

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Plasticity in Reproduction and Survival under Dynamic Socio-Sexual Environment: Empirical Evidence from *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)

Kambiz Esfandi

2017

# Plasticity in Reproduction and Survival under Dynamic Socio-Sexual Environment: Empirical Evidence from *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)

a thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy in Entomology** 

at Massey University Palmerston North New Zealand



Kambiz Esfandi 2017

#### Abstract

Using an important pest of stored products, Ephestia kuehniella Zeller, I tested a number of theoretical predictions regarding strategies taken by males and females for resource allocations in response to dynamic socio-sexual environment. I demonstrate that males only respond to mean sperm competition levels and eupyrene sperm are produced both before and after emergence. Lifetime reproductive fitness in males depends on the number of copulations they can achieve, rather than the number of sperm ejaculated in each copulation. Regardless of whether males are exposed to rivals or not during their early adulthood, copulation duration and sperm allocation are not positively correlated, indicating that copulation duration cannot be used as a correct estimate of sperm allocation. Contrary to the previous prediction that males invest more in courting in the presence of rivals, my experiments demonstrate that males allocate more resource to courtship in the presence of additional females, which reduces their lifetime copulation frequency and fecundity. This finding offers a novel explanation for the success of mating disruption strategy using sex pheromones in pest management. Contradicting the previous prediction that females are more promiscuous under a female-biased condition and choosier in a male-biased sex ratio, my results show that perception of additional males makes females more receptive so that they mate more times and fertilise more eggs. Females call more when no additional mates or females are present than when either additional mates or females are present, suggesting that perception of no additional conspecifics by females may trigger them to allocate more energy for calling for further mating opportunities. Although virgin females lay similar numbers of eggs in all treatments, they start oviposition earlier and live shorter in the presence of conspecific males or females, supporting previous predictions that higher reproductive rate may accelerate senescence. Virgin females produce fewer eggs in male-biased than in female-biased sex ratio, suggesting that they reduce reproductive investment during their early life for mating opportunities under male-biased conditions. My studies provide insight into the plasticity in reproduction and survival under dynamic socio-sexual environment for animals with sexual reproduction in general and for this insect in particular.

#### Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my chief supervisor, Professor Qiao Wang, for his guidance and unconditional support throughout my research. Qiao taught me how to think out of the box, challenged my critical thinking skills and provided me with the opportunity to develop my independent research skills. I am particularly grateful for his friendship while I was going through one of the hardest stages in my life during which time he patiently listened to me and gave me lifesaving advice.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Xiong Zhao He, for his valuable time and effort on assisting in data analysis and revising my drafts. Zhao's thorough knowledge in statistics and programming greatly supported my research. I had the chance to learn the true meaning of the open door policy and down to earth personality while working with him.

I thank Kay Sinclair and Chris Rawlingson for their assistance on *E. kuehniella* colony establishment and laboratory work. I am very thankful to Denise Stewart for patiently helping with administrative support. Very special thanks to my lovely colleagues, Diwas Khatri, Cecilia Falla, Jana Muller, and Jay Liu. I had one of my best times in my life with them. I would like to thank my best friend Dr. Hossein Ghani Zadeh for being such a great friend who was almost like a brother to me.

I am thankful for the financial support from the Massey University Doctoral Research Scholarship, Helen E Akers Scholarship, and William Hudson Scholarship. I am also grateful to the staff of Massey University Library and IT Service for their help with information access.

I am very thankful to all of my family. Without their support, I could not have achieved anything in my life. My father has always been a role model for me as a hardworking, honest and responsible person. My mother has sacrificed a lot for all of her children. Feeling that her prayers are with me makes me stronger. My beloved sisters have always provided me with unconditional love and support almost like my mother. My elder brother also has been like a father to me, guiding me through the hardest times of my life, and encouraging and supporting me to strive to succeed.

