

Title	Origin of the strong interaction between polar molecules and copper(II) paddle-wheels in metal organic frameworks
Author(s)	Ongari, Daniele; Tiana, Davide; Stoneburner, Samuel J.; Gagliardi, Laura; Smit, Berend
Publication date	2017-06-27
Original citation	Ongari, D., Tiana, D., Stoneburner, S. J., Gagliardi, L. and Smit, B. (2017) 'Origin of the Strong Interaction between Polar Molecules and Copper(II) Paddle-Wheels in Metal Organic Frameworks', The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 121(28), pp. 15135-15144.
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02302 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02302 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
Rights	© 2017 American Chemical Society. This is an open access article published under an ACS AuthorChoice License, which permits copying and redistribution of the article or any adaptations for non- commercial purposes https://pubs.acs.org/page/policy/authorchoice_termsofuse.html
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/6429

Downloaded on 2019-01-07T06:01:02Z



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY C



Origin of the Strong Interaction between Polar Molecules and Copper(II) Paddle-Wheels in Metal Organic Frameworks

Daniele Ongari,^{†©} Davide Tiana,^{†©} Samuel J. Stoneburner,[‡] Laura Gagliardi,^{*,‡©} and Berend Smit^{*,†©}

[†]Laboratory of Molecular Simulation, Institut des Sciences et Ingeénierie Chimiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Rue de l'Industrie 17, CH-1951 Sion, Valais, Switzerland

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Chemical Theory Center, and Minnesota Supercomputing Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The copper paddle-wheel is the building unit of many metal organic frameworks. Because of the ability of the copper cations to attract polar molecules, copper paddle-wheels are promising for carbon dioxide adsorption and separation. They have therefore been studied extensively, both experimentally and computationally. In this work we investigate the copper- CO_2 interaction in HKUST-1 and in two different cluster models of HKUST-1: monocopper Cu(formate)₂ and dicopper Cu₂(formate)₄. We show that density functional theory methods severely underestimate the interaction energy between copper paddle-wheels and CO_2 , even including corrections for the dispersion forces. In contrast, a multireference wave function followed by



perturbation theory to second order using the CASPT2 method correctly describes this interaction. The restricted open-shell Møller–Plesset 2 method (ROS-MP2, equivalent to (2,2) CASPT2) was also found to be adequate in describing the system and used to develop a novel force field. Our parametrization is able to predict the experimental CO₂ adsorption isotherms in HKUST-1, and it is shown to be transferable to other copper paddle-wheel systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of threedimensional nanoporous materials composed of metal nodes connected by organic ligands. The oriented coordination bond between these two components is responsible for the structure of the crystal. The possibility of combining different metals with different ligands provides a large variety of MOF structures. More than 10 000 structures have already been synthesized,¹ but this is only a small fraction of the hundreds of thousands of structures that have been predicted computationally.²

MOFs have attracted considerable attention in the past decade for various applications, including gas adsorption and storage,³ gas separation,⁴ fuel production,⁵ chemical sensing,⁶ and catalysis.⁷

Computational modeling is extensively used to investigate the properties of synthesized materials for a given application and to predict the performance of hypothetical structures. In the case of gas adsorption, the quality of the model directly derives from the accuracy with which one can describe the microscopic interactions between the guest molecules and the framework. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations are routinely used for this purpose.^{8–10} However, weak interactions, due to dispersion forces arising from electron correlation, are poorly described by standard DFT methods. Corrections need to be introduced for this purpose (see the recent review of Grimme et al.¹¹ and references therein). Alternatively, post-Hartree–Fock methods can be employed to evaluate interaction energies with high accuracy. However, because of the unfavorable scaling with the size of the system, they can hardly be used directly to compute interaction energies in MOFs, whose unit cells typically contains hundreds of atoms.¹⁰

This work focuses on the interaction between the carbon dioxide molecule and the copper(II) paddle-wheel, which is a metal organic structure composed of two copper cations connected to four carboxylates anions in a square planar coordination geometry. The smallest example of this structure is the $Cu_2(formate)_4$ molecule (Figure 1, left).

The copper paddle-wheel is the building unit of many MOFs, including HKUST-1 ($Cu_3(BTC)_2$), as shown in Figure 1, right. The structure of HKUST-1 presents three pores (Figure 2, left) and several characteristic adsorption sites for CO₂ (Figure 2, right). The biggest pore is characterized by the presence of 12 open metal sites (OMSs), i.e., unsaturated copper cations which are obtained after solvent removal and which are able to attract polar molecules through electrostatic interaction.

HKUST-1 is one of the earlier reported MOFs.¹² It is among the best performers for natural gas storage,¹³ and it has also attracted interest for gas separation^{14–16} and heterogeneous catalysis.^{17–19} Because of its popularity, much experimental data is available for this framework. Wu et al.²⁰ conducted in situ neutron diffraction studies for CO₂ adsorption in HKUST-

```
Received:
March 10, 2017

Revised:
June 27, 2017

Published:
June 27, 2017
```



Figure 1. Copper paddle-wheel structure is composed of two coppers atoms bridged though four dicarboxilate anions. $Cu_2(formate)_4$ (left) represents the simplest paddle-wheel geometry possible. Dicopper benzyl-1,2,3-trimetylcarboxylate, $Cu_2(BTC)_4$ (right), is the building unit of the HKUST-1 framework: each BTC has three caboxylate groups that allow creation of a three-dimensional network.



Figure 2. Three different pores in HKUST-1 (left): big pore (blue), medium pore with open metal sites (green), and small pore (yellow). Characteristic sites of adsorption for CO_2 (right): open metal site (blue), small pore window (green), small pore center (yellow), and large pore corner (purple).

1 which show that at low loading of CO_2 and low temperature (20 K) the open metal site is the strongest adsorption site because it is the only one to be occupied at a 1:1 CO_2 :Cu ratio of loading. They were also able to rank the strength of the secondary sites by increasing the amount of CO_2 and observing the filling in each site: small pore windows sites and center sites are the second and the third, respectively, and large pore corner sites are the fourth in terms of order of filling and therefore interaction energy strength.

In recent work, Grajciar et al.²¹ showed that DFT dispersioncorrected methods, e.g., Grimme's pairwise correction for dispersions ($D2^{22}$ and $D3^{23}$) and van der Waals density functionals (vdW-DF²⁴ and vdW-DF2²⁵), underestimate the strength of the open metal site and are not able to reproduce the experimental adsorption data obtained by Wu et al.

