams produced many texts in Adzera (see Healey 1973:37-38). However they have not published any scientific papers on aspects of the Adzera language.

Bruce Hooley, and later Hooley and McElhanon, of the SIL, presented an overview of the languages of the Morobe District (Hooley 1964; 1971; Hooley and McElhanon 1970). In these studies, the Adzera family of languages was discussed, and its members, population figures, and geographical situation were considered. The comparative word lists were also published, and lexicostatistical percentages were given.

Much has been published about general aspects of Adzera, and about its position in the present taxonomies of Papua New Guinea's languages, but little has been done on the linguistic aspects of the Adzera language itself, except for

Holzknecht's three papers. So it is hoped that this work will, partially at least, fill that gap.

### 1.4 Aims

In this present work, it is hoped to provide a readable, easily understood phonology, morphology and grammar of the Amari language, that can be understood by specialist linguists and non-specialists, and especially by interested members of the speech community itself. It is hoped that these people may be able to find something useful in it, whether for purposes of linguistic comparison, his-torical-linguistic research, or to provide information for standardisation of material in the language itself.

The work does not follow any particular, rigid theoretical approach. It is argued that a clear, simply set out description of a language will be of more use to more people than a rigidly adhered-to theoretical exposition. Lincoln (1976: 5) quotes, in the introduction to his description of Banoni, Lakoff's plea for a return to informal, clear descriptions of exotic languages, and this present grammar, it is hoped, extends this move towards simplicity and clarity.

## 2. PHONOLOGY AND MORPHOPHONEMICS

### 2.1 Introduction

This description of Amari sounds is based on the speech of the Waridzian, Atsunas and Narutumua villages of the Amari District Group. Holzknecht (1973a) has written an account of the phonology of the Adzera language, and my account agrees in many aspects with his. However, there are certain sounds which Holzknecht has described (for the central Adzera group) which do not occur as separate phonemes in Amari. Also, my account differs from Holzknecht in the way in which certain sounds, particularly vowels, are interpreted and consequently in how they are represented phonemically.

The vowels will be described first, followed by the consonants. The sequences and restrictions on sounds will be described next, and the syllable structure follows this as a summary.

### 2.2.1 Vowels

Amari has three single vowels. These are:
i u
a
/i/: high front unrounded voiced vowel. It may occur word initially, medially or finally.
e.g. i-san? [isan?] it is enough
sibibi [sibibi:] a small bird
/a/: low central unrounded voiced vowel. It may occur word initially, medially or finally.
e.g. Amari [amaři] nome of district group
$\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{fa}[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{fa}]$ he goes/went
/u/: high back rounded voiced vowel. It may occur word initially, medially or finally.

```
e.g.uri? [uri?] type of frog
    tut [thuth] flower of a vine/to suck
    Asu [asu:] man's nome
```


### 2.2.2 Allophonic variants of vowels

/i/: At the end of a word, [i] becomes lengthened to [i:]. However, these two sounds do not contrast in minimal or subminimal pairs, and the lengthened sound occurs only at the end of a word. Consequently it is to be considered an allophonic variant of /i/.
/a/: The vowel [a] may sometimes be shortened to an [ $\wedge$ ] sound. This occurs in rapid speech, and also when [a] is followed by a glottal stop [?], as in mama? [mam^?] child. However the longer and shorter forms of /a/ do not contrast in minimal or subminimal pairs, and are to be considered allophonic variants of /a/.
/u/: In the central Adzera speech, in the Kaiapit area, a contrast is made between /o/ and /u/, and Holzknecht (1973a:5-6) shows that these are two different phonemes. Although these two sounds are present in Amari speech, the Amari do not distinguish between the two sounds, and I could not obtain any minimal or subminimal pairs in which these two sounds contrasted. They appear to vary freely. Several Amari informants who are literate maintain that they would write $u$ for both the /o/ and /u/ distinguished by the Kaiapit people.

When [u] occurs at the end of a word, it can be lengthened, e.g. as in Asu [asu:] man's name. This variation only occurs word finally, and is taken to be an allophone of the phoneme /u/.

### 2.2.3 Diphthongs

Two diphthongs may occur as syllable nuclei. They are /ai/ and /au/.

```
/ai/: mais [mais] bad
    sai [sai] 'kunai'grass, Imperata sp.
    ais [ais] central rib of coconut frond
/au/: daum [daum] good
    pau? [phau] tobacco
```

When a high vowel, /i/ or /u/, is followed by any other vowel, the corresponding glide for the first vowel is phonetically inserted between the two vowels:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / i+v / \rightarrow[i+y+v] \\
& / u+V / \rightarrow[u+w+v]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, /i $+V /$ results in a palatalised glide before the following vowel, and $/ u+V / r e s u l t s$ in a labialised glide before the following vowel.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\text { e.g. } / i /+/ a /: ~ t s a k i a ~[t s a k h i y a] ~ t o ~ p a i n ~
\end{aligned} \text { tree, Erythrina sp. } \\
& \text { /i/ + /u/: miu [miyu] snake } \\
& \text { tsiu? [tsiyu?] thicket } \\
& / \mathrm{u} /+/ \mathrm{i} /: \text { mpiu [mpuwi] water } \\
& \text { Guin [guwin] nome for Ramu River }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
/u/ +/a/: suat [suwat'] a type of frog
    puam [puwam] green leaf, vegetable Gnetum gnemon
```

(See 2.3.5 for discussion of the semivowels $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$.

### 2.2.4 Vowel morphophonemics

There is very little to discuss in Amari morphophonemics. However, there are several rules which are observed.

After dzi $I$ the $\mathbf{i -}$ Realis prefix is assimilated, when the sound following it is a consonant.

```
e.g. dzi i - fan [dzifan] I go
    dzi i - bugin [dzibugin] I do not like/want to
```

    After \(u\), the second person singular pronoun subject, the Realis prefix i- is lost.
    ```
e.g.u i - fan [ufan] you go/are you going?
    u i - ba i wai [ubaiwai] why did you come?
```

Sequences of like vowels result in assimilation of the sounds to one vowel sound. This occurs across morpheme and word boundaries.

| e.g. u fa anup? | [ufanun?] | where are you going? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa - akar | [wakhař] | imperative of to write |
| aranan i-su umpur | [ařananisumpuř] | he is lying |
| rib igi i -yu ufum $i-m p r u ? ~[r ̌ i b i g i y u f u m i m p r u ?] ~ t h e y ~ g a t h e r e d ~ t h e m ~ t o g e t h e r ~$ |  |  |

### 2.3 Consonants

See Table 1 below.
Table 1: Table of consonants

|  |  | BILABIAL | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { LABIO- } \\ \text { DENTAL } \end{array}$ | ALVEOLAR | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { ALVEO- } \\ \text { PALATAL } \end{array}$ | VELAR | GLOTTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STOPS | Aspirated Vl <br> Non-aspirated Vd | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | ? |
|  | Affricated $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Vl } \\ & \mathrm{Vd}\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ts} \\ & \mathrm{dz} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| PRENASALISED STOPS | Aspirated Vl | mp |  | nt |  | nk | $0^{7}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Affricated } & \mathrm{Vl} \\ & \mathrm{Vd}\end{array}$ |  |  | nts nd $z$ |  |  |  |
| FRICATIVES | Flat Vl |  | f |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grooved Vl |  |  | $s$ |  |  |  |
| NASALS | vd | m |  | n |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| VIBRANTS | vd |  |  | r |  |  |  |
| SEMIVOWELS | vd | w |  |  | y |  |  |

### 2.3.1 Stops

Amari has a range of stops, both voiceless and voiced.

### 2.3.1.1 Voiceless stops

The simple voiceless stops, /p/, /t/ and /k/, can all be aspirated or nonaspirated. Aspirated stops appear to occur in free variation with non-aspirated stops, but aspiration is heard more frequently than not.
/p/: voiceless aspirated bilabial stop.
/p/ can occur word initially, medially and finally.

## e.g. Papan [phaphan] place nome <br> paip [phaiph] play

/t/: voiceless aspirated alveolar stop.
$/ t /$ can occur in word initial, medial and final positions.
e.g. tamut [ $t^{h}$ amut $^{h}$ ] mud
itat [ithath] Setaria plant
tut [thuth] suck
/k/: voiceless aspirated velar stop.
/k/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { e.g. } \begin{array}{ll}\text { kup-an } & {\left[k^{h} u p h^{h} a n\right]}\end{array} & \text { participle of hide } \\ \text { ukam } & {\left[u k^{h} a m\right]} & \text { moon }\end{array}$
/?/: voiceless glottal stop.
/?/ occurs word medially and finally. It probably occurs at the onset of a word when the initial phoneme is a vowel, but it is not considered phonemic in this context.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { e.g. iki?-an } & {\left[i k^{h} i^{?} \text { an }\right]} \\ \text { sa? } & {[\mathrm{sa?}]}\end{array}$ to carry (by the rim)

### 2.3.1.2 Voiced stops

There is in Amari a set of voiced stops, /b/, /d/ and /g/, which correspond to the voiceless stops.
/b/: voiced bilabial stop.
/b/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
e.g. batsab [batsab] death; to do with death
ibinimp [ibinimp] brown kite
buaruf [buwařuf] eel
/d/: voiced alveolar stop.
/d/ occurs initially and medially, but not finally.
e.g. daum [daum] good
gadugadu [gadugadu] type of banana
/g/: voiced velar stop.
/g/ occurs word initially and medially but not finally.

| e.g. gai | $[$ gai] | tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bigub | $[$ bigub $]$ | sweat-bee |

### 2.3.1.3 Affricated stops

There are two simple affricated stops in Amari. They are both alveolar, /ts/ and /dz/. They are considered as single stops rather than clusters of two consonants because if they were treated as consonant clusters the syllable structure as established would be invalidated, and there would be clusters of up to four consonants, for example in the word untsraf missed; crooked. In order to be consistent with the syllable structure, as analysed in $2.4, / t s /$ and /dz/, as well as the prenasalised affricated stops /nts/ and /ndz/ will be considered as single phonemes.
/ts/: voiceless affricated alveolar stop.
/ts/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.

```
e.g. tsitsin [tsitsin] little finger
    bits [bits] one
```

/dz/: voiced alveolar affricated stop.
/dz/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.

```
e.g.dzaf [dzaf] fire
    Waridzian [waridziyan] place nome
    fagamudz [fagamudz] old fight leader
```


### 2.3.1.4 Prenasalised stops

In Amari, all voiceless stops and /dz/ may be prenasalised, forming another set of stops, as discussed in 2.3.1.3 above. The nasalisation + consonant is considered as a single phoneme. Holzknecht (1973a) has discussed a parallel set of prenasalised voiced stops for central Adzera, but the Amari do not appear to have these two sets of prenasalised voiceless stops and prenasalised voiced stops.
/mp/: prenasalised voiceless bilabial stop.
/mp/ occurs initially, medially and finally.

| e.g. mpui | $[$ mpuwi] | water |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ampan | $[$ ampan $]$ | leaf |
| waiamp | $[$ waiyamp $]$ | type of Zizard |

/nt/: prenasalised voiceless alveolar stop.
/nt/ occurs initially, medially and finally.


```
/Dk/: prenasalised voiceless velar stop.
    /Dk/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
```



```
    dzaŋkum [dzaŋkum] corn
    minugk [minunk] a tree
/口?/: prenasalised glottal stop.
    /口?/ occurs word medially and finally, but not initially.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
e.g. min?-an \([m i n ? a n]\) & to be \\
\(n t i \rho^{2}\) & {\(\left[n t i \rho^{2}\right]\)}
\end{tabular} fence
```


### 2.3.1.5 Prenasalised affricated stops

There are two prenasalised affricated stops in Amari, voiceless /nts/ and voiced /ndz/.
/nts/: prenasalised voiceless affricated stop.
/nts/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.

```
e.g. ntsup-an [ntsuphan] be finished
    Wantsan [wantsan] man's nome
    jants [gants] shield
```

/ndz/: prenasalised voiced affricated stop.
/ndz/ occurs word initially and medially, but not finally.
e.g. ndza-dan [ndzadan] to cover up a hole
i-ndzam [indzam] is charmed, blessed

### 2.3.2 Fricatives

There are two fricatives in Amari speech, /f/ and /s/. Another fricative, [h], occurs only once, in the word hai? yes. As it only occurs in this single example it will not be considered as a phoneme of the language in this phonemic statement.
/f/: voiceless flat labiodental fricative.
/f/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
e.g. fump [fump] coconut husk
afa? [afa?] sister-in-law
gaif [gaif] centipede
/s/: voiceless grooved alveolar fricative.
/s/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
e.g. santan [santan] all
isi? [isi?] small
was [was] lime powder

### 2.3.3 Nasals

There are three voiced nasals in Amari speech, /m/, /n/ and /n/.

```
/m/: voiced bilabial nasal.
    /m/ occurs initially, medially and finally.
e.g. masas [masas] cane cor Markham River
/n/: voiced alveolar nasal.
    /n/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.
e.g. nifu-n [nifun] his/her mouth
    unub [unub] head-rest
    gin [gin] Oblique Object
/\/: voiced velar nasal.
    /b/ occurs initially, medially and finally.
e.g. Jants [gants] shield
    bani-n [baŋin] his/her hand
    ganan [ganan] yom; bonana
```


### 2.3.4 Vibrant

Amari has one vibrant, a voiced alveolar flap, /r/. /r/ occurs word initially, medially and finally.

| e.g. rim-an | [riman] | to give |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| waran? | $[$ wařan? $]$ | below |
| gir | $[$ giř $]$ | stone axe |

### 2.3.5 Semivowels

There are two Amari semivowels, /w/ and /y/. These have proven difficult to identify and to distinguish from the epenthetic [w] and [y] occurring after high vowels, before another vowel (see 2.2.3). However, there seems to be a case for the existence of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ as separate phonemes, occurring word initially only.

There is a problem here of description and orthography. The orthography for Adzera established and used by Holzknecht, especially for the translation of the New Testament, Sisio bini (British and Foreign Bible Society 1968), uses /j/ for the phoneme for which I have used /y/. Holzknecht also identifies this phoneme and /w/ word medially, as well as initially. It is perhaps equally valid to describe the sounds occurring between high vowels and a following vowel as semivowels or glides. However, in the interests of simplicity and consistency, I describe the semivowels as being word initial only, and the sounds which occur after /i/ or /u/, before another vowel, as epenthetic glides.

My evidence for the existence of $/ w /$ and $/ y /$ as separate phonemes is as follows. If we consider the verb wam to look for, it is conjugated as follows:

| $d z i$ | i wam [dziwam] | I look for |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $u$ u wam [uwam] | you(S.) look for |  |
| aragan i - wam [ařananiwam] he looks for |  |  |

If the initial /w/ were really /u/, then these conjugations would sound different :

```
* dzi i - uam [dziyuwam]
* u uam [uwam]
*araŋan i - uam [ařajaniyuwam]
```

As discussed in $2.2 .3, / i /+/ u /$ results in [iyu]. This does not occur in dzi i -wam or in arajan i -wam. Consequently, /w/ is to be considered a separate phoneme.

Similarly, if we consider the verb yai? to cry out, it can be seen that /y/ is a separate phoneme and not an allophone of/i/ or the epenthetic [y] which is phonetically inserted between /i/ and another vowel. If we take conjugations of yai? we hear:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { dzi } \begin{array}{rll}
\text { i yai? } & \text { [dziyai?] } & \text { I cry out } \\
u & \text { yai? } & \text { [uyai?] }
\end{array} & \text { you(S.) cry out } \\
\text { aragan } \mathbf{i} \text { - yai? } & \text { [arananiyai?] he cries out }
\end{array}
$$

If the /y/ were really /i/ one would hear:

```
* dzi i - iai? [dziyai?]
* u iai? [uwiyai?]
*araŋan i - iai? [arananiyai?]
```

In 2.2.3 we saw that $/ u /+/ i /$ becomes [wi] or [uwi]. However, when we hear the second person singular conjugation for the verb yai? we hear [uyai?] and not [uwiyai?] as we would if the initial sound of the verb root were [i] rather than [y]. Thus /y/ is to be considered a separate phoneme.

Some examples of $/ \mathrm{W} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$, in initial positions are:

```
/w/: wai [wai] question word
    was [was] lime powder
    waian [waiyan] root
/y/: yab [yab] go up
    yafas [yafas] fish
    yu [yu] take, get
```

2.3.6 Consonants contrasted in minimal and subminimal pairs
[ $\mathrm{p}^{h}$ ] and [b] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. i-pa [ipha] lights
[ $p^{h}$ ] and [mp] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. upa [upha] sorcery
[ $p^{h}$ ] and [ $f$ ] contrast in minimal pair:

[ $t^{h}$ ] and [d] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. fat-an [fathan] her sister-in-law fa-dan [fadan] participle of to go
[th] and [ts] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. taf-an [thafan] his/her/their great-grandfather
tsaf-an $[t \mathrm{tsafan}]$ to boast about someone
[ $t^{h}$ ] and [ $n t$ ] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. atim [athim] cucumber antim [antim] banana ladder
[ $k^{h}$ ] and [g] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. ukam [ukham] moon
[ $k^{h}$ ] and [ 2] contrast in subminimal pair:

> e.g. wa-ka [wakha] Imperative of breathe through mouth wa?-an [wa?an] participle of go outside
[ $k^{h}$ ] and [ gk ] contrast in subminimal pair:

```
e.g. kian-kian [khiyankhiyan] Zorikeet
    jkian-an [gkiyajan] participle of be bitter
```

[ 7 ] and [ $\mathrm{n}^{7}$ ] contrast in subminimal pair:

```
e.g. dzra? [dzra?] upstream
    dzan? [dzan?] wild pig; meat
```

[b] and [m] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. bampan [bampan] tail
mamp-an [mampan] to die

However, in one example in Amari [b] and [m] alternate freely. This is in the future prefix bun?a- which is also heard as mun?a-. There is no apparent reason for this unusual variation.
[b] and [mp] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. rabu-rabun [řabuřabun] old people
rampu [řampu] pit-pit
However, [b] and [mp] alternate in one example only, in the word sanab road which is often heard as sanampun. Amari people say that sanampun is the older form, and that sanab is a new and lazy form.
[d] and [dz] contrast in subminimal pair:

```
e.g. da\etaur [daŋuř] hornbill
    dzan? [dzan?] meat; wild pig
```

[ts] and [dz] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. tsup [tsup] banana-leaf spoon
dzub [dzub] ripe
[ts] and [nts] contrast in minimal pair:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e.g. tsaf-an [tsafan] to boast about someone } \\
& \text { ntsaf-an [ntsafan] to recede (flood) }
\end{aligned}
$$

[ts] and [s] contrast in minimal pair:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e.g. tsaf-an [tsafan] to boast about someone } \\
& \text { saf-an [safan] to cut }
\end{aligned}
$$

[dz] and [ndz] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. dza-dan [dzadan] to open up the hand ndza-dan [ndzadan] to cover up a hole
[mp] and [m] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. fump [fump] coconut husk
ufum [ufum] gather together
[ $n t$ ] and [ $n$ ] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. intap [intap] ground i-nab [inab] cuts
[nt] and [nts] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. intin? [intin?] green banana leaf Intsi? [intsi?] place nome
[ $\mathrm{\eta k}$ ] and [ $\mathrm{\eta}^{?}$ ] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. wankan [wajkan] a tree
wan?-an [wan?an] be crooked
[ Ok ] and [ $\mathrm{\eta}$ ] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. Wankun [wankun] place name
waju-n? [waクun?] my stomach
[ $\eta^{?}$ ] and [ $\mathrm{\eta}$ ] contrast in subminimal pair:
e.g. waŋu-ŋ? [wanun?] my stomach Wankun [wankun] place nome
[nts] and [ndz] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. ntsam-an [ntsaman] to look after someone ndzam-an [ndzaman] to charm; bless
[ $n$ ] and [ n$]$ contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. rina-n [rínan] his/her mother riŋa-n [rioan] his/her ear
[ $Y$ ] and [d] contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. gur-an [guřan] his/her clay pot gu-dan [gudan] to see (close to)
[ $\check{r}]$ and $[n]$ contrast in minimal pair:
e.g. gir [giry] stone axe
gin [gin] Oblique Object

### 2.3.7 Consonant morphophonemics

2.3.7.1 Before a/g/all nasals become [ $\eta$ ]. This is heard especially in the use of the Possessive morphemes -gam and -gan, before which the first position Possessive morphemes $-m$ and $-n$ become [ 0 ].
e.g. u naru-m-gam
becomes [unařuggam] your(S.) ${ }^{1}$ child
agam rama-m-gam
becomes [agam řamangam] your(Pl.) father
aranan rina-n-gan
becomes [ařagan řinaggan] his/her mother
2.3.7.2 At the beginning of an utterance, the nasal of a prenasalised consonant is not pronounced. When the prenasalised consonant, as the first consonant of a word, is within an utterance and follows a vowel, then the nasalisation is heard.
e.g. mpui i-sasus [puwisasus] the water is hot
ntin? igi anun?-i-daum u
[tin?igiyanu??idaumu] this fence is not good
cf. wa-fa mpui [wafampwi] go to the river
sagat igi ntin?-a mpui
[sagat igintin?ampwi:] these women domming up the water

### 2.4 Syllable structure

As established for Adzera by Holzknecht (1973a) syllables in Amari consist of an optional onset of one or two consonants, an obligatory nucleus of a vowel or diphthong, and either one or two optional final consonants. The structure may be represented by the following formula ( $\mathrm{C}=$ consonant, $\mathrm{V}=$ vowel) :

$$
\left(c_{1}\right)+\left(c_{2}\right)+v+\left(c_{3}\right)
$$

The following restrictions apply to this formula:

1) If $C_{1}$ occurs without $C_{2}, C_{1}$ can be any one of the voiced or voiceless stops, any nasal, fricative, vibrant or semivowel except /?/ or / $\mathrm{\eta}$ / /.
2) $C_{2}$ can only be $/ r /$. When $C_{2}$ is $/ r /$, then $C_{1}$ can be any consonant except $/$ ? $/$, $/ 口 ? /$, /ndz/, /n/ or the semivowels $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$.
3) If $V$ is a diphthong, either /ai/ or /au/, then the diphthong is treated as the nucleus of the syllable, e.g.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { mais bad } \\ \text { pau? tobacco }\end{array}\right\}=C_{1}+V+C_{3}$
But if /iu/, /ia/ or /ua/ occur after one or two consonants, or as the onset of a syllable, then the two vowels are considered nuclei of separate syllables, e.g.

| miu | snake | $=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tsakia | to pain | $=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v}$ |
| mpui | water | $=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v}$ |
| pua? | soup | $=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ |

4) $C_{3}$ can be any consonant except /d/, /g/, /ndz/ or the semivowels /w/ and /y/.

### 2.5 Stress

Stress in Amari is not phonemic. In general, the first syllable of a twosyllable word is stressed, and in words of more than two syllables, the first syllable is stressed, and then every alternate syllable is stressed. Slightly heavier stress falls on the first syllable.

| e.g. sàgat | woman |
| :--- | :--- |
| i-san? | is enough |
| màmu | cassowary |
| màragàmp | white |
| bìnan-gàn? | my nome |
| gàdugàdu | type of bonana |
| Bùsunàwa | woman's name |
| nàrubini | good |

### 2.6 Intonation

Intonation is important in Amari because in some cases it may be the only indication of the full meaning of an utterance or a sentence. This is particularly so for questions. There is no syntactic change in a sentence or utterance to show that it is a question except when the question words wai or bi anuf? are used. The only indication that it is a question is the intonation.

### 2.6.1 Declarative intonation

The usual intonation pattern of declarative utterances or sentences is of a slowly falling intonation to the last syllable of the utterance.
e.g. aranan rina-n-gan i-ba igi

Here comes his mother.
dzi gamp-an? Waridzian
My village is Waridzion.
rib igi i-fa taun i-fa-fa-fa da i-wa? da sifu
They went to town and arrived there in the night.

### 2.6.2 Question intonation

The intonation on questions, whether using question words or not, is a slowly rising intonation, then a sharply falling intonation on the last syllable.
e.g. agam i-ba i wai

Why did you(Pl.) come?
u mpa bini
Are you(S.) well?

```
u naru-m-gam i-bi anum?
How many children do you have?
wain-gan i-bi anun? da i-bururun?
How did it burn up?
Adu i-ba wa
Has Adu come?
```

When there are two sentences joined by da and as in the last example but one, the intonation rises to the first syllable of anup?, and falls on the last syllable of anup?, then rises again to the last syllable of bururup? when it falls again.

### 2.6.3 Intonation with da- contrary-to-fact prefix

The da- prefix has a particular intonation with which a sentence in which this prefix occurs is said. The tone of such sentences is 'if only such-and-such had happened!', and is said with regret. The intonation is a falling one, but it begins in a higher-pitched voice than either question or declarative intonation.

```
e.g. a! dzi da-yu nam aga
    Ah! If only I had taken that food!
```


### 2.6.4 Scolding intonation

Amari women, in particular, are noted for their strident voices when scolding each other and their children. A scolding intonation begins very high, goes higher in the middle of the utterance, then falls towards the end.

sagat igi da-yu nam
If only that woman would get the food!

## 3. THE NOUN PHRASE

### 3.1 Pronouns

### 3.1.1 Definite pronouns

Adzera pronouns are distinguished for number and person. There are first, second and third singular and plural, with the common Austronesian characteristic of first person plural, inclusive and exclusive. There are no separate forms for dual or trial. All the pronoun forms are independent, and can be used for subject, object (direct and indirect) and possessive functions. All these pronouns, with their various forms, are given in Table 2.

Table 2: Table of pronouns

|  | SINGULAR |  |  | PLURAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | I (Inc.) | I (Exc.) | II | III |
| SUBJECT | dzi | u, agu | arajan | agi | aga, agai | agam | rib + (Dem.) |
| OBJECT | dzi | agu | arayan | ag i | aga i | agam | rib + (Dem.) |
| POSSESSIVE I | $\frac{d z i}{-n ?-g a n ? ~}$ | U -m-gam | $\begin{aligned} & \text { araıan } \\ & -\quad-\mathrm{n} \text {-gan } \end{aligned}$ | agi -ŋフ?-gaı? | aga -п?-gar? | $\xrightarrow{\text { agam }}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{gam}$ | $\text { rib }\left(-\frac{-n-g a n}{}\right.$ |
| POSSESSIVE II | $(\stackrel{d z i}{( })-g a 1)^{?}$ | $\underline{u} \quad \text {-gam }$ | araŋan <br> - -gan | $\underline{a g i}-\text { gan? }$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { aga }}-\text { gan? }$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { agam }} \text {-gam }$ | $\underline{\text { rib }(- \text { gan }}$ |
| INDEPENDENT POSSESSIVE | dzi garj? | u gam | - gan | agi gars? | aga gan? | agam gam | - gan |
| INCLUSIVE POSSESSIVE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dzi } \\ & \text { (K.т.) - !? } \\ & \text { rusa-ŋ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \text { (K.T.)-m } \\ & \text { rusa-m } \end{aligned}$ | araŋan (К.т.) -n <br> rusa-n | agi $\begin{aligned} & \text { (К.т.) - ŋ? } \\ & \text { rusa-ŋ? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aga } \\ & \text { (K.T.) - ? } \\ & \text { rusa-ŋ)? } \end{aligned}$ | agam $\begin{aligned} & \text { (K.T.) -m } \\ & \text { rusa-m } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rib }(-) \\ & (\text { K.T. })-n \\ & \text { rusa-n } \end{aligned}$ |
| REFLEXIVE I EMPHATIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dzi } \\ & \text { ruß-ga!? } \end{aligned}$ | u rur-gam | arajan <br> (gan) rut-gan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agi } \\ & \text { ruij-gan? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aga } \\ & \text { ruy-gaı? } \end{aligned}$ | agam <br> rum-gam | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rib( } \\ & \text { run-gan } \end{aligned}$ |
| RECIPROCAL REFLEXIVE II | ruan? | ruam | ruan | ruaj? | ruaj? | ruam | ruan |
| INCLUSIVE <br> REFLEXIVE III |  |  | ruas |  |  |  | ruas |

Dem. = Demonstrative; K.T. = Kinship Term.

```
e.g. Subject:
```

    dzi i- bugin yafas
    I Real. not like fish
    I do not like fish.
    u ni wai
    you(S.) say what
    What did you say?
    agi fa- dan
    we (Inc.) go part.
    Let's go.
    Direct object:
arajan i- bugin dzi
he/she Real. not like me
He doesn't like me.
rib igi i- is arafan
they Real. hit him/her
They hit him.
aranan i- is agai
he/she Real. hit us(Exc.)
He hit us.
Indirect object:

```
Uriam i- rim danki da agam
Uriam Real. give thanks to you(P1.)
Uriom thanked you.
```

wa- rim ba da dzi
Imp. give come to me
Give it to me.

Oblique object:
rib igi i- rinantin in obl.Obj.m. us(Exc.)
they Real. hear agai
They heard about us.
Isan i- ni nan barun i
Isan Real. say talk bad Obl. Obj.m. you(S.)
Isan said something bad about you.

### 3.1.2 The possessive

Objects in the Adzera world can be possessed in two ways - Inalienably, which I refer to as Possessive I, and which is a closed class and Alienably, which I refer to as Possessive II, which is an open class.

```
POSSESSIVE I
```

Kinsmen ${ }^{2}$ and body parts are referred to using the first type of possession. For example, my head is dzi gudzup?-gap?. This consists of the first person, singular free pronoun dzi, gudzu head, and suffixed to gudzu is firstly the first person possessive suffix - $\eta$ ? followed by the second position, first person possessive suffix, -gaŋ?.

For second person, the noun gudzu has the second person possessive suffixes -m plus -gam affixed. In actual speech, the -m suffix becomes - -m before the -gam (see 2.3.7).

Similarly, for third person, the suffixes are -n and -gan, but in speech, the - $n$ becomes - $m$ before -gan.

In some isolated speech communities of Adzera, for example, in Guruf, the -m and -n are pronounced before the -gam and -gan, and do not become - $n$,

```
e.g. u rina-m-gam your mother
    arajan bani-n-gan his hand
```

The following are examples from the Amari area:
e.g. head - gudzu-
Singular dzi gudzu-ワ?-gan? my head
u gudzu-m-gam your head
arajan gudzu-n-gan his head
Plural agi gudzu-ŋ?-gan? our(Inc.) heads
aga gudzu-ŋ?-gaŋ? our(Exc.) heads
agam gudzu-m-gam your heads
rib igi gudzu-n-gan their heads
e.g. father - rama-
Singular dzi rama-ŋ?-gan? my father
u rama-m-gam your father
araŋan rama-n-gan his father
Plural agi rama-ク?-gan? our(Inc.) fathers
aga rama-ŋ?-gan? our(Exc.) fathers
agam rama-m-gam your fathers
rib igi rama-n-gan their fathers

All of these can be shortened by dropping the -ga(-) morpheme. In calling to or speaking to a kinsman, one would say:
rama-ŋ? Father!
rai-0? Brother!
Similarly with body parts, one may drop the -ga(-) morpheme,
e.g. dzi faga-n? i-tsakia my foot hurts

The presence or absence of the -ga- morpheme has no semantic effect on the noun or the sentence. However, the noun $+-\eta ? /-m /-n$ is more commonly heard than with the -ga- morpheme. To add the -ga- is considered by the Amari to be rather pedantic.

Other parts-to-whole relationships are expressed in the same way,
e.g. branch of a tree
gai bani-n-gan OR gai bani-n
tree hand.poss. tree Poss.
narrowing of a creek
mpu ${ }^{3}$ wa-n
water neck. Poss.

## POSSESSIVE II

Most objects in the Adzera world fall into this category. They are possessed alienably, that is, they can be removed from the possessor, and are not an integral part of it.

## e.g. gai tree

dzi gai-gan? my tree
u gai-gam your(S.) tree
arajan gai-gan his/her tree
agi gai-gan? our(Inc.) tree
aga gai-gan? our(Exc.) tree
agam gai-gam your(Pl.) tree
rib igi gai-gan their tree
However, after a consonant the $-g$ - is dropped and the morpheme becomes -an?
-am, or -an.
e.g. mudzuk knife
dzi mudzuk-aŋ?
u mudzuk-am
araŋan mudzuk-an
agi mudzuk-aŋ?
aga mudzuk-aŋ?
agam mudzuk-am
rib igi mudzuk-an their knives

The morphemes gan?, gam, gan, may also be preposed to the possessed noun, and the free pronoun is dropped.
e.g. gan unar-an his house
gam gur-am your pot(s)

## INDEPENDENT POSSESSIVE

The Independent Possessive forms are essentially the same as the Possessive, except that the Possessive morpheme follows after the pronoun,

| e.g. dzi gan? | Mine! |
| :--- | :--- |
| arayan gan | His! |
| Martin gan | Martin's! |
| u gam | Yours! |

## INCLUSIVE POSSESSIVE

There is a third type of Possessive construction in Amari-Adzera, rusawhich applies only to Kinship Terms, and has the meaning all of.... It is possible that this is a quantifier which takes possessive agreement.
e.g. with mother rina-

| Singular dzi rina-n? rusan? | all my mothers |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | urina-m rusa-m | all your(S.) mothers |
| aranan rina-n rusa-n | all his/her mothers |  |

This construction refers to a group of people, all of whom are called by the kinship term mother by the person referred to. So, dzi rina-n? rusa-ŋ? means all the women I call 'mother', which includes true mother, mother's sisters, mother's parallel and cross cousins (female).

The rusa- is declined in the same way as other possessives.
In this construction, the first suffix only of the inalienable possessive form is used.

### 3.1.3.1 The reflexive

The Emphatic and Reflexive pronouns have the same form in Adzera. Below is a paradigm for the Emphatic/Reflexive pronouns with the verb fa(n) to go.
dzi rum-gan? i-fan I myself am going/went.
u run-gam i-fan
arajan run-gan i-fan You yourself are going/went.
agi run-gan? i-fan
aga run-gan? i-fan We (Exc.) ourselves are going/went.
agam run-gam i-fan You yourselves are going/went.
rib igi run-gan i-fan They themselves are going/went.
The Reflexive is difficult to elicit because the Adzera do not recognise the self as the active participant in actions which in English are expressed by a Reflexive, e.g. I cut myself is expressed by, for example, The knife cut me,
mudzuk i- saf dzi
knife Real. cut me
or I hit myself (on the tree) is expressed by The tree hit me,
gai i- is dzi
tree Real. hit me
In these examples, the agent is the actual thing which performed the action. However, a Reflexive is found in some expressions,

```
e.g. wa- ga run-gam
    Imp. eat yourself
    Go and eat yourself! (Obscenity)
    dzi mara -\eta? i- fur run-ga\eta?
    I thought Poss. Real. think myself
    I om thinking about what I should do.
    sagat i- gira rup-gan
    woman Real. decorate herself
    The woman decorates herself.
```


### 3.1.3.2 Reciprocal reflexive

There is another form of Reflexive in Adzera, which I have called the Reciprocal Reflexive, as the meaning of it is usually translated as each other or one another.

```
e.g. agam i- ni nam idzu wai da ru-am
    you(PI.) Real. say thing true what to each other
    What did you say to each other?
```

```
garam igi i- is ru-an
men there Real. hit each other
Those men hit/are hitting each other.
```

rib igi i- fis in da ru-an
they Real. say something to each other
They are saying things to each other.
rib igi i- rim pau? da ru-an
they Real. give tobacco to each other
They gave tobacco to each other.
aga $\quad i-\quad n i$ da ru-an?
we(Exc.) Real. say to each other
We said (something) to each other.

As can be seen from the above examples, this form of the Reflexive is also inflected for first, second and third person by putting the Possessive suffixes -an?, -am, -an, after the ru reflexive morpheme.

### 3.1.3.3 Inclusive reflexive

A form which appears to be related to the Reciprocal Reflexive is ruas, which only appears in the third person form, and which I've called the Inclusive Reflexive. It has approximately the meaning all those associated with something or someone or and company, e.g. Anis ruas means Anis and all those with him at the moment. It can also be used with kinship terms, e.g. rama-n? ruas My father and company. Ruas does not imply any more than a purely temporary classification, i.e. those associated with my father for a particular purpose at a particular time. Ruas can also be used when referring to a group of animals:
e.g. mamu ruas dzan? buman ruas ru- mpai i- min? wap igi cassowary all pig wild all Cont. stay Real. stay bush there Cassowaries, wild pigs all live in that bush there.

It is interesting that all these Inclusive, Reflexive and Reciprocal forms have the morpheme ru as their base, with the addition of possessive suffixes. Thus ru appears to have the function of anaphoric referent, referring back to the pronoun which precedes it. The verb prefix ru- (Continuous) appears to have the same form, but there is no apparent semantic relationship between this and the other ru- morphemes. This is perhaps borne out by the fact that the Kaiapit variety of Adzera uses ro- rather than ru- for the continuous prefix.

### 3.1.4 Indefinite pronouns

Indefinite Pronouns are formed by prefixing $n$ - to the Demonstratives (see 3.2.8), ${ }^{4}$
e.g. n-ani this here, near me
n-igi that there, near you
n-aga that there, far away, or referred to before
They are usually used, alone, in the form of a question, or as an answer to a question,
e.g. a n-igi Ah, that one?

```
gur maman. n-ani
pot which this one
Which pot, this one?
namu. n-aga
no that one
No, that one (over there).
```


### 3.1.5 arai

In the Amari dialect of Adzera, when two verbs follow each other in sequence, a free morpheme, arai, may be used (optionally) to indicate plurality in certain contexts. Arai only precedes verbs of motion. It acts like a pronoun. J. Lynch has suggested that it may be related to POC *ada, third person pronoun (personal communication). Arai only occurs before the second verb of verb sequences, and its referent may be in first, second or third person plural.

1ST PERSON REFERENT
agi atan -a (arai) fa -da unar
we(Inc.) go inside. Part. (Pl.) go. Part. house
We went inside the house.
aga $\quad$ i- yab arai i- fan da aga i- fan i rib fain
we (Exc.) Real. go up Pl. Real. go and we Real. wait for people some
ru? -a arai i- ba
go down. Part. Pl. Real. come
We went up and we waited for some people to come down.
aga $\quad$ i- yab arai i- fan da aga i- mpa arai i- ru? we (Exc.) Real. go up Pl. Real. go and we Real. sit Pl. Real. go down We went up and we sat down.

In these three examples, arai has a lst person plural pronoun referent (agi (Inclusive) or aga (Exclusive)), which is the subject of the sentence.

## 2ND PERSON REFERENT

With second person referent, which is the subject of the sentence, a similar construction occurs:
i- sap? agam runt -a arai ru? -a fa -da gamp
Real. be enough you(Pl.) run. Part. Pl. go down. Part. go. Part. village
Can you run down to the village?
agam wa- fan da wa- runt arai wa- yab mamai
you(pl.) Imp. go and Imp. run pl. Imp. go up mountain
You go and run up the mountain.
The referent of arai in these two sentences is agam, second person plural pronoun.

## 3RD PERSON REFERENT

I found it difficult to elicit sentences using arai with a third person plural subject referent. A third person plural object seemed to be preferred,

```
e.g. dzi i- rim bampio? (arai) i- ru?
    I Real. put coconut (Pl.) Real. go down
    I threw the coconuts down.
```

Arai is, again, optional, and is only used with the plural object.
arajan i- yu tauf da i- rim (arai) i- fan he Real. take stone and Real. put (Pl.) Real. go He took the stones and threw them.

In these examples, arai refers back to the object nouns, bampin? coconuts, in the first example, and tauf stones in the second. These nouns are otherwise unmarked for singular or plural, and since the subjects of both sentences are clearly singular - dzi $I$, and aranan he, the arai must refer to the object nouns. With a singular object, it is unnecessary:
dzi i- rim taiap mayan i- fan
$I$ Real. take net bag a Real. go
I took a net bag away.
The following sentence produced another problem of plurality:
rib igi i- tip gur igi i- rim (arai) i- fan
they Real. dig out clay Dem. Real. give (Pl.) Real. go
They dig out the clay (and) give it (to others) to go out (of the clay pit).
This sentence occurred in a text about women digging clay in pits for making pots. The referent of arai appears to be the noun gur (clay), which appears to be considered a countable or plural noun for this purpose, because the women (rib igi) don't go out of the pit, but hand the lumps of clay out to others. So it is the clay which goes out.

A similar usage was noted in the following sentence:
sagat igi i- iki? nam (arai) i- fan
woman Dem. Real. carry food by pot rim (Pl.) Real. go
The woman carried the food away by the pot rim.
In this sentence, the referent of arai is nam, meaning food in this context, and food in pots, because the verb iki? to carry is only used for the action of carrying pots by the rim. So nam seems to be considered, in this example, to be a plural noun.

### 3.2 Nouns

### 3.2.1 Introduction

The following formulae represent the ways in which nouns can be modified in Amari:
NP $\rightarrow$ Head Noun + (Modifier)
Modifier $\rightarrow$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (Possession) } \\ \text { (Demonstrative) } \\ \text { (Adjective) } \\ \text { (Clause) }\end{array}\right)$

Nouns and pronouns can be modified by possession, and by quantitative or qualitative modification.

Possession of nouns has already been discussed, under Pronouns (3.1.2). Most objects in the Amari world are possessed according to the Type II Possessive form. The modifiers always follow the noun.

### 3.2.2 Quantitative modification

Quantity is marked by numerals, by plural marking, or by indefinite quantifiers.

## NUMERALS

The numerals are the most precise markers. The Amari counting system is a binary system, and some numbers are listed below:

```
bitsinta? (or bits) one
iru?run (or iru?) two
iru? da bits three
iru? da iru? four
iru? da iru? da bits five
```

and so on, in multiples of two, and two plus one. This becomes very cumbersome, and if there are more than five objects, people rarely count them using Amari numerals nowadays, and usually count them in Tok Pisin,

```
e.g. garam sikispara
    man six
    six men
```

With the Amari numerals we have,
e.g. ifab bitsinta?
pig one
one pig
sagat iru?run
woman two
two women
However, iru? can also mean a few,
e.g. garam iru? i- ba
man a few Real. come
A few men came.

### 3.2.3 Singular and plural marking

Nouns in Amari are normally unmarked by affixes to indicate plurality. Usually one has to obtain this through the context, or through any pronouns which may follow the noun and refer to it,
e.g. mama? marub i- fa wap. Rib igi i- wam dzaŋ? buman child male Real. go bush they Real. look for pig wild The boys went to the bush. They looked for wild pigs.

Plurality can sometimes be marked by reduplication of the modifier. However, this is quite rare.

```
e.g. mama? finam finam
    child female female
    girls
```


### 3.2.4 Indefinite quantifiers

There are other modifiers, less specific than numerals, which indicate quantity. These include:
isi? a little
fain some
majan $a$, any, which
santan all
ampi Zots
raginti lots of, very many
ragiggan lots of, very many
e.g. mpui isi? ru- mio?
water little Cont. stays
A little water is left.
nam fain
food/things some
some food/things
mama? majan i- fa gamp
child a/which Real. go village
A child went to the village. OR Which child went to the village? (If accompanied by rising intonation.)
agi santan i- bugin arajan we(Inc.) all Real. not like him/her We all dislike him/her.
garam ampi bigan i- mpa Waridzian men many very Real. stay Waridzian Many people live in Waridzian.
dzan? ragingan i- min? wap aga meat (game) lots Real. stay bush there Lots of gome animals live in that bush there.

Some of these can be intensified by reduplication,
e.g. isi? isi? aŋu only very little (aŋu is an adverb meaning only) tsira? tsira? very big, very important

### 3.2.5 Ordinals

Although there are no true ordinals, I will use the term to refer to the following usages:

Nouns can be modified according to their position in a sequence,

```
e.g. miamun first
    wasa? in the middle
    bampan last, at the end (lit. tail)
So we have,
e.g. nan miamun firstly (used in narrative)
    sagat miamun igi That woman is first.
    garam wasa? The man in the middle.
    garam bampan sib The last man, last-born, last in the line.
```


### 3.2.6 Descriptive modification

Modifiers always follow the noun in Amari. There are two types of adjectivelike modifiers - those which immediately follow the noun, and those which also function as stative verbs. Below are listed examples of the first type, Type I, with examples, and some of the second type, Type II, with examples. These are all that I have collected so far, but there are undoubtedly more. Both appear to be open classes.

### 3.2.6.1 Type I modifiers

tsira? big
isi? small
gunti? tall, long
uts short, narrow
(garu)bini good
fa? new
fufi old (people)
ratar old (objects)
maradza wide, broad
mututu blunt
bararan sharp
finam female
marub male
buman wild
babampaf hard
yas left (hand)
gaunt hot (Ziquids)
marabusun black
maragamp white
dzunudzuan yellow
rajun? red
mudzu blue; a small blue flower
asasa? white (refers to plants and fruits only)
bi? red (refers to plants and fruits); blood
Examples of use are:

| mama? bini | a good child |
| :--- | :--- |
| unar isi? | small house |
| garam maragamp | white man, European |
| gai gunti? | tall tree |
| sagat fa? | new woman or wife, young woman |
| sagat fufi | old woman |

```
mama? finam female child, girl
sigan mututu blunt spear
ba\etai yas left hand
ba\etai bini right hand
mpui gaunt hot water
```


### 3.2.6.2 Type II modifiers

| daum-an | good |
| :--- | :--- |
| mais-an | bad |
| sasus-an | hot |
| fun?-an | full |
| pupu?-an | broken |
| rurun-an | straight |
| wan-an | crooked |
| dzub-an | ripe |
| nu?-an | cooked |
| nufunuf-an | wet |
| tsara?-an | dry |
| pur-an | broken |
| puapap-an | light |
| barabin-dan | heavy, troublesome |
| nabanip-an | sweet (taste) |
| giririp-an | sour |
| nananan-an | hot, chili (taste) |
| jkian-an | bitter |
| gagats-an | irritating, itchy |
| mamp-an | dead |

These are all predicate adjectives, or participles, which in English are expressed by adjectives. They are all conjugated as verbs, and are all stative verbs. To modify a noun, they can be used in two ways:
(a) with Realis prefix $i-+$ Root (see 4.2.2)
(b) as a Participle - Root + -(d) an (see 4.3).

Examples:

```
(a) aruf i- mais
    girl Real. bad
    The girl is bad. OR She is a bad girl.
    nam i- sasus
    food Real. hot
    The food is hot. OR It is hot food.
    mub i- dzub
    berry Real. ripe
    The berry is ripe. OR It is a ripe berry.
    nam i- nu?
    food Real. cooked
    The food is cooked. OR It is cooked food.
    tauf i- barabin
    stone Real. heavy
    The stone is heavy. OR It is a heavy stone.
```

```
garam i- mamp
man Real. dead
The man is dead.
(b) aruf mais -an
girl bad. Part.
A bad girl OR She is a bad girl.
nam sasus -an
food hot. Part.
Hot food OR The food is hot.
mub dzub -an
berry ripe. Part.
Ripe berry OR The berry is ripe.
nam nu? -an
food cook. Part.
Cooked food OR The food is cooked.
tauf barabin -dan
stone heavy. Part.
Heavy stone OR The stone is heavy.
garam mamp -an
man dead. Part.
Dead man OR The man is dead.
```

The main difference between (a) and (b) is that the examples in (a) can stand alone as complete sentences, and those in (b) can be the subject or object of a sentence, i.e. they can stand as a noun phrase. However, they can also stand as complete utterances as well. There is no apparent semantic distinction between those in Type I and those in Type II.

### 3.2.6.3 Location adjectives

There is another type of modifier which can act as an adjective or a noun. These indicate location. Whether the word is being used as an adjective or a noun must come from the context, e.g. wasa? middle. Unar wasa? can mean either the middle of the house or the house in the middle. Others of this type are:
waran? below e.g. garam waran? the people living on the lower
(southern) side of the valley

### 3.2.6 Compounds

In Amari compounds, the first noun is the head noun, and the second word, whether noun, verb, or participle, is descriptive, and refers to the preceding noun. These compounds occur in the following ways:

## NATIONALITY:

| garam Niu Gini | a Niuginian man |
| :--- | :--- |
| sagat Adzera | an Adzera woman |
| sagat Siapan | a Japanese woman |
| mama? Waridzian | a Waridzian child |

PROFESSIONS, OR WORK:
garam is -a yafas
man catch. Part. fish
a fisherman
garam rim -a unar
man make part. house
a carpenter
sagat u -da mama? ataŋ -an woman take. Part. child go in. Part. teacher (female)
garam rab -a gai
man cut. tree
woodcutter
garam puris
man police
policeman
PERSONAL IDIOSYNCRASIES AND CHARACTERISTICS:
garam yab -a bampin?
man go up. Part. coconut
man who climbs coconuts (who likes to, or is good at it)
sagat gabai gari -da gum
woman never weed. Part. garden
woman who never weeds her garden
garam mpa -dan aju
man sit down. Part. only
mon who only sits around all the time
garam anup? ni -da nan aŋu
man Neg. say. Part. talk only
man who does not talk much
PART-TO-WHOLE RELATIONSHIP (EXPRESSED BY POSSESSIVE):
mpu(i) wa -n
water neck. 3PossI.
a narrowing of a river or stream
mamai yu -n
mountain nose. 3Poss.
a peak of a mountain
gai baŋi -n
tree arm. 3Poss.
branch of a tree

### 3.2.8 Demonstratives

Other important postnominal modifiers in Amari-Adzera are the demonstratives. These are used very frequently. They incorporate distance from the speaker with visibility of the object being spoken about, and whether or not the object has been referred to already. They are:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ani here, near me/us } \\ \text { igi there, near you, generally referential }\end{array}\right\} \text { visible. } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { aga there, near him, it } \\ \text { ugu there, far away, near him or it, } \\ a l r e a d y ~ s e e n ~ o r ~ r e f e r r e d ~ t o ~\end{array}\end{array}\right\}$ visible or invisible

Examples:
ani:
mana gur -an ani wasa? ani bubumpwa? sib
who pot. 3poss. here inside here dirty finish
Whose pot is this here, whose inside is dirty?
nam ani i- nabanip i- yus
food here Real. sweet Real. too much
This food is too sweet.
igi: This is the most commonly used demonstrative. It occurs frequently throughout narratives, and seems to be used mainly as a referential tag, e.g. as in
rib igi they, them or
nan aranan igi that's all, the end of the story
garam igi i- raf ganan
man that Real. dig yam
The man digs out yams.
aga:
wa- yu dzaf aga i- ba
Imp. bring fire that Real. come
Bring that fire over here to me.
kar idzuwai aga i- ba
car which that Real. come
What car is that coming?
ugu:
da rib ugu santan i- ru? da i- buarin dzap? ugu iand they there all Real. go down and Real. carry pig there Real.
fafub mpui i- ba
come downstrean water Real. come
And they (already referred to) all come down and carried that pig (already referred tol downstrean, and come back.
ugu is also used on either side of relative clauses, to demarcate those clauses from the rest of the sentence (see 3.2.9).

