

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**PROPERTIES OF RECOMBINED MILK PROTEIN  
COMPOSITE GELS: EFFECTS OF PROTEIN SOURCE,  
PROTEIN CONCENTRATION AND PROCESSING TIME**

**A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY  
IN  
FOOD TECHNOLOGY  
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH,  
NEW ZEALAND**

**ROBYN ROSEMARY FINDLAY**

**2002**

---

**ABSTRACT**

Increased knowledge of the interactions involved in the manufacture of Milk Protein Composite Gels (MPCGs) is essential for the further development of dairy-based analogue and recombined products and the advancement of novel product development. This study investigated MPCG manufacture using four protein sources (Rennet Casein, skim milk cheese (SMC), milk protein concentrate (MPC 85), calcium-depleted milk protein concentrate (IX MPC 85)), three protein to water (P/W) ratios (0.4, 0.5, 0.6) and four processing times (0, 4, 8, 16 minutes). The properties of the products were investigated using confocal and transmission electron microscopy, as well as rheological and functional tests.

Protein source was found to have the greatest impact on product characteristics, followed by P/W ratio with processing time having little, and often inconsistent, effects. Increased protein concentration resulted in a higher viscosity during manufacture, a decrease in fat droplet size, an increase in gel firmness, and a decrease in meltability. Increased processing time resulted in a decrease in fat droplet size, few significant changes in firmness (both small- and large-strain), and an increase in meltability.

Fracture property analysis showed that SMC produced softer, more elastic gels than Rennet Casein. The whey-containing samples produced softer, more brittle gels with little difference between them. Small-strain analysis showed that all samples were weak gels but the results did not follow the same trend as the fracture properties. The samples increased in firmness in the following order: SMC < Rennet Casein < IX MPC 85 < MPC 85.

Microstructure analysis showed the presence of whey protein aggregates in the MPC 85 and IX MPC 85 samples. These samples also demonstrated aggregation of the lipid droplets, which was attributed to the presence of whey proteins. Reduced levels of calcium resulted in lower levels of emulsification (larger lipid droplets) due to lower in-process viscosities.

Correlations between large- and small-strain testing showed that the correlation coefficient was dependent on the protein source being used and that although the level of correlation was not high, there was a general positive trend. The small-strain and UW Meltmeter tests did not agree on the order of increasing meltability except for the SMC samples, which were significantly more meltable than the other protein sources. The two tests were poorly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.446$ ).

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the Fonterra Research Centre (FRC) for providing this project and allowing me to write a Masters Thesis on work I have done as part of the Composite Gels group. I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Harjinder Singh, Dr. Sheelagh Hewitt and Dr. Michael Whitton for their guidance and wisdom.

I would like to thank the following staff members at FRC for their input into this work. Mike Donkin for his suggestion and support for conducting a Masters degree through FRC. Gerhard Hoppe for allowing me to finish it. Dr. David Reid for his unrivalled enthusiasm, unwavering support and un-paid, after hours thesis editing. Andrew Saunders for all his assistance in planning and editing this work. Dr. Philip Watkinson for all his knowledge of fracture properties and meltability testing. Robbie Buwalda for all his assistance in the pilot plant and his knowledge of both equipment and processing techniques. Robyn Hirst for her expertise in microscopy techniques and results analysis. Dr. Siew Kim Lee for her assistance with the small-strain rheology equipment. Warren Fitzsimons for his assistance in sample production and processing advice early in the project.

I would also like to thank several Massey University staff members: Dr. Yacine Hemar for his expertise in small-strain rheology, Rod Bennet for his assistance in writing up, and Dr. Rogerio Pereira for his understanding of the equipment and manufacturing procedures.

I would also like to thank my colleagues for their support, encouragement and knowing when not to ask how it is going; Joanna Shepherd, Sophia Stathopolous, Janiene Gilliland, Arron Harford, Robert Hacking, Liz White, Satyendra Ram and Donald Love.

Thanks go to Emma Bermingham for her tales of PhD woes and also to Dave Thomas and Kane Champan for their encouragement.

