2		
3		
4		
5	DETERMINATION OF TRIBUTYLTIN AT PARTS-PER-	
6	TRILLION LEVELS IN NATURAL WATERS BY SECOND-	
7	ORDER MULTIVARIATE CALIBRATION AND	
8	FLUORESCENCE SPECTROSCOPY	
9		
10	Manuel Bravo M. ^{a,†,*} , Felipe Aguilar ^b , Waldo Quiroz V. ^a , Alejandro C.	
11	Olivieri ^{c,†} , Graciela M. Escandar ^{c,†}	
12 13	^a Laboratorio de Química Analítica y Ambiental, Instituto de Química, Pontificia	
14	Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Avenida Brasil 2950, Valparaíso, Chile.	
15	^b Laboratorio de Fotofísica y Espectroscopia Molecular, Instituto de Química, Pontificia	
16	Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Avenida Brasil 2950, Valparaíso, Chile.	
17	^c Departamento de Química Analítica, Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas,	
18	Universidad Nacional de Rosario e Instituto de Química Rosario (CONICET), Suipacha	
19	531, Rosario (2000) Argentina.	
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	* Corresponding author: Manuel Bravo M.	
28 29	E-mail: <u>manuel.bravo@ucv.cl</u> , Phone: +56-32-2274916, Fax: + 56-32-2274939 [†] The authors contributed equally to the manuscript.	

30 ABSTRACT

31 This work presents a non-sophisticated approach for the trace determination of tributyltin, 32 the most toxic organotin species, in very interfering environments, combining fluorescence 33 measurements of its morin complex and the selectivity of second-order chemometric algorithms. The power of MCR-ALS (multivariate curve resolution/alternating least-34 35 squares) to quantify tributyltin through fluorescence excitation-emission matrices in the presence of its main degradation products and of a pool of additional twenty-three metal 36 ions is demonstrated. The applied algorithm successfully faces the challenge of solving the 37 38 strong overlapping among the spectra of the several sample components. The proposed methodology was applied to tap, river, lagoon and seawater spiked samples, obtaining 39 satisfactory results at ng L^{-1} levels, after a pre-concentration step on a C18 membrane, 40 demonstrating the analytical potential of the proposed methodology. 41

42

43

44

45

Keywords: Tributyltin; Natural samples; Multivariate calibration; Fluorescence
spectroscopy

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Due to its widespread use as an antifouling agent in boat paints, highly toxic tributyltin 51 (TBT) is a common contaminant of marine and freshwater ecosystems (Hoch, 2001; Fent, 2004). Exposure to water and sediments contaminated with TBT induces its accumulation 52 on marine biota, and leads to biological effects such as shell malformation in ovsters 53 54 (Alzieu, 1998), mortality of mussel larvae (Barrosoi et al., 2005), and imposex of gastropods (Toste et al., 2011). Potential harmful effects on human health may also result 55 from consumption of contaminated seafood or drinking water (Cao et al., 2009). For these 56 57 reasons, several constrains have been imposed to TBT industrial applications, and the European Union has decided to specifically include TBT compounds in its list of priority 58 compounds in water (Antizar Ladislao, 2008). Unfortunately, present and future restrictions 59 will not immediately remove TBT and its degradation products, monobutyltin (MBT) and 60 dibutyltin (DBT) from aquatic environments since these compounds are retained in the 61 sediments where they persist (Antizar Ladislao, 2008; Díez et al., 2002). 62

Several analytical methodologies have been proposed to quantify organotin 63 compounds, most of them requiring hyphenated techniques, involving a combination of 64 65 extraction, separation and detection steps (de Carvalho Oliveira and Erthal Santelli, 2010). Various pre-concentration procedures have been proposed based on liquid-liquid extraction 66 (Bancon Montigny et al., 2002), solid-phase extraction (SPE), solid-phase micro-extraction 67 (Aguerre et al., 2002; Bravo et al., 2005) and liquid-phase micro-extraction (Colombini et 68 al., 2004; Lambropoulou et al., 2007; Shioji et al., 2004). Following this analytical phase, 69 most reported methods combine a separation technique such as gas chromatography (GC) 70 with detection including atomic absorption spectrometry, flame photometry, pulsed flame 71

photometry or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Antizar Ladislao, 2008). In the case of GC, an additional derivatization step must be included, in order to transform organotins into volatile and thermally stable compounds. Although the analytical performance of these methodologies is widely recognized, allowing to analyze complex samples containing several unknown components and interferences, they are complex, require a substantial experimental work and skilled analysts, and are difficult to implement for routine analysis.

