

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A PHYSIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION
OF THE
ADAPTIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF JUVENILITY
IN
Pennantia corymbosa Forst.


A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Botany

at Massey University

David Grant Hollows

1978



Mature Specimen of Pennantia corymbosa Forst.

ABSTRACT

The responses of the juvenile and adult growth forms of Pennantia corymbosa Forst. to a range of light intensities, leaf temperatures, shoot water potentials and wind velocities were investigated.

Results tend to indicate that the small-leafed divaricating juvenile is better adapted to open habitats than the adult. Responses to light intensity were similar for both growth forms. Measurements of photosynthetic rates at various light intensities after pretreatment at low and high irradiances revealed little difference in response between juvenile and adult, with both showing a similar increase in photosynthetic rates and light saturation points after the pretreatment light intensity was increased. Granal stacking in chloroplasts from juvenile and adult leaf palisade was reduced after growth at the higher pretreatment light intensity to the same extent in juveniles and adults. Solarization, despite the presence of a hypodermis, was greater in the adult, while the activity of Ribulose -1,5- diphosphate carboxylase was greater in the juvenile.

The indication that the juvenile is better adapted to open habitats is also supported by the results of experiments into the response of photosynthetic rates to a range of temperatures. The data revealed a higher mean temperature optimum for the juvenile than for the adult leaves (21°C c.f. 18°C).

The hypothesis that the juvenile might be better adapted to edaphic water stress was tested by withholding water for 14 days and measuring the rates of photosynthesis and transpiration as shoot water potential decreased. Rates of photosynthesis and transpiration declined in both juvenile and adult leaves as shoot water potential decreased. However,

the juvenile was able to maintain a higher rate of photosynthesis at comparable low water potentials than the adult which indicates that the juvenile is the more drought tolerant of the two.

Leaves of the juvenile also retain water better than those of the adult under moderately windy conditions. When plants were grown in a wind tunnel at wind speeds of up to 12 m sec^{-1} stomatal closure (as measured using a leaf diffusion resistance meter) occurred at lower wind speeds in the juvenile than the adult leaves.

The results obtained during this investigation thus support the hypothesis that the small-leaved divaricating juvenile of Pennantia is better adapted to a dry, exposed habitat than is the large-leaved orthotropic adult.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The guidance of Professor R. G. Thomas of the Botany and Zoology Department is gratefully acknowledged. Appreciation is expressed to the staff of the Plant Physiology Division, D.S.I.R. for their helpful discussion and assistance. In particular, Dr. H. G. McPherson for the use of the leaf chamber and Dr. W. Laing for assistance with enzyme assays. D. Hopcroft of the Electron Microscopy Unit, D.S.I.R. is thanked for his technical aid.

The facilities for this study were provided by the Plant Physiology Division, D.S.I.R. and the Botany and Zoology Department, Massey University. The pressure bomb was on loan from the Agronomy Department, Massey University.

Finally I thank Ms Karen Walker for typing this thesis.

"Wind is a most important factor in New Zealand"

Leonard Cockayne (1911)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
CHAPTER 1 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
CHAPTER 2 <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>	7
2:1 Choice and Description of Experimental Material	7
2:2 Growing Conditions and Experimental Design	10
2:3 Description of Leaf Chamber and Method Used to Estimate Photosynthetic and Transpiration Rates	14
2:4 Description of Wind Tunnel	23
CHAPTER 3 <u>ADAPTATION TO LIGHT INTENSITY</u>	26
3:1 Effect of Low and High Light Intensity Pretreatments on Photosynthetic Activity in Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	26
3:1:1 Introduction	26
3:1:2 Materials and Methods	27
3:1:3 Results	27
3:2 Effect of Low and High Light Intensity Pretreatments on Chloroplast Ultrastructure	34
3:2:1 Introduction	34
3:2:2 Materials and Methods	34
3:2:3 Results	35
3:3 Effect of Low and High Light Intensity Pretreatments on Leaf Anatomy	40
3:3:1 Introduction	40
3:3:2 Materials and Methods	41