The van der Waals density functional methods, in particular, were used previously by our group to compute the CO_2 binding energy in MOF-74 for different metals^{8,26–28} and to parametrize the associated force field.^{29,30} A good agreement with experiment was always observed, giving rise to the question of why the same ab initio methods are not able to model correctly the CO_2 interaction with the open metal site in a copper paddle-wheel framework. This underestimation of the interactions in HKUST-1 motivated Grajciar et al. to employ a DFT-coupled clusters corrected (DFT/CC) method³¹ to study this system and obtain a tailormade correction for the CO_2 interaction with HKUST-1. In DFT/CC, the error associated with the PBE density functional is corrected by a term

dependent on the pairwise distance between the CO_2 atoms and the atoms of the framework. This term was estimated from the difference between the DFT and the CCSD(T)-computed one-dimensional potential energy curves of CO_2 interacting with some other reference molecules, i.e., H_2 , benzene, CO_2 , and $Cu(formate)_2$.

It is known that a copper–copper magnetic interaction is present in HKUST-1,³² and consequently, the correlation between the electrons of the two coppers can affect the interaction with the CO₂. Because of this we investigated the legitimacy of transferring the DFT error for CO₂ interaction from the monocopper system Cu(formate)₂ to the dicopper paddle-wheel structure (and to the HKUST-1 framework) by using multireference wave function methods. These methods are critical for accurately modeling systems with a relevant magnetic coupling such as the Cu paddle-wheel.^{33–35} Accordingly, we explored in this work the adequacy of different quantum methods for describing the electronic structure of the system and the interaction between the metal cation and carbon dioxide.

Furthermore, we used our insights to develop a classical force field that is able to accurately describe the Cu paddle-wheel interaction with CO_2 and model the adsorption in MOFs containing this building unit. It was estimated³⁶ that among 4764 three-dimensional MOF structures from the Cambridge Structural Database³⁷ (as refined in the CoRE MOF database),³⁸ 4.2% of them contains the Cu paddle-wheel and another 3.5% contains the paddle-wheel motif formed by other cations. Cu paddle-wheels are a recurrent building unit among the different MOFs, and with a reliable and transferable force field it would be possible to also screen these frameworks and identify their performance for CO_2 adsorption.

2. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The periodic calculations were performed using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof GGA method PBEsol³⁹ to optimize the framework and the second version of van der Waals dispersion-corrected density functional vdW-DF2²⁵ to compute the interactions. The plane wave Quantum Espresso 5.4 package⁴⁰ was employed. We adopted the projector augmented wave (PAW) method^{41,42} with a cutoff energy of 60 Ry for the wave function and 300 Ry for the electron density. Due to the dimension of the unit cell of HKUST-1 a Γ -point sampling of the Brillouin zone integration was used with a smearing occupation of 0.02 Ry.

For the cluster calculations, geometry optimizations were performed using the unrestricted M06-L/cc-pVDZ⁴³ level of theory, and subsequent single-point energy difference calculations were performed using restricted open-shell MP2⁴⁴ (ROS-MP2) and unrestricted M06-L and M06.⁴³ The Gaussian 09 package⁴⁵ was employed. We tested the convergence of the basis set using cc-pVDZ, AUG-cc-pVDZ, cc-pVTZ, and AUG-cc-pVTZ.^{46–49} A spin multiplicity of three was used to model the magnetic state of the copper paddle-wheel clusters. To account for the error in computing the interaction due to the basis set superposition, the counterpoise method by Boys and Bernardi was employed.⁵⁰ For the ROS-MP2 calculations the frozen orbitals are the 1s for C and O and 1s, 2s, 2p, 3s, and 3p for Cu.

Multireference calculations were performed on the cluster models using the complete active space self-consistent field method $(CASSCF)^{51}$ followed by second-order perturbation theory $(CASPT2)^{52}$ using Molcas 8.2.⁵³ All CASSCF/CASPT2

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

calculations were performed without symmetry. Relativistic basis sets of atomic natural orbital type (ANO-RCC)⁵⁴ were employed for all atoms. To explore basis set convergence, three different basis sets of increasing size were tested. The first one, BS1, is of double- ζ quality plus polarization; the second one, BS2, is of triple- ζ quality plus polarization on Cu, O, and C atoms and double- ζ quality plus polarization on H atoms; the third one, BS3, is of quadruple- ζ quality plus polarization for Cu and CO_2 , triple- ζ quality plus polarization on the remaining C and O atoms, and double- ζ quality plus polarization on H atoms. Scalar relativistic effects were included using the Douglas-Kroll-Hess Hamiltonian.⁵⁵ The computational cost arising from the two-electron integrals was drastically reduced by employing the Cholesky decomposition technique.⁵⁶ The decomposition threshold was chosen to be 10^{-4} , as this should correspond to an accuracy in total energies of the order of mHartree or higher. In the CASPT2 calculations, in order to prevent possible intruder states, an imaginary shift of 0.1 au was added to the zero-order Hamiltonian. The default IPEA shift of 0.25 au was used. The default choices of the program were employed for freezing orbitals, resulting in the 1s orbitals of C and O being frozen, along with the 1s, 2s, 2p, and 3s orbitals of Cu.

For the cluster models the interaction energy between the framework and the CO_2 molecule was computed as the difference between the energy of the supersystem, the framework plus CO_2 , and the energies of the two isolated components, namely, CO_2 and the framework.

The Raspa 2.0 package⁵⁷ was employed for the force field calculations. In all simulations TraPPE⁵⁸ Lennard–Jones parameters and charges were used to model CO_2-CO_2 interactions, while different sets of parameters were used to model the framework– CO_2 interaction, as discussed within the results. The details of the simulations are provided in the Supporting Information.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Comparison of Simulated and Experimental Isotherms in HKUST-1. The CO₂ isotherms computed with the standard force field, i.e., UFF,⁵⁹ DREIDING,⁶⁰ and TraPPE,⁵⁸ are found to be in strong disagreement with the experimental data in the range of pressure from 0 to 1 bar. Figure 3 shows the simulated isotherms computed using the Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) technique with different sets of parameters for the dispersion forces and the corresponding experimental isotherms.

All simulations underestimate the uptake of CO_{2} , which means that the force field underestimates the adsorbate—host interactions. The force field interaction energies for specific sites are compared to those obtained by DFT calculations in Table 1. The binding energy for each site, corresponding to the optimized position of a CO_2 molecule in the open metal site, in the small pore window site, and in the small pore center site, are reported.