Modern multivariate calibration methods, especially those based on second-order 79 80 calibration, constitute an attractive alternative to cope with these situations, even when the 81 processed instrumental data arise from analytical techniques which are intrinsically less selective than chromatography (Escandar et al., 2007). Certain second-order multivariate 82 algorithms have the property of predicting the concentration of an individual component in 83 the presence of any number of unsuspected constituents, a property commonly named as 84 'second-order advantage' (Smilde et al., 1999; Olivieri, 2008). Usual algorithms employed 85 to analyze second-order data achieving this property are parallel factor analysis 86 (PARAFAC) (Bro, 1997), multivariate curve resolution-alterning least squares (MCR-87 ALS) (de Juan and Tauler, 2001; de Juan and Tauler, 2006) and some latent-structured 88 89 methods, such as unfolded partial least-squares (U-PLS) (Borraccetti et al., 2009) and 90 multiway PLS (Gurden et al., 2001), both combined with residual bilinearization (Bohoyo Gil et al., 2006; Lozano et al., 2009A). These chemometric methods have been scarcely 91 92 used for organotin speciation analysis in environmental samples. Only a single work devoted to the quantitation of triphenyltin in seawaters has been reported (Saurina et al., 93 2000). However, this latter method does not include TBT as analyte, and only seawater 94 matrices were evaluated. 95

96	In the present report, a new analytical method is proposed for quantitation of TBT,
97	which is the most toxic organotin (Kungolos et al., 2004; Solé, 2000; Fent, 1996; Fent et
98	al., 1998), based on the measurement of excitation-emission fluorescence matrices
99	(EEFMs) processed by second-order multivariate calibration based on MCR-ALS.
100	Fluorescent detection is possible thanks to the reaction between tributyltin and 3,5,7,2',4'-
101	pentahydroxyflavone (morin) in a triton X-100 micellar medium, which yields a fluorescent
102	complex. The feasibility of determining TBT in real matrices is demonstrated by applying
103	the proposed methodology to tap, river, lagoon and sea water samples.
104	
105	2. EXPERIMENTAL
106	
107	2.1. Apparatus
107 108	2.1. Apparatus
	2.1. Apparatus Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA)
108	
108 109	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA)
108 109 110	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm
108 109 110 111	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm path length quartz microcells and slit widths of 4 nm for both monochromators. All
108 109 110 111 112	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm path length quartz microcells and slit widths of 4 nm for both monochromators. All measurements were performed at 20°C with a thermostated cell.
108 109 110 111 112 113	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm path length quartz microcells and slit widths of 4 nm for both monochromators. All measurements were performed at 20°C with a thermostated cell. The excitation-emission fluorescence matrices were collected exciting samples in
108 109 110 111 112 113 114	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm path length quartz microcells and slit widths of 4 nm for both monochromators. All measurements were performed at 20°C with a thermostated cell. The excitation-emission fluorescence matrices were collected exciting samples in the range 380-460 nm (each 5 nm) and obtaining the corresponding emission spectra in the
108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	Fluorescence measurements were performed on an Aminco Bowman (Rochester, NY, USA) Series 2 luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp and using 1.0 cm path length quartz microcells and slit widths of 4 nm for both monochromators. All measurements were performed at 20°C with a thermostated cell. The excitation-emission fluorescence matrices were collected exciting samples in the range 380-460 nm (each 5 nm) and obtaining the corresponding emission spectra in the range 510-600 nm (each 5 nm), resulting in a data matrix size 19×17 for sample.

120 2.2. Reagents and standards

121

High quality water (18 M Ω) obtained from a Barnstead Easypure II (Thermo, Dubuque, MA USA) was used to prepare the solutions. The tributyltin standards were prepared from tributyltin chloride (TBT, 96%) obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Stock solutions of these reagents (1000 mg L⁻¹ of Sn) were prepared in methanol and stored at -20°C in the dark. Working standards were obtained by dilution with water. This was done on a weekly basis for solutions containing Sn at 5 mg L⁻¹ and daily for solutions containing Sn at 10–100 µg L⁻¹.

129 An ethanolic solution 4.2×10^{-3} M of morin (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) was 130 prepared every day, while a stock solution 8.3% (w/v) of triton X-100 (Fluka Chemika, 131 Buchs, Switzerland) and a buffer solution pH 4.7 of succinic acid (Merck, Darmstadt, 132 Germany) 0.5 M were prepared weekly.

For metal additions, a Certipur® ICP multi-element standard solution IV was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). This standard includes 23 elements (Ag(I), Al(III), B(III), Ba(II), Bi(III), Ca(II), Cd(II), Co(II), Cr(III), Cu(II), Fe(III), Ga(III), In(III), K(I), Li(I), Mg(II), Mn(II), Na(I), Ni(II), Pb(II), Sr(II), Tl(I), Zn(II)) at 1000 mg L⁻¹ dissolved in diluted nitric acid.

138

139 2.3. Synthetic samples

A set of nine TBT calibration solutions with analyte concentrations was built: eight of them contained equally spaced levels between 0 and 350 μ g L⁻¹ (based on Sn content). They were prepared adding adequate volumes of the standard solution (5 mg L⁻¹) in a calibrated 10.00 mL vessel. Subsequently, 200 μ L of morin solution, 1.0 mL of buffer and 0.84 mL of triton X-100 solution were added. Finally, completion to the mark was achieved with deionized water and the EEFMs were registered.

