

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Macro-Climatic Distribution Limits Show Both Niche Expansion and Niche Specialization among C<sub>4</sub>Panicoids

Lone Aagesen<sup>1</sup>\*, Fernando Biganzoli<sup>2</sup>, Julia Bena<sup>1</sup>, Ana C. Godoy-Bürki<sup>1</sup>, Renata Reinheimer<sup>3</sup>, Fernando O. Zuloaga<sup>1</sup>

1 Instituto de Botánica Darwinion (CONICET-ANCEFN), Labarden 200, San Isidro, B1642HYD, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2 Departamento de Métodos Cuantitativos y Sistemas de Información, Facultad de Agronomía, UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 3 Instituto de Agrobiotecnologia del Litoral, Santa Fe de la Vera Cruz, Santa Fe, Argentina

\* laagesen@darwin.edu.ar

# Abstract

Grasses are ancestrally tropical understory species whose current dominance in warm open habitats is linked to the evolution of  $C_4$  photosynthesis.  $C_4$  grasses maintain high rates of photosynthesis in warm and water stressed environments, and the syndrome is considered to induce niche shifts into these habitats while adaptation to cold ones may be compromised. Global biogeographic analyses of C<sub>4</sub> grasses have, however, concentrated on diversity patterns, while paying little attention to distributional limits. Using phylogenetic contrast analyses, we compared macro-climatic distribution limits among ~1300 grasses from the subfamily Panicoideae, which includes 4/5 of the known photosynthetic transitions in grasses. We explored whether evolution of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis correlates with niche expansions, niche changes, or stasis at subfamily level and within the two tribes Paniceae and Paspaleae. We compared the climatic extremes of growing season temperatures, aridity, and mean temperatures of the coldest months. We found support for all the known biogeographic distribution patterns of  $C_4$  species, these patterns were, however, formed both by niche expansion and niche changes. The only ubiquitous response to a change in the photosynthetic pathway within Panicoideae was a niche expansion of the C<sub>4</sub> species into regions with higher growing season temperatures, but without a withdrawal from the inherited climate niche. Other patterns varied among the tribes, as macro-climatic niche evolution in the American tribe Paspaleae differed from the pattern supported in the globally distributed tribe Paniceae and at family level.

# Introduction

Grasses originated as tropical understory species, adapted to humid and shaded conditions, but have since developed into one of the most widespread, versatile, and species rich plant families on earth [1-3]. Grasses have reached all continents and adapted to all terrestrial ecosystems [4], yet niche evolution and the functional traits that underlie climatic range expansion in



# GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Aagesen L, Biganzoli F, Bena J, Godoy-Bürki AC, Reinheimer R, Zuloaga FO (2016) Macro-Climatic Distribution Limits Show Both Niche Expansion and Niche Specialization among  $C_4$ Panicoids. PLoS ONE 11(3): e0151075. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075

Editor: Frank Alexander Feltus, Clemson University, UNITED STATES

Received: December 16, 2015

Accepted: February 23, 2016

Published: March 7, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Aagesen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina (<u>http://www.conicet.gov.ar</u>, research grant -PIP 0207), National Geographic Society (grant nos. 8365-07 and 8862-10 supported Field work), Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica, Argentina (<u>http://www.agencia.mincyt.gob.ar/</u>, research grant - PICT 0207), and Myndel Botanical Foundation supported field work.



**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

grasses are poorly understood [2,5]. Macroecological analyses that combine species distributions with phylogeny and GIS-based climate data have uncovered aspects of niche evolution in a wide range of taxa [6,7]. Within grasses, macroecological niche studies are presently aiming to pinpoint where new functional traits may have been acquired, as well as examining the correlation between putative key innovations and niche evolution [3,8,9].

 $C_4$  photosynthesis is considered one of the key innovations that allowed grasses to radiate in warm and open habitats [1,10]. The C<sub>4</sub> pathway has evolved independently more than 60 times among angiosperms and 22–24 times within the grass family [11,12]. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis is a syndrome, which requires modification of several genes, inducing both anatomical and biochemical modifications of the ancestral  $C_3$  pathway [1,10,13]. Although  $C_4$  photosynthesis is energetically more expensive than C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis, it nearly eliminates photorespiration, which reduces the productivity of the C3 pathway in CO2-depleted, hot, and water-stressed habitats [10]. By reducing photorespiration, the  $C_4$  syndrome is more productive in a wide range of tropical and subtropical habitats. However, the syndrome is less competitive at low temperatures where photorespiration declines, which may explain why C<sub>4</sub> plants are rare in cold climates [14]. C<sub>4</sub> grasses dominate all tropical and subtropical savannahs and constitute most of the grass flora in warm arid regions with summer rain [15]. At a global scale the  $C_4$ syndrome is found in less than 3% of all plant species but these are estimated to produce nearly a quarter of earth's primary production [10,16]. Several key crops are  $C_4$  grasses (e.g., maize and sugarcane), but, on the other hand, many weeds and invasive species are  $C_4$  grasses too [17]. Understanding the evolution and ecological advantage of the C<sub>4</sub> syndrome is therefore crucial not only for grass evolution, but for a wide range of disciplines including crop management as well as the history and ecology of tropical grasslands and their response to climate changes.

Long standing efforts to understand the biogeography of  $C_4$  grasses have described and quantified local and global diversity patterns of  $C_4$  species (see [15] and references therein). More recently, macroecological studies have aimed to quantify niche evolution in  $C_4$  grasses by comparing macro-climatic distribution data between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  grasses in a phylogenetic context [3,8,18]. The most comprehensive of these analyses [3] found consistent differences in the mean values of annual rainfall and seasonality among closely related  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species, but surprisingly, no differences among the temperature variables. The mean precipitation values of the  $C_3$  species were high enough to maintain a closed canopy vegetation, while the values of the  $C_4$  species were slightly lower and more seasonal corresponding to open vegetation. Macroclimatic data therefore seems to support that a change from  $C_3$  to  $C_4$  photosynthesis in grasses correlates with a habitat shift from the tropical understory to the tropical savannah system, and that  $C_4$  species occupy drier regions than their  $C_3$  relatives but not necessarily warmer ones [3].

Studies on macro-climatic niche evolution in grasses have, however, only examined species mean values not climatic limits. Some of the reasons for this are that public available distribution databases are error-prone and possible incomplete, which complicate obtaining reliable distribution limits in global data sets [19], however mean values are less affected. Yet, the niche concept as well as large scale biogeographic patterns are based on distributional limits [7]. Niches can expand, contract, or shift [20], and these changes bear different implications on what may be considered the evolutionary and ecological advantages of the  $C_4$  syndrome. The evolution of  $C_4$  photosynthesis may either correlate with a niche specialization or an expansion, depending on whether both or only a single climatic extreme changes position; in the latter case,  $C_4$  species become generalists that succeed in a wide range of habitats including those occupied by their closest  $C_3$  relatives. Recent analyses within the grass species *Alloteropsis semialata* (R. Br.) Hitchc. that contains both  $C_4$  and non- $C_4$  genotypes suggest that a niche expansion may be the initially response to a change in the photosynthetic pathway, while niche specialization is a delayed response that requires speciation within the new habitat [21]. When macro-climatic distribution data is used to explore niche evolution, both of these niche changes may alter the mean values of the  $C_4$  species, but the patterns can be distinguished if the climatic extremes are compared; this is the aim of the present study.