The demonstratives are also used in time phrases, e.g.
ani:
gubu? bun?a- bi ani da dzi na- is u gur -am
day Fut. be like this and I Hort. make you pot 2poss. On a day like this one, I will make your pot.
ugu:
rib igi i- fan da Fraidi bampan ugu
they Real. go on Friday last there
They went on Friday last week.
arajan i- mamp da mai? ugu
he Real. die on yesterday there
He died the day before yesterday.

### 3.2.9 Relative clauses as noun modifiers

$N P \rightarrow$ Head Noun $+\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (Adjective) } \\ \text { (Demonstrative) } \\ \text { (Possessive) } \\ \text { (Noun) } \\ \text { (Relative Clause) }\end{array}\right)$
$N P \rightarrow$ Head Noun $+(u g u)+$ Relative Clause
Relative Clause $\rightarrow(\mathrm{N})+$ Verb Root + Predicate + ugu
Relative clauses, as more complex postnominal modifiers, exist in Amari, and they always follow the noun they modify. The head noun, or referent, is followed optionally by the demonstrative ugu, then the relative clause follows. After the relative clause, ugu is repeated, as an anaphoric referent back to the subject of the clause. The verb of the clause is always expressed in the participial form, verb root $+(d) a$. Examples:
ifab (ugu) / mus -a yup -a intap ugu / i- mamp sib pig (Dem.) always. Part. dig. Part. earth Dem. Real. die finish The pig that was always digging up the ground is dead.
dzi na- yu unar ugu / garam fawa? -a sib aga ugu / I Hort. take house Dem. man break. Part. finish Dem. Dem. I will take the house which the man has broken.

In these two sentences, the relative clause follows directly after the noun to which it refers (ifab and unar) whether the noun is subject or object of the sentence. The Demonstrative ugu follows directly after the noun which the clause modifies, and again directly after the end of the clause. The verb of the clause is in the participial form, which is formed by the addition of the suffix -a, -da, to the verb root. Some other examples:
mama? marub ugu / dzi dzigin-da i gan nam -gan ugu /
child male Dem. I steal. Part. Obl.Obj.M. Poss. food. 3Poss.II Dem.
i- fa uta da ru- fa gio?
Real. go nothing and Cont. go sleep
The boy whose food I stole went without anything and went to sleep.
In this sentence, the relative clause indicating possession is expressed in the same way, with the possessive markers on the noun nam food.

```
garam (ugu) / dzi i- rim pas rut in ugu / i- yu i- fa
```

man (Dem.) I Real. give letter go with him Dem. Real. take Real. go
taun
town
The man to whom I gave the letter has taken it to town.
sagat (ugu) / dzi ni -da nan rut in ugu / i- fa sib gamp
woman (Dem.) I say. Part. talk go with her Dem. Real. go Compl.I village
The woman to whom I spoke went back to the village.

In these two examples, the clause contains the verb rut- to go with, to be together, and the Oblique Object in to him, her, which refers back to the subject noun.
dzantsun (ugu) / dzi rab -a gai gin ugu / i- tati? sib
axe (Dem.) I cut. Part. tree Obl.Obj. Dem. Real. break finish
The axe with which I cut the tree is broken.

ga sib
eat finish
Fire has burnt down the house in which I usually sleep.

In these two examples, the Oblique Object refers back to the subject in the first sentence, and the object in the second sentence.

## 4. THE VERB PHRASE

### 4.1 Verbs

### 4.1.1 Introduction

Verbs are very important in the Amari language. They carry much of the semantic information of a sentence, different verbs being used specifically for certain operations and contexts that would, in English, need a phrase or two to explain. For example, for the verbs used to describe the different ways of 'picking' or 'harvesting' crops, I have recorded the following, and no doubt there are many more:

```
bri? to pull out of the ground (e.g. peanuts) (from English pulZ)
pur to pulz out
turu to pick off altogether, not leaving any of the fruit or leaves
turu } to pull fruit off a bunch one at a time (e.g. peanuts, betel-
piats nut off a cluster)
piats} to pick bananas off a bunch
pis to pick single fruit off with the hand, one at a time (e.g.
    cucumbers, mangoes, melons)
is } to pick beans, corm, break off the stalk, to collect a lot of
sam (e.g. pit-pit, leafy vegetables)
idza? to harvest by breaking off (e.g. pineapple, sugarcane)
```

```
raf to dig out of the ground (e.g. yams, sweet potatoes, tapioca)
```

ari to dig in the ground

There are numerous other semantic areas in Amari, with many verbs which explain precisely the kind of activity being performed, e.g. ways of walking or moving, verbs to do with making a clay-pot, to do with fishing, with building a house, etc. They are all worthy of detailed investigation, but are beyond the scope of this paper.

As verbs carry most of the meaning-load of a sentence, they have numerous prefixes and suffixes which refine their meaning even more precisely to locate the actions expressed by the verb in time, to describe its manner of execution and its duration within the time span given.

Table 3 gives the prefixes, suffixes and postverbal particles for Amari. Those described for the Kaiapit Adzera are a little different (see Holzknecht, K.G. 1973b and 1973c).

### 4.2 Verb prefixes

In Amari, the verb can be analysed as being made up of a number of possible prefix positions, then the Verb Root, then one suffix position, with three possible Postverbal Particles following the Verb. Not all of the prefix positions can ever be filled at the one time, and not all of them co-occur with the suffix position and the Postverbal particles. There are usually no more than three prefixes co-occurring at one time, and there are restrictions on which can co-occur.

I will discuss each of the prefixes, suffixes and Postverbal particles indicating its co-occurrence with other verbal features. Negative affixes and particles will be discussed separately in 4.11 .

### 4.2.0 Prefix slot 1 - negative (see 4.11 Negation)

### 4.2.1 Prefix slot 2

This slot includes $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ru- } \\ \text { rup?- }\end{array}\right\}$ Continuative, and bun- Perfective. ru- is the most common form of this prefix, which can be translated in English as still ... -ing. An alternative form run?- is called by the Amari the 'correct' form, and ru- is called the 'lazy' or 'short' form. K. Holzknecht (1973c:25-26) says that around Kaiapit and Sangang ru- (or ro-) follows a singular subject and run?(or ron?-) follows a plural subject. My data from Amari do not support this explanation. Examples:

```
ara\etaan ru- mpai
he/she Cont. stay
He (or she) is still staying there.
agam ru- ga nam
you(Pl.) Cont. eat food
Are you(Pl.) still eating?
dzi ru- gari gum
dzi rum?- gari gum
I Cont. weed garden
I am still weeding the garden.
```

Table 3: Amari verb affixes and particles

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prefix } \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Verb Root | Suffix | ```Post- verbal Particle l``` | ```Post- verbal Particle 2``` | ```Post- verbal Particle 3``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anup?(Neg.) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ru- } \\ \text { rup?- }\end{array}\right.$ (Cont.) <br> bun(Perf.) | i- <br> (Real.) $\begin{aligned} & \text { \{ bun?a- } \\ & \text { mun?a- } \\ & \text { (Fut.) } \end{aligned}$ | ma- <br> (Pot.) | da-(CF) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { wa- } \\ a- \end{array}\right.$ <br> (Imp.) $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { na- } \\ \mathrm{a}- \end{array}\right.$ <br> (Hort.) <br> ma- <br> (Neg. <br> Imp.) | bu(Repet.) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left\{\begin{array}{l} \text {-da } \\ -d a n \\ -a n \\ -a \end{array}\right. \\ & \text { (Part.) } \end{aligned}$ | sib <br> (Compl.) | ```i (Compl. Int.)``` | u <br> (Neg.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | aŋu (only) | wa (Compl. Int.) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ama? <br> (Hort. <br> Int.) <br> a <br> (Imp. <br> Int.) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ma? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Neg. <br> Imp.) |

```
    agam ru- muaruts i ba -dan da agai
    you(Pl.) Cont. want Obl.Obj.M. come. Part. with us
    Do you still want to come with us?
    Ru-/rup?- can co-occur with other prefixes with the following restrictions:
ru-/run?- with i- 'Realis', Present and Past Tense. Before i- the form run?-
is preferred by the Amari to ru- 'because it sounds better'. However, it is
still correct to use ru-i-.
e.g. rib idzun run?- i- pur pinat
    they Cont. Real. pull peanut
    They are still harvesting peanuts.
    dzi rup?- i- adzu? nam
    I Cont. Real. wash thing
    I am still washing things (clothes or food).
ru-/run?- with bun?a-/mun?a- Future
e.g. tata? da arajan ru- bup?a- mpai
    tomorrow and he Cont. Fut. stay
    He will still be here tomorrow.
ru-/rug?- with ma~ Potential
e.g. arajan ma- ga nam ma arajan ru- ma- mpai
    he Pot. eat food or he Cont. Pot. stay
    Perhaps he has eaten, or perhaps he is still sitting (there).
ru-/rup?- with da- Contrary to Fact
e.g. (This example is adapted from Holzknecht 1973c:26)
    sagat ru- da- gari gum ani da agi da-ni rut
    woman Cont. CF. weed garden here and we(Inc.) CF. say to her
    If only the woman were still weeding this garden here, then we could tell
    her (something).
ru-/run?- with wa- Imperative. Does not occur.
ru-/rug?- with na- Hortative
e.g. Martin ru- na- mpai
    Martin Cont. Hort. stay
    Martin should still stay.
    dzi ru- na- mpai ma aga na- fan
    I Cont. Hort. stay or we(Exc.) Hort. go
    Should I be staying, or should we go?
ru-/rup?- with bu- Repetitive
e.g. aranan ru- bu- ga unas
    he Cont. Rep. eat sweet potato
    He is eating sweet potato again.
    bun- Perfective. This prefix means just now completed. It usually co-
occurs with and precedes Realis i-.
```

```
e.g. Sinur bun- i- fa makit
    Sinur Perf. Real. go market
    Sinur has just gone to the market.
```

    But bun- can also be used to refer to a time further past than just now.
    e.g. da dzi bun- i- adzu? nam da mai? ugu
and $I$ Perf. Real. wash thing Timem. yesterday
But I only just washed clothes yesterday!
bun- with bup?a-/mun?a- Future. Does not occur.
bun- with ma- Potential
e.g. rib idzun bun- ma- ba ma
they Perf. Pot. come or
Perhaps they have only just come, or?
arajan buy- ma- rab ma garam majan ma- rab
he Perf. Pot. cut or man a Pot. cut
(I think) maybe he just cut it, or maybe another man cut it.
bun- with da-, wa-, na-, bu-, does not occur.

### 4.2.2 Prefix slot 3

There are two prefixes in this slot, $i-R e a l i s, ~ a n d ~ b u p ? a-/ m u p^{?} a-F u t u r e$. I treat Realis i- first.
i- Present and Past tense. This prefix refers to events which have actually happened in the past, or which are happening now. It is the most commonly used prefix in Amari, and has been called 'Modus realitatus' by K. Holzknecht (1973c: 21). The same form is used for singular or plural subjects, and for transitive or intransitive verbs. I will refer to this prefix as 'Realis'.
e.g. rib igi i- fan da i- mpa yaun da i- tai nam
they Real. go and Real. stay shade and Real. cook food
They go/went and stay/stayed in the shade and cook/cooked food.
Martin i- ga nam
Martin Real. eat food
Martin ate food.
dzi i- fa taun da mai? ugu
I Real. go town TimeM. yesterday
I went to town yesterday.
aga rama -ŋ? -ga刀? i- mpa bini
our(Exc.) father. lPoss.I lPoss.I Real. stay good
Our father is well.
miamun ugu da aga rumpu -ŋ? rusa -n? i- is garam
before Dem. and we(Exc.) grandfather. lPoss.I all. lposs.I Real. hit man
funub da i- gan
dead and Real. eat
Before, our grandfathers all killed people and ate them.

This prefix is usually dropped in Amari after a vowel.

```
e.g. dzi i- ga nam
    I Real. eat food
    I ate food.
```

This would be said, in normal speech, dzi ga nam. However, for purposes of this paper, I will always write the $i-$ even when the sound would be dropped in speech.

```
i~ with ma-, da-, wa-, na-, does not occur.
```

i- with bu- Repetitive

```
e.g. dzi i- bu- ba
    I Real. Repet. come
```

    I came back again.
    Marafirian i- bu- tip i- yun
    Marafirian Real. Repet. return Real. walk
    Marafirian walks back and forth all the time.
    bun?a-/mun?a- Future. This prefix indicates events that are definitely
    believed will occur in the future. It also expresses an intention to do something
in the future. The forms bun?a- and mun?a- appear to alternate freely in the
Amari dialect of Adzera, whereas in the Kaiapit dialect only the bup?a- form is
heard. However, in Amari bup?a- is the most common form. This prefix is called
'Future' rather than 'Irrealis' because there are two other prefixes, ma- Poten-
tial, and da- Contrary to Fact, which also have an Irrealis sense. The common
opposition of Realis/Irrealis does not occur in Amari.
e.g. agi bup?a- fa gamp
we(Inc.) Fut. go village
We will go to the village.
tata? da aga bun?a- yab mamai na- fa-fa da na-
tomorrow Timem. we (Exc.) Fut. go up mountain Hort. go. go and Hort.
ntuap Yajkawan
come up to Yankawan
Tomorrow we will climb the mountain, up and up, and we will arrive at
Yankawan.
garam igi mun?a- yun a- fan
man Dem. Fut. walk Hort. go
That man will walk.

In the last two examples, the first verb with the Future prefix bup?a-/mup?ais followed by another verb, with the Hortative prefix, na-/a-, affixed to it. This is the case whenever the verb in the future tense is followed by another verb which also expresses future. The bup?a-/mup?a- prefix is never repeated on the second verb (except before da-).
bup?a-/mup?a- with ma- Potential. This combination expresses doubt about some future action. The final vowel of bun?a- is usually dropped before ma- and the two become bun?ma-. It is often used in a question form. (Amari informants cannot agree about whether this combination occurs in Amari or only in Kaiapit.)

```
e.g. arajan bun?- ma- fan da mai?
    he Fut. Pot.go TimeM. tomorrow
    Will he go tomorrow?
```

```
bu\eta?a-/mun?a- with da- Contrary to Fact. When bup?a- precedes da-, the final
vowel of bun?a- is dropped and the two become bun?da-, and together they express
a somewhat negative sense.
e.g.u mun?- da- mpai
    you(S.) Fut. CF. stay
    Won't you stay?
    u mum?- da- ni da rib idzun mum?- da- fan
    you(S.) Fut. CF. say and they Fut. CF.go
    If you will only say something, then they would go.
bu\mp@subsup{n}{}{?}a-/mun?a- with wa, na, a-, does not occur.
bun?a-/mun?a- with bu- Repetitive
e.g. dzi bug?a- bu- ba
    I Fut. Repet. come
    I will come back again.
    arajan mum?a- bu- tip a- fan
    he Fut. Repet. again Hort. go
    He will go back again.
```


### 4.2.3 Prefix slot 4

This slot has only one prefix, ma- Potential. This prefix can be translated as perhaps, and conveys a sense of doubt. It has already been shown how ma- combines with several other prefixes which occur in the two prefix slots before it (ru- and bun?a-/mun?a-). When used alone, before a verb root, ma- can be translated as perhaps or maybe, in the present or past tense.
e.g. arajan ma- mpai ma ma- fan
he Pot. stay or Pot. go
Perhaps he is there, or perhaps he has gone.
Siras ma- ga nam ma araŋan ru- ma- mpai
Siras Pot. eat food or he Cont. Pot. stay
Perhaps Silas has eaten, or perhaps he is still sitting there.
ma- with da-, wa-, does not occur. However ma-da- does occur in the Kaiapit
dialect.
ma- with na- does not occur, but is found in the Kaiapit dialect.
ma- with bu- Repetitive

```
e.g. dzi ma- bu- fan
```

I Pot. Repet. go
Did I go back? (i.e. I can't remember ...)

### 4.2.4 Prefix slot 5

This slot contains only da- Contrary to Fact. This prefix has a negative sense, in that it indicates that something could, or should, have taken place, but did not. It has also an element of regret, 'if only' something had happened.

```
e.g. dzi da- yu papaya ugu wa da dzi da- num da- suf
    I CF. take pawpaw Dem. finish and I CF. drink CF. be enough
    If only I had brought that pawpaw, I could have eaten my fill.
    sagat igi da- ntan krus
    woman Dem. CF. sew clothes
    If only that woman would sew clothes! (She can, but she doesn't.)
da- with wa-, na-, does not occur.
da- with bu- does not occur.
```


### 4.2.5 Prefix slot 6

A number of prefixes may occur in this slot. They are wa-/a- Imperative, and na-/a- Hortative.
wa- Imperative. This prefix is only used with second person subjects, u/agu (Singular), and agam (Plural). When used with second Singular u/agu, the pronoun is dropped.
e.g. wa- ga nam

Imp. eat food
Eat!
wa- ba ani
Imp. come here
Come here!
With second person plural agam, the pronoun is retained, but the wa- can become a-.
e.g. agam a- ba
you(Pl.) Imp. come
or agam wa- ba
you(pl.) Imp. come
Come!
agam a- mpai
you(Pl.) Imp. stay
You stay!
garam da sagat wa- ba
man and woman Imp. come
Men and women, come!
wa- with bu- Repetitive
e.g. wa- bu- fan da tata? wa- bu- ba

Imp. Repet. go and tomorrow Imp. Repet. come
You go again now and come back tomorrow.
na- Hortative, or First and Third Imperative. This prefix is only used
with first or third person subjects. It has a future connotation.
e.g. agi na- fan
we(Inc.) Hort. go
Let us go! We shall go. We should go.
arapan nā nana na- bi anup da na- ntan taiap in he/she Hort. make Hort. how where and Hort. weave net bag obl.obj. How should she prepare it (the string) and make a net bag from it?
na- ntsup da dzi na- fan
Hort. finish and $I$ Hort. go When it is finished, I should go.
na- is often used to convey the meaning 'when something will occur, then ... something else will occur', as in the last example. Another common example is:
na- pis da garam da sagat na- fa gamp

Hort. sun come up TimeM. man and woman Hort. go village When the sun comes up, the men and women will go to their villages.
na- with ru- and with ma- (Kaiapit dialect only) have been treated (see 4.2.l and 4.2.3).
na- with bu- Repetitive
e.g. dzi na- bu- ba

I Hort. Repet. come
I will/should come back again.

## 4.2.б Prefix slot 7

This slot has only one prefix, bu- Repetitive. This can often be translated as again. It is usually preceded by $i$ - Realis prefix (see 4.2.2), or Future prefix bun?a- (see 4.2.2).
e.g. arajan i- bu- ba
he Real. Repet. come
He come back again.
dzi mup?a- bu- ba da Muntai I Fut. Repet. come TimeM. Monday I will come back again on Monday.

Table 4: Chart of prefix co-occurrence

|  | anur ?- | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ru- } \\ \text { ruif?- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | bur- | i- | bul? ${ }^{2-}$ <br> mur?a- | ma- ${ }^{1}$ | da- | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { wa- } \\ \text { a- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na- } \\ & \text { a- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ma- ${ }^{2}$ | bu- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anur)?- |  | * | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ru- } \\ \text { rui)?- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | * | * | * | * |  | * |  |  |
| buij- |  |  |  | * |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| i- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { buli? } a- \\ \text { mulj? } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | * | * |  |  | * | * |
| $\mathrm{ma}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Kaiapit) |  | (Kaiapit) |  | * |
| da- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { wa- } \\ \text { a- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { na- } \\ \text { a- } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| ma-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bu- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Potential; 2. Negative; * = co-occur

### 4.3 Verb suffix: participle marker

In Amari, there is only one verbal suffix, which can have one of four forms. This suffix, -dan/-an, -da/-a, changes the verb form into a participle or verbal noun.

If the preceding sound is either a vowel, or $[\mathrm{n}]$, the form will be -dan/-da. If the preceding sound is a consonant (including other nasals and glottal stop), the form will be -an/-a.

The final nasal of -an and -dan is dropped if the verb is followed by any predicate - any postverbal particle, adverb, an object, complement, or another verb. The form used then is either -da (after a vowel or [ n ]) or -a (after a consonant). This means, in effect, that the final $n$ is retained only when the participial form of the verb is the last word in a sentence, or before the conjunction da when it joins two sentences. (See fa go (4.5.1) and ga eat (4.5.2) for exceptions.)
e.g. After vowel or [n]:
sagat mpa -da ris igi
woman stay. Part. dom Dem.
The women are staying at the dam.
araran i- tip i- fa i tsarfan -da sagat ugu
he Real. return Real. go Obl.Obj.M. see. Part. woman Dem.
He returned in order to see that woman.

After consonants (including other nasals and glottal stop):
rama -ŋ? i- fa nan -a gum mi门? -a wap
father 1.Poss.I Real. go do. Part. work stay. Part. bush
Father has gone to work in the garden in the bush.

```
dzi i- na\eta i fis -a i nan sagat ari
I Real. want Obl.Obj.M. tell. Part. Obl.Obj.M. talk woman dig out.
-da gur
part. clay
I want to tell the story about how women dig out the clay (for pots).
```

With a predicate following the verb:

```
agam i- fa tsa\etaan-da wai
you(Pl.) Real. go see. Part. what
What did you all go to see?
```

dzi gabai bia? -a suf da dzi i- muanits buy?a- rut
$I$ never go. Part. quick and $I$ Real. be hungry Fut. be together
If I do not go quickly, I will be hungry.
sagat mpa -da ris igi i- is yafas
woman stay. Part. dom Dem. Real. hit fish
The women staying at the dom caught fish.
aga i- dzraŋ i wam -a nam ga -dan
we (Exc.) Real. split up Obl.Obj.M. find. Part. food eat. Part.
We split up in order to find some food.

At end of sentence, or before conjunction da:

```
i- sa\eta? dzi bia? -an
Real. be enough I come Part.
Can I come?
ararjan i- mpa i- sap? buramp iru?run igi ntsup -an
he Real. stay Real. be enough month two Dem. finish. Part.
da tip -a fa -dan
and return. Part. go. Part.
He stayed until two months had finished, and he went back.
ararjan i- tsa\etaan dza\etakum uri? -an da atim runt -an da
he Real.see corn sprout. Part. and cucumber grow. Part. and
gana\eta tap -an
yom grow. Part.
He sow the corn had sprouted, and the cucumbers had grown, and the yams
had grown.
```


### 4.4 Post-verbal particles

Post-verbal particles can occur after any verb, and can be separated from the verb by any number of words, for example, demonstratives, an object, a complement, etc. There are two post-verbal particle positions. In the first position (l) either sib, ama?, a, or aŋu may occur. In the second position, and following directly after the first position, $i$ or wa may occur. Most of these particles emphasise tense, aspect or mood which is conveyed by the verbal prefixes, and usually intensifying the meaning conveyed by these prefixes.

### 4.4.1 Completive I - sib

When sib occurs at the end of a verb phrase, it means that the action is completed. It is also used in time phrases to denote actions which have been completed in the past, or actions which will be completed in the future.

```
e.g. rib igi i- ga nam tai -dan igi sib
```

    they Real. eat food cook. Part. Dem. Compl.I
    They ate the cooked food.
    mpui igi i- ri? sib
    water Dem. Real. dry up Compl.I
    That water has all dried up.
    Future:
suntai iru?run na- ntsup sib i da agi na- bri? pinat Sunday two Hort. finish Compl.I first and we(Inc.) Hort. pick peanuts In two weeks' time, we will pick the peanuts.

Past:
ukam iru?run i- fa sib da dzi anup? i- tsaŋan arajan u moon two Real. go Compl.I and I Neg. Real. see him/her Neg. I haven't seen her for two months.

Sib is used frequently when narrating a story, to show that one action is finished and another is about to begin,
e.g. ...da rib igi i- rim mani sib da i- ntan dzan?
...and they Real. give money Compl.I and Real. spear pork/pig
...and they gave (them) the money, and then they took the pork on their their spears.

Sib also gives a sense of finality to actions which, when expressed by certain verbs, may be ambiguous, e.g. mamp to die may also mean to faint, but when followed by sib there is no doubt that to die is meant.
e.g. dzi rama -ŋ? -gaŋ? i- mamp sib

I father lPoss.Poss. Real. die Compl.I
My father is dead.

### 4.4.2 Completive II - sib i and sib wa

Only sib can be followed by the second position particles, $i$ and wa, although they can occur without sib (see below: 4.4.6). They both act as intensifiers. i is an affirmative intensifier, asserting and stressing that an action has occurred:
e.g. dzi i- nan sib i

I Real. do Compl.I. Compl.II
I have done it.
Wa intensifies the sense of 'finished, already done', when used after sib. It is also a completive particle, and can occur alone, without sib:
e.g. Adu i- ba sib wa

Adu Real. come Compl.I Compl.II
Adu has already come.

### 4.4.3 Hortative Intensifier ama? let

This particle must co-occur with either Hortative prefix na-/a- or Imperative wa-/a-, and has the meaning of let...something happen. It adds encouragement to the hortatory sense of na-/a-, and it can only occur following second and third person subjects:

```
e.g. maragab na- rab ama?
    poor fellow Hort. cut Hort.Int.
    Let the poor fellow cut it.'
    rib igi na- fan ama?
    they Hort.go Hort.Int:
    They should go! Let them go!
```


### 4.4.4 Imperative Intensifier a

a occurs only with second person subjects, and with the Imperative prefix wa-. It has a strong assertive sense, and according to one informant, it implies criticism of the person being addressed, or exasperation:

```
e.g. wa- na\eta nam a
```

    Imp. cook food Imp. Int.
    Do go and cook the food!'
    wa- gari a
    Imp. weed Imp.Int.
    Go on, weed it! (Example from K. Holzknecht 1973:23)
    
### 4.4.5 aŋu only, just

The particle aju can occur with all subjects and prefixes, and has the meaning only, just. It can also act like an adverb, and qualify verbs, adjectives or other adverbs:

## e.g. wa- fan apu

Imp. go only
Just get going!
dzi i- mpai aŋu
$I$ Real. stay only
I an just sitting here.

### 4.4.6 i and wa without sib

Both $i$ and wa can stand alone, without sib. The particle $i$ asserts that the action is to be completed first, before another begins:

```
e.g. dzi na- rab i
    I Hort. cut first
    Let me cut it first (before something else happens).
    dzi na- gari i
    I Hort. weed first
    Let me weed it first (before I plant something).
```

```
wa implies a completed action, with or without sib preceding it:
e.g. Adu i- ba wa
    Adu Real. come Compl.II
    Adu has already come. OR Has Adu already come? (with question intonation.)
    dzi i- tsajan wa
    I Real. see Compl.II
    I have already seen it.
    gum i- ntsup sib wa
    work Real. finish Compl.I Compl.II
    The work has really finished.
```

These particles cannot follow any of the other particles in Position I, Post-
verbal particles (4.4) except sib.

### 4.5 Irregular verb roots

In the Amari language, two verb roots behave differently to other verbs, in the way in which they take endings. These two verbs are fa go, and ga eat.

### 4.5.1 fa go

The root of this verb is fa, and the participial form is fa-dan. However, for all prefixes, the root becomes fan if it ends the sentence or clause:
e.g. wa- fan da na- tsayan

Imp. go and Hort. see
You go and see!
dzi na- rut arajan na- fan
I Hort. go with him Hort. go
I should go with him.
However, with any predicate following the verb, the root fa does not change.
In comparison, the verb ba come does not behave in this way. The participial form is ba-dan, but the root always remains ba, and never becomes ban:
e.g. dzi na- bu- ba
$I$ Hort. Repet. come
I will come back again.
arajan i- ni ba -dan
he Real. want come. Part.
He wants to come.

### 4.5.2 ga eat

The verb root ga eat is also irregular, and behaves in a similar way to fa go. Before da and, and at the end of a sentence, ga becomes gan. However, before a vowel, within a sentence, ga does not change to gan, but remains ga, and in speech a glottal stop is inserted between the vowels:

```
e.g. rib igi i- ga unas
    they Real. eat sweet potato
    They are eating sweet potato.
    araŋan i- ga sib
    he Real. eat Compl.I
    He has eaten.
    arajan ampi -gan i- gan
    he guest. 3Poss.II Real. eat
    His guest is eating.
```

    garam igi ampi -gan igi i- gan da i- num ti igi
    man Dem. guest. 3Poss.II Dem. Real. eat and Real. drink tea Dem.
    That man's guests ate, and drank tea.
    However, the regular participial form is ga-dan/ga-da:
$\begin{array}{cllll}\text { e.g. dzi i- ni ga } & \text {-da nam } \\ I & \text { Real. want eat. Part. food }\end{array}$
I want to eat (food).
araŋan i - bugin ga -dan
he Real. not like eat. Part.
He does not like eating.

### 4.6 Auxiliary verbs

There appear to be two types of auxiliary verbs in Amari - those which can also stand alone as main verbs, and those which can only be used in association with another verb.

### 4.6.1 fa go, and ba come

The first type of auxiliary verb includes fa $g o$ and ba come. As well as being true independent verbs in their own right, fa and ba can be used with another verb to indicate a continuous aspect, as well as giving a directional sense to the verb, especially verbs of motion. When used in this way, fa and ba always come after the main verb:
fa go
e.g. garam igi i- ni nan i- fa fa da nan i- san? ruan man Dem. Real. say talk Real. go. go and talk Real. be enough Refl. The men talk, on and on, until the talk is straight anong them.
rib igi i- jump i- fa-fa-fa da gubu? i- pis
they Real. dance Real. go. go. go and sun Real. come up
They dance and dance, until the sun comes up.
mama? igi i- fits aruf igi taiap -an da i- yu i- fan child Dem. Real. carry girl Dem. net bag 3Poss.II and Real. take Real. go The child carries the girl's net bag and takes it away.
dzi i- yun i- fa gamp
I Real. walk Real. go village
I an walking to the village.

In the first example, the verb root fa is repeated, in order to show that the action was continuing on for a long time. This usage is normally marked by past tense, Realis i-, so it is actually a past imperfect. Similarly, the second example shows fa being used as an auxiliary aspectual marker. The third example shows fa being used as an auxiliary showing direction, and giving a sense of motion to a verb which is not a verb of motion (yu take). Because fa is used after the verb, it shows that $i-y u i-f a n$ means took it (net bag) away from the village. Motion is seen in relation to the speaker, and his/her position with respect to the home village, so motion away from the village is expressed by fago, and motion towards the village is expressed by ba come. The last example shows the motion of walking specifically towards the village. ba come

```
e.g. araŋan i- tsaŋan dzaf umar igi dugunt -an yab -a su
    he Real.see fire house Dem. smoke 3Poss.II go up. Part. become.
    -da tsira? da i- tip i- ru? ba
    Part. big and Real. retum Real. go down come
    He sow the smoke from the house-fire become bigger and he come back
        down again.
```

da ribigi i- yu nam fain da bus da i- yu i-
and they Real. take food some and greens and Real. take Real.
ba gamp
come village
... and they get some food and greens and bring them back to the village.
garam gamp mayan i- rim nan i- ba da garam gamp manan
man village a Real. send talk Real. come to man village a
The men from one village send the talk to the men of another village.
ba come is used as a direction marker also, when it follows another verb. Again, as with fago, the direction is determined by the position of the speaker, and of the speaker's village. As in the first example for ba, i-tip i-ru? ba he came back down again, the person referred to came back down to the village from the mountain. Also, in the second example, the motion is towards the village, i-yu i-ba gamp brought (it) back to the village. In the third example, the speaker is speaking from the standpoint of a person in her own village $-i-r i m$ nan i-ba da garam gamp manan brought the talk to a man of the village, i.e. not any village at all, but her own village.

### 4.6.2 Auxiliary verbs II

A second type of auxiliary verb comes before the main verb (with one exception mpru? be with). These verbs differ from the first type of auxiliary in that they cannot stand alone as independent verbs. Verbs of this type collected so far, and commonly used, are:

```
mus always
mpru? be with
rut go with
```

mus always.

```
e.g. aga i- mus i- fan
    we(Exc.) Real. always Real. go
    We always go.
    garam igi i- mus i- runt aju
    man Dem. Real. always Real. run only
    That man always only runs.
```

rut go with, accompany.
This has a more active sense of accompany than mpru? and always precedes the
verb it modifies, except when it accompanies ni say. The object, if there is
one, usually comes straight after rut.
e.g. mama? finam finam i- rut sagat fain i- fan da i- is
child female female Real. go with woman some Real. go and Real. hit
nam wasa? i ntio? -a mpui gin
thing inside Obl.Obj.M. dam. Part. water Obl.Obj.
The girls accompany some women and get together the things for domming
up the water with.
Irafruan i- rut fini -n -gan i- yab i- mpru?
Irafruan Real. go with wife 3Poss.I 3Poss.II Real. go up Real. be with
da agai
with us (Exc.)
Irafruan, accompanied by his wife, got up (into a car) and were together
with us.
ni say followed by rut.
The verb ni say, like, is often followed by rut, which then has the meaning of say to someone. This must then be followed by the oblique object marker, i, plus object, or, if the indirect object is a pronoun, by the form which combines oblique object marker and third person pronoun object, in.
e.g. dzi i- ni rut in i ba -dan

I Real. say together Obl.Obj.M. Compl.M. come. Part.
I told him to come.
wa- ni rut in i dzi fa -da mpru? -an
lmp. say together Obl.Obj.M. Compl.M. I go. Part. be with. Part.
da rib idzun
with them
Tell him that I am going with them.
mpru? be with, be together.
mpru? usually follows the verb it is accompanying. It can be either transitive or intransitive, and when transitive takes da before the object.
e.g. garam igi i- yu mama? i- mpru? man Dem. Real. take child Real. be together That man gathered the children together.
dzi na- fa na- mpru? (da) arajan da aga na- fa gum asa $I$ Hort. go Hort. be together (with) him and we (Exc.) Hort. go garden I will got with him and we will go to the garden.
rib igi i- gints dzay? igi i- mpru? da mani ithey Real. divide up pig Dem. Real. be together with money Real.
san? garam bitsinta? bitsinta?
be enough man one one
They divided up the pork, together with the money, for each man.

### 4.7 Objects: direct object; indirect object; oblique object

The relative positions of objects in the verb phrase may be expressed in the following way:
$V P+($ Direct Object $)+(d a)+($ Indirect Object $)+\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{i}+\text { Oblique Object } \\ i+\text { Complement }\end{array}\right\}\right)$

### 4.7.1 Direct object

The direct object of a transitive verb, in Amari, is not marked in any way other than position, which is directly following the verb.


In this sentence, car is the direct object of the first verb compound and me is the direct object of the second verb compound. They both follow directly after the verb they are related to, and are not marked in any other way.

### 4.7.2 Indirect object

The indirect object follows the direct object, and is usually preceded by da to.

```
e.g. sifu da i- rim rais da ti da biskit da ara\etaan ampi -gan
    night Time.M. Real. give rice and tea and biscuit to him guest 3Poss.II
    At night, (they) gave rice and tea and biscuits to his guests.
```

However, when the indirect object is a third person pronoun, certain verbs must be followed by the auxiliary verb rut and the oblique object in.

```
e.g. dzi i- ni nan da agu
    I Real. say talk to you
    I said it to you.
```

```
    aga na- rim nam ga -dan da agam
    we(Exc.) Hort. give food eat. Part. to you(Pl.)
    We will give you (plural) food.
cf. Rami i- ni nan rut in
    Rami Real. say talk be together Obl.Obj.
    Romi talked with him
    mama? majan i- rim rut in
    child a Real. give be together Obl.Obj.
    Some child gave (it) to him.
Some verbs take in or gin after them, as a direct object, for example, rigant
hear, gut ask, and fis tell
e.g. dzi i rigant in
    I Real. hear Obl.Obj.
    I hear/heard it.
    wa- gut in da na- fis in da agu
    Imp. ask Obl.Obj. and Hort. tell Obl.Obj. to you(S.)
    Ask him and he will tell you (it).
```


### 4.7.3 Oblique object

The oblique object, that is, the object of a verb that is further removed from the verb than the direct or indirect objects, is marked by the particle i, which has the forms in or gin, when the oblique object is a third person pronoun. In the latter case, in or gin incorporates the $i$ marker with the pronoun object.

The English gloss of $i$ can vary considerably. It can mean of', about, because of, in order to, as a consequence of, with, and many other things. For example: i :
aga $i^{-}$ba munti da aga $i$ - ran $i \quad g a \eta ?$
we (Exc.) Real. come stand and we (Exc.) Real. cry Obl.Obj.M. lPoss.II
unar -aŋ?
house. lposs.II
We come and stood (there) and cried for our house.
dzi i- is gai i bagi -n?
I Real. hit tree Obl.Obj.M. hand. 1Poss.I
I hit the tree with my hand.
sagat fain i- fan da i- is nam wasa? i ntio?
woman some Real. go and Real. hit thing inside Obl.Obj.m. dom up.
-a mpui gin
Part. water Obl.Obj.
Some women go and put the things inside in order to dom up the water
with them.
rib fainmara -n i- fur i mup?a-ba gamp
people some eye 3Poss.I Real. think Obl.Obj.M. Fut. come village
Some people think about coming back to the village.
aga na- mun? $i \quad$ Banabas $i$ - mamp
we (Exc.) Hort. go back Obl.Obj.M. Banabas Real. die
We should go back because Banabas has died.
in and gin.
 or plural object, and thus become, for example, with it, because of him, about him, for them, and so on. These could be analysed as i plus a third person suffix -n. This would correspond to the third person possessive suffix -n. One finds gin occurring after a vowel, or after [ $n$ ] or [ O ], and in after all other consonants. When in or gin are used, usually the referent of the third person pronoun has already been mentioned, and in and gin provide a short way of referring back to it, i.e. it is an anaphoric referent. For example:
in:
...da aga i- gut in agam i- ba i wai
... and we (Exc.) Real. ask Obl.Obj.: you(Pl.) Real. come Obl.Obj.M. what
... and we asked them: what did you come for?
rib idzun i- yu gur igi i- wa? da i- adzu? i
they Real. take pot Dem. Real. go out and Real. wash Obl.Obj.M.
su -da nam in
cook. Part. food Obl.Obj.
They took the pots out and washed them in order to cook food in them.
There appears to be a stylistic preference for gin over in. When in is indicated, speakers often use igi (Demonstrative, this, these) after the word ending in a consonant or [ $n / \eta$ ] and then gin can be used after igi.
e.g. sagat $i$ - yu maŋuf da maradzuaŋ da i- gira sasiŋ? woman Real. take Bixa sp. and turmeric and Real. decorate grass-skirt
igi gin
Dem. Obl.Obj.
The women take Bixa and turmeric and decorate the grass-skirts with them.
One informant explained that 'it sounds better' to say sasin? igi gin than sasin? in, although either is correct. Similarly:

Marakus i- yu dzaf da i- fapa uwir
Marakus Real. take fire and Real. set fire to dry banana leaves
igi gin
Dem. Obl.Obj.
Marakus took some fire and set fire to the dry banana leaves with it.
gin:
ribigi i- yu bugum da marjuf da i- gira ruan gin
they Real. take clay and Bixa and Real. decorate Refl. with it
They take clay and Bixa seeds and decorate themselves with it.
...da aga nan -gan? atsuf -an da nam gin? -a gin
... and we(Exc.) thing lPoss.I wear Part. and thing sleep. Part. in them
igi santan ima?
Dem. all Neg.
...and we had nothing to wear and nothing to sleep in, it was all gone.

There are certain verbs in Amari which must take in/gin as an obligatory object. These verbs cannot occur without gin.

```
e.g. patam -a gin to turn something back-to-front
    umat -a gin to remove something from something else, e.g. a stick
    stuck in the ground
    pitam -a gin to turn something upside-down
    untap -a gin to drag something along with something else
    parim -a gin to turn something around; to change the direction of
    something
    tapu -da gin to throw something onto something else, e.g. salt into a pot
The addition of gin to a verb may transform the meaning of the verb, e.g.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
mu -dan & fall over cf. mu -da gin & to set a trap for someone \\
mpa -dan & sit down & & mpa -da gin \\
tsaman isru? & know sit down on something
\end{tabular}
```

Thus gin can add an instrumental element to the verb, or a causative sense.

### 4.8 The complement

Amari complementation can take several forms. The simplest form is where the verb 'to be' takes a noun phrase as a complement. This relationship of 'something is something' is usually expressed by the use of 'verbal adjectives' (see 3.2.6.2), and these are all stative verbs. When being used as the verb of a sentence, these verb roots take one or more of the usual tense/aspect prefixes. e.g. iyam i- mais
dog Real. bad
The dog is bad.
nam igi ru- sasus
food Dem. Cont. hot
This food is hot.
is -a garam funub i- mais
hit. Part. man dead Real. bad
To kill people is bad.
Intransitive verbs and stative verbs can take a sentence as complement, but this complement must take the complement marker $i$ before it, and the verb of the complement sentence then becomes expressed in the participial form, that is, verb root + participial suffix -a/-an/-da/-dan.
e.g. anun?- i- daum is -a garam funub u

Neg. Real. good Compl.M. hit. Part. man dead Neg.
It is not good to kill people.
i- daum i yi? -a mpui
Real. good Compl.M. swim. Part. water
It is good to swim.
Some verbs take an obligatory object which may be a noun phrase or a verb phrase, and these must be preceded by i. Some verbs, such as ni talk, want, say (unless followed by direct, quoted speech), rijant hear, Zisten, take an
obligatory oblique object, and this has been discussed in 4.7.3. Other verbs which indicate a state of mind or certain emotions (referred to by Pawley as 'psychological verbs' (Pawley 1973)) must be followed by i before a predicate, whether the predicate is a noun or a sentence. Some of these verbs are muaruts believe, think, frip be surprised at, rat be afraid of. Metaphors which refer to such emotions and states of mind are also subject to this condition, e.g. rini -n i-pa? be fed up with something (literally one's skin rejects), mara -n i-ari love somebody (literally one's eyes spear) and many more.

```
e.g. dzi i- frip i araja -gan rab -a nifu -n
    I Real. be surprised Compl.m. he 3Poss.II cut. Part. mouth 3Poss.I
    I was surprised at his cutting his lip.
```

    ma- rat i iyam ma?
    Imp.Neg. be afraid Compl.M. dog Imp.Neg.
    Do not be afraid of dogs.
    Maria rini -n i-pa? \(i \quad\) rim -a mani da Anis
    Maria be fed up with Compl.m. give. Part. money to Anis
    Maria is fed up with giving money to Anis.
    
### 4.9 Location

The particle $i$ also serves as a location marker. When the sense of the location is simply at, then $i$ is used. It cannot be used to indicate where someone has gone, but only to express a static relationship between the subject and the verb. For locating something in a specific position in relation to something else, other location markers can be used, such as prepositions, or verbs in which the location or direction is understood.
i as a locative marker:
dzi i- rut fata $-\eta^{?}$ i- mpa i- min? i
I Real. be together sister-in-low lposs.I Real. stay Real. stay Loc.
gan unar -an
3Poss.II house. 3Poss.II
My sister-in-law and I stayed at her house.
rina - $\quad$ ? i- api刀? Rufi? i unar igi
mother. lPoss.I Real. bear Rufil Loc. house Dem.
My mother gave birth to Rufi? at that house.

| aga santan $i-$ | fa munti i mpui |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we (Exc.) all Real. go stand Loc. water |  |

We all went and stood at the river.
dzi i- taŋin mudzuk rai $i \quad g u m a s a$
I Real. leave knife behind Loc. garden
I left the knife behind in the garden.
mama? igi i- kup i sagat igi unar -an
child Dem. Real. hide Loc. woman Dem. house 3Poss.
The child hid at that woman's house.

Many verbs in Amari have either direction (if verbs of motion) or location understood in them, for example, fa go is not only go but go to.

```
e.g. dzi i- ni }\mp@subsup{}{}{5}\mathrm{ fa -da Rai
    I Real. want go. Part. Lae
I want to go to Lae.
```

The root mpa stay, sit means stay at, sit on.

```
e.g. wa- mpa tsitsu?
    Imp. sit mat
    Sit down on the mat.
```

Thus, $i$ as locative marker is only necessary with verbs which do not have location understood, as in the examples above, apin? give birth to, munti stand, kup hide. However, i can sometimes be used after fa for emphasis or style.

```
e.g. agi fa -da i nambis
    we(Inc.) go. Part. Loc. beach
    Let us go to the beach.
```

The location phrase always occurs at the end of the sentence, and can sometimes be separated by many elements of speech from the word or phrase to which it refers.

```
e.g. aga i- ata\eta? i rab -a gai i Simon
    we(Exc.) Real. go upstream Obl.Obj.M. cut. Part. tree Obl.Obj.M. Simon
```

    unar -an i Bribris
    house. 3poss.II Loc. Bribris
    We went upstream in order to cut trees at Bribris for Simon's house.
    The locative marker $i$ in the sentence above refers to the trees, gai, and not to
unar house.

The direction of actions is often expressed, as said before, by the verb root. Usually there is a specific verb which describes the action which in English might be translated by a phrasal verb, a collocation of verb + adverb or verb + preposition.

```
e.g. ata\eta go inside, go upstream
    wa? go outside
    fafub go downstrecom
    tani turn around, turn head around
    ru? go down (e.g. down mountain)
    yab goup (e.g.up mountain)
    wafi? go around
    sari walk beside the edge of
    gru? take something off (e.g. clothes)
```


## OTHER PREPOSITIONS

There are several other prepositions which indicate location, besides i. Some of these are:
da to, towards.

```
e.g. araŋan i- yuy i- fa da unar
```

he Real. walk Real. go towards house
He walked towards the house.
sinup? oway from, out of, without.
e.g. dzi i- fa sinup? unar

I Real. go oway from house
I walked away from the house.
The location of an action expressed in a verb can often be in the form
Noun + Attribute, for example:
arajan i- fa ugar wasa?
he Real. go house middle
He went into the inside of the house.
unas i- min? tirian? wagu?
sweet potato Real. stay bed platform on top of
The sweet potato is on top of the bed platform.
dzi i- yun wasa? i unar
I Real. walk middle Loc. house
I walked between the houses.
In the first sentence, ufar wasa? means house middle, and is actually a compound of two nouns, ufar and wasa?. Similarly, tirian? wagun? means bed platform the top of, and is also a compound of two nouns. By moving these around one can achieve a different meaning, as in the third sentence, with wasa? i unar middle of the houses: i.e. the space between the houses.

### 4.10 Time phrases

Time phrases can occur either at the beginning or at the end of a sentence. When a time phrase occurs at the beginning of a sentence, it is followed by da, which can be translated in this usage as and then. The rest of the sentence then follows da. (I will call da Time Marker, in this usage.)
e.g. gubu? majan da dzi bun?a- fan
sun a Time M. I Fut. go
I will go one day.
Suntai iru?run sib ida agi na- bri? pinat
Sunday two Compl.I Compl.II Time M. we(Inc.) Hort. pick peanut
In two weeks' time we will pick peanuts.
ukam bitsinta? i- fa sib da dzi anup? i- tsanan garam
moon one Real. go Compl.I Time M. I Neg. Real. see man
igi u
Dem. Neg.
I have not seen that man for one month.
udzuf iru?run na- ntsup da dzi na- fa Madang
season two Hort. finish Time M. I Hort. go Madang
In two years' time I will go to Madang.
When the time phrase occurs at the end of a sentence, it is preceded by da.

```
e.g. arajan i- ba da mai? ugu
    he Real. come Time M. yesterday
    He come yesterday.
```

rib igi bup?a- fa gamp da tata?
they Fut. go village Time m. tomorrow
They will go to the village tomorrow.
In both of these examples, the meaning remains the same when the time phrase is placed at the beginning of the sentence:

```
mai? ugu da araŋan i- ba
yesterday Time M. he Real. come
Yesterday he come.
tata? da ribigi bur?a- fa gamp
tomorrow Time M. they Fut. go village
Tomorrow they will go to the village.
```

The only difference discernible is one of emphasis.
These time phrases all set the time of reference of the action expressed by the verb and its particles. Tense and aspect marking agree with the time expressed in the time phrase.
e.g. ukam bitsinta? na- ntsup da aranan na- bu- ba
moon one Hort. finish Time M. he Hort. Repet. come
After one month, he will come back again.
In this sentence, the tense/aspect marking on the two verbs, ntsup finish, and ba come, is by na-, Hortative prefix, which has a future connotation. This agrees with the time phrase ukam bitsinta? na-ntsup one month should be finished.... Also, for example:
arajan bur- i- fa da mai?
he Perf. Real. go Time M. yesterday
He only left yesterday.
In this sentence, the tense/aspect markers are bup- Perfective, and i-Realis, which correspond to the time phrase, da mai? yesterday.

Time phrases used as greeting seem to have been introduced by Europeans, and follow European patterns. Previously, according to old Amari informants, the only greetings used were of the 'Where are you going?', 'I am going to the garden, where are you going?' variety. Nowadays people use the introduced forms as well as the old forms.

The times of the day, as divided up by the Amari, are as follows:

```
tata? morning
gubu? day (literally sun)
nam nufan afternoon
idzian? evening
sifu night
```

All of these time phrases can be used with bini good following them (except gubu?), as a greeting or farewell, e.g. tata? bini good morning, or sifu bini good night. These words can also be used as temporal phrases in sentences.
e.g. tata? maratait da dzi bup?a- fa Nadzab
morning early Time M. I Fut. go Nadzab
Early in the morning I will go to Nadzab.

```
rib igi santan i- wa? da i- ari mint da sifu i-
they all Real. come up and Real. hit song Time M. night Real.
sa\eta? gubu? pis -an
be enough sun dawn. Part.
They all come up and sang songs into the night, until the day downed.
Common time phrases used as time reference in sentences are as follows:
mai? yesterday
mai? ugu yesterday
mai? manan day before yesterday
mai? Tundi the day before yesterday, Tuesday
tata? tomorrow
tata? majan the day after tomorrow
aruani now
rai i later
Fraidi manan a Friday in the future
Fraidi bampan ugu Friday last week
Fraidi majan ugu next Friday
gubu? mayan some day
```

Weeks are reckoned as 'Sundays', since the advent of Europeans and their seven-day week. Months are calculated usually as 'moons', either buramp or ukam. A year is now called udzuf. The word udzuf means season, and most things in the natural environment of the Amari have a season of their own - fruit, trees, vegetables, animals, rains, winds, and it can also be used metaphorically to refer to men.
e.g. garam igi udzuf -an i- ntsup da i- mamp
man Dem. season. 3Poss.II Real. finish and Real. die
This man's time finished and he died.
Nowadays, udzuf is used to refer to the European-introduced calendar year.
e.g. udzuf iru?run rai $i$ da agi na- fa gamp
season two later Compl.II and we(Inc.) Hort. go village
In two years' time we will go to the village.

