Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Reconceptualising Toddler Aggression

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Education at

Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

**Christopher Maurice Orange** 

### ABSTRACT

This study used sorting techniques and Multidimensional Scaling analyses (MDS) to interrogate the comprehensiveness of a toddler aggression construct - Aggressive Behavior (AGG) - as empirically defined by the Child Behavior Checklist for Two and Three-year-olds (CBCL/2-3). MDS provided a way of unravelling the latent dimensional structures of the checklist item set and creating an inter-item semantic-like map. This map acted as a tool in the summary of patterns in sets of archival CBCL toddler data to reveal latent coherence or dimensional consistency across toddlerhood with specific regard to the aggression or aggressive-like behaviour construct – the main research goal of the present study.

First New Zealand participants (N=70,  $\underline{x}$ =30 years) completed four independent semantic-like matching tasks by sorting CBCL/2-3 checklist items according to their "face value". MDS algorithms transformed individual data into a map and hierarchical trees (h-trees) showing inter-item proximities. After validation of the map clusters sets of archival CBCL data were represented and interpreted in the 5-dimensional MDS solution (P=5) as vectors using PROFIT analysis. A measure of the stability of the vector components in terms of the amount of common variance captured across 24 to 42 months - of – age, demonstrated better fit than CBCL subscale stability for the first three dimensions. Candidates for dimensional stability across toddlerhood indicated by the MDS analyses and map were suggested.

Replication of the toddler map, the second objective, involved creating an expanded item set that included items from the CBCL/4-18. The new Combined item set was then sorted following identical procedures by a different group (N=49, x=30). PROFIT analyses of archival 4-18 data on the rotated Combined configuration was compared with stability of Achenbach's CBCL/4-18 subscales between 60-months- and 72-months-of-age but correlations were no better than chance. Additional analyses were undertaken that revealed the archival CBCL/4-18 items had little variance when interpreted in the MDS solution.

This study succeeded in identifying alternative candidates for continuity of aggressive like behaviour across toddlerhood in patterns in raw CBCL data that may contribute to the reported CBCL/2-3 Aggressive Behavior construct stability. Three alternative constructs are suggested: a construct which features high frustration, anger and resistance to control - believed to interact with punitive or restrictive parenting practices, and central in theories of the development of coercive parent-child relationships; a construct which appears to index insecure attachment styles; and a construct reflecting toddlers' developing ability to control their attention and behaviour.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to my supervisor John Kirkland for all his support, advice and tremendous inspiration in thinking about the way we learn. I am also especially grateful to David Bimler for his assistance in the analysis. Also thanks go to Daniel Shaw for providing the archival data.

A special thanks goes to Massey staff, especially the administration at the College of Education. A big Thank You goes to Toni Floyd for the formatting assistance. The help of distance library administration as well as the Library staff at Albany was especially important for me as a distance student.

To the participants, especially parents at Herne Bay and Swanson Playcenters, and my neighbours at Earthsong Eco-village, the SES workers and students who contributed their valuable time and without whom this study would have been impossible, I owe great thanks.

Appreciation also goes to my colleagues at the University of Waikato LI who have supported my professional development.

My children, Dominique and Emmanuel, both toddlers at different times during this study, have been an inspiration and I thank them for their patience during the long journey their father had to make towards completion of this work. Both my parents and my parents-in-law have been unfailing in their support and encouragement and willingness to act as sounding boards. Jennifer and Peter, thanks for your help in so many ways.