From neutron diffraction in situ experiments by Wu et al. we know that OMSs are the first filled sites; then windows and cage sites get populated by CO₂. This observation proves that OMSs have the strongest binding energy. Despite the fact that UFF/UFF, UFF/TraPPE, and DREIDING/TraPPE force fields are giving similar results to the vdW-DF2 method and this could in principle validate the force fields, we clearly see from Table 1 that in all four of these cases the OMS is predicted to be the weakest site. As a consequence, these Article



Figure 3. Comparison of experimental (295¹⁴ and 303 K³¹) and simulated adsorption isotherms. TraPPE⁵⁸ Lennard–Jones parameters and charges are used for CO₂–CO₂ interactions. To compute the dispersion forces acting between CO₂ guest molecules and the crystal, three commonly used approaches are compared. First, we used Lennard–Jones parameters from UFF⁵⁹ (Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules). Then we used UFF/TraPPE and DREIDING/TraPPE parameters⁶⁰ (notation *FF*_{framework}/*FF*_{adsorbate}). The point charges for the framework atoms are extracted from a PBEsol DFT calculation using the REPEAT scheme;⁶¹ in the Supporting Information we report the charges' values and compare them with the values obtained by using Bader's method.⁶² The framework is assumed to be rigid in all simulations.

Table 1. Interaction Energy (kJ/mol) between CO_2 and HKUST-1 for Different Adsorption Sites^{*a*}

method	open metal	window	center
FF (UFF/UFF)	-19.3	-25.7	-26.3
FF (UFF/TraPPE)	-19.0	-27.5	-29.0
FF (DREIDING/TraPPE)	-19.4	-27.2	-28.5
DFT (vdW-DF2)	-22.1	-30.2	-26.3
DFT (PBEsol)	-12.1	-6.7	-0.8
DFT/CC (Grajciar et al. ³¹)	-28.2	-23.1	-23.2

"The open metal site in the apical position of the copper paddlewheel, the window, and the center of small octahedral pores. Force field and periodic DFT calculations are compared. Results obtained with PBEsol show the evident inadequacy of pure DFT methods to model noncovalent interactions.

standard methods erroneously predict that the OMS is poorly occupied, as its interaction energies with CO₂ are ~4k_bT and ~60k_bT weaker than other sites at 303 and 20K, respectively. Standard force fields are known to incorrectly model the strong interaction of adsorbate molecules with OMSs in MOFs,⁶³ but vdW-DF2 is also showing the same problem in the case of copper paddle-wheel, while it was found to model accurately the open metal site interaction with CO₂ for other MOFs.^{26,29,30}

There are different assumptions in these calculations that may not hold for this system; therefore, the interaction energy between carbon dioxide and the copper atom in HKUST-1 was also computed using other approaches. We considered introduction of the Hubbard correction⁶⁴ to model the d orbitals of copper, because it was shown to influence the CO₂ interaction with the OMS in MOF-74.^{26,65} The value of U = 3.8 eV, which can reproduce the experimental oxidation energy of copper,⁶⁶ was used. Also, different versions of the van der Waals

density functional were compared to the vdw-DF2 method, i.e., vdW-DF⁶⁷ and revised-vdW-DF2.⁶⁸ In all cases the geometry of CO_2 was optimized keeping the framework rigid, as obtained from the PBEsol calculation. The results are reported in Table 2. No significant deviations in the interaction energy were

Table 2. CO₂ Open Metal Site Interaction Energies in HKUST-1 Computed with Different Dispersion-Corrected DFT Methods

method	open metal site interaction
vdW-DF	-24.9 kJ/mol
vdW-DF2	-22.1 kJ/mol
vdW-DF2+U	-21.4 kJ/mol
vdW-DF2-rev	-20.2 kJ/mol

found, the only slightly increased value being obtained with vdW-DF, which is known to systematically overestimate dispersion interactions. 69

Finally, the rigid framework assumption was neglected, performing a full optimization of the framework's atoms with the adsorbed molecule in the OMS, using vdW-DF2. No significant deviation in the binding energy was found: -1.6 kJ/mol of difference from the rigid calculation. Moreover, we noticed an exaggerated distortion of the copper paddle-wheel structure which has not been reported experimentally, suggesting the inadequacy of the vdW-DF2 method to optimize the crystal geometry. The rigidity of the adsorbent was therefore assumed as reasonable.

3.2. Interactions Computed in the Cluster Models. To understand why the vdW-DF2 method underestimates the CO_2 -Cu interaction in HKUST-1, we analyzed two smaller representative clusters, Cu(formate)₂ and Cu₂(formate)₄. The interaction energy with carbon dioxide was scanned at different distances by keeping the CO_2 molecule perpendicular to the CuO₄ plane, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Path representation of linear scans of CO_2 interacting with $Cu(formate)_2$ (left) and $Cu_2(formate)_4$ (right). Dotted line, along which the CO_2 molecule is displaced, is perpendicular to the CuO_4 plane.

This configuration, referred here as "linear", was chosen to decrease the number of degrees of freedom for the CO_2 position to just one, i.e., the copper–oxygen distance in the axial direction. This configuration also minimizes all pairwise contributions of the interaction but the copper–oxygen one, which is the one vdW-DF2 is failing to model properly. Within HKUST-1, the optimal linear configuration corresponds to a distance of 2.65 Å and a binding energy of -13.4 kJ/mol,

computed using vdW-DF2. Figures 5 and 6 show the interaction energy of CO_2 as a function of the Cu–O distance computed with different methods in Cu(formate)₂ and Cu₂(formate)₄, respectively.



Figure 5. Interaction energy profile for the $CO_2-Cu(formate)_2$ linear scan: interaction energy is plotted as a function of the distance between the copper atom and the CO_2 molecule's oxygen.



Figure 6. Interaction energy profile for the CO_2-Cu_2 (formate)₄ linear scan: interaction energy is plotted as a function of the distance between the CO_2 molecule's oxygen and the closest copper.

Inspection of the energy profiles reported in Figure 5 for $Cu(formate)_2$ shows that the CO_2 -copper binding energies differ within 4 kJ/mol among the various methods, ranging between -8.0 (vdW-DF2) and -13.1 kJ/mol (MP2). The minimum energy distance for vdW-DF2 is longer than with the other methods, 2.9 Å instead of 2.5–2.6 Å. The M06 and M06-L functionals produce similar energy profiles. Hence, inclusion of the semilocal contribution with Hartree–Fock exchange present in M06 has a minor effect. It is also interesting to note the overall good agreement with the UFF force field. The attraction computed by the force field is mainly due to the Coulombic (REPEAT-TraPPE) interaction, with only a small influence of dispersion forces: the electrostatic contributions represent 96% of the interaction at the optimal distance of 2.5 Å.