 $C_4$  photosynthesis has evolved several times within grasses but only among the tropical and subtropical subfamilies gathered in the PACMAD clade (subfamilies Panicoideae, Arundinoideae, Chloridoideae, Micrairoideae, Aristidoideae, and Danthonioideae, [12]). All but five of the photosynthetic transitions are found in the subfamily Panicoideae sensu [12], which offers a rare opportunity to compare several parallel origins of the  $C_4$  syndrome among closely related species. The three main Panicoideae tribes comprise approximately half of the species in the PACMAD clade and nearly 30% of all grasses [12].

Here we explore whether the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions correlate with a general pattern of macroclimatic niche evolution in the subfamily Panicoideae, using available distribution records to infer climatic extremes of three variables: aridity (AI), mean temperature of the warmest quarter (MTWQ), and mean temperature of the coldest quarter (MTCQ). We analysed the response both at subfamily level and within the subtribes Paspaleae and Paniceae that contain most of the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions within Panicoideae [12]. For each variable, we explored whether  $C_4$  photosynthesis correlates with niche stasis, niche expansions, or a full niche changes.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Most studies that analyse macro-climatic niche evolution in a phylogenetic context rely on the taxon sampling available for phylogenetic analyses. However, within Panicoideae (~3560 spp.) the two tribes that contain most of the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transformations, Paspaleae and Paniceae, include ~2170 species [22] among which less than 20% are available for phylogenetic analyses (see <u>Phylogenetic Analyses</u> below). The ratio of  $C_3$  to  $C_4$  species is ~1/5 within the two tribes but  $\sim 1/2$  in the phylogenetic data set. This bias probably arose because panicoids have been sampled to address phylogenetic relationships above generic level, and not to analyse niche evolution. Sampling efforts have concentrated on the polyphyletic genus Panicum; where most of the newly segregated genera consist of C<sub>3</sub> species. In contrast, the major C<sub>4</sub> genera are severely undersampled in Panicoideae. The distribution data available through the Global Biodiversity Information Facility GBIF (www.gbif.org) is more complete. GBIF contains data for ~44% of the species in the two tribes and the  $C_3/C_4$  ration is ~1/3. The distribution data set is consequently more complete, and we use this for comparing climatic extremes within Panicoideae. However, DNA sequences are lacking for more than half of the species in this data set. To place these manually within the phylogeny, several nodes had to be collapsed, as the monophyly of various genera largely remain untested.

#### Distribution and climate data

We downloaded all georeferenced species from the subfamily Panicoideae through GBIF (accessed November 2011 to December 2013). All species names were validated or synonymized according to the Catalogue of New World grasses ([23] updated at <u>www.tropicos.org</u>) or the Plant List (<u>www.theplantlist.org</u>). Intermediate  $C_3$ - $C_4$  species and species with five or fewer georeferenced locations were excluded. A total of 1307 species (nearly 40% of the subfamily) and more than 450.000 locality records were included in the final distribution analyses.

Because publically available distribution databases are error-prone and potentially incomplete [19], studies on macro-climatic niche evolution mostly examine species mean values not climatic limits. One potential problem is that the available records may or may not capture the geographic and/or macro-climatic ranges of the species (see [19]). However, grasses are





Fig 1. Macro-climatic ranges of the 1307 panicoid species from the climate data set including 237  $C_3$  and 1070  $C_4$  species ignoring phylogenetic relationships. The line widths of the bars are proportionate to the number of species in each bin. All quantitative analyses treated the Aridity Index (AI) and the Mean Temperature of the Coldest and Warmest Quarters (MTCQ and MTWQ) as continuous characters, but the variables are here binned for illustrative purposes. Following the United Nations Environment Programme we use the AI categories: <0.03 hyper arid, 0.03–0.2 arid, 0.2–0.5 semi-arid, 0.5–0.65 dry sub-humid, >0.65 humid. Following the Köppen climate classification, the limit between tropical and subtropical climates falls at approx. 18°C.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.g001

economical important and well collected in many areas. Grasses may therefore be one of the better candidates for a comparative study on climatic extremes. Within Panicoideae, the macro-climatic minimum values, for the large majority of the species, fall in North America, southern South America, Australia, and southern Africa. Because grasses are economically important in these regions, they are well collected, and the records are available through GBIF. The maximum values fall in tropical regions of America, Africa, and Asia–where grasses are less collected. This bias should, however, affect  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species alike. Rarefaction plots of the data are found in S1 Fig Rarefaction.

Temperature variables were extracted from BioClim (<u>http://www.worldclim.org</u> [24]) at a spatial resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes while aridity data was extracted from CGIAR-CSI at a spatial resolution of 30 arc-seconds (<u>http://www.cgiar-csi.org</u>).

Minimum and maximum values were extracted for each climatic variable for each species based on GBIF distribution data. We excluded 5% of the records at each extreme, to avoid distortion from misidentified species, spatially-imprecise georeferences, errors caused during digitalization of the herbarium specimens etc. The final climate data set is found in <u>S1 Table</u> Climate Data Set. The macro-climatic ranges contained in the climate data set are shown for  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species in Fig 1.

**Temperature.** We explore whether the different temperature response of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  photosynthesis affects the distribution of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  panicoids, by analysing climatic extremes of both the 'Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter" and the 'Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter". We based all quantitative analyses on continuous values but used bins of 5°C for graphic and descriptive purposes. According to the Köppen climate classification (see [25]), the limit for tropical climate coincides with a year round average temperature of 18°C or higher. In the present study, the limit for tropical climate mainly falls in the 15°-20°C bin except in Australia and Northern Africa where it falls in the 20°C-25°C because arid climate (like central Australia and Sahel/Sahara) is not considered tropical in the Köppen climate classification.

Aridity. Compared to the  $C_3$  pathway, the  $C_4$  syndrome has an inherited higher water use efficiency, and there has been much discussion in the literature as to whether the  $C_4$  syndrome is an adaptation to arid environments or merely improves the conditions for adapting to these (see [15,26] and references therein). Variables related to precipitation are therefore included in all  $C_4$  niche analyses. Because aridity is related to both rainfall and temperature, we compared climatic extremes among  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species using the aridity index (AI = Mean Annual Precipitation/Mean Annual Potential EvapoTranspiration) extracted from the CGIAR-CSI Global Aridity and Global PET Geospatial Database [27]. We based all quantitative analyses on continuous values but used the categories established by the United Nations Environment Programme for graphic and descriptive purposes: Aridity Index (AI): <0.03 Hyper Arid, 0.03–0.2 Arid, 0.2–0.5 Semi-Arid, 0.5–0.65 Dry sub-humid, >0.65 Humid [27]. Grasslands (incl. savannahs and shrublands) are found in all categories except in hyper arid regions, but grasslands become the dominant biome in semi-arid regions while desert and forest dominate drier or more humid regions respectively [28,29].