Paul, thank you for your endless faith and your support over the last four and a half years that has helped to get me here today.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>II</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURE</b> .....	<b>X</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>XII</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>2</b>
2.1 MANUFACTURE OF PROTEIN PRODUCTS .....	2
2.1.1 <i>Casein Manufacture</i> .....	2
2.1.2 <i>Manufacture of Milk Protein Concentrate</i> .....	3
2.2 RHEOLOGICAL TESTING .....	5
2.2.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	5
2.2.2 <i>Large-Strain Testing (Compression Testing)</i> .....	6
2.2.3 <i>Small-Strain Testing (Oscillatory Testing)</i> .....	11
2.2.4 <i>Melt and Flow Characterisation</i> .....	14
2.2.5 <i>Rheological Correlations</i> .....	15
2.3 MILK PROTEIN COMPOSITE GELS .....	17
2.3.1 <i>Protein Source</i> .....	17
2.3.2 <i>Protein Concentration</i> .....	22
2.3.3 <i>Lipid Content</i> .....	23
2.3.4 <i>pH</i> .....	24
2.3.5 <i>Calcium Sequestering Agents (CSAs)</i> .....	25
2.3.6 <i>Salt (NaCl) Concentration</i> .....	27
2.3.7 <i>Lactose</i> .....	27
2.3.8 <i>Processing</i> .....	28
<b>3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	<b>30</b>
3.1 RAW MATERIALS .....	30
3.2 PROCESSING OF MILK PROTEIN COMPOSITE GELS .....	31
3.2.1 <i>Processing Equipment</i> .....	31
3.2.2 <i>Processing Method</i> .....	31

3.3	ANALYSIS METHODS FOR MILK PROTEIN COMPOSITE GELS .....	32
3.3.1	<i>Rheological Analysis</i> .....	32
3.3.2	<i>Chemical Analyses</i> .....	35
3.3.3	<i>Determination of Microstructure</i> .....	37
3.3.4	<i>Meltability</i> .....	38
<b>4.0</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS .....	39
4.2	MICROSTRUCTURE.....	43
4.2.1	<i>Rennet Casein</i> .....	45
4.2.2	<i>Skim Milk Cheese</i> .....	51
4.2.3	<i>Milk Protein Concentrate 85</i> .....	56
4.2.4	<i>Ion-Exchanged Milk Protein Concentrate 85</i> .....	62
4.3	LARGE STRAIN RHEOLOGY .....	65
4.3.1	<i>Statistical Analysis Issues</i> .....	65
4.3.2	<i>Rennet Casein</i> .....	67
4.3.3	<i>Skim Milk Cheese</i> .....	71
4.3.4	<i>Milk Protein Concentrate 85</i> .....	74
4.3.5	<i>Ion-Exchanged Milk Protein Concentrate 85</i> .....	77
4.3.6	<i>Comparison Between Protein Sources</i> .....	80
4.4	SMALL STRAIN RHEOLOGY .....	87
4.4.1	<i>Comparison Between Proteins</i> .....	90
4.5	TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE .....	96
4.5.1	<i>Comparison Between Protein Sources</i> .....	99
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1.0</b>	<b>COMPRESSION TESTING SOFTWARE .....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2.0</b>	<b>MANUFACTURING EQUIPMENT COMPARISON.....</b>	<b>123</b>
	SUMMARY .....	123
	INTRODUCTION .....	123
	MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	123
	<i>Processing Equipment</i> .....	123
	<i>Sample Testing and Data Analysis</i> .....	126
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	127
	<i>Fracture Properties</i> .....	127
	<i>Microstructure</i> .....	128