#### I would like to dedicate my thesis to my beloved family, particularly my parents.

### **Table of Contents**

| Chapter 1 | General Introduction                                  | 1  |
|-----------|---|----|
| 1.1       | Introduction  | 1  |
| 1.2       | Relevance of the research                             | 2  |
| 1.3       | Aims and objectives                                   | 4  |
| Chapter 2 | General Literature Review                             | 5  |
| 2.1       |   | 5  |
| 2.1       |   | 5  |
| 2.2       | Classification of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i>          | 5  |
| 2.3       | General biology of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i>         | 5  |
| 2.4       | Reproductive system of Lepidoptera                    | 7  |
| 2.5       | Sperm heteromorphy and spermatogenesis in Lepidoptera | 9  |
| 2.6       | Mating system and strategy                            | 12 |
| 2.7       | Mating behaviour                                      | 13 |
| 2.8       | Sexual selection                                      | 15 |
| 2.8.1     | Forces behind sexual selection                        | 16 |
| 2.8.2     | Pre-copulatory sexual selection                       | 17 |
| 2.8.3     | In-copulatory sexual selection                        | 19 |
| 2.8.4     | Post-copulatory sexual selection                      | 20 |
|           |   | 22 |
| Chapter 3 | General Methodology                                   | 22 |
| 3.1       | Introduction  | 22 |
| 3.2       | Materials   | 22 |
| 3.3       | Environmental conditions                              | 28 |
| 3.4       | Procedures  | 29 |
| 3.5       | Behaviour assessment                                  | 32 |
| 3.6       | Definitions   | 32 |
| 3.7       | Statistical analysis and reported values              | 33 |

| Chapter 4 | Male Reproductive Outputs and Survival in                       | 34 |
|-----------|---|----|
|           | <b>Response to Socio-Sexual Environment</b>                     |    |
| 4.1       | Introduction  | 34 |
| 4.2       | Materials and methods   | 38 |
| 4.2.1     | Focal males without exposure to other individuals prior to      |    |
|           | pairing (-E)  | 38 |
| 4.2.1.1   | Reproductive performance and longevity                          | 39 |
| 4.2.1.2   | Mating latency and courtship display                            | 40 |
| 4.2.2     | Focal males with exposure to other individuals prior to pairing |    |
|           | (+E)  | 40 |
| 4.2.2.1   | Reproductive performance and longevity                          | 40 |
| 4.2.2.2   | Courtship display   | 41 |
| 4.2.3     | Statistical analysis  | 41 |
| 4.3       | Results   | 42 |
| 4.3.1     | Focal males without exposure to other individuals prior to      |    |
|           | pairing (-E)  | 42 |
| 4.3.1.1   | Sperm allocation and copulation duration for the first          |    |
|           | copulation  | 42 |
| 4.3.1.2   | Lifetime mating frequency, copulation duration, sperm           |    |
|           | allocation, and longevity                                       | 43 |
| 4.3.1.3   | Mating latency and courtship duration                           | 48 |
| 4.3.2     | Focal males with exposure to other individuals prior to pairing |    |
|           | (+E)  | 50 |
| 4.3.2.1   | Sperm allocation and copulation duration for the first          |    |
|           | copulation  | 50 |
| 4.3.2.2   | Lifetime mating frequency, copulation duration, sperm           |    |
|           | allocation, and longevity                                       | 50 |
| 4.3.2.3   | Mating latency and courtship duration                           | 56 |
| 4.4       | Discussion  | 57 |

