University of Arkansas, Fayetteville ScholarWorks@UARK

Education Report Card

Office for Education Policy

6-27-2018

2017 Pulaski County Education Report Card

Sarah C. McKenzie University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Gary W. Ritter
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, garyr@uark.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/oepcard

Part of the Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons, and the Education Policy Commons

Recommended Citation

McKenzie, Sarah C. and Ritter, Gary W., "2017 Pulaski County Education Report Card" (2018). Education Report Card. 19. http://scholarworks.uark.edu/oepcard/19

This Report Card is brought to you for free and open access by the Office for Education Policy at ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Report Card by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, ccmiddle@uark.edu.



PULASKI COUNTY

2017

EDUCATION REPORT CARD

•••••

University of Arkansas
Office for Education Policy



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There are exciting changes

in how the performance of Arkansas schools is being measured, and the Pulaski County Report Card highlights how students in area schools are performing.

Pulaski County students are demonstrating greater growth in achievement at the Elementary and High School levels than are the students in the state overall, and academic growth for students in Middle level schools is similar to the state average. This is particularly noteworthy since schools in Pulaski County serve students who are more likely to be at risk for not achieving in school than students in the state overall. Pulaski County schools have lower academic achievement, School Quality and Student Success scores, and graduation rates than students in the state overall. Due to lower scores in these areas, Pulaski County schools are less likely to receive an "A" or "B" rating than are other schools across the state.

Schools are assigned **A-F letter grades** to help parents and the public better understand how well a school is performing. This grade is based on an Overall index that is a combination of a Growth score, an Achievement score, and School Quality/ Student Success Indicators.

We think the **Growth Score** is the most important measure of school quality. Growth measures how much individual students at the school increase their scores from year to year, and the Growth Score reflects how well schools are increasing students' learning over time. Using growth as a measure of school success levels the playing field because all students are evaluated by the extent to which they grow from their own starting point; thus, students facing socioeconomic barriers to achievement have the same opportunity for growth as do their peers from advantaged backgrounds. All students can grow their understanding, and we should expect all schools to foster student growth, regardless of family income, first language, or learning needs.

The **Achievement Score** is a familiar measure of student performance that reflects how well students score on the state's annual exams. Schools serving more advantaged students typically receive "good" scores because a high percentage of their students passed, while schools serving a larger percentage of students who lived in poverty, participated in special education, or were learning English often receive lower scores because a higher percentage of their students were unable to pass the test. The clear connection between Achievement Scores and student demographics suggests that point-in-time test scores are not a good measure of how well a school is educating students, but rather a reflection of the wealth of the community being served by the school.

The School Quality/ Student Success Indicator Score is a new indicator for schools that includes several measures like student attendance, reading at grade level, science achievement, and science growth. High school scores also reflect student enrollment in advanced courses, computer science courses, and community service learning. ACT performance and GPA are also considered. This indicator comprises a relatively small portion of the overall school index, and includes many measures not previously reported, which may mean that differences between district scores may reflect differences between how the data are collected and reported.

This report card presents information about these key performance indicators for the districts in Pulaski County. The Growth, Achievement, School Quality, and Overall scores of each traditional school district are compared to the average scores of the districts in Pulaski County. The scores of the public charter schools are compared to the average scores of all schools in Pulaski County.

- 1. Executive Letter
- 2. Highlights
- 3. By The Numbers
- 4. Pulaski County Overall
- 5. Pulaski County Overall
- 6. District Map
- Traditional Public School Districts (TPSD)
- 8. Jacksonville School District
- 9. Jacksonville District School Data
- 10. Little Rock School District
- 11. Little Rock District School Data
- 12. North Little Rock School District
- 13. North Little Rock District School Data
- 14. Pulaski County Special School District
- 15. PCSSD School Data
- 16. Open- Enrollment Public Charter Schools
- 17. Academics Plus
- 18. Arkansas Virtual
- 19. Capitol City Lighthouse
- 20. Covenant Keepers
- 21. eStem
- 22. Exalt Academy
- 23. Jacksonville Lighthouse
- 24. LISA Academy
- 25. Little Rock Prep
- 26. Premier High
- 27. Quest Academy
- 28. Rockbridge Montessori
- 29. SIATech
- 30. Pulaski County Overall Data Table
- 31. Mission