---

<i>Manufacturing Problems</i> .....	128
<b>APPENDIX 3.0 TA-XT2 AND INSTRON COMPARISON</b> .....	<b>129</b>
SUMMARY .....	129
INTRODUCTION .....	129
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	129
<i>TA-XT2 Texture Analyser Method</i> .....	130
<i>Instron Universal Testing Machine Method</i> .....	131
<i>Mixed Method</i> .....	131
<i>Data Analysis</i> .....	131
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	133
<i>Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)</i> .....	133
<i>Fracture Analysis</i> .....	134
<b>APPENDIX 4.0 TA-XT2 AND TA-HD COMPARISON</b> .....	<b>136</b>
SUMMARY .....	136
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	136
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	136
<b>APPENDIX 5.0 PROCESSING REGIME INVESTIGATION</b> .....	<b>138</b>
SUMMARY .....	138
INTRODUCTION .....	138
METHODS AND MATERIALS .....	138
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	139
<b>APPENDIX 6.0 ADDITIONAL EXPLORATORY WORK</b> .....	<b>141</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1: MANUFACTURING PROCESSES FOR RENNET CASEIN, SMC, MPC 85 AND IX MPC 85 .....	2
FIGURE 2.2: APPLICATION OF STRESS TO SOLID MATERIAL.....	6
FIGURE 2.3: POSSIBLE FRACTURE MODES OF A CYLINDRICAL TEST PIECE DURING UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION.....	7
FIGURE 2.4: TPA CURVE FOR A MILK PROTEIN COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLE.....	8
FIGURE 2.5: TEXTURE PROFILE ANALYSIS OUTPUT INDICATING TPA PARAMETERS.....	9
FIGURE 2.6: COMPRESSION STRESS AS A FUNCTION OF HENCKY STRAIN .....	10
FIGURE 2.7: RESPONSE STRESS ( $\sigma$ ) IN A MATERIAL WITH TIME WHEN AN OSCILLATING STRAIN IS APPLIED.....	12
FIGURE 2.8: PROPOSED INTERACTION BETWEEN WHEY PROTEINS AND RECOMBINED FAT GLOBULES IN MIXED AND FILLED DAIRY GELS.....	20
FIGURE 2.9: PROPOSED MECHANISMS OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN LIPID DROPLETS AND PROTEIN MATRIX.....	23
FIGURE 2.10: EFFECT OF CALCIUM REMOVAL ON PROTEIN LINKAGES .....	26
FIGURE 3.1: TEMPERATURE PROFILE EXAMPLE FOR BLENTTECH COOKER .....	32
FIGURE 3.2: TA-HD TEXTURE ANALYSER.....	34
FIGURE 4.1: EFFECT OF CROSS SECTIONING AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN A FAT DROPLET OR AIR BUBBLE .....	43
FIGURE 4.2: CONFOCAL IMAGES OF RENNET CASEIN COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4, 0.5 AND 0.6) WITH INCREASING PROCESSING TIME (400X MAGNIFICATION).....	47
FIGURE 4.3: TEM OF RENNET CASEIN COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4 & 0.6) AT 8-MINUTES PROCESSING TIME.....	47
FIGURE 4.4: TEM IMAGE (85 000X) OF A CASEIN MICELLE.....	48
FIGURE 4.5: CONFOCAL IMAGES OF SMC COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4, 0.5 AND 0.6) WITH PROCESSING TIME (400X MAGNIFICATION) .....	52
FIGURE 4.6: TEM OF SMC COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4 & 0.6) AT 8-MINUTES PROCESSING TIME .....	52
FIGURE 4.7: ENLARGED TEM IMAGE OF SMC ILLUSTRATING THE PRESENCE OF ELECTRON-DENSE "STRANDS" ...	54
FIGURE 4.8: CONFOCAL IMAGE OF MPC 85 COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4, 0.5 & 0.6) WITH INCREASING PROCESSING TIME (400X MAGNIFICATION).....	58
FIGURE 4.9: TEM OF MPC 85 COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4 & 0.6) AT 8-MINUTE PROCESSING TIME.....	58
FIGURE 4.10: CONFOCAL IMAGES OF IX MPC 85 COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.4, 0.5 & 0.6) WITH PROCESSING TIME (400X MAGNIFICATION) .....	63
FIGURE 4.11: TEM OF IX MPC 85 COMPOSITE GELS (P/W 0.40) AT 8-MINUTES PROCESSING TIME .....	63
FIGURE 4.12: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR RENNET CASEIN COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES.....	67
FIGURE 4.13: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR SMC COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES .....	72
FIGURE 4.14: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR MPC 85 COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES.....	74
FIGURE 4.15: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR IX MPC 85 COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES.....	77
FIGURE 4.16: INTERACTION PLOT FOR FRACTURE STRAIN .....	81
FIGURE 4.17: INTERACTION PLOT FOR FRACTURE STRESS.....	82
FIGURE 4.18: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF SAMPLES FOR ALL PROTEIN SOURCES .....	84
FIGURE 4.19: INTERACTION PLOT FOR STORAGE MODULUS .....	90
FIGURE 4.20: INTERACTION PLOT FOR FREQUENCY DEPENDENCE (P-VALUE).....	91
FIGURE 4.21: COMPARISONS OF LARGE-STRAIN AND SMALL-STRAIN HARDNESS PARAMETERS.....	94