For validation, two different sets of solutions were prepared. The first set involved eight solutions containing random concentrations of TBT, DBT and MBT, all in the range $30-110 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ of Sn. The second set consisted of seven solutions with random concentrations of TBT and metals in the range of 32–90 and 38–120 $\mu g \ L^{-1}$, respectively.

151

152 **2.4. Real samples**

153

Tap and river samples were collected from the Rosario city drinking water system and 154 Paraná River (Santa Fe, Argentina), respectively, while the remaining samples were 155 collected from Curauma lagoon and Baron harbor, both placed in the Province of 156 Valparaiso (Valparaiso, Chile). All samples were filtered using a nylon membrane (0.22 157 µm) and stored at 4 °C until analysis. TBT concentration was determined by GC with 158 pulsed flame photometric detection (Mzoughi et al., 2005), and was found to be below the 159 160 detection limit. Therefore, aliquots of these samples were spiked with known amounts of TBT, reaching TBT concentrations ranging between 20 and 120 ng L^{-1} . Solid-phase 161 162 extraction (SPE) using a C18 extraction membrane (Empore, Supelco, Belleponte, P.A., USA) was applied before sample analysis. The disks were loaded into a 13 mm stainless 163

164 steel filter syringe kit (Alltech, Deerfield, IL, USA) and placed into a syringe. Prior to 165 sample analysis, the disk was conditioned with methanol. Aliquots of either 100 or 200 mL 166 of aqueous samples were passed through the membrane under vacuum pump, with a flow 167 rate of about 10 mL min⁻¹. After elution of the retained organic compounds with 500 μ L of 168 methanol, the solvent was evaporated by using dry nitrogen and reconstituted with 400 μ L 169 of the fluorogenic solution. Finally, the EEFM was measured for each sample and the 170 concentration was estimated using second-order multivariate calibration.

171

172 **2.5.** *Theory*

173

174 **2.5.1. PARAFAC**

175

176 The theory of PARAFAC is well-known (Bro, 1997). In some of the presently studied systems, this method was employed to successfully decompose the three-way arrays built 177 with the fluorescence data matrices. However, PARAFAC could not be applied with equal 178 success to samples containing uncalibrated interferents having excitation spectra which are 179 strongly overlapped with those of the calibrated components. This has been previously 180 shown to be a strong challenge to PARAFAC (Culzoni et al., 2008; Lozano et al. 2010). 181 The general problem of second-order calibration under strong profile overlapping in one of 182 the data dimensions can be solved using MCR-ALS, which is thus described in detail in 183 184 Section 2.4.2.

185

186 **2.5.2.** *MCR*–*ALS*

In this second-order multivariate method, an augmented data matrix is created from the test 188 and calibration data matrices. The matrices are all of size $J \times K$, where J is the number of 189 excitation wavelengths and K the number of emission wavelengths. Augmentation can be 190 performed either direction, depending on the type of experiment being analyzed and also on 191 192 the presence of severe overlapping in one of the data modes (Smilde et al., 1999; Tauler, 193 1995). In the presently studied case, the excitation spectra of some of the various sample components are very similar, and hence it is useful to implement augmentation in this 194 direction, creating a row-wise augmented matrix **D** by placing the different matrices 195 adjacent to each other. Matrix augmentation in this mode helps to destroy the linear 196 dependency caused by strong profile overlapping, as has been previously described 197 (Culzoni et al., 2008; Lozano et al. 2010). 198

199 The bilinear decomposition of the augmented matrix is then performed according to200 the expression:

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C} \, \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{E} \tag{3}$$

where the columns of **C** contain the excitation profiles of the intervening species, the rows 202 203 of S the emission spectra in the different samples, and E is a matrix of residuals not fitted by the model. Appropriate dimensions of **D**, **C**, **S** and **E** are $J \times (IK)$, $J \times N$, $N \times (KI)$ and 204 205 $J \times (IK)$ respectively (I is the total number of samples in matrix **D**, and N the number of responsive components). Decomposition of **D** is achieved by iterative least-squares 206 207 minimization of the Frobenius norm of E. The minimization is started by supplying 208 estimated emission spectra for the various components, which are employed to estimate \hat{S} (with the 'hat' implying an estimated matrix) from equation (3): 209

210
$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}})^{+}$$
(4)

where the superscript '+' indicates the generalized inverse. With matrix $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ from equation (4) and the original data matrix **D**, the matrix **C** is re-estimated by least-squares:

213
$$\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{T}})^{+}$$
(5)

and finally **E** is calculated from equation (3) using **D** and the estimated $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ matrices. 214 215 These steps are repeated until convergence, under suitable constraining conditions during the ALS process, for example, nonnegativity in spectral and time profiles. It is important to 216 217 point out that MCR-ALS requires initialization with spectral profiles in the emission mode. Several alternatives were evaluated, and the finally selected one depended on the type of 218 analyzed samples. For a set composed of only calibration samples, two chemical 219 components were considered: free morin and the TBT-morin complex, whose spectra were 220 estimated from the corresponding PARAFAC decomposition of the three-way calibration 221 data array. When additional components (unexpected interferents) occurred in the samples, 222 their spectral emission profiles were estimated by PARAFAC decomposition of a three-223 way array composed of calibration and also from data for the test sample. 224

