

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

REFOCUSSING THERAPY:
The Effectiveness and Uniqueness of a
God-Based Therapy Method

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Arts in Psychology
at Massey University
(Albany), New Zealand

Jennifer A. Sharkey

2005

Abstract

Refocussing Therapy (RFT) is a God-based theory and psychotherapy approach. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness and uniqueness of RFT using a quasi-experimental mode of investigation. Over a period of four months pre- and post-treatment assessments of 49 RFT clients' mental health status and religious coping were made using the TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE measures. Changes were also assessed for a comparison group of 10 pastoral care (PC) recipients. Significant positive treatment gains were reported by RFT clients, while PC recipients had smaller but generally positive treatment gains. Positive religious coping improved for both the RFT group and the PC group. However, negative religious coping reduced significantly for the RFT group but increased for the PC group. Findings offer preliminary support for the effectiveness of RFT, and indicate that RFT impacts significantly upon clients' clinical status and religious coping. Further research is recommended to determine the efficacy of RFT.

Acknowledgements

As with most endeavours in life, many people contribute to their accomplishment. So it is with this thesis, and I would like to acknowledge those who have helped me reach the finish line. Firstly, I would like to thank Dr Dave Clarke. As my university supervisor he was communicative and careful. I appreciate his willingness to supervise research in an area of psychology which is currently relatively obscure in New Zealand. Dr Peter Keegan has also made a selfless contribution to my work which I have greatly appreciated.

Dr Diane Divett, the founder of Refocussing Therapy (RFT), has been a source of help, encouragement, and above all a tremendous role model as a researcher, a therapist, a pastor and a person. I would also like to acknowledge the RFT team, in particular, Diane Spain and Christine Jenkins, whose therapeutic skills have been wonderful to observe.

I have greatly appreciated the friendship and support of Sarah Calvert who researched RFT alongside me this year. Her happy disposition and bright sparkling mind have spurred me on in my research, adding to its value in the process. I would like to thank the many anonymous pastors, therapists, and participants who took the time to distribute and answer my questionnaires. Without you it could never have happened. I hope the process will benefit your own pastoral care and therapy in the future.

My husband Glen and my children Jeshua, Sam and Gracie have cheerfully endured yet another year with limited finances in order to enable me to study. My parents, Alison and Stuart Chambers, have gone above and beyond the duties of

parenthood in their efforts to practically support me through the demands of study. I realise that I am fortunate to have such love and encouragement from these wonderful people who are nearest and dearest to me.

Finally, I would be amiss not to mention God in my gratitude. He originally started me on this journey. Through dreams, words and surprising coincidences, I have found myself in a place I never expected to be. I have thoroughly enjoyed (though at times with trepidation!) collaborating with him in his attempts to enlarge my life and my sphere of influence.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures.....	xii
Forward.....	xiii
 Chapter One: Introduction	
Overview	1
Rationale for Research.....	1
Current Interest Internationally in the Mental Health Benefits of Religion	2
Defining Spirituality and Religion.....	5
Summary.....	7
 Chapter Two: Background for Religion and Psychology Integration	
Overview.....	8
Historical Background of the Religion and Psychology Convergence.....	8
The Religion and Health Connection.....	13
Summary.....	16

Chapter Three: How is Religion Health-Promoting?

Overview.....	17
How does Religion Impact upon a Person’s Psyche?.....	17
God and Attachment Theory.....	19
God and Coping Methods.....	22
Intrinsic and Extrinsic Religiosity.....	27
Quantum Change.....	28
Summary.....	30

Chapter Four: Implications of Religion for Psychological Treatment

Overview.....	31
How does Religion Impact upon Psychotherapy?.....	31
Help-Seeking by Religious People.....	33
Existing Psychological Interventions Integrating Religion.....	35
Summary.....	39

Chapter Five: Refocussing Theory and Therapy

Overview.....	41
Development and Description of Refocussing Theory and Therapy.....	41
Refocussing Needs-Based Theory.....	43
God Spaces.....	44
The Seven Foci.....	50
Clean Language.....	52

The Case of Rhona.....	54
Homework.....	57
RFT Research Background.....	57
Summary.....	60

Chapter Six: Measuring Therapy Outcomes

Overview.....	61
The Role and Rationale of an Efficacy Study.....	61
Common Therapy Factors.....	63
Therapist Variables.....	64
Client Variables.....	65
The Role and Measurement of Religious Commitment.....	70
The Role and Measurement of Clinical Change	71
The Role and Measurement of Religious Coping	71
Pastoral Care as a Comparison Group.....	73
Summary.....	75
Hypotheses for this Research.....	76

Chapter Seven: Method

Overview.....	77
Participants.....	77
Measures.....	78
Procedure.....	84
Data Analysis.....	87
Summary.....	89