In the $Cu_2(formate)_4$ case, vdW-DF2, M06, and M06-L underestimate the interaction energy compared with ROS-MP2 by 9.1, 6.4, and 5.8 kJ/mol, respectively. Moreover, if compared to the monocopper system, the ROS-MP2 calculation leads to a

	Table 3. Energy of Interaction	(kJ/mol) between Cu ₂ (f	formate)₄ and	CO ₂ in	Linear and	Tilted Conformation ⁶
--	--------------------------------	---------	------------------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------	----------------------------------

method	linear CO ₂ interaction energy (kJ/mol)	Cu–O distance (Å)	tilted CO ₂ interaction energy (kJ/mol)	Cu–O distance (Å)	Cu-O-O angle (deg)
FF(UFF/UFF)	-13.0	2.5	-14.3	2.5	127.4°
ROS-MP2/cc-pVTZ	-18.2 (-24.5)	2.4	-22.9 (-31.3)	(M06-L opt)	(M06-L opt)
ROS-MP2/ANO-RCC(BS2)	-20.4 (-38.0)	2.4	-24.8 (-43.3)	(M06-L opt)	(M06-L opt)
ROS-MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ	-21.6 (-27.1)	2.4	-27.2 (-33.1)	(M06-L opt)	(M06-L opt)
M06/aug-cc-pVTZ	-15.2 (-17.7)	2.4	-21.9 (-25.3)	2.4	114.5°
M06-L/aug-cc-pVTZ	-15.8 (-18.6)	2.4	-23.3 (-26.0)	2.4	115.9°
vdW-DF2/cutof $f = 60$ Ry	-12.5	2.6	-18.4	2.6	109.9°

^{*a*}For all calculations that employ Gaussian basis functions, the energies obtained without counterpoise correction are reported in parentheses. ROS-MP2 calculations without augmented basis function are included to show the variability due to their exclusion in computing interactions.⁷⁰ ROS-MP2/ANO-RCC calculations are also compared with CASPT2 results in section 3.3: for consistency we used the same basis set as BS2, with triple- ζ quality plus polarization on Cu, O, and C atoms and double- ζ quality plus polarization on H atoms.

binding energy which is 8.5 kJ/mol more stable in this dicopper system.

In a second series of calculations we optimized the position of the CO_2 molecule, keeping the $Cu_2(\text{formate})_4$ cluster rigid. The CO_2 molecule creates an angle with the copper–copper line from 109° (vdW-DF2) to 116° (M06-L) due to both the interaction of the lone pair of CO_2 oxygen with the copper and the partially positive CO_2 carbon with partially negative oxygen from the paddle-wheel. This optimized configuration is referred here as the "tilted" position, because of the CO_2 inclination with respect to the CuO_4 plane. The interaction energies between $Cu_2(\text{formate})_4$ and the linear and tilted configurations of CO_2 computed with different methods are reported in Table 3.

On the basis of quantum calculations, the tilted conformation binding energy is ca. 5.5-7.5 kJ/mol larger than the linear conformation binding energy. The force field model, based on pairwise interactions, underestimates this difference at only 1.3 kJ/mol.

Finally, we tested the possible additive effect on the CO_2 binding energy by adding a second CO_2 molecule bonded symmetrically on the other copper of $Cu_2(formate)_4$. The binding energies computed with ROS-MP2 for this system do not show any significant deviation (-21.0 and -26.9 kJ/mol for the linear and tilted conformations, respectively), and therefore, any additive effect can reasonably be neglected.

To summarize, vdW-DF2 underestimates the CO_2 - $Cu_2(formate)_4$ binding energy by 9.1 and 8.8 kJ/mol, respectively, for the linear and tilted configurations, if compared to the ROS-MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. Considering the ROS-MP2 results, we are now able to improve our model for HKUST-1 and similar copper paddle-wheel MOFs.

3.3. Multireference Calculations. To have more insight into the interaction between CO_2 and $Cu_2(formate)_4$, we performed wave function-based multireference complete active space calculations, followed by second-order perturbation theory.

A variety of active spaces were explored, including an active space with 2 electrons in 2 orbitals (2,2) and one with 10 electrons in 10 orbitals (10,10). The (2,2) CASSCF calculation is equivalent to a restricted open-shell (ROS)-HF calculation, while the (2,2) CASPT2 calculation is equivalent to the ROS-MP2 calculation. Notice that a singlet CASSCF (2,2) active space indeed corresponds to a multireference calculation in the sense that it generates a wave function that is the combination of two configuration state functions (or Slater determinants). Both the singlet and the triplet lowest spin states were explored. In all cases the singlet state is the ground state and lies 3 kJ/mol lower than the triplet state. This result is in good agreement with the experimental values obtained for MOF-11: 3.4 and 5.3 kJ/mol, respectively, for the water bound and the anhydrous structure.⁷¹ It is also in good agreement with the 3.2 kJ/mol value Maurice et al. calculated with DDCI3 on a similar system, copper acetate monohydrate.⁷²

In the following we will discuss the energetics and electronic structure configurations of the singlet. However, as discussed above and also in the literature, $^{33-35}$ it is reasonable to expect that the open-shell singlet and the triplet potential energy surfaces have a parallel shape. The singlet state is a linear combination of two electronic configurations with 50% weight each (See Table S1). The first configuration corresponds to orbital MO₁ doubly occupied (MO₁², Figure 7a) and the second



Figure 7. Two molecular orbitals MO_1 (a) and MO_2 (b), in the tilted dicopper system at equilibrium, with their occupation number in parentheses. In the linear system they look similar. Their occupation number is 1. They correspond to an overall configuration of 0.51 MO_1^2 + 0.49 MO_2^2 .

to orbital MO₂ doubly occupied (MO₂², Figure 7b). In the (2,2) calculations these orbitals are the only ones included in the active space. They have an average occupation number of about 1 each (because each of them has only a 50% probability of being doubly occupied). In the (10,10) calculation, the other orbitals included in the active space are π and π^* orbitals on the O and C atoms of the paddles. They have occupations of 2 and 0, respectively, within each pair. Additional details regarding the active space orbitals, including visual plots of the (10,10) orbitals, are presented in the Supporting Information.

The binding energies are reported in Table 4. In the dicopper system, the binding energy of CO_2 to Cu is significantly larger than in the monocopper case, as already discussed in section 3.2. This behavior can be explained by inspection of the electronic configuration of the Cu₂ system. The two Cu atoms are close enough to have electronic communication, and the

Table 4. CA	SPT2 Interaction	Energies (kJ,	/mol) betweer	1 Cu ₂ (formate)	₄ and CO ₂ i	in Linear and	Tilted Con	formations for
Different Ac	tive Spaces and I	Different Basis	Sets for the	Singlet Ground	State ^a			

configuration	active space	BS1	BS2	BS3
linear	(2,2)	-15.0 (-43.2)	-18.7 (-33.5)	-20.2 (-31.7)
linear	(10,10)	-14.8 (-46.6)	-18.6 (-36.8)	-20.1 (-35.0)
tilted	(2,2)	-17.7 (-49.3)	-23.5 (-40.0)	-25.8 (-39.6)
tilted	(10,10)	-15.8 (-51.1)	-21.8 (-41.7)	-23.9 (-41.1)
^a The distance between	CO_{1} and conner is 2.4 Å for h	oth the linear and the tilted o	onformations. Values include co	unternoise correction Values

without counterpoise correction are in parentheses.

overall wave function is a superposition of two electronic configurations. A multiconfigurational method is therefore needed to correctly describe this system in the singlet ground state. The monocopper system, on the other hand, has a single configuration, which is reasonably well described by MP2. The triplet state of the Cu_2 system is also single configurational.