After MCR–ALS decomposition of **D**, concentration information contained in **S** can be used for quantitative predictions, by first defining the analyte concentration score as the area under the profile for the *i*th sample:

228
$$a(i,n) = \sum_{k=1+(i-1)K}^{iK} S(n,k)$$
(6)

where a(i,n) is the score for the component *n* in the sample *i*. In this way, the scores are employed to build a pseudo-univariate calibration graph against the analyte concentrations, predicting the concentration in the test samples in the usual univariate manner:

232
$$[a(2,n) | a(3,n) | ... | a(I,n)] = m_2 \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} + n_2$$
(7)

233
$$y_u = [a(1,n) - n_2] / m_2$$
 (8)

where *n* indicates the analyte, y_u is the predicted concentration, and **y** the vector [size $(I-1)\times 1$] of nominal concentrations in the calibration samples.

236

237 **2.6.** Software

238

All calculations were carried out using MATLAB 7.0 routines (The Mathworks Inc., 2003). 239 The codes available on the internet for MCR-ALS (Jaumot et al., 2005; 240 http://www.ub.edu/mcr/web_mcr/download.html) 241 and PARAFAC (www.models.kvl.dk/algorithms) were employed for multivariate analysis. PARAFAC was 242 applied through a MATLAB graphical user interface which is also available on the Web 243 (Olivieri et al., 2009; http://www.chemometry.com/Index/ Links%20and%20downloads/ 244 Programs.html). 245

246

247 **3. Results and discussion**

248

249 **3.1.** Optimization of the fluorescence signal

250

TBT forms stable complexes with several flavones, such as morin and fisetin (Leal et al., 1995), with morine giving the most intense fluorescence signal. The presently proposed method is based on the reaction between TBT and morin in a triton X-100 micellar medium to yield a fluorimetrically active complex. The fluorescence emission of the complex is affected by several experimental variables, which were evaluated with a Plackett-Burman

design, in accordance with the factor levels presented in Table 1. The evaluated response 256 257 was the emission of the TBT complex at 550 nm. After statistical analysis of the significance of effects, it was concluded that the pH and the type of acid employed 258 significantly affected the fluorescence emission of the TBT-morin complex (see Table 1). 259 Thus succinic acid was selected. Concerning the pH, a univariate optimization was carried 260 out, and the maximum response was found for pH 4.7, retaining this condition for all 261 experiments. For the non significant factors, the low levels were retained for all 262 experiments. 263

The overlapping between the fluorescence spectra of free morin and its TBT complex hinders the direct spectrofluorimetric determination of the analyte, and the situation becomes more serious if other potential interferents are present. Therefore, in order to overcome this problem, a chemometric analysis was proposed, testing different second-order algorithms. In a first stage, samples only containing TBT were processed, and more complex samples were subsequently studied.

270

271 3.2. Set number 1

272

With the purpose of building a second-order calibration model, EEFMs were recorded for the calibration samples. This calibration set was first analyzed using PARAFAC, which is one of the most frequently applied second-order algorithm, building a three-way array with data corresponding to the calibration samples only. The analysis revealed the presence of two components, which gave a reasonably low residual error to the PARAFAC model, as well as a reasonable value for the so-called core consistency parameter (Bro and Kiers, 2003). The analysis of the scores (relative component concentrations) allowed to establish 280 that these two species correspond to free morin and to the TBT-morin complex, because: 281 (1) an excellent linear correlation between scores and nominal calibration concentrations was obtained for one of the components, ascribed to the TBT-morin complex, and (2) the 282 constancy of the scores for the remaining component, which was thus identified as free 283 284 morin.

285

As expected, two components were also detected with the MCR-ALS approach and similar prediction results were obtained for the calibration set. 286

287

3.3. Set number 2 288

289

TBT degradation products, such as MBT and DBT, can be present in environmental 290 291 samples. These products do also react with morin, forming fluorescent complexes which may in principle constitute potential interferences (Leal et al., 1995). Therefore, a set of 292 solutions including TBT, MBT and DBT was prepared and evaluated with both studied 293 algorithms. 294