### 4.11 Negation

### 4.11.1 Simple negation and refusal

The simplest form of negation in Amari is the word no, which can be either ima? or namu. Namu is a specifically Amari word, and often people from other Adzera-speaking areas recognise the Amari by their use of namu for no instead of ima? which occurs in all other Adzera areas as a simple negative. In Amari itself, the two can be used interchangeably.

Namu and ima? can be said alone, as a straight-out refusal, or as a negative reply to a question.

For example an order to a child might be:

```
wa- fa yi? -a mpui
Imp. go swim. Part. water
Go and swim/wash!
```

And the child, if he dared, might answer:
namu
no
No!

Or a question might be:
u ni ga -da biskit you(s.) want eat. Part. biscuit Would you like a biscuit?

Reply:
ima? dzi i- bugin biskit
Neg. I Real. not like biscuit
No, I do not like biscuits.

### 4.11.2 Negation of noun phrase

Namu and ima? can also be used to negate a noun or noun phrase. This is not often heard in speech as a discrete unit, but more often tagged at the end of a sentence, for emphasis.
e.g. da aga nan -gan? atsuf -an da nam gin? -a gin and we (Exc.) thing. lPoss.II wear. Part. and thing sleep. Part. Obl.Obj.
igi santan ${ }^{6}$ ima?
Dem. all Neg.
...and our clothes and our things to sleep on, all gone.
Santan ima?, literally all no, is used at the end of this sentence, which was about a fire which destroyed someone's house, and she was describing how there was nothing left after the fire.

The following sentence does not contain any verb. The negative namu negates the two head nouns.
iyam da ifab namu garam gar rup -gan aru
dog and pig Neg. man true Refl. 3poss. II only No dogs or pigs. Only men by themselves.

This would most likely be in reply to a question, such as 'Were there any dogs or pigs there?'. Another question which would elicit a negative noun phrase as answer is, for example:
u naru $-n^{2}$-gam i- bi anur?
you(S.) child 2poss.I 2Poss.I Real. be like how much
How many children do you have?
Reply:
mama? namu
child Neg.
No children.

### 4.11.3 Negation of verb phrase

The verb phrase is negated more commonly than the noun phrase. The form of negation is quite different for the verb phrase, consisting of a verb prefix anup?- before the verb, and an optional, free particle u at the end of the sentence. This form of negation is applied to all verbs which are marked for all tenses and moods, except Imperative and Hortative, which have a different form of negation. This consists of ma- as a prefix on the verb in place of the Imperative wa- or the Hortative prefix na-, and ma? as a free morpheme after the verb or at the end of the sentence. This morpheme is obligatory (see Table 5).

Table 5: Amari negative verb affixes and particles

|  | Verbal <br> Prefixes | Verb <br> Root | Suffixes | Post-Verbal <br> Particles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General <br> Negation <br> Neg. Particles |  |  |  |  |
| anup?- <br> Imp. wa- <br> and <br> Hort. na-) |  | All | All | (u) |
| Negation of <br> Imp. (wa-) <br> and <br> Hort. (na-) | ma- <br> (wa- and <br> na- only) |  | None | All |

Negative morphemes anun?- and $u$.

```
e.g. dzi anup?- i- sa\eta? rim -a u sib u
    I Neg. Real. be enough help. Part. you(S.) Compl.I Neg.
    I am not able to help you.
```

When the action being negated is one which should or will occur in the future, the future tense prefix bun?a-/mun?a- is dropped, and the realis prefix i- is used. However, time marking is still present in the time phrase, e.g.
tatar da $u$ anup?-i- sap? fa -da taun u
tomorrow Time M. you(S.) Neg. Real. be enough go. Part. town Neg.
Tomorrow you will not be able to go to town.
When there are two sentences either joined by a conjunction, or merely separated by a pause, and the tense marking of both verbs is future, when one is negated the second verb retains the future tense marking.
e.g. arajan anup?- i- saŋ? samur -a gamp da fits -a she Neg. Real. be enough sweep. Part. village and carry. Part.
gai u arajan bup?a- sasa? apu
wood Neg. she Fut. walk around only
She will not be able to sweep the village and carry firewood, she will only walk around.

When verbs in the future tense are being negated, it also seems that the verb san? be able, be enough, is preferred as an auxiliary, before the main verb of the sentence. There appears to be a reluctance about making negative statements about the future. The statements are either put into the form of 'not able to do something', with i-san? used as verb auxiliary, or the statements are changed to the potential form, using the potential prefix ma-.

Other tense/aspect/mood markers on the verb are used with the anur?- u negative forms.
e.g. with bu- repetitive:
dzi anup?- bu- fa -dan. u
$I$ Neg. Repet. go. Part. Neg.
I cannot go again.
with ru- continuous:
rib igi anup?- ru- mpai u
they Neg. Cont. stay Neg.
They are not still there.
with participle -a/-an/-da/-dan:
arajan anup?- rat gin u
he Neg. be afraid. Part. Obl.Obj. Neg.
He is not afraid of it.

### 4.11.4 'Neither/nor'

When two negative alternatives are presented in one sentence, and the two elements, either nouns, verbs or sentences are joined by da and, the first negative morpheme anup?- is placed before the first element, and the last negative morpheme $u$ comes at the end of the whole sentence.

```
e.g. mun? ugu da sagat anu!?- i- ga was da i- is
    a long time ago Time M. woman Neg. Real. eat lime and Real. hit
    pau? u
    tobacco Neg.
    A long time ago, women neither chewed betel nut nor smoked tobacco.
    araŋan anu\eta?- i- yum rairai i- fa gum asa da mpui da
    he Neg. Real. walk anywhere Real. go garden and water and
    umpa u
    mountain garden Neg.
    He does not go anywhere, neither to the garden, nor to the river, nor
        to the mountain garden.
```


### 4.11.5 Negation of imperative and hortative

When a negative order is given, or the hortative 'I should, we must' etc. is expressed in the negative, a different pair of negative morphemes is used. With imperative, the wa- prefix is replaced by ma- and the other part of the morpheme, ma? comes after the verb, or at the end of the sentence.
e.g. ma- mpa ru? ma?

Imp.Neg. sit go down Imp.Neg.
Do not sit down!
ma- fan ma?
Imp.Neg. go Imp.Neg.
Do not go!
With the hortative, the na- prefix is dropped, and ma- replaces it.
e.g. dzi ma- bu- fan ma?

I Imp.Neg. Repet. go Imp.Neg.
I should/must not go again.
agi ma- fan da na- $u$ nam igi ma?
we (Inc.) Imp.Neg. go and Hort. get food Dem. Imp.Neg.
We should not go and get food there.

## 5. SOME ASPECTS OF SYNTAX

### 5.1 Introduction

This section discusses three aspects of Amari syntax which have not been treated so far. They are Questions, 'If' Clauses, and Co-ordination of Clauses.

### 5.2 Questions

In Amari, there is no particular question form or change in sentence structure for questions. Unless one of the question words is being used, the only indication that a question is being asked is the intonation on the sentence, which is a rising intonation up to the second from last word or syllable, and then a sharp drop in intonation on the last word or syllable.
e.g. tata? da bun?a- fa taun
tomorrow Time M. you(S.) Fut. go town
Will you go to town tomorrow?
u bugin i rut -a rib aga fa -da mpui
you not like Obl.Obj.M. go with. Part. them Dem. go. Part. water Don't you want to go to the river with the others?

Questions are often posed in the form i-san? + pronoun + verb, can he...? or can you...?
e.g. i- sap? u rim -a dzi sib Real. be enough you(S.) help. Part. me Compl.I Can you help me?

The question is always said with the interrogative intonation.

### 5.2.1 Question words

There are two common question words used in Amari questions. These are wai which is used like an adjective or a pronoun, and usually follows nouns, and anu?? which acts in adverbial manner, usually following the verb bi be like, thus.

### 5.2.1.1 Time questions

Questions about time can be in two forms. The first is using wai as an adjective after a time noun, and the rules for forming time phrases apply to time questions as well. At the beginning of a sentence, time phrases must be followed by da, and at the end of a sentence they must be preceded by da. It often occurs as noun $+i d z u^{7}+w a i$.

```
e.g. gubu? idzu wai da agi fa -dan
    sun Partic. Q. Time M. we(Inc.) go. Part.
    When/what day will we go?
```

    gubu? mara -n idzu wai da aranan fa -da i
    sun face 3Poss.I Partic. Q. Time M. he go. Part. Obl.Obj.M.
    atan -an
    start. Part.
    What time will he go and start?
    arajan i- ba wa da gubu? idzu wai
    he Real. come Compl.II Time M. sun Partic. Q.
    When did he arrive?
    anup? can also be used in time questions, following the verb bi be like,
    thus. This must also be followed by da when it occurs at the beginning of a
sentence, and preceded by da when it occurs at the end of a sentence.
e.g. udzuf bi -da anup? da aranan ntsup -an season be like. Part. Q. Time M. he finish. Part. What year will he finish?
gubur i- bi anup? da ribigi i- ba wa
sun Real. be like Q . Time M. they Real. come Compl.II
When did they come?
rib idzun fa -dan da udzuf bi -da anu??
they Partic. go. Part. Time M. season be like Part. Q.
What year did they go?
A reply to any of these questions would also be in the form using a time phrase.
e.g. gubu? bi -da ani da araŋan bur?a- fa gamp
sun be like. Part. Dem. Time M. he Fut. go village
On a day like this (e.g. Monday) he will go to the village.

### 5.2.1.2 Location questions

anun? can also be used as a question word in questions about location. It is used as an adverb, and always occurs after the verb, as do all locative phrases. When the sentence is a question, the interrogative intonation is used.
e.g.u gabu -m -gam i- nan gum anup?
you(S.) husband. 2Poss.I 2Poss.II Real. make work $Q$.
Where does your husband work?
rib Waridzian kar -an fa -da anu!?
people Waridzian car 3Poss.II go. Part. Q.
Where did the Waridzian people's car go?
nam aga i- yai? i- mio? anu??
thing Dem. Real. cry out Real. stay Q .
Where did that thing cry out?
$u$ rama -m -gam i- mpa anu??
you(S.) father. 2Poss.I 2Poss.I Real. stay Q.
Where is your father?
With future tense, 'where' questions have a time phrase at the beginning, but the verb is in the Realis tense or in the participial form. The future prefix bun?a- is not used, and the future connotation comes from the time phrase. However, the hortative prefix na- may be used instead.
e.g. tata? da rib Waridzian fa -da anup?
tomorrow Time M. people Waridzian go. Part. Q.
Where will the Waridzian people go tomorrow?
bun?a- pis tata? da rib idzun na- fa anup?
Fut. down tomorrow Time M. people Partic. Hort. go Q.
Where will they go tomorrow morning?

### 5.2.1.3 'Which' questions

wai can be used after nouns or noun phrases as an adjective meaning which, to specify which one out of a range of things is meant. bi + anup? can also be used in this way. It often follows idzu.
e.g. kar idzu wai naga (i-) ba
car Partic. Q. Dem. come
Which car is that coming?
dzi yu -da gai idzu wai
I take. Part. tree Partic. Q.
Which tree should I take?
When wai or idzu wai is reduplicated after a noun, it means which kinds of these things?

```
e.g. dzi yu -da gai idzu wai idzu wai
    I take. Part. tree Partic. Q. Partic. Q.
    Which kinds of trees should I take?
    dzi yu -da gai wai a wai
    I take. Part. tree Q. Q.
    Which kinds of trees should I take?
```

```
or: dzi rab -a gai idzu wai a wai
    I cut. Part. tree Partic. Q. Q.
    What kind of tree should I cut?
'Which' questions using bi + anup?:
    dzi rab -a gai bi -da anum?
    I cut. Part. tree be like. Part. Q.
    What sort of tree should I cut?
    rib igi fa -da unar bi -da anu\eta?
    they go. Part. house be like. Part. Q.
    Which house did they go to?
```


### 5.2.1.4 Questions about instrument and manner

wai can be used as a question word in questions about manner or instrument, when preceded by the instrumental marker (or oblique object marker) i. In this case, idzu wai is used as an adjective, following a noun.
e.g. araŋan saf -a i nam idzu wai
he cut. Part. Instru.M. thing Partic. Q.
What did he cut it with?
rib idzun i- wa? it sanampun idzu wai
they Partic. Real. come up Instr.m. road Partic. Q.
Which road did they come up by?
bi + anup? can also be used in this sense, but then two sentences are used, joined by da and. In the first sentence, bi + anup? is used adverbially, and an oblique object in or gin must occur in the second sentence, with its reference back to the subject of the first sentence.

```
e.g. dzi adzu\eta? -a bru? bi -da anum? da dzi i- nta\eta
    I roll Part. bark rope be like. Part. Q. and I Real. weave
    tayap in
    net-bag Obl.Obj.
    How should I roll the rope, so that I can make a net-bag out of it?
```


### 5.2.1.5 'Why' questions

Questions asking for reasons for actions are also put into the form $\mathbf{i}+$ wai, so wai in this case is used as a noun, or pronoun. The phrase can be translated as because of what?. The phrase always comes after the verb, and after any other objects of that verb.
e.g.u rab -a gai igi i wai
you(S.) cut. Part. tree Dem. Obl.Obj.M. Q.
Why did you cut that tree?
rib idzun $i$ - mpi? ruan $i \quad$ wai
they Partic. Real. argue Refl. Obl.Obj.M. Q.
Why are they arguing with each other?
kiap i- ni rib idzun fa -dan $i \quad$ wai
kiap Real. say they Partic. go. Part. Obl.Obj.M. Q.
Why did the kiap tell them to go?
However, if the question is negative, e.g. 'Why did you/he not...?' then the sentence must be in the form bi + anup? da + sentence with the negation on the verb.

```
e.g. i- bi anum? da rib idzun anum?- i- ba u
    Real. be like Q. and they Partic. Neg. Real. come Neg.
    Why didn't they come?
    i- bi anum? da rib igi anum?- i- nam nam u
    Real. be like Q. and they Neg. Real. cook food Neg.
    Why have they not cooked the food yet?
```


### 5.2.1.6 Negative questions

Negative marking on questions does not occur. A question which is in a negative sense is put in the form bi + anun? da and then the action which is negative is put into a co-ordinating sentence, with the usual negative morphemes marking the verb, as described in the previous section. Otherwise, verbs which have a negative sense understood in them are used, with a question word.

```
e.g.u bugin ga -da yafas i wai
    you(S.) not like eat. Part. fish Obl.Obj.M. Q.
    Why don't you like to eat fish?
```


### 5.2.1.7 Other question forms

majan can be used as a question word, meaning which or who. It occurs in its usual place in a sentence, as an indefinite pronoun marker after a noun, or can stand alone as a pronoun, and is accompanied by an interrogative intonation over the whole sentence.

```
e.g. garam manan igi i- ba
man which Dem. Real. come
Which man is that coming?
```

mayan i- rab gai igi
who Real. cut tree Dem.
Who cut this tree?
dzi gut -a i majan
I ask. Part. Obl.Obj.M. who
Whom should I ask?
maŋa -gan
who 3Poss.II
Whose is it?
manan iyam -an
who dog 3Poss.II
Whose dog is it?

There are several other short question forms which are often heard. These are as follows:
$u$ mantun igi
you(s.) do what Dem.
What are you doing there?
i- mantun
Real. do what
What is it? OR What is he doing?
u ni wai
you(S.) say Q.
What did you say?
nam idzu wai
thing Partic. Q.
What is it?

## 5.3 'If' clauses

### 5.3.1 Introduction

'If' clauses have come into existence in the Adzera language since the coming of mission personnel from the coastal Yabim-speaking areas, in the early l920s. Before this, according to K. Holzknecht, Adzera had no 'if' clause of the type one hears today, and the Yabim speakers invented it after their own model, using Adzera forms which already existed.

### 5.3.2 bi be like

Nowadays one constructs 'if' clauses using the verb bi be like, thus, in the participial form at the beginning of the 'if' clause, and the following clause is joined to it by da and.
e.g. bi -da gami? ni -da mu -dan da agi anup? i-
be like. Part. rain want. Part. fall. Part. and we(Inc.) Neg. Real.
saŋ? fa -da makit
be enough go. Part. market
If it rains we will not be able to go to the market.
bi -da Yambutau na- ni ni -da nifu -n da aga
be like. Part. Yombutau Hort. like say. Part. mouth. 3Poss.I and we (Exc.)
na- fa gamp
Hort. go village
If Yombutau says so, then we will go to the village.
The bi + participle form can also occur after the subject of the 'if' clause.
e.g. kiap bi -da ba -dan da agi anup?-i- sap?
kiap be like. Part. come. Part. and we(Inc.) Neg. Real. be enough
fa -da gum
go. Part. garden
If the kiap comes, then we will not be able to go to the garden.

However, the previous sentence can be said without the bi - da construction, and this is probably more like the original Adzera way of saying it, using the hortative prefixes in both clauses.
e.g. Yambutau na- ni ni -da nifu -n da aga na- fa gamp Yombutau Hort. like say. Part. mouth. 3Poss.I and we (Exc.) Hort. go village If Yombutar say so, then we will go to the village.

The clauses in this sentence are equivalent and the bi - da construction is unnecessary.

### 5.3.3 Negation of 'if' clauses

When the 'if' clause carries a negative action, the negation is done through the use of gaba $i$, a particle which is difficult to describe because it acts like a verb, in the verb position, but does not take any verbal prefixes or suffixes. It is always followed by $i$ before its object, whether the object is a noun or pronoun or a verb phrase.
e.g. dzi gaba $i \quad b i a ? ~-a ~ s u \eta ~ d a ~ d z i ~ m u a \eta i t s ~ b u \eta ? a-~ r u t ~$ $I$ do not obl.obj.m. go Part. quick and $I$ hungry Fut. be together If I do not go soon, I will be hungry.
bi -da sagat aga gaba i gari -da gum da gabu be like. Part. woman Dem. do not Obl.Obj.M. weed. Part. garden and husband.
-n -gan bur?a- is
3Poss.I. 3Poss.I Fut. hit
If that woman does not weed the garden, then her husband will hit her.

### 5.4 Co-ordination of clauses - clauses joined by conjunctions da, ma and da bitsinta?

### 5.4.1 da and

da and is the most common conjunction, and is used as a co-ordinator to join two sentences. If the subject of the second sentence is the same as the subject of the first, then the subject of the second is omitted.
e.g. ribigi i- fan da rib tsira? tsira? fain igi i- mpa yaur they Real. go and people big big some Dem. Real. sit shade They go, and some of the older women sit in the shade.
sagat igi i- ba wa da ris igi da i- gints yafas woman Dem. Real. come Compl.I to dom Dem. and Real. distribute fish
igi da ruan
Dem. to Refl.
The women came up to the dom, and then (they) divided up the fish among themselves.

### 5.4.2 ma or

ma or, is also used as a co-ordinator, between two clauses.

```
e.g.u muaruts i ga -da unas ma u muaruts
    you(S.) like Obl.Obj.M. eat. Part. sweet potato or you(S.) like
    i ga -da umant
    Obl.Ojb.M. eat. Part. taro
    Do you like to eat sweet potato or do you like to eat taro?
```

    araŋan na- yab i gai aga bini ma araŋan na- yab da na-
    he Hort. go up Loc. tree Dem. good or he Hort. go up and Hort.
    ru? -a
    go down. Part.
    He will either climb the tree well, or he will go up and fall down.
    When two sentences are joined by ma and have the same subject, the second
    subject cannot be left unstated, as it can when clauses are joined by da. The
subject has to be given in full for both first and second clauses.

### 5.4.3 da bitsinta? but

```
e.g. dzi i- nan i fa -da taun da bitsinta? gami? i- mui
    I Real. like Obl.Obj.M. go. Part. town but rain Real. fall
```

    I wanted to go to town, but it rained.
    aranan i- rim nam ga -dan da dzi da bitsinta? dzi i- bugin
    he Real. give food eat. Part. to me but \(I\) Real. not like
    He gave me some food, but I did not like it.
    aga i- nan i gin? -a marampru? da bitsinta?
    we (Exc.) Real. want Obl.Obj.M. sleep. Part. Iie but
    mpui ragiamas i- nan aga mara -ク? i- ta? da aga
    water splashing Real. make we (Exc.) eye. lPoss.I Real. wake and we (Exc.)
    i- mpai
    Real. stay
    We wanted to sleep, but the water's splashing kept us owake and we stayed
    like that.
    
## NOTES

1. Here is a list of abbreviations and symbols used in the text.

Real.
S.

Pl.
Inc.
Exc.
Part.
Obl.Obj.M. Oblique Object Marker
Poss.I Possessive I
Poss.II Possessive II
Poss.III Possessive III
lPoss. First Person Possessive 2Poss. Second Person Possessive 3Poss. Third Person Possessive

Imp.
Refl.
Cont. Continuative Aspect
Dem. Demonstrative
Neg. Negative
Fut. Future Tense Marker
Hort. Hortative Mood
Obl.Obj. Oblique Object
Pot. Potential Aspect
CF. Contrary-to-fact Aspect
Perf. Perfective Aspect
Repet. Repetitive Aspect Compl.I Completive I Particle

| Compl.II | Completive II Particle | V. | Verb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compl.M. | Complement Marker | NP | Noun Phrase |
| Time M. | Time Marker | VP | Verb Phrase |
| Imp.Neg. | Imperative Negative | Partic. | Participialiser |
| Q. | Question Word | Hort.Int. | Hortative Intensifier |
| Instr.M. | Instrumental Marker | Imp.Int. | Imperative Intensifier |
| Conj. | Conjunction | Compl.Int. | Completive Intensifier |
| N. | Noun | Neg.Imp. | Negative Imperative |

2. For a complete list of Amari kinship terms, see Appendix III.
3. The reduction of mpui water to mpu is common when mpui the first word is a noun compound, for example:
```
mpu maran
water eye
hole dug in river to find water
mpu fagan
water leg
tributary of a stream, etc.
```

4. The prefix $n$ - as used here could perhaps be related to the third person possessive suffix $-n$ (see 3.1.2).
5. ni want $=n i$ say.
6. See 3.2.2 for quantifiers.
7. The word idzu or idzun appears to be some sort of particulariser, and to mean that one, or those ones. It occurs after the third person plural pronoun rib, and after nouns referring to people, e.g. garam man, sagat woman, mama? child.

## APPENDIX I

1.0 Text of Amari story: Kapumigan raba nifungan.
Gubu? marjan dzi da rai -ŋ? rusa -1? Kapumi ani da sun $a \quad I$ and brother. lPoss.I Inc.Poss.III. lPoss.I Kapumi Dem. and
Benjamin da Tiasan da Maiamguntu da Kapumi naru -n -gan marub Benjomin and Tiasan and Maiomguntu and Kapumi child. 3Poss.I. 3Poss.I male
tsira? ni -da Rasara gin, aga (i-) ata! i rab -a big say. Part. Rasara Obl.Obj. we (Exc.) (Real.) follow Obl.Obj.M. cut. Part.
gai iya? Simon ußar -an i Bribris. Aga (i-) ga nam tree Obl.Obj.M. Simon house. 3Poss.II Loc. Bribris we (Exc.) (Real.) eat food
burumpir sib da Kapumi da naru -n -gan i- atan i-left-over Compl.I and Kapumi and child. 3Poss.I 3Poss.I Real. follow Real.

| tip sanampun (to) Wajumudan. Da aga |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| prepare path | santan $i-\quad$ fa rab gai. Aga |
| (to |  |

(i-) rab gai sib da nam i- nuf da aga (i-) ru? (Real.) cut tree Compl.I and food Real. be cooked and we (Exc.) (Real.) go down i- ba mpa mpui mup? i rib idzun. Rib idzun iReal. come stay water lead the way Obl.Obj.M. them they Real. ba wa? i- bi nigi da aga (i-) tai nam da aga come come up Real. be like this and we (Exc.) (Real.) cook food and we (Exc.)
(i-) ntio? mpui. Nam idziaŋ? i- bi nigi da aga (i-) (Real.) dom water thing evening Real. be like this and we(Exc.) (Real.) ntan ram da aga (i-) arun? dzaf. Aga (i-) mpai da nam light lomp and we(Exc.) (Real.) light fire we(Exc.) (Real.) stay and thing irioirian i- bi nigi da aga i- yab. Aga yab tauf very dark Real. be like this and we (Exc.) Real. go up we (Exc.) go up stone mais ni -da Waŋumudan gin da aga (i-) fa i- ru? bad say. Part. Warrumudan Obl.Obj. and we (Exc.) (Real.) go Real. go down dzra? Aga i- fa wa? i- bi nigi da aga (i-) upstream we (Exc.) Real. go come up Real. be like this and we (Exc.) (Real.) ntan ram tsira?. Da aga i- pan. Aga i- pan i- atan light lomp big and we (Exc.) Real. fish we (Exc.) Real. fish Real. go in i- fafa da aga i- fa tsana buaruf. Nam fari刀! Da dzi da Real. go go and we (Exc.) Real. go see eel thing huge and $I$ and Kapumi aga (i-) rab. Dzi i- su garugaru i rab -an da Kapumi we (Exc.) (Real.) hit $I$ Real. was anxious Obl.Obj.M. hit. Part. and dzi i- rab untsraf. Dzi i- rab Kapumi i ntio? da ram. $I$ Real. hit missed I Real. hit Kapumi Obl.Obj.M. block off to Zomp

Arun igi da ram bitsinta? anu ru- min? da aga i- ni da Yamin then Dem. and lomp one only Cont. stay and we (Exc.) Real. say to Yamin
da mama? naru -n naru -n Rasara da nam ..... Maiamguntu and child child. 3poss.I child. 3Poss.I Rasara and thing Maiomguntu i mpa -dan. Aga (i-) arup? dzaf rut in ntua?. Obl.Obj.M. stay. Part. we (Exc.) (Real.) light fire be together Obl.Obj. back Gubu? gami? igi da gai i- nufunuf i mpui da dzaf anup?- itime rain Dem. and wood Real. wet Obl.Obj.m. water and fire Neg. Real.
ga bini. Aga ni -gaŋ? dzaf ga -dan gin da aga eat good we (Exc.) thought lposs.II fire eat. Part. Obl.Obj. and we (Exc.)
(i-) atan i- fan. Aga (i-) fawa? wasa? i- bi nigi (Real.) go in Real. go we (Exc.) (Real.) go come up middle Real. be like this da Kapumi i- rab buaruf tsira? da bitsinta? buaruf igi i- rupiat i and Kapumi Real. hit eel big but eel Dem. Real. shake Loc.
rini -n da mudzuk i- mpit $i$ - ba rab Kapumi nifu -n skin. 3Poss.I and knife Real. bounce off Real. come cut Kapumi mouth. 3Poss.I -gan. Arun igi aga nugu -ŋ? i- mais da aga i- ni 3Poss.II then Dem. we (Exc.) liver. lPoss.I Real. bad and we (Exc.) Real. say
da Kapumi: 'Agi anuy?- ru- atan -a fa -dan. Agi gio? -a to Kapumi we(Inc.) Neg. Cont. go in. Part. go. Part. we (Inc.) sleep. Part. aruani'. Aga (i-) fa tsaןa ragibanin isi? aru manan da aga here we (Exc.) (Real.) go see bena of river small there a and we (Exc.)
( $\mathbf{i}-$ ) arup dzaf da aga (i-) mpai. Aga (i-) nar $i$
(Real.) light fire and we (Exc.) (Real.) stay we (Exc.) (Real.) try Obl.Obj.M.
gif? -a marampru? da bitsinta? mpui ragiamas nan aga sleep. Part. down but water splashing Real. make we (Exc.)
mara-n? $\mathrm{n}^{?}$ ta? da aga (i-) mpai. Dzida Tiasan i- tai eye.lPoss.I Real. open and we (Exc.) (Real.) stay $I$ and Tiasan Real. cook buaruf i- gan da Kapumi i- gi门? marampru?. Da arajan i- 门kraf eel Real. eat and Kapumi Real. sleep down and he Real. snore rai ida i- fafub fa da i- ru? da mara-n i- ntap later then Real. drean go and Real. fall down and eye.3poss.I Real. come up ruan da i- ni wasi da agai: 'Agam anup?-giŋ? -a marampru?. Refl. and Real. say strongly to us (Exc.) you(Pl.) Neg. sleep. Part. down

Agi (i-) atar garam muantsi maŋan mpui -gan'. Aga (i-) we(Inc.) (Real.) go in man clan a water. 3Poss.II we (Exc.) (Real.) mpa i- fafa da i- pis wasi agai. Aga bu- nan stay Real. go go and Real. down break strongly we (Exc.) we (Exc.) Perf. do i fafub -a mpui ba -dan da aga (i-) rat i Obl.Obj.M. follow. Part. water come. Part. and we (Exc.) (Real.) afraid Obl.Obj.M.
nam mais tsira? tsira? da aga (i-) yab wap. Aga (i-) thing bad big big then we (Exc.) (Real.) go up forest we (Exc.) (Real.)
yab i- fa sari i- fafa da aga (i-) fa wa? go up Real. go beside forest Real. go go and we (Exc.) (Real.) go come up Binibinikasiab. Da aga (i-) ru? ba Rakiak. Aga (i-) Binibinikasiab and we (Exc.) (Real.) go down come Rakiak. we (Exc.) (Real.) fafub Bintia i- ba dawa? rib igi, Yamin da mama? naru -n follow Bintia Real. come and then they Yamin and child child. 3Poss.I naru -n $i$ Warumudan faga -n. Aga (i-) ba mpa ichild. 3Poss.I Loc. Warjumudan foot. 3poss.I we (Exc.) (Real.) come stay Real. ru? $\mathrm{i}^{-}$ga gana wauf rib idzun tai -dan. Da Yamin i- guru go down Real. eat tapioca they cook. Part. and Yomin Real. chop up buaruf. Nam i- nuf i- bi nigi da aga (i-) fafub ieel food Real. cool Real. be like this and we (Exc.) (Real.) follow Real. ba gamp. Aga (i-) ba wa gamp sib da aga icome village we (Exc.) (Real.) come Compl.II village Compl.I and we (Exc.) Real. ntuan Kapumi -gan rab -a nifu -n da rib Pisu. Sifu? ireveal Kapumi. 3Poss.II cut. Part. mouth. 3Poss.I to people Pisu night Real.
bi nigi da rabırabun Pisu mpa -da Warjumudan ugu i- ba is be like this and ancestors Pisu stay. Part. Warjumudan Dem. Real. come hit
aga faraŋan -gaŋ? da Kapumi. Aruani da rib gamp (i-)
we (Exc.) namesake. lposs.II to Kapwni nowadays and people village (Real.)
is ru- is mint idzun.
hit cont. hit song true
Aranan igi.
he Dem.

Sinur Atang.
Waridzian Village, Amari.

### 1.1 Translation: How Kapumi cut his lip

One day, I and all my brothers, Kapumi and Benjomin (Yomin) and Tiasan and Maicomguntu and Kapumi's eldest son who is called Rasara, we went into the river to cut trees at Bribris for Simon's house. We went, and sat down and we ate left-over food. When we had finished eating the left-over food Kapumi and his son followed the river up to prepare a path to Wanwmudan. And we all went and cut trees. We finished cutting trees and it was getting cold and we come down, leading the way for the others, to the water. They arrived soon after and we cooked food and we dommed up the water (for fishing). Night come then and we lit the lomp and made a fire. We sat down and it become very dark, and we climbed up. We climbed up a bad stone called 'Wanumudan', and we went down it, in the direction of upstream. We arrived and we lit the big lamp. And we fished with the lomps. We fished for eels and went on upstream, and we went and sow an eel. What a huge one! And I and Kapwi threw knives at it. I was so anxious to hit it that I missed it. I hit the lamp which was in front of Kapumi. That was that, and only one lomp was left, and we said to Yomin and the small children, Rasara and...wn...Maiomguntu to stay there. We lit a fire and left it with them. It had been raining during the day, and the wood was wet and the fire did not burn well. We thought the fire was burning and we continued on upstream. We arrived midway and Kapumi speared a big eel, but the eel shook itself and the knife bounced and cut Kapumi's lip. So we were upset and we said to Kapumi: 'We must not continue on. Let us sleep here'. We went and sow a small bend in the river and we lit a fire and we stayed there. We tried to sleep but the water's splashing made our eyes awake and we stayed like that. I and Tiasan cooked some eel and ate it and Kapwi slept. And later he snored and then he had a dream which caused him to fall, and he woke up with a start and said strongly to us: 'Do not sleep.' We have entered the water owned by another clan'. We stayed awake until the down come upon us. We wanted to follow the stream back but we were afraid of the very bad things there, so we went up through the forest. We went up beside the forest, on and on, and we reached Binibinikasiab. Then we come down to Rakiak. We followed the Bintia creek down to the others, to Yomin and the children, at the foot of the Warwmudan stone. We come and sat down and ate the tapioca that they had cooked. And Yamin chopped up the eel. It become cool soon and we followed the river to the village. We arrived at the village and we revealed to the people of Pisu clan how Kapumi had cut his lip. That night the Pisu ancestors come to sing about us to Kapumi. Nowadays the people in the village still sing about it.

That is all.

## APPENDIX II

## Word list

This word list is from Wurm: Lexicostatistical comparisons, Highlands Districts languages, TPNG (n.d.). Nouns are recorded as roots only. Nouns which take the Inalienable Possessive suffixes are recorded without any suffix, but with a hyphen after the word, e.g. 5. child naru-. Verbs are recorded in the form of Root + Participial suffix -a/-an/-da/-dan. All items are written phonemically, not phonetically. Alternatives are indicated in brackets beside the item. Footnotes explain discrepancies and give special information.

1. man garam
2. woman sagat
3. old man garam fufi $=$ man old
4. old woman sagat fufi = woman old
5. child naru- (mama?)
6. young boy mama? fa?
(mama? marub) $=$ child new
(child maze)
7. husband gabu-
8. wife fini-
9. father rama-
10. mother rina-
ll. sibling: s.s., older raitsira? = brother big
11. sibling: s.s., younger raiisi? = brother smaZZ
12. sibling: o.s., older nafutsira? = sister big
13. sibling: o.s., younger nafuis $i^{7}=$ sister small
14. I dzi
15. you (S.) agu (u)
16. he araijan
17. we two (Inc.) aga iru?run
18. you two agam iru?run
19. they two iru?run aga ${ }^{1}$
20. we (Inc.) agi
21. you (Pl.) agam
22. they rib aga $=$ there, $a t$ distance, rib igi = there, close by
23. all santan
24. head gudzu-
25. hair of head gudzu yafa(fufun) = head hair (body hair)
26. forehead mara gubu? = face sun
27. eye mara nidzun ${ }^{2}$
28. nose yu-
29. ear rina-
30. tooth nifu uru- = mouth bone
31. tongue ma-
32. jaw, chin katakat (katafat)
33. throat uyamu- (inside), wa(outside)
34. nape untu-
35. mouth nifu-
36. shoulder sipat
37. arm barji-
38. elbow bani gudzuntu- = hand joint
39. palm of hand bani pitat = hand surface
40. aga is a Demonstrative here, not a Pronoun as in 18.
41. Eyebazz.
42. finger baŋi naru- = hand child
43. finger nail bapi uru- = hand bone
44. chest nugu mpan $=$ liver
45. breast (of woman) sisu-
46. belly waŋu-
47. navel mut
48. back urian (urun)
49. buttocks dzina?
50. leg faga-
51. thigh faga ntur? = leg long stick
51 knee faga gudzun (tun?) = leg joint
52 sole of foot faga intap $=$ leg ground
52. skin rin (ubit) ${ }^{1},(r i n i-)^{2}$
53. body hair rini fufu- = skin body-hair
54. blood bi?
55. fat ragaran
56. bone uru-
57. heart nugu ampi sisu-
58. Ziver nugu iran $=$ liver original
59. sore rini mais $=$ skin bad
60. dream nam bru?p-an = thing dreoming
61. to dream bru?p-an
62. sun gubu?
63. moon ukam (buramp)
64. star rjantam
65. sky gunurun
66. cloud marabuari
67. fog nam \{yafi-dan
68. rain gami?
69. night (nam) sifu
70. day gubu?
71. morning tata?
72. evening (nam) idzian
73. water mpui
74. river mpui
75. round water, pond mpu fu?
76. ground intap
77. stone tauf
78. sand magaman
79. mountain mamai
80. bush wap
81. garden gum
82. fence ntio?
83. wind manis
84. wind blows manis $i$-runt $=$ wind runs
85. fire dzaf
86. smoke dugunt
87. ashes gai fupus ${ }^{3},(\text { pap })^{4}=$ tree ashes
88. path sanampun (sanab)
89. tree gai
90. trunk of tree gai tsira? $=$ tree big
91. branch of tree gai bari-n = tree hand
92. stump of tree gai gudzuntur? $=$ tree joint
93. Covers whole body.
94. General.
95. Black ashes.
96. White ashes.

| 94. root of tree gai waia-n = tree root |
| :---: |
| 95. bark of tree (gai) rini gan-an = (tree) skin... |
| 96. tree top gai gudzu-n = tree head |
| 97. fruit of tree gai nidzu-n $=$ tree fruit |
| 98. kunai sai |
| 99. sweet potato unas |
| 100. taro umant |
| 101. yam ganay |
| 102. banana ganay ${ }^{1}$ |
| 103. sugar cane yait |
| 104. pandanus mampim ${ }^{2}$, sagara? ${ }^{3}$, saŋum ${ }^{4}$ |
| 105. betel nut ntsim |
| 106. tanket idirin |
| 107. salt iri? simp ${ }^{5}$ |
| 108. dog iyam |
| 109. pig ifab |
| 110. dog's tail iyam bampa-n = dog tail |
| 111. dog's fur iyam rini fufu-n $=$ dog skin body-hair |
| 112. bird dzap?dzuf = meat-flying |
| 113. feather ragi yafa-n = arse leaf |
| 114.egg urubit |
| 115. wing inut |
| 116. cassowary mamu |

94. root of tree gai waia-n $=$ tree root
95. bark of tree (gai) rini gan-an = (tree) skin...
96. tree top gai gudzu-n = tree head
tree fruit
97. kunai sai
98. sweet potato unas
99. taro umant
100. y am ganay
101. banana ganan ${ }^{1}$
102. sugar cane yait
103. pandanus mampim², sagara? ${ }^{3}$,
104. betel nut ntsim
105. tanket idirin
106. salt iri? simp ${ }^{5}$
107. dog iyam
108. pig ifab
109. dog's tail iyam bampa-n = dog tail dog skin body-hair
110. bird dzan?dzuf $=$ meat-flying
111. feather ragi yafa-n = arse
112. egg urubit
113. wing inut
114. cassowary mamu
115. snake miu
116. fish yafas
117. fly nugunum
118. mosquito nubunamp
119. butterfly uruburi?
120. house unar
121. bow banta
122. arrow birian
123. string, rope bru?
124. net-bag taiap
125. woman's clothes sasin?
126. singsing ( n ) nam מump-an $=$ thing jumping
127. big tsira?
128. small isi?
129. good bini (daum-an)
130. bad mais
131. Zong gunti?
132. short uts
133. sick rini-n gin?-an (rini-n mais) $=$ skin sleeping (skin bad)
134. hungry muanits rut-an = hungerbe with
135. red rajup? (bi?)
136. white maragamp
137. black marabusun
138. yellow dzugudzuan
139. green gai pisia $=$ tree green
140. hot sasus-an ${ }^{6}$, (gaunt) ${ }^{7}$
141. There are many different, named types of bananas.
142. Inedible.
143. Edible.
144. Edible.
145. Traditional ash salt.
146. General.
147. For liquids only.
148. cold ranaran
149. blind marasap
150. deaf ripantan
151. fulz fun?-an
152. come quick wa-ba sun = Imp. come quickly
153. old house unar ratar (mugumun) = house old (old)
154. new house ugar fa? (garubugun) = house new (new)
155. rotten (house) pupu?-an
156. right hand bayi-n bini $=$ hand good
157. Left hand bani yas = hand left
158. to eat ga-dan
159. drink num-an
160. stand up munti-dan
161. sit down mpa-da ru?-an = staying going down
162. speak ni-da nan = saying talk
163. call out nu?-an
164. run runt-an
165. walk yun-an
166. take u-dan
167. give me wa-rim ba da dzi = Imperative give come to me
168. give you (dzi) i-rim da agu = Realis - give to you(S)
169. give him wa-rim da aragan = Imperative give to him
170. hit (with the hand) is-an
171. break (tr.) anta-dan ${ }^{1}$
172. fall (from standing) rua?-an (i-mu i-ru?)
173. fall (from height) rua?-an
174. sleep gi刀?-a marampru? $=$ lying down sleep
175. Lie on ground gin?-an
176. see tsaŋan-dan
177. hear rigant-a gin = hear it
178. cry ray-an
179. singsing (v) Dump-an
180. cook antun-a nam $=$ cook food
181. blow fire gufi-da dzaf $=$ blow fire
182. jump mpruts-an
183. Zaugh rubun?-an
184. be afraid rat-an
185. scratch skin aris-an
186. throw tapu-dan
187. swim map-an
188. wash oneself adzu?-an
189. Zook for wam-an
190. smell (tr.) ntaf-a gin = smelZ it
191. make bow ${ }^{2}$
192. go fa-dan
193. come ba-dan
194. go up yab-an
195. go down ru?-an
196. turn (oneself) (intr.) i-tama i ruan = Realis - turn oneself
197. put down (on ground) rim-a ru?-an = put goes down
198. hold (in hand) gip-an
199. carry on shoulder piya?-an ${ }^{3}$
200. push yut-an
201. puZZ untap-a gin $=$ puZZ it
202. Different for different things.
203. Not clear what this means.
204. This means carry on head, e.g. net-bag.
```
197. bird flies dzuf-an
198. shoot ntar-an
199. bite (v) gara-dan
200. vomit tsup-an
201. cough uku-dan
202. chop wood rab-a gai = cut wood
203. break wood idza?-an
204. name birjan
205. pain (v) tsakia-dan
    pain (n) nam tsakia-dan = thing
        paining
206. thick tsira?
207. thin isi?
208. narrow isi?
209. wide maradza (maradzari\eta)}\mp@subsup{}{}{1
210. straight rururum-an
211. crooked war-an
212. ripe (banana) dzub-an
213. cooked nu?-an
214. wet nufunuf
215. dry tsara?
216. different, other mara-n ma\etaan
    = face a (Indefinite)
217. heavy barabin-dan
218. stop anta\eta?-an
219. joke (v) tus-a umpur =
    make lie
220. skin swells up fup-an (rinin)
221. enter (go inside) ataŋ-an
222. go outside wa?-an
223. bury pu-dan (dzufur?-an)
224. make hole in ground raf-a ntsuf
        = cut hole
```

225. sweat uwats
226. Very big and wide equally.
227. ntaŋ-a applies to anything with a point - spear, needle, etc.
228. this (close) nani
229. this (further) nigi
230. that (distant) naga (nugu)
231. here ani
232. there igi
233. there (distant) aga (ugu)
234. one bitsinta?
235. two iru?run
236. three iru? da bits
237. four iru? da iru?
238. five iru? da iru? da bits ${ }^{1}$
239. six
240. seven
241. eight
242. nine
243. ten
244. eleven
245. twelve
246. thirteen
247. fourteen
248. fifteen
249. sixteen
250. seventeen
251. eighteen
252. nineteen
253. twenty
254. and da
255. together with mpru?-an ${ }^{2}$, (rut-an) ${ }^{3}$
256. fight (v) (two men fight) is-a ruan $=$ hit each other
257. sharp bararan
258. blunt mututu
259. understand (Zanguage) rinantin gin = hear it
260. kill pig is-a ifab funub $=$ hit pig dead
261. talk to me wa-ni da dzi ${ }^{4}=$ Imperative - say to me
262. talk to you dzi i-ni da agu
263. talk to him wa-ni da arapan ${ }^{4}$ = Imperative - say to him
264. piece of wood gai pupuputs = tree small piece
265. float (v) map-an
266. water carries wood mpui i-yu gai i-fan
267. not (negative) anup?-u (namu) ${ }^{5}$
268. Amari do not usually count over five.
269. Be with - auxiliary verb.
270. Go with - auxiliary verb.
271. wa- imperative prefix.
272. anun?- u verb negation. namu simple negative.
APPENDIX III
List of Amari kinship terms
All terms are given with the full possessive suffixes, for first person.

(m = male speaking; $f=$ female speaking.)

```
Reference term Vocative term
rai-\eta?-ga\eta? rai-\eta?
    (i.e. sibling same sex,
    parallel cousins, same sex)
nafu-ŋ?-gaŋ? nafu-\eta?
    (i.e. sibling opposite sex,
    parallel cousins, opposite sex,
    cross-cousins, opposite sex)
```

yara-ŋ? yan? yara-ŋ?
(i.e. cross-cousins, same sex)
fini-n?-gan? fini-n?
(i.e. wife; wife of all called
rai-ŋ? or yara-ク?)
gabu-ŋ? -gan? gabu-ŋ?
(i.e. husband; husband of all those called rai-n? or yara-ワ?)

Gloss

1. Sibling (same sex)
2. Father's brother's son (m)
3. Mother's sister's son (m)
4. Father's brother's daughter (f)
5. Mother's sister's daughter (f)
6. Wife's sister's husband (m)
7. Husband's brother's wife (f)
l. Sibling (opposite sex)
8. Father's brother's daughter (m)
9. Mother's sister's daughter (m)
10. Mother's brother's daughter (m)
11. Father's sister's daughter (m)
12. Father's brother's son (f)
13. Mother's sister's son (f)
14. Father's sister's son (f)
15. Mother's brother's son (f)
16. Wife's brother's wife (m)
ll. Husband's sister's husband (f)
17. Mother's brother's son (m)
18. Father's sister's son (m)
19. Mother's brother's daughter (f)
20. Father's sister's daughter (f)
21. Wife (m)
22. Wife's sister (m)
23. Brother's wife (m)
24. Mother's brother's son's wife (m)
25. Father's sister's son's wife (m)
26. Father's brother's son's wife (m)
27. Mother's sister's son's wife (m)
28. Husband (f)
29. Husband's brother (f)
30. Sister's husband (f)
31. Father's brother's daughter's husband (f)
32. Mother's sister's daughter's husband (f)
33. Father's sister's daughter's husband (f)
34. Mother's brother's daughter's husband (f)
```
Reference term Vocative term
numuntu-gan?
    mimik
    (i.e. brother-in-law - husband
    of anyone called nafu-0? and
    brother of anyone called fini-\eta?
    - term used by men only)
fata-\eta? afa?
    (i.e. sister-in-law - sister of
    anyone called gabu-\eta?, wife of
    anyone called nafu-n? - term
    used by women only)
rama-ŋ?-gaŋ? rama-\eta?
rina-п?-gaŋ? rina-п?
gaia-\eta?-gay? gaia-n?
wagat-aŋ? wagat
murugu-ŋ?-gan? murugu-n?
    (Term used by men only)
naru-\eta?-gan? naru-\eta?
```

Gloss

1. Wife' brother (m)
2. Sister's husband (m)
3. Father's sister's daughter's husband (m)
4. Mother's brother's daughter's husband ( m )
5. Father's brother's daughter's husband ( m )
6. Mother's sister's daughter's husband (m)
7. Husband's sister (f)
8. Brother's wife (f)
9. Mother's brother's son's wife (f)
10. Father's sister's daughter's wife (f)
11. Father's brother's son's wife (f)
12. Mother's sister's son's wife (f)
13. Father $(m+f)$
14. Father's brother ( $m+f$ )
15. Mother's sister's husband (m + f)
16. Mother ( $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{f}$ )
17. Mother's sister ( $m+f$ )
18. Father's brother's wife ( $m+f$ )
19. Mother's brother ( $m+f$ )
20. Father's sister's husband ( $m+f$ )
l. Father's sister (m + f)
21. Mother's brother's wife (m + f)
22. Brother's son (f)
23. Brother's daughter (f)
24. Husband's sister's daughter (f)
25. Husband's sister's son (f)
26. Sister's son (m)
27. Sister's daughter (m)
28. Wife's brother's son (m)
29. Wife's brother's daughter (m)
30. Son $(m+f)$
31. Daughter ( $m+f$ )
32. Brother's son (m)
33. Brother's daughter (m)
34. Sister's son (f)
35. Sister's daughter (f)
36. Father's brother's son's son ( $m+f$ )

| Reference term Vocative term | Gloss <br> 8. Father's brother's son's daughter $(m+f)$ <br> 9. Father's brother's daughter's son ( $m+f$ ) <br> 10. Father's brother's daughter's daughter ( $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{f}$ ) <br> ll. Mother's sister's son's daughter ( $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{f}$ ) <br> 12. Mother's sister's son's son ( $m+f$ ) <br> 13. Mother's sister's daughter's son ( $m+f$ ) <br> 14. Mother's sister's daughter's daughter ( $m+f$ ) <br> 15. Mother's brother's son's daughter (m) <br> 16. Mother's brother's son's son (m) <br> 17. Father's sister's son's daughter (m) <br> 18. Father's sister's son's son (m) <br> 19. Mother's brother's daughter's son (f) <br> 20. Mother's brother's daughter's daughter (f) <br> 21. Father's sister's daughter's daughter (f) <br> 22. Father's sister's daughter's son (f) <br> 23. Wife's sister's son (m) <br> 24. Wife's sister's daughter (m) <br> 25. Husband's brother's son (f) <br> 26. Husband's brother's daughter |
| :---: | :---: |
| rumpu-ŋ?-gan? <br> rumpu-ŋ? <br> (i.e. all people in grandparents', and all people in grandchildren's generations) | 1. Grandfather ( $m+f$ ) <br> 2. Grandmother ( $m+f$ ) <br> 3. Grandchild ( $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{f}$ ) <br> 4. Wife's grandmother (m) <br> 5. Wife's grandfather (m) <br> 6. Husband's grandmother (f) <br> 7. Husband's grandfather |
| bu-ク?-gan? maiak <br> (i.e. all people called father and mother, by wife or husband, and all people called wife or husband by one's children) | 1. Wife's mother (m) <br> 2. Wife's father (m) <br> 3. Husband's mother (f) <br> 4. Husband's father (f) <br> 5. Son's wife (m + f) <br> 6. Daughter's husband $\cdot(m+f)$ |
| ```rasi-ŋ?-gan? rasi-n? (i.e. all great-grandparents, all great-grandchildren)``` | 1. Grandparents' mother ( $m+f$ ) <br> 2. Grandparents' father ( $m+f$ ) <br> 3. Grandchild's son ( $m+f$ ) <br> 4. Grandchild's daughter (m + f) |

```
Reference term Vocative term Gloss
tafa-\eta?-ga\eta? tafa-\eta? l. Great-grandparents' mother (m + f)
    (i.e. all great-great-grandparents,
    all great-great-grandchildren)
yara-\eta? rabi? l. Son's wife's mother (m + f)
2. Son's wife's father (m + f)
3. Daughter's husband's mother (m + f)
4. Daughter's husband's father (m + f)
```
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# ON ERGATIVITY IN FORE AND OTHER PAPUAN LANGUAGES 

Graham Scott


#### Abstract

Following on from Li and Lang's paper (1979), this paper outlines uses made of the so-called ergative case marker in some of these Papuan languages. While the marker may be used to separate subject from object in typical ergative fashion, its main purpose is related to the animacy hierarchy. It is used to raise noun phrases to the top of the animacy scale where they may function, inter alia, as agents. This ergative marker, then, functions as an indicator of control in a semantic (derivational) role, rather than as a syntactic (inflectional) case marker per se.