The interaction energies for the singlet and triplet states are very similar (within 1 kJ/mol), and only the singlet energies are reported in Table 4. Our results show that an active space of (2,2) followed by PT2, equivalent to ROS-MP2, is sufficient to describe the binding of this system, as the binding energy does not change by more than 2 kJ/mol when increasing the active space to (10,10).

Basis set effects were explored for the CASPT2 calculations. Table 4 shows that on going from BS1 to BS2 the uncorrected binding energy decreases by about 10 kJ/mol, while it remains almost unchanged on going from BS2 to BS3. The counterpoise-corrected binding energies change by 3-6 kJ/mol going from BS1 to BS2 while again undergoing little change when going from BS2 to BS3. The CASPT2 results with the (2,2) active space reported in Table 4 should be compared to the ROS-MP2/ANO-RCC (BS2) results reported in Table 3. The only difference between these two sets of results is that those in Table 3 are obtained for the triplet, while those in Table 4 are obtained for the open-shell singlet and with unfrozen 3p orbitals for Cu. The two sets of values including counterpoise corrections differ by less than 2 kJ/mol, and more generally the most accurate CASPT2/BS3 energies agrees well with the ROS-MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ values, especially in the linear conformation (difference of 1.5 kJ/mol).

3.4. Correction of the Force Field. In order to model properly the interaction of carbon dioxide with the open metal site in a classical force field, we needed to correct the potential energy curve based on our first-principle calculations. The most representative path for different CO₂-Cu distances is the one where the energy is mainly influenced by the interaction with the cation rather than the interaction with other atoms of the cluster (or framework). Hence, we fitted the linear CO₂- $Cu_2(formate)_4$ curve obtained with the ROS-MP2/aug-ccpVTZ method to obtain the new parameters for the force field. Only the Cu-O van der Waals potential was tuned while keeping the standard UFF parameters for all other atoms pairs and REPEAT (PBEsol derived) point charges to model electrostatic interactions. For the Cu-O interaction, a Buckingham potential was adopted to correctly represent the repulsion at short distance, and an r^{-8} attractive term was added to account for the stabilization observed in the ROS-MP2 calculations. The details about the fitting and the coefficient for the Cu-O potential are reported in the Supporting Information. The optimal CO_2 interaction with $Cu_2(formate)_4$, which corresponds to the tilted conformation, computed with the fitted force field parameters has a value of -23.2 kJ/mol.

This result is consistent with the UFF difference between the linear and the tilted configurations of -1.3 kJ/mol. We notice that by applying this relatively simple but effective correction, obtained without modifying the pairwise interaction with other atoms and without introducing a specific contribution based on the Cu-CO₂ angle, the minimum interaction energy obtained for Cu₂(formate)₄ is in fair agreement with the ROS-MP2 result of -27.2 kJ/mol.

Finally, we replicated the GCMC simulations in HKUST-1 using our UFF parameters with the corrected Cu–O potential. The comparison with experimental data is reported in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Comparison between the experimental³¹ and the simulated isotherms for CO_2 inside HKUST-1 at 303 K. Modified UFF force field is obtained by fitting the Cu–O potential on ROS-MP2 calculations.

The simulations are still slightly underestimating the measured uptake, and this is reflecting the previously mentioned underestimation of ca. 4 kJ/mol for the interaction energy in the optimal tilted configuration. However, the assumptions made for the force field are sufficient to obtain a good representation of the uptake around ambient temperature and a significant improvement with respect to the standard force fields.

The minimum energy of interaction computed with our new force field in the three main adsorption sites of HKUST-1, i.e., open metal, small pore window, and small pore center sites, are now ranked correctly, -27.3, -26.8, and -26.8 kJ/mol, respectively, and the OMS stability is not underestimated any longer compared to the in situ experimental results.

As a starting point for our correction, we used UFF/UFF mixed parameters instead of UFF/TraPPE or DREIDING/ TraPPE, because from the simulated isotherm (Figure 8) we can observe that these last force fields are already predicting the experimental uptake at very low pressure (below 0.1 bar), even

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

if the open metal site interaction is strongly underestimated. This is an artifact due to a fortuitous error cancellation with the overestimation of the interaction in other sites, i.e., the small pores centers (see Table 1), which are already saturated at 0.82 mmol/g, as clearly shown by the deviation of the simulated isotherm from the experimental one. Therefore, employing the conventionally used UFF/UFF, UFF/TraPPE, or DREIDING/ TraPPE mixed parameters to describe the guest—host interaction in an analysis of the site occupancy would lead to wrong conclusions, i.e., that in HKUST-1 the open metal sites are very poorly occupied at low uptake.⁷³ Ulterior comparisons with experimental data is provided in the Supporting Information: CO₂ uptake at higher pressure and different temperatures⁷⁴ and the heat of desorption as a function of the uptake.^{31,75,76}

3.5. Investigation of the "Double" Open Metal Site Interaction in Cu–TDPAT. To further test the reliability of our force field, we investigated another interesting copper paddle-wheel metal organic framework, Cu–TDPAT, first synthesized by Li et al.⁷⁷ The crystalline structure is characterized by the presence of strong adsorption sites for CO_2 , where both oxygens of the guest molecule are attracted to two different copper cations (Figure 9), leading to an interaction energy which is roughly double with respect to the conventional single open metal site of copper paddle-wheel.



Figure 9. CO_2 molecule adsorbed in the double open metal site of Cu–TDPAT.

Because of this reason, Cu-TDPAT is one of the top performing MOFs for both gravimetric and volumetric CO₂ uptake at ambient pressure.78 The conventional unit cell of Cu-TDPAT contains 48 copper cations: 24 of them compose 12 double open metal sites, while the remaining 24 atoms compose 24 single open metal sites, with a conformation very similar to the OMS of HKUST-1. Due to the large dimension of the unit cell (960 atoms), the crystal is too big to perform a DFT calculation with an accuracy comparable with our previous calculation on HKUST-1. Consequently, we employed the extended charge equilibration (EQeq) method⁷⁹ to compute the partial charges of the framework. This method is able to self-consistently compute point charges for MOFs, with results very similar to the charges obtained by fitting the electrostatic potential from a quantum calculation, e.g., REPEAT. HKUST-1 itself was successfully tested in the

original paper presenting the EQeq method.⁷⁹ Compared to the quantum electrostatic potential fitting, this method is drastically faster (a few minutes instead of hours for HKUST-1) and applicable to a unit cell containing a large number of atoms, which is practically forbidden to DFT calculations. The result obtained for the copper paddle-wheel is consistent with our PBEsol calculation in HKUST-1. Using the EQeq method the average point charges for Cu–TDPAT are 0.905 and –0.398 for the copper and the carboxylic oxygen, respectively, versus 0.914 and –0.57 for HKUST-1 computed using REPEAT. With the new set of parameters, we compared the results of the GCMC simulations to experimental data (Figure 10).