The number of PARAFAC responsive components was selected using the same 295 procedures applied to set No. 1 (calibration samples without unexpected components), 296 297 allowing to assess that three components were required for samples of set No. 2. Figs. 1A and 1B show the excitation and emission loadings retrieved by PARAFAC for a typical 298 sample of this set. In addition to the spectra corresponding to morin and TBT-morin 299 300 complex observed in the calibration samples, a new profile is clearly detected. This profile is ascribed to a combination of the spectra of the uncalibrated species (i.e., MBT- and 301 302 DBT-morin complexes), a usual phenomenon when interferent profiles with similar spectra occur (Bortolato et al., 2008). Table 2 shows the prediction results corresponding to the 303

application of PARAFAC to the samples of set No. 2. The root mean square error of 304 305 prediction (RMSEP) and the relative error of prediction (REP) values indicate rather poor results, suggesting that the trilinear model is not adequate for this data. This phenomenon 306 may occur for a number of reasons, such as: (1) lack of profile reproducibility in 307 chromatography, (2) linear dependency among profiles due to closure, or (3) identical 308 profiles for sample components (Escandar et al., 2007; Lozano et al., 2010, Olivieri et al. 309 2011). As can be appreciated in Fig. 1A, the excitation profile corresponding to the 310 interference signal strongly overlaps with that of free morin. It may be noticed that this 311 problem cannot be solved by employing any of the PLS/RBL algorithms, as has been 312 shown for kinetic (Culzoni et al. 2008) and lanthanide-sensitized excitation-time decay 313 (Lozano et al., 2009B) data. 314

The best alternative for coping with this situation is to apply MCR-ALS in the 315 316 proper augmentation mode, as explained above. Figs. 1C and 1D show the results of the MCR-ALS resolution of excitation-wise augmented data matrix for a typical sample of the 317 same set No. 2, and Table 2 displays the corresponding prediction results of TBT 318 concentration. As can be seen, the results are close to the nominal ones, reaching a REP of 319 11%. Although it is difficult to assess the limit of detection using MCR-ALS, the results 320 suggest that this figure of merit is around 5 μ g L⁻¹, based on the RMSEP values quoted in 321 322 Table 2. In view of the complexity of the samples and of the analytical problem at hand, the present results are deemed to be reasonably good. 323

324

325 **3.4.** Set number 3

In coastal impacted sites, seawater samples can contain high levels of metals, such as 327 328 aluminium, cadmium, lead or zinc (Barba Brioso et al., 2010). These metal ions, and other potentially present in natural waters, are able to form complexes with morine, which have 329 fluorescence signals overlapped with that of the studied analyte. Therefore, seven test 330 331 samples containing TBT and 23 inorganic elements other than Sn (see Experimental) were prepared and evaluated with the PARAFAC and MCR-ALS algorithms. The prediction 332 results for this set are shown in Table 2, and they also indicate a poor performance of 333 PARAFAC. On the other hand, the results given by MCR-ALS are encouraging: the 334 RMSEP and REP values are comparable to those obtained for set No. 2. Based on these 335 values, the limit of detection can presumably be estimated as 5 μ g L⁻¹. 336

The MCR–ALS algorithm retrieved spectra for all sample components which are shown in Fig. 2. In the excitation dimension (Fig. 2A) strong overlapping occurs, which was successfully taken into account by matrix augmentation in this particular dimension. Notice the presence of the interferent in the test sample, and their absence in the calibration samples. This is essential to achieve the second-order advantage.

342

343 **3.5.** Analysis of real aqueous samples

344

With the purpose of evaluating the application of the present method and the potential interference from background matrices, a recovery study by spiking waters of different origins with TBT was carried out.

Before the restrictions on TBT use in antifouling paints, concentrations higher than 500 ng L^{-1} have been detected in North American and European marinas (Antizar Ladislao,

2008). However, recent investigations have reported that TBT concentrations in water have 350 generally declined, and maximum concentrations in seawater rarely exceed 100 ng L^{-1} 351 (Antizar Ladislao, 2008). Some countries have set an environmental quality standard for 352 TBT of 20 ng L^{-1} for fresh water (Bermejo Barrera, 2002). The US Environmental 353 354 Protection Agency (US-EPA) has developed acute and chronic criteria recommendations for TBT designed to protect aquatic life (EPA, 2011). US-EPA indicates that aquatic life 355 would not be significantly affected if the one-hour average TBT concentration does not 356 exceed 460 and 420 ng L^{-1} in freshwater and saltwater, respectively, more than once every 357 three years on the average (acute criterion), and if the four-day average TBT concentration 358 does not exceed 72 and 7.4 ng L^{-1} in freshwater and saltwater, respectively, more than once 359 every three years on the average (chronic criterion). 360

As a conclusion, the quantification of TBT in natural waters requires highly sensitive techniques, able to detect concentrations in the order of ng L^{-1} , and therefore these methods usually require pre-concentration steps. The sensitivity of the present method was improved applying solid-phase extraction by employing C18 membranes. The use of these membranes allows us to develop a sensitive, robust and fast method for real matrices.

In view of the above results obtained with synthetic samples, MCR-ALS was the 366 algorithm selected for the present analysis. Figs. 3A and 3B show the MCR-ALS 367 decomposition obtained by processing the data matrices of a typical spiked river sample 368 and some standards, and Fig. 3C displays emission profiles corresponding to a seawater 369 370 sample (the corresponding excitation spectra are very similar to those shown in Fig. 3A). In both of these samples, three chemical species are clearly identified. Two of them 371 correspond to TBT and free morin, whose spectral profiles are reasonably similar to those 372 of the corresponding standards. Interestingly, the remaining spectral profiles may be 373

ascribed to a completely unknown interferent component, absent in the calibration set, but
detected by the multivariate calibration method. This demonstrates the high potential of the
presently applied chemometric strategy.