Chapter Eight: Results

Overview.....	90
Impact of Factors within Therapy.....	90
Properties and Interrelations of RCI-10, TOP v 4.1, and RCOPE	
Outcomes.....	104
Correlations between TOP v 4.1, and RCOPE Scores.....	107
Summary.....	112

Chapter Nine: Discussion

Overview.....	113
Summary of Findings.....	114
General Findings	123
Strengths of the Present Study.....	128
Limitations of the Present Study.....	129
Implications for Clinical Practice.....	131
Recommendations for Future Research.....	134

Conclusion	137
-------------------------	-----

References	139
-------------------------	-----

Appendices

Appendix A: Front Page of the First Questionnaire: Demographic Questions and the RCI-10	165
Appendix B: TOP v 4.1.....	167
Appendix C: RCOPE.....	170

Appendix D: Pre-Therapy and Post-Therapy Information Sheet for Participants.....	173
Appendix E: Informative Letter to Pastors and Ministers.....	178
Appendix F: Information Letter for Therapists, Pastors and Ministers.....	181
Appendix G: Front Page of the Second Questionnaire.....	183
Appendix H: God Space Questionnaire.....	185

List of Tables

Table 1: Sample Characteristics and Differences between Groups.....	188
Table 2: Cronbach's Alpha Statistics for the RCOPE and TOP v 4.1 Scales.....	189
Table 3: Differences between RFT Participant Characteristics and TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE Total Scores.....	190
Table 4: Differences between PC Participant Characteristics and TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE Total Scores.....	191
Table 5: Chi Square Differences between Participant Characteristics.....	192
Table 6: Correlations between Participant Characteristics and Pre-Therapy TOP v 4.1 Scores	193
Table 7: Correlations between Participant Characteristics and Post-Therapy TOP v 4.1 Scores	194
Table 8: Correlations between Participant Characteristics and Pre-Therapy RCOPE Scores	195
Table 9: Correlations between Participant Characteristics and Post-Therapy RCOPE Scores	196
Table 10: Correlations between Participant Characteristics	197
Table 11: Stated Presenting Problems of RFT Participants Scores	198
Table 12: Helpfulness of Therapy.....	199
Table 13: Participants Perceptions of Helpful Aspects of RFT and PC	200

Table 14: Mann-Whitney U Test for Differences between RFT and PC in TOP v 4.1 Scores	201
Table 15: Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test for Changes in TOP v 4.1 Scores	202
Table 16: Differences in TOP v 4.1 Changes from Pre to Post Therapy for RFT and PC.....	203
Table 17: Mann-Whitney U Test for Differences between RFT and PC in RCOPE Scores	204
Table 18: Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test for Changes in RCOPE Scores	205
Table 19: Differences in RCOPE Changes between RFT and PC.....	206
Table 20: Spearman's rho Correlations between Pre-Therapy TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE Scores.....	207
Table 21: Spearman's rho Correlations between Post-Therapy TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE Scores.....	208
Table 22: Spearman's rho Correlations between TOP v 4.1 and RCOPE changes..	209

List of Figures

Figure 1: Relationships between Attachment Style, Religious Coping Style and Mental Health.....	211
Figure 2: Proposed Pathway between Secure Attachment to God and Mental Health Outcomes.....	212
Figure 3: Refocussing Therapy Model (Derived from Divett, 2005, and Calvert, 2005).....	213
Figure 4: Graph Showing Change Occurring from Pre- to Post-Therapy for RFT and PC.....	214
Figure 5: Proposed Religious Coping Pathways.....	215

Forward

As Refocussing Therapy (RFT) is a relatively new therapy approach, this thesis begins with an overview of the general sociological and psychological context within which it has evolved. Issues influencing both the development of the religion-psychology integration and the consequences of this integration for psychological treatment will be discussed in *chapters one to four*. A comprehensive description of the RFT method will be outlined in *chapter five*. *Chapter six* considers methodological issues related to this study, *chapter seven* outlines the methodology used. *Chapter eight* presents the results of this study, including data analysis of: the demographic and characteristic differences among participants; participants' reported experience of therapy; the changes occurring post-therapy on the TOP v 4.1 and the RCOPE; and of correlations between the scores on these scales as well as on the RCI-10. Finally, *chapter nine* discusses the findings including strengths, limitations, complexities, and implications for both clinical practice and future research.

This thesis therefore will provide a synopsis of the background and context for the development of RFT, a critique of current psychological theory relating to RFT, a description of RFT, a rationale for the research methodology employed in this study, an outline of the results attained, and a discussion regarding the implications of these results.

Chapter One:

Introduction

*“Spiritually oriented psychotherapy is coming of age
as a speciality area of psychotherapy.”*

(Sperry & Shafranske, 2005, p. 4)

Overview

This chapter examines the rationale for the present study, outlines the current interest in the mental health benefits of religion, and considers the contemporary psychological understanding of the concepts of spirituality and religion.