#### Phylogenetic analyses

We searched GenBank for sequences of *ndhF*, *rbcL*, and *trnL* for all available species of Panicoideae. The matrix included a total of 487 species of which 412 belong to one of the two tribes Paspaleae and Paniceae. We assembled the matrix with the program GB-to-TNT [<u>30</u>] calling Mafft for the alignment step [<u>31</u>]. Parsimony analyses were done using the program TNT ver. 1.1 [<u>32</u>], treating gaps as missing data.

Bayesian inferences of phylogenetic trees were done with MrBayes 3.1 [33] through the CIPRES portal [34]. Partitions were allowed to evolve under different models according to the JModel test 2.0 [35], using the GTR + I + G substitution model for *ndhF* and HKY +I +G for *rbcL* and *trnL*. Two different analyses, each of four parallel chains, were run for 20 736 000 generations, sampling a tree each 1000 generations and with a burn-in period of 2 500 000. The convergence of the MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) run and the adequacy of the burn-in length were confirmed using the program tracer v1.6.0 [36].

#### Analyses of the climate data set

To analyse general trends within Panicoideae, we tested whether the upper and lower climate extremes differed among  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species using Phylogenetic Generalized Least Squares (PGLS) regression [37,38]. PGLS regressions were done using the program R [39] and the package nlme [40], with correlation structures calculated from phylogenetic trees using the package ape [41]. For an input tree, we used a collapsed working phylogeny [42] including all 1307 taxa for which climate data was available. Branch lengths and topology were obtained from the Bayesian analyses, however, in the working phylogeny where more than half of the terminals lacked DNA sequence data, we only retained nodes that were both well supported and well sampled in recent phylogenetic studies (Table 1). The terminal taxa without sequence

Table 1. Nodes retained in the working phylogeny used for the PGLS regressions. At suprageneric level, we retained nodes that appear in several published phylogenetic analyses. At generic level we retained nodes that were both well supported and well sampled in recent phylogenetic studies. Node number refers to subtribes in Figs 2–4 and S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree. Number of species refers to the number of species in each clade for which climate data was available (a total of 1307 species, marked in bold).

Таха	nr node	nr sp	Photosynthesis	References
Chasmanthieae+Zeugiteae		16	C <sub>3</sub>	[ <u>12]</u>
Chasmanthieae		6	C <sub>3</sub>	[12]
Zeugiteae		10	C <sub>3</sub>	[12]
Tristachyideae+Centotheceae+Cyperochloeae+Thysanolaeneae		50	$C_3 + C_4$	[12]
Tristachyideae		44	C <sub>4</sub>	[12]
Centotheceae+Cyperochloeae+Thysanolaeneae		6	C <sub>3</sub>	[12]
Gynerieae+Arundinelleae+Andropogoneae+Paspaleae+Paniceae		1241	$C_3 + C_4$	[12]
Arundinelleae+Andropogoneae+Paspaleae+Paniceae+Reynaudia		1240	$C_3 + C_4$	[12]
Arundinelleae+Andropogoneae		319	C <sub>4</sub>	[12]
Arundinelleae		13	C <sub>4</sub>	[12]
Andropogoneae		306	$C_4$	[12]
Paspaleae		349	$C_3 + C_4$	[12,46]
Arthropogoninae+Otachyriinae		61	$C_3 + C_4$	[ <u>12]</u>
Arthropogoninae	2	45	$C_3 + C_4$	[12,46]
Arthropogoninae p.p. unresolved		29	$C_3 + C_4$	
Apochloa		10	C <sub>3</sub>	<u>[47]</u>
Coleataenia		6	$C_4$	48
Otachyriinae	1	16	$C_{3} + C_{4}$	[49]
Anthaenantia		3	$C_4$	<u>[49]</u>
Otachyriinae p.p.		13	C <sub>3</sub>	[49]
Paspalinae		288	$C_{3} + C_{4}$	[12,46]
Paspalinae p.p. unresolved		24	C <sub>3</sub>	
Ocellochloa		10	C <sub>3</sub>	50
Renvoizea		6	$C_4$	[47]
Streptostachys+Axonopus		50	$C_3 + C_4$	[ <u>12]</u>
Streptostachys		1	C <sub>3</sub>	<u>[46]</u>
Axonopus		49	$C_4$	[51]
Paspalum+Aakia+Anthaenantiopsis+Osvaldoa		198	$C_4$	[ <u>52]</u>
Paspalum		195	C <sub>4</sub>	[12,46]
Aakia+Anthaenantiopsis+Osvaldoa		3	$C_4$	[52]
Paniceae		571	$C_3 + C_4$	[ <u>12,46]</u>
Anthephorinae		72	$C_4$	[ <u>12,46</u> ]
Boivinellinae	6	59	$C_3 + C_4$	[12,46]
Boivinellinae p.p. unresolved		29	C <sub>3</sub>	
Alloteropsis		4	C <sub>4</sub>	53
Echinochloa		21	C <sub>4</sub>	[12]
Parodiophyllochloa		5	C <sub>3</sub>	<u>[54]</u>
Neurachninae	4	17	$C_3 + C_4$	[12,46]
Ancistrachne+Calyptochloa+Cleistochloa		7	C <sub>3</sub>	[12]
Neurachne+Paraneurachne		7	$C_3 + C_4$	55
Thyridolepis		3	C <sub>3</sub>	55
Dichantheliinae	3	43	C <sub>3</sub>	[56]
Dichanthelium		41	C <sub>3</sub>	56
Adenochloa		2	C <sub>3</sub>	[56]
SubCladeB	5	47	C <sub>3</sub>	[12,46]

(Continued)



#### Table 1. (Continued)

Таха	nr node	nr sp	Photosynthesis	References
SubCladeC+Melinidinae+Panicinae+Cenchrinae		333	C <sub>3</sub> + C <sub>4</sub>	[12,46]
SubCladeC	7	2	C <sub>3</sub>	[12,46]
Melinidinae+Panicinae+Cenchrinae		331	$C_4$	[12,46]
Melinidinae+Panicinae		179	$C_4$	57
Melinidinae		99	$C_4$	[12,46]
Panicinae		80	$C_4$	[12,46]
Cenchrinae		152	C <sub>4</sub>	[12,46]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.t001

data were pasted into these polytomies and were either assigned the mean branch length of the terminals in the 487 taxon phylogeny, or alternatively, we used the mean branch length among the terminals within each retained clade. We also analysed the 1307 taxon working phylogeny setting all branch lengths equal. For each input tree, we compared five models, one without phylogenetic correlation and four models with variations in the phylogenetic correlation: Brownian motion,  $\lambda$  of [43], g of [44], and  $\rho$  of [37], selecting the model with lowest AIC.

To analyse distribution patterns at a lower taxonomic scale that may differ from the general trends [45], we repeated the PGLS regressions in the individual tribes Paspaleae and Paniceae, with branch lengths set as in the analyses at subfamily level.

