Indian J. Phys. 79(4), 367-371 (2005)



# Thermal properties of copper and aluminum at high temperatures

Deepika Kandpal, K Y Singh and B R K Gupta\*

Department of Physics, G B Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263 145, Uttaranchal, India

E-mail : brkg@nediffmail com

Received 22 June 2004, accepted 11 February 2005

Abstract : We investigate the thermo-elastic properties such as thermal expansion and expansivity of close packed phases of copper and aluminum upto their melting temperatures. The properties are calculated using the integral form of the equation of state (IFEOS) recently proposed by Singh and Gupta assuming the fact that the Anderson parameter  $(\delta_T)$  strongly depends on temperature due to contribution from the thermal excitation of electrons. The values of thermal expansion  $(V/V_0)$ , thermal expansivity  $(\alpha_T)$  and bulk modulus  $(K_T)$  as determined in the present study, agree closely with the data based on temperature-dependent experimental studies.

Keywords : Thermal expansivity, bulk modulus, Cu, Al metals

PACS Nos. : 64.10.+h, 64.30.+t

#### 1. Introduction

In the past few years, a number of scientists [1-3] have pursued the various methods to calculate the equation of state (EOS) of metals at high pressures and high temperatures. By using these equations of state, they have determined the pressure and specific internal energy of the metals as a function of density and temperature. The EOS provides a severe test to solid-state theories, as this depends on the nature of the interionic interactions present in the system. The thermal expansion, which is the function of interionic interaction, thus plays a central role in describing the high temperature behaviour of solids [4,5]. For practical purposes, the EOS provides an easy way to calculate and to predict a variety of thermoelastic and thermodynamic properties of a given solid.

Among the number of isothermal and isobaric EOS described earlier [1-3, 6-8], we prefer the EOS due to Singh and Gupta [9] because of its simple and straightforward applications in high temperature physics. In the past, several equations of state have been derived within the Mie-Gruneisen approximation in terms of the low pressure, and the temperature-dependence is

Corresponding Author

introduced into the EOS through some thermal pressure [10,11]. Vinet et al [12] then developed the temperaturedependent EOS by modelling the variations of bulk modulus with temperature and a known experimental value of the thermal expansion. This model is however, applicable under the assumption that the Anderson parameter ( $\delta_T$ ) is a temperature-independent and remains constant even in high temperature range. It has been recently noted [13] that the results on the temperaturedependent elastic properties improve if the linear dependence of Anderson parameter ( $\delta_T$ ) with temperature is assumed. This assumption has also been followed by Singh and Gupta [9] in obtaining the integral form of the EOS. In the present investigation, we have thus employed Singh and Gupta's (IFEOS) to calculate the thermal expansion and bulk modulus properties of metals Al and Cu. The aim of the present work is to extend our previous work further to test the validity and applicability of our previous EOS [9] to the variety of solids including metals. In Section 2, we have presented the method of analysis. The results obtained for Cu and Al metals, have been discussed in Section 3.

## 2. Method of analysis

The standard definition of thermal expansivity  $(\alpha_T)$  can be written as follows :

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{V} \left( \frac{dV}{dT} \right)_{P} \,. \tag{1}$$

The expression for the temperature dependence of  $\alpha$  as obtained by Anderson *et al* [14] can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 [1 - \alpha_0 \delta_T (T - T_0)]^{-1}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\delta_T$  is the Anderson–Gruneisen parameter and is assumed to be a temperature-independent parameter. It is defined as

$$\delta_T = -\frac{1}{\alpha K_T} \left( \frac{dK_T}{dT} \right)_P.$$
(3)

In view of eqs. (1) and (3), $\delta_T$  can also be written in the following form

$$\delta_T = -\frac{V}{K_T} \left( \frac{dK_T}{dV} \right)_P \tag{4}$$

or

$$\frac{dK_{T}}{K_{T}} = -\delta_{T} \left( \frac{dV}{V} \right).$$
(5)

By integrating eq. (5) at constant pressure, assuming that  $\delta_T$  remains constant, we get

$$\frac{K_T}{K_T^0} = \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{-\delta_T} \tag{6}$$

or

$$\frac{V}{V_0} = \left(\frac{K_T}{K_T^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_T}}.$$
(7)

The eq. (3) can also be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{dK_T}{dT}\right)_P = -\alpha K_T \delta_T \tag{8}$$

which on integration, gives

$$K_T = K_T^0 [1 - \alpha_0 \delta_T (T - T_0)]. \tag{9}$$

On substituting the eq. (9) into the eq (7), one may obtain the following expression for  $V/V_0$ .

$$\frac{V}{V_0} = \left[1 - \alpha_0 \delta_T \left(T - T_0\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{\delta_T}}.$$
(10)