---

FIGURE 4.22: UW MELTMETER APPARATUS .....	97
FIGURE 4.23: INTERACTION PLOT FOR $H_p/H_l$ .....	99
FIGURE 4.24: INTERACTION PLOT FOR $G^*$ AT 45°C.....	100
FIGURE A2.1: LAB-SCALE BLENTECH CHEESE COOKER.....	124
FIGURE A2.2: LAB-SCALE STEPHAN CHEESE KETTLE.....	125
FIGURE A2.3: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR STEPHAN AND BLENTECH GELS.....	127
FIGURE A2.4: BLENTECH SAMPLES.....	128
FIGURE A2.5: STEPHAN SAMPLES .....	128
FIGURE A5.1: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF RENNET CASEIN AND MPC SAMPLES.....	139

---

**LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 1: PROTEIN SOURCES FOR MPCG MANUFACTURE .....	17
TABLE 2: COMPOSITION OF PROTEIN SOURCES .....	30
TABLE 3: CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF SAMPLES (DUPLICATES AVERAGED EXCEPT SMC P/W 0.5 AND 0.6).....	42
TABLE 4: NON-NORMAL DATA SETS.....	65
TABLE 5: FRACTURE PROPERTIES FOR RENNET CASEIN COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES .....	68
TABLE 6: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF SMC COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLE.....	72
TABLE 7: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF MPC 85 COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES .....	75
TABLE 8: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF IX MPC 85 COMPOSITE GEL SAMPLES .....	78
TABLE 9: FRACTURE STRESS AND FRACTURE STRAIN FOR ALL PROTEIN SOURCES.....	84
TABLE 10: SMALL-STRAIN PROPERTIES OF COMPOSITE GELS SAMPLES.....	88
TABLE 14: SMALL-STRAIN PROPERTIES OF SAMPLES FOR ALL PROTEINS SOURCES .....	92
TABLE 15: REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FRACTURE STRESS AND STORAGE MODULUS .....	94
TABLE 16: MELT PROPERTY TRENDS WITH INCREASING PROCESSING TIME FOR ALL PROTEIN SOURCE SAMPLES ...	97
TABLE 17: MELTABILITY VALUES FOR SMALL-STRAIN AND UW MELTMETER METHODS OF SAMPLES FOR ALL PROTEIN SOURCES.....	102
TABLE 18: FORMULATIONS FOR BLENTTECH CHEESE COOKER.....	124
TABLE 19: FORMULATIONS FOR STEPHAN CHEESE COOKER .....	125
TABLE 20: P/W VARIATION IN SAMPLES .....	127
TABLE 21: FORMULATION FOR TEXTURE ANALYSIS METHOD COMPARISON.....	130
TABLE 22: HARDNESS VALUES AT FULL COMPRESSION.....	133
TABLE 23: FRACTURE PROPERTIES FOR COMPRESSION METHOD COMPARISON.....	134
TABLE 24: FRACTURE PROPERTIES FOR TA-XT2 AND TA-HD.....	137
TABLE 25: PROCESSING FORMULATIONS.....	138

---

**LIST OF EQUATIONS**

EQUATION 2.1: NORMAL STRAIN CALCULATION.....	6
EQUATION 2.2: TANGENTIAL STRAIN CALCULATION.....	6
EQUATION 2.3: HENCKY STRAIN.....	10
EQUATION 2.4: TRUE STRESS.....	10
EQUATION 2.5: DETERMINATION OF STORAGE MODULUS.....	12
EQUATION 2.6: DETERMINATION OF LOSS MODULUS .....	13
EQUATION 2.7: DETERMINATION OF COMPLEX MODULUS .....	13
EQUATION 2.8: FREQUENCY DEPENDENCE OF THE STORAGE MODULUS.....	13
EQUATION 2.9: LINEAR RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STORAGE MODULUS AND FREQUENCY.....	13
EQUATION 3.1: CALCULATION OF STORAGE MODULUS .....	33
EQUATION 3.2: CALCULATION OF LOSS MODULUS.....	33
EQUATION 3.3: CALCULATION OF COMPLEX MODULUS.....	33
EQUATION 4.1: HENCKY STRAIN.....	71