# Results

#### Phylogenetic analyses

We obtained the same topology as published in recent parsimony and Bayesian analyses (e.g., [12,46]). Also in agreement with earlier analyses, tribes and subtribes were monophyletic and mostly well supported, while the relationships among and within the subtribes were poorly resolved. The strict consensus tree and branch supports from the parsimony analysis are shown in S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree; names of tribes and subtribes follow [46] and [56]. Depending on the topology, there are 16–19  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions within the Panicoideae. The major  $C_4$  clades are the tribe Andropogoneae, the genus *Paspalum* (tribe Paspaleae), and the subtribes Melinidinae, Panicinae, and Cenchrinae (tribe Paniceae). The  $C_4$  syndrome differs in anatomical details among the latter subtribes and is considered to have evolved independently in each [46].

# Analyses of the climate data set

Fig 1 shows the macro-climatic ranges of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> species within the data set after eliminating 5% of the records at each climatic extreme. Figs 2–4 show the climatic extremes in the phylogenetic context used in the PGLS regressions. The results of the PGLS regressions are found in Table 2. In all cases the AIC criterion pointed to Grafen or Pagel as the best fitting model, but except for a single case (marked with an \* in Table 2) none the alternative models with  $\Delta AIC \leq 10$  contradicted the results shown in Table 2.

**Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter.** Consistent with the known global biogeographic pattern of  $C_4$  species, the majority of the  $C_4$  panicoids are found in regions where the MTWQ lie above 15°C, though several species reach areas with lower temperatures (Fig 1). The maximum MTWQ for most  $C_4$  species lie between 25°-35°C. The distribution of the  $C_3$ panicoids is similar to the one observed among  $C_4$  species, but very few  $C_3$  species appear in regions where the MTWQ reach above 30°C or below 15°C.





**Fig 2. The climatic extremes of MTWQ in the 1307 panicoid grasses included in the climate data set.** The topology shows the nodes retained in the working phylogeny used in the phylogenetic contrast analyses of the climate data set. The circle cladogram has been rooted in *Gynerium* for illustrative purpose, in the phylogenetic contrast analyses the cladogram was rooted as in S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree. Branch lengths were obtained from the Bayesian analyses, in the present tree; terminal taxa were assigned the mean branch length within each terminal clade (for details of the nodes see the 400 taxa cladogram, S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree). Clades with gray background colour are C<sub>4</sub> clades. C<sub>4</sub> species that do not form a clade are marked with gray lines (in Arthropogoninae and Neurachninae). Branch numbers refer to names of subtribes in Table 1. All quantitative analyses treated the MTWQ as a continuous character, but for illustrative purposes the values have been binned in the figure. Cen. = Centotheceae, Cyp. = Cyperochloeae, Thy. = Thysanolaeneae.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.g002

PLOS ONE

When analysing the climatic extremes in a phylogenetic context (Fig.2), MTWQ was the only climate variable that produced an invariable pattern at subfamily level and within both subtribes (Table 2). In all analyses the  $C_4$  species had significant higher maximum values compared to the  $C_3$  species, while the minimum values were indistinguishable.

Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter. Most panicoids are found in regions where the MTCQ lie above  $15^{\circ}$ C, but both photosynthetic types reach areas with lower temperatures including regions with subzero MTCQ (Fig 1).

When analysing the climatic extremes in a phylogenetic context (Fig 3), the MTCQ maximum and minimum values of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species were indistinguishable at subfamily level and within the cosmopolite tribe Paniceae. However, within the American tribe Paspaleae, the minimum MTCQ values of the  $C_4$  species were significantly lower than the minimum values of the  $C_3$  species, while the maximum values were indistinguishable.

**Aridity.** Panicoid grasses are mainly found in semi-arid to humid climate, irrespectively of photosynthetic pathway (Fig 1). Most species have broad macro-climatic AI ranges, but some  $C_4$  species are restricted to semi-arid regions—a distribution pattern that is uncommon among the  $C_3$  panicoids. Several  $C_4$  panicoids furthermore reach into arid climate (occasionally hyper-arid), a pattern that is also uncommon among the  $C_3$  panicoids.

When analysing the climatic extremes in a phylogenetic context (Fig 4), the PGLS regressions found lower AI values in both climatic extremes among  $C_4$  species compared to  $C_3$  species (Table 2). These differences were significant in all analyses at subfamily level and within the tribe Paniceae. Within Paspaleae only the minimum values differed significantly from the values of the  $C_3$  species, and only in one of the analyses, while no differences were found among the maximum values (Table 2).

#### Discussion

The present study supports that niche expansion into hotter climates is one of the main responses to a change from  $C_3$  to  $C_4$  photosynthesis among panicoid grasses. In fact, significantly higher MTWQ maximum values of the  $C_4$  species was the only ubiquitous response to  $C_4$  evolution within the subfamily Panicoideae (Table 2). A niche change into more arid climate was also supported at family level and within the cosmopolite tribe Paniceae but not in the American tribe Paspaleae.

Because the  $C_4$  pathway is more productive than  $C_3$  photosynthesis at high temperatures, a niche expansion of the  $C_4$  panicoids into warmer climates is to be expected, even if earlier phylogenetic contrast studies on grasses did not find support for the pattern [3]. In the subfamily Panicoideae, several species from all major  $C_4$  clades reach regions with MTWQ >30°C while few  $C_3$  panicoids reach temperatures this high (Fig 1). Within the American tribe Paspaleae, the  $C_4$  lineages reach slightly lower maximum MTWQ (Fig 2), but the values are still significant higher than the values among the related  $C_3$  species (Table 2). We did not find evidence for a macro-climatic niche specialization to hot climate among the  $C_4$  species.  $C_4$  panicoids that reach regions with high temperatures are also found areas where the MTWQ is similar to





**Fig 3. The climatic extremes of MTCQ in the 1307 panicoid grasses included in the climate data set.** The topology shows the nodes retained in the working phylogeny used in the phylogenetic contrast analyses of the climate data set. The circle cladogram has been rooted in *Gynerium* for illustrative purpose, in the phylogenetic contrast analyses the cladogram was rooted as in S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree. Branch lengths were obtained from the Bayesian analyses, in the present tree; terminal taxa were assigned the mean branch length within each terminal clade (for details of the nodes see the 400 taxa cladogram, S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree). Clades with gray background colour are C<sub>4</sub> clades. C<sub>4</sub> species that do not form a clade are marked with gray lines (in Arthropogoninae and Neurachninae). Branch numbers refer to names of subtribes in Table 1. All quantitative analyses treated the MTCQ as a continuous character, but for illustrative purposes the values have been binned in the figure. Cen. = Centotheceae, Cyp. = Cyperochloeae, Thy. = Thysanolaeneae.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.g003

PLOS ONE

the temperatures occupied by the largely tropical  $C_3$  panicoids (Figs <u>1</u> and <u>2</u>), and no differences could be detected in the minimum MTWC values (<u>Table 2</u>).

Expansion into arid regions and withdrawal from humid ones were the second most common response to  $C_4$  evolution in the subfamily, but it was only partly supported in the American tribe Paspaleae (<u>Table 2</u>). Differences in both minimum and maximum AI values were found both at family level and within the cosmopolite tribe Paniceae, while in Paspaleae, the PGLS regressions found significant differences in maximum AI values in a single analysis only and no differences in the minimum AI values among the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species (<u>Table 2</u>).