Some authors in recent years have been classifying Papuan languages as ergative in terms of their morphology.

Deibler (1976:10), for example, states that the Papuan language, Gahuku, 'may be considered an ergative-type language: the subject of intransitive verbs and the object of transitive verbs are unmarked for the largest class of nouns'. He supplies the following Gahuku data:
(1) Ovakeni venala vitive.

Ovake's wife (subject) she will go
Ovake's wife will go.
(2) Ovakeni venala apilimo'.

Ovake's wife (object) she hit her
She hit Ovake's wife.
(3) Ovakeni venala-'mo apilimo'.

Ovake's wife-ergative she hit her
Ovake's wife hit her.
Haiman (1979:61), in discussing the equivalent marker in the Hua language, states that 'the subject of an intransitive verb, like the object of a transitive verb, can never occur with -bamu'; this suffix is reserved for the subjects of transitive verbs alone'. He concludes then, that 'in respect to the construction with -bamu', Hua is an ergative language'. He gives the following among his examples:
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(4) Busa' baie.
Busa (subject) he is
Busa is here.
(5) Busa' ebgie.

Busa (object) he hit him
He hit Busa.
(6) Busa'-bamu' ebgie.

Busa-ergative he hit him
Busa hit him.
Li and Lang (1979:309) make the claim that 'those Papuan languages which have case systems are mostly ergative', and illustrate from five further Papuan languages: Kewa, Kiwaian, Moni, Duna and Enga. (Waffa and the Angan languages are listed as rare exceptions.) They contend that Enga, the main language of their research, 'may be described as a typical ergative language of the TransNew Guinea Phylum' (p.311), the largest grouping (67.9\%) of Papuan languages (see Wurm 1975:21).

In contrast with this claim of morphological ergativity, Li and Lang maintain that 'almost all the ergative Papuan languages have subject-verb agreement which shows a nominative-accusative pattern' (p.310). (Duna, which does not mark agreement, is an exception.) They categorically state: 'Our investigation of Enga shows that the language is syntactically accusative' (p.3ll), and proceed to elucidate this claim through a discussion of surface codings and referentiality.

Similarly, it may be claimed that Fore, the main language to be discussed in this paper, is syntactically accusative but morphologically ergative.

It shall be shown, however, that this 'ergative' morphology is best regarded as derivational (a semantic notion) rather than as a pure case inflection (simply a syntactic marker). (In examples (7)-(8), Fore's -ma has been glossed as 'ergative' in keeping with previous examples.)
(7) wa wáye.
man he went
The man went.
(8) wá-ma mási tára isíyegúye.
man-ergative two boys he hit them.
The man hit two boys.
The use of -ma in transitive clauses is by no means entirely obligatory in Fore, but neither is it totally optional. The -ma seen in example (9) may be omitted (10), without any change to the roles the referents are playing. ('3sg' indicates third singular reference, irrespective of gender or function. Seeming inconsistencies in accent are a result of independent accent rules - see Scott 1978:20.)
(9) wá-ma wáya: 'áegúye.
man-ergative woman 3 sg hit 3 sg
The man hit the woman.
(10) wa wáya: 'áegúye.
man woman 3 sg hit 3 sg
The man hit the woman.

Conversely, -ma is obligatorily present in (ll) so that the same semantic roles as in (9) and (10) may be maintained, once topicalisation has invoked a change in word order. The omission of -ma here would have caused a reversal in roles (12).
(11) wáya: 'wá-má aegúye.
woman man-ergative 3 sg hit 3 sg
The man hit the woman.
(12) wáya: 'wá aegúye.
woman man 3sg hit 3sg
The woman hit the man.
*The man hit the woman.
In (13), however, we find that although the order is the same as in (12), the meaning of the pig attacking the man is not the usual reading. (There may, of course, be such strong contextual clues that the speaker has felt it unnecessary to distinguish formally between the two noun phrases, with the listener forced to interpret the sentence according to the starred gloss.)
(13) yaga: wá aegúye.
pig man 3 sg hit 3 sg
The man killed the pig.
*The pig attacked the man.
What, then, is the basis of -ma's presence or absence? We find an answer in (14)-(15).
(14) Animacy hierarchy:

Personal > Human > Animate > Inanimate
(15) Grammatical hierarchy:

Subject > Indirect Object > Direct Object
In Fore these are two interacting hierarchies. At the top of the animacy hierarchy in Fore are the Personal referents. These include pronouns, proper nouns (names of people), and very close kin terms. Next on the scale in (14) are the (non-personal) Human referents; then come (non-human) Animates; then Inanimates. This hierarchy is in accord with the 'potentiality of agency' scale given by Dixon (1979:85). We shall shortly see that the addition of the suffix -ma raises a noun phrase to the top of this animacy scale.

Grammatically, as seen in (15), the highest rank is Subject, followed by Indirect Object, followed by Direct Object. Where more than one unmarked noun phrase could occur, that which is higher in animacy will be read higher grammatically. Thus, in (16)-(19), where each of the noun phrases differs from the others in animacy, their respective roles are held constant, even though word order has changed. The only changes are in terms of topicality.
(16) aebá yaga: naninta: amiye.
he pig food 3sg gave to 3sg
He gave food to the pig.
(17) aebá naninta: yaga: amiye.
he food pig 3sg gave to 3 sg
He gave the pig food.
(18) yaga: áebá naninta: amiye.
pig he food 3sg gave to 3sg To the pig he gave food.
naninta: áebá yaga: amiye.
food he pig 3sg gave to 3 sg
Food he gave to the pig.
Only when two noun phrases are equal in animacy does word order ( S O IO V) assist in determining roles. We saw this earlier in (12) where 'woman' was interpreted as the agent, or subject, and in (10) where 'man' is agent.

In terms of the animacy scale given in (14), the ergative suffix -ma may not occur with personal referents (20)-(21), but it must occur with inanimates if they are to be considered as agents, and therefore as subjects (22)-(24). In between, its usage is much more likely with non-human animates than with human referents. (The $n>n t$ change in (24) follows regular phonological rules.)
(20) Aegayá: naegúye.

Aegaya 3sg hit me
Aegaya hit me.
(21) *Aegayá:-ma naegúye.

Aegaya-ergative 3sg
Aegaya hit me.
(22) kasó-'tasa naegúye.
club-with 3sg hit me
He hit me with a club.
(23) kasó-nkama naegúye.
club-ergative 3sg
The club hit me.
(24) kasó ntaegúye.
club 3sg hit me
He clubbed me.
*The club hit me.
(22) illustrates a common non-agentive occurrence of an inanimate referent, kasó club. To promote this to agentive status, (23) demands the presence of the ergative marker -ma, which here appears as -nkama in one of its allomorphic forms. We cannot, as seen in (24), omit the ergative marker with an inanimate referent and still maintain an agentive reading. (24) reads literally as a compound: He club-hit me.

On the other hand, up at the other end of the scale in (20), Aegayá: is a proper noun and cannot be raised further on the animacy scale, but is already classified as having agentive qualities. Consequently (2l) is considered unacceptable.

But note what happens when we want to use a personal referent in a nonagentive manner, for example as a direct object as we have in (25)-(26).
(25) Aegaya:-nk aegúye.
Aegaya-oblique 3 sg hit 3 sg
He hit Aegaya.
(26) náe-' naegúye.

I-oblique 3 sg hit me
He hit me.
At this stage 'oblique' marking comes into effect. Here a grammatically conditioned phonological change related to non-subject (and non-vocative) usage appears (27)-(28).
(27) yoga-ri waye.
garden-to 3sg went
He went to the garden.
(28)
ae-'-tí waye.
he-oblique-to 3 sg went
He went to him.
In (27) the inanimate yoga garden simply takes the allative to. However, when a noun phrase from the top (or 'personal') end of the animacy hierarchy is to take a non-agentive role (28), it must first be marked as oblique. Then the relevant case marking is added.

For direct and indirect objects there is no further case marking. Objects are not distinguished from each other formally. Usually the object higher on the animacy scale will be the indirect object, but the situational context is the final arbiter.

Oblique marking is used optionally for human and animate referents optional in the sense that its use is determined by external (contextual) factors. The marker itself is a phonological change (PC), details of which have been given previously (Scott 1978:106).

Occurrence of the ergative (-ma) and oblique (PC) markers is summarised in (29), where single brackets indicate heavier optional use than that given in double brackets.

| ergative | - | $((-m a))$ | $(-m a)$ | $-m a$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oblique | PC | $(P C)$ | $((P C))$ | - |

The apparent reverse parallelism of -ma and PC in (29) is an illusion. They differ in kind.

Firstly, oblique marking may never occur on noun phrases used either as the subject of a transitive or as subject of an intransitive. Oblique marking thus is syntactic: a part of the case system of Fore, using a nominative-accusative dichotomy. This means that to posit an absolutive case for Fore is not a viable analysis. Consequently, the concept of syntactic ergativity is becoming less attractive.

Secondly, the ergative marker in Fore may co-occur with oblique marking. Compare (30)-(32).
pi ntágara-má-ba agaye.
that man-ergative-focus 3sg sow 3sg
That man sow him.
(31) pi ntágara-má-'-pa
agaye.
that man-ergative-oblique-focus 3 sg saw 3 sg
He sow that man.
(32) pi ntágara-bá agaye. that man-focus 3 sg saw 3 sg
He saw that man.
That man saw him.
In these examples it is clearly the presence of the oblique's phonological change (indicated by the glottal stop in (31)) which causes the meaning change between (30) and (31). (32), in which neither ergative nor oblique marking occur, is quite acceptable, but ambiguous, and would depend on external linguistic or social context for interpretation.

The meaning difference between (31) and the first reading of (32) is much more subtle. Out of context, but with the meaning 'He saw that man', native speakers asked to repeat (31) generally revert to (32). This is in spite of the fact that (31) in context is considered grammatical. It appears that (31) indicates some sort of agentive involvement by 'that man', in much the same sense as English's 'get' passives: e.g. 'He got shot' as opposed to 'He was shot'.

Thirdly, the ergative marker may be used with subjects of certain intransitive verbs (33). -ma is used on occasion with verbs in which the subject may be considered to have some sort of control over the action (e.g. 'come', 'laugh'), but not with others (e.g. 'cough', 'be'). Limits and probabilities of such usage have yet to be determined.
(33) pi ntágara-má-ba kanaye.
that man-ergative-focus 3 sg come
That man come.
There are also two constructions in which presence of the ergative marker is syntactically obligatory. The first is where a relative clause takes the place of a noun phrase.
(34) na:má kiyí ntagara kánaye.
house 3 sg built man 3 sg come
The man who built the house come.
(35) na:má kiyí-'ma kanaye.
house 3sg built-ergative 3 sg came
The one who built the house come.
na:má kiyí-'ma-'-ti kanaye.
house 3 sg built-ergative-oblique-to 3 sg come
He come to the one who built the house.
In (34), na:má kiyí is a relative clause which qualifies the head noun ntagara man. If the head noun is omitted, the ergative marker must take its place (35)-(36). This may occur only when a subject noun phrase is relativised, and usually only with transitive subjects, although for some speakers, (37) is acceptable.

```
?kanái-'ma aboráye.
    3sg come-ergative 3sg appeared
    The man who come appeared.
```

The second obligatory usage is in non-personal genitive formation (38)-(39).
(38) wasaná-ma- ntamáne.
person-ergative-oblique house
It is a house for persons.
(39)
naba:né-mpá- ntamáne.
my father-ergative-oblique house
It is my father's house.
Here the genitive is formed using the combination of ergative plus oblique, followed by a second noun phrase. The oblique in (38) and (39) is shown by the phonological change on the word for house, which is normally na:máne but has undergone an $n>n t$ change plus vowel shortening, to give ntamáne. (39) shows kin term occurrence where an optional possessive suffix (-né my on the end of naba:) has placed the kin term into the general human grouping. Consequently, the -ma marker is obligatory to genitive formation here.

In (40)-(41), the kin term naba: and the name Aegayá:, both of which are Personal in the animacy hierarchy, do not take -ma. Only the oblique is necessary to form the genitive. It would be unacceptable to use -ma in either of these.
(40) naba:- ntámáne.
my father-oblique house
It is my father's house.
(41) Aegayá:- ntamáne.

Aegaya-oblique house
It is Aegaya's house.

## SUMMATION

In ascertaining the function of the so-called ergative marker in Fore, and potentially also in other Papuan languages, the following points have been made:
(i) In Fore there is quite an amount of optionality in the usage of tma when attached to the subject of a transitive verb. Haiman (1979:56, 59) gives examples showing such optionality in Hua, where it leads to potential ambiguity, stating that 'very often Hua tolerates this ambiguity, but Hua also has a possible means of eliminating this ambiguity' (the ergative marker -bamu').

On the other hand, Dixon (1979:72-73) claims that 'in most languages in which the ergative occurs, it is obligatory', but he does allow that 'it is not uncommon to find an ergative case inflection described as 'optional''. Fore, which allows optionality, ties its optionality to the human/animate portion of the animacy hierarchy - a semantic rather than a syntactic function.
(ii) It has also been mentioned that in Fore, the ergative marker may be used with noun phrases when they function as subject of certain intransitive verbs. Renck (1975:35), who worked in the Move dialect of Yagaria, of which Haiman's Hua is also a dialect, reports a similar occurrence. He has labelled the marker (which varies between -ma' and -ba' in Move) a 'pivotal' marker because of its wide and sometimes obligatory usage. He states that while it may occur 'as agentive marker in transitive clauses...
the pivotal marker may also occur as subject marker in intransitive clauses, but that marking is optional'.
(iii) We saw earlier in (3l) the use of Fore's -ma in object position. Payne and Drew (1970:74) observe that Kamano's equivalent morpheme -mo' may be appended to an indirect object. This non-subject usage, along with its obligatory absence from personal names in Kamano (which was illustrated earlier from Fore in (21)), caused Payne and Drew to label the morpheme 'personaliser'. This lends support to the claim that the morpheme in question is not a simple syntactic mechanism marking subjects of transitives, but primarily marks a participant's ability to control or to be an agent. This marker, being a semantic indicator, acts then as a derivational type morpheme which changes the subcategorisation of noun phrases so that they may function, among other things, as subjects of transitives.

The concept of an ergative marker indicating control has been outlined by Dixon (1979:80-81). He states that, where ergative marking of subject noun phrases occurs with certain intransitive verbs, 'the use of ergative or absolutive on a subject noun phrase appears to be semantically determined', with the ergative marker being used only when the noun phrase referent 'actually is the controller'; otherwise intransitive subjects are (un)marked in the same way as objects which have no control over the activity.

Dixon adds that this scheme is found in just a few languages. It appears that Fore is one of those rare gems. It may well be, on closer scrutiny, that this pattern is to be found in many Papuan languages. I would like linguists to test their particular Papuan languages to see whether this is so.
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# A SKETCH OF NOMINAL CONCORD IN ABU' (AN ARAPESH LANGUAGE) <br> Otto Nekitel 

## 1. INTRODUCTION ${ }^{1}$

### 1.1 General

There are a number of languages in Papua New Guinea (PNG) which have noun class systems (see Fortune 1942; and Capell 1969).

Abu' is one of these languages. ${ }^{2}$ It has a complex classification system with a regular system of concord within the Noun Phrase (NP) and Verb Phrase (VP) structures. Nouns in the language arbitrarily fall into classes determined by their singular and plural forms. These forms in turn determine the forms that nominal modifiers take in NPs as well as the coreferential elements in VPs.

During an introductory class in PNG languages at the University of Papua New Guinea in 1975 with Drs John Lynch and Adrianne Lang, it became apparent to me that the description of Arapesh noun classes given by Fortune (1942) was inadequate. There were more noun classes in Abu' than the 13 reported by Fortune for the closely-related Mountain Arapesh dialect of his grammar. Therefore, this study attempts to provide examples to show the system of nominal concordance and to sort out the number of noun classes in Abu', the language of my speech community.

### 1.2 Background

Although Arapesh society has been the subject of anthropological literature since $1938,^{3}$ literature on the language is comparatively meagre, except for the work of Fortune cited above. Fortune's study which was basically aimed at the description of Arapesh grammar, mentioned that there were 13 general noun classes in the dialect on which his study was based. He made a general statement about nominal concord but did not provide full details of how nominal concord operates. The Mountain Arapesh grammar of Gerstner (1963) has not been consulted.

A few other people have either made some comments or referred to the language. Glasgow and Loving (1964) in their classification of the Marprik District languages, made reference to the extension of Mountain Arapesh, with dialect changes into the Wewak and Aitape districts as far as the coastal villages of Matapau and Dagua (see map). They also mapped the distribution of the Arapesh languages as then known, namely Bumbita, Southern and Mountain Arapesh. The map published in this study is partly based on this mapping. Laycock (1973)

[^5]elaborated on the classification of Arapesh languages within the Torricelli Phylum, but, as mentioned in note 2, did not recognise Abu' as a separate language. A brief note on the classes, with a bibliography mentioning early wordlists, is to be found in Laycock (1975). Capell (1969:122 fn.) describes Arapesh as belonging to the same typological subgroup as the Baining language of East New Britain.

Tuzin (1972:11) in his ethnographic description of the Ilahita (Southern) Arapesh, briefly mentioned the fact that the common feature of the Arapesh languages is their complex noun classification system. He made no further comments about the nouns or nominal concord as these were outside his area of ethnographic investigation.

At the time this study was first written (1977), Bob and Jo Conrad were doing some work on the morphophonemic rules of the Buki dialect of Mountain Arapesh, which is spoken between Maprik and Yangoru. ${ }^{4}$

### 1.3 Materials

The present study represents an analysis of materials collected by the author with the assistance of his wife during the second half of 1976 and the first half of 1977. The materials are from Abu', which is closely related to Mountain Arapesh. The form of Abu' described is that spoken by about three hundred people living in Womsis village about 70 km west of Wewak. Two Abu' dialects are spoken in Womsis. ${ }^{5}$ In this study I have concentrated on the Southern dialect since $I$ am a native speaker of that dialect.

### 1.4 Presentation

In this study the following orthography and other symbols are used for listing and describing the Abu' materials:

### 1.4.1 Phonology

The following symbols are employed to represent 16 consonants and five vowel phonemes:

|  | Labial | Apical | Velar | Rounded velar | Glottal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Voiceless stops | $(\mathrm{p}) *$ | t | k | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |  |
| Voiced stops | b | d |  |  |  |
| Nasals | m | m |  |  |  |
| Fricatives | f | s |  |  |  |
| Laterals |  | l |  |  |  |
| Flaps | w | y | h |  |  |
| Semivowels |  |  |  |  |  |

* 

An introduced phoneme from Tok Pisin.


MAP: DISTRIBUTION OF ARAPESH LANGUAGES

## Vowels

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | i |  | u |
| Mid | e |  | 0 |
| Low |  | a |  |

Other symbols and abbreviations

| Adj | adjective | TP | Tok Pisin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AdjC | adjective of colour | vb | verb |
| Adje | adjective of quality | V | any vowel |
| AdjS | adjective of size | V- | initial vowel or syllable |
| Adv | adverb | -V- | medial vowel |
| AdvL | adverb of location | -V | final vowel |
| AN | Austronesian | VP | verb phrase |
| excl | exclusive | $x / y$ | $x$ or y |
| C | any consonant | $\underline{1}$ | concord feature (underlined) |
| -C | final consonant | > | becomes |
| -C- | medial consonant | $<$ | derives from |
| dem | demonstrative | 1 | primary stress (in phonetic transcriptions) |
| incl | inclusive |  |  |
| N | noun | ' | glottal stop (in phonemic representations) |
| NP <br> num | noun phrase numeral/number | ? | glottal stop (in phonetic transcriptions) |
| $\bigcirc$ | object | V' | primary stress |
| P | past | $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ | half length |
| pl | plural | * | unacceptable item or borrowed TP word |
| sen | sentence | \# | word boundary |
| sg | singular | $\emptyset$ | zero symbol |

The Abu' phonetic system does not seem to have a voiceless bilabial stop but because of exposure to Tok Pisin whose phonetic system has the allophonic variants [p] and [f] which are generally represented by the phoneme /p/, Abu' speakers are now using that sound especially in borrowed Tok Pisin words containing /p/.

It is apparent in this study that the voiceless bilabial fricative and the labio-dental voiceless fricative are allophonic variants of the same phoneme, represented in this study by /f/ so that the phoneme /f/ has two allophones, $[f]$ and $[\beta]=[\Phi]$ which occur in free variations in all positions.

$$
/ f / \longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[f]} \\
{[\beta]=[\Phi]}
\end{array}\right\} \quad[f] \sim[\beta] \text { in all positions }
$$

The voiceless alveolar stop and the voiceless velar stop are slightly aspirated in word-final position but remained unaspirated elsewhere.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
/ \mathrm{t} / \\
/ \mathrm{k} /
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \begin{cases}{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \\
\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{t} \\
\mathrm{k}
\end{array}\right]} & /-\#\end{cases}
$$

The grooved voiceless alveolar fricative becomes an alveolar palatal fricative in word-final position.

$$
/ s / \longrightarrow \begin{cases}{[\mathrm{s}]} & /-\# \\ {[s]} & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

The liquid sounds [1] and [r] are flapped in word-initial and word-medial positions but are trilled in word-final position.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / 1 / \begin{cases}{[\tilde{l}]} & /-\# \\
{[Y]} & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases} \\
& / r / \longrightarrow \begin{cases}{[\tilde{r}]} & /-\# \\
{[\tilde{r}]} & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

The voiceless velar stop $k$ contrasts with the glottal stop which is represented in this study by the apostrophe '.

### 1.4.2 Nasalisation

A nasal optionally assimilates to the point of articulation of the following voiced obstruents. Similarly a vowel is nasalised when occurring between two nasal consonants or when the vowel occurs next to a nasal, for example, ['utaba]/ ['utãmba] stone/stones; [m'ãduh]/[m'ãnduh] rope. This nasalisation rule is non-obligatory.

### 1.4.2.1 Labialisation

It was suspected that the rounded voiceless velar stop $k^{W}$ may represent two separate units of sounds namely $k$ and $w$. However, the observation has been that both sounds are inseparable. They comprise a single seqment and consequently contrast with $k$ as the following minimal pair indicates: ['kařutu] tree stands
as opposed to ['kwarutu] she stands. Also because of its function as a third person female subject prefix as illustrated in the above example or as in $\mathrm{k}^{W}$ ahe' she went in contrast with nahe he went, or it went and sahe' they went. $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}$ also occurs at the onset of words implying femininity as for example $k^{w} a^{\prime}$ araka frog.

### 1.4.2.2 Vowels

The semivowel /y/ varies freely with the high front unrounded vowel /i/ in all positions. Seeing that vowel glides are predictable, it is plausible to suggest that $/ i /=/ y /$ and $/ u /=/ w /$ so that is is equally acceptable to write /iah/ = [iyah] road, /niboa/ = ['nrimbowa] two days ago.

The phoneme /i/ has two allophones. In word-initial and word-final positions it remains a high front unrounded tense vowel but is generally lax in word-medial position. Hence:

$$
/ i / \longrightarrow \begin{cases}{[t]} & / c-c \\ {[i]} & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

### 1.4.2.3 Vowel length

Vowels occurring in word-final positions are generally half-length. $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\bullet} /$-\#. Stress falls on the initial syllable. $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\bullet} / \mathrm{V}-$.

Syllables may be open or closed. Neither stress nor tone appear to be phonemic in Abu'.

### 1.4.3 Minimal/subminimal pairs and other examples

$/ f / \longrightarrow[f] \sim[\beta]$ or $[\Phi]$ in all environments, /ufaf/ $\longrightarrow$ ['ufaf] or ['upap] banana. /fifikil/ $\rightarrow$ [ $\left.f^{\prime} \iota f \iota k \iota l\right]$ or [ $\left.\beta^{\prime} \iota \beta \iota k \iota l\right]$ bone.
/f/ ~/p/ in all environments for borrowed words from Tok Pisin into Abu', as for instance:
/pater/ priests ['pater], ['fater] or ['pater].
/piptin/ fifteen ['pıptiın], ['fıftın] or ['pıptın].
/bilip/ belief or faith ['bılıp], ['bılıf] or ['bılı].
/t/ and /d/ contrast as indicated by the following minimal or subminimal pairs:
/idaf/ ['idaf] ~ ['idap] split timber
/itaf/ ['itaf]~['itap] earth, ground
/dalatal [d'alata] end of something
/tatal ['tata] rock
$\left[\begin{array}{l}/ t / \\ / k /\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}{\left[\begin{array}{l}t^{h} \\ k^{h}\end{array}\right] \quad /-\#} \\ {\left[\begin{array}{l}t \\ k\end{array}\right] \quad \text { elsewhere }}\end{array}\right.$

Hence: /nubat/ ['nũ"bat ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] or ['nubath] dog
/lawak/ ['lawakh] tree
/tatal ['tata] rock
/kaki/ ['kaki] that tree
/k/ and /'/ differ as indicated by the following minimal pairs: /akup/ ['akuf] or ['akup] kind of tree; /a'uf/ ['a?uf] or ['a?up] liver.
$/ i / \longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}{[\iota] / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{c}} \\ {[\mathrm{i}]} \\ \text { elsewhere }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { /benikoh/ ['benikoh] skin } \\ / \#-/ \text { iyah/['iah] road } \\ /-\# / \text { emi/ ['emi] who }\end{array}\right.\right.$
$/ s / \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{ll}{[s ̌]} & /-\# \\ {[s]} & \text { elsewhere }\end{array} \quad\right.$ /esis/ ['es?sıš] they/them
e.g. /\# - /sapas/ ['sapaš] kind of ants
/I/ $\longrightarrow$ [Y] / C - C /aluf/ ['aYuf] or ['aYup] body / \# - /labuf/ ['labuf] or ['labup] outmost division of garden
[1] / - \# /bakel/ ['bakeĩ] stick
/r/ $\longrightarrow$ [ř] / C - C /arub/ ['ařub] soot
/ \# - /rabuf/ ['řabuf] or ['řabup] rib
[r] / - \# /bur/ ['bur̃] breakage

## Nasalisation

| /b/ | $\rightarrow$ [ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ] nv - V |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /d/ | $\longrightarrow\left[{ }^{n} d\right] \quad m v-v$ |  |
| /noboil/ | $\longrightarrow$ ['nõmboil] or ['noboĩ] | marsh |
| /nubat/ | $\longrightarrow$ ['numb bat ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] or ['nubat ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] | dog |
| /nibowa/ | $\rightarrow$ ['nîboa] or ['ñımbowa] | two days ago |
| /maduh/ | $\longrightarrow$ ['mãduh] or [mãnduh] | rope or vine |
| /mada'as/ | $\longrightarrow$ ['mãda?aš] or ['mãnda?aš] | the men did the assigned task |
| /i/ = /y/ | /iah/ = ['iyah] | road |
| $/ u /=/ w /$ | $/ \mathrm{niboa/}=$ [ ${ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{l}^{\text {m }}$ bowa $]$ | two days ago |

## Stress rule

$\begin{array}{cll}\text { /'v - } / \text { /kwafita/ } & \text { ['kwafita] } & \text { spoon } \\ \text { /dabah/ } & \text { ['dabah] } & \text { finger } \\ \text { /utam/ } & \text { ['?utãm] } & \text { stone }\end{array}$
Neither stress nor tone are phonemic in Abu'. Syllables may be open or closed.

## 2. ORDER OF ADJECTIVES

Since a considerable part of the study will deal with concord and adjectives, it is better to give the regular order in which the adjectives occur in various syntactic constructions.

All adjectives come after the noun in the following regular order: $\mathrm{N}+$ Dem + AdjS + AdjC + AdjQ. The nominal concord inflections occur as adjectival suffixes as for instance:

| Alifen ene etin ubahini alialini numehelini |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | dem AdjN AdjS | Adjc- | AdjQ |

Adverbs that modify adjectives occur after them. For instance:

| Alemam | mami | afumi mami  <br> $\mathrm{N}(\overline{\mathrm{pl}})$ $\frac{\mathrm{dem}}{\mathrm{dem}}$ Adj Q <br> men these good <br> very   |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

These very good men.
The word in Abu' that functions as the English 'that' changes its ending according to the noun it modifies and is phonemically similar in most occurrences to the Abu' word for the adjective intensifier as indicated above. To differentiate one from the other, the order in which they occur in the syntactic constructions is important. When it occurs before the adjective it functions as a demonstrative and when it occurs after the adjective it functions as an adjective intensifier. In the following pages are samples of the various concord bearing syntactic structures. The concord elements in these structures are indicated by underlining. Incidentally concord does not extend to all syntactic structures. For instance, possessive and locality expressions do not participate in concord as shown by the following examples

```
Aulaf iei and
sakarfa'uma
where they fought.
my house
```


## 3. CONCORD IN NOUN PHRASES

|  | Two very big men |
| :---: | :---: |
| Numatawa biawa ubahiweri wawi | Two very big women |
| Barakas biakas ubahikesi kekisi | two very big heads |
| Alis bies ubahisi sesi | Tho very big skins or bodies |
| Madulih bialih ubahilihi halihi | two very big ropes or vines |
| Bakuh biakuh ubahukihi kakuhi | Two very big sticks |
| Utaba biaba ubahiberi babi | IWo very big stones |
| Naif bief ubahifi fefi | Two very big eyes |
| Alhuabis biebis ubahibisi bebisi | two very big eggs |
| Disuk biok ubahuki kwakui | Itwo very big mountains |
| Nulub bialub ubahulubi baluibi | lwo very big bellies |

Relihes bies ubahisi sesi
Eheb bieb ubahebi babi
Dabakwih biekwih ubahehi hehi

Two very big pieces of roadmesh Two very big cold river fish Two very big fingers

Forms for diminutives and mass nouns are discussed later.
4. CONCORD IN VERB PHRASES


The above data shows that a verb is influenced to agree with the noun of the VP structure, by a general rule that reproduced either the ultimate or the penultimate consonant of the noun with the VP structure in preverbal position. There are several alterations to the rule in that those nouns bearing masculine or feminine implications take on the same preverbal nominal concord affix as the nominal prefix of nouns having masculine and feminine meaning as in 8 and 10. The preverbal affixes are summarised below:

| 1. $\mathrm{n}-$ | 6. 1- | 11. w- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. kw- | 7. m- | 12. $\mathrm{p}-$ |
| 3. $k-$ | 8. $\mathrm{t}-$ | 13. Mass noun (endings) |
| 4. f- | 9. b- |  |
| 5. $\mathrm{h}-$ | 10. s- |  |

## 5. MODIFIER CLASSES AND CONCORD

### 5.1 Adjectives

Abu' is no different from Chambri (Pagotto 1976:16) in that all adjectives have been found to agree with whatever nouns they modified in number and class. The following table provides the stems of adjectives of quality, size, and colour in Abu'.

| sg. Adj. stem | pl. Adj. stem | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| madin- | madin- | unripe |
| didiki- | didiki- | hard, selfish |
| ker'es- | ker'es- | sharp |
| ka'amar- | la'amar- | blunt |
| ubah- | ubah- | big |
| diba- | diba- | big (northern dialect |
| lou- | lou- | bada- |
| bada- | afu- | short/long |
| afu- | numehel- | good |
| numehel- | awe- | bad |
| awe- | koio- | bad (northern dialect) |
| koio- | so'sou- | small |
| so'sou- | mader- | small (northern dialect) |
| mader- | alu'- | minute |
| alu'- |  | ripe |

Except for koio' small the above bound morphemes need to employ the various nominal singular and plural suffixes summarised in the General Noun Class Matrix (see page 192).

### 5.2 Demonstratives

Deictic morphemes in Abu' that refer directly to the locational characteristics of the noun about which an utterance is made and whose meaning is thus relative to that noun differ in their final vowels. Proximal deictic vowels are: \{a/e\} and distal deictic is $\{i\}$ and are the same for both the singular and plural form of the demonstratives. Consonants of these morphemes vary according to the noun class, and are reduplicated in plural forms:

| N. sg. | this | that | N. pl. | these | those | Gloss of N. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. aleman | ana | nani | alemam | ama | mami | man |
| 2. numata' | au'a | kwa'i | numatawa | awa | wawi | woman |
| 3. baraka | aka | kaki | barakas | akese | kekisi | head |
| 4. aulaf | afa | fafi | aulas | ese | sesi | house |
| 5. maduh | aha | hahi | madulih | alaha | halihi | vine/rope |
| 6. bakel | akala | kakili | bakuh | akuha | kakuhi | stick |
| 7. aul | ala | lali | akuh | akuha | kakuhi | eeZ/mosquito |
| 8. utam | ama | mami | utaba | aba | babi | stone |
| 9. borotom | ama | mami | beritef | efe | fefi | umbreZla |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| N. sg. | this | that | N. pl. | these | those | Gloss of N. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10. walub | aba | babi | walubis | ebese bebisi | river |  |
| 11. du'it | ata | tati | disuk | auka | kauki | mountain |
| 12. keina | ene | neni | keinab | aba | babi | bow |
| 13. asubul | ebele bebili | asulub | aleba | balebi | singsing |  |
| 14. beseh | ehe | hehi | - | - | - | oratory/ |
| speech |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Abu' demonstrative which is similar in meaning to the English 'this' generally takes the following feature: aca or eCe. The medial consonant is far more variable than the vowels and is usually identical phonemically with the final consonant of the noun the demonstrative modifies.

The demonstrative that functions like the English 'that' also takes two forms, namely: CaCi and CeCi. These are partial duplications of the 'this' demonstrative ending in / - i\#.

The demonstratives that bear plurality as do the English 'these' and 'those' generally take on forms similar to the singular features given above but some add on an extra syllable medially.

Apparently the demonstratives analysed above end in vowels. These denoting distance away from the speaker end in -i which I understand to be a directional morpheme indicating distance away from the speaker, while those indicating distance within the speaker's proximity end in -a or -e.

### 5.3 Concord in quantifiers

The Abu' quantifier which approximates to the English 'many or a lot' also adapts the endings of the various nouns in harmony with the nouns it modifies, as shown by the following examples.

| N. pl. | Quantifier | Intensifier | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aleman | welei-mi | mami | very many men |
| numatawa | welei-weri | wawi | very many women |
| barakas | welei-kesi | kekisi | very many heads |
| aulas | welei-si | sesi | very many houses |
| awalih | welei-lihi | halihi | very many songs |
| alimikuh | welei-kuhi | kaukuhi | very many birds |
| utaba | welei-beri | babi | very many stones |
| abef | welei-fi | fefi | very many breadfruit |
| subarif | welei-fi | fefi | seeds |
| alhu'abis | welei-bisi | bebisi | very many grass skirts |
| disuk | welei-kui | kaukui | very many eggs |


| N. pl. | Quantifier | Intensifier | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dabakuih | welei-hi | hehi | very many fingers |
| akalub | welei-lubi | baliubi | very many coconut fronds |

### 5.4 Concord in colour terms

The stems of basic colour terms in Abu' are given in the table below.

Colour term stem
alial- or barhab-
sekewel-
ou'es
ati'al-
keredu-
bebeio-
a'a'a'ah-

Gloss
dark or black
white
red
yezlow
blue
(Zight blue)
vermilion

Colour terms, being adjectives, change their endings to agree with the endings of the nouns they modify in number and class. Consider the following examples which take on the formula: $N P+A d j C+V P$. For example:
Aleman ana aliali-neri $\frac{n-a h e ' ~ k a n i ~ a u l a f . ~}{\text { man }}$ this black
mant to house

This black man went to the house.
Alimil sekewelihili latemun lawak
bird ${ }^{-}$white - $\overline{i s-s i t t i n g ~ o n ~ t r e e ~}$
A white bird is sitting on a tree.
Walub ou'esiberi
river red
A red river.

### 5.5 Concord in numerals

The Abu' counting system is a modified quinary system. The regular counting terms are given below. When these numbers are used as modifiers their singular or plural endings change to agree with whatever noun ending the number modifies. There seem to be no terms to express the cardinal numbers. The numbers have stems which employ the ending of the noun they modify. For instance, enen e-tin one thing, numata a-tu' one woman and so on.

## Base form for numbers in Abu' <br> etin

bies
wenis

## Literal translation Approximation in

 in English Arabic numeralsone thing 1
two things 2
three things

| Base form for numbers in Abu' | Literal translation in English | Approximation in Arabic numerals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nubatis | dog-things/four things | 4 |
| lakel wa'arakel-(is) | other hand things | 5 |
| ```lakel wa'arakel-(is) e etin``` | other hand and one thing | $5+1=6$ |
| lakel wa'rakel e bies | other hand and two things | $5+2=7$ |
| lakel wa'arakel e wenis | other hand and three things | $5+3=8$ |
| lakel wa'arakel e nubatis | other hand and four things | $5+4=9$ |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel | other hand and other hand | $5+5=10$ |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel e etin | other hand and other hand and one | $5+5+1=11$ |
|  | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | etc. |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel e bur'ah atuh | other hand and other hand and one leg | $5+5+5=15$ |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel e bur'ah atuh e etin | other hand and other hand and other leg and one | $5+5+5+1=16$ |
|  |  | etc. |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel e bur'ah wa'arah i wa'arah | other hand and other hand and other leg and other leg | $5+5+5+5=20$ |
| lakel wa'arakel i wa'arakel e bur'ah wa'arah i wa'arah e etin | other hand and other hand and other leg and other leg and one | $\begin{aligned} & 5+5+5+5+1 \\ & =21 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | etc. |



## 6. TEXT

This is a short story about two men who were living together in a hollow tree for some time and then one of them died. The one who was still living fled until he reached a village where he was accepted and given a wife by the community and became the ancestor of the 'tree clansmen'. Concord between elements is indicated by underlining.

Sawa kani alemam biom mafan mara lawak kakari long time ago men two s̄tay past contained tree $\bar{h} o \bar{Z}$ low Long ago two men were living in a hollow tree.

Mafan raraif u-we-'e anana aleman naka'. Anai nakefani they stay past until and then one man died. The $\bar{l}$ iving one They stayed until one of them died. The one who was living
nasahal e naluha. Naluha raraif uma neketaka anabul wabul $\bar{h} e-g o t ~ u p ~ a n d ~ \overline{r a n ~ a w a y . ~ \overline{H e-r a n ~ a w a y ~ u n t i l ~} \bar{h} e \text { arrived at one village }}$ got up and ran away. He ran away until he reach a village.

Essei wabulisi sa'i safan uwe'e sati anai
those villagers $\bar{a}$ s $\overline{\text { they }}$ were staying suddenly $\bar{s}$ aw the one Suddenly the villagers sow the alive one come running up
nakafani nubulawa nedekieri
alive - he come running he came up
(inferentially) to the village.
Essis sa'i sasahal saluha' uwe'e narifes na'i fa'i they as about $\bar{t} \bar{O}^{-}$get up $\bar{r} u n$ away but $\bar{h} e$ told $\overline{t h} e m$ why As they were about to get up and run avay he told them not to run
fasahal filuha' uma melein? Fai iye mauran iye' uma alifen
 away because he was not a devil but a human being

Essis sekemine' na'a-e sau'ulana safan e sasa'ana a'u'e they heard such (story) they received him and $\bar{g} a v e ~ h i m ~ a ~ w i f e ~$ When they heard what he said they received and welcomed him and gave him a wife
nasa'al nafan uma nakara betois essei dauna
$\bar{h} e$ married and stayed so $\bar{h} e$ bore children those today and he married her and become the father of the children who
sukuhalasuma lawakisi.
they call tree-o $\bar{f}$-children
are now called the tree clansmen.
7. GENERAL NOUN CLASS MATRIX


A SKETCH OF NOMINAL CONCORD IN ABU' (AN ARAPESH LANGUAGE)

Continued...

| Noun Class Example <br> sg; pl | SINGULAR |  |  | PLURAL |  |  | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noun Suffix | Adj. <br> Suffix | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Verb } \\ & \text { Prefix } \end{aligned}$ | Noun Suffix | Adj. <br> Suffix | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Verb } \\ & \text { Prefix } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10.a. alhuab; alhuabis <br> b. aun; aubis | $\begin{aligned} & -b \\ & -n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -b i \\ & -n i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{b}- \\ & \mathrm{n}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-bis } \\ & \text {-bis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -b i s i \\ & -s i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s}^{-} \\ & \mathrm{s}- \end{aligned}$ | egg <br> moon, month |
| 11. nubul; nulub | -bul | -bili | b- | -lub | -1ibi | $b-$ | belly, stomach |
| 12. Diminutives | -ikin <br> -ikil <br> -tawas | -kini <br> -ikuli <br> -siri' |  | -kuh <br> -ikuh ? |  |  | see examples on pp.197ff |
| 13. Borrowed TP words ending in -ihes e.g. rel; relihes | -C | - | - | -hes | -hisi | s- | rail |
| 14. Mass nouns | -C | - | - | - | - | - | see examples on pp.200-201 |
| 15. Proper names and <br> 16. Place names |  |  | - | - | - | - | see examples on p. 201 |
| 17. awata; awatawk | -a | - tari | t- | -wk | -kwaki | kw- | chicken |
| 18. ehen; eheb | -n | -ini | n- | -b | -bi | -b | cold river fish |
| 19. dabah; dabakwih | -h | -ihi | h- | -kwih | -ihi | h- | finger |

### 7.1 Noun classification

### 7.2 Introduction

It is apparent from the noun class summarised above, that there are altogether 19 noun classes. Classification is arbitrary and is done on the basis of the plural suffixes of the nouns or by the concord suffixes of nominal modifiers and verbal prefixes. Although the concord inflections found in the table of demonstratives, quantifiers, numerals and other concord bearing features appear to reduce the number of noun classes, it would be a bit misleading to go by demonstratives alone in determining the number of noun classes in Abu'. The objection is based on the apparent principle raised earlier that the demonstrative reduplicates the ultimate syllable of the noun it qualifies and it is evident that if a new phonemic sound is introduced into the Abu' phonetic system, the demonstrative would inflect that sound thus increasing the number of noun classes as instanced by the Tok Pisin word pater priest. There is of course an Abu' phonemic consonant namely /d/ which is not inflected at all principally because there are, according to one's knowledge, no nouns ending in d.

There are classes that appear to have subclasses such as 1 and 2 which are referred to in this study as male and female classes respectively because the majority of nouns assigned to this class are male or female or nouns implying both genders.

The rest of the noun classes are determined on the basis of either the plural suffixes or the nominal affixes of the nominal modifiers and there is practically no semantics involved in determining the classes. In general therefore, the moment there are differences shown between nouns from the point of view of nominal concord features, such nouns are assigned to different classes. In the following paragraphs a brief mention will be made about each of the 19 noun classes.

For further clarification, Class 1 is practically a male class and the nouns assigned to this class end their singular in one of the following ways: $-n$; -a; or $-C$ for borrowed nouns like pater. The plural suffixes forms for the male class are: -has/-hes or -imi for borrowed Tok Pisin words. The nominal modifiers like adjectives take on two allomorphic suffixes namely -nari or -neri. The occurrence of the former or latter allomorph is conditioned by the preceding sound. If the preceding vocalic sound of the adjectival stem ends in a mid-low vowel /a/, the following suffix vowel will be the same but if the preceding vowel is a high front unrounded vowel, the suffix vowel will be lowered to an /e/ as for instance in bada-nari short man, and ubahi-neri big man. The vowel attached to the end of the nominal modifier is a syncretistic feature which, in this context, functions as an emphatic particle.

Class 2 is principally a female class but unlike the male class above where all nouns denote masculinity, the female class contains some nouns that do not seem to have any association with femininity, as indicated in the table (see examples on p.196). Nouns assigned to this class end their singular forms in /'/ or /V'/. The plural suffix forms of these operate under the following rules: $/ / \rightarrow \emptyset / v-$ wa or $/ u^{\prime} / \rightarrow \emptyset / v-$ liwa\#.

Class 3 contains most nouns that have to do with eating except for a few inedible ones. The singular form ends in $-k a$ and adds $-s$ to form the plural. The form of the plural is easy for this class: just add /s/as in English.

Class 4 contains a mixture of nouns from anatomical terms to assets. The singular suffix forms of these nouns end in /uf/ or /f/ but delete these suffixes and add on /is/ or /s/ respectively to form the plural, which could be summarised in the following rule: /uf/ >/is/ or /f/ >/s/. The latter phonological change from the labiodental voiceless fricative to a sibilant (fricative) seems to occur elsewhere as for example the intervocalic /f/ of /fifikil/ becomes an intervocalic /s/ in the plural form of the word. Hence /fifikil/ > /fifikuh/ ~/fisikuh/.

Class 5 has names of trees and vines as well as anatomical terms. Except for a few irregularities, all nouns end in /h/ in the singular which gets deleted in the environment between a $V$ and /l/ in the formation of the plural form. The rule seems to be as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}/ h / \\ / k /\end{array}\right\} \emptyset / v-1 i h \#$
Class 6 also contains a mixture of all kinds of nouns. This class has been labelled the kuh class after the plural taken by the nouns assigned to this class. Nouns that operate under the rule that causes the singular suffix /l/ to go to zero in the environment between a vowel and the plural suffix /kuh/ have been assigned to this class.

Class 7 is a small class containing names of plants or inanimate objects like stones. These nouns end in the singular in a bilabial nasal but delete it before adding the plural suffix /ba/. It may be assumed that the plural form is a mere nasalisation of the singular form, but it has been noticed that nasalisation does not occur after non-nasal syllables in Abu'.

Class 8 has a few subclasses which are predictable on the basis of the following rule: -um\#>if\# or om\#>ef\#. In other words the back vowels of the singular are fronted in the plural form before the labio-dental voiceless fricative.

Class 9 is small. Nouns assigned to this class end the singular suffix in 'Vt which is deleted before the adding of the plural suffix -suk. The final apical voiceless stop becomes /s/.

Class 10 contains edible nouns which end their singular in /b/ and /n/but employ the suffix -bis to form the plural as in /uwab/ > /uwabis/ night or /walen/ > /walebis/ wild fowl variety.

Nouns which metathesise their singular suffix form to form the plural are assigned to Class 11. This is a large class containing names of all kinds of nouns. The rule to change from singular to plural for this class is: bul > lub; for example nubul > nulub stomach.

Class 12 contains diminutives. The diminutives do not take on the regular endings of their respective classes but take on three different singular endings namely, -ikin; -ikil; and -tawas. These are approximated to the English - let of piglet or -ette as in laundrette.

Class 13 contains borrowed Tok Pisin words which usually retain the original singular form but which employ the suffix -ihes to form the plural. For example, taul > taulihes towel; rel > relihes rail (roadmesh), etc. ${ }^{6}$

Class 14 contains mass nouns such as abal water; unil pus or the borrowed Tok Pisin mass nouns like rais rice; sol salt; suga sugar or bia beer. These nouns do not take on any plural suffix form but the quantifier /welei-/ a lot is used to indicate great quantity.

Classes 15 and 16 contain proper names and place names respectively. Most proper names and place names have meanings which are associated with animals, reptiles, places or habituative actions. These nouns do not have plural forms but instead numerals are used to enumerate the number of people or places if there is more than one person or place involved.

Class 17 contains names of birds, utensils, as well as other nouns which take on the suffix $-w k$ to form the plural. For example, selita selitowk yam/taro masher.

Class 18 contains nouns that merely add on /b/ to pluralise. There are also borrowed TP words which fall into this class.

Class 19 contains nouns ending the singular form in /h/ but nouns which delete it to employ the suffix -kwih to form the plural are assigned to this class, for instance, dabah > dabkwih finger.

Finally, the Abu' word for 'fire', /afiab/ >/unih/ is an interesting word in that its plural takes on a suppletive form, and no other words in this study have been found to follow suit. It is highly plausible to conjecture that it is an irregular noun which changes in that way. The word could have been a borrowing from an Austronesian language. The second conjecture is purely speculative and is based on a piece of information that the word for 'fire' in Malay is api (Codrington: rep: 1974:43-67).

Codrington maintained that this root for 'fire' is widespread in the Malayan, Polynesian and Austronesian languages suggesting an ancient distribution (1974:67). The words for 'fire' in the abovementioned languages closely resemble one another, for example, Malay api; Polynesian ahi, afi and so on. If the word has been borrowed it has become Abuanised, maintaining only the first syllable afi-. Furthermore, the word for 'fire' in the neighbouring AN language, Suain, is (i)yah from which I suspect Abu' unih to have been derived. The northern dialect of Abu' has (as) ieh as the plural form and it is highly plausible to conjecture that -ieh sounds like yah in Suain. At any rate, all of this is pure speculation and may incite interest among historical linguists to do further analysis. The word $a f i a b>u n i h ; ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ a s s i g n e d ~ t o ~ C l a s s ~ 6 ~ o r ~ 18 ~$ depending on what one chooses. If one goes by the singular form one would assign it to Class 18 for the reason that it takes on similar concord affixes as the singular forms of nouns assigned to Class 18 . However the plural form unih concord affixes harmonise very well with nouns of Class 6 .