	<u>Page</u>
3:3:3	Results 41
3:4	Effect of Low and High Light Intensity Pretreatments on Total Chlorophyll Contents 50
3:4:1	Introduction 50
3:4:2	Materials and Methods 51
3:4:3	Results 51
3:5	Ribulose -1,5- diphosphate (RuDP) carboxy- lase Activity in Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u> 52
3:5:1	Introduction 52
3:5:2	Materials and Methods 53
3:5:3	Results 53
3:6	Discussion 56
CHAPTER 4	<u>EFFECT OF LEAF TEMPERATURE ON PHOTOSYNTHETIC ACTIVITY IN JUVENILE AND ADULT Pennantia</u> 59
4:1	Introduction 59
4:2	Materials and Methods 61
4:3	Results 61
4:4	Discussion 66
CHAPTER 5	<u>EFFECT OF DECREASING SHOOT WATER POTENTIAL ON PHOTOSYNTHETIC AND TRANSPIRATION RATES IN JUVENILE AND ADULT Pennantia</u> 69
5:1	Introduction 69
5:1:1	Techniques for Measuring Water Stress 71
5:2	Materials and Methods 72
5:3	Results 73
5:4	Discussion 78
CHAPTER 6	<u>EFFECT OF WIND ON TRANSPIRATION RATES AND LEAF DIFFUSION RESISTANCES IN JUVENILE AND ADULT Pennantia</u> 82
6:1	Introduction 82
6:2	Materials and Methods 84

	<u>Page</u>
6:2:1 Wind Tunnel Investigations	84
6:2:2 Examination of the Stomatal Anatomy and Determination of Stomatal Frequency	87
6:3 Results	88
6:4 Discussion	91
CHAPTER 7 <u>CONCLUSION</u>	103
GLOSSARY	109
BIBLIOGRAPHY	111

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Mature Specimen of <u>Pennantia Corymbosa</u> Forst.	i
2	Growth Habits of Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	9
3	Comparison of Leaf Sizes of Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	9
4	Diagram of the Systems Controlling the Leaf Chamber Environment	17
5	The Leaf Chamber	19
6	Diagram of the Leaf Chamber Data Acquisition System	22
7	End-On View of Wind Tunnel	25
8	Effect of Light Intensity on Rate of Photosynthesis for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	29
9	Chloroplast from Juvenile "Shade" Leaf	37
10	Chloroplast from Adult "Shade" Leaf	37
11	Chloroplast from Juvenile "Sun" Leaf	39
12	Chloroplast from Adult "Sun" Leaf	39
13	Transverse Section of Juvenile "Shade" Leaf	43
14	Transverse Section of Adult "Shade" Leaf	43
15	Transverse Section of Juvenile "Sun" Leaf	45
16	Transverse Section of Adult "Sun" Leaf	45
17	Adaxial Region of Juvenile "Shade" Leaf	47
18	Adaxial Region of Adult "Shade" Leaf	47
19	Adaxial Region of Juvenile "Sun" Leaf	49
20	Adaxial Region of Adult "Sun" Leaf	49
21	Effect of Leaf Temperature on the Rate of Photosynthesis for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	63
22	Relationship between Photosynthesis and Temperature for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u> Expressed as a Percentage of Net Photosynthesis at 20°C	65

<u>Number</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
23	Effect of Shoot Water Potential on Transpiration Rates for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	75
24	Effect of Shoot Water Potential on the Rate of Photosynthesis for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	77
25	Effect of Shoot Water Potential on Water Use Efficiency for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	80
26	Effect of Wind Velocity on Transpiration Rate for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	90
27	Effect of Wind Velocity on Night Transpiration Rate for Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u>	93
28	Effect of Wind Velocity on Leaf Diffusion Resistance in Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u> After 15 minutes Exposure	95
29	Effect of Wind Velocity on Leaf Diffusion Resistance in Juvenile and Adult <u>Pennantia</u> after 45 minutes Exposure	97
30	Scanning Electron Micrograph of a Surface View of a Closed Stoma on the Abaxial Epidermis of a <u>Pennantia</u> Leaf	99
31	Scanning Electron Micrograph of a Transverse Section of a Closed Stoma of <u>Pennantia</u>	99

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
I	Experiments in Group A	11
II	Experiments in Group B	12
III	Fresh and dry weights per unit leaf area for "Shade" and "Sun" leaves of juvenile and adult <u>Pennantia</u> .	31
IV	Mean net photosynthetic rates of shoots of juvenile and adult <u>Pennantia</u> at an irradiance of 80 μ Einstein $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$ PHAR and a leaf temperature of 20°C expressed on the basis of leaf area, dry weight and chlorophyll content.	32
V	Total chlorophyll contents as influenced by pretreatment light intensities.	33
VI	RuDP carboxylase activities for juvenile and adult <u>Pennantia</u> leaves	54

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A feature of the New Zealand flora is the prevalence of small-leaved (leptophyllous* and nanophyllous*) species with semi-divaricating and divaricating* habits. According to Greenwood and Atkinson (1977) there are 54 species from sixteen families that have a more or less divaricating growth habit, which constitutes approximately ten percent of the indigenous woody flora.