The obtained results for different real samples are presented in Table 3. Taking into account the simple sample treatment, the analytical results are reasonably good, with recovery percentages ranging from 85 to 120%. This conclusion is also reflected in Fig. 4, which shows the elliptical joint confidence region (González et al, 1999) for the slope and intercept of the found vs. nominal TBT concentrations plot. The ellipse includes the theoretically expected values of (1,0), indicating accuracy of the developed methodology.

Based on the average concentration uncertainty which can be measured by the RMSEP in Table 3, the limit of detection for the pre-concentrated water samples can be estimated as ca. 9 ng L^{-1} , which matches the requirements of most official agencies.

The obtained results suggest that interference from the background was successfully removed in the investigated waters by the applied chemometric methodology. Additionally, in the specific case of the seawater samples, the high salt content did not cause difficulties neither in the accuracy or in the repeatability of the TBT determinations.

390

391 **4. Conclusions**

392

It has been demonstrated that complexation of tributyltin with morin to form a fluorescent complex, measurement of excitation-emission fluorescence matrix and data processing using multivariate curve resolution/alternating least-squares produces a simple, fast and sensitive method for the determination of tributyltin in aqueous matrices. Through a very

397	simple pre-concentration step with a C18 membrane, it was possible to successfully	
398	quantify TBT at part-per trillion levels in environmental water samples. The method	
399	represents a valuable alternative for the determination of tributyltin in contaminated water	
400	samples.	
401		
402	Acknowledgements	
403		
404	The authors gratefully acknowledge FONDECYT (Project 11080197), CONICET (Project	
405	PIP 1950) and ANPCyT (Project PICT 2010-0084). M. Bravo also thanks CONICET-OEA	
406	for a postdoctoral fellowship.	
407		
408	References	
409		
410	Aguerre, S., Bancon Montigny, C., Lespes, G., Potin Gautier, M., 2000. Solid phase	
411	microextraction (SPME): a new procedure for the control of butyl- and phenyltin	
412	pollution in the environment by GC-FPD. Analyst 125, 263–268.	
413	Alzieu, C., 1998. Tributyltin: case study of a chronic contaminant in the coastal	
414	environment. Ocean Coast. Manage. 40, 23-36.	
415	Antizar Ladislao, B., 2008. Environmental levels, toxicity and human exposure to	
416	tributyltin (TBT) contaminated marine environment. A review. Environ. Int. 34, 292-	
417	308.	
418	Bancon Montigny, C., Maxwell, P., Yang, L., Mester, Z., Sturgeon, R.E., 2002.	
419	Improvement of measurement precision of SPME-GC/MS determination of	
420	tributyltin using isotope dilution calibration. Anal. Chem. 74, 5606–5613.	

421	Barba Brioso, C., Fernández Caliani, J.C., Miras, A., Cornejo, J., Galán, E., 2010. Multi-
422	source water pollution in a highly anthropized wetland system associated with the
423	estuary of Huelva (SW Spain). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 1259-1269.
424	Barrosoi, C., Mendo, S., Genio, L., Sousa, A., 2005. Comparison of the acute toxicity of
425	tributyltin and copper to veliger larvae of Nassarius reticulatus (L.). Appl.
426	Organomet. Chem. 19, 324–328.
427	Bermejo Barrera, P., Anllo Sendín, R.M., Cantelar Barbazán, M.J., Bermejo Barrera, A.,
428	2002. Selective preconcentration and determination of tributyltin in fresh water by
429	electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 372, 837-839.
430	Bohoyo Gil, D., Muñoz de la Peña, A., Arancibia, J.A., Escandar, G.M., Olivieri, A.C.,
431	2006. Second-order advantage achieved by unfolded-partial least-squares/residual
432	bilinearization modelling of excitation-emission fluorescence data presenting inner
433	filter effects. Anal. Chem. 78, 8051-8058.
434	Borraccetti, M.D., Damiani, P.C., Olivieri, A.C., 2009. When unfolding is better: unique
435	success of unfolded partial least-squares regression with residual bilinearization for
436	the processing of spectral-pH data with strong spectral overlapping. Analysis of
437	fluoroquinolones in human urine based on flow-injection pH-modulated synchronous
438	fluorescence data matrices. Analyst 134, 1682–1691.
439	Bortolato, S.A., Arancibia, J.A., Escandar, G.M., 2008. Chemometrics-assisted excitation-
440	emission fluorescence spectroscopy on nylon membranes. Simultaneous
441	determination of $benzo[a]$ pyrene and $dibenz[a,h]$ anthracene at parts-per-trillion levels

in the presence of the remaining EPA PAH priority pollutants as interferences. Anal. 442