Highlights of the Pulaski County Report Card:

We believe that the growth scores are the best indicator that districts are doing what's really important: helping all students learn. An average district, where students are growing academically just as predicted, will have a growth score of 80. In some districts, however, students are demonstrating greater increases in their academic performance from one year to the next than we would have predicted. To have a 'good' growth score, to be in the top 25% of schools in the state. Elementary schools need a growth score of 83 or higher, Middle level schools need a growth score of 82 or higher, and High Schools need a growth score of 81 or higher.

eStem students demonstrated the greatest academic growth overall, with students at the Elementary, Middle, and High School levels receiving high growth scores. North Little Rock School District had the highest overall growth score among the traditional districts, reflecting high growth at the High School level. Little Rock School District had the highest growth at the Middle level and PCSSD had the highest growth at the Elementary level.

eStem also had the highest point-in-time achievement of the Pulaski County area schools, followed closely by Academics Plus. Pulaski County Special School District had the highest achievement score among the traditional districts, overall and across all school levels. Since point-in-time achievement is highly correlated with student demographics, we want to point out that among districts where more than half of the students are eligible for the free/reduced lunch program, LISA Academy and Jacksonville Lighthouse reported the highest achievement.

eStem had the highest School Quality/ Student Success Indicator Score at the Elementary level, Exalt Academy had the highest score at the Middle level, and Quest Academy was the highest scoring in School Quality at the High School level. Among the traditional public schools, Jacksonville had the highest School Quality/ Student Success Indicator Score at the Elementary level, Little Rock School District and Pulaski County Special School District tied at the Middle level, and North Little Rock was the highest scoring in School Quality at the High School level. Premier High has the lowest student to teacher ratio, with one teacher for every five students. Graduation rates were highest at Academics Plus where 100% of the class of 2017 graduated on time.

There are many things that contribute to a 'good' school, but we believe student academic growth is perhaps the most meaningful measure of school performance or school effectiveness. Many Pulaski County schools had high growth at one or two school levels, and there is evidence of variation in growth rates between schools within the same districts. We recommend district and school leaders examine where their students are not demonstrating high growth, examine high growth schools to see what those schools are doing differently, and consider how they can further support learning for their students.



Sarah McKenzie, PhD **Executive Director** Office for Education Policy University of Arkansas



Gary Ritter, PhD **Faculty Director** Office for Education Policy University of Arkansas

BY THE NUMBERS

28 National Merit Semi-Finalists in the class of 2017

3,860


Certified teachers employed by Pulaski County Schools

Pulaski County schools ranked in the top 10% in the nation by U.S. News & World Report



3,338

average district enrollment (2016-17)



15 to **1** Student:Teacher ratio

Districts in Pulaski County

Open enrollment charter schools in Pulaski County (2016-17)

Participation Rate

Pulaski County Overall

Enrollment: 57,459 | 118 Schools

Pulaski County

State

Each school is assigned a **letter grade (A-F)** based on their overall score.


Student Charactersitics: Why is this important? Students who participate in Free/Reduced lunch programs, or are identified as Limited English Proficient are At Risk for not meeting proficiency targets or graduating. When comparing district performance, it is important to consider the percentage of At Risk students enrolled.

Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation

	Α	В	С	D	F
Pulaski Overall	14%	14%	27%	35%	9%
State	16%	28%	37%	16%	3%


Per Pupil Expenditure **ACT Composite Score Graduation Rate**

Pulaski Overall State \$12,176 \$15,266 19.2 20.0 88% 81%





The annual per-pupil expenditure, **ACT Composite score**, and percentage of students who graduated 4 years after entering high school are additional indicators of school performance.