### 7.3 Samples of noun classes

Class 1: The male class

| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aleman | $>$ | alemam | man |
| anen | $>$ | amum | husband; 3rd person sg. |
| mohun | $>$ | mohulihim | in-Zaw |
| ahanina | $>$ | ahalihim | FaBr |
| sahalina | $>$ | sahalihim | MoBr |
| baah | $>$ | belhehim | $G r F a / G r S o$ |


| Borrowed Tok Pisin words: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| pater | $>$ | paterimi | priest |
| kiap | $>$ | kiapimi/kiapihes | patrol officer |
| tultul | $>$ | tultulimi/tultulihes | village chief |
| kaunsil | $>$ | kaunsilimi/kaunsilihes | a councillor |
| tisa | $>$ | tisaimi | teacher |
| katekis | $>$ | katekisimi | catechist |

Class 2: The female class and other nouns

| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| numata' | $>$ | numatawa | woman |
| numa'u' | $>$ | numaliwa | FaBrWi |
| a'u' $^{\prime}$ | $>$ | awuw | wife |
| isa'u' | $>$ | isaliwa | GrMo/GrDa |
| nikau' | $>$ | nikalwa | daughter |
| Borrowed Tok Pisin words: |  |  |  |
| tisa | $>$ | tiseiwa | femaZe teacher |
| nes | $>$ | nesiwa | nurse |
| sister | $>$ | sisteiwa | sister |
| hostes | $>$ | hostesiwa | (air) hostess |

Other nouns denoting femininity:

| aha' | $>$ | ahawa | coconut or red parrot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mofo' | $>$ | mofowa | edible river frog |
| nikila' | $>$ | nikilawa | earthworm |
| unaru' | $>$ | unaruwa | cassowary |
| amula' | $>$ | amulawa | bird of paradise |

Nouns with no feminine meaning yet which take on similar concord affixes are given below:

| aba' | $>$ | abawa | kind of tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| woba' | $>$ | wobawa | spear |
| waiu' | $>$ | waiuwa | hole |
| mutu' | $>$ | mutuwa | nose |
|  |  |  |  |
| It seems that kinship terms which end in /u'/ drop it and insert an /// |  |  |  |

## Class 3: The class of nouns associated with eating

| Singular <br> ahaka | Plural <br> ahakas |  |  |  | tongue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| akiaka | $>$ | akiakas | ant (generic term) |  |  |
| amaka | $>$ | amakas | face |  |  |
| al'a'naka | $>$ | al'a'nakas | sand |  |  |
| awaka | $>$ | awakas | mass of eggs |  |  |
| baraka | $>$ | barakas | head |  |  |
| halaka | $>$ | halakas | kind of sago palm |  |  |
| baka | $>$ | bakas | sago palm frond |  |  |
| numunika | $>$ | numunikas | ugliness; shome/derogatory |  |  |

Class 4: Anatomical terms and others

| Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aluf | $>$ | alis | body |
| a'uf | $>$ | a'uis | liver |
| rabuf | $>$ | rabis | rib |
| o'o'suf | $>$ | o'o'sis | bottom |
| asaf | $>$ | asas | pubic covering |
| aulaf | $>$ | aulas | house |
| bahiataf | $>$ | bahiatas | scaly river fish |
| du'u'naf | $>$ | du'u'nas | tree with edible leaves |
| idaf | $>$ | idas | fencing timber |
| itaf | $>$ | itas | land, ground or soil |
| ihiaf | $>$ | ihias | sliced taro/yom |
| nabulaf | $>$ | nabulas | tree used for making drums |
| suaf | $>$ | suas | stone for sharpening knives |

Class 5: The -lih class
Singular Plural

| awah | $>$ | awalih | song |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bur'ah | $>$ | bur'alih | leg |
| lahuh | $>$ | lahulih | sago |
| maduh | $>$ | madulih | vineirope |
| naluh | $>$ | halih | tooth |
| lah | $>$ | lalih | gouging utensir |


| Singular | Plural |  |  |  | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| nubah | $>$ | nubalih | tree root |  |  |
| halihif | $>$ | helih | feather |  |  |
| usak | $>$ | usalih | net bag |  |  |
| ihiaburuh | $>$ | ihiaburilih | butterfly |  |  |
| mabulah | $>$ | mabulalih | kind of tree |  |  |
| nukofuh | $>$ | nukosilih | navel |  |  |

Class 6: The -kuh class

| Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alimil | $>$ | alimikuh | Gloss |
| abunal | $>$ | abunakuh | bird |
| abuhutanakil | $>$ | abuhutanakuh | green tree-snake |
| ahal | $>$ | ahakuh | wing of bird |
| aul | $>$ | akuh | kind of tree |
| bakel | $>$ | bakuh | eel; mosquito |
| bul | $>$ | burkuh | stick |
| ilakel | $>$ | ilakuh | pig |
| lakel | $>$ | lakuh | tree mushroom |
| fifikil | $>$ | fifikuh/fisikuh | band |

Class 7: The -ba class

| Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| utam | $>$ | utaba | Gloss |
| itam | $>$ | itaba | stone |
| adum | $>$ | aduba | kind of tree |
| likam | $>$ | likaba | right; right side |
|  |  |  | left; left side |

Class 8: Nouns that operate under Umlaut rule

| Singular | Plural | Gloss |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| butum | $>$ | bitif | penis |
| naim | $>$ | naif | eye |
| subarum | $>$ | suberif | wesif |
| wasum | $>$ | grass skirt |  |
| abom | $>$ | abef | pitpit (wild sugarcane with <br> edible top) <br> natam |
|  | $>$ | natef | breadfruit seed <br> digging stick |


| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nikam | > | nikef | taro |
| weitam | $>$ | weitef | testicle |
| sututuram | > | sutu turef | kind of river Zobster |
| borotom | > | beritef | umbrella shape tree |
| Class 9: The -suk class |  |  |  |
| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| du'it | $>$ | disuk | mountain |
| al'ut | > | alsuk | dusk |
| Class 10: Edible nouns |  |  |  |
| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| alhuab | > | alhuabis | egg |
| bub | $>$ | bubis/bibis | betelnut |
| uwab | $>$ | uwabis | night |
| wa lub | $>$ | walubis | river |
| aun | $>$ | aubis | moon, sun, month |
| walen | > | walebis | variety of wood-fowl |
| Class 11: Metathesis noun class |  |  |  |
| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| afurubul | > | afurulub | dribble |
| akabul | > | akalub | frond |
| asubul | > | asulub | singing, i.e. traditional dance |
| atabul | $>$ | atalub | ridgepole |
| dabul | > | dalub | garden fence |
| dohiribil | > | dohirilib | Iip |
| dokibul | > | dokilub | pimple |
| nabul | > | nalub | magic vine |
| nahubul | > | nahulub | yam fibre |
| nubul | > | nu lub | belly |
| numabul | > | numalub | twisted netbag string |
| wabul | > | walub | village, home, place |
| walakabul | > | walakalub | plant with broad leaves used for wrapping |
| safabul | > | safalub | kind of tree |

Class 12: Diminutives

| Singular |  | Plural | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bulikin | $>$ | bulikuh | piglet |
| asaulikin | $>$ | asaulikuh | tree grub |
| nikamikil | $>$ | nikefikuh | smazl taro |
| alimilikin | $>$ | alimilikuh | small bird |
| utamikil | $>$ | utabakuh | small-sized stone |
| lehitawas | $>$ | l ehitawasukuh | small portion of sago |
| raitawas | $>$ | raitawasikuh | small portion of rice |
| fifikilikin | $>$ | fifikilikuh | small bone |
| dubaunikin | > | dubausikuh | small river lobster |
| alemanikin | > | - | small man |
| numata'ikin | > | numatawakuh | small woman |
| dubarinikin | > | dubarinikuh | small hornbill |
| du'itikin | > | du'itikuh | small mountain or hill |
| uwabikin | > | uwabikuh | twilight |

Class 13: Borrowed Tok Pisin words ending in -ihes

| Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| raipel | $>$ | raipelihes | rifle |
| rel | $>$ | relihes | rail (roadmesh) |
| sadel | $>$ | sadelihes | sandal |
| wil | $>$ | wilihes | wheel |
| travel | $>$ | travelihes | travel |
| kandel | $>$ | kandelihes | candle |
| balus | $>$ | balusihes | plane |
| tos | $>$ | tosihes | torch |
| masin | $>$ | masihes | machine |
| sukul | $>$ | sukulihes | school |
| piksa | $>$ | piksaihes | picture |

Class 14: Mass nouns

| aub | coconut |
| :--- | :--- |
| abal | water |
| abif | breath |
| nikiris | fat |



| Singular | Plural | Gloss |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kedin | $>$ | kedib | softwood tree |
| dubaren | $>$ | dubarub | hornbizl |

Borrowed Tok Pisin words:

| tin | $>$ | tinab | tin, can |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| supun | $>$ | supunab | spoon |
| pin | $>$ | pinab | pin |
| baten | $>$ | batenab | button |

Class 19: The -kwih class

| Singular |  | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dabah | $>$ | dabakwih |
| haleh | $>$ | halekwih |
| iyah | $>$ | iyokwih |
| numunah | $>$ | numunakwih |
| waluh | $>$ | walukwih |
| salah | $>$ | salakwih |
| sa'a'li'ah | $>$ | sa'a'li'akwih |
| lufah | $>$ | lufokwih |

Gloss
finger
breadfruit tree/fruit
path/road
day; daytime
fog
ZandsZide
kind of river crab
laplap, i.e. piece of Zoincloth

## 8. CONCLUSION

Nominal concord inflections as shown on the various syntactic structures have corresponding phonemic and syllabic similarities with the nouns they modify. Even after all these presentations, I am more than sure that the non-Arapesh reader would still wonder about how the Arapesh speaker operates the 'complex' noun system and how we, the Arapesh speakers, handle the concord principle so naturally. The non-Arapesh reader may be puzzled at the way Arapesh speakers get to know at the click of a second the plural form of a noun and the instantaneous choice of correct inflections to make nominal modifiers agree with the noun in usage. Obviously this may sound involved and the data provided may look intricate but let me assure the reader that the Arapesh system is quite simple and learnable just like learning A, B, C. Children can manipulate most of it by age six.

An obvious feature in the data provided so far is the presence of a very modified form of sound (vocalic or consonantal) harmony as shown in the various syntactic structures in which concord operates. As previously noted the concord affixes are, to a degree, monophonous with that of the head except for anomalous cases such as the metathesised noun class.

Admittedly the inconsistencies of the plural suffixes and nominal modifier affixes require a very careful phonological analysis in order to achieve some degree of workable pattern. At this juncture, only a few but very general
phonological changes have surfaced as we have previously noted. There is, for instance, an Umlaut rule which fronts the back vowels and vice versa. There is the general rule whereby certain sounds go to zero in certain linguistic environments as for example in intervocalic position the /f/ $\rightarrow$ /s/ or $/ t / \rightarrow / s /$ and the insertion rule where /l/ is inserted intervocalically before \#/C - /Vk\# and so on.

One thing I hope this study has achieved is a better picture with concrete examples of noun classes and better samples of how nominal concord operates in Abu'. This study is the first linguistic attempt at description of noun classes and nominal concord in Abu' and I hope this will serve as a basis for further expansion of the morphophonemic behaviour of Abu ' and its related languages and dialects.

## 9. NOTES

1. This is a somewhat revised version of my 1977 B.A. Honours thesis submitted to the University of Papua New Guinea, under the title 'A sketch of nominal concord in the Abu' dialect of Mountain Arapesh (West Sepik Province) Papua New Guinea'. Details of the analysis of the concord system have not been changed, although the author now feels that some of the classes could be treated differently.
2. In previous literature (e.g. Laycock 1973 , 1975) Abu' has been regarded as a dialect of Mountain Arapesh. It is regarded by the present author as a distinct language, within the Arapesh family, spoken in the villages of Aspeis, Balup, Malin, Walihiga, Womsis, Amom, Womsak No.l and Womsak No. 2. (Laycock (1973) incorrectly given the last two villages as speaking Southern Arapesh). As all the languages of the Arapesh family share a similar system of noun-classification, the group is sometimes referred to in this paper simply as Arapesh.
3. See Margaret Mead (1938-49) for more information.
4. Bob and Jo Conrad are members of the Summer Institute of Linguistics, Papua New Guinea Branch, based at Ukarumpa in the Eastern Highlands. Their publications in the Buki dialect (which they call Bukiyip, or Bukiyūp) are mainly literacy and religious material (see Bibliography). The dialect is fairly difficult for me to comprehend with ease.
5. In an Oral History article entitled 'The history of Womsis' (see Neckitelly 1975) a claim was made that there are two languages spoken concurrently in Womsis village today. However, during the course of this study, an analysis of lexical and phonological differences of the two so-called languages indicated very minor differences which led the author to maintain that these are dialects and thus not languages as such. Examples of lexical differences between the two Abu' dialects are provided below.

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Southern } \\ \text { dialect }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Northern } \\ \text { dialect }\end{array}$ | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bunohuka | welihika | $\begin{array}{l}\text { (TP) balbal big shady coral tree } \\ \text { with bright red flowers during wet }\end{array}$ |
| season; Erythrina indica |  |  |$\}$| wrapping leaves |
| :--- |


| Southern <br> dialect | Northern <br> dialect | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nikitok | aureh | play/sport |
| lehin | kwin | stirred sago |
| sirah | uram | basket |
| unak | warua | pumpkin leaves |
| ouruf | urah | bush; jungle |
| numa'ita | na'ur | in-low |

6. Regarding borrowed Tok Pisin words, Fortune (1942:10) had noted that when nouns are borrowed from English [Tok Pisin] into the dialect his study was based, they are made to conform to the Arapesh rules of noun classification. This has been found to be true also for Abu'. However, this study has specifically noted that when nouns are assimilated into Abu' they retain the original singular Tok Pisin form but the plural suffixes adapt the various suffixes which are determined morphophonemically (as for example the male and female nouns) or conditioned by phonological changes.
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# GRAMMATICAL DECAY IN PAPUAN LANGUAGES 

S.A. Wurm

Many Papuan languages have very highly complex morphological systems, both in the verb morphology and in the noun morphology. A feature found in the noun phrase of many Papuan languages is the presence of complex to very complex classification systems, often with elaborate concordance features.

It has been observed in a number of instances that during the last few decades there have been tendencies of varying strength towards a simplification of such elaborate morphological features in Papuan languages in the speech of young people, with these simplifications remaining in use as they grow up and the entire community adapting its language use to it as•older speakers of the more elaborate forms of the language die out. This decay of grammatical complexity is apparently contributable to sociolinguistic factors and to language influence resulting from increasing contact between speakers of different languages, the incidence of the use of lingue franche such as Tok Pisin (New Guinea Pidgin) and Solomon Islands Pijin, and the increasing disuse of the local languages in favour of the lingue franche in many situations by the young generation, as well as increasing loss of contact with the traditional culture in which, in many cases, the principles underlying noun classifications for instance, are anchored.

One striking example of this phenomenon is the gradual loss of the very highly complex verb morphology in dialects of Southern Kiwai, which are spoken in the fly delta area of the Western Province of Papua New Guinea. The language has four main dialects:

- Island Kiwai, mainly on Kiwai Island
- Coastal Kiwai, on the south-eastern and eastern coast of the Trans-Fly area
- Doumori Kiwai, on Doumori Island in the western part of the Fly delta and a village on the left bank of the Fly, downstream from Doumori Island
and finally
- Daru Kiwai, on Daru Island off the south-eastern coast of the Trans-Fly area.
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#### Abstract

Of these dialects the Island Kiwai dialect was reduced to writing and used as a missionary language by the London Missionary Society in the Fly delta area before the turn of the century.

The main features of the complex verb morphology of Island Kiwai are as follows (Wurm 1973):

Four numbers are distinguished, i.e. singular, dual, trial and plural. They are marked by prefixes and suffixes. However, only two persons, speaker and non-speaker, are differentiated - they are marked by prefixes. The verb stem, and sometimes prefixes added to it, undergo changes to denote nonsingularity of the object, e.g. oruso eat one, iriso eat more than one.

A variety of aspects, such as punctiliarity, repetitiveness and continuity, are indicated by suffixes added to the verb stem, while prefixes to the verb stem denote modes.of actions, such as spontaneity, reflexivity, application, an action with something. Combination of a number of such prefixes on one verb form appear in many instances.


There are two past tenses, one present tense and three future tenses. They are indicated by tense forms of subject prefixes, together with combinations of prefixes, suffixes and tense forms of the affixes indicating the number of the subject. In many verb forms tense is signalled several times, often first in a general form by the shape of the subject prefix with which only present, past and future are distinguished, and this general indication is then followed by the indication of a specific past or future tense through additional suffixes.

A similar repeated signalling of number in a verb form is also found frequently. So, for instance, the changes of the verb stem mentioned above, which refer to the number of the object, only serve to signal singularity versus nonsingularity. The indication of the specific number of a non-singular object, i.e. whether it is dual or trial or plural, is marked by the addition of suffixes, i.e. oruso eat one, iriso-ama eat two, iriso-bi eat three, iriso-potoro eat more than three, separate ones, whereas iriso indicates to eat more than three at the same time.

In addition to this, there is a complex system of habitual forms in which four tenses, present, near past, definite past and future are distinguished, a range of different imperative forms denoting actions ordered to be carried out immediately, or in the near future, or at some future time, or repeatedly or habitually or as something that must or should be done, or as something whose performance is only advised and not definitely ordered. Four numbers are distinguished in all these forms. A number of permissive and conditional forms exists as well, as well as verbal nouns.

A characteristic feature of the Island Kiwai dialect and incidentally of all Kiwaian languages is the fact that the elaboration of the verb forms as mentioned above is restricted to the affirmative. In the negative, only two basic forms occur, one denoting past and present, and one the future. A comparable paucity of negative forms exists in the imperative forms: only an ordinary and a strong prohibitive are present.

The person of the object is indicated in a number of verb forms through prefixes. Other prefixes appear to denote assertion or certainty, completion of an action, incompleteness of an action, repeated action, actual performance or succession of actions, affirmative and negative interrogation, temporal condition, etc.

In contrast to this highly elaborate verb morphology of the Island Kiwai dialect, the Coastal Kiwai dialect shows a somewhat simpler verb morphology. Especially constructions containing verbal nouns formed by prefixes appear instead of complicated tense forms. In general, the appearance of tense markers in verb forms with non-singular subjects tends to be optional with past tenses, if the context is clear. Doumori Kiwai is similar in verb morphology to Island Kiwai, but Daru Kiwai shows a greatly simplified verb morphology when compared with the other dialects of Southern Kiwai. Constructions containing verbal nouns appear extensively in the place of tense forms, tense markers in verb forms with non-singular subjects are much more rarely used than in Coastal Kiwai, the dual and trial object markers are largely optional and the tense system is greatly simplified. It seems likely that the loss, or only rare optional use, of much of the elaborate Kiwai verb morphology in Daru Kiwai may be due to a pidginisation process attributable to the use of this dialect as a lingua franca by a large number of speakers of other languages living on Daru Island.

This simplification of the Daru Kiwai dialect as a result of its use as a lingua franca is not an unusual phenomenon. However, a simplification process of the morphology of the other three dialects of Southern Kiwai is observable with descending age of the speakers, and is slowly but steadily progressing. Some of this phenomenon may be attributable to influence from the Daru Kiwai dialect. Daru is.the administrative centre of the area and tends to be visited by speakers of other dialects of Southern Kiwai. However, that influence by itself appears to be no reason for speakers of these other dialects to start introducing morphological simplifications into their dialects when they speak to each other within their own dialect area. Also, it may be significant that the morphological simplifications observable in these other dialects of Southern Kiwai are directly proportional to decreasing age of the speakers of the dialects, which at the same time means that they are directly proportional to an increasing knowledge of English and increasing modern educational sophistication on the part of its speakers. At the same time, there appears to be a tendency in Southern Kiwai, as evidenced by the Coastal Kiwai dialect, towards moving obligatory marking of grammatical forms into the optional sphere. The fixing of these markings in a largely obligatory form in the Island Kiwai dialect may be attributable to the reduction of writing of this dialect almost a hundred years ago and its long use in printed materials, with the apparent insistence of the creators of the written form of the language on maximal use of the morphological facilities of the language for clarity of expression, e.g. in translations of Scripture. This tendency for 'fullness of expression' has been observed by myself when working with informants in Coastal Kiwai who, when giving stories, sentences and other volunteered material, show a tendency for fuller usage of the morphological possibilities of the language than in ordinary conversational situations.

All these factors and, in addition, the tendency of the younger generation in that area, as is the case in many other parts of Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands, to oppose traditional features of their culture, which in cases extends to opposition to the use of, or features of, their traditional language, may well be a better explanation of the simplification phenomenon referred to than the assumption that it is caused by a direct influence of the simplified Daru Kiwai dialect. This assumption is supported by the observation that there are other cases, which will shortly be mentioned, in which a comparable simplification of morphological complexity of languages has taken place, without the availability, to the speakers, of an already extant simplified model of the respective languages to follow.

Another striking example of such grammatical decay in a Papuan language is provided by the Buna language of the East Sepik Province of Papua New Guinea. This language, for which Kirschbaum (1926) reported the presence of a complex noun-class system comprising 12 classes, has been found by Laycock (1975) to have no noun-class system at all today. The same situation appears to be present with Murik of the same province for which Schmidt (1953) reports the presence of a noun-class system, but during his fieldwork in 1970-71, Laycock (1973) was unable to find any trace of it. Similarly, Laycock (1975) reports the tendency towards a breakdown of the elaborate noun-class system in Mountain Arapesh.

A situation in which the breakdown and decay of an elaborate noun-class system is at present in progress and is in direct proportion to the descending
 the Santa Cruz Archipelago at the extreme eastern end of the Solomon Islands chain. This language has an almost totally semantically based multiple-class nominal classification system in which the noun classes are marked by prefixes on the nouns. Sixteen of the noun classes show concordance of varying types. The concordance is limited to the noun phrase and is found with qualitative adjuncts, numerals and possessives (with the latter only if possession is emphasised) (Wurm 1981).

The following types of concordance are distinguished with various noun classes:
(1) Five of the noun classes show full concordance within the noun phrase, with qualitative adjuncts, numerals and possessives.
(2) With four noun classes, concordance is present with qualitative adjuncts and possessives (if possession is emphasised), but with numerals the concordance is marked by the prefix of another noun class, the so-called person class, for all four of them.
(3) With five of the noun classes, concordance is present with qualitative adjuncts only, though a few cases have been observed in which numerals have the class prefix.
(4) With two classes, concordance is present with numerals only.
(5) With other noun classes which are present in the language in addition to the 16 noun classes referred to, no concordance has been observed, but qualitative adjuncts are preceded by a special adjunct prefix which can also appear with possession markers if possession is emphasised. Over 30 such additional noun classes have so far been found to exist in the language.
It has been observed that with decreasing age of the speakers, there is an increasing tendency of concordance as described above under points (1)-(4), to be replaced by the system described under (5). Even old speakers today show a tendency towards free variation between the types of concordance described under (1)-(4), and what has been described under (5).

It appears that in all cases referred to, increased exposure to the Western world and turning away from the traditional culture in which many of the morphological complexities of languages are anchored as reference systems, constitute the major reasons for the decay of complex grammatical features of Papuan languages.
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## THE PRESENTATIONAL STYLE OF WOMEN IN HULI DISPUTES

## L.R. Goldman

```
Bi ina larigo haria nawi.
talk you said track not-there
The talk you have said doesn't lead anywhere.
Wane-igini emene bi laridagoni.
children small talk you-have-said
You have talked like little children.
Wali wanela bi 0 laridagoni.
woman daughter-and talk O you-have-said
You have talked like a woman and a daughter.
Agali bi ndo laridagoni.
men talk no you-have-said
You haven't talked like men.
Hale haria unugo ha ti haria ha polelu piyadagoni.
ear tracks there in excreta track in when-it-went went
The talk has gone into your ears and come out through your arse. '
```

(Text A: Dalu (Goldman 1983:270))

It is well known that women in particular and small boys are liable to be untruthful and invent stories.
(Text B: Judge Sutcliffe, Old Bailey, April 1976 (Pattullo 1983:18))

```
You women are not going to straighten the talk
If it is a small matter we men will listen
If is is a big matter we will talk until the evening
You (women) all go off I am saying
```

(Text C: Ago (Goldman 1981:213))
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## INTRODUCTION ${ }^{2}$

The research theme of sexual dimorphism and asymmetry in New Guinea Highlands cultures has characteristically been pursued within the confines of traditional anthropological boundaries. The now voluminous literature evidences two distinct, but interrelated, lines of enquiry. The first approach develops the sociostructural implications of the male/female dichotomy by attending to its semantic, symbolic and metaphoric dimensions (cf. Meggitt 1964; Brown and Buchbinder 1976). The nexus of meanings and values predicated about gender is here invariably schematised in binary oppositions such as dominance-subjugation, purity-pollution, fertility-death or mortality-immortality. These antitheses define stigmatic dogmas and ideologies by encompassing a consistent set of ideas about the nature and implications of female sexuality. As pragmatic instruments, these codes are frequently shown to underscore and support the political subordination of women. A second perspective on intersex relations is constituted as a dialogue between (a) culture-specific definitions of gender identity with their attendant environmental polarities of wild/domestic or private/public; and (b) the broader debate concerning the universality of a nature/culture dichotomy as a rationale for status and power differentials (cf. Gillison 1980; Strathern 1980; Brown and Jordanova 1981).

Notwithstanding the axiomatic status accorded to these grids for an understanding of social action, there is a marked lacuna of information regarding sexual differentiation in language behaviour among Highlands peoples. For the most part, analyses to date are divorced from the discoursal contexts in which gender-based inequities are communicated and negotiated. The acknowledgement that language reflects attitudes, prejudices and pejoration processes has not here manifested itself in the provisioning of material on either stereotypical notions of male/female speech and their rhetorical contexts of pronouncement, or the empirical nature of gender-based speech patterns in same-sex or crosssex conversations. We lack, then, the requisite text data on verbal interaction sequences that might illustrate the interdependence between goals, sexist philosophies and linguistic strategies. That the literature should exhibit a high degree of 'conversational impoverishment' is all the more surprising given that the types of sex-role differentiation found in this region provide a particularly fertile bed for testing sociolinguistic theories focussed on difference, dominance and deference patterns. In this respect, the extensive writings on gender and language (cf. Thorne and Henley 1975; McConnell-Ginet, Borker and Furman 1980) have paradoxically remained of tangential interest to mainstream anthropology in New Guinea. And yet the typological schemas of male/ female speech styles - 'powerful/powerless' (O'Barr 1982), 'assertive/tentative' (Lakoff 1975) or 'indirect/direct' (Keenan 1974) - demonstrate a perceived concordance between certain situational-semantic configurations (typically described as 'male dominated') and lexicosyntactic, phonological and prosodic variables. Disparities in the social power of the sexes reflect themselves in speech through techniques of conversational subordination - e.g. constraints on turn length, topic control or interruption (cf. Zimmerman and West 1975) - as well as the sex-based determination of participant roles. Insofar as language is an instrument for, and a parametric index of, social discrimination, speech studies hold a singular significance for gender focussed research in the Highlands. The dearth of relevant data here is, however, less a product of androcentricity (of the 'male-as-norm' interpretation of culture) than the peripheral status of linguistic evidence in research plans and ethnographic treatises.

Despite marked divergences in perspective and method, the literature reveals two problematic areas associated with cross-cultural studies on women as producers and interpreters of language:
(1) The structural and semantic variability of language systems, and indeed the culture-bound nature of glosses used for the indexical features of any 'genderlect', make comparative research a difficult task. Many of the mensurable factors detailed by Lakoff (1975) - a classic in this field - have since proved ephemeral (cf. Philips 1980:533). More importantly, her study (like many others) was specific to women's speech in American culture, but unspecific as to its 'situated' character. By focussing on the contextual variation of women's speech we necessarily tie an idiosyncratic set of stylistic choices to a given range of situational factors. Clearly, the pool of linguistic resources used for fashioning communicative strategies varies from one culture to another. Lakoff's hypothesis that women's speech is more polite, tentative and uncertain than male speech, is based on the higher distributional frequency of hedges, disclaimers, super-polite forms, hypercorrectness, tag questions and direct (as opposed to indirect) quotation. While this has proved a testable schema for analysing witnesses' speech in American courtrooms (cf. O'Barr and Atkins 1980), Huli disputants cannot draw on a comparable range of phenomena. I have shown elsewhere (Goldman 1983) that in this Papua New Guinean language there is no distinction between direct/indirect speech since reported utterances are always cited directly in the object position. Furthermore, in that the language system evidences only objective modalisation, it is not possible to lexically mark attitudinal import to express qualified reservation. There are no 'opinion' type gambits that can express wonder, doubt, presumption, diminished assurance or tentativeness. There is always an unqualified commitment to the categorical I-say-so (neustic) component of an utterance though one can (through appropriate evidential suffixes) express qualified factuality in the it-is-so (tropic) element. Moreover, it would be difficult from an emic standpoint to identify what might count as an instance of 'politeness' or 'deference' in this culture and, indeed, it is far from clear that such notions are amenable to interlinguistic comparison. ${ }^{3}$ The tenor of these arguments supports those repeated appeals for detailed studies on the interaction between social settings and gender-based patterns of language usage (cf. Thorne and Henley 1975:13, 30; Philips 1980:534, 541; McConnell-Ginet 1980:7; Reiter 1975:16) to widen our understanding of this subject.
(2) Noting the inherent dangers of glossing techniques, there has been considerable reaction against dichotomous theorising on this topic as both oversimplifying complex data and unconsciously imposing the analyst's presuppositions about gender definitions (cf. Thorne and Henley 1975:27; Brown and Jordanova 1981: 229). Such categorical and expositional conveniences with their 'monochromatic messages' (Philips 1980:540) about women's speech or status as powerless, inferior or subordinate has obscured, rather than clarified, the true nature of male dominance in these societies. The broad agreement that 'even in situations of overt sex role asymmetry women have a good deal more power than conventional theorists have assumed' (Rosaldo and Lamphere 1974:9) has ushered in more refined and detailed studies showing how power differentials are matters of a 'kind' rather than 'degree' nature. Such transformations in perspective and emphasis (cf. McConnell-Ginet 1980:17; Brown and Jordanova 1981:230; Brown 1981:254) consider women as political strategists and attempt to define the forms, bases and scope their power takes. Examining linguistic choices provides diacritical evidence for the manner in which resources are exploited and fashioned to situational exigencies. We gain knowledge of how recurrent types
of interaction are experienced by women, as well as their perception of the discoursal salience attached to particular patterns of language use. As Harding noted: 'The point is not that women are unique in their verbal skills for political ends, but that these skills must be uniquely developed by them in their exercise of power, given... their lack of formal access to the decisionmaking processes in the society' (1975:305).

What is required in grappling with the key sociolinguistic question of 'why' (Thorne and Henley 1975:13; Brown 1980:133; McConnell-Ginet 1980:21) women's speech assumes the form it does, is a strategic model of communication that adequately accounts for conversationists' goals in the production and interpretation of talk. Verbal routines, as 'situated' speech, are examined for their actual or construed functional appropriateness; that is, as displaying power in the sense of effecting or influencing desired outcomes. We thereby reach beyond the task of cataloguing formal linguistic features to a consideration of the social-structural milieu underpinning language uses. It is, then, precisely the centrality of a strategic focus that links the endeavours of language and sex ethnography with the theoretical issues addressed by communication research on conversation (e.g. Craig and Tracy 1983). The structure and comprehensibility of talk derives from strategic processes as (but also dependent on) rules and routines - 'making sense' is a pragmatic accomplishment. Most critically for the argument of this paper, notions about the internal and natural 'fit' (cf. Brown 1980:113) of linguistic traits in women's speech are formalised in communications-oriented studies as a relationship between cohesion and coherence. The former embraces those semantic resources used for manufacturing text as realised through, say, lexicogrammatical variables. Specific types of structural binding are isolated as, principally, within-turn phenomena. Coherence refers to aspects of topic development, relevance and consistency in relation to shared presuppositions and the particular context-of-situation. Analysing coherence as a 'rational totality' (Östman 1978:103) requires consideration of between-turn structures.

The present study is firmly located within the sphere of theoretical issues outlined above. I consider the meshing of gender, power and language in intersex disputes among the Huli of Papua New Guinea. The constitutive foci are:
(i) the nature of 'textuality' in the accounts of female complainants;
(ii) the manner in which gender-based ideologies of inequity interface with context-specific egalitarian norms (e.g. principles of 'equal before the law' or 'speech reciprocity' (Goldman 1980)) as impinging upon the structure and content of participants' speech;
(iii) the way in which the above affects actual and perceived dispute outcomes.

The study is both part of an ongoing inquiry into dispute resolution in Huli as well as a contribution to comparative sociolinguistic work on language and women in forensic situations.

## STEREOTYPES AND PARTICIPANT-ROLES IN DISPUTES

Huli social organisation is characterised by a rigid role differentiation along sexual lines such that most types of intersex interaction are hedged by taboo. The rhetoric of male/female communication reveals (cf. Goldman 1983) the sense in which the sexual disjunction is a constituent semantic feature of
most organisational schemas. The associated set of values encompasses an uncompromising ideology of pollution which articulates female sexuality in terms of toxicity and contagion. This central motif of pollution is embedded in the linguistic repertoires used in disputing where negative-evaluation terms encode the semantic derogation of women. The morphemic structure of anger, shame, insult and dispute lexemes embodies a congruent set of ideas about the feminine gender. Importantly, idioms of deviance establish a connection between notions of defilement or disorder and women, which underpins the sexist traits in male ideology. It is not so much the cultural evaluation of their sexuality that Huli women dispute as the inferential models relating them to all types of 'anomalous' situation.

At other levels imbalance and inequity are terminologically institutionalised in the labels of unit structuration. Most notable here is the distinction between tene (real, base, first, source) - agnates - and yamuwini (nothing/ woman (derogatory) yamu) + placed (wini) - non-agnatic cognates. Moreover, as I have argued elsewhere (1983:94), stigma is inculcated through educational mediums such as myth where there is marked vocabulary switching from neutral to 'loaded' terms.

Inextricably bound to the sexual disjunction are the environmental categories of anda (domestic/private) and hama (public/epideictic). This conceptual grid serves to dichotomise power domains such that males monopolise contexts for public display. The patterning of actions on hama are homologous with ethno-ethological statements about sexual differentiation in bird-ofparadise behaviour. ${ }^{4}$ The aesthetic rationale governing exchange actions on hama - whether in pigs, paint or parlance - is the utilisation of 'pure' as opposed to polluted materials. All items must be sanitised of contagion. This is linguistically indexed by occurrences of high-valued eulogistic lexemes, euphemistic and 'indirect' speech in reference to sexual acts, and other forms of linguistic disinfectant. Transfering from anda to hama is a rule-governed activity. This manifests itself in disputes as conversational routines that sift and separate issues according to those which should and should not be discussed on hama:
D.1:663-664

Wali agali la mana wiyago
woman man both custom has-been-placed
For man and woman a mana has been placed.
Ogo hamani ayu lo-yu tagira pobehe ndo
this on-public space now to-say-carry outside can-go no
On this public space it shouldn't be said and carried outside.
In that there is anaphoric reference here both to types of insulting action and to 'domestic' quarrels, an explicit division is made between appropriate and inappropriate issues. Settlement-directed talking in Huli is thus part of the wider ritualised treatment of space.

While adducing popular stereotypes of male/female speech patterns remains an important source of illumination, appreciating their contextualised usages can frequently sound a note of caution against over-simplistic characterisations. Thus one perspective on the prefacing Texts $A$ and $C$ might interpret

Text $A$ as illustrative of male derogation of female speech (perhaps as 'gossip' or 'frivolous' talk); and

Text $C$ as an example of the conversational subordination of women in which men are seen to be 'consciously flaunting power'.
(Maltz and Borker 1982:199)
Moreover, as is apparently the case in certain Malagasy communities (cf. Keenan 1974), the sentiments about language impoverishment expressed in Text A may support or rationalise the interactional control of decision-making exemplified by Text $C$. However, the evaluation of verbal performance in Text $A$ is based on the contextual inappropriateness of women's/children's speech for the task of dispute resolution. That is, the talk lacks 'direction' (haria) in the sense of promoting the communicative goals pertaining to moot situations. It is this feature, rather than any negative inherent quality of the speech itself, which underlies the rhetorical strategies of mediators in D.l and D. 2 which characterise marital arguments as 'man and wife talk':
D.1:969-971 (see also 1204-05; 1243-45)

Au biribigo
This is how you two have been doing.
One agalini la.lai gungu bialu
You have been arguing and fighting like man and wife.
Au lo manda hemaria
We have thought like that.
or in its gnomic form
D. 1:1345

Walime agalini la lai gungu biaga (custom.mood) biagoni degego woman man both argue fight customarily-do that one just-like
laga (custom.mood)
it-is-said
Women and men customarily argue and fight and this is just like that
it is said.
Equally significant is the point that male stereotypes about female speech do not form confrontational devices used against women in the context of dispute talk. Rather, they are utilised as a discriminatory grid by males to separate 'women-like-men' from 'men-like-men'. This is attributable, as I explain in the next section, to the strength of constraining norms inhibiting expressions of sexual partiality.

In a similar vein, Text $C$ simply affirms sex-role separation in the participant structure of any dispute (bi). There are two potentially confusing aspects to this phenomenon that require untangling. First, the conceptual and spatial model of a dispute as a speech event is triadic in nature:


Every quarrel is conceived to have two 'originators' and part of the conversational tasks of disputing is to locate the tene as the loci of responsibility and liability for compensation. Women who attend disputes do so either as (a) a tene; (b) close friend or relation of a female tene; or (c) a witness to events, or as having some relevant testimony on pertinent matters. They
cannot by convention, or choice, take incumbency on 'mediator' (dombeni) roles. This preclusion is not subject to challenge, complaint or censure - it is an accepted given of the interactional context. This sharply contrasts with the Village Court system where there is legislative provision for female village court magistrates, but where the social power of males in the society results in total monopolisation of these judicial roles. That is, women suffer disadvantaged access to mediation roles only in the latter context where choice is conceived to exist. Second, unlike the Malagasy where the rationale for excluding women is directly based on speechmaking abilities (Keenan 1974:141), Huli clearly separate 'access' to mediatorship from 'competence' to make mediatorial speeches:
D.1:1299

| Lebe amu | tiga tiga (reduplic.) /ore hanuni / ore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| two-days ago over there straight | very middle very |

lalu piru
when-said I-went
I wo days ago I said a straight talk, a middle talk and I went.
D. 2:413-418

Libu one agalini lalu kabi bi dombeni ogoni dagua pu kabi those-two wife husband talked is-there talk middle that like go is-there
wali hangu bialu kabi ogoni dagua labe ngabe
woman alone done is-there that like say isn't-it-put-there?
When a husband and wife have argued then, 'Make a middle talk' it is said;
when only a woman is there then (she should), 'Speak like that' it is said?
Inter-sex conflict in dispute resolution is less an affair of competing ideologies about speech worthiness than competing interpretations of how, and whether, bias manifests itself.

The critical importance of Huli disputes for examining contextual variation in women's speech lies precisely in the interplay between the sex-exclusive role of 'mediator' - reflecting power asymmetry and potential for sexual prejudice in the settlement process - and an egalitarian normative framework pertaining to (A) the relative status of male/female in dispute forums; (B) the structuring of conversation.

A: The surface parity of women's talk is maintained by
(i) conversational routines between female complainants and middle-men (dombeni) which are constituted as accusations-denials of bias, and which reinforce notions of impartiality; and
(ii) a strategic pool of proverb and precept resources which can be drawn upon to counteract sexist tendencies. For example,
D. 2:399
anda maga mo beregedane walimedago beregedara...
house struts made-turn around woman is turning...
Woman turned the struts of the house around for men and she is turning them now...

This saying (cf. Goldman 1983:232) refers to the mythologically stated primacy of women who 'educated' men to place the horizontal holding-struts of a house on the inside. The speaker here implicitly enjoins others to attend to Wanili's speech as 'showing men the way'.

B: In tracing out the effect of gender on the patterning to talk it is not immediately obvious how women might experience conversational discrimination. A dispute is not terminologically segmented into discrete phases. Most typically they are multiple-claim affairs and there are no restrictions on how disputants compose their claim-profiles though, as I have argued elsewhere (Goldman 1983), these profiles reveal marked sequential patterning. With the exception of land disputes, there is no preallocation of turn order nor any prespecification of turn type (cf. Atkinson and Drew 1979). Talking does not here exhibit the type of formal two-part exchanges characteristic of courtroom speech; that is, question-answer sequences are dispersed rather than dominant. Consonant with this overall lack of choreographic direction, women are not subject to any restriction on the length, locale or format of their speaking turns. Indeed, the absence of a temporal constraint on these events means that competition for turns (and thus for prestige) is diffuse since everyone who wants to speak is assured of space. In this respect, analysis of a number of intersex dispute texts does not show that men are more likely to infringe the speaking rights of women through interruption (cf. Zimmerman and West 1975).

The above properties of the disputing system have to be set against the forms of overt imbalance alluded to earlier. As previously mentioned, the linguistic repertoire used for disputing is replete with terms indexing the semantic derogation of women. Indeed, gender in the Huli language is a 'covert category' (Whorf 1956) where the verb used to place people or animals constitutes one cryptotype. There is a division of nouns according to whether they take the marked (ka - stand) or unmarked (beda - sitting) form:

| Ka | Beda |
| :--- | :--- |
| males | females |
| pigs, dogs | birds, insects |
| trees, plants | still water |

This division applies only where the particular stance of a person is not known in reference. Importantly, the positional dimensions of high/above:low/ under characterise a whole range of behavioural and ideological forms.

Insofar as the speech situation of dispute must regularly present to women a broadly similar structural configuration, recurrent experiences of inequity are likely to engender institutionalised responses in the adoption of strategic verbal routines to cope with discrimination. By examining such conversational data one gains insight into the incriminatory and defensive postures adopted the 'system' models. In Huli, the presentational styles - as motivated choices among linguistic resources - reflect sex-preferential (rather than sex-exclusive) patterns. There is a differential frequency of use of text-forming agencies. In the following analysis I have employed the typological scheme of Halliday and Hasan (1976) specifically attending to the cohesive resources of conjunction and lexical reiteration and collocation. The textuality of women's speech is thus interpreted as a denser cluster of specific ties frequently used for chaining propositions that compose an account. This characteristic selection is sensitive to, and variable with, verbal and non-verbal environmental features. It is this interaction that produces specific text 'colorations' - a term much used for evaluation of audio speakers - which in turn become subject to commonsense glossing procedures. In testing the sensitivity of texture to situational factors one hypothesises a different pattern of choices for other 'talk-situations', or even within a class of contexts (such as Huli disputes) according to, say, different claim sequences in disputants' profiles.

In accepting that the constructional elements of texts are 'semantic' in nature we are allowed a degree of analysis independent of sentential structure. It is widely appreciated that there are theoretical and practical problems associated with transcript production. The process has an ineradicable subjective component which manifests itself in the selection and encoding of relevant audio data. The ethnographer has to 'gauge the point at which difficulty of reading becomes impossibility of reading' (Bohannan 1969:403) while remaining mindful of the fact that 'it is the use of the simplest English words, such as connecting prepositions and conjunctions, that is potentially most dangerous' (Gluckman 1969:353). In this regard I have frequently provided both a literal and free translation of vernacular text. The result is that a transcript such as D.l makes heavy demands on the reader but, as Gluckman noted many years ago, 'there is no reason why science should be easy reading' (1967:xvi). My focus in the following analysis is on the cohesive function of semantic relations rather than their sentential positions of occurrence. In line with this, I have chosen to represent the punctuational feature of pause as part of the lineation rationale. This provides the reader with some indication as to the performative nature of speeches and the way in which speakers segment information into manageable portions.

## MEDIATORY STRATEGIES

To the extent that the referential import of deictic pronouns is partially dependent on participant-role definitions, focussing on how these are lexicalised in vocative and referring expressions, with respect to sex, provides an important departure point for the present study. Thus the frequent use of the pronoun 'we'
(ina) by males - when identifying themselves as mediators - carries generalised exophoric reference (Halliday and Hasan 1976:53). The class is immanent in all dispute contexts and the referent is not simply those men gathered at any specific dispute event. The reported speech of Dalowa in D.1:19

Agali o kemirume ogoni dagua mo tiga bialu...
men o with those-of-you that like when-you-have-made-straight... When you have straightened this matter with those men...
indicates a projected situation in which mediation is unequivocally defined as a male-based activity. This assumption of gender is fundamental to other referring expessions which articulate the role criteria in terms of possession of 'customary lore' (mana) - D.l:1284
manali bi mo tale bule
mana-people talk will-share-it
or 'talk' (bi) - D.l:843
ina biyime dabale
we talk (bi) + holding (yi) + (focussed subject suffix me) to choose
Because the category of mediator is by the tacit agreement of both sexes 'male', it would be wrong to interpret these identification processes as inherently discriminatory. The fundamental notions of impartiality, compromise and settlement-directed suggestion, embodied by the term dombeni, reflect properties of the class referred to by such phrases as 'the men who are here' (D.l:19; 60) or the 'middle-men' (agali (man) + dombeni (middle) - D.1:767; 831; 834; 1066)

Agali dombenime lagabe?
men middle customarily-spoke?
Did the middle-men customarily speak?
be libinime lagabe?
you two customarily-speak?
Or was it just two people (i.e. the disputants) who customarily spoke?
0 libinime laga ndo
O two people customarily-spoke no
It wasn't that only two people spoke. (Goldman 1983:160)
These referential phrases are most often subject to focussing activity by suffixation, e.g. -me, or, as in the idiostyle of Dalu, by interposing the voiced pause 0 between noun and adjective (D.1:19; 60; 75; 659)
agali o kemago...
men o are-here...
The above remarks explain the recurrence of vocative uses of 'men' (D.1: 773; 1076; 1194) which are not necessarily appeals to shared ideals between members of the same sex. In this respect $\mathrm{D} .2: 364-379$ provides a clear expression of gender differences in speech roles - the fact of an all-male audience - that does not militate against the attribution of importance to women's talk

Ai bi ina larigo agali ha ogobi anda piyada ai talk you said men in this inside went The talk you (Wanali) have said has gone inside men.

This surface neutrality is a critical property of a mediation code which I have defined and analysed elsewhere (cf. Goldman 1983) as a specific set of linguistic options communicating levels of commitment to decision making. For dombeni, assertion and tentativeness are differentially distributed in any utterance and indeed over the component arguments constituting a turn. Conversational goals are here oriented to proposing option sets and setting the terms of debate to prospectively structure talk. This is evident from the pronounced patterning found in mediation speeches and the routine use of 'gambits' recurrent semifixed expressions - which semantically frame previous and forthcoming information in terms of culture-specific, and context-specific, cognitive categories. These constitute a type of subsidiary discourse to the main stream of talk. The commitment of mediators is to the erection of paradigmatic settlement choices and to the reiteration of generalised notions and norms of good speech in disputes. Contrastingly, there is a deferred commitment to direct forms of blame imputation or to the formulation of decisions as specific directives to action. Assertion occurs in the posing, presenting and suggesting of choice frameworks; an attentuation of directness is manifested, however, in the promotion or selection of particular options. This directly parallels the unqualified commitment expressed in the 'I-say-so' element of a proposition in opposition to the qualified commitment (by appropriate evidential suffixes, e.g. D.l:34; 37) expressed in the 'it-is-so' component. These features of objective epistemic modality and direct quotation seem peculiarly suited to the mediation code in which speakers delimit and circumscribe their involvement (or responsibility) for what others have said. This functional appropriateness is, I would argue, exploited by Huli speakers when exercising choice in regard to lexical and syntactic focussing that emphasises 'who' made given statements:
D.1:1

Dalowa ibu/gua howa lalu Andira i/na
Dalowa she+3rd.pers.sing. from said Andira you+2nd.pers.sing. foc.sub.suffix foc.sub.suffix
D.1:51
i/na Dalowa i/na lari / go
you+2nd.pers.sing. Dalowa you+2nd.pers.sing. you said +attention drawing
foc.sub.suffix foc.sub.suffix (2nd.pers. suffix sing. Past)

Dalu here expresses his distance and disaffiliation from reported speech by interposing the focussed pronoun forms and adding a focussed suffix to the verb statement (i.e. -go) even though 'who' made the following utterances is perfectly clear from the subject term, verb inflection, and direct quotation in reported speech.

The whole of Dalu's speech (D.l:l-92) evidences a high degree of structuring in terms of rhetorically motivated choices which realise themselves most typically in types of parallel repetition and branching. The determining agents here are the complementaries used for collocational cohesion and the concluding gambits which place the onus of decision making onto the principal disputants:

$$
\text { D. } 1: 33-41
$$

(cf. Goldman 1983:109)
I oneme tindule laruabe
Your wife lies is-she-saying?
Be henenedabe? Truths?

Hondol emiya
Let us see

They are saying the truth?
They are saying lies?
Puma will say (i.e. when he arrives)


In these types of elliptical polarity the question particle be, like the Hindi kya, can be differentially employed either at the end of a sentence - as a verb suffix - or at the start in which case the form heneneda(be) is optional. The phonological repetition produced by inclusion here is thus an important ingredient in the oratorical cocktail. Similarly, choices exercised in the expression of propositional negation indicate an assignment of rhetorical prominence:
D. 1:42-50

The talk you have said
La-hende
said heard
La-hende ndo
said heard no
(Goldman 1983:160)
Agali dombenime lagabe?
Did the middle-men customarily speak?
Be libinme lagabe?
Or was it just two people who customarily spoke?

Libinime laga ndo
It wasn't that only two people spoke.