Chem. 80, 8276-8286. 443

444	Bravo, M., Lespes, G., De Gregori, I., Pinochet, H., Gautier, M.P., 2005. Determination of		
445	organotin compounds by headspace solid-phase microextraction-gas		
446	chromatography-pulsed flame-photometric detection (HS-SPME-GC-PFPD). Anal.		
447	Bioanal. Chem. 383, 1082–1089.		
448	Bro, R., 1997. PARAFAC. Tutorial and applications. Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 38, 149-		
449	171.		
450	Bro, R., Kiers, H.A.L., 2003. A new efficient method for determining the number of		
451	components in PARAFAC models. J. Chemometrics 17, 274-286.		
452	Cao, D., Jiang, G., Zhou, Q., Yang, R., 2009. Organotin pollution in China: An overview of		
453	the current state and potential health risk. J. Environm. Manage. 90, S16-S24.		
454	Colombini, V., Bancon Montigny, C., Yang, L., Maxwell, P., Sturgeon, R.E., Mester, Z.,		
455	2004. Headspace single-drop microextration for the detection of organotin		
456	compounds. Talanta, 63, 555–560.		
457	Culzoni, M.J., Goicoechea, H.C., Ibañez, G.A., Lozano, V.A., Marsili, N.R., Olivieri, A.C.,		
458	Pagani, A.P., 2008. Second-order advantage from kinetic-spectroscopic data matrices		
459	in the presence of extreme spectral overlapping: A multivariate curve resolution-		
460	Alternating least-squares approach. Anal. Chim. Acta 614, 46–57.		
461	de Carvalho Oliveira, R., Erthal Santelli, R., 2010. Occurrence and chemical speciation		
462	analysis of organotin compounds in the environment: A review. Talanta 82, 9–24.		
463	de Juan, A., Tauler, R., 2001. Comparison of three-way resolution methods for non-trilinear		
464	chemical data sets. J. Chemometrics 15, 749–772.		
465	de Juan, A., Tauler, R., 2006. Multivariate curve resolution (MCR) from 2000: Progress in		
466	concepts and applications. Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem. 36, 163-176.		

467	Díez, S., Abalos, M., Bayona, J.M., 2002. Organotin contamination in sediments from the
468	Western Mediterranean enclosures following 10 years of TBT regulation. Water Res.
469	36, 905–918.

- 470 Escandar, G.M., Faber, N.M., Goicoechea, H.C., Muñoz de la Peña, A., Olivieri, A.C.,
- 471 Poppi, R.J., 2007. Second- and third-order multivariate calibration: data, algorithms
 472 and applications. Trends Anal. Chem. 26, 752–765.
- 473 EPA. Environmental ProtectionAgency. Aquatic Life Criteria for Tributyltin (TBT). Fact
- 474 Sheet. Final Criteria. http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/
 475 aqlife/pollutants/tributyltin/fs-final.cfm. Accessed: October 2011.
- 476 Fent, K., 1996. Ecotoxicology of organotin compounds. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 26, 1–117.
- Fent, K., Woodin, B.R., Stegeman, J.J., 1998. Effects of triphenyltin and other organotins
 on hepatic monooxygenase system in fish. Comp. Biochem. Phys. C 121, 277–288.
- 479 Fent, K., 2004. Ecotoxicological effects at contaminated sites. Toxicology 205, 223–240.
- González, A.G., Herrador, M.A., Asuero, A.G., 1999. Intra-laboratory testing of method
 accuracy from recovery assays. Talanta 48, 729–736.
- Gurden, S.P., Westerhuis, J.A., Bro, R., Smilde, A.K., 2001. A comparison of multiway
 regression and scaling methods. Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 59, 121–136.
- Hoch, M., 2001. Organotin compounds in the environment an overview. App. Geochem.
 16, 719–743.
- 486 http://www.ub.edu/mcr/web_mcr/download.html. Accessed: October 2011.
- 487 http://www.chemometry.com/Index/Links%20and%20downloads/Programs.html.
- 488 Accessed: October 2011.
- 489 Jaumot, J., Gargallo, R., de Juan, A., Tauler, R., 2005. A graphical user-friendly interface

- 490 for MCR–ALS: a new tool for multivariate curve resolution in MATLAB. Chemom.
 491 Intell. Lab. Syst. 76, 101–110.
- Kungolos, A., Hadjispyrou, S., Petala, M., Tsiridis, V., Samaras, P., Sakellaropoulos, G.P.,
 2004. Toxic Properties of metals and organotin compounds and their interactions on *Daphnia Magna and Vibrio fischeri*, Water, Air, and Soil Pollution: Focus, 4, 101–
 110.
- Lambropoulou, D.A., Konstantinou, I.K., Albanis, T.A., 2007. Recent developments in
 headspace microextraction techniques for the analysis of environmental contaminants
 in different matrices. J. Chromatogr. A 1152, 70–96.
- Leal, C., Granados, M., Prat, M.D., Compañó, R., 1995. Labelling of organotin compounds
 for fluorimetric detection. Talanta 42, 1165–1170.
- Lozano, V.A., Ibañez, G.A., Olivieri, A.C., 2009A. A novel second-order standard addition
 analytical method based on data processing with multidimensional partial least squares and residual bilinearization. Anal. Chim. Acta 651, 165–172.
- 504 Lozano, V.A., Tauler, R., Ibañez, G.A., Olivieri, A.C., 2009B. Standard addition analysis
- 505of fluoroquinolones in human serum in the presence of the interferent salicylate using506lanthanide-sensitized excitation-time decay luminescence data and multivariate curve507resolution. Talanta 77, 1715–1723.
- Lozano, V.A., Ibañez, G.A., Olivieri, A.C., 2010. Second-order analyte quantitation under
 identical profiles in one data dimension. A dependency-adapted partial least squares/residual bilinearization method Anal. Chem. 82, 4510–4519.
- Mzoughi, N., Lespes, G., Bravo, M., Dachraoui, M., Potin Gautier, M., 2005. Organotin
 speciation in Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia). Sci. Total Environ. 349, 211–222.