Growth Score:

This indicates how much the district's students in grades 3-10 improved over time on state assessments in English language arts and mathematics. This score also includes how well non-native speakers are progressing toward English language proficiency.

Districts serving fewer At Risk students don't always have higher growth scores. Growth scores are less related to student characteristics than achievement scores.

Growth scores range from 70.2 to 89.7.

Pulaski County Overall

Pulaski County State

The Achievement Score indicates how well the district's students in grades 3-10 performed on state assessments in English language arts and mathematics. This achievement score is weighted so schools earn more points for students in higher achievement levels.

Districts serving fewer At Risk students typically have higher achievement scores. Achievement scores are more related to student characteristics than growth scores.


Achievement scores range from 1.9 to 117.6.


The School Quality and Student Success score


combines several measures of student engagement, readiness, completion, and success.

Schools get point for student attendance, reading at grade level, science achievement and science growth. High school scores also reflect student enrollment in advanced courses, computer science courses, and community service learning. ACT performance and GPA are also considered.

School Quality scores range from 3.6 to 98.5.







100


25

Overall ESSA Index

This is the **overall score** assigned to schools in the district. The overall score is based on several components: Achievement, Growth, School Quality and Student Success, and Graduation Rate for high schools.

High School

Pulaski County School Districts




TRADITIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS (TPS)


Traditional Public School Districts are systems of free public schools in which students are assigned to schools based on their home address. Students may apply for transfer to another school within their assigned school district, or to a school in a different district. Districts may accept or reject transfer requests.


Jacksonville Schools


Enrollment: 4,306 | 8 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation									
	Α	В	С	D	F				
Jacksonville	0%	13%	0%	63%	25%				
Pulaski County TPS	9%	14%	27%	40%	10%				
		Jacksonville	Pul	aski Count	y TPS				
Per Pupil Expendit	ure	\$13,712		\$16,472	2				
ACT Composite Sc	ore	18.1		19.0					
Graduation Rate		87%							
Graduation Rate 87% 80% Student: Teacher Ratio 15 to 1									











Jacksonville Schools


Name	Grades Served	School Letter Grade	Achievement Percentile Within School Type	Growth Percentile Within School Type	Percent of Students Eligible for Free/ Reduced Lunch	ESSA Index Score	Achievement Score	Growth Score	School Quality Score
Arnold Drive Elementary	P-5	В	75	50	42%	79.20	78.03	80.25	78.41
Bayou Meto Elementary	P-5	D	20	18	73%	65.55	54.57	77.01	52.98
Tolleson Elementary	P-5	D	11	44	78%	65.27	49.65	79.45	54.46
Murrell Taylor Elementary	K-5	D	10	27	88%	64.44	48.23	77.87	57.47
Pinewood Elementary	K-5	D	16	28	84%	64.79	52.42	78.06	49.42
Warren Dupree Elementary	K-5	F	1	1	85%	55.09	33.80	71.58	49.83
Jacksonville Middle	6-8	D	8	6	81%	60.17	47.26	76.11	37.16
Jacksonville High	9-12	F	5	29	57%	54.41	26.41	78.61	46.55





Little Rock Schools


Enrollment: 22,338 | 46 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation С Α В D F Little Rock 12% 9% 26% 37% 16% **Pulaski County TPS** 9% 14% 27% 40% 10% Little Rock **Pulaski County TPS** Per Pupil Expenditure \$16.234 \$16.472 **ACT Composite Score** 19.5 19.0 **Graduation Rate** 80% 80% Student: Teacher Ratio 13 to 1