This comparison shows good agreement, as for HKUST-1, which gives us some confidence in the transferability of our force field to model CO_2 adsorption. Moreover, it becomes more evident how UFF/UFF and UFF/TraPPE parametrizations do not capture the strong interaction between CO_2 's oxygen and copper.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have shown that the Cu–Cu interaction in copper paddle-wheel systems is the reason why DFT methods, even when they include dispersion corrections, systematically underestimate the interaction between CO_2 and copper paddle-wheel motif. Our calculations confirm the presence of the copper–copper coupling, influencing the attraction of the CO_2 , and suggest that the monocopper cluster Cu(formate)₂ is not a realistic model to describe this interaction.

One thus needs an electronic structure theory that properly describes the Cu–Cu interaction, such as the ROS-MP2 wave function. We show that if this interaction is included in our calculations, the prediction of the binding energies is in better agreement with the experimental data. To justify the choice of ROS-MP2 method, which is equivalent to a (2,2) CASPT2 calculation, we performed a number of multireference calculations over a variety of active spaces, basis sets, and spin states. We concluded that the ROS-MP2 level of theory is good enough to model the $Cu_2(formate)_4$ –CO₂ interaction.

Using the ROS-MP2 results, we reparametrized the UFF pairwise potential to correctly model the interaction of CO_2 with the open metal site in HKUST-1, which was severely underestimated by conventional force fields. The results obtained from our new force field agree with experimental isotherms as well as with in situ PXRD studies, which found the open metal site to be the strongest adsorption site for CO_2 rather than the small pore center site. The correction proposed in this work acts in proximity of the open metal site, and this local character of the correction terms allows us to transfer the same parameters to other MOFs containing the copper paddle-wheel motif.

To test this transferability, we employed our force field to model the CO_2 interaction with the "double" open metal sites of Cu–TDPAT framework, and we showed a significant improvement with respect to conventional UFF parameters in this case as well. The modified set of parameters proposed makes it possible now to accurately describe the adsorption behavior for this class of MOFs. In this study we focused on CO_2 , but similar effects can be expected for other polar molecules.



Figure 10. Comparison of experimental⁷⁷ and simulated adsorption of CO₂ in Cu–TDPAT at 298 K using different sets of parameters. Force field developed in this work is reported as "UFF modified", while UFF/UFF and UFF/TraPPE are the conventionally used standard sets of parameters. In both plots the uptake is converted to CO₂ molecules per copper ratio, and the equivalence to the number of double open metal sites (0.25 CO₂/Cu) and the number of total open metal sites (0.75 CO₂/Cu) is highlighted with a dotted line. Experimental heat of desorption (black dots, right picture) has been computed through the Virial–Langmuir method, while the simulated values (colored lines) are computed from the guest molecules number fluctuation in the GCMC simulation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02302.

Supplementary results for the multireference calculations; details of the classical simulations, coefficients of the force field, and comparison between the ROS-MP2 and the fitted Cu–O potential; atomic coordinates for the cluster models (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: gagliard@umn.edu.

*E-mail: berend.smit@epfl.ch.

ORCID 💿

Daniele Ongari: 0000-0001-6197-2901 Davide Tiana: 0000-0002-3627-1561 Laura Gagliardi: 0000-0001-5227-1396 Berend Smit: 0000-0003-4653-8562

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research of D.O., D.T., and B.S. was partially supported by the National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) "Materials' Revolution: Computational Design and Discovery of Novel Materials (MARVEL)" of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 666983, MaGic). Part of the computational work was made possible through a grant from the Swiss National Supercomputing Center (CSCS) under Project no. s611. S.J.G. and L.G. were supported as part of the Inorganometallic Catalyst Design Center, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Award DE-SC0012702.

REFERENCES

(1) Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 1230444.

(2) Wilmer, C. E.; Leaf, M.; Lee, C. Y.; Farha, O. K.; Hauser, B. G.; Hupp, J. T.; Snurr, R. Q. Large-scale screening of hypothetical metalorganic frameworks. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 83–89.

(3) Morris, R. E.; Wheatley, P. S. Gas storage in nanoporous materials. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 4966–4981.

(4) Li, J.-R.; Kuppler, R. J.; Zhou, H.-C. Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 1477–1504.

(5) Zhang, T.; Lin, W. Metal-organic frameworks for artificial photosynthesis and photocatalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 5982–5993.

(6) Kreno, L. E.; Leong, K.; Farha, O. K.; Allendorf, M.; Van Duyne, R. P.; Hupp, J. T. Metal-organic framework materials as chemical sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1105–1125.

(7) Liu, J.; Chen, L.; Cui, H.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, L.; Su, C.-Y. Applications of metal-organic frameworks in heterogeneous supramolecular catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6011–6061.

(8) Poloni, R.; Lee, K.; Berger, R. F.; Smit, B.; Neaton, J. B. Understanding trends in CO₂ adsorption in metal-organic frameworks with open-metal sites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 861–865.

(9) Evans, J. D.; Fraux, G.; Gaillac, R.; Kohen, D.; Trousselet, F.; Vanson, J.-M.; Coudert, F.-X. Computational chemistry methods for nanoporous materials. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 199–212.

(10) Odoh, S. O.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G.; Gagliardi, L. Quantum-chemical characterization of the properties and reactivities of metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 6051–6111.

(11) Grimme, S.; Hansen, A.; Brandenburg, J. G.; Bannwarth, C. Dispersion-corrected mean-field electronic structure methods. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 5105–5154.

(12) Chui, S. S.-Y.; Lo, S. M.-F.; Charmant, J. P.; Orpen, A. G.; Williams, I. D. A chemically functionalizable nanoporous material [Cu3 (TMA) 2 (H2O) 3] n. *Science* **1999**, 283, 1148–1150.

(13) Mason, J. A.; Veenstra, M.; Long, J. R. Evaluating metal-organic frameworks for natural gas storage. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 32–51.

(14) Min Wang, Q.; Shen, D.; Bülow, M.; Ling Lau, M.; Deng, S.; Fitch, F. R.; Lemcoff, N. O.; Semanscin, J. Metallo-organic molecular sieve for gas separation and purification. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2002**, *55*, 217–230.