---

**NOMENCLATURE**

$\ell$	Length (mm)	(Rheology)
$\delta\ell$	Change in length (mm)	(Rheology)
$\varepsilon$	Strain (-)	(Rheology, normal)
$\sigma$	Stress (Pa)	(Rheology, normal)
$\gamma$	Shear strain (-)	(Rheology, tangential)
$\tau$	Shear stress (Pa)	(Rheology, tangential)
H	Sample height at any time (mm)	(Compression testing)
$H_0$	Initial sample height (mm)	(Compression testing)
$\Delta h_t$	Displacement of crosshead at time $t$ (mm)	(Compression testing)
$v$	Speed of compression ( $\text{mm s}^{-1}$ )	(Compression testing)
$F_t$	Force during lubricated compression at time $t$ (N)	(Compression testing)
$r_0$	Initial radius of sample (m)	(Compression testing)
$\sigma_{\max}$	Maximum stress (Pa)	(Compression testing)
$\sigma_{\infty}$	Infinite stress (Pa)	(Compression testing)
$\dot{\varepsilon}_0$	Initial strain rate ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ )	(Compression testing)
$\varepsilon_{\max}$	Maximum strain (-)	(Compression testing)
$\varepsilon_c$	Cauchy strain (-)	(Compression testing)
$\varepsilon_H$	Hencky strain (-)	(Compression testing)

---

$\sigma_o$	Maximum stress (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\gamma_o$	Maximum strain (-)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\sigma_{el.}$	Elastic material reaction stress (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\sigma_v.$	Viscous material reaction stress (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\dot{\gamma}$	Strain rate/Shear rate ( $s^{-1}$ )	(Oscillatory rheology)
$G'$	Storage modulus (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$G''$	Loss modulus (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$G^*$	Complex modulus (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$G^*_{min}$	Minimum complex modulus (Pa)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\delta$	Phase angle ( $^\circ$ )	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\tan \delta$	Loss tangent	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\omega$	Oscillation frequency (Hz)	(Oscillatory rheology)
$\eta^*$	Apparent viscosity	(Oscillatory rheology)

---

**ABBREVIATIONS**

AMF	Anhydrous milk fat
Ca	Calcium
C/P ratio	Calcium to protein ratio
CSA	Calcium sequestering agent
DSP	Disodium phosphate
EMC	Enzyme modified cheese
FRC	Fonterra Research Centre Limited
FTRC	Food Technology Research Centre
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
IX MPC	Ion-exchanged MPC (calcium depleted)
MPC	Milk protein concentrate
MPCG	Milk protein composite gel
Na	Sodium
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NCN	Non-casein nitrogen
NPN	Non-protein nitrogen
NZDRI	New Zealand Dairy Research Institute
P/W ratio	Protein to water ratio
SALP	Sodium aluminium phosphate
SMC	Skim milk cheese



---

SMP	Skim milk powder
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TMP	Total milk protein
TN	Total nitrogen
TPA	Texture profile analysis
TSC	Trisodium citrate
TSP	Trisodium phosphate
WPC	Whey protein concentrate
WPI	Whey protein isolate

A copy of the Nomenclature and Abbreviations is given in a fold-out format at the back of this thesis.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Milk protein composite gels (MPCGs) can be formed directly from milk, by recombining milk protein powders or via roux<sup>1</sup> formation with fat and milk protein powders. The two latter methods of forming milk protein gels are extremely versatile and products can be made to resemble a soft mousse-like product through to a hard cheese-like product through manipulation of the composition and processing methods (Saunders *et al.*, 1996c). These adaptable gels can be manufactured from a large range of stable intermediate protein products, such as Rennet Casein, milk protein concentrates (MPCs), calcium-depleted milk protein concentrates (IX MPCs<sup>2</sup>), skim milk powder, skim milk cheese (SMC) powder, sodium caseinate and calcium caseinate. These protein products influence the final flavour and texture of the product. The water content, amount and type of lipid, flavour and processing conditions can also be varied to produce a range of end products. Other ingredients may be used to influence texture and flavour, such as calcium chelating agents, salts, hydrocolloids, starches, enzyme modified cheese (EMC) and commercial flavour compounds.

Although milk protein gel products have been produced both commercially and for research purposes, the work has generally focused on emulating an existing product or investigating processed cheese. There has been little systematic work done to understand the chemical and physical interactions that affect the characteristics of the final product.

Composition, pH, shear, temperature, time, salt, emulsifiers, protein source, lipid, mixer design, mixing speed, cooling rate, order of addition, rate of addition, pH, acid type and level and the interactions between each of these affect the characteristics of the final product.

Increased knowledge of the mechanisms and outcomes of these interactions is essential for the further development of dairy-based analogue products and the advancement of novel product development (Saunders *et al.*, 1996c; Thompson and Hewitt, 2000). The work described in this thesis focuses on the effects of protein source, protein concentration and processing time on the product characteristics of recombined milk protein gels.

---

<sup>1</sup> Mixture of fat and protein powder

<sup>2</sup> IX MPCs are MPCs that are calcium depleted by ion exchange (IX)