- 513 Olivieri, A.C., 2008. Analytical advantages of multivariate data processing. One, two, 514 three, infinity? Anal. Chem. 80, 5713–5720.
- 515 Olivieri, A.C., Wu, H.L., Yu, R.Q., 2009. MVC2: a MATLAB graphical interface toolbox 516 for second-order multivariate calibration. Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 96, 246–251.
- 517 Olivieri, A.C., Escandar, G.M., Muñoz de la Peña, A., 2011. Second-order and higher-order 518 multivariate calibration methods applied to non-multilinear data using different 519 algorithms. Trends Anal. Chem. 30, 607–617.
- Saurina, J., Leal, C., Compañó, R., Granados, M., Tauler, R., Prat, M.D., 2000.
 Determination of triphenyltin in sea-water by excitation–emission matrix
 fluorescence and multivariate curve resolution. Anal. Chim. Acta 409, 237–245.
- Shioji, H., Tsunoi, S., Harino, H., Tanaka, M., 2004. Liquid-phase microextraction of
 tributyltin and triphenyltin coupled with gas chromatography-tandem mass
 spectrometry: Comparison between 4-fluorophenyl and ethyl derivatizations. J.
 Chromatogr. A 1048, 81–88.
- Smilde, A.K., Tauler, R., Saurina, J., Bro, R., 1999. Calibration methods for complex
 second-order data. Anal. Chim. Acta 398, 237–251.
- Solé, M., 2000. Effects of tributyltin on the MFO system of the clam *Ruditapes decussata*:
 a laboratory and field approach. Comp. Biochem. Phys. C 125, 93–101.
- Tauler, R. 1995. Multivariate curve resolution applied to second order data. Chemom.
 Intell. Lab. Syst. 30, 133–146.
- 533 The Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, 2003.

535	Toste, R., Fernández, M.A., de Araújo Pessoa, I., Alves Parahyba, M., Pereira Dore, M.,
536	2011. Organotin pollution at Arraial do Cabo, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil: increasing
537	levels after the TBT ban. Brazil. J. Oceanogr. 59, 111–117.
538	www.models.kvl.dk/algorithms. Accessed: October 2011.
539	
540	
541	
542	
543	
544	
545	
546	
547	
548	
549	
550	
551	
552	
553	
554	
555	
556	
557	
558	

Figure 1. PARAFAC excitation (A) and emission (B) loadings of free morine (long dashed-blue line), TBT-morin complex (solid-red line) and a combined contribution attributed to both MBT and DBT interferents (short dashed-green line), as obtained for a typical sample from set 2. MCR–ALS excitation (C) and emission (D) loadings of the same components as obtained for the same sample from set 2. Loadings have been normalized to unit length.

Figure 2. (A) Excitation spectral profiles of free morine (long dashed-blue line), TBTmorin complex (solid-red line) and a combined contribution attributed to metalmorine complex interferents (short dashed-dark yellow line) obtained after applying MCR-ALS to a typical sample from set 3, and those corresponding to two calibration samples containing analyte concentrations of 25 and 50 μ g L⁻¹ (as indicated). The vertical lines separate the three samples. (B) Emission profiles obtained after applying MCR-ALS to the same sample of set 3. Loadings have been normalized to unit length.

Figure 3. (A) Excitation spectral profiles of free morine (long dashed-blue line), TBTmorin complex (solid-red line) and unknown interferent (short dashed-pink line) obtained after applying MCR-ALS to a spiked river sample, and those corresponding to three calibration samples containing analyte concentrations of 0, 25 and 50 μ g L⁻¹ (as indicated). The vertical lines separate the four samples. (B) and (C) Emission profiles obtained after applying MCR-ALS to river and seawater samples,

582	respectively. Short dashed-light green line in (C) corresponds to a unknown
583	intereferent in the seawater sample. Loadings have been normalized to unit length.
584	

585	Figure 4. Plot for TBT	predicted concentrations by	y MCR–ALS in real samples, as a

586 function of the nominal values (the solid line is the perfect fit), and the elliptical joint

587 region (at 95% confidence level) for the slope and intercept of the regression of the

588 data. The black point marks the theoretical (intercept = 0, slope = 1) point.