| Chapter 5 | Female Reproductive Outputs and Survival in                  | 65 |
|-----------|--|----|
|           | <b>Response to Socio-Sexual Environment</b>                  |    |
| 5.1       | Introduction   | 65 |
| 5.2       | Materials and methods  | 68 |
| 5.2.1     | Focal females without exposure to other individuals prior to |    |
|           | pairing (-E)   | 68 |
| 5.2.1.1   | Reproductive performance and longevity                       | 68 |
| 5.2.1.2   | Oviposition performance                                      | 69 |
| 5.2.2     | Focal females with exposure to other individuals prior to    |    |
|           | pairing (+E)   | 69 |
| 5.2.2.1   | Reproductive performance and longevity                       | 69 |
| 5.2.2.2   | Calling behaviour  | 70 |
| 5.2.3     | Statistical analysis   | 71 |
| 5.3       | Results  | 72 |
| 5.3.1     | Focal females without exposure to other individuals prior to |    |
|           | pairing (-E)   | 72 |
| 5.3.1.1   | Copulation frequency, duration, mating latency and longevity | 72 |
| 5.3.1.2   | Oviposition performance                                      | 76 |
| 5.3.2     | Focal females with exposure to other individuals prior to    |    |
|           | pairing (+E)   | 79 |
| 5.3.2.1   | Copulation frequency, duration, mating latency, calling      |    |
|           | duration, and longevity                                      | 79 |
| 5.3.2.2   | Oviposition performance                                      | 83 |
| 5.4       | Discussion   | 85 |
|           |  |    |
| Chapter 6 | <b>Reproductive Outputs and Survival of Virgin</b>           | 89 |
|           | Moths under Different Socio-Sexual Environment               |    |
| 6.1       | Introduction   | 89 |
| 6.2       | Materials and methods  | 91 |
| 6.2.1     | Reproduction, survival and courtship of virgin males         | 91 |
| 6.2.2     | Reproduction and survival of virgin females                  | 92 |
| 6.2.3     | Statistical Analysis   | 93 |
|           |  |    |

| 6.3   | Results  | 94  |
|-------|--|-----|
| 6.3.1 | Reproduction, survival and courtship of virgin males | 94  |
| 6.3.2 | Reproduction and longevity of virgin females         | 96  |
| 6.4   | Discussion   | 101 |

## Chapter 7 General Discussion

**Published Paper** 

104

| 7.1  | Introduction  | 104 |
|------|---|-----|
| 7.2  | Male response to immediate and mean sperm competition         | 104 |
|      | levels  |     |
| 7.3  | Relationship between copulation duration and sperm allocation | 106 |
| 7.4  | Sperm production during adulthood                             | 107 |
| 7.5  | Courtship cost in males                                       | 108 |
| 7.6  | Female promiscuity in response to socio-sexual environment    | 109 |
| 7.7  | Female calling behaviour under different socio-sexual         | 110 |
|      | contexts  |     |
| 7.8  | Female resource allocation in response to socio-sexual        |     |
|      | environment   | 111 |
| 7.9  | Virgin male response to socio-sexual environment              | 112 |
| 7.10 | Virgin female response to socio-sexual environment            | 113 |
| 7.11 | Conclusion  | 113 |
|      |   |     |

| References | 115 |
|------------|-----|
|            |     |

| Statement of Contribution | 148 |
|---------------------------|-----|

137

### List of Tables

| Table 4.1 | Mean copulation duration (hour) associated with –E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels                   | 43 |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 4.2 | Mean number of eupyrene ( $\times$ 256) sperm ejaculated by –E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels       | 45 |
| Table 4.3 | Mean number of apyrene (× 3000) sperm ejaculated by –E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels               | 45 |
| Table 4.4 | Mean copulation duration (hour) associated with +E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels                   | 51 |
| Table 4.5 | Mean number of eupyrene ( $\times$ 256) sperm ejaculated by +E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels       | 53 |
| Table 4.6 | Mean number of apyrene (× 3000) sperm ejaculated by +E focal males in successive copulations under different SC levels               | 54 |
| Table 5.1 | Mean mating latency (hour) associated with –E focal females in successive copulations under different socio-sexual environments      | 74 |
| Table 5.2 | Mean copulation duration (hour) associated with -E focal females in successive copulations under different socio-sexual environments | 74 |
| Table 5.3 | Reproductive outputs of -E focal females under different socio-<br>sexual environments   | 76 |
| Table 5.4 | Mean mating latency (hour) associated with +E focal females in successive copulations under different socio-sexual environments      | 81 |
| Table 5.5 | Mean copulation duration (hour) associated with +E focal females in successive copulations under different socio-sexual environments | 81 |
| Table 5.6 | Reproductive outputs of +E focal females under different socio sexual environments   | 83 |