Little Rock Schools


School Name	Grades Served	School Letter Grade	Achievement Percentile Within School Type	Growth Percentile Within School Type	Percent of Students Eligible for Free/ Reduced Lunch	ESSA Index Score	Achievement Score	Growth Score	School Quality Score
Bale Elementary	P-5	F	1	20	83%	57.74	35.36	77.23	44.99
Baseline Elementary	P-5	D	5	68	96%	63.35	43.44	81.94	47.83
Brady Elementary	P-5	D	7	47	90%	62.57	44.73	79.83	46.69
Chicot Elementary	P-5	D	4	11	91%	59.92	42.49	75.62	48.23
Don Roberts Elementary	P-5	Α	98	98	33%	89.36	98.43	88.05	72.54
Forest Park Elementary	P-5	Α	99	81	18%	89.39	100.50	84.05	81.26
Franklin Incentive Elementary	P-5	F	2	3	92%	57.01	37.74	73.18	48.04
Fulbright Elementary	P-5	С	48	44	47%	72.15	65.55	79.46	63.17
Jefferson Elementary	P-5	Α	91	33	31%	80.54	87.57	78.52	70.87
M.L. King Magnet Elementary	P-5	D	12	29	89%	65.05	51.08	78.17	53.88
Mabelvale Elementary	P-5	D	7	48	91%	62.24	44.30	80.00	44.91
McDermott Elementary	P-5	С	17	76	75%	67.52	52.79	83.39	48.97
Meadowcliff Elementary	P-5	D	5	48	95%	62.22	43.63	79.85	46.82
Otter Creek Elementary	P-5	С	31	77	79%	70.63	59.50	83.46	53.80
Pulaski Heights Elementary	P-5	В	77	83	51%	79.47	78.54	84.17	65.99
Rockefeller Incentive Elementary	P-5	D	10	50	82%	65.82	48.25	80.32	58.46
Romine Interdist. Elementary	P-5	D	3	30	85%	60.06	40.00	78.19	46.40
Stephens Elementary	P-5	F	2	16	87%	57.82	38.46	76.88	39.47
Terry Elementary	P-5	С	44	73	76%	72.22	64.44	82.76	55.25
Wakefield Elementary	P-5	С	19	88	97%	69.19	54.34	85.17	50.57
Washington Magnet Elementary	P-5	D	3	56	94%	61.90	40.85	80.86	47.80
Western Hills Elementary	P-5	D	14	51	88%	64.79	51.92	80.38	42.83
Wilson Elementary	P-5	D	5	64	92%	62.12	43.28	81.25	42.30
Booker Arts Magnet Elementary	K-5	С	28	40	85%	68.20	58.65	79.23	53.72
Carver Magnet Elementary	K-5	С	30	74	66%	70.49	59.16	82.89	55.60
David O'Dodd Elementary	K-5	D	11	64	89%	65.37	49.17	81.54	49.26
Gibbs Magnet Elementary	K-5	В	85	46	52%	79.43	83.52	79.62	69.25
Watson Elementary	K-5	D	2	38	95%	59.70	37.40	79.11	47.04
Williams Magnet Elementary	K-5	Α	87	87	58%	82.06	84.75	83.96	69.46
Forest Heights Stem Academy	K-8	Α	88	31	44%	80.26	87.28	79.13	67.65
Cloverdale Middle	6-8	D	3	27	92%	58.63	39.47	79.07	35.18
Dunbar Magnet Middle	6-8	С	16	47	88%	66.99	55.04	80.02	51.43
Henderson Middle	6-8	D	5	10	84%	58.71	43.02	77.60	32.37
Mabelvale Middle	6-8	D	7	37	89%	61.42	46.61	79.41	36.00
Mann Magnet Middle	6-8	С	33	53	70%	70.43	62.63	80.57	54.82
Pinnacle View Middle	6-8	В	84	17	52%	77.83	84.36	78.49	60.37
Pulaski Heights Middle	6-8	С	47	33	53%	72.55	68.85	79.28	58.78
Central High	9-12	С	50	27	48%	67.38	53.20	78.41	55.20
Hall High	9-12	F	2	49	78%	47.39	12.94	79.93	34.31
J.A. Fair High	9-12	F	3	13	82%	52.04	20.87	77.12	39.25
McClellan Magnet High	9-12	F	2	23	85%	48.85	17.03	78.03	33.60
Parkview Magnet High	9-12	В	55	83	61%	70.72	54.62	82.24	57.31