Rationale for Research

Research increasingly points to the therapeutic relevance of individuals’ religious and spiritual perspectives, behaviours, and experiences. Religion and spirituality shape clients’ worldviews and significantly impact upon their mental health, physical health, and general wellbeing. Worldwide, religion holds meaning and influence for millions of people. It should come as no surprise then that religion is now an area of psychological attention in many universities. Yet despite burgeoning interest regarding the benefits of incorporating spirituality into therapy, very few therapy models have been developed to specifically tap into this resource. While the major theoretical orientations may have components which are sympathetic to a spiritual worldview, none are explicitly aligned with it.

Refocussing Therapy (RFT) is a God-centred theory and therapy approach developed by New Zealander Diane Divett over the last ten years. It has been described as “a remarkable contribution which has the potential to be very influential to the practice of counselling internationally” (Steven, 2005). The potential of this therapy model requires evaluation in order to ascertain its actual effectiveness. This thesis seeks to add to the small but growing body of RFT research by investigating the therapy model’s effectiveness.

Current Interest in the Mental Health Benefits of Religion

Spirituality and religion are once again being acknowledged within the mental health profession. “The alienation that has existed between the mental health professions and religion for most of the 20th century is ending” (Richards & Bergin, 2000, p. 3). Religious experience, having been ignored by science and marginalized by theorists, is now being discovered to be a robust clinical variable, and consequently a variable worthy of investigation and integration into psychological treatments (George, Ellison, & Larson, 2002; Larson & Larson, 2003; Pargament, 2002a, 2002b; Powell, Shahabi, & Thoresen, 2003). A substantial body of literature now exists connecting religion and spirituality to physical health (George et al., 2002; Laubmeier, Zakowski, & Bair, 2004; Powell et al., 2003; Rippentrop, 2004; Seeman, Dubin, & Seeman, 2003; Thoresen, Oman, & Harris, 2005), mental health (Bonner, Koven, & Patrick, 2003; Corrigan, McCorkle, Schell, & Kidder, 2003; Koenig & Larson, 2001; Plante & Sharma, 2001; Whitcomb, 2003; Yangarber-Hicks, 2004) and general well-being (Bremer, Simone, Walsh, Simmons, & Felgoise, 2004; Ferriss, 2002; Francis, Robbins, & White, 2003; Walsh, Bremer,

Felgoise, & Simmons, 2003; Wigert, 2002). The role of religiosity in physical and mental health and in the psychology of coping has in very recent times been addressed in every major medical, psychiatric, psychological and behavioural medicine journal (Baumeister, 2002; Emmons, 1999; Miller & Thoresen, 2003a; Mills, 2002; Sperry, 2000). Whereas only a decade ago university libraries were bereft of books addressing spirituality in clinical practice (Sperry & Shafranske, 2005), there are now many to choose from (e.g., Frame, 2003; Miller, 2003; Miller, 1999; Miller & Delaney, 2005b; Richards & Bergin, 2005, 2000; Sperry, 2001, 2005).

Many factors have contributed to the renaissance of spirituality within psychology. The emergence of positive psychology in recent years has seen a focus on strengths alongside vulnerability and psychopathology in clinical practice (Kogan, 2001). The strengths of a person's religion and spirituality have been the sine qua non of much recent research. The resources found therein have been shown to have real significance for people's mental health and well-being (Braam et al., 2004; Cacioppo & Brandon, 2002; Ferriss, 2002; Pargament, Koenig, Tarakeshwar, & Hahn, 2004; Patrick & Kinney, 2003; Pearce, Little, & Perez, 2003; Seeman et al., 2003; Vitale, 2002). As well, there has been a widespread sociological shift within the Western cultural paradigm. Prominent sociologists Bauman (2000; 2001) and Giddens (1999) depict the modern impact of detraditionalisation, individualisation, globalisation, and secularisation as having contributed to the creation of a social climate devoid of coherence and meaning. It seems that the materialistic philosophy of the 1980's and 1990's has experienced an insurgence by many in developed countries who are now searching for meaning beyond acquiring money and possessions. In American Gallup polls between 1994 and 1998 the

number of people indicating that they had a personal need for spiritual growth increased by 24% (as cited in Miller & Thoresen, 2003b). Issues of spirituality have become increasingly cogent in this current milieu.

The growing re-awareness of the potential benefits of spirituality is beginning to impact upon models of psychotherapy. Within New Zealand, Maori have become politically and socially active in rediscovering their spiritual traditions (Durie, 1995). This revival of spirituality has also revived a sense of well-being and identity among Maori and has set a role-model for the greater valuing of spirituality among other New Zealand populations (Saunders, 2001). Spirituality is a cultural reality. Of the 3,880,481 people recorded in the 2001 New Zealand census (New Zealand Statistics, 2001), over half (2,029,053) described themselves as Christians, just over a quarter (1,021,908) described themselves as non-religious, and the remainder were depicted as being from a variety of other religions. Furthermore, a New Zealand Herald poll of 1000 people in 2005 found 68% believed in God (Harvey, 2005). Thus, religious and spiritual concerns may have relevance in psychotherapy for a significant proportion of the New Zealand population.