#### **List of Figures**

- Figure 2.1 Morphology of *Ephestia kuehniella*: (A) egg, (B) mature larvae (top, female; bottom, male, arrow indicates the developing testes), (C) pupae (top, male; bottom, female), (D) adult moth, (E) male pupa (arrow indicates two bumps separated by a narrow groove on abdominal segment), (F) female pupa (arrow indicates genital area that appears as a narrow groove on segment), (G) terminal abdomen of male adult (displays a pair of claspers; arrow indicates aedeagus), and (H) terminal abdomen of female adult (arrow indicates the ovipositor).
- Figure 2.2 A dorsal view of female reproductive organs of *Ephestia* 8 *kuehniella*. bc, bursa copulatrix; ds, ductus seminalis; ld, lamina dentata; nbc, neck of bursa copulatrix; ov, ovary; ovp, ovipositor; rct, rectum; sc, spermatheca; sd, spermathecal duct; sg, spermathecal gland; vs, vestibulum.
- Figure 2.3 A dorsal view of male reproductive organs of *Ephestia kuehniella*.
  9 ae, aedeagus; ag, accessory gland; c, clasper; h, horns of the ductus ejaculatorius; pg, paired gland; t, testis; ug, unpaired gland; vd, vas deferens; vs, vesicula seminalis.
- Figure 2.4 Eupyrene (eupy) in a bundle and apyrene (apy) of *Ephestia* 10 *kuehniella*.
- Figure 2.5 Mating sequence of *E. elutella*, male on the left: (A) the female 15 calls (releasing sex pheromone) by raising the tip of her abdomen and the male approaches with fanning wings the calling female from the front; (B) the male touches the female in a head-to-head position while the female keeps her abdomen elevated and the male continues wing fanning; (C) the female lowers her abdomen;

6

(D) the male delivers head-thump and the female raises abdomen;(E) male conducts dorsa-lateral thrust, and (F) successful copulation occurs in end-to-end position. From Phelan and Baker (1990).

| Figure 3.1  | Oviposition cylinder with 20 adult moths.  | 23 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 3.2  | Plastic sheet for oviposition.   | 23 |
| Figure 3.3  | Glass tubes used to maintain pupae and newly emerged adults before experiment.   | 24 |
| Figure 3.4  | Breeding colony of <i>E. kuehniella</i> : ( <b>A</b> ) larval rearing cylinder, and ( <b>B</b> ) humidity containers, each with 10 larval rearing cylinders.   | 25 |
| Figure 3.5  | Insect dissection using a dissecting microscope.   | 25 |
| Figure 3.6  | Sperm counting using a phase-contrast microscope.  | 26 |
| Figure 3.7  | Electronic balance used for weighing pupae.  | 27 |
| Figure 3.8  | Experimental device.   | 28 |
| Figure 3.9  | Sperm extraction procedure: (A) bursa copulatrix containing two<br>spermatophores, (B) spermatophore separated from bursa, (C)<br>sperm cloud inside a spermatophore, and (D) eupyrene (eupy)<br>sperm bundles surrounded by apyrene (apy) sperm.  | 30 |
| Figure 3.10 | Sperm extraction procedure from virgin male testes: (A) anterior view of a testis, (B) posterior view of a testis, arrow pointing the connection point of the testis to vasa deferentia, (C) ruptured testes, arrow pointing a cluster of eupyrene sperm bundles inside the testis, and (D) a cluster of eupyrene bundles inside the testis. | 31 |