(15) Hamon, L.; Jolimaître, E.; Pirngruber, G. D. CO_2 and CH_4 separation by adsorption using Cu-BTC metal- organic framework. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *49*, 7497–7503.

xed matrix (36) Boyd, P. G.; Moo

(16) Ge, L.; Zhou, W.; Rudolph, V.; Zhu, Z. Mixed matrix membranes incorporated with size-reduced Cu-BTC for improved gas separation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 6350–6358.

(17) Wee, L. H.; Janssens, N.; Bajpe, S. R.; Kirschhock, C. E.; Martens, J. A. Heteropolyacid encapsulated in Cu 3 (BTC) 2 nanocrystals: an effective esterification catalyst. *Catal. Today* **2011**, *171*, 275–280.

(18) Pérez-Mayoral, E.; Čejka, J. [Cu3 (BTC) 2]: a metal-organic framework catalyst for the Friedländer reaction. *ChemCatChem* 2011, 3, 157–159.

(19) Ye, J.-Y.; Liu, C.-J. Cu 3 (BTC) 2: CO oxidation over MOF based catalysts. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 2167–2169.

(20) Wu, H.; Simmons, J. M.; Srinivas, G.; Zhou, W.; Yildirim, T. Adsorption sites and binding nature of CO_2 in prototypical metalorganic frameworks: A combined neutron diffraction and firstprinciples study. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2010**, 1, 1946–1951.

(21) Grajciar, L.; Nachtigall, P.; Bludský, O.; Rubeš, M. Accurate ab initio description of adsorption on coordinatively unsaturated Cu2+ and Fe3+ sites in MOFs. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 230–238.

(22) Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1787–1799.

(23) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104.

(24) Dion, M.; Rydberg, H.; Schröder, E.; Langreth, D. C.; Lundqvist, B. I. van der Waals density functional for general geometries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *92*, 246401.

(25) Lee, K.; Murray, É. D.; Kong, L.; Lundqvist, B. I.; Langreth, D. C. Higher-accuracy van der Waals density functional. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2010**, *82*, 081101.

(26) Lee, K.; Howe, J. D.; Lin, L.-C.; Smit, B.; Neaton, J. B. Small-molecule adsorption in open-site metal-organic frameworks: a systematic density functional theory study for rational design. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 668–678.

(27) Poloni, R.; Smit, B.; Neaton, J. B. CO₂ capture by metal-organic frameworks with van der Waals density functionals. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 4957–4964.

(28) Poloni, R.; Smit, B.; Neaton, J. B. Ligand-assisted enhancement of CO_2 capture in metal-organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 6714–6719.

(29) Lin, L.-C.; Lee, K.; Gagliardi, L.; Neaton, J. B.; Smit, B. Forcefield development from electronic structure calculations with periodic boundary conditions: applications to gaseous adsorption and transport in metal-organic frameworks. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2014**, *10*, 1477–1488.

(30) Mercado, R.; Vlaisavljevich, B.; Lin, L.-C.; Lee, K.; Lee, Y.; Mason, J. A.; Xiao, D. J.; Gonzalez, M. I.; Kapelewski, M. T.; Neaton, J. B.; et al. Force field development from periodic density functional theory calculations for gas separation applications using metal-organic frameworks. *J. Phys. Chem.* C **2016**, *120*, 12590–12604.

(31) Grajciar, L.; Wiersum, A. D.; Llewellyn, P. L.; Chang, J.-S.; Nachtigall, P. Understanding CO_2 adsorption in CuBTC MOF: comparing combined DFT-ab initio calculations with microcalorimetry experiments. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 17925–17933.

(32) Tiana, D.; Hendon, C. H.; Walsh, A. Ligand design for longrange magnetic order in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 13990–13993.

(33) Grajciar, L.; Bludsky, O.; Nachtigall, P. Water adsorption on coordinatively unsaturated sites in CuBTC MOF. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 3354–3359.

(34) Vogiatzis, K. D.; Mavrandonakis, A.; Klopper, W.; Froudakis, G. E. Ab initio Study of the Interactions between CO_2 and N-Containing Organic Heterocycles. *ChemPhysChem* **2009**, *10*, 374–383.

(35) Vogiatzis, K. D.; Klopper, W.; Mavrandonakis, A.; Fink, K. Magnetic properties of paddlewheels and trinuclear clusters with exposed metal sites. *ChemPhysChem* **2011**, *12*, 3307–3319.

(36) Boyd, P. G.; Moosavi, S. M.; Witman, M.; Smit, B. On the force field prediction of materials properties in metal organic frameworks. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 357–363.

(37) Groom, C. R.; Bruno, I. J.; Lightfoot, M. P.; Ward, S. C. The Cambridge structural database. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater.* **2016**, *72*, 171–179.

(38) Chung, Y. G.; Camp, J.; Haranczyk, M.; Sikora, B. J.; Bury, W.; Krungleviciute, V.; Yildirim, T.; Farha, O. K.; Sholl, D. S.; Snurr, R. Q. Computation-ready, experimental metal-organic frameworks: A tool to enable high-throughput screening of nanoporous crystals. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 6185–6192.

(39) Perdew, J. P.; Ruzsinszky, A.; Csonka, G. I.; Vydrov, O. A.; Scuseria, G. E.; Constantin, L. A.; Zhou, X.; Burke, K. Restoring the density-gradient expansion for exchange in solids and surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100*, 136406.

(40) Giannozzi, P.; Baroni, S.; Bonini, N.; Calandra, M.; Car, R.; Cavazzoni, C.; Ceresoli, D.; Chiarotti, G. L.; Cococcioni, M.; Dabo, I.; et al. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2009**, *21*, 395502.

(41) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1999**, *59*, 1758.

(42) Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B:* Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **1994**, 50, 17953.

(43) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, non-covalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.

(44) Knowles, P. J.; Andrews, J. S.; Amos, R. D.; Handy, N. C.; Pople, J. A. Restricted Møer-Plesset theory for open-shell molecules. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1991**, *186*, 130–136.

(45) Frisch, M.; Trucks, G.; Schlegel, H.; Scuseria, G.; Robb, M.; Cheeseman, J.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.; et al. *Gaussian 09*, revision D.01; Gaussian, 2009.

(46) Dunning, T. H., Jr Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. **1989**, 90, 1007–1023.

(47) Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr; Harrison, R. J. Electron affinities of the first-row atoms revisited. Systematic basis sets and wave functions. J. Chem. Phys. **1992**, *96*, 6796–6806.

(48) Davidson, E. R. Comment on Dunning's correlation-consistent basis sets. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1996**, *260*, 514–518.