North Little Rock Schools


Enrollment: 8,427 | 12 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation									
	Α	В	С	D	F				
North Little Rock	9%	18%	9%	64%	0%				
Pulaski County TPS	9%	14%	27%	40%	10%				
		North Little Rock	C Pula	ski County	TPS				
Per Pupil Expendi	ture	\$13,800		\$16,472					
ACT Composite So	ore	18.4							
Graduation Rate		79%	79%						
				80%					
Student: Teacher Ratio 15 to 1									












North Little Rock Schools


School Name	Grades Served	School Letter Grade	Achievement Percentile Within School Type	Growth Percentile Within School Type	Percent of Students Eligible for Free/ Reduced Lunch	ESSA Index Score	Achievement Score	Growth Score	School Quality Score
Amboy Elementary	P-5	С	23	57	93%	66.82	55.89	81.05	44.92
Boone Park Elementary	P-5	D	9	43	98%	63.38	47.50	79.37	47.16
Glenview Elementary	P-5	D	11	52	98%	65.25	49.00	80.49	52.39
Meadow Park Elementary	P-5	D	9	52	96%	62.98	46.45	80.44	43.37
Seventh Street Elementary	P-5	D	7	53	96%	62.31	44.98	80.52	42.02
Crestwood Elementary	K-5	А	94	94	32%	85.23	89.42	86.69	70.56
Indian Hills Elementary	K-5	В	67	24	47%	73.77	74.27	77.65	59.67
Lakewood Elementary	K-5	В	70	71	48%	77.30	75.69	82.39	64.09
Ridgeroad Elementary	K-5	D	6	32	93%	62.05	43.87	78.41	49.93
North Little Rock Middle	6-8	D	15	32	74%	65.30	53.86	79.22	45.57
North Little Rock High	9-12	D	21	80	67%	62.45	43.04	82.00	47.13




Pulaski County Special Schools


Enrollment: 12,101 | 25 Schools







PCSSD Pulaski County Districts State









Pulaski County Special Schools

School Name	Grades Served	School Letter Grade	Achievement Percentile Within School Type	Growth Percentile Within School Type	Percent of Students Eligible for Free/ Reduced Lunch	ESSA Index Score	Achievement Score	Growth Score	School Quality Score
Bates Elementary	P-5	С	20	72	88%	66.82	54.61	82.57	42.82
Cato Elementary	P-5	В	59	91	67%	75.52	70.36	85.89	53.01
Clinton Elementary	P-5	С	23	39	69%	67.01	56.19	79.12	51.87
College Station Elementary	P-5	С	40	21	83%	67.28	62.63	77.30	44.76
Crystal Hill Elementary	P-5	С	40	53	51%	70.63	63.20	80.51	55.05
Harris Elementary	P-5	D	5	59	93%	61.42	43.46	81.17	37.50
Joe T. Robinson Elementary	P-5	В	69	83	58%	77.37	75.37	84.24	59.15
Landmark Elementary	P-5	С	17	66	81%	67.38	53.07	81.69	53.05
Oak Grove Elementary	P-5	С	27	60	75%	68.24	58.16	81.20	48.53
Oakbrooke Elementary	P-5	С	37	30	57%	69.20	61.71	78.28	56.38
Sherwood Elementary	P-5	В	53	86	65%	74.52	67.40	84.59	57.57
Sylvan Hills Elementary	P-5	С	40	48	57%	70.29	62.83	79.86	55.80
Baker Interdistrict Elementary	K-5	А	99	96	24%	90.03	100.69	87.38	73.97
Chenal Elementary	K-5	Α	97	92	23%	87.37	96.56	86.34	69.36
Lawson Elementary	K-5	С	27	49	69%	67.99	58.10	80.16	50.47
Pine Forest Elementary	K-5	В	73	79	49%	77.23	77.03	83.70	56.16
Fuller Middle	6-8	D	9	20	79%	61.84	47.46	78.67	39.27
Joe T. Robinson Middle	6-8	В	69	18	39%	75.76	78.83	78.61	59.07
Maumelle Middle	6-8	С	29	16	49%	67.14	60.04	78.38	46.26
Sylvan Hills Middle	6-8	С	31	15	58%	67.89	60.54	78.28	50.43
Joe T. Robinson High	9-12	D	25	38	35%	61.34	44.68	79.18	44.50
Maumelle High	9-12	D	14	11	40%	60.57	39.30	76.82	48.98
Sylvan Hills High	9-12	D	18	58	44%	61.27	42.22	80.30	46.84
Wilbur D. Mills High	9-12	D	5	92	66%	56.79	28.05	83.25	41.67

