QUALITY/ SAFETY SECTION

Introducing the Journal's Quality/Safety Section

Kristin Hahn-Cover^{1,2}, Catherine Messick Jones¹

¹Division of Hospital Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri Health Care, Columbia, MO. ²Chief Quality Officer, University of Missouri Health Care, Columbia, MO.

Correspondence: Kristin Hahn-Cover. One Hospital Dr. Columbia, MO 65212 (hahncoverk@health.missouri.edu)

Received: October 18, 2017 Accepted: October 23, 2017 Published: November 9, 2017

Am J Hosp Med 2017 Oct;1(4):2017.032 https://doi.org/10.24150/ajhm/2017.032

With this issue, we are launching a Quality/Safety Section to focus on quality improvement, patient safety topics and methods important to Hospitalists.

The Institute of Medicine captured national and international attention with the book, *To Err is Human*, published in 2000. The authors estimated the annual number of deaths from health care errors in the United States between 44,000 and 98,000 people. The following year, the Institute of Medicine published *Crossing the Quality Chasm*, which articulated the elements of high-quality health care as: safe, timely, equitable, effective, efficient and patient-centered. The authors called health care organizations, professional groups, and payers to action in improving each of these key dimensions.

In The Improvement Guide: A Practical Approach **Enhancing** Performance,³ authors **Organizational** Langley et al. presented a Model for Improvement. The first step is to answer the question "What we are trying accomplish?", or "What problem are we trying to solve?". The second step is measurement: "How will we know that a change is an improvement?". The third step is to define an intervention: "What change can we make that will result in an improvement?". Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles are used to test, refine, add and abandon interventions based on how effectively they move the measure(s) from baseline to desired performance levels. We don't succeed when we implement a change; we succeed when we improve performance.

How do Hospitalists identify and close quality gaps? We must assess our own performance, and the performance of the complex systems within which we operate, and identify where the care we deliver falls short of the best care possible. We can each lead meaningful improvements in the care of our patients, the functions of our teams, and the performance of our hospitals—starting today. Hassles, frustrations, processes that seem harder than they should be, processes that are variable or inconsistent—all of these present opportunities for improvement. At the other end of the spectrum, we have an imperative to improve when a patient is harmed or put at risk by the care that we provide.

How can we prevent that harm, or reduce that risk? The Langley Model for Improvement provides a structured approach for tackling these gaps and problems. The approach is as useful for reducing the number of times in a day your pager goes off as it is for reducing the number of patients with central line associated bloodstream infection.

The Standards for Quality Improvement Reporting Excellence

(SQUIRE) guidelines⁴ provide a framework for reporting health care improvements. While our improvements are "local" and shaped by people, culture and context, using the SOUIRE 2.0 framework to document the improvement work facilitates improvement team's ability to spread and scale up the changes, and accelerate organizational improvement. The SQUIRE guidelines also prepare you to report improvement work outside of your organization, in manuscripts, and in publications. With a deliberate approach, engagement in quality improvement allows you to improve patient care, improve organizational performance, and build an academic portfolio.

What problems are you solving? We welcome your submissions to the Quality/Safety Section.

Notes

Author contributions: All authors have seen and approved the manuscript, and contributed significantly to the work.

Financial support: Authors declare that no financial assistance was taken from any source.

Potential conflicts of interest: Authors declare no conflicts of interest. Authors declare that they have no commercial or proprietary interest in any drug, device, or equipment mentioned in the submitted article.

References

- 1. Kohn LT, Corrigan J, Donaldson MS, editors. *To* err is human: building a safer health system. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000.
- Committee on Quality Health Care in America, Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001.
- 3. Langley GL, Nolan KM, Nolan TW, Norman CL, Provost LP. *The improvement guide: a practical approach to enhancing organizational performance.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1996.
- 4. Ogrinc G, Davies L, Goodman D, Batalden PB, Davidoff F, Stevens D. SQUIRE 2.0 (Standards for QUality Improvement Reporting Excellence): Revised publication guidelines from a detailed

consensus process. *BMJ Quality and Safety*. Online first, September 15, 2015.