This work is dedicated to Jose, my wife, whose encouragement, criticism and love and understanding have been central to the success of this work.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Appendices	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	X
CHAPTER ONE: Introduction	1
Problem and aims of research	1
Delimitations	4
Significance of the study	5
Thesis overview	5
CHAPTER TWO: Literature Review	7
Part One: Description of the Child Behavior Checklist	7
The Child Behavior Checklist /4-18 and 2-3 and the Aggressive Behavior Syndrome	
(AGG)	8
Model origins: conceptual categories and quantitative assessment	10
Validation process	11
The Broad-band Scales: a dimensional model of childhood emotional and	
behaviour problems	12
Part Two: Taxonomies of child disruptive and antisocial behaviour	13
The DSM and aggression	13
The CBCL Aggressive Behavior Syndrome (AGG)	16
Part Three: Theoretical concerns	20
Developmental definition of aggression in toddlerhood and early childhood	20
Stability of aggression	25
The CBCL and concepts of stability and continuity	27
Models of the development of child aggression and antisocial behaviour	30

Child characteristics	32
Parental characteristics.	35
Parent-child relationship characteristics.	35
Coercive relationships	36
Attachment	36
Integrative models	38
Parent-temperament interactions	40
Ethological studies	41
Dynamic systems research	42
Part Four: Methodological concerns	43
Concerns with parent report measures	43
Concerns about sample selection	45
Methodological concerns	46
Syndrome derivation	47
Concerns about covariance between scales	48
Comprehensiveness of the CBCL item set	48
Integrating information from multiple informants	52
Threshold setting and scale discriminant validity	53
Concerns with replicability and coherence of the CBCL syndrome model	55
Summary of methodological concerns	56
Part Five: Conceptual overlap with personality structure dimensions	58
Ego-undercontrol/Ego-overcontrol Model	59
Extraversion	60
The Five Factor Model: Agreeableness and Conscientiousness	61
Frustration and Surgency	61
Resistant Temperament	62
Inhibitory Control and Effortful Control.	63
Attachment and temperament	63
Summary of Part Five	64
Summary of Literature Paview	64

CHAPTER THREE: Methodology	66
Research Objectives.	66
Stimuli	67
Participants	67
Ethical considerations	68
Research Procedures.	68
Similarity data	68
Tasks One and Two.	68
Task Three	69
Ranking data	69
Task Four	69
Ratings data	70
Task Five	70
Participants	71
Rationale for use of procedures	71
Analytical method: Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)	73
Rationale for use of MDS	74
Applications	75
Multidimensional Scaling	75
Canonical correlation	76
Limitations	77
Procedures	77
Analytical methods	77
Communication of results	78
Analyses	. 78
Hierarchical clustering and Mapping	79
Vector Analyses	80
Summary	81
CHAPTER FOUR: Results	82
Part One: Multidimensional scaling analysis of the CBCL/2-3 items set	82
Results of Method of Triads (MoT) validation of map structure	
Part Two: Validation of mapgroups and dimensions	89 90

Regression Analysis 1. (MOSS data)	92
Part Three: Integration of archival raw CBCL/2-3 data into the map of the CBCL	
toddler problem domain	94
Regression Analysis 2. (CBCL subscale scores)	95
Part Four: Multidimensional scaling analyses of Combined (CBCL/2-3 and	
CBCL/4-18) item set	97
Part Five: Integration of archival raw CBCL/4-18 data into the map of the	
Combined (CBCL/2-3 and CBCL/4-18) item set.	101
Additional analyses	101
CHAPTER FIVE: Discussion and Concluding Remarks	103
Part One: Discussion of the MDS analysis of the Child Behavior Checklist for 2-	100
and 3-year-olds (CBCL/2-3)	103
Dimension 1	103
Dimension 2	108
Dimension 3	109
Dimension 4.	111
Dimension 5	111
Discussion of Method of Triads (MoT) validation of map structure	111
Part Two: Discussion of the analyses for validation of map clusters	112
Part Three: Discussion of integration of archival raw CBCL/2-3 data into the map of	112
the CBCL toddler problem domain.	113
Section One: Vector Analysis.	113
Section Two: Candidates for independent forms of dimensional	113
consistency across toddlerhood	114
Section Three: Comparison between dimensions and CBCL/2-3 subscales	118
Summary	120
Part Four: Discussion of MDS analysis of the Combined (CBCL/2-3 and CBCL/4-	120
18) item set	121
Part Five: Discussion of integration of archival raw CBCL/4-18 data into the map of	121
the Combined (CBCL/2-3 and CBCL/4-18) item set	125
Concluding Remarks	126
APPENDICES	130
REFERENCES.	162
THE LINE VED	1.02