The occurrence of the sentence-final lexeme ndo (no), most typically stressed, is preferred against the negative prefix na- which can express precisely the same statement:

```
nala-hende
not said heard
```

libinime nalaga
those two not-customarily-speak

The dualistic structure of kinship relations in these marital disputes provides a useful resource for constructing passages based on repeated syntax as in references to Tondowa and Hawi (D.1:10; 201; 252), or Dalowa and Andira: D.1:829-830

Wali unugo i agalini kagoni*
Woman down there your husband is
Agali unugo $i$ one bedagoni
Man down there your wife is
(*note the different forms for the copula according to sex of the subject.)
Furthermore, such forms of rhetorical enumeration typically occur when choices are listed or plans for future talk are defined (ref. D.1:713; 765; 749)
D.1:51-77

When Hiribalu... has heard
When Andira's mother...has heard
When us men. . . have heard
When your father's-brothers... have heard

This isn't the talk I made No, that is the talk I said He (Andira) will make a reply.
(cf. Goldman 1983:166; 183-84)
One pig has been eaten... Pila, was it you? Anege, was it you? Helago, was it you?

They haven't chosen now
These talks choose.'
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Plan for Future Talk } \\ \text { Choice gambit }\end{array}\right]$
The implicit syntax of Dalu's speech is highly typical in its progression from a description of the current state of play between the disputing parties to a coda composed of disjunctive conclusions and finalised by a choice gambit. ${ }^{5}$ Indeed, the frequent occurrence of choice gambits in mediation speeches ( $D .1$ : 757; 840; 967; 819)
D. $2: 194$

Ogoni dabole ladaba laro these to choose you (dual:imperative-immediate) speak I-con-saying To choose these issues, speak, I an saying.
again illustrates the manner in which there is a circumscribed commitment on the part of mediators to the selection of specific settlement options.

It is important to point out that the marked phonological patterning we find in many speeches, as for example in D.2:303-321

> Iba piyagoni Homaiyagoni Ira piyagoni Dandame bayagoni Agalime bayagoni $\frac{\text { Honowule }}{\text { Hongole }}$
is a rhetorical device also manipulated by women in dispute talking (e.g. D.2: 71-77)
D.1:459-468
... limbi lomba biya
Iya baba bi laya
Lai bi laya
Ani laya handala
On the one hand the phonotatic structure of Huli - and importantly the fact that all words end in a vowel - makes assonance almost an inevitable by-product of talk. On the other hand, the poetic patterns embedded in Huli genres are evenly distributed among both male- and female-exclusive forms. These arguments are important indicators that gender-based differences in language behaviour are here less likely to be found at the level of rhetorical form.

Among the many stereotyped mediation routines that confront litigants, those which attempt to normalise and trivialise conflict deserve special mention. The former strategy aims to delimit and de-escalate serious repercussions by presenting disputants with a categorisation of their mode of relationship as 'normal'. The gnomic proposition 'all relatives customarily argue' is a convenient frame which allows mediators to provide referential determinancy in any given dispute. Speakers neutralise the socio-economically disruptive effects of divorce in D.l and D. 2 by assimilating a host of marital grievances to a 'normal' definition of the situation (D.2:191; 221; 325)
onene agalini la lai gungu bialu howa
wife husband both argue fought since-done
The dispute texts illustrate not only the frequency and distribution of such formulae (ref. D.l:970-971; 1204-1206; 1243-1246; 1345) but also the fixedness of the collocational sets one (wife) agalini (husband) : lai (argue) gungu (fight). Promoting the realisation among litigants that conflict is an inevitable and endemic aspect of relationships constitutes an invariable resolution technique regardless of who is arguing with whom about what:

Goldman 1983:180
Now I am saying something important
$I$ an saying something important
† mbalini la
you sister both
With a sister.
Abá hamene la
father brother both
with a male relative.

Bi ko laga
talk bad customarily-said
We used to make bad talk.
The determinant effect of such strategies is to force disputants to disaffiliate their particular claims from falling within these encompassing definitions:

## D. 2:213

Wives and husbands used to argue all day and come and go that is a different talk.

More serious for outcome production is the tendency for normalisation processes, such as those outlined above, to include explicit statements which trivialise or make inconsequential the whole range of subsistent claims and counter-claims (ref. also D.1:1204: 1243-1246; 1345)
D.1:1285-1289

With the husband
With the woman's fathers and brothers
I have seen them get many troubles
We have seen many making talks like these

Amu larigo tabirene
over there you said jokes
laribigo
you-two-said
What you have said over there are
just jokes.
or
D. 2:183-186

Tini onene agalini la
you wife husband both argued fought done
If you want to talk on how the wife and husband have argued and fought.
Ndo
No.
0 bamodago
$O$ nothing (da: on the aural evidence)
That is nothing.
Wa hole
It will be thrown away.
These highly recurrent acts of trivialisation, which are not always specifically directed at female claimants, can be used in two quite different ways. First, to defeat an overall claim of divorce: '...the middle-men are not going to say, "Pull out the pigs"' (D.l:127l). Second, to support the process whereby 'superficial' (daliga - on top) claims are separated from fundamental/ first causes (tene - source).

Since the development of any dispute discourse in Huli inevitably focusses on the identification of tene (as both issue and persons responsible, cf. Goldman 1981), the assessment strategies which characterise D.1:1105:1107 and
D.2:156-164 clearly aid the selection process. This is particularly marked in D.2:221-328 where the exchange between Hagai and Wanili reveals a conflict between differential perceptions of tene. Wanili sees the false accusation of Ogoli as directly linked (i.e. as having its tene D.2:10) to a sequence of claims against her husband Garibe for ill-treatment. Hagai, contrastingly, trivialises these typical marital arguments as bamo (nothing/inconsequential) in order to make prominent her concealed feelings that her son's death by drowning was not an accident. The terms of the dispute are thus constantly being defined and redefined by participants. Significantly, however, the interactional control by mediators of those routines outlined above results in the submergence and dissipation of claimants' viewpoints.

In part these techniques appear to be adaptive responses to the concatenation of claims that is the most important structural feature of Huli disputes. Examination of litigant profiles during any conflict demonstrate a deliberate and patterned sequencing of claims which, while often manipulated to good effect by claimants, presents problems for outcome production. Gangaro's remarks concerning the 'mixing' (D.1:813; 941, 952; 980; 1176) of claims directly reflects his understanding of the dispute processes depicted in Figure l:

DISPUTE (D.1; D.2)


Figure 1
Given the rank level distinctions between Dispute - Profile - Claim, at any given juncture of text time mediators confront an accumulation of claims built up by both tene (i.e. A and $B$ in Figure l). Disregarding the sequential aspects of these profiles for a moment, the dynamics of claim presentation also show an opposing tendency of claim autotomy - a deliberate casting off of certain claims in favour of others as a survival strategy. Notwithstanding autotomy, neutralisation and trivialisation, the resultant 'mixing' of claims makes the talk 'hard' (D.1:811) in the sense of difficult to settle. In that marital disputes represent the paradigmatic case of 'multiple-claim' disputes, bringing this kind of talk 'outside' can also engender disapprobation as the washing of one's dirty linen in public (D.1:946; 995; 1079; 1174). What seems important about all these mediation routines is that they are equally directed against male or female disputants. They are not interpreted by women as inherently limiting or discriminatory and, as I shall argue, only minimally impinge on the structure of accounts.

The interplay between sexist beliefs and stereotyping appears operationally relevant where women are directly opposed in the dispute by a male claimant. That is, males will play on their sexual identity with mediators through implicit reference to, and invocation of, ideas about women as 'norm breakers' and sources of strife. In this regard, their standing (or impartiality) is not threatened to the same extent as it would be for a dombeni expressing similar convictions. Such appeals to 'male' ideology are embodied in Andira's use of the vocative 'men' (agali: D.1:563; 704) and the evocative charge that Dalowa
contaminated his food by 'jumping over' (angua haya: cf. Goldman 1983:85) it. This is a type of polluting act specific to females and falls within the set of gender-defined prohibitions termed galo bira. Significantly, Andira characterises the act as 'typical' through use of the customary mood:
D.1:564

Ogo ale daraboli (pidgin) miaga
this like trouble . customarily-gives (3rd.pers.custom.)
Women are always making trouble like this.
In addition to the explicit denials of Dalowa's claims, Andira's case reposes on exposing her 'unreasonable' behaviour. He recounts his involvement in an important compensation payment (damba: 71; 1266) - again likely to be viewed sympathetically by the all-male audience - allowing others to infer from Dalowa's demands for pig a basic obstructionism. Importantly, the most common rationalisation given by Huli men for male-initiated divorce was wives' 'greediness for pig' (nogo dimagoli) which diverted resources away from exchange activities. Two further features worth noting are Andira's references to acts of 'throwing away' (564; 586) - showing moderation - which constitute forms of self-appraisal, and the importance attached to 'what was actually said' as a recoverable feature of past events. This latter aspect typified the speeches of all participants and reveals the degree to which the system is designed to elicit incident as a 'verbal phenomenon', leaving attitude and manner to be inferentially derived. The development of claim topics in Andira's turns shows a standardised progression of accusations:
unwarranted demands on.pig (584)
argumentative behaviour (584; 591-592)
insult (602-606)
laziness in gardens (638; 648)
prolonged absence at parents (703; 737; 1133; 1219).
It is precisely these attempts at impression management through appeals to shared background ideals among male claimants and mediators which are the object of specific comment by women: 'He saw you people (i.e. mediators) and he is talking (i.e: concocting a set of lies)' (D.l:653).

Through processes of autotomy, it is only the last issue of prolonged absence which remains prominent in Andira's claim profile and which is attended to in speeches occurring in the latter part of the text (e.g. 1146-1147; 11631164; 1170; 1177; 1219). The physical separation of wife and husband becomes a focus for mediation talk as a reflection of Andira's perceived desire not to divorce (687; 699; 702-705; 741; 1132; 1219; 1309). That is, despite the absence of any supporting normative provisions, the presentation of a divorce choice to the male renders women at a disadvantage precisely because such choices are frequently determinative of the construction and realisation of dispute outcomes. This procedural imbalance, I would suggest, is underpinned by the politico-economic control of bridewealth by men. There is, then, coidentification with male claimants in the sense of a gender-based 'interest group'. In the context of dispute talk, this results in the differential weighting of male/female demands and resolutions:
D.1:1139-1140

Ina dabu bini yalu au lariyagua
I married to carry I an going like that if -you-say
If you (Andira) say, 'I an carrying her off'.

Henene handalumaro bidamagoni
truly we-will-see-finished
Then truly we will see the matter finished.
!
They will stay together over there.
Dalowa's claims, treated with consideration as legitimate bases for divorce, are assessed against mediation goals defined in the light of male intentions both of the husband and the male support group of the female claimant. Thus, 'the participant structure of such events reflects a real power asymmetry underneath the surface equality' (Gumperz and Cook-Gumperz 1982:9).

The stated aim of 'untying the anger in their heart' - as opposed to 'pulling out the pigs' (1241-1246) - partly reflects the problems associated with divorce once children are born, but also the posture adopted by Dalowa's father, Hayabe, throughout the talk. His speeches evidence a distinct lack of commitment to the divorce option (969) which, in accordance with most of the other male speakers (1045; 1129-1132; 1204-1214; 1250-1254), is presented to Andira:
D.1:682
ì one wane la ni bedagoni lo kebe?
your wife daughter both down there sitting to-say are-you
Are you going to say that is your wife and daughter there?
Be ibu ni wali tara bedagoni i one ndo?
she down there woman different sitting my wife no
Or that woman there is different, that is not my wife?
It is this consistency of perspective on case settlement which prompts the summation
D.l:1063 (see also 1064-1069)

Mana ayu ngago agali igini ibinime / ore
mana now is placed man son himself (intensifier:very)
The mana is there it is on man's son himself.
In this context, mana does not refer to customary norms but rather the casespecific 'way of doing things' as derived from the preceding conversational exchanges.

The above discussion provides the necessary preparatory base, or backcloth, for analysing the verbal strategies of women. Not only is dispute talk an extremely structured activity, it is also conducted within a framework of egalitarian ideals. These purport to equalise sexual imbalances extant in other behavioural domains. It is less the use of a confrontational rhetoric based on overt sexism than the accepted participant-role structure which, through procedural biases, acts to mitigate the outcome effectiveness of women's arguments. This 'powerlessness' is not a quality of the mooted claims - that is, as lacking credibility or convincingness (cf. O'Barr 1983) - but a reflection or assessment of their impact for outcome construction, choice and realisation.

## WOMEN'S SPEECH

The arguments elicited above form part of the pool of expectations and presuppositions held by female litigants when presenting claims. Their interpretations of mediator/male strategies help fashion their own distinctive language usages as a response to, or a means of coping with, system imbalances. In this respect, there are some intriguing continuities and discontinuities between Huli moots and Anglo-American courts. Among the latter, the numerical preponderance of males in the legal profession (excepting clerical staff and jury personnel) is a direct consequence of an exclusionary elitist ideology (cf. Pattullo 1983) and a generally entrenched sexism within the social fabric of these cultures. However, the male presence in Huli moots reflects, I have argued, the deference and accession of women - choice is not a constituent factor of the perceived situation. Nevertheless, the superficial equality (as ideologically defined) in both contexts, which masks an underlying power asymmetry, belies the notion of 'without prejudice'. One important corollary of the prevailing male ethos in these forensic systems is that women inevitably suffer a deprivation of experience in the practice of, or familiarity with, dispute resolution. For Huli, this is a result of their conventionally restricted participation. In regard to language strategies, then, it has been argued that 'the qualities of a good barrister tend to be associated witt. male arrogance, pomposity, "erudition"...to achieve a measure of success women barristers were expected to ape this style conceived and exploited by men' (Pattullo 1983:7). To what extent is this applicable to women's talk in Huli disputes?

The evidence from a number of intersex disputes suggests the prevalence of alignment talk - the tying of the utterances of one speaker to those of another speaker, or speakers, in proximal turns through the repetition (or building upon) of key lexemes or phrases - in those text environments showing orientation to tene identification: tene tai bira/tene goda handama (to search for sources/ dig up the sources) (Goldman 1983:14).

Alignment talk, as a female-male phenomenon, appears partially dependent on a high degree of interactional involvement by a female claimant in the control of topic formulation and development. There is a marked difference in this respect between the contributions of Dalowa and Wanili in the cases being considered. It is a pragmatic resource for communicating credibility to males concerning their understanding of those norms governing resolution procedures. That is, latching on to some of the key usages occurring in mediator turns allows women to keep up a level of agreement, and communicate that fact, on critical settlement processes even where there is substantial disagreement on the correct referents of such terms as tene. This dynamic of intersex talk creates cohesion through lexical repetition and collocation both within and across turns. It can also be argued that such linguistic activity is a noticeable feature of women's talk in that it indexes perceptions and appraisals of differential competence/experience in the presentation of claims.

The dialogue between Hagai and Wanili (D. $2: 221-300$ ) is particularly revealing in the above respects. There is marked lexical cohesion centred around the terms tene (source/reason), irane (trunk, stem/important) and kuni (bone/real, important). These three near-synonyms are part of a locative lexicon used to place arguments and claims in a relative schema according to importance or aetiological primacy. They have a high frequency of occurrence in locales dealing with tene specification. As each new key phrase is introduced by Hagai,

Wanili observably adjusts and aligns her speech to express conformity with 'disputese' - a strategy which functions to boost the credibility of alternative definitions of tene

| D. $2: 224$ | H: tene...yide |
| ---: | :--- |
| 227 | W: tene |
| 242 | H: tene irane ore kuni |
| 245 | W: tene irane |
| 263 | H: irane kuni/ore |
| 291 | H: mbiyaore yidego |
| 295 | W: mbiyaore nayi |

Of equal interest is the coloration of claim texts found in D.l and D. 2 which tend to suggest a gender-specific conception of 'correct and effective' delivery. This, in contrast to the above, does not derive (being wholly different) from the actualities of corresponding male speeches. Where women are the initiators of any dispute episode - and particularly when a degree of scheduling has occurred - they tend to be given the floor first. These initial speeches are invariably the longest of all their contributions, and are not constrained by previous speakers' turns to the same degree as later discourse tracts. For this reason, they are particularly illuminating on the perceptions women have of a 'good case'. What emerges from any preliminary reading of such opening speeches ( $\mathrm{D} .1: 93-552$; D.2:7-140) is the textual predominance of conjunctive-cohesive ties - both causal and temporal (correlative-sequential forms). The resultant coloration, which I argue is specific to women's speech in these contexts, details the way in which one event is 'systematically related' (Halliday and Hasan 1976:227) to a previous one. Causal ties are used to foster the impression that reasoned actions have followed on from unreasonable acts; correlative temporal ties create an impression of 'aggravated circumstances' elevating the overall claim for divorce from 'normal' patterns of husband-wife conflict. Comparable male texts are more densely structured in terms of sequential-temporal forms - e.g. then, next, after that, subsequently - so that reason is an inferential licence given to recipients of accounts. That is, males rely more heavily on implicature and inferential ambiguity. Additionally, textual data from disputes indicates that among Huli women (as for the Iatmul) 'emotional phrasings of reasons for behaviour are very much more frequent than among the men' (Bateson 1958:253). There is a greater proclivity to rely on emotive self-declarations of vulnerable and dependent states.

These arguments, which are more rigorously demonstrated below, point to a strategic nucleus of levelling devices attuned to women's suppositions that they interact in disputes from a weaker or disadvantaged position. Such interpretations of the disputing experience seem to partially account for noticeable disjunctions with male speech styles. Moreover, the manipulation of semantic resources (as realised by lexicogrammatical forms) provides an evidential layer to arguments that is additional to, as well as lexically and syntactically distinct from, the framework of evidential suffixes detailed elsewhere (cf. Goldman 1983:30 - as in -rua D.l:33 and -da D.l:36). In similar fashion, the seemingly redundant usages of locative adverbs provides an emphatic evidential aura for the spatialisation of events in a commonsense geography. The saliency of the discoursal forms delineated below must reflect an attribution of 'power' as the control over, and assignment of, meaning.

The prime candidate for consideration in these regards is the repeatedly used construction (e.g. D.l:126; 147; 191; 211, 227; 272, 308, 326, 336, 345; 366; 372; 411; 453; 467)
ani (verb form) / handala
thus ( ) / seeing
Seeing he/she (said/did, etc.) like that.
Reiteration of this causal tie establishes a chain of reasoned acts in the foreground of recipients' knowledge. A contrast is drawn with the censurable actions of Andira which are described as lacking 'reason' (yamo: for nothing D.l:lll; 230). This conjunctive adjunct functions as a prepositional expression with the demonstrative (ani: thus; biago: that one) as deictic. It carries the meaning of such phrases as 'on account of that', 'as a result', 'for this reason', 'as a consequence', 'because of', 'seeing that was the case'. The adjunct frequently occurs in initial positions (e.g. 126 ; 147; 191; 211; 227) which, I argue a little later, reflects the relationship of pause phenomena to conversational planning. The reason suffix -handala seems most likely to be based on the same morph -handa as in the focussed subject suffix -handa (for personal names, and mother/father)
D.1:531-534

Ibu ainya/handa...
His mother/foc.sub.suffix

## I hame/handa

My father/foc.sub.suffix
as derived from the verb handa (imperative: see, look) - a demand for attention. Consonant with this, I have translated ani...-handala as seeing that.... This conjunctive has two synonymous forms in Huli, both suffixes being added to the verb
-gola
-handala
Both forms can express 'as a result of' and 'for this reason'. -gola is also the consecutive action suffix having the exclusively temporal meaning of 'when' (most usually as -gola howa: from when) as in D.1:217; 285; 114, 78; 141. When used in reason clauses, however, the meaning of temporal succession, result and reason are fused together
D.1:100

Mba layagola pene As/when he said, 'Let's go' I went.
D.1:417

Ani layagola wa halu...
When/because he said thus, we threw it away.
D.1:543

Ogoni dagua ba bo hayagola au layagola
As/when he was to kill me, when/because he said...
Insofar as the -gola form is the most frequently used suffix in everyday talk (cf. Rule 1964:77), the predominance of -handala in the dispute texts of women is significant. Temporal succession (an inalienable component of causal conjunction) is thus clearly here subordinate to the communication of 'reason'. Moreover, the choice between the two forms seems governed by notions of appropriateness of text coloration. Sense perception in terms of sight is a linguistic marker of epistemic modality in the Huli language (cf. Goldman 1983: 30). Many of the concepts and terms related to veracity and notions of 'witness'
(de hendene: the ones who soav) are centred on sight. In this context, the reiterated construction seeing (handa/la) that lends evidential weight to the statements of reason forwarded. The two reason suffixes are perceived as having differential import for the structuring and resultant effectiveness of texts. In that it is particularly important for a speaker to establish the evidential basis of reports of past events - especially as reasons for actions they subsequently take - there is a noticeable tendency to use the -gola form in reports (or imputations) of reasons concerning other actors (e.g. 114; 543), employing -handala where subsequent acts are initiated by lst person (either singular, dual or plural)
D.1:453
ani laya handala wa halu...
Seeing as he had said like that I threw it away...
The salience attributed to this adjunct by women indexes the different interpretations they have of the relationship between specific language usages and outcome production. As a reflection of perceived structural imbalances, women presume an increased pressure to make explicit the inferential bases on which their own behaviour was founded. They phrase such reports through strategic choices of evidential markers, the clustering and density of which lends their speeches a wholly distinct texture.

Male texts, by contrast, do not exhibit the same frequency of 'reason' clauses and, where these do occur, they tend to be in the -gola form D.1:606
oba hayagola o i keba biya
Because/when they laughed I was angry.
Reports of events are predominantly organised in terms of temporal connectiveness. The subsidiary discourse is (as I have shown elsewhere for male speech, cf. Goldman 1983) composed of gambits which function to review or restate previous reports. The emphasis is on marking the action as an historical occurrence:

GAMBITS
Restatement/summation/emphasised aspect/repeats
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ani } \\ \text { au } \\ \text { agua }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { lalu } \\ \text { lene } \\ \text { laya }\end{array}\right\}$ laro $\quad$ it was said like that $I$ om saying
ogoni $\int$
it has been seen that you said like that
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { au lari hendene } & \text { it has been seen that you } \\ \text { au laramagoni } & \text { we are saying like that }\end{array}$

| ani |
| :--- | :--- |
| au |
| ogoni dagua |\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}bini <br>

biya <br>
bigi bini\end{array}\right.\)
thus it was done

Where such glosses are used as linking clauses the sequence of time is given preferred expression over reason
D.1:615
ani lalu pene laro
thus when-it-had-been-said I-went I-am-saying

I shall not detail any further aspects of male speech in disputes as text data presented in previous publications bears testimony to the overall distinctions I have drawn.

The status of ani.../handala as a semifixed expression in women's speech is further supported by two concomitant features:
(1) The most recurrent verb forms used are that of bia (do) - as a deputy for any episodic verb - and la (say)

```
ani biya/handala
seeing-it-was-done-like-that (227; 336; 345)
```

ani laya/handala
seeing-it-was-said-like-that (147; 191; 211; 273; 308; 326; 367; 373, etc.)
Now these are precisely the verb forms which predominate in the routine lexical phrases of men; in subsidiary discourse they have a formulaic character. This is consonant with the overall focus of dispute activity on the verbal component to any previous event. The interest is on what people actually said, rather than circumstantial information about manner, disposition or attitude. Throughout the transcript it is evident that claimants and mediators alike thematise reports of utterances as a basis for subsequent inferences or actions. In many instances the adjunct ani laya/handala links verbal events to personal movements (99; 211; 308; 326; 457).
(2) The punctuational structure of Dalowa's speech also indicates the prepatterned nature of this conjunctive phrase. As a critical resource for text formation, it functions additionally to provide time for conversational planning; an invariant frame packaging variable information. This explains the tendency for such phrases to occupy first position (in a linear not sentential sense) and to be preceded or followed by the voiced and unvoiced pause:

0 (2) ani laya/handala (126; 227)
Ani laya/handala $0 \quad(147$; 336)
Ani laya/handala (1) 0 (411)
There is here a functional equivalence between the causal forms examined above and the temporal ties assigning connectivity to events. The internal structuring of events in Dalowa's speech follows the sequence of external happenings. An impression of intolerable and irreconcilable behaviour is promoted through the enumeration of 'times' (ha/lu - repetitive D.l:4; 7; angi - used with ordinals to mean occasion). It is noticeable that the number of threatening actions by Andira steadily increases (D.1:4-9; 721; 1297) in the various reports suggesting an assigned prominence. Temporal conjunction is imposed by juxtaposing anaphoric and cataphoric time expressions that most usually include an adjectival deictic and the emphatic use of the pause marker 0
D.1:295-299

O biagoni kiru (1.5)
O that was twice
Tebone angi 0 the third time

## 311-316

## ogoni angi tebone angi

that time was the third time
mane angi (2)
the fourth time

Again, this concatenation of negative behaviour by a husband - and therefore the type of textual cohesion markers used in speeches - is quite specific to women's talk in marital disputes.

A somewhat more difficult parameter to assess is the degree and type of emotional expressiveness found in the dispute talk of women. Irrespective of sex, it is always necessary for claimants to index the effects of others' verbal/non-verbal actions on psychological states. Anger (keba), shame (taga) and refusal (manga: D.l:540; 550; D.2:19; 25) represent the material consequences of wrongdoing. Personal disclosure of these states is a prerequisite for the success of any compensation claim precisely because indemnity represents a type of healing ritual to Huli. Notwithstanding such observations, there are important differences between men and women in the kind of sympathy-evoking: strategies relied upon. Most particularly, women recount affectual experiences of sorrow (dara) and grief (gende - heaviness) and tend to stress their dependency on men. The relationship between sex and the expression of specific feelings, as an aspect of the cultural construction of gender, is institutionalised in Huli through the speech genre Wali 0 (woman's (wali) wail (/o:/ is the distinctive refrain produced during performances). This type of lament for the dead is exclusive to women; there are no comparable verbal conventions for men. Moreover, examination of spell texts associated with love-magic (wali dagia: woman's (wali) platform (dagia) - preparatory rites for girls of marriageable age) reveal a rich metaphorical language surrounding the expression of such emotional states as pain, loneliness, envy and desire. Indeed, much of the terminological repertoire used to refer to or express sympathy has morphological ties to gender-associated words:
dara or daraba (sympathy/sorrow) : daramabi (red) : darama (blood)
The morphemic base of the above lexemes stresses the semantic ties between women, blood, red, danger and sorrow.

Whatever the emotional content of the claims may be - and they are quite considerable in D.l - Huli women manipulate affective ploys of appeal by emphasising their vulnerability and dependence:
(Goldman 1983:177; 185)
i mbira
I com alone
i biango andu nene
my breast has been eaten by a dog, i.e. my son is dead
i igini honowa henedagoni
my son is dead

I am not like you with many gardens, I an in the bush and mountain side No man came to my leg (i.e. I don't have a husband)
Only myself am left and I an dying.
One notes how Dalowa attributes her mother's death to 'grief' (D.1:543; 1212-1214) and makes reference to her own actions of wailing (435). Similarly, Wanali stresses the sorrow experienced on the death of her son (D.2:63; 84; 362) and alludes to her enforced self-sufficiency
D. 2:251
i hangu embera tara...
I was alone and made to sit in the bush

Where this dependency is converted by men into outright subordination and discrimination women will, through innuendo and sarcasm, invoke norms stipulating equal status with men before the 'law' (mana):
(Goldman 1983:186)
Agali ge pouni ha harimago meremago
We are under the legs of men and so we are giving (indemnity). (sarcastic)
(Goldman 1981:415)
He is woman
because he wears a string-apron he thinks he is man
$I$ an man
when my grass-skirt gets too heavy I will throw it away
(Implicit reference to cultural definitions of man as 'strong' and woman as 'weak'.)

## D. 2:152-153

The source that you will be saying
That is woman and her mind is confused.
The rhetorical pronouncement by Wanali that she confronts her audience as woman to men (D.2:140-146; 337-372) is an attempt to have reinforced or reiterated a contextually defined parity. These types of ploy are extremely common in cross-sex disputes tending to occur where female claimants experience, or wish to foster the impression of having experienced, bias. The veiled accusation is that males are abusing their power through sexual discrimination in their considerations of claims. This can take many forms. It may be phrased as an attempt by men to obfuscate the 'real' issues by 'covering the source' (tene). Equally, it may charge men with deliberate distortion of truth:

Agali kego hale hai harigo
You men have finished hearing the talk.
Agali binaga o mo tiga bere agile?
Why are you straightening the talk only for men?
Tindule larogoni
I an saying they are lies.
Tindule bi ndodabe
It's lies isn't it. (Field data)
In this particular respect, women evidence a greater proclivity to express their negative assessments of other people's talk as 'lies' (ke/tindule: D.l: 617; 626; 1214; D.2:218; 297; 348; 351-352; 354; 363). This confrontational directness (cf. Keenan 1974:137) contrasts markedly with the metaphorical and circumlocutory nature of male speech assessments. This disjunction may reflect a lack of experience as to male modes of presentation, or constitute a strategy to emphasis incorrectness in intimidating contexts. The latter is a more likely explanation here according as it does with perceptions of disadvantaged status. It is particularly noticeable that, in anticipation of mediators' requests for possible witnesses (e.g. D.l:595-596) to previous events, Dalowa is careful to gradually increase the number of people who could confirm the historical accuracy of her account (nurse 117; aid-post orderly 137; Dalu 204; woman from Andaga 282;

Andira's mother 335, 461; Dabali's father 397; Hiribalu 473; Diliba 504; Kedame 596). A similar familiarity with accredited types of argument is displayed by frequent allusions to acts of claim renouncement as signified by the phrase 'throwing away' (wa halu: 234; 275; 291; 347; 417; 453). By such means Dalowa exhibits a willingness to forget initial provocations and show restraint. Her stated refusal to return to Andira is thus not an impulsive reaction, but a reasoned decision based on past and predicted behaviour of Andira.

Notwithstanding the realisation among some mediators that without divorce the 'stick will still be there' (D.l:1321; 1344), Dalowa (like Wanali) ultimately fails to achieve her desired goals. Assigning relative weight and importance to the many contributing factors to any dispute outcome is inevitably a problematic venture. The complex nature of divorce transactions with their often unwelcome economic repercussions for men has a determinate influence. However, the developmental structure of claim argumentation (as depicted by Figure 1) seems, to my mind, to exert considerable impact on outcomes. Most specifically, the self-initiated and mediator-imposed forms of autotomy - functionally necessary for goal realisation - serve to promote definitions of conflict which are relatively simple and holistic. There is no separable accounting in decision formulations of the constituent and multiple claims. When allied to the kinds of procedural bias noted previously - giving men the choice of divorce - this reductionism tends to work against women. Furthermore, I would argue these perceptions form part of the communicator's (female) set of expectations and presuppositions brought to any dispute. Some of the accusatory routines examined herein bear testimony to this point. Women, then, conceptualise the coherence requirements in disputes as different from those applicable to everyday talk. The surface cohesion in opening female speeches, as engendered by a predetermined choice of idiomatic expression, provides the semantic-functional base for participants' interpretations. The kinds of reductionist arguments employed by mediators in the latter phases of an ongoing stream of talk - does he/she want to divorce?' - are constructions built on the coherence of talk as a 'rational totality' (Östman 1978:103). Importantly, the broad underlying message of female contributions is inferred from the text coloration imposed by the speaker. It would appear that the conversational tactics of Huli women are oriented to accomplishing coherence which, to judge from the absence of any rejection, misinterpretation or questioning of competence (cf. Nofsinger 1983: 257) by mediators, is often achieved but is in itself insufficient to ensure realisation of tactical objectives.

The implications of this study are relevant to nationally defined goals concerning sexual discrimination in judicial fields. The problems are less a matter of language planning than the structural organisation of decision-making bodies and the need to guard against procedural imbalances. How far the conclusions reached here apply to other Highlands societies is impossible to gauge at present given the non-existence of any comparable data base. Nevertheless, the findings highlight an important problem area for legal planning in a social change situation where traditional polities and newly created nonindigenous bodies (such as Village Courts) are male dominated. This may well constitute a needy case for some type of 'affirmative action' policies increasingly adopted by Western politico-forensic institutions.

## ABBREVIATIONS AND TRANSCRIPT CONVENTIONS

| , | A high-falling tone |
| :---: | :---: |
| , | A low-rising tone |
| ( ( ) ) | Enclose comments on setting |
| ( ) | Enclose explicatory comment on text |
| D. | = dispute number. D.1:35 is to be read as dispute no.l, line 35 |
| : | Stretching of the syllable preceding the colons |
| (2) | Indicates a time gap the duration of which is indicated by the bracketed number to the nearest half-second |
| = | Indicates a lack of interval between the end of one person's utterance and the commencement of a second person's turn |
| [ | Indicates overlapping speech |
| No | Emphasis by speaker is indicated by underlinịng |
| ( ) | Empty brackets signify a failure to retrieve utterances from the sound recording |
| 1 | Used for the suffixes -ore and -handala to facilitate lexeme translation |
| - | Indicates omitted discourse |
| foc. | focussed |
| sub. | subject |
| pers. | person |
| sing. | singular |
| ref. | refer |

## APPENDIX A: D. 1

Issue : Action for divorce by Dalowa based on a number of claims including violence during pregnancy, violence against children as witnessed by a local aid-post orderly, and a death threat repeated some six times. These are denied by Andira who counters with claims concerning non-performance of duties and an inordinate amount of time spent by his wife with her parents.
Disputants: Andira
Dalowa (Andira's wife) Hiribalu (Andira's father) Hayabe (Dalowa's father)
Date : August 23rd 1977
Location : Ialuba - Koroba District
Outcome : No divorce ensued

## Notes

1. Throwing away (wa ha) is used variously to mean renounced, given up, or no further attention paid.
2. The names Tondowa and Hawi refer to a recent incident in which Hawi killed his wife following a marital quarrel. By allegedly couching his death threat in terms of this analogy, Andira is held to have made yobage - a veiled, covert statement of an intention to kill.
3. Carry means to pay compensation for.
4. Come outside means to have been publicly revealed.
5. Sow her forehead: the forehead is the locus of truth for Huli. All intentions, states and moral conditions are registered here.
6. The nut tree bears the stem before the nut: a proverb meaning there's no smoke without fire (cf. Goldman 1983:208).
7. The stick is there: an expression signifying that the means and motives for killing remain.

Dalu:
1 Ai bi abāgo Dalowa ibugua howa lalu Andira ina ai talk what's-her-name Dalowa she from has-said Andira you Ai the talk Dalowa has said is that Andira

Ibu waraga lu / ore ibu home lolebirago
he six single very her death will-make
Will definitely cause her death for the sixth time
Waraga lu / ore ibu home lolebirago
six single very her death will-cause
For the sixth separate time he will cause her death
0 i mini 0 unu Hawi manda bialu
o my nome o down there Howi when-I-thought
0 'I thought my nome was (the some as) Hawi down there
Ibu mini Tondowa manda birugo
her name Tondowa I-thought
I thought her nome was Tondowa'
Andira said he thought his name was Hawi and his wife's name was Tondowa
Ogoni manda birigo ibu homabe mangabago
that you-thought she death didn't desire
When she thought on it she didn't want to die
Agali 0 kemirume ogoni dagua mo tiga bialu ladaba
20 men 0 with those of you that like when you have made straight speak
layagola larimago
because-she-said we-are-talking
Because she said, 'You men talk and straighten this' we are talking
Eh ai nogo ina naribarubi wa halu piridago
eh ai pigs you ate those when-threw-away you-went
When you had eaten and thrown away the pigs (i.e. after marriage) you all went off

0 bi ogoreni howa
o talk there from
O from that talk there
Ibu bole winigoria howa pu tagi hayada ogo dagua layago he will-hit there placed from thrown-outside this like she-said
'From where he will hit me I will be thrown outside' she has said like this
$\grave{i}$ oneme tindule laruabe? (-rua: sense perception
your wife lies is-she-saying-can-he-detect? evidence suffix)
Is your wife telling lies?
Be henenedabe?
truths-can-be-heard? (-da: evidence suffix of having heard)
Or is she telling the truth?
Hondolemiya
40 let-us-see
Let us see
Bi ina laridago
talk you have-said
The talk you have said
Ai la hende
ai said-heard
It has been heard
La hende ndo
said-heard no
Not that it has been heard
Abāgo ina Dalowa ina larigo
what's-her-nome you Dalowa you have-said
What you have said Dalowa
0 amu Hiribalu hale halu
o over there Hiribalu when-has-heard
When Hiribalu over there has heard
$\grave{I}$ aruni amu Andira ainya hale halu your husband's-mother over there Andira's mother when-has-heard When Andira's mother over there, your husband's-mother, has heard

60 Ina agali 0 kemago hale halu
us men o here when-have-heard
When us men here have heard
ì hame mbalini hale halu piyago
your father's-brothers when-have-heard gone
When your father's-brothers have heard the talk and gone
i agalini hale helo
your husband will-hear
Then your husband will hear it
Ai bi ina abe larigo o ala la dai bia ai talk you yesterday said o first say-it-again You repeat first the talk you said yesterday
Andira hale helo
Andira will-Iisten
Andira will listen
Agali 0 karubi hale helo
men o those there will-listen
Those men there will listen
O biago la dai beregola
o those when-you-have-repeated
80 When you have repeated those talks
0 Andira ibugua howa
o Andira him from
Then from Andira (he will say)
Bi ogo inaga ndoda
talk this mine is-not-from-the-aural-evidence
'This is not the talk I made
Ndo inagada lalu
no mine said
No, that is the talk I said'
Bi ibugua mani la dai bilo
talk he later will-reply
He will make a reply later
((There is a pause while several women pass through the assembled crowd))
Dalowa:
O agali udugo mbirame ngini ndo o man down there one gave no Not that a man gave me (i.e. married me) to that man down there
Inime pene edegoria berewaria ..... ibuwa
myself went over there where-I-was-sitting then-he-come
I went myself and then he came to where I was sitting
Mba layagola pene
let-us-go as-he-said went
100 As he said, 'Let's go' I went
Ai puwa amu birarudago amu biraru birua ai when-I-went over there sat over there sat sitting-it-can-be detected
When I went I sat over there, and where I sat I am now sitting
Ai nogo hende halu
ai pigs when-tied-up
When the pigs had been tied up (i.e. given in marriage)
Ai wandari nigo ngiyago
ai girl down there was-given
Then this girl was born
0 biyadagoni lowa berewaria wandari nigo yamoda
o when-that-was-done over there where-I-was girl down there nothing
ba ho wiyagoni
keeps-on-hitting
When that was done, where I was over there, he keeps hitting that girlfor no reason

Balu wiabo hayagola howa when-continues-to-hit from Following his continual beating
Niguria tida wali homolebira layagola down there nurse-woman will die The nurse down there said, 'She will die'
120 Ibu dai buwa í ainya ab́a andaga biraru he returned my mother's father's house I-sat
When he (Andira) had returned I sat at my mother's and father's place

```
Baya/handala ibu dai bu berewaria
seeing-he-had-hit he returned where-I-was-sitting
Seeing as he had hit her, he returned to where I was sitting
```

0 (2) ani laya/handala ibu dai bu berewaria ede o thus seeing-that-done he returned where-I-was-sitting over there
haria ogo halu mbira baya
track this times once hit
Seeing that done like that, when he returned to where I was on that track over there, he hit me one time

Halu mbira haria edegoria baya/handala
times once track over there seeing-he-had-hit
Seeing as he had hit me once over there on that track
0 (1) udu aenogoda andaga pirima
o up there doctor's house we-went (pl.)
We went to the aid post up there
Aenogoda andaga penego ibu lalu ti ini tingini hagu bayadago wane-igini doctor's house went he said they your body weak he-hit children's nabedago
don't-hit
Having gone to the aid-post he said, 'He (Andira) hit a weak body, don't hit children

0 mendeangi teboneangi ogo dagua baragola tiketi mule ibabe o second time third time this like when-he-hits note I-will-give come When he has hit you a second and third time I will give you an official note, so come'
Ogoni dagua laya homolane 0 bayadagoni la ani laya that like he-said for dying o hitting and thus said He said like that, he said like that on the subject of dying and hitting
Ani laya/handala
thus seeing-he-said
Seeing as he said like that
0 dai bu berene dai bu beria wandari nigo taba henego
o returned-sat while-returned girl down there was-born
I returned and while there this girl was born down there
Ai taba hono birua gambolene (1) hanalu
ai carrying being-there baby when-born
I was carrying this baby, and when it was born

Daraboli biago miya/handala
trouble that one seeing-as-it-gave
And seeing as I had all this trouble
Amu Beanda haria polelowa amuguria pialu hebaria
over there Beanda track when-I-was-going over there where-I-was-going
amu hariagani gai biya Andira ibu
over there on the track were-met Andira him
When I was going down there to Beanda track Andira met us there
Ibini hangu
himself only
He was by himself
Ibini hangu gai buwa
himself only when-we-met
He was by himself when we met
Iya bi hangu dege gai buwa amu Bebogo uli 0 biago we talk only just when-met over there Bebogo cave o that one.
lola haga birima
we-were-arguing
We two just talked when we met over there at Bebogo cave and that time we argued
0 biago lola haga bialu hemaria
0 that one where-we-had-argued
Where we had argued on those things
0 ibugua lalu bamba biago ibule wiribigo agi bialu baribibe o he said before that one will-come you-placed what have-you-done
laya?
he-said
He said, 'You were going to come before now so what have you been doing?'
0 iba nai bedago wane-igini heba ndobe
180 o water there sits children with aren't we-stayed we-said
'The flood is over there so we (dual) stayed with the children didn't we' we said

Ani biribidago
thus we-did
We did like that
$\grave{I}$ dindi ni daraboli namule bedabe
your land down there trouble won't-give you-stay
'You won't get trouble on your land so you stay
0 íhalu mbira bo wa holiya laya
o I times once hit throw-away he-said
I om going to hit you to throw you away one time' he (Andira) said
Ani laya/handala uli dege howa lalu dai biribago nai thus seeing-he-said down there just from said we-returned over there
Eganda haria kebage biagoria howa lalu unu biagoria handa Eganda track conjunction there from said down there that-one-there look
dai bu howa iya amu hondowa halu ibiribagola gi
when-returned we over there having waited when-we-were-coming hand
agua dola howa
like this was-pointed
Seeing as he had said this, after what was said down there we returned to the conjunction of tracks at Eganda; we waited over there looking back where we had returned and then he came and pointed his finger like this at us
i mini Tondowa
your name Tondowa
'Your name is Tondowa
I. mini Hawi werabagoni ogoreni howa
my. name Hawi we-are-placing there from
My name is Hawi and we are placing them there'; from there
0 daraboli pu pea harago ogoni buwa $i$ bo wa holiya laya
o trouble finished this when-done I hit throv-away he-said
'When I have finished one trouble from before I am going to kill you to throw away' he said
Ani laya/handala haria pole wiribago wa halu thus seeing-he-said over there track for going we-had-placed threw-away

> dai biriba
we-returned
Seeing as he said this, we threw caway the track we had planned to go on and returned

Dai bialu hebaria Dalu ogo ede haria gai biya where-we-had-returned Dalu this over there track met We met Dalu on that track on which we had returned
Ede haria gai biyagola howa
over there track when-we-met
When we met on that track over there
0 iya ede hariani Andira ibu bolebiya/handala dai beraba
o we over there on the track Andria he to-hit-us-seeing we-are-returning
laraba
we're-saying
220 'We were over there on that track and, seeing as Andira was going to hit us, we are returning we are saying'
Amuali porabi laya abiyabe lalu hearia?
over there going he-said what-happened while-he-was-talking
'You were going in that direction so what happened while he talked?' he (Dalu) said

0 ani biya/handala dai biriba laribigola
o thus seeing-it-done we-returned we-said
'Seeing it was done like that we returned' we said
0 iya ogo dagua bole ogo dagua laya nale yamo bolebiya o we this like will-hit this like said didn't-say no reason to-hit-us
ani lariba
thus we-said
'He will hit us like this, he didn't say a talk like this, he will hit us for no reason' we said
Andira didn't say he was going to hit us for a specific reason. We said he was going to hit us for no particular reason whatsoever
Ani lalu iya donge halu wa halu piriba udu andaga pu thus having-said we concealed threw-away went up there house went berenego alendo angi sat afternoon time
Having said that we ooncealed it, threw it away, and went off down to that house and sat; that was in the afternoon
240 ti bi amugua birua leneyago ni Wali Wano harigani o they talk over there sitting talked down there Wali Wano on-the-track
tagira pialu hebaria lalu
outside while-he-had-gone said
While they were having a talk over there he (Andira) had gone outside on the Wali Wano track over there
O daraboli 0 merogo/ore ala bu pea heagoni ayu amu langiruguni o trouble o I-have-very before do-finish now over there I-told-you
'The trouble I had to finish from before now, I have told you over there
Hale hariguni
you-have-heard
You have heard it
i mini Tondowa
your nome Tondowa
Your nome is Tondowa'
Ibu mini Hawi
his nome Howi
His nome is Hawi
Ogoni labo mini yaraga ho werebagoni
those two nomes lifted-up placed
260 Those two names were placed at the forefront
Ogoni ala bu pea harugo mbiru homeloliya laya
that before do-finish-off one thing to-kill he-said
'Before killing you I'Zl finish off on one matter from before' he said
Horo angi dege
day time just
That was in the day
Alendo ani laya
afternoon thus he-said
He talked like that in the afternoon
Egerepage ede pialu hebaria layadago
morning over there while-we-were-going he-talked
In the morning, while we were going over there, he aiso talked
Ani laya/handala iya mbirabi la nabi
thus seeing-it-said we one thing say did-not
Seeing it was said like that we didn't say anything
Seeing as he had threatened to kill us we said nothing
Donge ha wa halu libu palenego
concealed threw-away those-two slept
It was concealed and thrown away, and then they slept

```
    Palebaria egerepage
    while-they-slept morning
280
While they slept in the morning
O nai Andaga wali ibu hale henego ina layadago
o over there Andaga woman she heard us said
A woman from Andaga had heard (of these things) and talked to us
Ibugua howa 0 í ainya ab'a la bereria te layagola howa
her from o my mother father both where-sat story when-was-told
She told her story where my mother and father were seated, and then
O udu Bauwa Tombe lamiya ma lowa
o up there Bauwa Tombe let-us(pl.)-talk let's-go it-was-said
It was said, 'Let's go and have a talk up at Bauwa Tombe'
O wa halu mende tebone angi lelowa hama lalu
o threw-away second third time having-let-him-say open-space said
    wa harimagoni
    we-threw-oway
This talk was thrown away too. A second and third time we let him say
those things in public, and when they were said we threw it all coway
O biagoni kiru (I.5)
o those two
That was twice
Tebone angi O biagoni Sunday nape/ore bialu hebaria
third time o that one Sunday hadn't-passed-very where-we-were-doing
amu hina pu hanalu ibalu hebaria nai
over there sweet potato having-gone-carried where-I-had-come over there
    hariga dai buwa
    track returned
The third time, one Sunday hadn't even passed; it was over there where we
were working. Having carried the sweet potato I had returned on the track
    I had come over there
Hina hailo wa halu ogoni dagua bolebiya
sweet potato pulled-out threw-away that like to-hit
When he had taken out all the sweet potato and thrown them coway, 'Like that
I om going to hit you'
Andira emptied out all the sweet potato and said he was going to hit me
Bo wa haluya
hit throw-away-I-heard-said (-ya: previous evidence suffix) thus
\(\quad\) laya/handala dai biriba
seeing-it-said we-returned
Seeing as he had said, 'I om going to hit and throw you oway' we returned
Ogoni angi tebone angi
that time third time
That was the third time
Mane angi (2)
fourth time
The fourth time
```

0 nai amu andaga puwa hina bialu ibugua marume
o over there there house had-gone sweet potato done he some people
tigua tomo dawalu healu daro ni heago gange dugualu nalu
they food when-cooked were-there taro down there were row pulled-out ate Over there at that house I had gone to get some sweet potato, there were others there cooking sweet potato. He (Andira) pulled up a row taro and ate it

Bammpkin gange nalu buwa mini pongo ni biagoria biraya pumpkin row ate had-done mind tied-up down there that-one he-was-doing He ate a row pumpkin, his mind was tied (confused) and he was doing those things there
Birua bo wa haluya ani laya/handala iraga ( ) ede doing hit throw-away thus seeing-it-said climbed ( ) over there He was doing those things. Seeing as he said, 'I an going to hit and throw you away' we climbed up over there
0 ibu ainya ibuwa ogo dege biayayago agibe berebi lowa o his mother when-came this just used-to-do-we-know what doing said udu biagoria lai lama ( up there that-one-there argwnent everywhere ( ) When his mother had come she said, 'You both used to do just like this. What are you doing? You are making an argwnent everywhere'
Ani biya/handala 0 (2) ede Haluma ibu bi haleneya thus seeing-that-done 0 over there Halwa he talk had-heard Seeing it was done like that, Haluma over there he heard all the talk
0 ede Andira ainya ina handa ani layua ede wali agali

340 o. over there Andira's mother you look thus he-said over there women men
hina dugu piyago ede larogo
sweet potato dig went over there I-am-saying
'You look' he (Haluma) said to Andira's mother over there, 'where the people have gone to dig up sweet potato I an saying'
0 ogoni dagua bo wa hole biya/handala ogoni dagua lalu
o that like to-hit to-throw-away seeing-it-done that like said
dambia halu wa halu pirima
closed-down threw-oway we-went
Seeing as he was going to hit and throw me oway, and that he had said like that, the matter was closed and thrown away and we went off
Ai ogoni tebone angi eh mane angi
ai that third time eh fourth time
That was the third time, eh the fourth time
Daune angi (l)
fifth time
The fifth time
0 amugua hina mbira pu hebaria o over there sweet potato some where-we-had-gone-to-get
Over there where we had gone to get some sweet potato

360 kego / ore gubalini gi ya handalu ogoni dago mbiru homeloliyago o you-are-there truly breasts held that just some day to die
nahomole ndogo ogoni mbira laya
not-you-will-die no that one he-said
'You are truly there' and then he held my breasts. 'Like that some day you will die. Not that you won't die'. He (Andira) said that one thing.