Panicoid species from arid regions mainly belong to the globally distributed tribes Andropogoneae (all  $C_4$ ) and Paniceae (Fig 4). The tribe Paniceae furthermore contains the Australian subtribe Neurachninae, which includes the only known  $C_3$  panicoids adapted to open dry habitats [58]. However, in the climate data set most of the Paniceae species that reach arid regions belong to the C<sub>4</sub> subtribes Cenchrinae, Melinidinae, and Panicinae (see S1 Table Climate Data Set). In contrast to the arid adapted  $C_3$  species, there is little in the distribution pattern of the  $C_4$  species to suggest that these are drought resistant. Half of the  $C_4$  species that reach arid regions are annuals that may complete their life cycles under brief periods of rain, and several of the climatic wide-ranging perennials have been collected in microsites such as creeks and river banks in the drier part of their ranges. Most notable, comparative physiological studies of climatic wide-ranging and mesic  $C_4$  grasses as well as their  $C_3$  relatives show that the performance advantages of the  $C_4$  species are reduced or even lost under drought [59,60]. Although some of the C<sub>4</sub> panicoids restricted to arid climates could prove to be drought resistant, most climatic wide-ranging C<sub>4</sub> species may simply be microhabitat specialists that rely on efficient dispersal and high growth rates to maintain part of their populations within arid regions. Only field studies can determine whether the climatic wide-ranging C<sub>4</sub> panicoids are generalists or simply very efficient microhabitat specialists. Being microhabitat specialists in the extreme part of their climate ranges, rather than dry adapted, would explain how climatic wide-ranging C4 species maintain their fitness to both inherited and new climatic conditions, and, if gene flow between populations is high enough, the general lack of species specialized to the latter [61,62].

While the higher water use efficiency of the  $C_4$  syndrome is considered to favour niche expansion of  $C_4$  species into warmer and/or drier climate, the lack of competitiveness at low temperature may hinder the  $C_4$  species from adapting to cold ones [14]. Nevertheless, in Panicoideae most subtribes include  $C_4$  species that reach regions with MTCQ below 0°C (Fig.3). Freezing tolerance during the dormant state may be relatively easy to acquire for both  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species [14], but the  $C_4$  syndrome requires high daylight temperatures, to be competitive during the growing season [14]. Field studies show that  $C_4$  species from cold regions are restricted to warm microsites [14,63], which suggest that traits related to dispersal and recruitment, rather than cold adaptation of the  $C_4$  syndrome, maintain these edge populations.

The  $C_3$  pathway is not constrained by low temperatures [14], yet we found no evidence suggesting that  $C_3$  panicoids are more successful than  $C_4$  species in cold climates. None of the PGLS regressions found differences in the minimum MTWQ values among  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  panicoids, while the minimum MTCQ values differed within the American tribe Paspaleae where







**Fig 4. The climatic extremes of the Aridity Index in the 1307 panicoid grasses included in the climate data set.** The topology shows the nodes retained in the working phylogeny used in the phylogenetic contrast analyses of the climate data set. The circle cladogram has been rooted in *Gynerium* for illustrative purpose, in the phylogenetic contrast analyses the cladogram was rooted as in S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree. Branch lengths were obtained from the Bayesian analyzes, in the present tree; terminal taxa were assigned the mean branch length within each terminal clade (for details of the nodes see the 400 taxa cladogram, S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree). Clades with gray background colour are C<sub>4</sub> clades. C<sub>4</sub> species that do not form a clade are marked with gray lines (in Arthropogoninae and Neurachninae). Branch numbers refer to names of subtribes in Table 1. All quantitative analyses treated the Aridity Index as a continuous character, but for illustrative purposes the values have been binned into the categories established by the United Nations Environment Programme: <0.03 hyper arid, 0.03–0.2 arid, 0.2–0.5 semi arid, 0.5–0.65 dry sub-humid, >0.65 humid. Cen. = Centotheceae, Cyp. = Cyperochloeae, Thy. = Thysanolaeneae.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.g004

the  $C_4$  species reach regions with lower temperatures than the  $C_3$  species (Table 2). A large number of  $C_4$  species from a wide range of taxonomic groups are known to occur in regions with low winter temperatures and some of these tolerate occasional subzero temperatures during the growing season [14]. Within Paspaleae, the genus *Paspalum* in particular is known to include several high Andean species. However, while the  $C_3$  Paspaleae are restricted to regions where the MTCQ remain above 10°C (Fig 3),  $C_4$  species from all three Paspaleae subtribes can

Table 2. Results from the phylogenetic contrasts comparing range limits between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species in the climate data set containing 1307 panicoid grasses. The PGLS regressions were performed at subfamily level (Panicoideae), and in the tribes Paspaleae and Paniceae. The model with lowest AIC value was selected as the best fitting model. P-values in bold indicate significant differences in means among  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species. Branch lengths: 1: all branch lengths equal; 2: length of internal branches from Bayesian trees, length of terminal branches mean of all terminals in Bayesian trees; 3: length of internal branches as in 2, length of terminal branches mean of terminals in each individual clade of the Bayesian trees.

Clade	variable	Branch lengths	best-fitting model	estimated mean $C_3$	estimated mean $C_4$	C <sub>4</sub> Relative to C <sub>3</sub>	Р
Panicoideae	Al max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	1.59	1.28	-0.30	0.0033
	Al min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	0.64	0.46	-0.18	<0.0001
	MTCQ max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	21.00	22.22	1.22	0.1073
	MTCQ min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	13.99	13.12	-0.87	0.4618
	MTWQ max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	26.56	28.17	1.61	<0.0001
	MTWQ min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	20.71	21.09	0.38	0.5756
Paspaleae	AI max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	1.60	1.50	-0.10	0.3711
	Al min	1	Pagel	0.72	0.63	-0.09	0.05*
		2	Pagel	0.72	0.63	-0.09	0.0518
		3	Pagel	0.72	0.63	-0.09	0.0528
	MTCQ max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	22.18	22.8800	0.70	0.3606
	MTCQ min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	16.82	14.21	-2.61	0.045
	MTWQ max	1, 2	Grafen	25.60	27.17	1.57	<0.0001
		3	Pagel	25.67	27.08	1.41	<0.0001
	MTWQ min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	20.84	20.73	-0.11	0.9045
Paniceae	AI max	1	Pagel	1.51	1.05	-0.46	0.01
		2	Pagel	1.54	1.08	-0.46	0.0206
		3	Pagel	1.51	1.08	-0.43	0.025
	Al min	1, 2, 3	Grafen	0.62	0.37	-0.25	<0.0001
	MTCQ max	1	Pagel	19.79	21.05	1.26	0.3731
		2	Pagel	20.18	21.44	1.26	0.3896
		3	Pagel	19.90	21.46	1.56	0.3038
	MTCQ min	1	Pagel	11.96	11.64	0.32	0.8647
		2	Pagel	12.09	12.01	-0.08	0.9654
		3	Pagel	12.05	12.16	0.11	0.9561
	MTWQ max	1, 2, 3	Grafen	27.04	28.43	1.39	0.0105
	MTWQ min	1	Pagel	21.00	21.65	0.65	0.4286
		2, 3	Grafen	20.42	21.32	0.90	0.3309

\*not significant under Grafen Delta AIC = 2.6.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151075.t002

be found in areas with low winter temperatures in North and South America, and the expansion of  $C_4$  species into regions with low MTCQ is, in this study, supported as a general trend within the tribe (Table 2).