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Description of the CBCL/2-3 and CBCL/4-18	
	development and specifications	130
Appendix B:	Items forming the CBCL/2-3 Aggressive Behavior Syndrome	
	Scale and the CBCL/4-18 Aggressive Behavior Syndrome Scale	136
Appendix C:	CBCL/2-3 item set	137
Appendix D:	CBCL subset of 39 items for MoT	140
Appendix E:	Combined item set numbering key	141
Appendix F:	Instruction sheet for GOPA sorting and sheet for recording	
	GOPA data	145
Appendix G:	Method of Triads: Sorting instruction sheet and data recording	
	sheet	147
Appendix H:	Sheet for recording Method of Successive Sort (MOSS) data:	
	criterion sort: e.g. aggressive	149
Appendix I:	CBCL/2-3 H-tree.	150
Appendix J:	Mapgroups in the MDS map of the CBCL/2-3 item set and list of	
	items not clustered	151
Appendix K:	MoT results for CBCL/2-3 subset of 39 items	154
Appendix L:	H-tree for the Combined item set	155
Appendix M:	Mapgroups in the MDS solution for the Combined (CBCL/2-3	
	and CBCL/4-18) item set	158

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Child Behavior Checklist/2-3 (CBCL/2-3) multidimensional	
	scaling solution (D=5) (spatial map) view of three-	
	dimensional PLOT configuration (D1-D2 view): Items loading	
	on subscales on the MDS map with subscale identifiers and	
	item numbers	83
Figure 2	CBCL/2-3 MDS map view of three-dimensional PLOT	
	configuration: D1-D2 view with clustered items linked	
	showing abbreviated item descriptors	84
Figure 3	CBCL/2-3 MDS map view of three-dimensional PLOT	
	configuration: D1-D2 view showing numbered and	
	summarized clusters	85
Figure 4	CBCL/2-3 MDS map view of three-dimensional PLOT	
	configuration: D1-D3 view showing numbered and	
	summarized clusters	86
Figure 5	Parent criterion sorts overlaid on CBCL/2-3 MDS map	93
Figure 6	CBCL subscale clusters in the MDS map	96
Figure 7	Combined item set MDS map: D1-D2 view showing	
	numbered and summarized clusters and individual item	
	numbers	97
Figure 8	Combined item set MDS map D1-D3 view showing numbered	
	and summarized clusters	98

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Items loading on the CBCL/2-3 and CBCL/4-18 Aggressive	
	Behavior scales	17
Table 2	Items that are scored in more than one scale on the CBCL/4-18	49
Table 3	Dimension 1	87
Table 4	Dimension 2	88
Table 5	Dimension 3	88
Table 6	Dimension 4	89
Table 7	Dimension 5	89
Table 8	Criterion sorts: results, indicating common items	91
Table 9	Parent Criterion MOSS data: results of regression on MDS	
	coordinates for each of the first 3 dimensions	92
Table 10	Student Criterion MOSS data for 'Aggression' and	
	'Disobedient': results of regression on MDS coordinates for	
	each of the first 3 dimensions	93
Table 11	Canonical Correlation components of CBCL/2-3 vectors at 24-	
	and 42-months	94
Table 12	Canonical Correlation components for the 6 narrow-band	
	CBCL/2-3 subscales at 24- and 42-months	94
Table 13	Results of regression analyses relating CBCL subscale score to	
	dimensions	95
Table 14	Combined item set MDS analysis results: Dimension 1	99
Table 15	Combined item set MDS analysis results: Dimension 2	100
Table 16	Combined item set MDS analysis results: Dimension 2	101
Table 17	Labels for the five dimensions showing suggested CBCL/2-3	
	scale placement	120
Table 18	Comparison of dimensions for the Combined item set with	
	those from the CBCL/2-3, revealed by MDS, with dimensions	
	labelled, Achenbach's subscales (numbered and in brackets) for	
	the purpose of discussion, and mapgroups in	
	parentheses	124