(49) Balabanov, N. B.; Peterson, K. A. Systematically convergent basis sets for transition metals. I. All-electron correlation consistent basis sets for the 3 d elements Sc-Zn. J. Chem. Phys. **2005**, *123*, 064107.

(50) Boys, S. F.; Bernardi, F. D. The calculation of small molecular interactions by the differences of separate total energies. Some procedures with reduced errors. *Mol. Phys.* **1970**, *19*, 553–566.

(51) Roos, B. O.; Taylor, P. R.; Sigbahn, P. E. A complete active space SCF method (CASSCF) using a density matrix formulated super-CI approach. *Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *48*, 157–173.

(52) Andersson, K.; Malmqvist, P. A.; Roos, B. O.; Sadlej, A. J.; Wolinski, K. Second-order perturbation theory with a CASSCF reference function. J. Phys. Chem. **1990**, *94*, 5483–5488.

(53) Aquilante, F.; Autschbach, J.; Carlson, R. K.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Delcey, M. G.; De Vico, L.; Ferré, N.; Frutos, L. M.; Gagliardi, L.; Garavelli, M.; et al. Molcas 8: new capabilities for multiconfigurational quantum chemical calculations across the periodic table. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2016**, 37, 506–541.

(54) Widmark, P.-O.; Malmqvist, P.-Å.; Roos, B. O. Density matrix averaged atomic natural orbital (ANO) basis sets for correlated molecular wave functions. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *77*, 291–306.

(55) Reiher, M.; Wolf, A. Exact decoupling of the Dirac hamiltonian. II. The generalized Douglas-Kroll-Hess transformation up to arbitrary order. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *121*, 10945–10956.

(56) Aquilante, F.; Gagliardi, L.; Pedersen, T. B.; Lindh, R. Atomic Cholesky decompositions: a route to unbiased auxiliary basis sets for

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

(57) Dubbeldam, D.; Calero, S.; Ellis, D. E.; Snurr, R. Q. RASPA: Molecular simulation software for adsorption and diffusion in flexible nanoporous materials. *Mol. Simul.* **2016**, *42*, 81–101.

(58) Potoff, J. J.; Siepmann, J. I. Vapor-liquid equilibria of mixtures containing alkanes, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen. *AIChE J.* **2001**, *47*, 1676–1682.

(59) Rappé, A. K.; Casewit, C. J.; Colwell, K.; Goddard, W., III; Skiff, W. UFF, a full periodic table force field for molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 10024–10035.

(60) Mayo, S. L.; Olafson, B. D.; Goddard, W. A. DREIDING: a generic force field for Mol. Simul.s. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 8897–8909.

(61) Campaná, C.; Mussard, B.; Woo, T. K. Electrostatic potential derived atomic charges for periodic systems using a modified error functional. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5*, 2866–2878.

(62) Otero-de-la Roza, A.; Johnson, E. R.; Luaña, V. Critic2: A program for real-space analysis of quantum chemical interactions in solids. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2014**, *185*, 1007–1018.

(63) Fischer, M.; Gomes, J. R.; Jorge, M. Computational approaches to study adsorption in MOFs with unsaturated metal sites. *Mol. Simul.* **2014**, *40*, 537–556.

(64) Liechtenstein, A.; Anisimov, V.; Zaanen, J. Density-functional theory and strong interactions: Orbital ordering in Mott-Hubbard insulators. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1995**, *52*, R5467.

(65) Mann, G. W.; Lee, K.; Cococcioni, M.; Smit, B.; Neaton, J. B. First-principles Hubbard U approach for small molecule binding in metal-organic frameworks. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *144*, 174104.

(66) Wang, L.; Maxisch, T.; Ceder, G. Oxidation energies of transition metal oxides within the GGA+ U framework. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2006**, 73, 195107.

(67) Thonhauser, T.; Zuluaga, S.; Arter, C.; Berland, K.; Schröder, E.; Hyldgaard, P. Spin signature of nonlocal correlation binding in metalorganic frameworks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2015**, *115*, 136402.

(68) Hamada, I. van der Waals density functional made accurate. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2014**, *89*, 121103.

(69) Klimeš, J.; Michaelides, A. Perspective: Advances and challenges in treating van der Waals dispersion forces in density functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *137*, 120901.

(70) Řezáč, J.; Hobza, P. Benchmark calculations of interaction energies in noncovalent complexes and their applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 5038–5071.

(71) Chen, B.; Eddaoudi, M.; Reineke, T.; Kampf, J.; O'keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. Cu2 (ATC) 6H2O: Design of Open Metal Sites in Porous Metal- Organic Crystals (ATC: 1, 3, 5, 7-Adamantane Tetracarboxylate). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11559–11560.

(72) Maurice, R.; Sivalingam, K.; Ganyushin, D.; Guihéry, N.; De Graaf, C.; Neese, F. Theoretical determination of the zero-field splitting in copper acetate monohydrate. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 6229–6236.

(73) Hu, J.; Liu, J.; Liu, Y.; Yang, X. Improving carbon dioxide storage capacity of metal organic frameworks by lithium alkoxide functionalization: a Mol. Simul. study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 10311–10319.

(74) Aprea, P.; Caputo, D.; Gargiulo, N.; Iucolano, F.; Pepe, F. Modeling carbon dioxide adsorption on microporous substrates: Comparison between Cu-BTC metal- organic framework and 13X zeolitic molecular sieve. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 3655–3661.

(75) Chowdhury, P.; Mekala, S.; Dreisbach, F.; Gumma, S. Adsorption of CO, CO_2 and CH_4 on Cu-BTC and MIL-101 metal organic frameworks: Effect of open metal sites and adsorbate polarity. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2012**, *152*, 246–252.

(76) Krishna, R. Adsorptive separation of CO 2/CH 4/CO gas mixtures at high pressures. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2012**, 156, 217–223.

(77) Li, B.; Zhang, Z.; Li, Y.; Yao, K.; Zhu, Y.; Deng, Z.; Yang, F.; Zhou, X.; Li, G.; Wu, H.; et al. Enhanced binding affinity, remarkable selectivity, and high capacity of CO_2 by dual functionalization of a rht-Type metal-organic framework. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1412–1415.

(78) Spanopoulos, I.; Bratsos, I.; Tampaxis, C.; Vourloumis, D.; Klontzas, E.; Froudakis, G.; Charalambopoulou, G.; Steriotis, T.; Trikalitis, P. Exceptional gravimetric and volumetric CO_2 uptake in a palladated NbO-type MOF utilizing cooperative acidic and basic, metal- CO_2 interactions. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 10559–10562.

(79) Wilmer, C. E.; Kim, K. C.; Snurr, R. Q. An extended charge equilibration method. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 2506–2511.