Although expansion of C<sub>4</sub> species into regions with low winter temperatures is only supported in the tribe Paspaleae,  $C_4$  panicoids are diverse in regions with subzero MTCQ (Fig.3). In Paniceae both C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> lineage reach regions with subzero MTCQ and the minimum MTCQ for both  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species in Paniceae were notably lower that in Paspaleae (Table 2). Most of the  $C_3$  panicoids that reach low temperatures do, however, belong to a single Nearctic radiation of the genus Dichanthelium (Dichantheliinae, see Fig.3 and S2 Fig Phylogenetic tree), which also includes the only C3 panicoids restricted to cold regions. Nearctic Dichanthelium species may, nevertheless, prove to be restricted by the same temperature requirements as the  $C_4$  species; their geographical northern limit concur with the  $C_4$  species, and in the climate data set Dichanthelium only appears in regions where the growing season temperatures reach the values reported for  $C_4$  species. Some phenological stages within the growing season may therefore show to have conserved niches within the Panicoideae and restrict the distribution of both C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> species, but phenological data must be included in niche studies to examine this. At present, the climate data set suggests that  $C_3$  panicoids are not more successful than their C<sub>4</sub> relatives in cold climates and that more C<sub>4</sub> than C<sub>3</sub> panicoid lineages have reached cold regions. This is in agreement with recent ecophysiological studies that suggest C4 species have no intrinsic barrier to developing freezing tolerance, and that in some ecosystems the chance of developing freezing resistance may depend more on the capacity for drought resistance than on photosynthetic pathway [64,65].

Paspaleae constitutes the grass tribe with the highest number of closely related  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  lineages; it contains nearly half of the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions in Panicoideae, and includes the most species rich  $C_4$  genus of the grass family, *Paspalum*. Yet, in the tribe Paspaleae, the response to a change in the photosynthetic pathway differed from what was supported at subfamily level and within the cosmopolitan tribe Paniceae. Lundgren et al. [21] who studied the response of a transition in the photosynthetic pathway within the species *Alloteropsis semialata* (tribe Paniceae), found that  $C_4$  photosynthesis acted as a niche opener, which allowed the  $C_4$  specimens to occupy a wide range of new environments. Given enough time, speciation within the broader  $C_4$  niche could lead to specialization to some of these new environments. How taxonomic groups respond to a change in the photosynthetic pathway is therefore not only conditioned by the physiological advantages of the  $C_4$  syndrome but also by the genetic background of the  $C_3$  species that gave rise to a given  $C_4$  lineage [66,67], by the time since the transition [21], and by the availability, proximity, and extension of new niches [68].

The Paspaleae  $C_4$  species have expanded their niches into warmer—but not dryer–climates, and into regions with lower winter temperatures (Table 2). This distribution pattern supports that the common observed niche expansion of  $C_4$  grasses into arid macro-climates may be a delayed response, which only relates indirectly to  $C_4$  photosynthesis and requires further trait evolution [26]. The American tribe Paspaleae is most diverse in the Neotropics [23] where arid regions with summer rain are of relatively limited extension compared arid regions in Australia and Africa. Species from the older cosmopolite  $C_4$  grass subfamilies Chloridoideae and Aristidoideae are common in these arid parts of America, but within Paspaleae only a few  $C_4$  species reach arid sites (Fig 4). While the American continent is relatively humid, compared to Africa and Australia, the Andes chain provides a 7000 km long steep temperature gradient that runs through all of South American. The different response to  $C_4$  photosynthesis found within Paspaleae may simply reflect that several of the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions within Paspaleae seem to be of relatively young ages (see [10] and references therein), and that the composition and extensions of available niches in America differ from those found in other tropical continent. In the climate data set (S1 Table), several closely related  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  Paspaleae species have nearly identical macro-climatic ranges and most of these are found in the Neotropical savannah system. Recent macroecological studies suggest that  $C_4$  species are closely related to  $C_3$ species from shaded habitats [3,18]. However, the Neotropical savannah system is a mosaic landscape with patches of open grassland, savannah, and gallery forests [69], and grasses from shaded or open habitats are sympatric at a macroecological scale (e.g., [70]). Field observations, not macroecological analyses, must therefore address whether the  $C_3 \rightarrow C_4$  transitions correlate with habitat shifts within these savannahs. It should also be noted that several of the Neotropical  $C_3$  panicoids are open habitat species that occupy the well drained part of the savannah, some are fire resistant, and some are robust tussock grasses that may be locally dominant [71,72]. Which characters allowed the  $C_3$  species to invade open environments is unclear. Frequent shifts between open and shaded habitats happen in nearly all panicoid subtribes (see <u>S2</u> Fig Phylogenetic tree), and functional traits related to leaf form, rather than photosynthetic pathway, have been found to correlate with such habitat shifts in subtropical grasses [73].

# Conclusions

In all,  $C_4$  panicoid conform to the known distribution patterns observed for  $C_4$  species at a global scale.  $C_4$  panicoids occupy significant hotter and dryer regions than their closest  $C_3$  relatives, and may also reach colder ones as long as the growing season temperatures are high enough. However, by comparing climatic extremes rather than diversity patterns or climatic values of centre populations, we found that these distribution patterns have been formed by a mixture of niche expansions, niche changes, and niche stasis among the  $C_4$  panicoids. Only niche expansion into hotter climate was a ubiquitous response to evolution of  $C_4$  photosynthesis within the subfamily, while the remaining patterns varied among subtribes and taxonomic level. This supports recent analyses suggesting that the ecophysiological advantages of the  $C_4$  syndrome act as a niche opener, which improve chance of survival after long distance dispersal [21]. Which new environments become colonized is then dependent both on the species inherited niche as well as available habitats and the history of chance dispersal within each  $C_4$  lineage.

# **Supporting Information**

**S1 Fig. Rarefaction.** (PDF)

**S2 Fig. Phylogenetic tree.** (PDF)

**S1 Table. Climate Data Set.** (XLSX)

# Acknowledgments

We thank editor Alex Feltus and an anonymous reviewer for improving the present manuscript, and Santiago Catalano, Elizabeth Kellogg, Gitte Petersen, and Rowan Sage for helpful comments on earlier versions. We are grateful to the Willi Hennig Society who made TNT freely available.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: LA FB. Analyzed the data: LA FB JB RR. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LA JB ACGB. Wrote the paper: LÅ RR FOZ.