Ani laya/handala ibu ainyabi ibu damenebi kagoni ti 0 tini thus seeing-it-said his mother his relations there they o themselves
hangu kemagoni i mo walene nahea ndodabe ani laya only we-were you make held no-one is-there thus he-said Seeing it was said like that, his mother and relations that are there, 'They are by themselves but there isn't anybody to help you there is there?' he (Andira) said like that

Ani laya/handala ani lelo palelo iya paliriba boyagua thus seeing-it-said thus to-let-speak to-sleep we slept if-to-hit bolelowa to-let-hit
Seeing it was said like that, we let him speak for we wanted to sleep. We slept. If he was going to hit us then we would let him hit us
0 nabe dege
o did-not-hit just
He didn't hit us
Andira didn't hit us on that occasion
380 Ogoni angi 0 daune angi
that time o fifth time
That was the fifth time
Ai ani bigi binigo ogoni ainya berelowa bini hangu ogoni ai thus it-was-done that mother sitting done only that That is what was done while my mother was sitting by herself

Ai lebe homelolwa 0 tigi anda wandari tigi anda ai two-days-ago when-she-was-sick o hospital girl hospital
ibiya hayagola aila polene?
since-she-came who should-go
Two days ago when the girl (Dalowa's daughter) was sick in hospital we talked about who should go

Toba howa bialu hebaria Dabali ab'a beraria ma didn't-know where-we-were-doing Dabali's father while-sitting let's-go
lariba
we-said
We didn't know. Dabali's father was there and said, while we were sitting,
'Let's go'
Dabali ab'a ma lowa
Dabali's father let's-go when-said
When Dabali's father had said, 'Let's go'

```
    Ina haru puwa dai bialu hemaria ede harigani
    we together when-had-gone while-we-were-returning over there on-the-track
        yu howa
    met
    We went together and were met while returning on that track over there
    O ore nabole / ore \̀ emabia nahole wa ore
    o definately I-won't-hit-definately you won't-shake throw-away very
        kogoni
        I-am-here
    'I om not going to hit you, truly I won't shake you so stop the talk,
    I am here'
O ibu naga mbalini ogoni ela halu baragoni ani laya
o his sister that touched hitting thus he-said
He was touching and hitting his sister, he said like that
Ani laya/handala (l) 0 i wa haruguni ogoni dagua laya
thus seeing-it-said o you I-om-throwing-away that like he said
Seeing it was said like that, O 'I an throwing you avay' he (Andira) said
    like that
Manda nabido ogoni dagua laya
don't-understand that like he-said
I don't understand why he said like that
Ani layagola wa halu pu birariba
thus when-he-said threw-avay went we-sat
When he said like that we threw it away and went and sat elsewhere
420 Pu berenego ogoni angi 0 í ainya homayagoni í ainya dugu biraruguni
went sat that time o my mother died my mother I-wailed
ede biraabo hene
over there continued-to-sit
I went and sat. That time my mother died and I wailed for her. I continued
to stay over on that side
Ai ala biago O wandari taba hanalu biraribadagoni
ai before that o girl carried we-two-did
We two were doing that (arguing) when I was pregnant with this girl
O ega kira birariba
o months two we-did
We did that for two months
Ega mendegoria í ainya homayagoni
month second my mother died
On the second month my mother died
Ega tebonegoria { ainya dugu biraruguni
month third my mother I-wailed
On the third month I wailed for my mother
Ai ani binigo
ai thus done
4 4 0 ~ I t ~ w a s ~ l i k e ~ t h a t
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Ede bedewaria lebe ne tomo biago namiya nogo over there while-we-were two-days-ago food that let's-eat pig dawamiya lalu
let's-cook said
Two days ago, while we were sitting over there, he said, 'Let's eat food and cook pig'

0 biago layagola haria Andira i ainya hame la o that when-he-said over there track Andira your mother father both
lamiabe ani laru hewaria
you-tell thus I-said while-we-were-there
When he said that, I said to Andira, 'Tell your mother and father'; that
was while we were over there
I ndogo ini handalu bia laya
I. no yourself go-and-see he-said
'I am not going to go. You go and see them yourself' he said
Ani laya/handala wa halu ina hondo piru
thus seeing-it-said threw-away I went-to-see
Seeing as he said that I threw it coway and went to see them (Anilira's parents)
Ina hondo piruria
I to-see while-I-went
While I had gone to see them
Ainya ibugua limbi lomba (reduplicative) biya
mother she anger was-making
460 His mother was angry
Iya baba bi laya
us with talk said
She made a talk with us
Lai bi laya
arguement talk said
She argued with us
Ani laya/handala (1)
thus seeing-it-said
Seeing as she said like that
Ede hame ogo beraria piru
over there father this where-he-was-sitting I-went
I went to where his (Andira's) father was sitting
Hame ogo pialu 0 lamialu ibu nogo dagandia ibaguago father this when-I-had-gone o when-told his pigs' house I'ZZ-come
dai bidaba laya/handala
you-return seeing-it-said
When I had gone to his father and told him, he said, 'I'Il come to the pigs' house so you all return'. Seeing as he said this
Dai buwa mabu hina bialu hebaria Andira ibiniya when-returned over there garden sweet potato where-worked Andira he-came When I had returned Andira came to where I had been working with the sweet potato

Ni kegoni / ore ai mabu kebe unu puyaridago down there you-are-there definitely whose garden are-you-on those went-held eberegoria ngela pole. ibu laya
those leave to-go he said
'You are down there, so whose garden are you on? Those things you have held, you leave them and go.' he said
Edegoria ndi lalu beregoni 0 nogo bole ndi lalu bedago over there secret said you-there o pig to-kill secret said you-were-there
ai hondo ibiribe ibu ainya hame la ibiridagoni ani laya who come-to-see his mother father both came thus said
'Over there you made some secret talk. You made some secret talk about
killing a pig so who did you come to see? You came to see my mother and father' he (Andira) said
Ani lowa ibuwa í baya
thus when-said when-came me he-hit
When he had come, and said that, then he hit me
I bayagola howa 0 inaga wane ibu ainya ibugua dugu hanalu piyaya me when-he-hit omy daughter his mother her picked-up-carried went
When he had hit me, his mother picked up and carried off my daughter
Dugu hanalu piyagola ibugua i bayagola howa igiri Diliba
picked-up-carried since-she-went he me when-he-hit boy Diliba

## nahenedale

if-not-there
Since she picked up and carried off the child, and after he (Andira) had hit me, if that boy Diliba hadn't been there
0 i henemane bo ngale ogorieni
o I truly would-be-killed there
Then I would truly be dead
Ai igiri Diliba henegome minalu 0 tigua minarimigo mangaba layago udu
ai boy Diliba was-there caught o they caught he-didn't-like up there
andaga puwa tai bu piya
house went went-to-search
The boy Diliba was there and caught him (Andira) which he didn't like. He went off to his house up there and Diliba went off to search for him
Au biya dagua Diliba talima piya (1) 0 udu andaga payenda ho biraya
how done like Diliba followed o up there house closed to-stay
That is how it was done and Diliba followed him and closed him inside the house

0 Hiribalu ibiya ibugua minaya
o Hiribalu come him caught
Then Hiribalu came and caught him (Andira)
520 Ogo dege buleyago 0 biagoria howa gungu biya
this just to-do o there from they-fought
They were going to do these things and from there they fought
Ani buwa lalu hariga obenenibi gai beragoriabi 0 ogoni bolego
thus when-done track that one met o that I-will-kill
When that was done, 'For the track and our meet I will kill you
After that Andira said he would kill me for the incidents on the track and the time we met

Nu timbuni hene Hiribalu ibu bedagoni to wa haluya ogoni hangu
bag big there Hiribalu he sits to-kill throw-away this only
layago
he-said
There is a big bag. Hiribalu sits there. I will kill you to throw away' he said only that
Hiribalu carries the compensation for when I kill you. Andira said that
Ibu ainyahanda ibu honowialu
his mother him carried-placed
His mother gave birth to him
Í hamehanda i honowialu i ainya hame lame
$m y$ father me carried-placed my mother father both
My father bore me, both my mother and father
0 nogome dege dawa honowiagago ai 1 ainya ab'a lame mbiyaore honowinigo o pigs just many used-to-bear ai my mother father both one bore Pigs used to have many children but my parents gave birth to only one child
Homole i mangaba dai bialu i manga larogoni
to-die I refuse to-return I refuse I-an-saying
$I$ don't wish to die or to return $I$ an saying
Ogoni dagua ba bo hayagola au layagola ( ) í ainya gende halu homane that like as-going-to-kill as-that-said ( ) my mother heavy was died As he was going to kill me like that, because he said those things, my mother was grief stricken and died
Ai í ab'a ogoni dagua lamuleda
ai my father that like will-tell
'I will tell my father like that'
Dalowa said previously that she would tell her father of what had happened
Ani buledagonaga manga timbuni harogoni
thus for-to-do refusal big. I-om-staying
In order to do that I an remaining in a state of refusal

## Andira:

I didn't think of saying anything
Now I an here
For the talk on killing, it should be thrown away
That woman there (Dalowa), what is in her heart has come outside $I$ am saying
She said, 'You ate the raw pumpkin and taro'. O those ones she has lied
I don't know anything about killing that woman, truly I am saying
I wanted to cook and told the small boy Haluma, 'Heat the stones'
560 I said, 'Let's go and cook sweet potato' and then I pulled up the taro Then while I was doing that they come and got the sweet potato and they jumped over (i.e. contominated) it; with the spade she dug up the gardien
Men, I was digging for sweet potato and she come and jumped over them
Ai like this it used to give trouble; 'I an throwing it away and going, ai Haluma, you come and we will cook food'
The one thing that was said was that only
The row pumpkin and taro she has talked on
For cooking food with Haluma it has been said and done, only one thing was said 0
I don't know what she is talking about down there

She has said what is in her heart
The matter over there at Bulibi track has been said, I did a Damba (compensation payment) two days ago, a pig was killed and cooked there yesterday They (the compensation recipients) said, 'You kill pig. One female pig and twenty kina pay. You come and give those' they said
For saying, 'Come and give' it has been thrown avay. The pig and the twenty kina have gone so we throw it away. 'I have put a pig at Dai's father's place down there. You two (i.e. Dalowa and child) throw it covay and go' I said
'Ai you have made two talks
You (Dalowa) go and kill and give pigs to Hiribalu for the trouble Paaubi gave
The pig from before is at Dai's father's place so we'll give that one
I am going to give the pig at Dai's father's place for the compensation You two (i.e. Dalowa and child) go off and throw it away
You two go back to the house over there or go over there' I said like that When that was said she argued with me; 'The cooked pig, the head and intestines should go to us. Where is the pig?
Seeing as she said that $I$ threw it avay and came
That was the second thing that was said
I don't know about anything else that was said. TThey
Dalu: . I an saying something, wait
Ai Tondowa and Hawi have been marked (i.e. nomed), so have you said the pigs are coming now?
Andira:
I didn't mark it (i.e. make the analogy)
The talk that is coming now, about the woman that was killed and thrown away (i.e. Tondowa) she had argued with men and continued to argue with them Now I an here. 'Don't talk to me like that, you (Dalowa) go' I said I said like that
What Hawi has done is over there, and now I an here I have said
Egawi:
Were those two people (i.e. Andira and Dalowa) by themselves there?
Or were there many people gathered when you had the talk?
Dalowa:
Kedome was up there and he heard it
Payawi:
When you were up at Ogobi over there nobody else was there Over there where Hiribalu fought, when they were taking the taro out, then what's-his-nome was there (1) Haluma was there when you were taking the food out

## Andira:

Down there we had the fight
While I was coming, Wale was one, and Dalowa and the little girl Gauni, they were all going together over there
They laughed there
When they had laughed, Dalowa and the woman Wale they laughed up there too Because they laughed $O$ I was angry

They were laughing while going
They did like that
Following their laughter I went
'We are just walking around aimlessly' (they said) and then they laughed
0 I hit Wale and while I was hitting Wale, Dalowa caught me
$O$ I didn't hit her (i.e. Dalowa) and I went off
I got hold of and hit Wale
'You (Dalowa) go' I said. 'If I touch you with my hand blood might pour out'
It was said like that and I went off $I$ am saying

## Dalowa:

He is lying on those
For the laughter, I don't know (what he is talking about)
His mother was sick so did he bring sweet potatos for her pigs? You should
620 have done it but I did it. So why are you angry?
Having said that, so why are you angry? I was coming, following that woman
I was going to throw it away (i.e. the laughter) so do you understand or not?
He came, caught and hit wale
Having done that we fought
'O I am not going to touch you' he said. 'You go, I am hitting Wale only' he has said
I an saying what you have said is lies
I an not going back to his house, truly I am saying

## Egawi:

She won't return back
Alright, that man there has looked after pigs.
Kuyago:
Egawi:
$=g o '$ we didn't say that. You saw each other by yourselves and you went (i.e. married)

When the talk has been said then it will be straightened
Once we have talked about this then we can settle it
Dalu:
On the day that you took out all the sweet potato, then he hit you
When you were carrying sweet potato over there
0 what was said?
Andira:
That time she was carrying sweet potato $O I$ didn't hit her
When I came there I said, 'You are not digging the gardens, you are just carrying sweet potato all the time; what for?' She said, 'There is your

Dalu:
On the ground or outside?
Andira:
No, in the drain
Seeing as the sweet potato was there I went
Gauni and myself were going down there and I said, ' $O$ I have one garden so you come and we will dig'
She said, 'Here is your sweet potato' and seeing as it was thrown at my chest

I didn't hit her back, I didn't reply back to her, I just went off
You (Dalowa) are not making gardens, you just keep digging out and carrying off sweet potato
Hiribalu:
The pigs and the gardens that are there you yourselves are going to see them with the eyes

## Dalowa:

Those ones I have said
He sow you people and he is talking
When he saw all of you he started making up the talk
That woman, his mother, told you yesterday, so did you hear it ot not?=
Hayabe:
For those ones (talk) when you hear his talk then you want to eat his penis (expression for being angry)
Dalu: ((see Goldman 1983:273))
Now you are sitting there decorated
No, when you go down there anger will come out
You will be making a big insult to us men here
660 You will expose your arse down there
You will rudely gesticulate down there
It is not just you we are saying
For man and woman a mana (custom) has been placed there
On the public space it shouldn't be said and carried outside
'Ai that man there is killing me
I am not going to die, (this is what Dalowa has said)
$\bar{W} e$ are saying you have said, 'Speak to make me stay alive'
0 that one (i.e. talk) we are talking on
Let us do it (i.e. talk) to keep her alive
Hayabe:
'I an the sister of Tondowa' we are talking on what you have said We are talking on the parallel you have drawn between yourself and Tondowa Dalu:
Don't say any talk, you sit, we are talking
Ai, will some of the others talk then? (4)
Ai the talk Hiribalu has said
Yesterday it was put (made)
The talk that your (Andira's) mother said yesterday was also said
We heard it and are carrying it
Ai the talk you have made and answered back now, I have replied to Now that woman (Dalowa) over there are you (Andira) refusing?
680 Or 'That woman is my wife' will you say that?
Andira:
I didn't say, 'I don't want her'
Dalu:
Now, are you saying, 'That's my wife, my wife and daughter sit down there?' Or 'That woman is a different woman sitting there, that's not my wife?'

Au manda bu kebe laro?
how you-thinking are-you I-am-saying
How are you thinking about this I am saying?
Andira:
I an here to say, 'My wife and daughter are sitting down there'
Dalu:
Who is talking?
Andira:
I am
Dalu:
You say, 'I am a different man
I never used to play
The talk is in me'
I am mature and capable of solving this matter
Did you think that is your wife and child there?
Or did you think that was a different woman?
That is how it is I am saying
You have said, 'That is my wife and child'
Andira:
You send her back to my house
Dalu:
Ai you have said, 'I am going to say give me pigs (i.e. the bridewealth
back); give me only pigs I am going to say
I don't desire that woman'. You have said like that
Ai did you think that was your wife?
Andira:
I only said, 'Don't sit there all the time'
You men there, do you ever just marry and throw away the pigs?
The father of the pigs or the father of the girl do they do like that?
Where is such a man?
Dalu:
We never stayed like that
$O$ we never stayed like that, see
$O$ the one who will answer the talk
0 , the girl's father is there
Ai because she sat at his house, the talk about that one that has been said
(i.e. about Dalowa staying away), she sat there

Ai the one who gets your (Dalowa's) hand will hold onto it, they will hold firmly
If your mother holds you then she will hold firmly
If your father holds you then he will hold firmly
Like that you will be carried off
Now you are sitting there
Ai when your mother has held onto you
When you have held onto yourself
720 Then you will be carried off

For the seven talks that have been made (i.e. about Andira's behaviour) she should sit there
Not like this now
For the fighting, arguing and death threats that have been said Ai pull them out and throw them oway
Ai they are nothing (i.e. of no consequence)
Yesterday your mother told us she has seen a sign (gandeba: premonition of the future) and we all heard it
Yesterday your father told us he had seen a sign and we all heard it
Now you said we are holding them so will you (Andira) carry Dalowa and
your daughter oway down there?
Or will you say, 'No, we are saying leave them here?'
You have said,' 'That's my wife'
You have said, 'No, that's not my wife'
You have said, 'The pigs will be given back (i.e. there will be a divorce)'
Have you said, 'For sitting with Hayabe (Dalowa's father) the pigs will come back?'
Because Dalowa stayed with her parents there will be a divorce
Andira:
You all think of.the days and years (i.e. that Dalowa has stayed oway)

```
((overlapping speech)) ( )
Dalu:
The crying was yesterday The crying was yesterday
```

Andira:
Who is the man who just marries women and throws them away?
$[(($ overlapping speech )) ( )
Dalu:
The crying was yesterday
The crying was yesterday I have said, and it has been seen
The crying was yesterday, one month ago
Ai Dalowa and Andira had made a war
The talk now (1), seven talks have now been said so is that the only times when you have cried?
When Andira himself has replied then
When Dalowa herself has replied, 0
Her mother was alive but died while her father was there
They were doing it (i.e. fighting) on the same day she was buried
That was a good thing (sarcastic comment)
No, we never used to do like that
When the smoke comes from the skeleton we kill homanego (funeral pigs);
this used to be done first
Bi ogoni dabadaba
talk these choose
You all choose the talks to be said
Egawi:
There won't by any man there to choose those things (i.e. talks)

760 The mother and father will talk
' $O$ this boy is getting trouble with this woman
That woman (Dalowa) has been sitting there
She followed to her father's place'
We will talk =
Hiribalu:
$=$ What your father is saying
What numba (pidgin for councillor: i.e. Dalu) is saying
What the middle-men are saying
You listen
What the mother has said
The source (i.e. of this trouble) was said while we were all there What the mother has said (i.e. reported Andira as having said is),
'My wife I used to hit'
[ 1
Gangaro:
You men there
You have said, 'An angry talk and an argwnent can be said'
The talk has been covered over and you have both stayed for two years
We are saying the mana (custom) goes on the track of our ancestors
We are saying that man's wife has held (the trouble) $i n$, and on those you have got angry

Andira:
I was angry, the talk said now makes it seem as if we were enemies
Gangaro:
$O$ not that those things said can't be said
780 They can be said can't they?
For two years you (both) have been talking
With sticks or axe or 'I hit you'
If the witnesses talk on these, or if you have lied, then truly that woman
(Dalowa) is going to die and we will have a court (i.e. it will go to the official courts)
When the witnesses see then they will court this man
It is true for two years you have looked after this woman
'This woman died yesterday'
The witnesses will say Dalowa died only a short time ago
'One month' you have said down there, but she is going outside
She has stayed over there (i.e. at her parents)
She has stayed at Dabali and Gombogoba
And then they will take that man Hayabe to court
We are saying, 'Let's go to court'
The source is that she has been held for one year, they have been arguing
and fighting with axe and stick and she has been hit; she is going to take you to court
She will say, 'I an angry' and she will talk on the days she has been staying away
Then truly they will share it
Truly the matter will be debated
That's how she will reply

## 800

'I married that woman (Andira will say)'. But now you have hit her once
with a stick and it has been carried outside (in public) with the father (i.e. of Dalowa)
'We go to court' it has been said
First we said, 'Let's go and see what the aid-post orderly says'
That time we threw it away
We never used to hit women when they were pregnant
Hiribalu heard it
'I am giving you'
Hiribalu heard the orderly tell Dalowa he was giving her a note about the hitting
For that one you hit her with a stick
The doctor saw it, the aid-post orderly saw it
'I'll give you a note' it was said
That's how the talk was placed and that is one source (bi amane: source of the trouble)
Now we are talking from that point and it is climbing and mixing with a second and third talk and it is hard, it is a hard talk (i.e. difficult to 'soften' or 'settle')
Because they are choosing (the talks), if she says, 'I was sitting for two years' or 'I wasn't sititing for two years'
Then they (the witnesses) will say, 'That woman has made a true talk'
We are saying then, 'Let's say the father (Hayabe) was also making a true talk'
For the two years, the middle-men and witnesses will be saying, 'She is telling the truth'
820 If they say that then truly he (Andira) used to hit you
Now, have some people seen it or not?
Ganabi:
Now what we are saying is this
The one for killing, the man who came to that woman for killing, seeing as he is there, we are talking on it
If there is no law there for the woman
You will see when she has borne a child, it will grow strong and return here
When we pull out all the pigs (i.e. divorce)
He (i.e. the son) won't know the source of this
The son won't understand why his parents divorce
0 if it's like that then the source will become bad
We are the middle-men
Woman, your husband is down there
Man, your wife is down there
That is how it is when we have trouble with a woman, half of the talk will be with middle-men
You left a little talk there and we will see on the mana we are saying
For that man and woman there, it is true they are biting themselves like pigs and if they scatter away then truly the stick for dying is placed there If she returns you (Andira) won't know what to do

## Gangaro:

What your mother said yesterday. 'I am not going to carry (i.e. pay compen-
sation) for your bones'
Andira's mother said she won't be responsible for compensation if Dalowa is killed
She said that yesterday $=$

Ago:
$=0$ ayu ina biyime dabale kemaria
o now we talk-holding-men to-choose where-we-are
We middle-men are here to choose the talk where we stand
Yesterday Hayabe and Andira's mother said one talk (i.e. made the same talk)
Gangaro:
Yes
Ago:
0 what your father said yesterday, he has said the same talk today
What you have said is joining it
Hayabe and what's-his-nome's mother made one talk
Gangaro:
From Hiribalu there was one talk, his wife and daughter are sitting down there
He replied like this, 'A big bag has been carried there, are you the one?'
He said someone is assuming responsibility for compensation, is it you Andira?
'I an not carrying any bag' he (Hiribalu) said
Then the mother burnt a house there
Then the mother made a big issue out of this
'I an not going to walk around' (the mother said)
When somebody was hitting he (Hiribalu) used to stop them
'I don't know why you are hitting, you didn't say out' =
860 'I don't know why Andira is hitting he didn't tell us' Hiribalu said
Hiribalu:
$=$ What the mother has said, the source has been said like this
Gangaro: [ Those two $\begin{aligned} & \text { For two years Hiribalu you have been talking }\end{aligned}$ [Now
Hiribalu: We are in the second year now
He has got two blocks of garden, another is flooded
If the middle-men want to see it with their eyes then we should see it $=$
Gangaro:
No
Hiribalu:
= The woman planted her digging stick there and then went off
Gangaro:
What's that?
She sat there, truly it's the second year now or has the second year passed?
Hiribalu:
The woman (Dalowa) has been staying there
I thought the husband and her were coming to visit me so I stayed over there That's how I thought

Hayabe:
You didn't think like that $=$
Gangaro:
= You didn't think like that =
Hayabe:
$=$ This could become an argument
We are going to talk on the one when you said, 'Don't come here you stay over there'
880 Hiribalu: [ ${ }_{0}$ for that one, am I going to creep over the woman's thigh Was I going to have intercourse with my son's wife?
We can see all the men are here, if we are going to talk on it then we should talk ( )
I am sitting here and my strength is gone
He (Andira) is goins to kill pme
Gangaro:
Hiribalu:
wait
He is going to kill me $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { D } \\ (\text { multiple overlapping }))\end{array}=\right.$
Hiribalu:
= Wait
He ${ }^{\text {is }}$ going to kill me, not that he isn't going to kill me
Are you listening?
I sent his wife to stay there, but he (Andira) didn't hear what was said
Only the woman heard it
Other people didn't hear what was said; are you listening?
The aid-post orderly said, 'The baby is going to die' it was said while I was sitting there
'We'll choose a good woman so you go and sit there' I said
The man (Andira) didn't hear it
Egari:
He doesn't want to make lies, the Administration is here now so he doesn't want to lie
Didn't he sxy, 'r'hat. woman is going to die?'
Ai I thought I married a bone (i.e. a strong woman) but I think I may have married a flesh (i.e. weak woman)
You choose what you want to do and then stay' it was said like that
Hiribalu:
If' she dies (2), well I am not sick any more like I used to be and we can still walk around
I am still capable of seeing to matters should she (Dalowa) die
Yow the children are going to die it has been said ,ho is going to choose a good woman?
'You (Dalowa) sit where your father and mother's place is' I said
Having said that, 0 , 'You sit there' I said like that

## Hayabe:

That year has been broken (i.e. upset)
I am going to say a little something
That year has been broken
The woman that was pregnant (i.e. Dalowa) has been broken (upset by Andira)
The pigs that were shared (i.e. the bridewealth) have been broken
When we shared those pigs how did the woman stay?
Following the bridewealth distribution how did Dalowa conduct her marriage? You count from when the woman was pregnant or when the pigs were shared and then break it off there
Let's count the months of trouble either from when she was pregnant or when the bridewealth was distributed and then finish off on this matter

Payawi:
Since you shared the pigs has one year passed?
[((multiple overlapping))
Hiribalu:
Once when the woman there came to Gambogoba we saw her forehead (i.e. intentions)
[Gama's father (i.e. Gangaro) made one talk here
((multiple overlapping))

## Hiribalu:

He (Gangaro) made a small talk and then he cut it off, I heard
'The children's mother shouldn't [be hit with a stick' =
Payawi:
That's a good talk isn't it
Hiribalu:
= They all went to the aid-post and heard the talk
Over there at Gambogoba we all heard the talk
On that day at Gambogoba over there we saw her forehead
How did she stay I an saying?
They had gone to the aid-post and when they returned they stayed over there,
and then the child was born
When the child had been born, one month didn't pass and her (Dalowa's) mother died
A different talk now will go there on another track, so you all go off
Gangaro:
O for that one, you hit her a second time down there
She was pregnant and ready to give birth and that was a second time
Once you hit her over there before she was carrying children, and she nearly died but ran off
It was like that the first time, and we are saying the second time was just the same
It has been seen, the stick, the hitting, I an saying, both times When woman has something inside her we men never used to hit woman with children inside there
But you have hit twice I am saying Twice you have hit her and it is coming outside now (i.e. being made public)
940 Now that it is coming outside, and you have said you hit her twice Having done that all these things are mixing together

On all these things only yourselves (i.e. Andira and Dalowa) used to talk about them
When you said, 'I am going to kill you' there were no witnesses
'I didn't say it' you (Andira) have now said
'No, he said it' that's what you (Dalowa) have said
Not like this
There is no third person now, but a third person come out when you hit her
before down there I have said
Another time the aid-post orderly saw you had hit her, 'I'll give you a note' he said, it has been said
The source of this talk are these two things which have been placed here The other things (i.e. issues brought up) are just being mixed together (mo tago)

Payawi:
A third talk Hiribalu said up there, I an not interrupting
Hiribalu said, 'You come and go'
The aid-post orderly said, what's-her-name, 'Dalowa, you come to Fugua' He (Hiribalu) made a talk like that for there was a stinging pain there
'You stay over there' he (Hiribalu) said. 'You find a strong woman and stay with her, go' he said like that
The talk of Hiribalu is that talk
960 Hayabe:
Gangaro:


Hayabe:
I am saying something
The time she was hit she went to the aid-post and a note was given The second time she was hit, '0 bring the note' he (aid-post orderly) said
'I'll give you another note and we'll go to Koroba (police station)'
When the note was there he (Andira) said, 'I never touched the children. you all return' he said
That was the first time
The incident that occurred later
0 when we have chosen (the talks) we will say it was done like that
fangaro:
This is how you two have been doing
You have been arguing and fighting like man and wife; we thought like that
'I am coming outside, I on saying' your wife
Your wife said she was bringing this issue out into the open
Ai now what we are saying
'You (two) have done like that so come and talk'
We are not saying that
You should have finished this off when her mother died
From the fight the source come out from there
The pig that you looked after you didn't eat, you threw it away
'You go' she herself said like that too
980 We are saying all the talks got mixed together
Hayabe:
When the mother died she was really heavy (i.e. with grief), so you talk on how it was

## Gangaro:

We are saying like this
'You will do what you said' it was said (by Andira)
'My wife will return, I am thinking like that'
You (Andira) didn't say like that
Ai it went outside
I an going to talk like this
Like this let us do it
Hayabe:
I an talking for the baby she is carrying down there
I brought her back from the aid-post and I built her a house, the smoke is ready now
I built her a house and the smoke can be seen coming from it
Gangaro:
For that I am talking
All those things (issues) you have been cutting them off for two years now
The fighting and arguing that have gone Dalowa has talked on and Andira has talked too
All those things that have come outside now, 'You all talk on these' I have said
'I have said these things and I am going back'
'I am throwing these things oway'
You (Andira) didn't say you will say this
'I am going outside (i.e. into public)' you said
You shouldn't want to talk about going outside. No
'I'Zl follow and bring her back' or 'Let's go to court' (Andira should say)
'Those two sources of the talk will take me to court and imprison me' or
'They won't imprison me, they are nothing (bamo: of no consequence)
If you (Andira) have said these things then will you say, 'I'm leading my
wife back, let's go and hear the mana (court) over there?'
I'm replying to your wife so she can hear, I am saying a straight talk
What is the talk now?
The woman's fathers and brothers have talked with you two and all those
talks we have seen and heard
We have heard that the pig wasn't cooked and eaten, that you threw it away and went. So for that one you talk
It has come outside now, I an saying like this
Andira:
I didn't say, 'Let's go and cook pig'
Gangaro:
She said, 'He said it' so was it said or not?
Andira:
No
What that woman said I didn't hear it $=$
Gangaro:
$=$ 'Let's cook pig' it was said. Did your affinal relative say something or not?

Andira:
It was while I was returning from the compensation payment in Wabia that
sent someone to get me
When they had sent someone for me I arrived
When I had arrived then he (Hayabe) he said like that (i.e. 'Let's cook pig')
That woman and man made a talk like that down there
Seeing as they had made a talk, when a man wants to eat something or do
something, then if a sour talk is made we never used to stay for these
((overlapping talk)) ( )
Gangaro: When you arrived there did that man (Hayabe) say something, an important talk and did you hear it?
Andira:
The people were talking with us both, that woman (Dalowa) has said it
Gangaro:
Not that woman down there
'You have changed one talk' she has said
that is your wife so you leave that one alone
Not like that
That man down there said,' 'We' IL cook pig so you go and see that man' it was said
And then another talk was added and it was said on another's forehead
(i.e. in front of him); that time you looked after a pig and you threw it away
We'll talk from here
Your daughter is sitting down there and you have fought and argued with
her and it has gone inside your heart
When you wanted to cook a pig you made a talk like that and added other talks
Are you going to say like that (now), I am saying?
Hiribalu:
You all didn't marry his wife and so you are not going to talk
For making it straight we'll finish off like this
'I am going back up there'
Dalowa should say she is going back to Andira
Andira, 'That is my wife, I am not going to throw her away'
When you have said that then it will be finished straight
$I$ used to marry and throw away (i.e. divorce) women
I used to divorce women but you, Andira, shouldn't do the same
Gangaro: $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Can you see, wait } \\ \text { We are here (, }\end{array}\right.$
The one (Andira) they bore and threw out there, that is the one that married
He is going to hit her himself
He is going to bring back his wife
He is going $[$ to court himself
Hiribalu:
Gangaro:
His wife's relatives are there and they have
made a talk, to you reply =

## Hiribalu:

$=I$ once killed a chicken and tore it into pieces with an axe, for that they thought Pagubi had killed someone
Truly, he (Andira) is not going to kill her
Andira won't kill Dalowa because he knows the violence I am capable of
1060 My son used to come to my house and clear out the excreta
He didn't have a spade or a stick to dig it away
If someone cut her neck off and I buried him (Andira) then I should say he killed her

Payawi:
The mana (low) is there, it is on man's son $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { himself } \\ 0 \\ \text { Gangaro: }\end{array}\right.$
The affines are there
The girl's father is there
You all are the middle-men, the ones who used to marry women
'I an throwing her away' (or)
'She (Dalowa) is a sweet one and I am eating (i.e. keeping her)' (or)
'Now, I an throwing her away, when she is ill I an throwing her away' (or)
'No, I'LL break the bones' (or) 'No, I won't break the bones
She is his (Hayabe's) daughter so I will send her back'
These talks are for court, that's all
You did the pigs (i.e. the bridewealth) for him so why are you (Hiribalu) getting pain?
He used to talk with his wife there, he never used to talk with you
If he is lying or telling the truth it will be seen
Men, that woman down there made an important talk
You (assembled men) never said, 'That woman down there is lying'
So we will throw it away
This talk come outside and was hitting people
1080 The matter has come to the public attention and people are finding it painful
The source is from the time the stick come outside and the aid-post orderly
sow it down there
It was like that I have said
Ai ogoreni howa bi mbira unu Hayabe ibugua layadago
ai there from talk one down there Hayabe he has-said
From there Hayabe has said one talk
'We'll kill and cook pig so you (Dalowa) go and look for pig'
So what type of talk did you make, and then you stayed, I an saying?
Purawi:
Which talk was like an arrow (i.e. sharp and penetrating) and then you stayed, I am saying

## Gangaro:

Yes
Andira:
That man didn't say anything
That woman said, 'I an saying don't come here, but you have come'
When she had said that she was going to do some things and I stayed
Then I said, 'I on going' and I went

Gangaro: [One talk
Kabo: The thing you have said you didn't come here to choose There was a thing to be done but you didn't come here to do it
For that one you went away and we were angry
we were angry because you didn't kill funeral pigs when you were supposed to
1100 But (we didn't say), 'Come back and find out what happened'
No, the eating of the pigs was for nothing, we didn't see your forehead
and you were searching around (for the cause of all the trouble)
The nut tree bears the stem before the nut
That woman (Dalowa) down there made a small talk but you scattered away
What is the source, you are looking to choose the talks
The source (of this trouble) has been thrown outside and now you are searching for it
What you have said now is nothing, it is on top (daliga: superficial) It was done like that we are saying
You didn't eat with that man (Hayabe) and you threw it away, there was no talk made. The ones who should eat the pigs have eaten, but they didn't cut them off and throw them away. For the pigs and dogs we are talking Those ones have been left like that
These are the talks that you have placed there
'That woman (Dalowa) down there I an going to cut with an axe, I an going to kill you and throw you away'
'He (Andira) has eaten the raw taro (a sign of extreme anger), while the children were there he hit us and threw us away
I think he is going to kill us, the one he hit before that was my spirit
When he finishes the job then I will be dead, I an thinking that I an not
1120 going to go with you' she (Dalowa) has said
Your father (Hiribalu) up there never does pigs (bridewealth) with his affines like this
The mother and father who are tied up there, we never see the talk of their affines
We never hear of any trouble between your parents and the respective affines
They are not saying, 'We are going to give you back your (Andira's) pigs'=
Hayabe:
$=$ No
The talk (of divorce) will be put there
We are saying, 'You reply back to us on this'
Payawi: No, [wait
Kabo: $\quad$ I'll reply, to let me speak you wait ( ), stop
We will do it like this
From you, 'O that is my wife' did you say like that now?
Did
Andira:
0 'Dan't sit down there, you return to your house' I said
Kabo:
What you are saying is like trying to build a house while water is there (i.e. attempting to construct a marriage on unsound foundations)

It is not like building a drain beside the road
While the water is there you are putting a roof on the house
In your mind one small talk is going there and it might be bad
For that drain what are you saying?
For the roof what are you doing?
In your heart you are happy I have said
The talk that is inside your heart, you say it
Andira:
These things I don't know, so we all go to court
You all go to court
01a:
What Kabo has said is an important talk
Andira:
Did anyone say there is a person with Toro (deadly sorcery) up there who will kill you, so sit down there?
Did anyone tell you there is sorcery there so you (Dalowa) should sit at your father's place?
01a:
I an talking because she said, 'I am not going back'
Hiribalu:
For the talk that was said yesterday it is being said like this
Dalowa, you swore and the talk went outside, the mother over there (she said)
It was done like that, and after the swearing then with your tongues (i.e. talk) you two were arguing and cutting each other
Don't say anything, leave those things
Andira, you have pulled it out from your forehead
Andira, you have said what is truly in your heart
You (two) were carrying sticks to hit each other so when you do like that you are not going to sit in front of my forehead ( )
Gangaro:

1160
'I an not going to carry the daughter's (Dalowa's) bones' he has said
Andira will refuse to pay compensation for Dalowa if he kills her I am saying
He said only one thing
Hiribalu:
He (Andira) has the one thing only
'She (Dalowa) continues to stay up there at that house (i.e. her parents' place)'
He saw her face one day and then he hit her
When he had hit, 'I'm not going to carry (compensate for) her' he said, it has been said and heard

## Gangaro:

He said like that
He held it over there and went off

Yesterday he (Hiribalu) said, 'You have been staying with your father down there
You showed your face one day and it was hit'
Following the hitting, 'I am not going to carry (compensate) your bones, for you have been staying like that over there'
Truly what you have been saying is coming out here now
Now, not like that
You are mixing it together down there (i.e. compounding the issues)
He didn't say this and went, if you say this one later then you will be covering it over
Dalu:
'She stayed away for a long time' he has said
( )
When they were staying at home I used to see them fighting each other and
now we are seeing the pain there
1180 From these troubles we are not going to see it
For that man's daughter (Dalowa) you are tying a knot (making trouble)
Hiribalu has said a talk like this
Gangaro:
((tape reversed five seconds of speech lost))
Now we are saying with the boy's mother; when she was pregnant they built a house
When she is the mother of two or three children you (Andira) can look after
it, if she is the mother of one he (Hayabe) can look after her
When she had borne he (Hayabe) looked after it but that is nothing (of consequence)
'This woman has brought the talk out into the open and it is going inside my son like an arrow, with the stick it is hitting him' it will be said you should say this and it will be heard
Hiribalu will be urged to say that by Dalowa's actions of bringing the issues into public his son will get pain from the talk
'Like this she is making garden or looking after pig' and when you (Andira)
have said a talk like that then the main talk will go there
Men, what did they used to do?
'The talk is in that woman' we will say like that
'With your own talk you (Andira) are cutting the stick (i.e. revealing your plans)' we will say like that
All these things are not understood
You were hitting her and I used to say you are hitting for nothing and stop them
1200 'I am not going to carry the bones' he (Andira) has said
'My son has a big bag'
Hiribalu has said his son has a big capacity for compensation
'I am going to carry her in my bag' he (Andira) said yesterday
: ((Two speeches relating to extraneous events have been deleted here))

## Gangaro:

Now, while we are thinking about it here you two have argued like a wife and husband
The woman has said it to make it come outside

You have been arguing and fighting like wife and husband
You take your wife away
Your talk has been heard down there
You are not going to make this talk
The talk you have said is, 'Let's pull out (divorce) the pigs for that woman, I don't want her'
If what is in your heart is that you don't want her then it will be coming outside
'I want my pigs (bridewealth) back so you go' you will say
And then we will go to court
When we say, 'We are throwing it away' it won't be settled
( )
Dalowa:
When he talks he is saying lies
When we were by outselves my mother was ill
'What are you two doing' she asked
Then she got heavy (i.e. grief stricken) and died
Andira:
That woman and child stayed where that house (Hayabe's house) is
((overlapping talk))
Gangaro:
The talk that man (Andira) is saying now, 'My wife and daughter return to my house
One year (they have stayed)'. O that one you have said is a lie
One of the source talks is that you hit that woman and it has come outside and been seen
'Let's go to court, you (all) go and get him' it has been said
'We 'll go to the doctor first' it was said, and then he (Andira) said he didn't touch the child
Then it was said, 'Don't hit her' and a second time he hit her
The talk will come outside from this man (Andira) and it is coming outside
'O the talk he said up there is lies' (Dalowa has said)
'I pulled out the taro' you (Andira) said, but she said, 'You ate the raw taro'
One of the talks has been exposed already
'I never touched her' (Andira said)
'Who are you, you are lying' it has been said
'I haven't eaten the taro' you said, but she said, 'You touched the taro, you ate it row and you are lying'
The small boy that was there with you, he will speak
Now you are wandering around but what belongs to dama (malevolent
supernatural beings) is of a different order
When it comes then truly the dama will get space inside you and then we'll go to court
When you lie then truly the spirits will have possessed you
1240
'That woman will return home
Let's pull out the pigs (i.e. divorce)'
We shouldn't say this
One agalini la lai gungu timbuni habane keba haruago mo hada wife husband both argument fight big heart anger is-there make untie
hamiya
let-us

Wife and husband have had a big argument so let us untie the anger in their hearts
Ogoni hangu
that only
That's alz
Hayabe:
We are saying, 'Are you a small boy or a man?'
Do you want those ones you have borne?
We will say the sources (for this dispute) so you come back
((Andira had been gradually making his way to the perimeter of the meeting which was interpreted as indicating an intention to leave the dispute))
The talk is still in progress (wene), so you come back
Dalowa:
He never brings any food to us, he is hitting us and I have said these things by myself I am saying
'For going back to him, I don't want this' I an saying That is Andira's child
If he doesn't want to divorce then $I$ an carrying off my daughter
Egari:
'Andira you come up there, I an not going down there (to your place)' she has said
Did you hear that?

## Dalowa:

1260 When my mother died he didn't come
It was like that so I don't want him
Without a special reason he never came
When I was mourning with these two, he never came
For carrying sweet potato to us he never came
When I had finished sitting there then we wanted to eat pig
Andira:
I was making a compensation payment
Dalowa:
Compensation, no
You were thinking of doing pig but I didn't say anything
Egari: $\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ \text { For, wait }\end{array}\right.$
For the fighting and arguing that have been done the middle-men are not going to say, 'Pull out the pigs (i.e. divorce)'
The talk you are saying, 'Andira, you come up here; if I go there then
there might be no witnesses and I might die'
Are you (Dalowa) talking to say a talk like this?
Or, 'Andira, now it is finished' are you saying that?
$I$ am saying

## Dalowa:

Over there he will be doing all these things and now it is finished

Ogoni dege laro
1280 this just I-an-saying
I am saying just this
Egari:
You are saying a talk to finish the marriage
Are you talking to do the pigs (divorce)?
Dalowa:
The men with mana (knowledge of custom) will share the talk and with the talk will say, 'Go'

Egari:
With the husband
With the woman's fathers and brothers
I have seen them get many troubles
We have seen many making talks like these
What you have said over there are just jokes
'We were angry and we did these things' you (both) speak
For anger, for hitting, for these things you are refusing him
You are saying a talk like this
No, when you say, 'Finish' in court, and when you have chosen the talks in court
'Let's return home', this talk say I am saying
For divorce $I$ am not choosing the talk now

## Dalowa:

What he said for killing he is saying he didn't say
For killing he has said it eight times
That's all I om saying
Ai two days ago I said a straight talk, a middle talk, and I went
1300 When he had hit me, his father was carrying a heavy bag to give me
Andira's father was willing to pay compensation to my family if I died
I an not going to return
Egari:
She is not going to go to your place over there, she is going to Gambogoba
Andira should talk on that, part of your land is there and another part is over there
'I am not going over there' she has said
So what will you say?
Dalu:
That woman there has said, 'I am not thinking of Andira'
She doesn't want to die and she is finishing it now
He is saying, 'You come over here' =
Egari:
$=$ If they say, 'We are not coming up there' then in your heart what will you say $I$ an saying?
Andira:
'She won't sit over there' I am saying

## Egari:

[ No ((multiple overlapping))
= If she says, 'We are staying over there' then what will you say I an saying?
Andira:
I don't know what to say for those talks
If she stays over there, and if she stays over here, then she will be going back and forth I om saying
Dalu:
The talk you made up there, what were you chasing after?
0 when you don't divorce, she is still not going to come $I$ am saying
0 how will you divorce?
What is this talk of divorce?
0 for killing her the stick will still be there and she wo:n't come I om saying
For pulling out this talk, then when Hiribalu and Andira have pulled it out When Hayabe has pulled it out
The talk that has gone on this road (i.e. Ialuba) is, 'Throw it away'
Ai the talk I an saying is Dalowa is lying
There is nothing in Andira (i.e. no liability or fault)
No
$\overline{W e}$ are saying what Andira has been doing
We should all say a talk like this and cut it off now
It is raining now
The talk which you keep throwing out should be left now
She is not going to come I an saying
Which talk is pulling out the stick?
Which talk is going to kill Dalowa
The stick will still be there and she is not coming it has been said
If you say the talk is in us (i.e. to settle this issue) then we will go to court on Thursday
All the talks are lies and we have gathered them here
You married that one so if you say, 'I con carrying her off' then truly we
1340 will see the matter finished
The girl's fathers and brothers, you all go
They will stay together over there
When this talk has been said, then cut it off I om saying
It is getting dark now so let's say, 'We go'
((Some of the participants had begun to dissipate and two speeches were inaudible on playback))

Gangaro:
Women and men used to argue and fight and this is like that it is said In your mind now do you think we are divorcing your wife? What are you saying?
Or do you think it is the woman herself?
One talk has been placed on the road here
When you are with your neighbours, your friends, will you think the talk has been mixed and is coming outside, I am saying?

Andira:
I don't know, I never used to make a long talk for women You all go home, I am going
((Following Andira's departure informal talk occurred among small sections and recording was discontinued))

## APPENDIX B: D. 2

Issue : Ogoli claimed that Wanali had accused him of involvement in her son Baro's death by drowning. This accusation is idiomatically referred to by the phrase the stick (i.e. for killing) is in you. The text shows the generally bad relations between Wanali and her husband Garibe on account of the latter's failure to provide her with adequate land and housing.
Date : February 19th 1978
Location: Ialuba - Koroba District
Outcome : The matter remained unresolved after protracted debate and Ogoli did not pursue his claim any further.

## Notes

1. Source (tene) refers to both a person and/or issue identified as primary in the causal aetiology of the dispute.
2. It's in you means you are to blame.

Ogoli:
1 O now, 'The stick is in you' you said over there, I an saying. Did you say it?
Wanali:
0 i ha tene lenedago dabalimu lo ala laro o me in source said you-choose to-say first I-am-saying It has been said the source is in me. In order to say, 'You choose (the talks)' I an speaking first
Garibe:
((inaudible))
Wanali:
Uru nale libi laba (reduplicative) nalabe those don't-say stutter don't-say Don't say those things and don't stutter
Tene / ore dabama bialu agua dagua leneda laga source definite we-choose when-done how like said used-to-say
biabeha ndodabe?
can-be-done can't-it?

When saying, 'Let's choose the sources' it's possible to talk like we used to isn't it?

Ayu i ore uduali bamba i Baro homaiya/handala piruguni now me very up there before me Baro died seeing-it I-went Before, seeing as Baro had died, I went away
0 biago mangabiyene
o that one I-didn't-want
Because of that I didn't want to stay
Agali eberego ibugua biyago hondo ha dege bialu
man that one he did sav just did
I saw what that man (Garibe) had done
Wali haga la binigo honda ha dege bialu mangabiyene
woman second-wife with did saw just did didn't want I saw what he did with his other wife and I didn't want to be there
0 aila biruleda lalu?
o who to-sit-with I-said
'who shall I sit (stay) with?' I said
I igini Baro iba piya / handala piru
my son Baro water fell seeing-it I went
Seeing as my son Baro had drowned I went away
Pene iba piya / handala pu birarua
went water fell seeing-it go where-sitting
I went and seeing as he had drowned I went to where I an now
That man (Garibe) there came and was iooking for me, he come with Dalu to fetch me back and I came on that track there two days ago
When I arrived, 'Why did you come and get me?' I said
40 'I came with your husband for the argument you've had, for the argument'
0 Gangaro said like that
'I am doing this, saying this, staying here' - this wasn't said (at the time)
'Seeing as you were holding something you just went'
Gangaro said that as I was thinking of some trouble I just went away from it all
Now 0 , 'We are here to hear the source' it has been said
O you are talking down there on those
O, 'You come back' (they have said to me)
O, 'Your pigs and money used for buying, you give them back' (they have said)
O because that was said, since Dalu talked about my money and pigs, 'You go' I said
They said, 'You go with your children, you all go
You go back to where your husband is'
That's how it was done
We were looking for the place
'Let's go back (we, the fomily said) 'but my house that was there before was destroyed and bad, and I was going to break it down
Ai the old gardens had been completely covered and dug up and I went, so then that was that
'I am not coming back, so you all go' we said. 'I am going off'
'Say, "Go and chop some wood and make the house first"' it was said I told them to tell Garibe to chop wood and make my house before I return
'Let's build the house'
I didn't want to build it myself
Igiri biago dara honowiya / handala j piru
boy that one sorrow carried / seeing-it I went
Seeing there was grief over that boy (Baro) I went
$O$ and then you came
O that one, O he said, 'You all sleep with that woman, Hidomu's mother over there'
Garibe told us to sleep with Hidamu's mother
O, 'Seeing as you have given land and houses to Hidomu's mother there why
are you throwing me down there with them' (I said)
Before, one thing was said
One Zand
One house together
For those things that woman (i.e. other wife) was big and strong (in her desire)
My gardens were taken
My houses were taken
Now it's been done like this. 'You are there staying bad and with leprosy'
(Garibe said) and I went away
On this land and houses they will stay
80 Now why have you come to fetch me I am saying?
$I$ an coming and going, when my gardens have been dug up and my sweet potato
eaten they will stay there
I have done like that
When I had carried and cried for my son Baro, I went
You have told me twice
You have told me three times
For the one that bore the son?
Is that the sort of thing the father of a dead son should say to the mother?

0 biago lari / handala pu haabo halu piruguni
o that one you-said seeing-it continued to-stay-together I-went
Seeing as you had said that, and that you continued to stay together, I went off

Now we have argued, but I was going to say a different thing
The one that was born, is that your son? Did you hear him?
I stayed and threw it away; just a little, for three days, and then I went
Where I was sitting they were looking for me up there. I was making business
With my money I got some sweet potato and they looked to see how much pig
or sweet potato I had
They (Dalu, Gangaro and Garibe) were looking for me up there two days ago
And then they brought me down from up there
100 o truly, not that I wasn't doing anything up there
God up there, on that track, he provided. Shouldn't the husband give too?
When the men had looked for me I went
Now that's a good thing you have done
To say, 'Shall I come' you should say, 'Let's make a house'
When I came to where he was, he said, 'You go and sleep in the men's house in Hiwanda'
Ai, 'You have to come here so what have you done?
Now you go and sleep with the other wife' he (Garibe) said

Seeing as he said that, I went, but what was the source of why I went?
'You make a house' I an saying
'Cut down the trees and let's make the grass roof so I can come'
'Are you going to sleep with me?' (Garibe said)
0 , men and old women they never sleep together
'I'Ll be going back and forth so I'IL wait for my son Dindipu' it was said like that
Then Dibowi's father and Digima come to gather and talk about my returning home or not returning
From there 0, 'You (Wanali) killed and hit your son Baro'
Dibai's father and Digima
Dibai's father and Digima said that I had killed my own son
'With what did I kill him, was it a stick?
'We never kill the one we bore' my husband said like that
'Are you arguing with them now' I said like that
I didn't know it was in them (i.e. the responsibility for Baro's death)
It has been said, 'It's in you (i.e. me)' I an telling you I am going off
0 biago tindule Dibai abá ibu
o that one lies Dibai's father him
Dibai's father (Ogoli) has told lies
You, just you
For no reason, while I was down there, that man told lies I didn't say to that man (Ogoli), 'How did you kill him?'
$I$ an saying like that
O truly it is sufficient or it isn't sufficient, we will see how it was said I have argued with my husband over there
How did I point my hand and say, 'It's in you'. I don't know, that's what I will say
o up there he (Garibe) was on one side and he (Ogoli) was on the other side 'Did you talk to me?'
Ogoli asked if I talked to him
When that was said he talked to give it to me (i.e. the blame for Baro);
that was the track this issue came on, I am saying
140 I said, 'Truly he drowned', and then I went off
$: \quad$ ((Another two minutes of speech recounts an argument over payment
for a pig))
i agali
you men
You are men
I walida lowa ndodabe
I woman said wasn't-it
'I com woman' it was said, wasn't it

Garibe:
Throw away all those talks
Throw them away, the men down there are getting swollen stomachs from the talk
Those talks are not the source
That isn't the source

Hagai:
Wanali: $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Source } \\ \text { The source that you will be saying }\end{array}\right.$
'That is woman, and her mind is confused (burugu)'

## Garibe:

Dangeria came and Baro come and we were talking =
Wanali:
$=I$ didn't talk on that one
Gurubugu:
That one (talk) is not going anywhere
$O$ we are saying the men are doing it
You are going around and winding the talk in men and women
It is not bearing fruit, it is in progress (wene)
It isn't a productive talk, we are still debating
160 'I an mad' you said
'I am not mad' you said
So which is the mad talk you are holding there?
Which is the source you are talking on?
What have you said with that man there?
You have said, 'That man there killed my son' you said that first
O for that one a source has been placed there
'I said, "That man there will carry (compensate) for him (Baro)"' it has been said
We are here making that one come (making the talk on it happen)
Hagai:
You are coming on one important thing I am going to say
Down there it has been placed like this
Dama (supernatural beings) went there
Hambu (deadly sorcery) went there
Poisoning went there, it has been said
All these possibilities for your son's death have been mooted
O 'My son was killed' you have said like that
Which one of these talks should be said, we will say
The source is from here
How did they go up there?
They went by foot
From there you were talking on your son
180 Ai Dangeria come and your son came, both on foot
If you want to talk on that then we should talk from there
No
If you want to talk on how the wife and husband have argued and fought No
That is nothing
It will be thrown away

0 down there, first, he (Baro) went to the water and he fell in Ai Dangeria and him were swimming together and he went
When Dangeria came down there, how was the talk said (with him)?

[^8]
## Wanali:

Tene ogoni
source that
The source is just that one
I anda dindi uru
my house land those
My house and my land
0 i hagalene lai gungu la bule / ore mangabiyene pene
o my co-wife argue fight both will-make truly didn't-want went
I didn't want to fight and argue with my co-wife so I went
Hagai :
Ndo
no
No
Ogobi ndo / ore
240 this no definitely
It is definitely not this
Tene irane /ore kuni mbira yidago la
source reason very bone one holding say
You say the real reason and source, the bone between you that you are holding
Wanali:
Tene irane ogoni
source reason that
That is the source and reason
Haga i:
Ogo bamo
this nothing
This is nothing
Walani:
I hangu embera tara / ore bere lalu
I along bush different very when-made-to-sit
I was alone and made to sit in the bush
Inaga wane-igini hewago ina haru hea lalu i erekui biabe mangabiyene pene my children there I looked-after I tired doing didn't-want went I was tired of looking after my children there and I went
Hagai:
Ogoni bamo larogo
that nothing I-am-saying
I am saying that is nothing
260 O bamo
o nothing
Nothing
Irane kuni/ore mbira yuwa i igini unugo / ore reason bone very one held your son down there very
naduguabehego
shouldn't-be-pulled-out
When you held the one reason and bone, you shouldn't have pulled out the issue of your son
Inime dugualu haridago yourself pulled-out there You pulled out the issue yourself
Irane kuni /ore mbira yidego reason bone very one holding That is the one reason and bone you are holding

## Wanali:

They are doing it to me For my son I really didn't want it
I didn't want to raise the body from the water
Ai my husband over there said, 'You pull him out yourself' I didn't want to do it

## Hagai:

O you are saying the argument you had over there One track down there is that you thought on your son Over there he had drowned
280 He fell off a tree
No
He died
'He died' we said like that and we were throwing it away But you raised your son's nome
You brought the matter of his death up again
Your mind is continually on that
Kuni irane / ore mbira mbiyaore ( )
bone reason very one only one
That is the one bone and reason together

## Wanali:

I didn't know what to do, I wanted to see his (Baro's) body
Hagai :
To see his body?
Ogoni naga irane / ore mbiyaore yidego
that for reason very only one held.
For that, that is the one reason you held
When you held it, then you spoke

## Wanali:

I com not holding something, not holding, 0 mother (exclamation)
Hagai :
No
Wanali:
Don't say like a lie
Haga i:
What lies?