- Figure 4.1 Mean (± SE) number of eupyrene (× 256) and apyrene (× 3000) 42 sperm ejaculated by -E focal males in their first copulation under different SC levels. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.2 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) lifetime number of copulations of –E focal males 43 under different SC levels. Bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.3 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) total number of eupyrene (× 256) and apyrene (× 44 3000) sperm ejaculated by –E focal males during their lifetime under different SC levels. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- **Figure 4.4** Survival of -E focal males under different SC levels. Lines with 44 the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Relationship between the number of eupyrene (×256)/apyrene Figure 4.5 47 (×3000) sperm ejaculated/copulation duration (hour, CD) and the order of matings (MN) in -E focal males under different SC levels. (A) Eupyrene sperm: CONT, eupyrene = exp (3.5357 -0.0576 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.098$ ,  $F_{1.68} = 7.44$ , P = 0.0081); +M, eupyrene = exp (3.7899 - 0.0983 MN) ( $R^2$  = 0.2080,  $F_{1.62}$  = 16.29, P = 0.0002); +F, eupyrene = exp (3.5935 - 0.0638 MN) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.1069,  $F_{1,41} = 4.91, P = 0.0323$ ). (B) Apyrene sperm: CONT, apyrene =  $\exp(3.0273 - 0.1249MN)$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.1898, F<sub>1,68</sub> = 15.93, P = 0.0002); +M, apyrene = exp (3.1652 - 0.1683 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.2209$ ,  $F_{1.62} =$ 17.58, P = 0.0001; +F, apyrene = exp (3.0348 - 0.1358 MN) ( $R^2$ = 0.1054,  $F_{1,41}$  = 4.83, P = 0.0337). (C) Copulation duration: CONT, CD = exp (0.8115 + 0.0945MN) ( $R^2$  = 0.3109,  $F_{1.68}$  = 30.68, P < 0.0001); +M, CD = exp (0.8838 + 0.0703 MN) ( $R^2$  = 0.2083,  $F_{1,62} = 16.31$ , P < 0.0001); +F, CD = exp (0.6116 + 0.1584)

MN) ( $R^2 = 0.3532$ ,  $F_{1,41} = 22.39$ , P < 0.0001). All original data were used for analysis but only mean (± SE) values were presented.

- Figure 4.6Mean ( $\pm$  SE) mating latency (A) and wing fanning duration (B) in49-E focal males under different SC levels. Columns with the same<br/>letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.7 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) number of eupyrene (× 256) and apyrene (× 3000) 50 sperm ejaculated by +E focal males in their first copulation under different SC levels. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.8 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) lifetime number of copulations of +E focal males 51 under different SC levels. Bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.9 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) number of eupyrene (× 256) and apyrene (× 3000) 52 sperm ejaculated by +E focal males during their lifetime under different SC levels. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.10 Survival of +E focal males under different SC levels. Lines with 52 the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 4.11Relationships between the number of eupyrene (×256)/apyrene55(×3000) sperm ejaculated/copulation duration (hour, CD) and the<br/>order of matings (MN) in +E focal males under different SC<br/>levels. (A) Eupyrene sperm: CONT, eupyrene = exp (3.8018 –<br/>0.1943 MN) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.4459, F<sub>1,68</sub> = 54.73, P < 0.0001); +M,<br/>eupyrene = exp (4.0330 0.1813 MN) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.6516, F<sub>1,108</sub> =<br/>201.97, P < 0.0001); +F, eupyrene = exp (3.6461 0.1494 MN)<br/>(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.4720, F<sub>1,67</sub> = 59.90, P < 0.0001). (B) Apyrene sperm:</td>

CONT, apyrene = exp (2.4453 – 0.0540 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.0719$ ,  $F_{1,68} = 5.27$ , P = 0.0248); +M, apyrene = exp (3.0127 – 0.2466 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.6173$ ,  $F_{1,108} = 174.20$ , P < 0.0001); +F, apyrene = exp (2.6796 – 0.1077 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.0930$ ,  $F_{1,67} = 6.87$ , P = 0.0108). (C) Copulation duration: CONT, CD = exp (0.4905 + 0.1286 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.3489$ ,  $F_{1,65} = 34.84$ , P < 0.0001); +M, CD = exp (0.6969 + 0.1058 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.3925$ ,  $F_{1,108} = 69.77$ , P < 0.0001); +F, CD = exp (0.4712 + 0.1496 MN) ( $R^2 = 0.5562$ ,  $F_{1,67} = 83.96$ , P = 0.0004). All original data were used for analysis but only mean (± SE) values were presented.