OPEN-ENROLLMENT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOLS


Open-Enrollment Public Charter Schools are free public schools that can draw students from across district boundaries.


Students who want to attend an open-enrollment charter school complete an application. Charter schools are prohibited from selecting students based on academic performance, student demographic characteristics, family income, or any other type of information. If more students apply to attend the charter school than there are seats available, the school must hold a public, random lottery to select students.


Academics Plus


Enrollment: 1,252 | 3 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation В С D Α **Academics Plus** 67% 0% 33% 0% 0% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% **Academics Plus Pulaski County TPS** Per Pupil Expenditure \$8,822 \$15,266 **ACT Composite Score** 21.2 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 100% 89% Student: Teacher Ratio 11 to 1















Arkansas Virtual


Enrollment: 2,055 | 3 Schools


















Capitol City Lighthouse Enrollment: 206 | 1 School


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation Α В С D F **CC Lighthouse Academy** 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% Pulaski Overall **CC Lighthouse Academy** Per Pupil Expenditure \$11.691 \$15.266 **ACT Composite Score** N/A 19.2 **Graduation Rate** N/A 89% Student: Teacher Ratio 15 to 1
















Covenant Keepers Enrollment: 141 | 1 School

















eStem


Enrollment: 1,968 | 3 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation Α В С D F **ESTEM** 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 14% Pulaski Overall 27% 35% 9% 14% **ESTEM** Pulaski Overall Per Pupil Expenditure \$9.351 \$15.266 **ACT Composite Score** 21.3 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 89% 98% Student: Teacher Ratio 13 to 1















Exalt Academy Enrollment: 375 | 1 School


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation F Α В C D **Exalt Academy** 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% Pulaski Overall **Exalt Academy** Per Pupil Expenditure \$10.652 \$15.266 **ACT Composite Score** N/A 19.2 89% **Graduation Rate** N/A Student: Teacher Ratio 13 to 1














Overall ESSA Index


Jacksonville Lighthouse


Enrollment: 912 | 3 Schools

















LISA Academy


Enrollment: 2,158 | 6 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation В С D F Α LISA Academy 50% 33% 17% 0% 0% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% LISA Academy Pulaski Overall Per Pupil Expenditure \$8,067 \$15,266 **ACT Composite Score** 21.4 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 89% 97% Student: Teacher Ratio 13 to 1














Little Rock Prep


Enrollment: 561 | 2 Schools


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation C F Α В D Little Rock Prep 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% Little Rock Prep Pulaski Overall Per Pupil Expenditure \$10.216 \$15.266 **ACT Composite Score** N/A 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 89% N/A Student: Teacher Ratio 22 to 1














Premier High


Enrollment: 114 | 1 School


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation С В D F **Premier High School** NA NA NA NA NA Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 27% 35% 9% **Premier High School** Pulaski Overall Per Pupil Expenditure \$15,697 \$15,266 **ACT Composite Score** 16.5 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 53% 89% Student: Teacher Ratio 5 to 1















Quest Academy Enrollment: 188 | 1 School







Quest Academy Pulaski Overall














Rockbridge Montessori Enrollment: 169 | 1 School

















SIATech


Enrollment: 188 | 1 School


Letter Grades, Finance, ACT, & Graduation C Α В D F SIATech NA NA NA NA NA 27% 9% Pulaski Overall 14% 14% 35% SIATech Pulaski Overall Per Pupil Expenditure \$15,266 \$20,841 **ACT Composite Score** 12.9 19.2 **Graduation Rate** 25% 89% Student: Teacher Ratio 12 to 1