The equations (2, 9 and 10) have been derived earlier

[14] under the high temperature approximation, assuming that the Anderson parameter  $\delta_T$  and the product  $\alpha K_T$  are temperature-independent parameters. Motivated with this situation, Singh and Gupta [9] have recently derived the integral form of the equation of state (IFEOS) considering the fact that  $\delta_T$  varies with temperature and hence. cannot be assumed temperature-independent parameter. However, the product  $\alpha K_T$  is assumed to be constant and thus is a temperature-independent parameter in our formulation, too. Our assumption in the present investigation is quite consistent with the equilibrium condition of the crystal at the reference temperature  $T_{0}$ . the calculated values correspond to the room temperature values or the experimental values measured at room temperature. The empirical dependence of Anderson-Gruneisen parameter ( $\delta_T$ ) with temperature is assumed as [15,16]

$$\delta_T = \delta_T^0(X)^k \,, \tag{11}$$

where  $\delta_T^0$  is the value of Anderson-Gruneisen parameter at  $T = T_0$ , and  $X = T/T_0$ ,  $T_0$  is the reference temperature. k is the dimensionless thermo-elastic parameter which can be calculated from the slope of the graph plotted between log  $\delta_T$  and log  $(T/T_0)$ . On substitution of eq (11) into the eq. (3) and following the procedure of the previous workers [9], we obtained the following new expression for  $K_T/K_0$ ,

$$\frac{K_T}{K_0} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha_0 \delta_T^0}{T_0^k (k+1)} \left( T^{k+1} - T_0^{k+1} \right) \right].$$
(12)

The expression for thermal expansion  $(V/V_0)$  can similarly be obtained by making use of eq. (5) as follows :

$$dK_T = -K_T \delta_T \, \frac{dV}{V} \,. \tag{13}$$

By differentiating the eq. (12), we can get,

$$dK_T = -\frac{\alpha_0 K_0 \delta_T^0}{T_0^k} T^k dT . \qquad (14)$$

Substituting eq. (14) into the eq. (13), we get

$$\frac{dV}{V} = \frac{\alpha_0}{\left[1 - \frac{\alpha_0 \delta_T^0}{T_0^k (k+1)} (T^{k+1} - T_0^{k+1})\right]} dT .$$
(15)

The integration of eq. (15) gives us the new equation of state for thermal expansion, which is finally defined as

368

$$\frac{V}{V_0} = \exp\left[\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\alpha_0}{\left[1 - A\left(T^{k+1} - T_0^{k+1}\right)\right]} dT\right],$$
(16)

where  $A = \alpha_0 \delta_T^0 / T_0^k (k+1)$ . Similarly, the expression for thermal expansivity obtained by Singh and Gupta [9] can be written as

$$\frac{\alpha_T}{\alpha_0} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_0 \delta_T^0}{T_0^k (k+1)} \left( T^{k+1} - T_0^{k+1} \right)^{-1}$$
(17)

The expressions (12, 16, 17) have already been used by Singh and Gupta to explain the thermo-elastic properties of minerals CaO and MgO. An excellent agreement with the available experimental data has been reported.

In the present investigation, we have used these equations for the time to predict the thermal expansion and bulk modulus properties of metals (Cu and Al) under the effect of high temperature. Though the various approaches based on potential model have been used earlier, yet our method of calculation is not only simple and straightforward but also predicts better results as compared to those obtained by using potential models.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Our present results confirm that the integral form of EOS determines the temperature-dependence of the thermodynamical properties of metals as good as of the minerals. The values of input data used in the present EOS are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Input data taken from Refs. [17.21].

Metals	<i>α</i> <sub>0</sub> (10 <sup>-5</sup> K <sup>-i</sup> )	K <sub>0</sub> (GPa)	$\delta_r^0$
Cu	5.04	133	57
Al	6.96	72.8	7.76

It can be noted from the Tables 2 and 3 that the values of thermal expansion, thermal expansivity and bulk modulus as achieved in the present work for Cu and Al metals, are very close to the available experimental values. The calculated values are plotted with temperature and compared with experimental values and also with those calculated by previous workers [17-20,22]. It is evident from the graphs that the results obtained by using the eqs. (12, 16, 17), are much better than those values predicted by using the various potential models [22]. The value of thermo-elastic parameter (k) in this equation has been found as -0.017 for copper (Cu) and -0.23 for aluminum (Al).

Table 2. Values of  $V/V_0$ ,  $\alpha_T$  (in unit of 10 <sup>-5</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_T$  (GPa) for Cu.

Temperatur	e Ca	Calculated in		Experimental			Values taken		
(K)	pro	present study			from [17-20]			[22]	
	V/V <sub>0</sub>	$\alpha_1$	K <sub>1</sub>	V/V <sub>0</sub>	ar	K <sub>T</sub>	V/Vo	<i>a</i> <sub>7</sub>	
300	1	5.04	133	1	5.04	133	-		
400	1.0051	5.18	129.2	1 0052	5.18	129.5	1.0055	5.28	
500	1.0104	5.35	125.3	1.011	5.35	124.5	1.0109	5.49	
600	1.0159	5 51	121.5	1.0164	5.53	121.8	1.0166	5.67	
700	1.0216	5.69	117.8	1.0215	5.71	118.2	1.0224	5.85	
800	1.0276	5.87	114.1	1.0287	5.89	115	1.0284	6.09	
900	1.0337	6.08	110.2	1.0338	6.12	-	1.0348	6.39	
1000	1.0401	6.29	106.5	1.0403	6.33		1.0415	6.72	
1100	1.0468	6.53	102.7	1.0472	6.53	-	-	-	
1200	1.0538	6.77	99	1.0551	6.82	_	1.0562	7.47	
1300	1.0611	7.03	95.3	1.0626	7.32	-	1.0642	7.74	