#### References

- 1. Kellogg EA. The Grasses: A Case Study in Macroevolution. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 2000; 31(1):217–38.
- Kellogg EA. Update on Evolution Evolutionary History of the Grasses 1. Plant Physiol. 2001; 125:1198–205. PMID: 11244101
- Edwards EJ, Smith SA. Phylogenetic analyses reveal the shady history of C4 grasses. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2010; 107(6):2532–7. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0909672107</u> PMID: <u>20142480</u>
- Clayton WD, Renvoize SA. Genera graminum. Grasses of the World. Kew Bull Addit Ser. 1986; 13:1– 389.
- Edwards EJ, Osborne CP, Stromberg CAE, Smith SA, Bond WJ, Christin PA, et al. The Origins of C4 Grasslands: Integrating Evolutionary and Ecosystem Science. Science (80-). 2010; 328(5978):587–91.
- Kozak KH, Graham CH, Wiens JJ. Integrating GIS-based environmental data into evolutionary biology. Trends Ecol Evol. 2008; 23(3):141–8. doi: <u>10.1016/j.tree.2008.02.001</u> PMID: <u>18291557</u>
- Wiens JJ. The niche, biogeography and species interactions. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2011; 366(1576):2336–50. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0059 PMID: 21768150
- Liu Q, Peterson PM, Ge X. Phylogenetic signals in the realized climate niches of Chinese grasses (Poaceae). Plant Ecol. 2011; 212(10):1733–46.
- Humphreys AM, Linder HP. Evidence for recent evolution of cold tolerance in grasses suggests current distribution is not limited by (low) temperature. New Phytol. 2013; 198(4):1261–73. doi: <u>10.1111/nph.</u> 12244 PMID: 23528107
- Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F. Photorespiration and the Evolution of C4 Photosynthesis. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2012; 63(1):19–47.
- Sage RF, Christin PA, Edwards EJ. The C4 plant lineages of planet Earth. J Exp Bot. 2011; 62 (9):3155–69. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err048 PMID: 21414957
- Grass Phylogeny Working Group II. New grass phylogeny resolves deep evolutionary relationships and discovers C4 origins. New Phytol. 2012; 193(2):304–12. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03972.x</u> PMID: <u>22115274</u>
- Christin PA, Osborne CP. The recurrent assembly of C4 photosynthesis, an evolutionary tale. Photosynth Res. 2013; 117(1–3):163–75. doi: 10.1007/s11120-013-9852-z PMID: 23703454
- Sage RF, Kocacinar F, Kubien DS. C4 Photosynthesis and Temperature. In: Agepati S. Raghavendra, Sage RF, editors. C4 Photosynthesis and Related CO2 Concentrating Mechanisms. Springer Netherlands; 2011. p. 161–95.
- Sage RF, Wedin DA, Li M. The Biogeography of C4 Photosynthesis: Patterns and Controlling Factors. In: Sage RF, Monson RK, editors. C4 Plant Biology. Academic Press; 1999. p. 313–73.
- Still CJ, Berry JA, Collatz GJ, DeFries RS. Global distribution of C3 and C4 vegetation: Carbon cycle implications. Global Biogeochem Cycles. 2003 Mar; 17(1):6–1–6–14.
- 17. D'Antonio C, Vitousek PM. Biological Invasions by Exotic Grasses, the Grass Fire Cycle, and Global Change. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1992; 23(1):63–87.
- Pau S, Edwards EJ, Still CJ. Improving our understanding of environmental controls on the distribution of C3 and C4 grasses. Glob Chang Biol. 2013; 19(1):184–96. doi: <u>10.1111/gcb.12037</u> PMID: <u>23504730</u>
- Maldonado C, Molina CI, Zizka A, Persson C, Taylor CM, Albán J, et al. Estimating species diversity and distribution in the era of Big Data: to what extent can we trust public databases? Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2015; 24(8):973–84.
- Pearman PB, Guisan A, Broennimann O, Randin CF. Niche dynamics in space and time. Trends Ecol Evol. 2008; 23(3):149–58. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.005 PMID: 18289716
- Lundgren MR, Besnard G, Ripley BS, Lehmann CER, Chatelet DS, Kynast RG, et al. Photosynthetic innovation broadens the niche within a single species. Ecol Lett. 2015; 18(10):1021–9. doi: <u>10.1111/</u> <u>ele.12484</u> PMID: <u>26248677</u>
- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Zuloaga FO, Judziewicz EJ, et al. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae). J Syst Evol. 2015; 53(2):117–37.
- 23. Zuloaga FO, Morrone O. Catalogue of New World grasses (Poaceae): III. Subfamilies Panicoideae, Aristidoideae, Arundinoideae, and Danthonioideae. Smithson Contrib to Bot. 2003; 59:1–63.
- Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int J Climatol. 2005; 25(15):1965–78.
- 25. Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci. 2007; 11(5):1633–44.

- 26. Osborne CP, Freckleton RP. Ecological selection pressures for C4 photosynthesis in the grasses. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2009; 276(1663):1753–60.
- Trabucco A, Zomer R. Global aridity index (global-aridity) and global potential evapo-transpiration (global-PET) geospatial database. CGIAR Consort Spat Information Publ online, available from CGIAR-CSI GeoPortal <u>http://www.csi.cgiar.org/</u> (2009) Glob Arid Index Glob Potential Evapo-Transpiration Geospatial Database I. 2009;
- Safriel U, Adeel Z, Niemeijer D, Puigdefabregas J, White R, Lal R, et al. Dryland Systems. Ecosyst Hum Well-Being Curr State Trends Find Cond Trends Work Gr. 2005; 917.
- Zomer RJ, Trabucco A, Bossio D a., Verchot L V. Climate change mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development mechanism afforestation and reforestation. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2008; 126(1–2):67–80.
- Goloboff PA, Catalano SA. GB-to-TNT: Facilitating creation of matrices from GenBank and diagnosis of results in TNT. Cladistics. 2012; 28(5):503–13.
- Katoh K, Toh H. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. Brief Bioinform. 2008; 9(4):286–98. doi: <u>10.1093/bib/bbn013</u> PMID: <u>18372315</u>
- Goloboff PA, Farris JS, Nixon KC. TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. Cladistics. 2008; 24 (5):774–86.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, et al. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. Syst Biol. 2012; 61 (3):539–42. doi: <u>10.1093/sysbio/sys029</u> PMID: <u>22357727</u>
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). 2010. p. 1–8.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(8):772–772.
- Rambaut A, Suchard. M, Xie D, Drummond A. Tracer v1.6. 2014; Available: <u>http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/</u> Tracer
- Grafen A. The Phylogenetic Regression. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Soociety of London, Series B. 1989. p. 119–57.
- **38.** Martins E, Hansen T. Phylogenies and the comparative method: a general approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of interspecific data. Am Nat. 1997; 149:646–67.
- **39.** Team R core, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria; 2012.
- 40. Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar S, Team RC, 2013. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1; p. 111.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. Bioinformatics. 2004; 20(2):289–90. PMID: <u>14734327</u>
- Garland T, Bennett AF, Rezende EL. Phylogenetic approaches in comparative physiology. J Exp Biol. 2005; 208(16):3015–35.
- Pagel M. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature. 1999; 401(6756):877–84. PMID: <u>10553904</u>
- Blomberg SP, Garland T, Ives AR. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. Evolution. 2003; 57(4):717–45. PMID: <u>12778543</u>
- Ackerly DD. Taxon sampling, correlated evolution, and independent contrasts. Evolution (N Y). 2000; 54(5):1480–92.
- Morrone O, Aagesen L, Scataglini MA, Salariato DL, Denham SS, Chemisquy MA, et al. Phylogeny of the Paniceae (Poaceae: Panicoideae): Integrating plastid DNA sequences and morphology into a new classification. Cladistics. 2012; 28(4):333–56.
- Sede SM, Morrone O, Giussani LM, Zuloaga FO. Phylogenetic studies in the Paniceae (Poaceae): A realignment of section Lorea of Panicum. Syst Bot. 2008; 33(2):284–300.
- Zuloaga FO, Scataglini MA, Morrone O. A phylogenetic evaluation of Panicum sects. Agrostoidea, Megista, Prionitia and Tenera (Panicoideae, Poaceae): Two new genera, Stephostachys and Sorengia. Taxon. 2010; 59(5):1535–46.
- 49. Acosta JM, Scataglini M a., Reinheimer R, Zuloaga FO. A phylogenetic study of subtribe Otachyriinae (Poaceae, Panicoideae, Paspaleae). Plant Syst Evol. 2014; 300(10):2155–66.
- Aliscioni S, Giussani L, Morrone O, Sede S, Zuloaga FO. Oncorachis and Sclerochlamys, two new segregated genera from Streptostachys (Poaceae, Panicoideae, Paniceae): a revision based on molecular, morphological and anatomical characters. Taxon. 2009; 58(2):365–74.