- Figure 4.12Mean ( $\pm$  SE) mating latency (A) and wing fanning duration (B) in57+E focal males under different SC levels. Columns with the same<br/>letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.1 Mean (± SE) copulation frequency (A), mating latency (B) and 73 copulation duration (C) of -E focal females under different sociosexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05)
- Figure 5.2 Probability of mating of -E focal females in the first five days 75 since first pairing under different socio-sexual environments. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.3 Survival of -E focal females under different socio-sexual 75 environments. Lines with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.4 Probability of oviposition of -E focal females in the first five days 76 since first pairing under different socio-sexual environments. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

- Figure 5.5 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) lifetime number of eggs laid (A) and fertility rate (B) 77 of –E focal females under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.6 Daily number of eggs laid (DNE) by -E focal females under 78 different socio-sexual environments. CONT, DNE = exp (1.1005 + 1.4960 Age - 0.2049 Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.4105, F<sub>2,139</sub> = 48.41, P < 0.0001); +**M**, DNE = exp (0.9793 + 1.2537 Age - 0.1399 Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.2763, F<sub>2,156</sub> = 29.78, P < 0.0001); +**F**, DNE = exp (2.3197 + 0.5705 Age - 0.0773 Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.1654, F<sub>2,151</sub> = 14.96, P < 0.0001).
- Figure 5.7 Mean (± SE) copulation frequency (A), mating latency (B), 80 copulation duration (C) and total calling duration (D) associated with +E focal females under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.8 Probability of mating of +E focal females in the first five days 82 since first pairing under different socio-sexual environments. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.9 Survival of +E focal females under different socio-sexual 82 environments. Lines with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 5.10 Probability of oviposition of +E focal females in the first five days 84 since first pairing under different socio-sexual environments. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

Figure 5.11 Mean (± SE) lifetime number of eggs laid (A), and fertility rate 84

(**B**) associated with +E focal females under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

- Figure 5.12 Daily number of eggs laid (DNE) by +E focal females under 85 different socio-sexual environments. CONT, DNE = exp (-2.6612 + 2.5983 Age - 0.2646 Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.4782, F<sub>2,166</sub> = 76.07, P < 0.0001); +M, DNE = exp (- 2.8697 + 2.6007 Age - 0.2433Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.4855 F<sub>2,171</sub> = 80.68, P < 0.0001); +F, DNE = exp (-4.2282 + 3.3500 Age - 0.3374 Age<sup>2</sup>) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.5865, F<sub>2,171</sub> = 121.27, P < 0.0001).
- Figure 6.1 Mean (± SE) number of eupyrene sperm (× 256) (A) and apyrene 94 sperm (× 3000) (B) in the reproductive tracts of males under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 6.2 Mean (± SE) pre-wing fanning duration (A) and mean total wing 95 fanning duration (B) of males during the first 10 days under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 6.3 Male survival under different socio-sexual environments. Lines 96 with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 6.4 Mean (± SE) pre-oviposition period (A), and oviposition period 97
  (B) of virgin females under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 6.5 Mean (± SE) total number of eggs laid (A), eggs unlaid (B), and 98 eggs produced by virgin females (C) under different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

- Figure 6.6 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) daily number of eggs laid by virgin females under 99 different socio-sexual environments. For each category, bars with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
- Figure 6.7Number of eggs laid per day by virgin females after emergence in100relation to age, under different socio-sexual environments. CONT:Eggs = exp (-  $4.330 + 0.8109Age 0.0251Age^2$ ) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.3504, $F_{2,254} = 68.50, P < 0.0001$ ); +F: Eggs = exp (- 0.517 + 0.4657Age $0.0194Age^2$ ) (R<sup>2</sup> =  $0.1513, F_{2,190} = 17.18, P < 0.0001$ ); +M:Eggs = exp (-  $2.550 + 0.8212Age 0.0331Age^2$ ) (R<sup>2</sup> =  $0.3147, F_{2,199} = 45.69, P < 0.0001$ ).
- Figure 6.8 Survival of virgin females under different socio-sexual 100 environments. Lines with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).