In the USA, acknowledgement and recognition of a client's religious beliefs and history is no longer merely desirable but is mandated. The American Psychological Association (1992) and the American Psychiatric Association (1995) practice guidelines call for an assessment of clients' religious beliefs and background prior to any form of psychotherapeutic intervention. The New Zealand Code of Ethics also encourages sensitivity to diversity (New Zealand Psychological Society, 1986). This includes responding to the cultural and social backgrounds of clients in ways which will ensure

“competent and culturally safe service” (Principle 1.4.1.) and referring clients to appropriate services when their concerns are beyond the psychologist’s expertise (Principle 2.1.6.). As a number of presenting problems may include religious or spiritual concerns, particularly for highly religious clients, the interaction between both psychological practice and theological praxis may be necessary. Guiding ethics generally now advocate for the inclusion of religion in psychotherapy when it is salient for the client (Eck, 2002), and many other academics are advocating for the assessment of spirituality to be included in a client’s initial assessment (e.g., Brennan & Heiser, 2004; Fallot, 2001; Gordon & Mitchell, 2004; Hodge, 2005; Miller & Thoresen, 2003a; Moncher & Josephson, 2004; Sexson, 2004; Tse, Lloyd, & Petchkovsky, 2005; Zaccariello, 2004).

Defining Spirituality and Religion

The terms *spirituality* and *religion* have proven chameleonic over the years. Whereas they seem to have been used interchangeably in literature at the beginning of the twentieth century, at the beginning of the twenty first century they have become differentiated (Sperry & Shafranske, 2005), although there is a lack of consensus as to their rudimentary definitions. The word ‘religion’ derives from the Latin word ‘religare’ meaning ‘to link’, to make connection with God (Rizzuto, 2005). Over time, religion has generally come to be seen as a means of expressing spirituality primarily through institutionalised beliefs and behaviours (Fontana, 2003). Spirituality has generally taken on the more munificent meaning, representing personal, private beliefs and behaviours

that reflect the human need for transcendence and connectedness (Miller & Thoresen, 2003a; Myers, 2000; Seybold & Hill, 2001).

Pargament (1997), defines religion as “a search for significance in ways related to the sacred” (p. 32), the sacred being those things that are holy, including ideas such as God and the transcendent, or objects closely related to these. Pargament argues that the differentiation between spirituality and religion has caused an unhelpful dichotomy between the two terms (Hill & Pargament, 2003a). In his definition, spirituality and religion are intimately connected. Nonetheless, it seems to be commonly perceived among academics that spirituality and religion are distinct but overlapping constructs (Larson, Swyers, & McCullough, 1998). A search for the sacred may be attempted through religious observances, but religious observances are not always a search for the sacred.

Allport and Ross (1967) differentiated two types of religious orientation – intrinsic and extrinsic. Intrinsic religion was defined as internalised faith which relates to personal expressions of religion, in other words, the more spiritual aspects. Extrinsic religion is not internalised and is only pursued for its external benefits, such as social support. As such, it generally relates to the more institutionalised expressions of religion. Allport (1960) describes extrinsically motivated persons as using their religion and intrinsically motivated persons as living their religion. The majority of research on intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity seems to regard the two concepts as polarised - existing at either end of a continuum. However, individuals may be neither intrinsically nor extrinsically motivated, or conversely, they may be both at once (Wenger & Yarbrough, 2005). Recent studies indicate that it is religiosity (intrinsic/extrinsic) rather than

spirituality (intrinsic) alone or religion (extrinsic) alone which seems to have the greatest impact upon well-being (Baetz, Larson, Marcoux, Bowen, & Griffin, 2002; Ball, Armistead, & Austin, 2003; Carothers, Borkowski, & Lefever, 2005; Milevsky & Levitt, 2004; Strawbridge, Shema, Cohen, & Kaplan, 2001). For example, Baetz and colleagues' study of 88 Canadian mental health patients identified that frequency of worship attendance alongside the use of religion as a coping resource, and intrinsic religiousness, were the combined factors of religious commitment that impacted upon treatment outcomes. For the purposes of this study the term religion will be used in its broadest sense, including both institutionalised religious observances and personal expressions.

Summary

There is a growing and significant interest in religion and spirituality within psychological academia. The present study is guided by the rationale that God-centred psychotherapy models are both required and reasonable. The aim of the present study is to investigate such a model, Refocussing (RFT), regarding its effectiveness and to explore some of the unique characteristics which may contribute to its effectiveness.