- López A, Morrone O. Phylogenetic Studies in Axonopus (Poaceae, Panicoideae, Paniceae) and Related Genera: Morphology and Molecular (Nuclear and Plastid) Combined Analyses. Syst Bot. 2012; 37(3):671–6.
- Lizarazu MA, Nicola M V., Salariato DL. Taxonomic re-evaluation of Panicum sections Tuerckheimiana and Valida (Poaceae: Panicoideae) using morphological and molecular data. Taxon. 2014; 63(2):265– 74.
- Ibrahim DG, Burke T, Ripley BS, Osborne CP. A molecular phylogeny of the genus Alloteropsis (Panicoideae, Poaceae) suggests an evolutionary reversion from C4 to C3 photosynthesis. Ann Bot. 2009; 103(1):127–36. doi: <u>10.1093/aob/mcn204</u> PMID: <u>18974099</u>
- Morrone O, Denham SS, Aliscioni SS, Zuloaga FO. Parodiophyllochloa, a New Genus Segregated from Panicum (Paniceae, Poaceae) Based on Morphological and Molecular Data. Syst Bot. 2008; 33 (1):66–76.
- Christin PA, Wallace MJ, Clayton H, Edwards EJ, Furbank RT, Hattersley PW, et al. Multiple photosynthetic transitions, polyploidy, and lateral gene transfer in the grass subtribe Neurachninae. J Exp Bot. 2012; 63(17):6297–308. doi: <u>10.1093/jxb/ers282</u> PMID: <u>23077201</u>
- Zuloaga FO, Salomón L, Scataglini M a. Phylogeny of sections Clavelligerae and Pectinatae of Panicum (Poaceae, Panicoideae, Paniceae): establishment of the new subtribe Dichantheliinae and the genus Adenochloa. Plant Syst Evol. 2015; 301(6):1693–711.
- Salariato DL, Zuloaga FO, Giussani LM, Morrone O. Molecular phylogeny of the subtribe Melinidinae (Poaceae: Panicoideae: Paniceae) and evolutionary trends in the homogenization of inflorescences. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2010; 56(1):355–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.02.009 PMID: 20152916
- Prendergast H, Hattersley P. Distribution and cytology of Australian Neurachne and its allies (Poaceae), a group containing C3, C4 and C3 -C4 Intermediate species. Aust J Bot. 1985; 33(3):317.
- Ripley B, Frole K, Gilbert M. Differences in drought sensitivities and photosynthetic limitations between co-occurring C3 and C4 (NADP-ME) Panicoid grasses. Ann Bot. 2010; 105(3):493–503. doi: <u>10.1093/aob/mcp307</u> PMID: <u>20106844</u>
- 60. Taylor SH, Ripley BS, Woodward FI, Osborne CP. Drought limitation of photosynthesis differs between C3 and C4 grass species in a comparative experiment. Plant Cell Environ. 2011; 34(1):65–75. doi: <u>10.</u> 1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02226.x PMID: 20825576
- 61. Kirkpatrick M, Barton NH. Evolution of a Species' Range. Am Nat. 1997; 150(1):1–23. PMID: 18811273
- 62. Kawecki TJ. Adaptation to Marginal Habitats. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2008; 39(1):321–42.
- **63.** Sage T, Sage R. Microsite characteristics of Muhlenbergia richardsonis (Trin.) Rydb., an alpine C 4 grass from the White Mountains, California. Oecologia. 2002; 132(4):501–8.
- Liu MZ, Osborne CP. Leaf cold acclimation and freezing injury in C3 and C4 grasses of the Mongolian Plateau. J Exp Bot. 2008; 59(15):4161–70. doi: 10.1093/jxb/em257 PMID: 18980952
- Liu MZ, Osborne CP. Differential freezing resistance and photoprotection in C3 and C4 eudicots and grasses. J Exp Bot. 2013; 64(8):2183–91. doi: <u>10.1093/jxb/ert075</u> PMID: <u>23599273</u>
- Kadereit G, Ackerly D, Pirie MD. A broader model for C4 photosynthesis evolution in plants inferred from the goosefoot family (Chenopodiaceae s.s.). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012; 279(1741):3304–11.
- Christin PA, Arakaki M, Osborne CP, Edwards EJ. Genetic Enablers Underlying the Clustered Evolutionary Origins of C4 Photosynthesis in Angiosperms. Mol Biol Evol. 2015; 32(4):846–58. doi: <u>10.1093/molbev/msu410</u> PMID: 25582594
- Edwards EJ, Donoghue MJ. Is it easy to move and easy to evolve? Evolutionary accessibility and adaptation. J Exp Bot. 2013; 64(13):4047–52. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert220 PMID: 23913955
- Furley PA. The nature and diversity of neotropical savanna vegetation with particular reference to the Brazilian cerrados. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 1999; 8(3–4):223–41.
- Killeen TJ, Hinz PN. Grasses of the Precambrian Shield region in eastern lowland Bolivia. I. Habitat preferences. J Trop Ecol. 1992; 8(04):389.
- Zuloaga FO, Soderstrom TR. Classification of the Outlying Species of New World Panicum\r(Poaceae: Paniceae). S Mithsonian Contrib To Bot. 1985;(59:).
- Vega AS, Castro MA, Zuloaga FO. Anatomy and Histochemical Localization Of Lipid Secretions In Brazilian Species Of Panicum Sect. Lorea (Poaceae, Panicoideae, Paniceae). Ann Missouri Bot Gard. 2008; 95(3):511–9.
- Cayssials V, Rodríguez C. Functional traits of grasses growing in open and shaded habitats. Evol Ecol. 2013; 27(2):393–407.