That the proportion of divaricating nanophylls in the New Zealand flora is atypically high is suggested by the paucity of recordings of like plants in other floras. However, the growth habit is not unique to New Zealand as divaricating plants do occur elsewhere, particularly in xeric environments.

Carlquist (1965) has noted the presence in Madagascar of a plant (Decaryia madagascariensis) with divaricating, thorny branches, while Bartlett and Bartlett (1976) have recorded a thorny divaricating shrub (Candalia microphylla) from Patagonia. Tucker (1974) lists 53 divaricating species from the species from the desert and chaparral communities of California and Arizona, though in these cases leaf size is much larger than that of most New Zealand divaricating species. Thus it is apparent that nowhere else are small-leaved divaricating plants such a large proportion of the woody flora.

The large number of distantly related plant families that contain divaricating species indicate the existence of strong selection pressures in favour of divarification at some stage during the evolutionary history of the New Zealand flora. The New Zealand flora has been geographically isolated since the Cretaceous (Fleming, 1962) and possibly the Permian (Darlington, 1965) thus giving ample time for selection pressures to operate.

- - - - -

*See Glossary for definition

The occurrence of a large number of woody plants which exhibit heteroblastic development* is another feature of the indigenous flora, the life cycles of which have long been familiar to botanists (Hooker 1853, Kirk 1878). Eleven of the heteroblastic species have divaricating juveniles. These belong to nine genera in eight unrelated families (Philipson 1963).

Rumball (1961) conducted a survey of the distribution of heteroblastism by country from which it appears that, although the survey was not complete, the incidence of heteroblastism, like divarication, is atypically high in the New Zealand flora. Rumball (1961) reported two interesting Australian species, Flindersia maculosa and Eremocitrus glauca both from the Rutaceae, that are thorny xerophytic shrubs while juvenile and develop into the tree form later. The habit of Flindersia maculosa, leafy and slender at the top but bearing a mass of tangled branches and very few leaves at the base, is very similar to Eleocarpus hookerianus, an indigenous species with a divaricating juvenile. The presence of heteroblastic species with microphyllous+ non-divaricating juveniles in the Réunion, Maurice and Rodrigues group of islands has been reported by Friedmann and Cadet (1976). Unfortunately the proportion of species with microphyllous juveniles is not indicated.

A characteristic of heteroblastic development in indigenous species is the length of duration of the juvenile stage, which may last up to 60 years as in the case of the divaricating juvenile of Eleocarpus hookerianus (Bulmer 1958). It appears that there has been strong selection for an attenuation of the developmental processes that result in the adult plant. Thus it is again evident that there has been strong selection pressure in favour of the divaricating growth habit. The question then arises as to the nature of the selection pressure and therefore the type of environment to which divarication and juvenility is an adaptation.

+ Technically nanophyllous

* See Glossary for definition

Juvenility in the New Zealand flora (and as a consequence divarication) was first studied experimentally by Leonard Cockayne (1899, 1900, 1901) who raised seedlings of many indigenous plants. Cockayne (1929) in *FLORA AND VEGETATION OF NEW ZEALAND* states that in 106 cases considered the juvenile is the more mesophytic* and in seventeen cases the more xerophytic* plant when compared to the adult. Cockayne included the eleven species of divaricating juveniles in the xerophytic category. Cockayne (1911) deduced that divaricating shrubs are xerophytes because:

"The ecological factors governing this growth-form appear to be wind, in the first place, and then various other xerophytic stimuli, of which soil must play an important part."

Leonard Cockayne (1911) did not consider the present day New Zealand climate to differ from others to a degree that would allow for the evolution of the divaricating growth habit to such a unique extent. Cockayne considered that the divaricating habit is a xeromorphic growth form resulting from adaptation to "steppe climates" that he hypothesized occurred during the Pleistocene when conditions were cooler and drier than at present.

Rattenbury (1962) supported Cockayne's hypothesis of divarication as an adaptation to a harsh Pleistocene climate. However, he considered that cold climatic conditions resulting in low soil temperature which would retard root absorption combined with strong winds, rather than low soil moisture, could have led to the evolution of divarication during the Pleistocene.

Wardle (1963) considers that the concentration of divaricating, small-leafed, species in forest and mesic scrub communities does not support Cockayne's hypothesis. Instead he suggests that divaricating juvenile forms are adapted to present day fairly dry forest environments, and that their xeromorphy enables them to survive on drier sites while the development of adult foliage is related to the development of larger root systems.

- - - - -

* See Glossary for definition

Dansereau (1964), while discussing the prevalence of leptohyllous species in the indigenous flora, found it difficult to see the ecological significance of having small leaves with regard to the present climate, and considered such forms to be non-disadvantageous at the present but advantageous at some time during the past.