Pulaski County OVERALL

Group	District	Growth Score (2016-17)	Achievement Score (2016-17)	School Quality Score (2016-17)	Overall ESSA Score (2016-17)	Graduation Rate (4-Year) (2016-17)	Enrollment (2017-18)	Percent of Students Eligible for Free/ Reduced Lunch (2017-18)	Student: Teacher Ratio (2016-17)	Per Pupil Total Expenditure (2016-17)
Pulaski TPS	Jacksonville	77.2	41.3	46.4	59.7	87%	4,306	73%	15:1	\$ 13,712
	Little Rock	79.9	52.1	50.2	65.6	80%	22,338	67%	13:1	\$ 16,234
	North Little Rock	80.6	52.7	48.6	65.6	79%	8,427	71%	15:1	\$ 13,800
	Pulaski County Special	80.1	56.4	50.1	67.1	81%	12,101	51%	14:1	\$ 19,653
Charters	Academics Plus	79.6	78.3	63.4	78.2	100%	1,252	28%	11:1	\$ 8,822
	Arkansas Virtual Academy	76.4	52.1	59.3	65.4	79%	2,055	N/A	39:1	\$ 7,571
	Capitol City Lighthouse	79.2	44.3	43.0	61.6	N/A	206	77%	15:1	\$ 11,691
	Covenant Keepers	83.2	49.6	46.1	65.9	N/A	141	95%	20:1	\$ 12,361
	eStem	84.8	79.4	61.1	80.2	98%	1,968	40%	13:1	\$ 9,351
	Exalt Academy	78.0	25.4	58.9	56.7	N/A	375	96%	13:1	\$ 10,652
	Jacksonville Lighthouse	80.2	59.4	52.0	69.6	98%	912	63%	17:1	\$ 8,975
	LISA Academy	82.7	72.1	60.8	76.5	97%	2,158	53%	13:1	\$ 8,067
	Little Rock Prep	82.7	49.3	49.9	66.1	N/A	561	71%	22:1	\$ 10,216
	Premier High	81.2	6.6	19.2	41.8	53%	114	65%	5:1	\$ 15,697
	Quest Academy	77.5	68.8	64.9	72.6	N/A	188	22%	13:1	\$ 9,549
	Rockbridge Montessori	70.5	26.6	60.1	53.6	N/A	169	56%	37:1	\$ 9,924
	SIATech	72.6	1.9	3.6	31.1	25%	188	82%	12:1	\$ 20,841
Pulaski County TPS		79.9	52.5	49.6	65.5	80%	47,172	64%	13:1	\$ 16,472
Pulaski County Charters		80.6	63.9	56.7	70.5	83%	10,287	41%	17:1	\$ 8,926
Pulaski County Overall		80.0	54.6	50.8	66.3	81%	57,459	60%	13:1	\$ 15,266
Arkansas Overall		80.2	63.1	55.0	70.5	88%	477,268	60%	11:1	\$ 12,176







Office for Education Policy University of Arkansas

201 Graduate Education Building Fayetteville, AR 72701 Phone: (479) 575-3773

Fax: (479) 575-3196 E-mail: oep@uark.edu

www.officeforeducationpolicy.org

Twitter: @Office4EdPolicy

Facebook: Office for Education Policy

Mission:

The Office for Education Policy (OEP) provides relevant education research, data, and policy analysis to support data-driven decision-making for Arkansas PK-12 policymakers and educators.

Sarah McKenzie, PhD OEP Executive Director

Gary Ritter, PhD
OEP Faculty Director

Research Staff Charlene Reid

Design Editor
Christine L. Magness

Information Sources:

We would like to reference the following sources for data used in this Northwest Arkansas Report Card.

All graphs and tables used in this publication come from publicly-available data.

If you have any questions about how this publication was constructed please contact our office.

ACT.org | Arkansas Department of Education | U.S. News & World Report