**Table 3.** Values of  $V/V_0$ ,  $\alpha_7$  (in unit of  $10^{-5}K^{-1}$ ) and  $K_7$  (GPa) for Al.

Temperature	Cal	culated	ın	Experimental from [17–20]		Values taken [22]	
(K)	pre	sent stud	iy				
	V/V <sub>0</sub>	ατ	Kr	$\alpha_{1}$	KT	V/V <sub>0</sub>	$\alpha_{T}$
300	1	6.96	72.8	6 96	72.8	1	-
350	1.0035	7.15	70.9	7.23	71.3	-	-
400	1 0072	7.34	69.0	7.47	69.6	1.008	7.53
450	1.0109	7.54	67.2	7.68	67.8		-
500	1.0148	7 75	65.4	7.92	65.8	1.0156	7.92
550	1.0188	7.97	63 6	8.18	63.5	-	
600	1.0229	8.19	61.9	8.49	61.0	1.0238	8.52
650	1.0272	8.40	60.3		-		-
700	1.0315	8.63	58.7	-	-	1 0329	9.27
750	1.0361	8.87	57.1	-		-	
800	1.0407	9.13	55.5			1.0428	10.20



Figure 1. Variation of relative thermal expansion  $(V/V_0)$  with temperature for Cu.

On the basis of overall discussions, it may be concluded that our model merits the attention for the selection of the reference thermodynamic state required to generate the EOS, since one may select any class of solids. Our methodology is useful especially for solids (variety structure solids), which have the Debye temperature  $\Theta_D$  value higher than the room temperature.



Figure 2. Variation of relative thermal expansion  $(V/V_0)$  with temperature for Al.



Figure 3. Variation of thermal expansivity  $(\alpha_T)$  with temperature for Cu.



Figure 4. Variation of thermal expansivity ( $\alpha_7$ ) with temperature for Al.



Figure 5. Variation of bulk modulus  $(K_T)$  with temperature for Cu.



Figure 6. Variation of bulk modulus  $(K_T)$  with temperature for Al.

This study may have tremendous impact in high-pressure or high-temperature researches where the results at different temperatures are required.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions. The present research work supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (New Delhi, India), under research Project No. 03 (0939)/02/EMR-II, is duly acknowledged.

#### References

- [1] C Friedli and N W Ashcroft Phys. Rev. B12 5552 (1975)
- [2] M Ross Phys. Rev. B21 3140 (1980)
- [3] B K Godwal, S K Sikka and R Chidambaram Phys. Rev. B20 2362 (1979)
- [4] E Gruneisen Handbuch der Physik vol-10 (Berlin : Springer) 22 (1926)
- [5] M Born and K Huang Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattice (Oxford Oxford University press) 50 (1954)
- [6] P Vinet, J Ferrante, J R Smith and J H Rose J. Phys. C19 L46 (1986)
- [7] G Parsafar and J A Mason Phys. Rev B19 3049 (1994)
- [8] P Kuchhal and N Dass Pramana-J. Phys. 52 75 (1999)
- [9] K Y Singh and B R K Gupta Physica B334 266 (2003)
- [10] V N Zharkov and V A Kalmin Equation of State for Solids at High Pressures and High Temperatures (New York : Plenum) (1971)
- [11] S Eliezer, A Ghatak and H Hora An Introduction to Equations of State : Theory of Applications (Cambridge : Cambridge University Press) (1988)
- [12] P Vinet, J R Smith, J Ferrante and J H Rose Phys. Rev. B35 1945 (1987)
- [13] A Prasad, M Singh amd B R K Gupta Indian J. Phys. 76A 291 (2002)
- [14] OL Anderson, DG Isaak and H Oda Rev. Geophysics 30 57 (1992)
- [15] S S Kushwah, P K Sharma and J Shanker Indian J. Phys. 71A 429 (1997)

# Thermal properties of copper and aluminum at high temperatures

- [16] M Kumar and S S Bedi Phys. Stat. Solidi. (b) 88 645 (1995)
- [17] D C Wallace Handbook of Thermodynamics of Crystals (Canada John Wiley) 463 (1972)
- [18] R O Simmons and R W Balluffi Phys. Rev. 129 1533 (1963)
- [19] T A Hahn J. Appl. Phys. 41 5096 (1970)

- [20] Y A Chang and L Himmel J. Appl. Phys. 37 3567 (1966)
- [21] M Taravillo, V G Baonza, J E F Rubio, J Nunez and M Caceres J. Phy. Chem. Solids 63 1705 (2002)
- [22] Y S Touloukian (ed.) Thermo-physical Properties of Matter Vol-12 (New York : Plenum) (1975)