Denny (1964) from her studies on the habit heteroblastism of Sophora microphylla found that dryness and long days bring about a phenotypic response which results in more divarication, that genotypic populations have developed in areas where the environment is dry. Furthermore where the plants are from a shaded or damp habitat there is little divarication. The presence of two species of Sophora that show marked divarication (along with many other divaricating species) in Canterbury and Central Otago which has the driest climate in New Zealand combined with dry "Northwesters" suggested to Denny that divaricating plants are adapted to fairly dry continental-type climates which still exist.

Went (1971) suggested a non-adaptative explanation. He proposed that a particular chromosome segment carrying the genes controlling divarication was transferred asexually between families, perhaps by an insect vector.

Evidence that small-leafed juveniles (although not associated with divarication in this instance) are an adaptation to edaphic water stress is presented by Friedmann and Cadet (1976) who conducted a survey of the geographical distribution of heterophyllous species in the Réunion, Maurice and Rodrigues Islands. There was found to be a significant relation between the occurrence of heterophylly and the xeric condition of the environment to which it was concluded microphyllly is an adaptation.

Several workers have suggested that divarication could possibly be an adaptation against browsing by moas. This theory has been recently discussed by Greenwood and Atkinson (1977) in some depth. However, the theory must remain speculative due to the difficulty of investigating such an hypothesis experimentally.

The anatomy and physiology of divaricating plants, and in the case of divaricating juveniles the corresponding adults, has been investigated over the last 50 years or so, with the aim of determining the habitat to which the divaricating growth habit is an adaptation.

Fitzgerald (1923 quoted by Rumball 1961) claimed that the juvenile leaves of Paratrophis microphylla, Plagianthus betulinus and Pennantia corymbosa are more xeromorphic both internally and externally than those of the adult. The criteria for xeromorphy used by Fitzgerald are not known as they were not mentioned by Rumball and the original thesis is not available.

Johnston (1948) compared the anatomy of juvenile and adult leaves of Carpodetus serratus taken from shaded and open habitats. Using the criteria for xeromorphy described by Maximov (1928) (i.e., smaller and thicker leaves, closer venation, increased stomatal density and strongly developed palisade mesophyll) he found that the adult "shade" leaves were more xeromorphic than the juvenile "shade" leaves, while the reverse was found for the juvenile and adult "sun" leaves. However, adult "shade" leaves were sampled from the forest margin and juvenile "shade" leaves from the forest floor, thus it is possible that the degree of xeromorphy in adult "shade" leaves was due to differences in humidity. Therefore it is only valid to compare "sun" leaves in which the juvenile is more xeromorphic. Johnston also found that the transpiration rate in "sun" leaves was greater for the juvenile than the adult, which Maximov (1928) describes as a xeromorphic characteristic. Johnston noted that the differences in the anatomy and physiology of "sun" and "shade" leaves of Carpodetus were similar to the differences in juvenile and adult leaves. Furthermore, he concluded that the juvenile leaves were more plastic, reacting more completely when exposed to similar environmental conditions as the adult.

Keen (1970) investigated the anatomy and physiology of small and large-leaved plants in the genera Coprosma, Melicope and Plagianthus. Leaf anatomy studies showed that all the small-leaved plants are xeromorphic, while the large-leaved plants are typical mesomorphs. From elementary physiological

studies Keen concluded that the small-leafed species are not necessarily more drought resistant than the large, but are better adapted to grow under conditions of physiological drought, mainly because of a reduced internal resistance to water movement, more efficient heat exchange processes and a greater resistance to wilting.

It is evident that further research on heteroblastic species is needed to determine the adaptive significance of divarification and juvenility in the indigenous flora. By selecting a divaricating juvenile it is possible to make a comparative investigation between divaricating and non-divaricating plants of the same species, thereby minimizing genetic differences and concentrating on developmental changes.

To gain information on the habitat to which a plant species or ecotype is adapted it is possible to monitor the response to a range of combinations of environmental parameters. Physiological changes are an accurate indication of the effect that a given set of parameters is having on the plant because of the rapidity of functional responses to changes in environment. Therefore the emphasis in this investigation is physiological, with some anatomical and biochemical work where considered appropriate.

The responses of rates of photosynthesis and transpiration to changes in factors of the environment are easier to interpret than the responses of other plant characteristics. Such responses have a definite application to describing the adaptation of plants to their environment, (Jarvis 1969). Thus investigations into the effects of a range of defined environments on the rates of photosynthesis and/or transpiration have been undertaken to test for physiological differences between divaricating juvenile and non-divaricating adult plants.