```
300 The boy's body turned to sand and you threw it oway When Baro died then the issue was dead For what you have said, that is the one thing you held
```

    :
    You should say you want to talk
0 it was done like that
Iba piyagoni
He drowned
Homa i yagoni
He died
Ira piyagoni
A tree fell
Dandame bayagoni
He was killed with a bow
$O$ then we buried him
Agalime bayagoni
A man killed him
$O$ then we threw it away
O this man has paid you in your hand (Garibe is your husband)
Hinule
He will look after (you)
320 Honowule
He will bear (children)
Hongole
He will plant (gardens)
If another man is there while you are there, then you will get trouble
The source is in you and you are making it come
All these big things (issues) you are carrying everywhere, they are nothing
Onene agalini la lai gungu bialu howa wife husband both argue fight since-done You have argued and fought like a husband and wife
For that one you are saying you are angry
Wanali:
I wasn't talking backwards and forwards with you
I was talking with my husband
I was talking to him and I thought, 'It is in you'
I am saying, 'It is in you'
If you are making it so that it is in me -
Now O God is up there
O my God will choose
Now that is what we will say
Ayu i 0 bedo wali
now I o sitting woman
Now I an here, a woman

```
340 Ayu ti agali
    now they men
    Now they are there, men
    I com on this road with you alone
    I didn't say, 'Let's have an argument'
    I thought it was in you
    You thought to finish the matter off and put it in me so you said, 'Let's
        say that'
    You thought to put the blame for Baro on me in order to finish this whole
        dispute
Hagai:
You were saying that up at Peronge
Wanali:
That's a lie
Garibe:
While up there
Wanali:
Eh:::::0:::eh
Why are you saying lies?
Why is he saying that?
Hagai:
Another mana (custom) is like the shout you have just made (sarcastic
Wanali:
That's lies
That man is by himself it has been seen
Now my son Baro was only one
I am carrying it has been said
    I'm responsible for his death they have said
Now all of Koma clan you join together and do it (i.e. pay compensation
    for Baro)
Ago:
Koma we are talking, so you listen
3 6 0 \text { We are saying how you two should stay and how to straighten it up}
Wanali:
No, I am saying what are you talking about?
I am the mother of the dead and you are talking for me to carry him (Baro),
those ones are lies I am saying
```


## Gangaro:

```
Agalime bi damene ayu laremago agua laga
men (-me: focussed subject) talk all now we're-saying how used-t.o-s:ay
\(\quad\) wirima
we-paced
```

Men, all the talk we are saying now we have put like we used to do

```
Ina agalime larimagonibi
we men-with (-me: agentive) we-said
We said the talks with men
Bi walime larimagonibi
talk with-women we-said
We said the talk with women
```

Ai bi ina larigo agali ha ogobi anda piyada ai talk you said men in this inside went The talk you have said, it has gone inside men (has penetrated them)
Au lo mitangi bialu bi debene la dai nabirabali (l) wali how to-say when-thought-on talk sweet never-reply woman When we have thought what to say, for a good talk we never used to answer back to women

380 Alright then
Ai that woman over there what have you said then
You came, 'Let's make the house' (you said)
Then which is the mana (custom), you placed this in order to say it (i.e. the mana)
The talk we are saying down there, 'Truly she came and she has talked to stay'
'You should have brought the talk' they have said
No
'I am going back' you will say
0 , 'When they have found the talk then, seeing as it is like that, I will come back'
From that talk you are following that track
You have said, 'You (Garibe) go'
Ai before we talked like this and with the talk you rebuked us, you are
sitting there now and you will say that one
Ai it is like that now, the talk is going everywhere like the hard nuts
that have been eaten (i.e. scattered around)
For going backwards and forwards I have made a talk
For travelling back and forth I have already said what I think
The talk you made we have thrown away
Then you came back down there, 'Yes, the house we talked about, I an making'
Then you are saying you came back?
Anda maga mo beregedane walimedago beregedare ago hondoliya au
400 house struts make turned around woman is-turning what let's-see how
laru
I-said
Woman turned the struts of the house around for me; woman is turning them now, so let's see what she does, I have said

```
Wanali:
O from you, what did you do? We have said like that
We should talk like this
They were joined together up there (Garibe and his other wife)
I was saying a different talk with my husband and we were pointing our
        fingers
    I was talking on another matter and we were angrily gesticulating
    'Did you plant it?' I didn't know
    Did you, Garibe, accuse Ogoli?
    'You planted it' he (Garibe) said
O ini mo weruago tene aginaga?
o yourself make placed reason why
Yourself, you laid the blame, so what is the reason?
Libu one agalini lalu kabi bi dombeni ogoni dagua pu kabi
those-two wife husband talked is-there talk middle that like go is-there
wali hangu bialu kabi ogoni dagua labe ngabe?
woman alone done there that like say isn't-it-put-there
When a husband and wife have argued then, 'Make a middle talk' it is said;
when only a woman is there then (she should), 'Speak like that' it is said?
```


## NOTES

1. Citations from previously published texts form part of a deliberate policy to generate a working corpus of Huli disputes capable of being used by analyst and reader to cross-reference contextual occurrences of salient speech. The data base thus becomes a constantly workable resource for culture-specific or cross-cultural analysis.
2. This paper is based on fieldwork conducted in the Koroba district of the Southern Highlands Province, Papua New Guinea. Some of the material was initially presented to the Anthropology Department, Queens University, Belfast. I wish to thank members of that seminar for some valuable discussion. I am also indebted to Dr Kay Milton and Professor Bruce Rigsby for further incisive comments and suggestions. Responsibility for ideas and interpretations forwarded remains solely mine.
3. The problem of 'politeness' as a universal phenomenon, and the difficulties associated with cultural variation and comparability, have been sketched by House and Kasper (1981).
4. Huli describe and conceptualise human behaviour on 'public' grounds as paralleling that displayed by birds of paradise. In the same way in which some of these animals clear their display grounds of all debris, so 'dirt' is eliminated from the public gaze in human interactions.
5. Lang (1976:355) makes a similar point for Enga speech patterns in judicial contexts.
6. Although I haven't developed the topic of spatial deixis here, I am suggesting an increased use of locative adverbs and spatial expressions in dispute talk by both sexes. In contrast with the temporal emphasis
frequently given in testimony in Western Courts, Huli pay much attention to locating events in terms of a well understood geography to enhance the evidential veracity of their accounts. Importantly, Huli adverbs are based on the contrast between high: low elevation
li (up there) vs. ni
unu (down there)

- phonemic contrasts mirroring directional contrasts - and a visible: invisible dimension, for example, amu vs. ede (over there). The term unu can also be used in const:ructions such as vi unu laya (the talk said down there) to indicate the location of speech in terms of an ongoing conversation (textual deixis).
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## TOLAI KINSHIP CONCEPTS:

CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN KUANUA AND TOK PISIN TERMINOLOGY

J.S. Fingleton

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Although the subject of kinship and marriage has long been the special concern of anthropologists, researchers from other disciplinary backgrounds who wish to understand the workings of a people's culture find study of their kinship system to be central to the undertaking. For purposes of cultural analysis and comparison, the existence of some association between a people's kinship concepts, the terms they use for various kin and the resulting system of kin classification seems to be generally accepted. The nature of that association - what might be paraphrased as the sociological significance of kinship terminology - is, however, a matter on which authorities are deeply divided, leading one contributor to remark in the late 1970s, 'During the last 20 years, the study of kinship terminology has been one of the most contentious and provocative fields of anthropological inquiry' (Tuzin 1977:101).

One aspect of kinship terminology that has so far received little attention is the correspondence between terms in different languages spoken by the same people. Papua New Guinea, where many groups speak Tok Pisin, the major lingua franca, as well as their own vernacular, presents a fertile field for analysis of such correspondence. Do the relationships connoted by a term in the vernacular coincide with those connoted by the corresponding Tok Pisin term, and, if not, what are the similarities and differences? So far there has been no published treatment of these questions (Dr Tom Dutton 1985, personal communication), and the object of this paper is to address them from data collected by the author in the course of research among the Tolai people of Papua New Guinea. The analysis of change in Tolai land tenure in which I was then engaged raised the necessity for detailed analysis of their kinship system, ${ }^{1}$ but coincidentally it presented the opportunity to compare their usage of kinship terminology in two languages - their own vernacular and Tok Pisin. Presentation of the findings may contribute to the debate on the sociological significance of kinship terminology in two main ways:
(i) by demonstrating the degree of correspondence between the kinship terminology in each language; and
(ii) by enabling comparison of the Tolai usage of Tok Pisin kinship terminology with that of other Melanesian peoples.
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The Tolai territory (see Map) lies at the north-eastern corner of the Gazelle Peninsula of New Britain. Throughout the colonial era they were one of the most prominent peoples of Melanesia, attracting the interest of commentators from varied backgrounds and disciplines whence a rich ethnographic literature has developed. About 70,000 of their present population of some 90,000 live in the many small villages scattered across their territory, while the remainder reside away from the village, mainly for employment reasons in the cities, towns and Government stations throughout Papua New Guinea. Although permanent European settlement in the area only began in 1875, by the early 1900s almost half the Tolai's land had been acquired for plantation development. The rich volcanic soils and equatorial lowland climate attracted a commercial interest in the area which exposed the Tolai to forces of change more intense and enduring than these experienced by almost all other peoples of Papua New Guinea.

Yet, despite the transformation of their environment over the last century, the integrity of Tolai culture has survived largely intact. In adapting to change the pattern has been for Western social, economic, political and religious institutions to be incorporated into their way of life by supplementing - not supplanting - the corresponding Tolai institutions. In the realm of social structure, the division of the population into two exogamous matrimoieties is still strictly observed for marriage purposes, and matrilineages (vunatarai) remain the central units in Tolai society, in the face of systematic promotion of the patriarchal nuclear family over the period since European contact. Within a general theme of cultural continuity the Tolai kinship system stands out for its comparative immunity to the forces of change.

With records of the Tolai language dating from the earliest European settlement in the area, it is today 'one of the best known languages of Papua New Guinea' (Mosel 1984:12). The Tolai themselves now use the name 'Kuanua' for their language - a practice $I$ have adopted, although several other names have been used in its literature, and linguists tend to favour 'Tolai' nowadays (Mosel 1984:4). An Austronesian language, it 'belongs genetically to the languages of Southern New Ireland' (Mosel 1984:4), whence Tolai regard themselves as having migrated in the distant past. Tolai also had a major influence on the development of Tok Pisin (see Mosel 1980), and the high proportion of Kuanua words in the non-European Tok Pisin lexicon is attributable to the extensive Tolai use of the language during its formative development.

A combination of factors, therefore, makes the Tolai a particularly interesting case for study of their kinship terminology. ${ }^{2}$ As a matrilineal society, the Tolai belong to a fairly exclusive set worldwide, whose kinship systems are commonly regarded as incompatible with 'modernisation'. In their state of development the Tolai are one of the most advanced peoples of Melanesia, so to the extent that their kinship terminology continues to observe principles of matrilineality they challenge doubts over the viability of such systems. Because Tolai exerted a formative influence on the early development of Tok Pisin, their usage of the language affords the opportunity for reliable examination of how a people express their kinship concepts in relationship terminology borrowed from elsewhere. And the Tolai's lengthy standardised usage of the Tok Pisin kinship terminology enables comparison with the more recent usage being established by Melanesians with different kinship systems, for example, patrilineal societies. Although $I$ offer some conclusions on these matters, my main aim in presenting my findings on Tolai kinship terminology is to afford others the opportunity to assess their sociological significance.


Map: North-eastern Gazelle Peninsula

## 2. METHODS AND CONVENTIONS

The following findings on Tolai usage of kinship and affinal terminology are based on information collected in a variety of ways, reflecting different stages of my research into Tolai land tenure. During fieldwork at Rakunat village in the early 1980s I was tracing the changing pattern of land tenure in the village. The kinship data collected at this stage, therefore, concerned actual relationships between individuals whose names were mentioned in association with particular parcels of land. The interviews were conducted in Tok Pisin, and from genealogies I was able to identify the precise relationship between the named individuals denoted by a Tok Pisin term on each occasion of its use. Clearly this was a sporadic method of collecting data, although as most terms were used frequently a pattern of usage emerged.

The occasional need to introduce a Kuanua relationship term indicated that informants found Tok Pisin inadequate to cover the full range of relationships recognised by the Tolai. Furthermore, it seemed reasonable to expect that the Tok Pisin terms would not be sufficiently precise in their meaning to reveal significant distinctions in Tolai relationships. To understand the intricacies of Tolai land tenure $I$ had to gain a working knowledge of the Kuanua relationship terminology. In this enterprise I was greatly assisted by a list of Kuanua kinship and affinity terms compiled in 1977 by Dr Peter Sack of the Australian National University, in collaboration with Mr Jacob Simet, a Tolai anthropologist. Sack had drawn on published sources, in both English and German, to produce a list of terms with the range of their meanings offered by the authorities, and these he supplemented with the meanings given by Simet. The list was, of course, compiled in the abstract, without reliance on genealogical data to support its contents.

From my fieldwork data and the Sack-Simet list I worked up a provisional classification system for Tolai kinship and affinity terminology, in both Kuanua and Tok Pisin. In 1983 and 1984 I had the opportunity to verify this classification by establishing the range of a person's actual relatives embraced by each term in both languages - in the case of a male Ego by interview with Simet, and in the case of a female Ego by interview with a Tolai woman, Mrs Relly Manning, for both of whom I held the relevant genealogical data. Diagrams 1 and 2 show the Kuanua terms used by Tolai for all the core relationships when the person speaking is a male and a female respectively, and Diagrams 3 and 4 show the Tok Pisin usage in the same circumstances. The symbols used follow standard anthropological practice, a male being indicated by the phallic triangle and a female by the circle, and relationship to Ego being indicated by the following abbreviations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{M}=\text { mother } & \mathrm{W}=\text { wife } \\
\mathrm{F}=\text { father } & \mathrm{H}=\text { husband } \\
\mathrm{Z}=\text { sister } & \mathrm{D}=\text { daughter } \\
\mathrm{B}=\text { brother } & \mathrm{S}=\text { son }
\end{array}
$$

so that, for example, the combination ZDS means Ego's sister's daughter's son. Order of generation is indicated by degree of ascent or descent from Ego's generation, $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ being one generation up and $\mathrm{G}^{-1}$ being one generation down from Ego, and so on.


Diagram 1: Core relationships (Kuanua): male Ego


Diagram 2: Core relationships (Kuanua): female Ego


Diagram 3: Core relationships (Tok Pisin): male Ego


Something must be said on the form of Tolai relationship terminology. In Kuanua, kinship terms enter the grammatical construction of possessive noun phrases, where, as with other languages of Melanesia, a differentiation is made between alienable and inalienable possessive phrases (see generally Mosel 1984: 30-51). The Kuanua possessive constructions, Mosel says, follow a continuum of possession between that which is most inherent and involuntary (i.e. the inalienable possessive constructions) and that possession which requires action to be established (i.e. the alienable possessive constructions) (Mosel 1984: 46-47). Possession is most inherent in kinship (Mosel 1984:46-47), so the kinship terms can only be used in a possessive construction, or, if this is not appropriate, then in a 'derelationised' construction (Mosel 1984:40). For example, the Kuanua noun tura- denotes the relationship brother/brother. Used in a possessive construction the noun is combined with its pronoun suffix to form the possessive noun phrase - for example, turagu, i.e. my brother (male speaking). If used not in a possessive but in a predicative construction, the noun must be combined with the derelational suffix -na - thus in this example bar turana (are) brothers, where the plural marker bar indicates that at least two people are in the designated relationship (Mosel 1984:40). For present purposes in the diagrams and text I have adopted the possessive construction using the first person singular pronoun suffix -gu, i.e. my. The only exception to this practice is the term for spouse (taulai), which, following Mosel's analysis, involves a relationship which is not inherent, and is therefore expressed in an alienable possessive construction (Mosel 1984:34-35) - i.e. without the need for a suffix pronoun. ${ }^{3}$ The Tok Pisin relationship terminology presents no such complications, there being no distinction between alienable and inalienable constructions.

A final point on method is the matter of local variation. While it seems reasonable to expect that, given the relative cultural homogeneity of the Tolai and the fact that Tok Pisin is an introduced and less precise lingua franca, Tolai usage of the Tok Pisin relationship terms would have uniform meanings, this may not be a wholly reliable assumption in the case of the Kuanua terms. A number of different Kuanua dialects are recognised (see Mosel 1984:9), and published sources offer divergent meanings for some of the Kuanua kinship and affinity terms. Both my Tolai informants belong to villages on the Crater Peninsula (Simet from Matupit and Manning from Baai), and their usage may not have precisely the same application in other areas of the Tolai territory.

## 3. THE FINDINGS

The accompanying table summarises the findings from the data, working from the Kuanua terms grouped in accordance with what $I$ have styled their 'primary sense' and listed with general reference to the degree of proximity of the relationship - kinship terms first, followed.by the affinity terms. To elaborate on the meaning of the terms, their usage and the correspondence between Kuanua and Tok Pisin terminology, I will employ the English relationship terms which denote the primary sense of the Kuanua terms, and follow the same order as in the table on page 300 .

## SIBLING

The Kuanua term for a sibling of the opposite sex is taigu, and, for a sibling of the same sex, turagu for males, and tanavavigu for females. The same terms are used, according to the same sex referents, for parallel cousins, with

Table 1: Tolai kinship and affinal terminology: primary and classificatory meanings

| Kuanua | TP corresp. | Primary sense | Classificatory sense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| turagu taigu tanavavigu | barata sista barata | sibling | same-moiety member at $G^{\circ}$ |
| nauvagu | kasen | cross-cousin | opposite-moiety member at $\mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ |
| nagu tamagu | mama <br> papa | mother <br> father | same-moiety female at $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ opposite-moiety male at $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ |
| natugu | pikinini | child | ```opposite-moiety member at G }\mp@subsup{}{}{-1 (male speaking) same-moiety member at G}\mp@subsup{}{}{-1 (female speaking)``` |
| matuagu | kandere | (i) maternal uncle <br> (ii) sister's child (male speaking) | (i) same-moiety male at $G^{+1}$ <br> (ii) same-moiety member at $G^{-1}$ (male speaking) |
| vivigu | (no term) | (i) paternal aunt <br> (ii) brother's child (female speaking) | (i) opposite-moiety female at $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ <br> (ii) opposite-moiety member at $\mathrm{G}^{-1}$ (female speaking) |
| tubugu | pupu (tubuna) | (i) grandparent <br> (ii) grandchild | (i) opposite-moiety member at $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$ same-moiety female at $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$ <br> (ii) opposite-moiety member at $G^{-2}$ same-moiety member at $G^{-2}$ (female speaking) |
| kakugu | (no term) | (i) maternal granduncle <br> (ii) sister's daughter's child (male speaking) | (i) same-moiety male at $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$ <br> (ii) same-moiety member at $G^{-2}$ (male speaking) |
| taulai | meri <br> man | (i) wife (male speaking) <br> (ii) husband (female speaking) | (nil) |
| nimugu | t ambu | (i) parent-in-law (male speaking) <br> (ii) daughter's husband | (i) (his) wife's classificatory parent <br> (ii) classificatory daughter's husband |
| enagu | tambu | (i) parent-in-law (female speaking) <br> (ii) son's wife | (i) (her) husband's classificatory parent <br> (ii) classificatory son's wife |
| makuigu tamaiagu keneaigu | tambu tambu tambu | sibling-in-law | classificatory sibling's spouse spouse's classificatory sibling classificatory sibling's spouse's classificatory sibling |

the refinement that in the case of patrilateral parallel cousins of the same sex the suffix -kava is added. The word kava, as a verb, means to give birth to, and the explanation for its usage in the present context is to be found in the Tolai notion that individuals are 'given birth to by', and are therefore 'children of', their father's vunatarai. This appears to conflict with matrilineal ideology, but not so, in terms of social structure, for individuals are members, not children, of their own vunatarai. Patrilateral parallel cousins are, therefore, in common children of their father's vunatarai. The suffix -kava is not used in the case of patrilateral parallel cousins of the opposite sex by reason of a prevailing observance, explained by Simet as follows: ${ }^{4}$

> The suffix - kava indicates a 'jovial' relationship between two people. The relationship between a male Ego and his FBS is more free than that with his B or his MZS, which is a 'serious' relationship. A male's relationship with his FBD is also 'serious', because she is a female. The term taigukava is not used, because the relationship is 'serious', not 'jovial'. The same applies to the reciprocal relationship between a female Ego and her FBS.
(1983, personal communication)
Whereas three Kuanua terms are used for siblings, only two are available in Tok Pisin - barata for a sibling of the same sex, and sista ${ }^{5}$ for a sibling of the opposite sex. Thus barata corresponds to the terms turagu and tanavavigu, while sista corresponds to taigu. Despite their long exposure to European influence, evidence of a shift in meaning of the Tok Pisin sibling terms to that of their English analogues (where only the sex of Alter - the object of the term - is indicated) is almost entirely lacking in Tolai village usage ${ }^{6}$ a demonstration of the centrality and durability of kinship concepts. A final point which may be made here, although it applies not just to sibling terms, is that while Kuanua kinship terms in their primary sense cover relationships only within the range from two generations above to two generations below Ego's generation, they are systematically extended to relationships at higher and lower orders of generation. Thus a term used for a relationship at $G^{0}$ (for example, a sibling term) is again employed at $\mathrm{G}^{+3}$ and $\mathrm{G}^{-3}$ (as appears from Diagrams 1 and 2), and a term for Ego's relationship with kin at $G^{+1}$ is also used at $G^{+4}$, and so on.

## CROSS-COUSIN

The Kuanua term for a cross-cousin is nauvagu. The term is used by an Ego of either sex in relation to an Alter of either sex, and applies for both matrilateral and patrilateral cross-cousins. In Simet's terms, the relationship between cross-cousins is 'very serious', and he contrasted the position of a male Ego with respect to his FBS and with his FZS (1983, personal communication). The former, he said, is 'more relaxed', whereas the latter is 'very tense' (1983, personal communication), and more so is this the case with cross-cousins of opposite sexes, where an incest taboo prohibits marriage. ${ }^{7}$ Simet made the further point in explaining the tension between male cross-cousins that there is a potential conflict over entitlement to land: 'Your FZS', he said, 'is in opposition to you, because he stands to gain from your F.' (1983, personal communication). The corresponding Tok Pisin term for a cross-cousin is kasen, a clear borrowing from English, but, unlike its English analogue, not extending to parallel cousins, for whom, as already remarked, the sibling terms are used.

There is disagreement on whether cross-cousins observe avoidance behaviour towards each other. The above comments by Simet would suggest they did, and, at least so far as opposite-sex cross-cousins are concerned, there is in support Meier's remark that 'the law of conduct forbids anything resembling companykeeping between persons of opposite sex and moiety previous to marriage' (1938: 28). But the same author has also claimed that there is 'a kind of "bloodrelationship" between cross-cousins' (1939:116, fn 85), and that cross-cousins, 'having a "common meeting place" [i.e. the home of the linking male at $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ the $F$ to one cross-cousin and the $M B$ to the other] and frequently or regularly eating and associating together, are thus like blood relations or real brothers and sisters and so called such' (1939:116-117, fn 87). The usage of sibling terms for cross-cousins, recorded by Meier at Rakunai on the inland plateau, was not confirmed by my Tolai informants from the Crater Peninsula, although Simet acknowledged a similarity between siblings and cross-cousins of opposite sexes so far as incest taboos are concerned (1983, personal communication). Finally on the question of avoidance, Bradley claims from her experience at Pila Pila that 'joking behaviour [is] allowed, and even expected, between nauvana (cross-cousins) of either sex' (1982:239, fn 4).

## PARENT

The Kuanua term for mother is nagu and for father tamagu. The terms are used by an Ego of either sex. Nagu also includes maternal aunt (MZ) and paternal uncle's wife (FBW), and tamagu includes paternal uncle (FB) and maternal aunt's husband (MZH). The corresponding Tok Pisin terms are mama and papa, with the same extended meanings.

## CHILD

The Kuanua term for child is natugu, used by an Ego of either sex. The term is also used by a male Ego for his brother's children (BS and BD), and by a female Ego for her sister's children (ZS and ZD). The corresponding Tok Pisin term is pikinini, with the same extended meanings in the same circumstances.

## MATERNAL UNCLE/SISTER'S CHILD (MALE SPEAKING)

Of the terms dealt with so far, those for sibling and cross-cousin are fully self-reciprocal - i.e. the term used by an Ego for an Alter is identical to the term used by that Alter for that Ego, in both the primary and extended senses of the term. The remaining kinship terms share this feature of selfreciprocity, but the factor of generation difference is now added. The Kuanua term used for maternal uncle (MB) by an Ego of either sex is matuagu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by a male Ego for his sister's children of either sex (ZS and ZD). The corresponding Tok Pisin term is kandere.

## PATERNAL AUNT/BROTHER'S CHILD (FEMALE SPEAKING)

The Kuanua term used for paternal aunt (FZ) by an Ego of either sex is vivigu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by a female Ego for her brother's children of either sex (BS and BD). My informants could offer no corresponding Tok Pisin term. Vivigu is also extended to apply to Ego's maternal uncle's wife (MBW) (who would, reciprocally, use the term for her husband's sister's children - HZS and HZD). It may be noted here that, though Simet agreed that the term matuagu could be employed for Ego's paternal aunt's husband (FZH), the
relationship with that person is 'not very important', whereas, because Ego's maternal uncle (MB) occupies a position of central importance in Tolai social organisation, the term used for his wife (MBW) has a practical significance in day-to-day affairs (1983, personal communication).

## -GRANDPARENT/GRANDCHILD

The Kuanua term used by an Ego of either sex for a grandparent of either sex (MM, MF, FM and FF) is tubugu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by an Ego of either sex for a grandchild of either sex (DD, DS, SD and SS). The corresponding Tok Pisin term is pupu (sometimes pronounced bubu), or tubuna a reflection of the Kuanua term in its derelationised construction (see above) - which is also used for more remote ancestors or descendants, either individually or collectively. Tubugu is extended to apply to all siblings of grandparents and, reciprocally, all grandchildren of siblings, with an important exception in the case of Ego's maternal granduncle (MMB) and the reciprocal relationship, to which the special term dealt with next applies.

## MATERNAL GRANDUNCLE/SISTER'S DAUGHTER'S CHILD (MALE SPEAKING)

The Kuanua term used by an Ego of either sex for maternal granduncle (MMB) is kakugu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by a male Ego for his sister's daughter's children of either sex (ZDS and ZDD). There is no corresponding Tok Pisin term to designate this relationship.

## SPOUSE

The Kuanua term for a spouse is taulai, and it is used by an Ego of either sex. This first of the affinal relationship terms has no extended meaning. As mentioned above, unlike all the other relationship terms taulai is expressed in alienable possessive constructions, without the need for a suffix pronoun. The Tok Pisin terms for spouse are borrowings from English, and incorporate the corresponding sex referents. Thus a male Ego uses the term meri (Mary, i.e. woman) for his wife, and a female Ego uses the term man for her husband.

## SIBLING-IN-LAW

As with the sibling terms, the Kuanua terms for siblings-in-law follow strict sex referents. The term for sibling-in-law of the opposite sex is tamaiagu, and, for a sibling-in-law of the same sex, makuigu for males, and keneaigu for females. The sibling-in-law terms are also self-reciprocal, so that the term used by an Ego for an Alter (for example, tamaiagu, used by a male Ego for his WZ) is identical to the term used by that Alter for that Ego (in the example, Alter's ZH ). The corresponding Tok Pisin term is tambu, used without any sex referents. Apart from the actual spouses, the term tambu is used for all in-law relations. In both Kuanua and Tok Pisin it means forbidden, and its use incorporates precepts of avoidance behaviour observed between in-law relations.

## PARENT-IN-LAW (MALE SPEAKING)/DAUGHTER'S HUSBAND

The Kuanua term used by a male Ego for his parent-in-law (WF and WM) is nimugu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by an Ego of either sex for their daughter's husband. The corresponding Tok Pisin term is tambu.

## PARENT-IN-LAW (FEMALE SPEAKING)/SON'S WIFE

The Kuanua term used by a female Ego for her parents-in-law (HF and HM) is enagu, and, reciprocally, the term is used by an Ego of either sex for their son's wife. The corresponding Tok Pisin term is tambu.

Tolai make the claim that they are all related. From the preceding treatment it is clear that Tolai kinship terms have an extended meaning beyond what I have styled their 'primary sense', but even these extensions do not do justice to the range of relationships embraced by Tolai kinship ideology. The full range to which the terms could be extended first became apparent to me in the usage of Tok Pisin terms during fieldwork. Not only were the terms being used to embrace extended kin, but I found that they were also being used to denote persons with whom no biological connection existed at all. These indications prompted the attempt to identify the necessary and sufficient conditions by which each kinship term was classified - the 'classificatory sense' of each term - with the result shown in the final column of the table on page 300. For each kinship term, the defining features are a combination of relative moiety (same/opposite) and order of generation from Ego, with the refinement for some of the terms that the sex of Ego or Alter is an additional defining feature. Using the three components of moiety, generation and sex referents it is possible to represent kinship terminology in matrix form as in Diagram 5, from which it is apparent that a kinship term is available for all combinations of these three referents. Indeed, all Tolai are 'related' to each other.

Not only is a kinship term available for all combinations of moiety, generation and sex referents, but in almost all cases only one term is available. The single exception is the term kakugu, denoting the relationship maternal granduncle/sister's daughter's child (male speaking), which overlaps partially with the term tubugu (see Diagram 5). The explanation for this is that the same referents cover FF (and FFB ) as cover MMB (i.e. same moiety, $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$, male Alter), and, reciprocally, the same referents cover $S S$ and $S D$ (and BSS and BSD) as cover ZDS and ZDD (i.e. some moiety, $G^{-2}$, male Ego), and whereas the term for the former relationship (grandparent/grandchild) is tubugu, there is a special term, kakugu, for the latter relationship. This differentiation recognises the central position of the maternal uncle (MB) in Tolai social organisation, and correspondingly of the mother's maternal uncle (MMB).

The terminology for affinal relations is confined to actual relationships arising from marriage, and while extensions of meaning were mentioned in the treatment of the terms, their classificatory dimensions are limited by the link with an actual affinal relation. Using the same basic matrix combining the three components of moiety, generation and sex referents the affinal terminology may be represented as shown in Diagram 6. Again, a minor overlap appears, for at Ego's generation the same referents cover $W$ as cover $W Z$ (i.e. opposite moiety, $G^{0}$, male Ego-female Alter), and, reciprocally, the same referents cover $H$ as cover $H B$ (i.e. opposite moiety, $G^{0}$, female Ego-male Alter).

|  | Moiet | Same |  | Opposite |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | m. | f. | m. | f. |
| +2 | m. | kakugu/tubugu (-) i(pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) |
|  | f. | kakugu/tubugu (-)/(pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) |
| +1 | m. | matuagu (kandere) | nagu (mama) | tamagu (papa) | vivigu $(-)$ |
|  | f. | matuagu <br> (kandere) | nagu (mama) | tamagu (papa) | vivigu $(-)$ |
| 0 | m. | turagu (barata) | taigu <br> (sista) | nauvagu (kasen) | nauvagu (kasen) |
|  | f. | taigu <br> (sista) | tanavavigu (barata) | nauvagu (kasen) | nauvagu (kasen) |
| -1 | m. | matuagu <br> (kandere) | matuagu (kandere) | natugu (pikinini) | natugu (pikinini) |
|  | f. | natugu (pikinini) | natugu (pikinini) | vivigu $(-)$ | vivigu $(-)$ |
| -2 | m. | kakugu/ tubugu $(-) /($ pupu ) | kakugu /tubugu (-)/(pupu) | tubugu <br> (pupu) | tubugu <br> (pupu) |
|  | f. | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) | tubugu (pupu) |

Diagram 5: Kinship terminology matrix

|  | ie |  |  |  | site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | m. | f. | m. | f. |
|  | m. |  |  |  |  |
|  | f. |  |  |  |  |
|  | m. | nimugu <br> (tambu) |  |  | nimugu (tambu) |
|  | f. | enagu <br> (tambu) |  |  | enagu <br> (tambu) |
|  | m. |  |  | makuigu (tambu) | taulai $\qquad$ (meri)/(tambu) |
|  | f. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { taulai / tamaiagu } \\ & \text { (man)/(tambu) } \end{aligned}$ | keneaigu (tambu) |
|  | m. | nimugu (tambu) | enagu (tambu) |  |  |
|  | f. |  |  | nimugu (tambu) | enagu (tambu) |
|  | m. |  |  |  |  |
|  | f. |  |  |  |  |

Diagram 6: Affinal terminology matrix

## 4. CONCLUSIONS


#### Abstract

By Murdock's classic system for classification of kinship terminology published in 1949 the Tolai, on the basis of their cross-cousin terminology, belong to the Iroquois type, but under Scheffler's clarification in 1971 of the distinction in Melanesia between Iroquois- and Dravidian-type systems Tolai fit more closely to the latter. I mentioned the basic disagreement among authorities over the sociological significance which can be drawn from kinship terminology, and the debate over interpretations of Dravidian-type terminologies still continues (see, for example, Dumont 1983). Despite the negative conclusions of such authorities as Needharn (1971) and Scheffler (1971, 1972), the foregoing findings suggest that some correlations can be drawn between the structure of Tolai kinship terminology and their social organisation.


In the first place, the fundamental importance of the moiety dichotomy in Tolai society is clearly reflected in their kinship terminology. Moiety affiliation is the crucial determinant in kinship classification; because a Tolai's involvement in social, ceremonial and supernatural life hinges on classificatory relationships, the moiety division may be regarded as the critical factor in Tolai social organisation. Tolai kinship terminology is of the bifurcate merging type, in which $F$ and $F B$ are called by one classificatory term, and $M$ and MZ by another, while MB and FZ are denoted by distinct terms (see Murdock 1949:141). This feature serves to distinguish between children's close kin in their own and in the opposite moiety. Siblings are merged with parallel cousins, who are from the same moiety, but cross-cousins, who are from opposite moieties, are designated by a different term (nauvagu). At the level of the parent's generation the centrality of moiety affiliation is further evident - in a child's own moiety the female kin at $G^{+1}$ are all mothers and the male kin are all mother's brothers (matuagu), while in the opposite moiety the male kin at $G^{+1}$ are all fathers and the female kin are all father's sisters (vivigu). For all its centrality, however, it would be mistaken to conclude that the moiety division represents an actual cleavage in Tolai society: the range of a person's relationships is the same either side of the moiety division, and indeed in the case of the term tubugu (grandparent/grandchild), it is used for relationships in either moiety without discrimination (see Diagram 5).

Self-reciprocity was seen to be a feature of all Tolai relationship terms, with the single exception of the terms for parent and child. ${ }^{8}$ For the Tolai, then, in most cases it is the relationship which is important, rather than an individual's relative position in the relationship. To the extent that ordination between generations and sexes exists in Tolai society, therefore, it is a product of factors external to kinship terminology (i.e. the authority structure, valorisation of women, etc.). A differentiation on the basis of sex is, however, indicated by the special treatment in the terminology of the mother's brother. Although at $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$ a corresponding special term is used for the father's sister, at $G^{+2}$ there is no differentiation between female kin (who are all merged under tubugu) which would correspond to the differentiation between a mother's mother's brother (kakugu) and the other male kin (merged as tubugu).

From birth a Tolai's moiety affiliation, vunatarai membership, status with respect to vunatarai of the same and opposite moiety, and kinship and potential affinal relations necessarily follow. Despite systematic promotion of the patriarchal nuclear family by organs of both church and state over the last century, the Tolai descent system remains unequivocally matrilineal, with no hint of any concession to patrilineality in modern Tolai usage of their kinship
terminology. The manner of Tolai adoption of Tok Pisin kinship terminology provides further evidence of the centrality of kinship concepts. The findings summarised in Diagrams 5 and 6 show that Tok Pisin terms for kinship and affinity correspond to the Kuanua terms in accordance with the combined referents of moiety, generation and sex. Not surprisingly, the Tok Pisin terms are not capable of the precision necessary to reveal distinctions made in Tolai relationships in all cases, and some terms (for example, barata) must do 'double duty', but on no occasion is there conflict between the Tok Pisin and the Kuanua usage. Where a Tolai relationship of day-to-day importance required recognition, as was the case for the maternal uncle/sister's child (male speaking) relationship (matuagu), a term was adopted and given this specialised meaning (kandere ${ }^{9}$ ). Where other distinct Tolai relationships (for example, vivigu, kakugu) were not of major practical importance, no need was felt for special terms in Tok Pisin. The consistency of correspondence between the terminology in the two languages and the relationships connoted is an impressive demonstration of the cultural constraints inherent in kinship terminology.

Finally, although I claim no special knowledge of the usage of Tok Pisin relationship terminology by other Melanesian peoples, some brief remarks based on my findings of the Tolai usage may assist a comparison with usage elsewhere. Some combinations found in other areas are not used by Tolai, one being use of the prefixes bik- and smol- with sibling terms to indicate relative age (i.e. older and younger). Such absence in Tolai usage is reflected by a similar disregard for ordination in the Kuanua terminology - unless, of course, in either language the circumstances require such specificity. Nor is the usage smol papa and smolmama found among the Tolai, but here a difference in descent systems may be relevant. Just as the Tolai usage of Tok Pisin kinship terminology reflects their matrilineal descent system within a dual organisation into moieties, so also would $I$ expect that the terms might signify quite different relationships in their usage by a patrilineal society, with or without moieties. While Mihalic's definition of smolpapa as paternal uncle (1971:179) might be unobjectionable in either a matrilineal or a patrilineal society, I suspect his definition of smolmama as paternal aunt (1971:179) reveals a patrilineal (or bilineal) bias in his information, for no matrilineal society would regard the father's sister as a mama (mother) of any description.

The term kasen barata, although not used by the Tolai, raises a separate issue, in my view. Extension of meanings of the sibling terms seems to be a general phenomenon in Melanesia, as non-Melanesians seeking details of relationships soon learn. Possibly in consequence of insistence by officials on a distinction between biological and extended kinship, the practice has qrown of distinguishing between barata (and, presumably, susa) and kasen barata (kasen susa). Alternatively, the distinction being drawn may be between parallel cousins (merged under the sibling terms) and cross-cousins, which may well be an important distinction in patrilineal societies as well as in matrilineal societies such as the Tolai. My findings show that Tolai confine the term kasen to cross-cousins, and I feel they would regard kasen barata as a conceptual contradiction.

The last term deserving special treatment is kandere, used by the Tolai to designate the maternal uncle/sister's child (male speaking) relationship. In matrilineal societies the mother's brother plays a prominent role, being to a child the most important male member of its lineage. As with other kinship terms kandere has an extended meaning, and in Diagram 7 I have indicated the biolcgical kin that a Tolai male would designate by the term, while Diagram 8

$\Delta /=$ kandere
Diagram 7: Usage of kandere for biological kin: male Ego


## A = kandere

Diagram 8: Usage of kandere for biological kin: female Ego
shows its usage by a female Ego. The differential usage is explained by the fact that only males use the term for members of the next inferior generation, but, reciprocally, the term is used by both sexes for male members at a generation higher. For all its latitude of meaning the limits on its extension are equally clear, and indicate that Mihalic's definition 'any relative from the mother's side of the family: be it uncle, cousin, nephew, niece, or aunt' (1971:105) is quite unsuited to the Tolai usage, and, I would expect, to that of other matrilineal societies.

There is, in my experience, a feeling common among non-Melanesians that the Tok Pisin kinship terminology is imprecise, or at least that Melanesians use the terms imprecisely. Evidence of the Tolai usage refutes this impression. True, and not unnaturally, the Tolai usage shows that the Tok Pisin terminology is not sufficiently precise to reveal all distinctions made in Tolai relationships, nor does it cover these relationships comprehensively. But the range of Tok Pisin terms is adequate to cover the relationships most important to a Tolai in day-to-day life, and they are used in both their primary and their classificatory senses in a way which corresponds consistently with the usage of Kuanua terms for Tolai relationships, when those relationships are reduced to their defining features. Just as the Tolai have applied the Tok Pisin kinship terminology to connote their own kinship concepts, so also would I expect that other societies with different kinship concepts might use the terms with connotations different from the Tolai. Notions of imprecision arise mainly from the failure to relate differences in usage between societies to differences in their social organisation. Clarification of this connection will allow not only more accurate definitions of Tok Pisin kinship terms than are available at present, but also the comparative analysis which may help to throw light on the sociological significance of kinship terminology.

## NOTES

1. The research is written up in my doctoral dissertation 'Changing land tenure in Melanesia: the Tolai experience ' (Fingleton 1985). For assistance in preparing this article I am grateful especially to my two Tolai advisers, Mr Jacob Simet and Mrs Relly Manning, and to Dr Peter Sack for letting me use his lists of kinship terms. I am also grateful to Professor Ann Chowning and Professor Andrew Strathern for their comments on an earlier working paper upon which this article is based, and to Dr Ulrike Mosel for her assistance with grammatical aspects of Tolai kinship terminology. Dr Tom Dutton encouraged me to contribute the article, and gave helpful advice during its preparation.
2. Surprisingly little systematic analysis is available, in English at least, to assist the untrained outsider to come to terms with the complexities of Tolai relationships. The best information is to be found in a series of articles by the Catholic priest Meier on Tolai adoption (1929), illegitimacy (1938) and orphanhood (1939), and a paper by the Methodist minister Trevitt (1940).
3. Mosel claims that terms for the other relationships formed by marriage are also expressed in alienable possessive constructions (1984:34). On her analysis they seem to be a hybrid form - they are established by marriage, but are inherent upon the marriage. I have heard the other affinity terms expressed in either alienable or inalienable possessive constructions, and have used the latter form here for consistency.
4. I understood Simet, whose terms I have adopted here, to use 'jovial' and 'serious' in contrasting degrees of sociability, and 'relaxed' and 'tense' in contrasting the potentiality for conflict of interest.
5. The alternate Tok Pisin term susa, more commonly used elsewhere in Melanesia, is known, but not usually employed by Tolai today.
6. Most of my informants were middle-aged or older. Young Tolai children at school find the English sibling terms highly confusing (Simet 1984: personal communication). No doubt over time they come to appreciate the English terms, and in particular circumstances (for example, away from the village, talking to Europeans) they use the Tok Pisin analogues with their English meanings. I have, however, known even highly-educated Tolai, speaking in English, to slip back.to the same-sex/opposite-sex signification for the English sibling terms.
7. But see the following comments on avoidance behaviour.
8. A further, minor, exception is the Tok Pisin terms for spouse, which are non-reciprocal.
9. The derivation of this term is uncertain. Mihalic ascribes it to the English 'kindred' (1971:105), but Dr Tom Dutton inclines to the English 'country', seeing a connection with the term kantriman used in Bislama and Queensland Kanaka English (1985, personal communication).
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[^8]:    $O$ from there the story (te: of the drowning) went inside you The talk on this matter had a profound effect on you, Wanali
    Since it went inside you then wife and husband argued and fought, and you were both pulling yourselves there
    To choose these issues, speak, I om saying
    Wanali:
    Ai you have said like that to me
    What have you said?
    If you are going to say like that, I am not coming
    I on talking for pulling him out
    I am talking to bring the issue of my son into the open
    'Yourself, did you come to pull it out and eat?'
    My husband said like that when we buried him
    200 A second time when he had come back (Garibe said)
    'Have you come to cook Baro's death compensation?'
    0 , 'We did it together, we bore him together, it was an accident he drowned. Yourself what have you said?
    I hembo tandaga biyago i nadai bule udu bedogoni / ore
    I anger pain had I will-not-return up there I-am-sitting truly
    larudagóni
    I-said
    I was angry and hurt. I said, 'I am not returning, I am staying over there'
    When that had been said they were together up there, talking like we used to talk
    Then Digima and Yuguai said, 'You killed him'
    'Did I kill him with a stick or an axe?'
    Hewa kill the ones they bore, Dugube do it
    Our foreign neighbours the Hewa and Dugube peoples, they kill their own children
    With what did you see me kill him?
    Those two men (Digima and Yuguai) are different (i.e. not of sound mind)
    Wives and husbands used to argue all day and come and go
    That is a different talk
    0 that one (i.e. the killing) is causing me to be angry Since that was said
    'It's in you' - I don't know what he (Ogoli) means
    'It's in you' - O Dibawi's father (Ogoli) has said lies
    We are saying you told me, 'It's in you'. That is lies
    That's all

    Hagai:
    Ebere i horombe haganego larego
    that one you daytime used-to-stay you-are-saying
    You are talking about how you used to stay in the day
    Ayu ina tene yaruago tene ago yide?
    now you source holding source which you-held
    Which is the source you are now holding?

